

# SKEIN AND CLUSTER ALGEBRAS OF MARKED SURFACES WITHOUT PUNCTURES FOR $\mathfrak{sl}_3$

TSUKASA ISHIBASHI AND WATARU YUASA

ABSTRACT. For a marked surface  $\Sigma$  without punctures, we introduce a skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q$  consisting of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs on  $\Sigma$  with the boundary skein relations at marked points. We realize a subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q$  of the quantum cluster algebra quantizing the function ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{cl}}(\mathcal{A}_{SL_3, \Sigma})$  inside the skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q$ . We also show that the skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q$  is contained in the corresponding quantum upper cluster algebra by giving a way to obtain cluster expansions of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs. Moreover, we show that the bracelets and the bangles along an oriented simple loop in  $\Sigma$  give rise to quantum GS-universally positive Laurent polynomials.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Quantum cluster algebras	7
3. Skein algebras of marked surfaces without punctures for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$	17
4. Quantum cluster algebras and Skein algebras	42
Appendix A. Relation to the cluster varieties	51
References	53

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Quantizations of the  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), SL_2(\mathbb{C})) // SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  for a surface  $\Sigma$  have been studied in several different ways. One is via the *Kauffman bracket skein algebra*, first defined in [BFK99] for a closed surface; [PS19, BW11] for a surface with boundary; [RY14] for a punctured surface; [Mul16] for a marked surface without punctures. In each of these works the Kauffman bracket skein relation provides a non-commutative deformation of the trace identity among the  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -matrices, and therefore the skein algebra can be regarded as a deformation quantization of the  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety (or its suitable variants). More generally, connections between the  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety and the Kauffman bracket skein algebra for a 3-manifold has been studied in [Bul97, PS00].

Another one is via the *quantum cluster algebra* introduced by Berenstein–Zelevinsky [BZ05]. More precisely, for a marked surface  $\Sigma$  (*i.e.*, an oriented compact surface with

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F60, 57K31 (Primary), 57K20 (Secondary).

*Key words and phrases.* Cluster algebra; Skein algebra; Positivity.

boundary together with a finite set of marked points), the moduli space  $\mathcal{A}_{SL_2, \Sigma}$  of twisted decorated  $SL_2$ -local systems has a canonical cluster  $K_2$ -structure [FG06a] encoded in a seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)$ , via which we identify a subring of the ring of regular functions on  $\mathcal{A}_{SL_2, \Sigma}$  with the corresponding *cluster algebra*  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)}$  [FZ02]. Forgetting the decoration we get the moduli space of twisted  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations, which is identified with the  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character stack by fixing a spin structure on  $\Sigma$ . The general framework of the quantum cluster algebra provides non-commutative deformations of the cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}}$  associated with a seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}$  depending on the choice of a *quantum seed pattern*  $\mathfrak{s}_q$  (or the choice of compatibility matrices) “quantizing”  $\mathfrak{s}$ , whenever the seed pattern has full-rank exchange matrices. For the seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)$ , the latter condition forces  $\Sigma$  to have no punctures.

A suitable choice of a quantum seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)$  quantizing  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)$  has been made by Muller [Mul16], who showed that the two quantization schemes via skein and cluster algebras give the same result for this choice. Indeed, he defined a skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma}^A$  on a marked surface without punctures by imposing certain *boundary skein relations* and obtained the following comparison result:

**Theorem 1** (Muller [Mul16]). *For a (triangulable) marked surface with at least two marked points on each of its connected components, the quantum cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)}$  and the quantum upper cluster algebra  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)}$  are both isomorphic to the skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}]$  localized at boundary intervals with  $q = A$ . Moreover,*

- *the isomorphisms are  $MC(\Sigma)$ -equivariant;*
- *the bar-involution on  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)}$  coincides the mirror-reflection on  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}]$ ;*
- *the ensemble grading (a.k.a. the universal grading) on  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)}$  coincides with the endpoint grading on  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}]$ .*

Indeed, he first realized each quantum cluster for  $\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)$  inside the skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma}^A$ , then showed ([Mul16, Theorem 7.15]) the inclusions

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}] \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)},$$

and finally proved the coincidence  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)}$  ([Mul16, Theorem 9.8]). In this case, all the mutations are realized as flips of triangulations, the corresponding quantum exchange relations being identified with the skein relations among the skein elements parallel to triangulations.

One can as well consider the (upper) cluster algebras with frozen variables not being invertible, in which case they coincide with  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma}^A$ . It amounts to consider a partial compactification of the cluster  $K_2$ -variety.

**1.1. Comparison of the skein and cluster algebras for  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ .** Our goal is to find higher-rank analogues of the Muller’s result for semisimple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  other than  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . Indeed, for the simply-connected algebraic group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the moduli space  $\mathcal{A}_{G, \Sigma}$  has also a canonical  $K_2$ -structure, which is encoded in a seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{g}, \Sigma)$  ([FG06a] for  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ ; [Le19] for classical Lie algebras; [GS19] for general). On the other hand, the higher-rank

analogues of the skein theory has been studied by Kuperberg [Kup96] for rank two Lie algebras, Murakami-Ohtsuki-Yamada [MOY98], Sikora [Sik05] and Morrison [Mor07] for  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ .

Our aim in this paper is to establish the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -case. We first define a skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  spanned by certain  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs on a marked surface  $\Sigma$  without punctures, subject to certain boundary skein relations as well as the usual  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relations (see Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.2). In this case, however, a difficulty arises from the fact that the mutations do not necessarily correspond to geometric operations such as flips in general. To isolate such a problem, we first construct quantum seeds whose underlying seeds are associated with *decorated triangulations* and those interpolating their flips (see Section 2.2). The quantum clusters are realized as specific *web clusters* in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ , where the latter are defined to be collections of *elementary webs* which  $A$ -commute with each other, with the prescribed cardinality (see Definition 3.14 and Definition 3.15). Thanks to the connectivity of decorated triangulations by flips and changes of signs, these quantum seeds define a canonical quantum seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)}$  denote the subalgebra generated by the quantum cluster variables contained in the quantum seeds as above. Then we obtain the following  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -analogue of Theorem 1:

**Theorem 2** (Comparison of skein and cluster algebras: Theorems 4.4 and 4.7). *We have*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}] \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)}$$

with  $q = A$ . Here  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}]$  denotes the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein algebra localized at boundary webs (see Definition 3.37). Moreover,

- the inclusions are  $MC(\Sigma) \times \text{Out}(SL_3)$ -equivariant;
- the bar-involution on  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)}$  restricts to the mirror-reflection on  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}]$ ;
- the ensemble grading on  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)}$  restricts to the endpoint grading on  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}]$ .

The heart of the first inclusion is the comparison of the quantum exchange and skein relations, which has been essentially observed by Fomin–Pylyavskyy [FP16]. The second inclusion is a consequence of the Laurent expansion results of an arbitrary web in certain web clusters given in Section 3.4.1 and the quantum Laurent phenomenon [BZ05]. The (partially conjectural) correspondence of some notions are summarized below.

Quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)}$	Skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$
clusters	web clusters
cluster variables	elementary webs
quantum exchange relations (a $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -basis)	skein relations
bar-involution	graphical basis
ensemble grading	mirror-reflection
	endpoint grading

As in the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -case, we expect the following:

**Conjecture 3.**  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}] = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ .

In particular, we expect a one-to-one correspondence between the quantum clusters in  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  and the web clusters in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ . Indeed, in the subsequent paper [IY], we will confirm this conjecture when  $\Sigma$  is a  $k$ -gon with  $3 \leq k \leq 5$ , which are exactly the cases where the cluster algebra is of finite type, by establishing a bijection between the quantum clusters and the web clusters. This gives a geometric description of the quantum clusters (or equivalently, the cluster charts on the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{A}_{SL_3, \Sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{PGL_3, \Sigma}$ ) apart from those associated with decorated triangulations, including even those not represented by ideal webs [Go17]. A comparison with the theory of spectral networks [GMN13] will be extremely interesting, which is believed to be another candidate for a geometric model for the cluster charts.

**1.2. Quantum Laurent positivity of webs.** From the second inclusion in Theorem 2, each web  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  gives rise to a *quantum universally Laurent polynomial*, i.e., it is represented as a Laurent polynomial in an arbitrary quantum cluster and the quantum parameter  $q$ . Such an element is called a *quantum universally positive Laurent polynomial* if its Laurent expression in each quantum cluster has positive integral coefficients. The search of webs providing such special polynomials is motivated by the Fock–Goncharov duality conjecture: see Section 1.3 below.

The expansion of a web in a quantum cluster in the subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q$  are obtained by just successively applying the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relations. Most of them have a manifest positivity, while the one (3.4) causes a problem. Indeed, one is forced to use the relation (3.4) in some situation during the process getting the cluster expansion of a web. In order to avoid the usage of (3.4), we only consider the *elevation-preserving webs* (Definition 3.31) with respect to an ideal triangulation. For instance, the  $n$ -bracelet (resp. the  $n$ -bangle) along an oriented simple loop  $\gamma$  in  $\Sigma$ , obtained by replacing the embedding of  $\gamma$  with an embedding of the graph shown in the left (resp. right) in Figure 1.1, is an elevation-preserving web for any ideal triangulation.

An element of  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  is called a *quantum GS-universally positive Laurent polynomial* (after Goncharov–Shen) if it is represented as a positive Laurent polynomial in the quantum cluster associated with any decorated triangulation. The following is our result in this paper:

**Theorem 4** (Quantum Laurent positivity of webs: Theorem 4.8). *Any elevation-preserving web with respect to  $\Delta$  is expressed as a positive Laurent polynomial in the quantum cluster associated with a decorated triangulation  $\mathbf{\Delta} = (\Delta, \mathbf{s}_\Delta)$  with the underlying triangulation  $\Delta$ . In particular, the bracelets and the bangles along an oriented simple loop in  $\Sigma$  are quantum GS-universally positive Laurent polynomials.*

**1.3. Related works.** The theory of cluster ensembles [FG09] produces a pair  $(\mathcal{A}_s, \mathcal{X}_s)$  of positive schemes from a given seed pattern  $\mathbf{s}$ . Thanks to their positivity nature, we




FIGURE 1.1. The middle shows a tubular neighborhood of an oriented simple loop  $\gamma$ . The  $n$ -bracelet (resp.  $n$ -bangle) along  $\gamma$  is obtained by replacing it with the graph shown in the left (right).

can form their  $\mathbb{P}$ -valued points  $(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{P}), \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{P}))$  for any semifield  $\mathbb{P}$ . The *Fock–Goncharov duality conjecture* [FG09, Section 4] asks a construction of duality maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{Z}^T) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X}_{s^\vee}), \\ \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{Z}^T) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_{s^\vee}) \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy certain axioms, where  $s^\vee$  denotes the Langlands dual seed pattern of  $s$  (in our situation,  $s^\vee = s$ ). In particular, each element in the left-hand side is required to give rise to a universally positive Laurent polynomial. One may ask their quantum aspects, by replacing  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X}_{s^\vee})$  with the Fock–Goncharov’s quantized algebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{X}_{s^\vee})$  [FG08], and replacing  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_{s^\vee}) = \mathcal{U}_{s^\vee}$  with  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{A}_{s^\vee}) := \mathcal{U}_{s^\vee}$  whenever the full-rank condition holds, though it involves a choice of a quantum seed pattern  $s_q^\vee$ .

For the seed pattern  $s = s(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \Sigma)$ , such quantum duality maps are constructed via the skein theory. The classical duality maps are constructed by Fock–Goncharov [FG06a] when  $\Sigma$  has empty boundary. In the quantum setting, in the  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{X}_{s^\vee})$ -side, when  $\Sigma$  has empty boundary, first Bonahon–Wong [BW11] made a progress by defining a *quantum trace map* from the “stated” Kauffman bracket skein algebra on  $\Sigma$  to the “square-root” of the quantized algebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{X}_{s^\vee})$ . This has been upgraded to a quantum duality map  $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{Z}^T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{X}_{s^\vee})$  by Allegretti–Kim [AK17], by composing with a skein realization of each integral  $\mathcal{A}$ -lamination. On the  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{A}_{s^\vee})$ -side, when  $\Sigma$  has no punctures, a quantum duality map  $\mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{Z}^T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{A}_{s^\vee})$  is worked out by Musiker–Schiffler–Williams [MSW11, MSW13], and finally established by Thurston [Thu14] by adding the loop elements to the Muller’s work we mentioned above. There are also related works in the skein theory side. Lê [Lê18, Lê19] gave an inclusion of the Muller’s skein algebra ( $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{A}_{s^\vee})$ -side) into the stated skein algebra ( $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{X}_{s^\vee})$ -side), and obtained the explicit formula of the quantum trace map for certain simple loops. Costantino–Lê [CL19] proved that the stated skein algebra of a biangle is isomorphic to the quantized coordinate ring of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  and studied the correspondence of some algebraic structures.

The duality maps for the seed pattern  $s = s(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)$  are recently intensively studied. Douglas–Sun [DS20a, DS20b] developed a theory on the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -laminations in terms of

$\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs (without endpoints on marked points) by defining their tropical  $\mathcal{A}$ -coordinates, based on the ideas of Xie [Xie13] from the viewpoint of Fock–Goncharov duality. A corresponding  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein algebra (with certain boundary skein relations) and another coordinate systems of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs are considered by Frohman–Sikora [FS20]. A stated  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein algebra was also defined by Higgins [Hig20]. Then a quantum duality map  $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{Z}^T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{X}_{s^\vee})$  has been established by Kim [Kim20], using the Douglas–Sun coordinates.

Now our work in this paper can be regarded as a first step to constructing a duality map on the  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{A}_{s^\vee})$ -side. Indeed, we will study tropical  $\mathcal{X}$ -coordinates of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs (an  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -analogue of the lamination shear coordinates) based on the correspondence given in [IY] in a subsequent paper.

**Organization of the paper.** In Section 2, we recall the general framework of the quantum cluster algebra. Here we partially use the terminology from the theory of cluster varieties [FG09], which is reviewed in Appendix A. We also review the construction of the seed pattern related to the moduli space  $\mathcal{A}_{SL_3, \Sigma}$ .

In Section 3, we define the skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  and investigate its basic structures. Expansion formulae and the positivity results are proved in terms of the skein theory.

In Section 4, we construct the quantum seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)$  by realizing some of the quantum seeds in the skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ . Theorems 2 and 4 are proved.

**Acknowledgements** T. I. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20K22304. W. Y. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19J00252 and JP19K14528.

**Notation on marked surfaces and their triangulations.** A *marked surface*  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$  is a compact oriented surface  $\Sigma$  with boundary equipped with a fixed non-empty finite set  $\mathbb{M} \subset \Sigma$  of *marked points*. When the choice of  $\mathbb{M}$  is clear from the context, we simply denote a marked surface by  $\Sigma$ . A marked point is called a *puncture* if it lies in the interior of  $\Sigma$ , and a *special point* otherwise. In this paper we assume that there are no punctures, and hence  $\mathbb{M} \subset \partial\Sigma$ . Moreover, assume the following conditions:

- (1) Each boundary component has at least one marked point.
- (2)  $n(\Sigma) := -3\chi(\Sigma) + 2|\mathbb{M}| > 0$ , and  $\Sigma$  is not a disk with two special points (a biangle).

These conditions ensure that the marked surface  $\Sigma$  has an ideal triangulation, that is, the isotopy class of a collection  $\Delta$  of simple arcs connecting marked points whose interiors are mutually disjoint, which decomposes  $\Sigma$  into triangles. The number  $n(\Sigma)$  gives the number of edges of any ideal triangulation  $\Delta$ . We call a connected component of the punctured boundary  $\partial^\times \Sigma := \partial\Sigma \setminus \mathbb{M}$  a *boundary interval*, and denote by  $\mathbb{B}$  the set of boundary intervals. Each boundary interval belongs to any ideal triangulation  $\Delta$ . We call an edge of  $\Delta$  an *interior edge* if it is not a boundary interval. Denote the set of edges (resp. interior edges, triangles) of  $\Delta$  by  $e(\Delta)$  (resp.  $e_{\text{int}}(\Delta)$ ,  $t(\Delta)$ ).






FIGURE 1.2. A local picture of an  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -triangulation. By convention, a portion of  $\partial\Sigma$  is drawn by a thick line together with a gray region indicating the “outer side” of  $\Sigma$ .

It is sometimes useful to equip  $\Delta$  with two distinguished points on the interior of each edge and one point in the interior of each triangle: see Figure 1.2. The set of such points is denoted by  $I(\Delta) = I_{\mathfrak{sl}_3}(\Delta)$ .<sup>1</sup> We refer to an ideal triangulation equipped with such collection of points as an  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -*triangulation*. Let  $I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta)$  (resp.  $I^{\text{tri}}(\Delta)$ ) denote the set of points on edges (resp. faces of triangles) so that  $I(\Delta) = I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta) \sqcup I^{\text{tri}}(\Delta)$ , where we have a canonical identification  $I^{\text{tri}}(\Delta) = t(\Delta)$ . For  $k \in I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta)$ , let  $k^{\text{op}}$  denote the other point on the same edge. Let  $I(\Delta)_{\text{f}} \subset I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta)$  be the subset consisting of the points on the boundary of  $\Sigma$ , and let  $I(\Delta)_{\text{uf}} := I(\Delta) \setminus I(\Delta)_{\text{f}}$ .

More generally, we can consider an *ideal cell decomposition* of  $\Sigma$ , which is a decomposition of  $\Sigma$  into a union of polygons. When it is obtained from an ideal triangulation by removing  $k$  interior edges, it is said to be of *deficiency*  $k$ . In this paper, we only use an ideal cell decomposition of deficiency 0 or 1. The ideal cell decomposition of deficiency 1 obtained from an ideal triangulation  $\Delta$  by removing one interior edge  $E$  is denoted by  $(\Delta; E)$ .

## 2. QUANTUM CLUSTER ALGEBRAS

**2.1. Quantum cluster algebra.** Here we recall the definition of the quantum cluster algebra following [BZ05] and related fundamental results. We also recall a grading on the (quantum) cluster algebra which we call the *ensemble grading* from the viewpoint of the cluster variety [FG09], which has been originally investigated in [GSV03].

**2.1.1. The exchange graph and the cluster algebra.** Fix a finite linearly ordered set  $I$  of indices<sup>2</sup> and a field  $\mathcal{F}$  which is isomorphic to the field of rational functions on  $\#I$  variables with rational coefficients. We also fix a subset  $I_{\text{uf}} \subset I$  and let  $I_{\text{f}} := I \setminus I_{\text{uf}}$ . A *seed* in  $\mathcal{F}$  is a pair  $(B, \mathbf{A})$ , where

<sup>1</sup>This is the set of vertices of the 3-triangulation [FG06a] associated with  $\Delta$ .

<sup>2</sup>The linear ordering here is auxiliary, just in order to distinguish a collection labeled by the set  $I$  (e.g.,  $\mathbf{A}^{(t)}$ ) from its unlabeled version (e.g.  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}$ ) by simpler terms “ordered” and “unordered”.

- $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  is a skew-symmetric matrix with half-integral entries such that  $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  unless  $(i, j) \in I_f \times I_f$ ;
- $\mathbf{A} = (A_i)_{i \in I}$  is a tuple of algebraically independent elements in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We call a matrix  $B$  satisfying the above conditions an *exchange matrix*. The elements  $A_i$  for  $i \in I$  are called the *cluster ( $\mathcal{A}$ -)variables*, and those for  $i \in I_f$  are called the *frozen variables*.

It is useful to represent an exchange matrix  $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  by a quiver  $Q$ . Let us define the *quiver exchange matrix*<sup>3</sup>  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  by  $\varepsilon_{ij} := b_{ji}$ . Then the quiver  $Q$  corresponding to  $B$  has vertices parametrized by the set  $I$  and  $|\varepsilon_{ij}|$  arrows from  $i$  to  $j$  (resp.  $j$  to  $i$ ) if  $\varepsilon_{ij} > 0$  (resp.  $\varepsilon_{ji} > 0$ ). In figures, we draw  $n$  dashed arrows from  $i$  to  $j$  if  $\varepsilon_{ij} = n/2$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where a pair of dashed arrows is replaced with a solid arrow.

Let  $\mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$  be a regular  $\#I_{\text{uf}}$ -valent tree whose edges are labeled by indices in  $I_{\text{uf}}$ , such that the edges incident to a common vertex have distinct labels. An assignment

$$\mathfrak{s} : \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}} \ni t \mapsto \mathfrak{s}^{(t)} = (B^{(t)}, \mathbf{A}^{(t)} = (A_i^{(t)})_{i \in I})$$

of a seed  $\mathfrak{s}^{(t)}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  to each vertex  $t \in \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$  is called a *seed pattern (of geometric type)* in  $\mathcal{F}$  if for each edge  $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$  of  $\mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$  labeled by  $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$ , the two seeds  $\mathfrak{s}^{(t)}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}^{(t')}$  are related by the following *seed mutation* at  $k$  (write  $\mathfrak{s}^{(t')} = \mu_k \mathfrak{s}^{(t)}$ ):

$$b_{ij}^{(t')} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij}^{(t)} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k, \\ b_{ij}^{(t)} + [b_{ik}^{(t)}]_+ [b_{kj}^{(t)}]_+ - [-b_{ik}^{(t)}]_+ [-b_{kj}^{(t)}]_+ & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$A_i^{(t')} = \begin{cases} (A_k^{(t)})^{-1} \left( \prod_{j \in I} (A_j^{(t)})^{[b_{jk}^{(t)}]_+} + \prod_{j \in I} (A_j^{(t)})^{[-b_{jk}^{(t)}]_+} \right) & \text{if } i = k, \\ A_i^{(t)} & \text{if } i \neq k. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Here  $[a]_+ := \max\{a, 0\}$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . The relation (2.1) is called the *matrix mutation*, and (2.2) is called the *exchange relation*. It is not hard to check that the seed mutation is involutive:  $\mathfrak{s}^{(t)} = \mu_k \mu_k \mathfrak{s}^{(t)}$ , and hence such an assignment makes sense. Since the matrix mutation rule inductively determines a seed from a given one, for a vertex  $t_0 \in \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$  and a seed  $(B, \mathbf{A})$ , there exists a unique seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_{t_0; (B, \mathbf{A})}$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}^{(t_0)} = (B, \mathbf{A})$ . We call  $\mathfrak{s}_{t_0; (B, \mathbf{A})}$  the *seed pattern* with the initial seed  $(B, \mathbf{A})$  at  $t_0$ .

Two seed patterns  $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}'$  are *isomorphic* if there exists an automorphism  $\phi$  of the graph  $\mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}^{(\phi(t))} = \mathfrak{s}^{(t)}$  for all  $t \in \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$ . Note that the isomorphism class of  $\mathfrak{s}_{t_0; (B, \mathbf{A})}$  only depends on the equivalence class of the initial exchange matrix  $B$  for the *mutation-equivalence* (or the *mutation class* for short), which is generated by matrix mutations and permutations of indices.

Given a seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}$ , we consider a quotient of the tree  $\mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$  as follows. We identify two vertices  $t, t' \in \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$  if the associated seeds  $\mathfrak{s}^{(t)}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}^{(t')}$  are related by a permutation

<sup>3</sup>This is identified with the Fock–Goncharov’s exchange matrix [FG09]. See Appendix A.



$\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{I_{\text{uf}}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{I_f}$  (and write  $\mathfrak{s}^{(t')} = \sigma \cdot \mathfrak{s}^{(t)}$ ):

$$b_{ij}^{(t')} = b_{\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(j)}^{(t)}, \quad A_i^{(t')} = A_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}^{(t)}.$$

Identify two edges if both of their vertices are respectively identified, so that we have no multiple edges in the quotient.

**Definition 2.1.** The quotient graph  $\text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{s}} = \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}/\sim$  obtained in this way is called the *exchange graph* of  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Let  $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}} : \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}} \rightarrow \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{s}}$  denote the graph projection.

To each vertex  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ , associated are the unordered collection  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)} := \{A_i^{(t)}\}_{i \in I}$  of cluster variables called a *cluster* and the sub-collection  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}^f := \{A_i^{(t)}\}_{i \in I_f}$  of frozen variables, for some lift  $t \in \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}^{-1}(\omega)$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}^{\pm 1}] := \mathbb{Z}[(A_i^{(t)})^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I]$  denote the ring of Laurent polynomials.

**Definition 2.2.** The *cluster algebra* associated with a seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}$  is the subring  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}} \subset \mathcal{F}$  generated by the union of the clusters  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}$  and the inverses of the frozen variables in  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}^f$  for  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ . The *upper cluster algebra* is defined to be the subring

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}} := \bigcap_{\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathcal{F}.$$

The *Laurent phenomenon theorem* [FZ02, Theorem 3.1] tells us that each cluster variable can be expressed as a Laurent polynomial in any cluster, and hence  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}}$  holds. Note that the isomorphism classes of the exchange graph and the cluster algebra depend only on the isomorphism class of a seed pattern. When  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_{t_0; (B, \mathbf{A})}$ , we may write  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}} =: \mathcal{A}(B)$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}} =: \mathcal{U}(B)$  as in the literature.

2.1.2. *The quantum cluster algebra.* We basically follow [BZ05], partially employing the notation in [GS19, Section 13.3]. Recall that for a skew-symmetric form  $\Pi$  on a lattice  $L$ , the associated *based quantum torus* is the associative  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -algebra  $T_{\Pi}$  such that

- $T_{\Pi}$  has a free  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -basis  $M^{\alpha}$  parametrized by  $\alpha \in L$ , and
- the product of these basis elements is given by  $M^{\alpha} \cdot M^{\beta} = q^{\Pi(\alpha, \beta)/2} M^{\alpha + \beta}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a skew-field. A *quantum seed* in  $\mathcal{F}$  is a triple  $(B, \Pi, M)$ , where

- $B$  is an exchange matrix;
- $\Pi = (\pi_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$  is a skew-symmetric matrix with integral entries satisfying the *compatibility relation*

$$\sum_{k \in I} b_{ki} \pi_{kj} = \delta_{ij} d_j$$

for all  $i \in I_{\text{uf}}$  and  $j \in I$ , where  $d_i$  is a positive integer for  $i \in I_{\text{uf}}$ . The matrix  $\Pi$  defines a skew-symmetric form on a lattice  $\mathring{\Lambda} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathring{f}_i$  by  $\Pi(\mathring{f}_i, \mathring{f}_j) := \pi_{ij}$ <sup>4</sup>;

<sup>4</sup>Our notation for the lattice is motivated by the connection to the theory of cluster varieties: see Appendix A.

- $M : \mathring{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$  is a function such that

$$M(\alpha)M(\beta) = q^{\Pi(\alpha,\beta)/2}M(\alpha + \beta)$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathring{\Lambda}$ , and the  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -span of  $M(\mathring{\Lambda}) \subset \mathcal{F}$  is the based quantum torus of the form  $\Pi$  whose skew-field of fractions coincides with  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We call  $\Pi$  the *compatibility matrix*, and  $M$  the *toric frame* of the quantum seed. The compatibility relation can be written as

$$\varepsilon\Pi = B^\top\Pi = (D, 0), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $D := \text{diag}(d_i \mid i \in I_{\text{uf}})$  and 0 denotes the  $I_{\text{uf}} \times I_{\text{f}}$ -zero matrix. By [BZ05, Lemma 4.4], a toric frame  $M$  is uniquely determined by the values  $A_i := M(\mathbf{f}_i)$  on the basis vectors  $\mathbf{f}_i$  for  $i \in I$ . Indeed, for a linear ordering  $I \cong \{1, \dots, N\}$ , we have

$$M\left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \mathbf{f}_i\right) = q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l < k} x_k x_l \pi_{kl}} A_1^{x_1} \dots A_N^{x_N} \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ . Note that both sides do not depend on the linear ordering.

In order to introduce the quantum mutations, the following lemma is useful:

**Lemma 2.3** ([BZ05, (3.1)]). *The matrix mutation (2.1) can be written as*

$$B^{(t')} = E_{k,\varepsilon}^{(t)} B^{(t)} F_{k,\varepsilon}^{(t)},$$

where  $E_{k,\varepsilon}^{(t)} = (e_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  and  $F_{k,\varepsilon}^{(t)} = (f_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  are defined by

$$e_{ij} := \begin{cases} \delta_{ij} & \text{if } j \neq k, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = k = j, \\ [-\varepsilon b_{ik}^{(t)}]_+ & \text{if } i \neq k = j, \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_{ij} := \begin{cases} \delta_{ij} & \text{if } i \neq k, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = k = j, \\ [\varepsilon b_{kj}^{(t)}]_+ & \text{if } i = k \neq j, \end{cases}$$

respectively for  $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ .

Now an assignment

$$\mathfrak{s}_q : \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}} \ni t \mapsto \mathfrak{s}_q^{(t)} = (B^{(t)}, \Pi^{(t)}, M^{(t)} : \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$$

of a quantum seed  $\mathfrak{s}_q^{(t)}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a *quantum seed pattern* in  $\mathcal{F}$  if for each edge  $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$  of  $\mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$  labeled by  $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$ , the two quantum seeds  $\mathfrak{s}_q^{(t)}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_q^{(t')}$  are related by the *quantum seed mutation* at  $k$  (write  $\mathfrak{s}_q^{(t')} = \mu_k \mathfrak{s}_q^{(t)}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(t')} &= E_{k,\varepsilon}^{(t)} B^{(t)} F_{k,\varepsilon}^{(t)}, \\ \Pi^{(t')} &= (E_{k,\varepsilon}^{(t)})^\top \Pi^{(t)} E_{k,\varepsilon}^{(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$M^{(t')}(\mathbf{f}_i^{(t')}) = \begin{cases} M^{(t)}(\mathbf{f}_i^{(t)}) & \text{if } i \neq k, \\ M^{(t)}(-\mathbf{f}_k^{(t)} + \sum_{j \in I} [b_{jk}^{(t)}]_+ \mathbf{f}_j^{(t)}) + M(-\mathbf{f}_k^{(t)} + \sum_{j \in I} [-b_{jk}^{(t)}]_+ \mathbf{f}_j^{(t)}) & \text{if } i = k. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Here  $\mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} \mathbf{f}_i^{(t)}$  for  $t \in \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$ . The relation (2.5) is called the *quantum exchange relation*. Write  $A_i^{(t)} := M^{(t)}(\mathbf{f}_i^{(t)}) \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $i \in I$  and  $t \in \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$ .

The verification of the following lemma is straightforward:

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(B^{(t)}, \Pi^{(t)}, M^{(t)})$  be a quantum seed in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $k \in I_{\text{uf}}$ , and consider the exchange matrix  $B^{(t')} := E_{k,\epsilon}^{(t)} B^{(t)} F_{k,\epsilon}^{(t)}$  and the toric frame  $M^{(t')}$  determined by (2.5). Let  $\Pi^{(t')} = (\pi'_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  be the skew-symmetric matrix associated with  $M^{(t')}$ , which is uniquely determined by the condition*

$$A_i^{(t')} A_j^{(t')} = q^{\pi'_{ij}} A_j^{(t')} A_i^{(t')}$$

for  $i, j \in I$ . Then the pair  $(B^{(t')}, \Pi^{(t')})$  satisfies the compatibility relation.

The following ‘‘decomposition of mutation’’ formula is useful in the study of the ensemble grading in Section 2.1.3.

**Lemma 2.5** ([BZ05, (4.22)]). *The quantum exchange relation (2.5) is written as*

$$M^{(t')} = \rho_{b^k, \epsilon} \circ M^{(t)} \circ \tilde{\mu}_{k, \epsilon}^*,$$

where  $\rho_{b^k, \epsilon}$  is an automorphism on  $\mathcal{F}$  uniquely determined by

$$\rho_{b^k, \epsilon}(A_i^{(t)}) := \begin{cases} A_i^{(t)} & \text{if } i \neq k, \\ A_k^{(t)} + M^{(t)}(\mathbf{f}_k^{(t)} + \sum_{j \in I} \epsilon b_{jk}^{(t)} \mathbf{f}_j^{(t)}) & \text{if } i = k, \end{cases}$$

and  $\tilde{\mu}_k^* : \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t')} \rightarrow \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)}$  denote the signed seed mutation (A.1).

Given a quantum seed pattern  $\mathbf{s}_q$  as above, we write  $\mathbf{s}_q^{(t')} = \sigma \mathbf{s}_q^{(t)}$  for a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{I_{\text{uf}}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{I_{\text{f}}}$  if

$$b_{ij}^{(t')} = b_{\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(j)}^{(t)}, \quad \pi_{ij}^{(t')} = \pi_{\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(j)}^{(t)}, \quad A_i^{(t')} = A_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}^{(t)}$$

hold. The *classical specialization* of  $\mathbf{s}_q$  is a seed pattern  $\mathbf{s}$  such that the exchange matrix assigned to each vertex  $t \in \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$  coincides with  $B^{(t)}$ . Then it is known that  $\mathbf{s}_q^{(t')} = \sigma \mathbf{s}_q^{(t)}$  holds whenever  $\mathbf{s}^{(t')} = \sigma \mathbf{s}^{(t)}$  holds in the classical specialization [BZ05, Theorem 6.1]. Hence to each vertex  $\omega \in \text{Exh}_{\mathbf{s}}$ , associated is a quantum torus  $T_{(\omega)} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}_q} M^{(t)}(\mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)}) \subset \mathcal{F}$ , where  $t \in \pi_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}(\omega)$  and the basis of  $T_{(\omega)}$  is given up to permutations. The unordered basis  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)} := \{A_i^{(t)}\}_{i \in I}$  is called a *quantum cluster*, and we have the collection  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}^{\text{f}} := \{A_i^{(t)}\}_{i \in I_{\text{f}}}$  of frozen variables.

**Definition 2.6.** The *quantum cluster algebra* associated with a quantum seed pattern  $\mathbf{s}_q$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{s}_q} \subset \mathcal{F}$  generated by the union of the quantum clusters  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}$  and

the inverses of frozen variables in  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}^f$  for  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_s$ . The *quantum upper cluster algebra* is defined to be

$$\mathcal{U}_{s_q} := \bigcap_{\omega \in \text{Exch}_s} T_{(\omega)} \subset \mathcal{F}.$$

For each vertex  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_s$ , the *upper bound* at  $\omega$  is defined to be  $\mathcal{U}_{s_q}(\omega) := \bigcap_{\omega'} T_{(\omega')}$ , where  $\omega' \in \text{Exch}_s$  runs over the vertices adjacent to  $\omega$ .

**Theorem 2.7** (Quantum Laurent phenomenon [BZ05, Theorem 5.1]). *For any vertices  $\omega, \omega' \in \text{Exch}_s$ , we have  $\mathcal{U}_{s_q}(\omega) = \mathcal{U}_{s_q}(\omega')$ . In particular, we have*

$$\mathcal{U}_{s_q} = \mathcal{U}_{s_q}(\omega)$$

for any  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_s$ .

Note that it also implies that  $\mathcal{A}_{s_q} \subset \mathcal{U}_{s_q}$ .

**Bar-involution.** For each  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_s$ , define a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear involution  $\dagger : T_{(\omega)} \rightarrow T_{(\omega)}$  by

$$(q^{r/2} M^{(\omega)}(\alpha))^\dagger := q^{-r/2} M^{(\omega)}(\alpha)$$

for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)}$ . Then  $\dagger$  preserves the subalgebra  $\mathcal{U}_{s_q} \subset T_{(\omega)}$ , and the induced involution does not depend on the choice of  $\omega$  [BZ05, Proposition 6.2]. Following [BZ05], we call this anti-involution  $\dagger : \mathcal{U}_{s_q} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{s_q}$  the *bar-involution*. Each quantum cluster variable is invariant under the bar-involution.

2.1.3. *Ensemble grading.* We have a natural grading on the (quantum) upper cluster algebra, which we call the *ensemble grading* (a.k.a. *universal grading* [Mul16]). In order to motivate its definition from the algebro-geometric viewpoint, we borrow some notations from [FG09], for which the reader is referred to Appendix A.

**Lemma-Definition 2.8** (cf. [GSV03, Lemma 5.3]). For  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_s$ , define  $\mathbf{gr}(A_i^{(\omega)}) \in \text{coker } p^*$  to be the image of the basis vector  $\mathbf{f}_i^{(t)} \in \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)}$  under the natural projection  $\alpha_{(t)}^* : \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)} \rightarrow \text{coker } p_{(t)}^*$  for some lift  $t \in \pi_s^{-1}(\omega)$ . Then  $\mathbf{gr}$  defines a grading on the ring  $\mathcal{U}_s$ , which we call the *ensemble grading*. The ensemble grading on the quantum upper cluster algebra  $\mathcal{U}_{s_q}$  is defined by the same manner, which makes the latter a graded  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -algebra.

*Proof.* In order to see that the ensemble grading is well-defined, first we need to show that for two vertices  $t_0, t \in \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$ , the condition  $A_i^{(t)} = A_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}^{(t_0)}$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{I_{\text{uf}}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{I_f}$  implies that  $\alpha_{(t)}^*(\mathbf{f}_i^{(t)}) = \alpha_{(t_0)}^*(\mathbf{f}_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}^{(t_0)})$  under the identification  $\text{coker } p_{(t)}^* \cong \text{coker } p_{(t_0)}^*$  induced by a sequence of signed seed mutations. For this, let

$$\gamma : t_0 \xrightarrow{k_0} t_1 \xrightarrow{k_1} \dots \xrightarrow{k_{h-1}} t_h = t$$

be the shortest edge path in  $\mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}}$ . Since the identification  $\text{coker } p_{(t)}^* \cong \text{coker } p_{(t_0)}^*$  does not depend on signs, we may consider the associated tropical sign  $\epsilon_\gamma^{\text{trop}} = (\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{h-1})$

[NZ12]. Then the composite  $\check{\mu}_{\gamma, \epsilon_\gamma}^* := \check{\mu}_{k_0, \epsilon_0}^* \cdots \check{\mu}_{k_{h-1}, \epsilon_{h-1}}^* : \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)} \rightarrow \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t_0)}$  sends the vector  $\mathbf{f}_i^{(t)}$  to

$$\check{\mu}_{\gamma, \epsilon_\gamma}^*(\mathbf{f}_i^{(t)}) = \sum_{j \in I} \mathbf{f}_j^{(t_0)} (E_{k_0, \epsilon_0}^{(t_0)} \cdots E_{k_{h-1}, \epsilon_{h-1}}^{(t_{h-1})})_{ji}.$$

Here  $G_t^{s; t_0} := E_{k_0, \epsilon_0}^{(t_0)} \cdots E_{k_{h-1}, \epsilon_{h-1}}^{(t_{h-1})}$  is the  $G$ -matrix assigned at  $t$  with the initial seed  $t_0$  ([NZ12, Proposition 1.3]), and hence  $\check{\mu}_{\gamma, \epsilon_\gamma}^*(\mathbf{f}_i^{(t)}) = \mathbf{f}_j^{(t_0)} (G_t^{s; t_0})_{ji}$ . On the other hand, by the separation formula [FZ07, Corollary 6.3], the identity  $A_i^{(t)} = A_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}^{(t_0)}$  implies that  $(G_t^{s; t_0})_{ji} = \delta_{j, \sigma^{-1}(i)}$  and thus  $\check{\mu}_{\gamma, \epsilon_\gamma}^*(\mathbf{f}_i^{(t)}) = \mathbf{f}_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}^{(t_0)}$ .

Secondly, the ensemble grading is compatible with the exchange relation (2.2). Indeed, the birational automorphism (A.3) does not affect on the grading since  $\mathbf{gr}(p^* X_k^{(t)}) = 0$ . Thus it is well-defined on the upper cluster algebra  $\mathcal{U}_s$ .

For the quantum counterpart  $\mathcal{U}_{s_q}$ , notice that the automorphism  $\rho_{b^k, \epsilon}$  given in Lemma 2.5 preserves the grading. Thus the ensemble grading is compatible with the quantum exchange relations, hence well-defined on  $\mathcal{U}_{s_q}$  as well.  $\square$

**Remark 2.9.** (1) The grading  $\mathbf{gr}(A_i^{(\omega)}) \in \text{coker } p^*$  is the weight of the coordinate function  $A_i^{(\omega)} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_s) = \mathcal{U}_s$  for the  $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ -action on  $\mathcal{A}_s$  (see Appendix A).  
 (2) The proof of Lemma-Definition 2.8 shows that we can identify the lattices  $\mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)}$  and  $\mathring{\Lambda}^{(t')}$  with  $\pi_s(t) = \pi_s(t') =: \omega$  via the linear isomorphism  $\tilde{\mu}_{\gamma, \epsilon_\gamma}^*$ . We denote these lattices by  $\mathring{\Lambda}^{(\omega)}$  under this identification, which still has an unordered basis written as  $\{\mathbf{f}_i^{(\omega)}\}_{i \in I}$ .

**Convention 2.10.** When we do not care about the global structure of the exchange graph, we may always choose a lift of a vertex (or a contractible path) in  $\text{Exch}_s$  so that the associated objects get linearly ordered labelings by  $I$ . This being reminded, we will confuse the notation as  $A_i^{(\omega)} := A_i^{(t)}$ ,  $b_{ij}^{(\omega)} := b_{ij}^{(t)}$ , etc. with a choice of a lift  $t \in \pi_s^{-1}(\omega)$  non-specified.

**2.2. The seed pattern related to the moduli space  $\mathcal{A}_{SL_3, \Sigma}$ .** Let  $\Sigma$  be a marked surface. As in Section 1, we assume that  $\Sigma$  has no punctures. A *decorated triangulation*  $\mathbf{\Delta} = (\Delta, \mathbf{s}_\Delta)$  consists of:

- An ideal triangulation  $\Delta$  of  $\Sigma$ ;
- A function  $\mathbf{s}_\Delta : t(\Delta) \rightarrow \{+, -\}$ .

Given a decorated triangulation  $\mathbf{\Delta}$ , we define a quiver  $Q^\mathbf{\Delta}$  with the vertex set  $I(\Delta) = I_{\mathfrak{sl}_3}(\Delta)$  as follows. Let  $Q_+$  and  $Q_-$  be the quivers shown in the left and right of Figure 2.1, respectively. These quivers are related by the mutation at the central vertex  $k$ . For each triangle  $T \in t(\Delta)$ , we draw the quiver  $Q_{\mathbf{s}_\Delta(T)}$ , and glue them via the *amalgamation* procedure [FG06b] to get a quiver  $Q^\mathbf{\Delta}$  drawn on  $\Sigma$ . In our situation, opposite half-arrows cancel together, and parallel half-arrows combine to give an usual arrow. Some examples are shown in Figure 2.2.





FIGURE 2.1. Two quivers on a triangle.

FIGURE 2.2. The quivers on a quadrilateral with the signs  $(+, +)$  (left) and  $(+, -)$  (right).

Let  $B^\Delta = (b_{ij}^\Delta)_{i,j \in I(\Delta)}$  denote the exchange matrix determined by the quiver  $Q^\Delta$ .

**Theorem 2.11** (Fock–Goncharov [FG06a, Section 10.3]). *The exchange matrices  $B^\Delta$  associated with decorated triangulations  $\Delta$  of a fixed marked surface  $\Sigma$  are mutation-equivalent to each other.*

For later use, we reproduce the proof here.

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta, \Delta'$  be two decorated triangulations of a marked surface  $\Sigma$ . Since the quiver  $Q_-$  is transformed into  $Q_+$  by a mutation and the amalgamations commute with mutations at the vertices in  $I^{\text{tri}}(\Delta)$ , we can assume that both  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  have the positive sign on each triangle. Moreover, since any two ideal triangulations are transformed to each other by a sequence of flips, it suffices to consider the case where the underlying triangulations of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  are related by the flip along an edge. Some sequences of mutations which realizes a flip is shown in Figure 2.3. The assertion is proved.  $\square$






FIGURE 2.3. Some of the sequences of mutations that realize the flip  $f_E : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$ .

**Remark 2.12.** Via the Goncharov–Shen’s construction [GS19, Section 5.2], we get a collection  $\mathbf{A}^\Delta$  of regular functions on the moduli space  $\mathcal{A}_{SL_3, \Sigma}$  of the decorated  $SL_3$ -local systems on  $\Sigma$  associated with a decorated triangulation  $\Delta$ . Here the sign + (resp. –) assigned to a triangle corresponds to the reduced word  $(1, 2, 1)$  (resp.  $(2, 1, 2)$ ) of the longest element  $w_0 \in W(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$  in the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ , and thanks to the cyclic symmetry of the cluster structure on the moduli space  $\mathcal{A}_{SL_3, T}$ , we do not need to choose a vertex of each triangle  $T$ . Thus we get a seed  $(B^\Delta, \mathbf{A}^\Delta)$  in the field  $\mathcal{F}_{SL_3, \Sigma}$  of rational functions on  $\mathcal{A}_{SL_3, \Sigma}$ . These seeds are mutation-equivalent to each other [GS19, Theorem 5.7].

In particular, the mutation class of the exchange matrices  $B^\Delta$  defines a unique isomorphism class of a seed pattern, which is denoted by  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)$ .

It is typically hard to understand all the seeds in  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)$  in geometric terms. Instead, we are going to study those associated with the decorated triangulations and those along the flip sequences. A *decorated cell decomposition* (of deficiency  $\leq 1$ ) is an ideal cell decomposition  $(\Delta; E)$  of deficiency 1 equipped with a sign on each triangle and one of the quivers shown in Figure 2.3 on the unique quadrilateral. In particular, a decorated triangulation is a decorated cell decomposition.

**Definition 2.13.** Define the *surface subgraph* to be the subgraph  $\text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma} \subset \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)}$  such that

- the vertices are the seeds corresponding to the decorated cell decompositions;
- the edges are mutations realizing changes of the signs (Figure 2.1) and those realizing flips (Figure 2.3).

The exchange matrix  $B^{(\omega)}$  for any vertex  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  is determined by the corresponding quiver in Figure 2.3. Here notice that for two ideal triangulations  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  related by a single flip, the two sets  $I(\Delta)$  and  $I(\Delta')$  can be canonically identified, and we use this set  $I(\Delta) = I(\Delta')$  as the index set  $I(\omega)$  for the matrices  $B^{(\omega)}$  associated with the interpolating decorated cell decompositions  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  as in Figure 2.3.

We call the corresponding subring  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)}$  generated by the collection  $\bigcup_{\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}} \mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}$  of cluster variables the *surface subalgebra*.

The next lemma follows from the Laurent phenomenon theorem [FZ02, Theorem 3.1], the identification (A.5) and [GS18, Proposition 3.17].

**Lemma 2.14.** *We have the inclusions*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)} = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_{SL_3, \Sigma}).$$

The notation  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{cl}}(\mathcal{A}_{SL_3, \Sigma}) := \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)})$  is also used in the literature.

**Some group actions.** On any quantum cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}_q}$ , we have a natural right action of the corresponding *cluster modular group*  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$  [FG09]. An element  $\phi \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$  sends each cluster to another cluster, and commutes with mutations. When  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)$ , it is known that the cluster modular group contains the group  $MC(\Sigma) \times \text{Out}(SL_3)$  [GS18]. Here  $MC(\Sigma)$  denotes the *mapping class group* of  $\Sigma$ , which consists of the isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms on  $\Sigma$  that preserve  $\partial\Sigma$  and  $\mathbb{M}$  set-wisely;  $\text{Out}(SL_3) := \text{Aut}(SL_3)/\text{Inn}(SL_3)$  denotes the outer automorphism group, which is generated by the *Dynkin involution*  $* : SL_3 \rightarrow SL_3$ . The actions of these groups on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q$  are described as follows. See [GS18] for a detail.

- Each mapping class  $\phi \in MC(\Sigma)$  sends the cluster  $\mathbf{A}_{\Delta}$  associated with a decorated triangulation  $\Delta = (\Delta, \mathfrak{s}_{\Delta})$  to the one  $\mathbf{A}_{\phi^{-1}(\Delta)}$  associated with  $\phi^{-1}(\Delta) := (\phi^{-1}(\Delta), \phi^* \mathfrak{s}_{\Delta})$ , where  $(\phi^* \mathfrak{s}_{\Delta})(T) := \mathfrak{s}_{\Delta}(\phi(T))$  for  $T \in t(\phi^{-1}(\Delta))$ . The correspondence  $\phi : \phi^{-1}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta$  naturally induces a correspondence  $I(\phi^{-1}(\Delta)) \xrightarrow{\sim} I(\Delta)$  of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -triangulations, and hence of the cluster variables. The action on the cluster  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}$  for general  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  is uniquely interpolated by mutation-equivariance.
- The Dynkin involution  $*$  sends the cluster  $\mathbf{A}_{\Delta}$  to the one  $\mathbf{A}_{\Delta^*}$  associated with  $\Delta^* := (\Delta, \mathfrak{s}_{\Delta}^*)$ , where  $\mathfrak{s}_{\Delta}^*(T) := -\mathfrak{s}_{\Delta}(T)$  for  $T \in t(\Delta)$ . The action on the cluster  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}$  for general  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  is uniquely interpolated by mutation-equivariance.

Although the interpolation by mutation-equivariance is rather implicit, we will see that these actions are described as certain geometric actions on webs on  $\Sigma$ .


3. SKEIN ALGEBRAS OF MARKED SURFACES WITHOUT PUNCTURES FOR  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$

A skein algebra of a connected compact oriented surface  $\Sigma$  is the quotient of the algebra of links in the thickened surface  $\Sigma \times [0, 1]$  defined by certain skein relations. Skein relations are obtained from representations of quantum groups associated with simple Lie algebras. For  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , the skein relation is known as the Kauffman bracket skein relation and the skein algebra is called the Kauffman bracket skein algebra. Muller [Mul16] introduced the boundary Kauffman bracket skein relation for tangle diagrams on a marked surface  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$  without punctures, and defined the Kauffman bracket skein algebra of  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ . In this section, we will introduce a skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  of a marked surface  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$  for  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ . We will see that this skein algebra has an Ore localization  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\Delta^{-1}]$  on each ideal triangulation, and closely related to a quantum cluster algebra quantizing the seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)$ . Moreover, certain tangled trivalent graphs are expressed as positive Laurent polynomial in “elementary webs” in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\Delta^{-1}]$ .


**3.1. Skein algebras of marked surfaces for  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ .** Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of non-negative integers and  $\mathbb{Z}_A := \mathbb{Z}[A^{1/2}, A^{-1/2}]$  the Laurent polynomial ring in a variable  $A^{1/2}$ . In this subsection, there is no need for considering the conditions (1) and (2) for a marked surface  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$  in Section 1.

**3.1.1. The boundary  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relation.** The skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  treats tangled trivalent graphs with endpoints in  $\mathbb{M}$ , and its skein relations are defined by adding *boundary  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relations* to the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relations introduced in Kuperberg [Kup96].

A *tangled trivalent graph*  $G$  on  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$  is an immersion of an oriented uni-trivalent graph into  $\Sigma$  satisfying the following conditions (1) – (7):

- (1) the valency of a vertex of the underlying graph is 1 or 3,
- (2) the univalent vertices of  $G$  are contained in  $\mathbb{M}$ ,
- (3) the trivalent vertices of  $G$  are distinct points in  $\Sigma \setminus \partial\Sigma$ ,
- (4) all intersection points of  $G$  in  $\Sigma \setminus \partial\Sigma$  are transverse double points of edges,
- (5) an intersection point  $p \in \Sigma \setminus \partial\Sigma$  of  $G$  has *over-/under-passing information* (we call such  $p$  an *internal crossings*),
- (6) for an intersection point  $p \in \mathbb{M}$  of  $G$ , the set of univalent vertices on  $p$  has a strict total order, which we call the *elevation at  $p$* ,
- (7) the orientation of edges incident to a trivalent vertex is a sink  or a source



We denote the number of sinks in  $G$  by  $t_+(G)$ , and sources by  $t_-(G)$ . The over-/under-passing information is indicated as . Two consecutive ordered univalent vertices

$v_1 < v_2$ , whose half-edges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are incident to  $p \in \mathbb{M}$ , are indicated as 

or .

We define skein relations for the tangled trivalent graphs on  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ .

**Definition 3.1** ( $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relations [Kup96]).

$$\text{Diagram 1} = A^2 \text{Diagram 2} + A^{-1} \text{Diagram 3}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\text{Diagram 4} = A^{-2} \text{Diagram 5} + A \text{Diagram 6}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\text{Diagram 7} = \text{Diagram 8} + \text{Diagram 9}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\text{Diagram 10} = -(A^3 + A^{-3}) \text{Diagram 11}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\text{Diagram 12} = (A^6 + 1 + A^{-6}) \text{Diagram 13} = \text{Diagram 14}. \tag{3.5}$$

**Definition 3.2** (boundary  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relations).

$$\text{Diagram 15} = A^2 \text{Diagram 16} \qquad \text{Diagram 17} = A^2 \text{Diagram 18} \tag{3.6}$$

$$\text{Diagram 19} = A \text{Diagram 20} \qquad \text{Diagram 21} = A \text{Diagram 22} \tag{3.7}$$


$$\text{Diagram 23} = \text{Diagram 24} \qquad \text{Diagram 25} = \text{Diagram 26} \tag{3.8}$$

$$\text{Diagram 27} = 0 \qquad \text{Diagram 28} = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

$$\text{Diagram 29} = 0 \qquad \text{Diagram 30} = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

It is easy to see that the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relations, boundary  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relations, and the boundary fixing isotopy realize the following *Reidemeister moves* (R0), (R2), (R3), (R4) and (bR).

**Definition 3.3** (Reidemeister moves).



**Definition 3.4** (the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein algebra of a marked surface without punctures). The  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  of a marked surface  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$  is defined to be the quotient module of the free  $\mathbb{Z}_A$ -module spanned by tangled trivalent graphs in  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$  by the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relations (Definition 3.1), the boundary  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relations (Definition 3.2), and isotopy in  $\Sigma$  relative to  $\partial\Sigma$ . It is equipped with a multiplication defined by the superposition of tangled trivalent graphs. The product  $G_1 G_2$  of two tangled trivalent graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  in generic position is defined by superposing  $G_1$  on  $G_2$ , that is,  $G_1$  is over-passing  $G_2$  in all intersection points. We call an element in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  an  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -web or simply a web.

**Remark 3.5.** In the right-hand sides of the boundary skein relations at  $p \in \mathbb{M}$ , the sign of an exponent of  $A$  only depends on the orientation from the arc with higher elevation to the lower one with respect to the orientation of  $\Sigma$ . The absolute value of an exponent depends on whether two arcs have a parallel direction or anti-parallel.

It is useful to slightly extend the definition of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs, allowing them to have univalent vertices with the same elevation.

**Definition 3.6** (simultaneous crossings). An  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -web with the *simultaneous crossing* at  $p \in \mathbb{M}$  is recursively defined by the following skein relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^{-l-\frac{k}{2}} \text{Diagram} &= \text{Diagram} = A^{l+\frac{k}{2}} \text{Diagram} \\
 A^{-k-\frac{l}{2}} \text{Diagram} &= \text{Diagram} = A^{k+\frac{l}{2}} \text{Diagram}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.11}$$

where the thickened edge labeled by  $(k, l)$  is a collection of  $k + l$  half-edges with simultaneous crossing whose endpoint grading is  $(k, l)$ . From the above skein relations, we obtain




FIGURE 3.1. elliptic faces

the *boundary twist relations* for two adjacent half-edges with a simultaneous crossing:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} = A^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} = A^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \end{array}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 9} \\ \text{Diagram 10} \end{array} = A \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 11} \\ \text{Diagram 12} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 13} \\ \text{Diagram 14} \end{array} = A \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 15} \\ \text{Diagram 16} \end{array}. \quad (3.13)$$

From the above relations, we can obtain more general formula:

$$\begin{array}{c} (c, d) \\ \text{Diagram 17} \\ (a, b) \quad (e, f) \end{array} = A^{ac+bd-ec-fd} A^{(ad+bc-ec-fd)/2} \begin{array}{c} (c, d) \\ \text{Diagram 18} \\ (a, b) \quad (e, f) \end{array}. \quad (3.14)$$

For any tangled trivalent graph  $G$  with no interior crossings, the *Weyl ordering*  $[G]$  is the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -web obtained by replacing all the crossings on  $\mathbb{M}$  with the simultaneous crossings. One can represent the Weyl ordering  $[G]$  of  $G$  by a *flat trivalent graph*, *i.e.*, a uni-trivalent graph such that its univalent vertices lie in  $\mathbb{M}$  and the other part is embedded into  $\Sigma \setminus \partial\Sigma$ .

**Definition 3.7** (basis webs). Let  $[G]$  be a flat trivalent graph. A polygon  $P$  in  $\Sigma \setminus [G]$  is an *elliptic face* if  $P$  is one of the shaded faces shown in Figure 3.1. A flat trivalent graph  $[G]$  is *elliptic* if  $\Sigma \setminus [G]$  has an elliptic face. A *basis web* is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -web represented by non-elliptic flat trivalent graph  $[G]$  on  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ . We call the set  $\mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  of basis webs the *graphical basis*.

We will see that  $\mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  is indeed a free  $\mathbb{Z}_A$ -basis of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  soon below. The following notion provides a useful tool to study the webs.

**Definition 3.8** (cut-paths [Kup96]). Let  $G$  be a flat trivalent graph on  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$  and  $p, q \in \partial^\times \Sigma$  distinct points.

- (1) A *cut-path* from  $p$  to  $q$  of  $G$  is a properly embedded oriented interval from  $p$  to  $q$  which transversely intersects with edges of  $G$ . An *identity move* of a cut-path  $\alpha$  with respect to  $G$  is a deformation of  $\alpha$  into another cut-path  $\alpha'$  such that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  bound only one biangle which cuts out a subgraph of  $G$  consisting of an identity braid between two edges of the biangle. In a similar way, an *H-move* is defined, for which the biangle cuts out an *H-web*. See Figure 3.2.

- (2) The *weight*  $\text{wt}_\alpha(G) = (k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  of  $G$  for a cut-path  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$k := \#\{p \in G \cap \alpha \mid (G, \alpha)_p = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad l := \#\{p \in G \cap \alpha \mid (G, \alpha)_p = -1\},$$

where  $(G, \alpha)_p$  is the local intersection number of  $G$  and  $\alpha$  at  $p$ : see Figure 3.3. Let  $|\text{wt}_\alpha(G)| := k + l$  denote the total number of intersection points of  $\alpha$  and  $G$ .






FIGURE 3.2. An identity move (left) and an  $H$ -move (right). The other parts of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are identical.

- (3) A cut-path  $\alpha$  from  $p$  to  $q$  is said to be *minimal* for  $G$  if  $\text{wt}_\alpha(G)$  is minimal in the set of cut-paths homotopic to  $\alpha$  rel. to endpoints, with respect to the partial order on the weight lattice of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  given by

$$(k, l) \succeq (k + 1, l - 2), \quad (k, l) \succeq (k - 2, l + 1).$$

- (4) A cut-path  $\alpha$  from  $p$  to  $q$  of  $G$  is *non-convex to the left* (resp. *right*) side if  $\text{wt}_\alpha(G) \preceq \text{wt}_\beta(G)$  for any cut-path  $\beta \subset \Sigma \setminus \alpha$  from  $p$  to  $q$  of  $G$  such that  $\alpha \cup \beta$  bounds a biangle, and  $\beta$  lies in the left side (resp. right side) of  $\alpha$ .

Kuperberg proved some lemmas about cut-paths described below.


**Lemma 3.9** (Kuperberg [Kup96, Lemma 6.5, 6.6,]). *Let  $\Sigma$  be a marked surface,  $p, q \in \partial^\times \Sigma$  distinct points, and  $G$  a non-elliptic flat trivalent graph.*

- (1) *If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are homotopic (rel. to endpoints) cut-paths from  $p$  to  $q$  of  $G$  and  $\alpha$  is minimal, then  $\text{wt}_\alpha(G) \preceq \text{wt}_\beta(G)$ . If  $\beta$  is also minimal, then  $\alpha$  is related to  $\beta$  by a finite sequence of  $H$ -moves and identity moves.*
- (2) *If a cut-path  $\alpha$  from  $p$  to  $q$  of  $G$  is non-convex to the left side (resp. right side), there exists a unique class  $\alpha_L$  (resp.  $\alpha_R$ ) of cut-paths from  $p$  to  $q$  under identity moves such that any cut-path  $\beta$  from  $p$  to  $q$  with  $\text{wt}_\beta(G) = \text{wt}_\alpha(G)$  in the left side (resp. right side) of  $\alpha$  lies between  $\alpha_L$  (resp.  $\alpha_R$ ) and  $\alpha$ .*

We call the above cut-path  $\alpha_L$  (resp.  $\alpha_R$ ) the *left* (resp. *right*) *core* of  $\alpha$ : see Figure 3.3.

**Proposition 3.10.** *The skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_A$ -module generated by  $\text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us consider a neighborhood of a special point  $p \in \mathbb{M}$ . For a given tangled trivalent graph  $G$  with simultaneous crossings, we can expand univalent vertices at  $p \in \mathbb{M}$  as follows:



The special point  $p$  is replaced by an interval  $I_p$  containing all expanded univalent vertices at  $p$ . For any tangled trivalent graph, one can obtain a tangled trivalent graph with simultaneous crossings by (3.11) and expand it by applying the above deformation. The




FIGURE 3.3. The curves  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha_L$ , and  $\beta$  are homotopic cut-paths of  $G$  such that  $\text{wt}_\alpha(G) = (2, 2)$ ,  $\text{wt}_{\alpha_L}(G) = (2, 2)$ , and  $\text{wt}_\beta(G) = (0, 3)$ . The cut-path  $\alpha$  is non-convex to the left side but convex to the right side, and  $\alpha_L$  is the left core of  $\alpha$ . The cut-paths  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_L$  are related by an  $H$ -move. The cut-path  $\beta$  is minimal.

boundary skein relations (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10) are described as

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \end{array}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 9} \\ \text{Diagram 10} \end{array} = 0, \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 11} \\ \text{Diagram 12} \end{array} = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 13} \\ \text{Diagram 14} \end{array} = 0, \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 15} \\ \text{Diagram 16} \end{array} = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Let us give an orientation, induced from the orientation of  $\Sigma$ , to the union of the intervals  $\{I_p \mid p \in \mathbb{M}\}$ . For a map  $f: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $B_{\text{irr}}(f)$  to be the set of boundary-fixing isotopy classes of embeddings of trivalent graphs satisfying the following conditions:

- $G \in B_{\text{irr}}(f)$  has distinct univalent vertices lying in  $\cup_{p \in \mathbb{M}} I_p$  and  $\text{gr}(G) = f$ ,
- For each interval  $I_p$ , outgoing univalent vertices follow incoming univalent vertices with respect to the orientation on  $I_p$ ,
- $G$  is non-elliptic, and  $I_p$  is a cut-path of  $G$  which is non-convex to the left side for all  $p \in \mathbb{M}$ .

One can use the confluence theory of Sikora–Westbury [SW07]. It shows that  $\cup_f B_{\text{irr}}(f)$  gives a basis of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}_A$ -module. To apply [SW07, Theorem 2.3], we consider “reductions” from the left-hand side of the first equation in (3.15), (3.16), and (3.17) to their right-hand sides. Adding these reductions to the reductions of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs in [SW07, Chapter 5], we conclude that  $B_{\text{irr}}(f)$  gives a basis of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  by shrinking  $I_p$  to  $p$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{M}$ .  $\square$

From the above relations (3.15), (3.16), and (3.17), one can see that our skein algebra is identified with the one in Frohman–Sikora [FS20] with  $a = 1$  by a scalar modification of the multiplication, where  $a$  is a coefficient related to (3.15). Consequently, we get the following:

**Theorem 3.11** (Frohman–Sikora [FS20, Theorem 7]). *The skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  is finitely generated.*

3.1.2. *Basic structures on the skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ .* The skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  has the following basic structures, which will be compared with the corresponding structures on the quantum cluster algebra in Section 4.

**The mirror-reflection.** The *mirror-reflection*  $G^\dagger$  of a tangled trivalent graph  $G$  is defined by reversing the ordering of the univalent vertices on each special point and exchanging the over-/under-passing information at each internal crossing. The mirror-reflection is extended to an anti-involution  $\dagger : \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly and by setting  $(A^{\pm 1/2})^\dagger := A^{\mp 1/2}$ .

**Some group actions.** The group  $MC(\Sigma) \times \text{Out}(SL_3)$  acts on  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  from the right as follows.

- Each mapping class  $\phi$  acts on  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  by sending each web  $[G]$  to  $[\phi^{-1}(G)]$ . It is well-defined since  $\phi$  preserves the set  $\mathbb{M}$  and respects the defining relations.
- The Dynkin involution  $*$   $\in \text{Out}(SL_3)$  acts on  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  as an  $\mathbb{Z}_A$ -algebra involution by reversing the orientation of each edge of a basis web.

**The endpoint grading.** The skein algebra has the following gradings.

**Definition 3.12** (the endpoint grading). The *endpoint grading*

$$\text{gr} = (\text{gr}_p)_{p \in \mathbb{M}} : \text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})^{\mathbb{M}}$$

is defined as follows. For a basis web  $[G] \in \text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{M}$ , the first (resp. second) entry of  $\text{gr}_p(G) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is the number of incoming (resp. outgoing) edges of  $G$  incident to  $p$ .

We define a non-negative bi-grading by the sum

$$\vec{\text{gr}}(G) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{M}} \text{gr}_p(G)$$

and an augmentation map  $\varepsilon_{\text{gr}}(G) = k - l$  for  $\vec{\text{gr}}(G) = (k, l)$ . Note that the skein relations (Definition 3.1) and the boundary skein relations (Definition 3.2) are homogeneous with respect to  $\text{gr}$ . Hence the skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A = \bigoplus_{(k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A)_{(k, l)}$  is a bi-graded algebra with respect to  $\vec{\text{gr}}$ . The augmentation map  $\varepsilon_{\text{gr}}$  defines a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued grading.

**Remark 3.13.** (1) The endpoint grading at  $p \in \mathbb{M}$  is the wight to a minimal cut-path separating  $p$ .

(2) We have  $\varepsilon_{\text{gr}}(G) = 3(t_-(G) - t_+(G))$  for  $G \in \text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ .

For  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the lattice

$$L(q) := \ker \left( (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{M}} \xrightarrow{\text{aug}} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{mod}_q} \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \right).$$

Here  $\text{aug}((k_p, l_p)) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{M}} (k_p - l_p)$ . Then by (2) in the remark above, we have  $\text{gr}(G) \in L(3)$  for any  $G \in \mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ .

**3.1.3. Elementary webs and web clusters.** Let  $\mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times \Sigma} \subset \mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  denote the set of *boundary webs* on  $\Sigma$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs consisting of oriented boundary intervals of  $\Sigma$ . The following notions are expected to be skein theoretic incarnations of some concepts in the quantum cluster algebra.

**Definition 3.14** (elementary webs). A basis web  $G \in \mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  is called an *elementary web* if there are no basis webs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $G = A^k G_1 G_2$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote the set of elementary webs by  $\mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma} \subset \mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ .

**Definition 3.15** (web clusters). A subset  $C \subset \mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  is called a *web cluster* if  $C$  is a maximal  $A$ -commutative subset in  $\mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  with cardinality  $\#I_{\mathfrak{sl}_3}(\Delta)$ . We denote the collection of web clusters by  $\mathbf{CWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ .

**Definition 3.16.** For two elementary webs  $G_1, G_2 \in \mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  contained in a common web cluster, define  $\Pi(G_1, G_2) \in \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$G_1 G_2 = A^{\Pi(G_1, G_2)} G_2 G_1.$$

**3.2. The  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein algebra for a triangle.** Let us consider a triangle  $T$  with special points  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  and the unique triangulation  $\Delta_T$ . The boundary web  $e_{ij}$  is defined to be the simple oriented arc from  $p_i$  to  $p_j$ . In this case, we have

$$\mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times T} = \{e_{12}, e_{21}, e_{23}, e_{32}, e_{13}, e_{31}\}.$$

The  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -web  $t_{123}^+$  (resp.  $t_{123}^-$ ) is defined to be the flat trivalent graph with a trivalent sink (resp. source) vertex and univalent vertices  $p_1, p_2, p_3$ . Note that  $*(t_{123}^+) = t_{123}^-$ . See Figure 3.4.

**Lemma 3.17.** *The complete list of relations among  $\mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times T} \cup \{t_{123}^+, t_{123}^-\}$  in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}^A$  is given as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} e_{21}e_{12} &= e_{12}e_{21}, & e_{32}e_{23} &= e_{23}e_{32}, & e_{13}e_{31} &= e_{31}e_{13}, \\ e_{21}e_{32} &= A^{-1/2}[e_{32}e_{21}], & e_{12}e_{32} &= A^{-1}[e_{32}e_{12}], \\ e_{21}e_{23} &= A^{-1}[e_{23}e_{21}], & e_{12}e_{23} &= A^{-1/2}[e_{23}e_{12}], \\ e_{21}e_{13} &= A^{1/2}[e_{13}e_{21}], & e_{12}e_{13} &= A[e_{13}e_{12}], \\ e_{21}e_{31} &= A[e_{31}e_{21}], & e_{12}e_{13} &= A^{1/2}[e_{13}e_{12}], \\ e_{21}t_{123}^+ &= A^{-1/2}[t_{123}^+e_{21}], & e_{12}t_{123}^+ &= A^{1/2}[t_{123}^+e_{12}], \\ e_{21}t_{123}^- &= A^{1/2}[t_{123}^-e_{21}], & e_{12}t_{123}^- &= A^{-1/2}[t_{123}^-e_{12}], \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 3.4. Elementary webs in the triangle


$$t_{123}^+ t_{123}^- = A^{3/2} [e_{21} e_{13} e_{32}] + A^{-3/2} [e_{12} e_{23} e_{31}],$$

$$t_{123}^- t_{123}^+ = A^{-3/2} [e_{21} e_{13} e_{32}] + A^{3/2} [e_{12} e_{23} e_{31}].$$

*Proof.* Straightforward calculation by the skein relation and the boundary skein relation. We remark that we do not need to confirm all relations, thanks to the symmetries given by the Dynkin involution, the mirror-reflection, and rotations of the triangle.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.18.** *The skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}^A$  is generated by  $\text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times T} \cup \{t_{123}^+, t_{123}^-\}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}_A$ -algebra.*


*Proof.* For a flat trivalent graph  $[G]$  in  $T$ , relations (3.15)–(3.17) can be used to eliminate 4-, 3-, and 2-gons in  $\Sigma \setminus [G]$ . Obviously, these eliminations do not change the representing basis web. A diagram of the resulting non-elliptic flat trivalent graph, described in Figure 3.5, was explicitly given by Kim [Kim07] and Frohman–Sikora [FS20]. Here a strand labeled by a positive integer  $m$  means the  $m$ -parallelization of the strand; the white triangle with three strands labeled by  $l$  is a *triangle web* defined by



It can be seen that the triangle web in the left (resp. right) in Figure 3.5 is equal to  $A^r (t_{123}^+)^l$  (resp.  $A^r (t_{123}^-)^l$ ) for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}^A$  is generated by  $\{e_{21}, e_{12}, \dots, e_{31}, t_{123}^+, t_{123}^-\}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.19.** *Let  $C_{(\Delta_T, \epsilon)} := \text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times T} \cup \{t_{123}^\epsilon\}$  for  $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$ . Then we have  $\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T} = \text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times T} \cup \{t_{123}^+, t_{123}^-\}$  and  $\text{CWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T} = \{C_{(\Delta_T, +)}, C_{(\Delta_T, -)}\}$ .*

*Proof.* By the proof of Proposition 3.18, it suffices to show that  $t_{123}^+$  and  $t_{123}^-$  can not be expressed as a product of basis webs. Otherwise, with a notice that the sum  $\vec{g}$  of

FIGURE 3.5.  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  basis webs of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}^A$ 

the endpoint grading is additive with respect to the multiplication in the skein algebra,  $\vec{\text{gr}}(t_{123}^+) = (3, 0)$  means that  $t_{123}^+$  must be decomposed into a product of basis webs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with  $\vec{\text{gr}}(G_1) = (2, 0)$  and  $\vec{\text{gr}}(G_2) = (1, 0)$ . However, there exist no such pair of basis webs in  $\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$ . Hence  $\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T} = \{t_{123}^+, t_{123}^-\} \cup \text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times T}$ . It is easy to see that  $(t_{123}^+, t_{123}^-)$  is the only pair which do not  $A$ -commute with each other from Lemma 3.17.  $\square$

We will use the following notation.

**Definition 3.20.** For a subset  $S$  of  $\text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ , let  $\langle S \rangle_{\text{alg}}$  denote the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  generated by  $S$ , and  $\text{mon}(S)$  the multiplicatively closed set generated by  $S \cup \{A^{\pm 1/2}\}$  in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ .

**Theorem 3.21** (Laurent expression in web clusters for a triangle). *For any  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}^A$  and  $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$ , there exists  $(t_{123}^\epsilon)^k \in \text{mon}(C_{(\Delta_T, \epsilon)})$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(t_{123}^\epsilon)^k x \in \langle C_{(\Delta_T, \epsilon)} \rangle_{\text{alg}}$  and it has positive coefficients if  $x \in \text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$ .*

*Proof.* By Propositions 3.18 and 3.19, any web  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}^A$  can be written as a polynomial on the generators  $\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$ . By Lemma 3.17,  $t_{123}^+ t_{123}^-$  is expanded as a polynomial in  $\text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times T}$  with positive coefficients. Moreover,  $t_{123}^+$   $A$ -commutes with the webs in  $\text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times T}$ . Hence by multiplying a sufficiently large power  $(t_{123}^+)^k$  to  $x$ , we can replace  $t_{123}^-$ 's in each monomials in  $x$  with boundary webs, without changing the signs of the coefficients. The second assertion follows since each basis web is a monomial on  $\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$ .  $\square$

We remark that the above propositions say that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}^A$  is generated by  $\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$ , and generated by ‘‘Laurent polynomials’’ in a cluster web  $C_{(\Delta_T, \epsilon)}$  for  $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$ .

**3.3. The  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein algebra for a quadrilateral.** Let  $Q$  be a quadrilateral with special points  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$ . It has two triangulations  $\Delta_Q^{(13)}$  and  $\Delta_Q^{(24)}$  shown in Figure 3.6.

In the same way as in the triangle case, we define boundary webs

$$\text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times Q} = \{e_{12}, e_{21}, e_{23}, e_{32}, e_{34}, e_{43}, e_{41}, e_{14}\}$$







FIGURE 3.6. Two  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -triangulations of a quadrilateral  $Q$

and introduce the following 16  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs:



Let us denote the set of these webs by

$$\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q} := \{e_{ij}, t_{123}^\epsilon, t_{231}^\epsilon, t_{342}^\epsilon, t_{413}^\epsilon, h_i \mid i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, i \neq j, \epsilon \in \{+, -\}\}.$$

We will show that it is exactly the set of elementary webs for  $Q$  in Proposition 3.25 soon below.

**Lemma 3.22.** *The complete list of relations among  $\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q}$  is given as follows<sup>5</sup>:*

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } t_{124}^+ \\ \text{web } t_{231}^+ \end{array} & = A^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } [t_{124}^+ t_{231}^+] \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } t_{124}^+ \\ \text{web } t_{342}^+ \end{array} & = \begin{array}{c} \text{web } [t_{124}^+ t_{342}^+] \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } t_{124}^+ \\ \text{web } t_{413}^+ \end{array} & = A \begin{array}{c} \text{web } [t_{124}^+ t_{413}^+] \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}, \quad (3.20)$$

<sup>5</sup>Here the left-hand sides of the above equations mean the multiplication of two elementary webs, the first web being located right above the second one. A collection of elementary webs depicted in the same quadrilateral mean the Weyl ordering of these webs.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ t_{124}^- \end{array} & = A^{-\frac{3}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ [e_{12}e_{24}e_{41}] \end{array} + A^{\frac{3}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ [e_{21}e_{14}e_{42}] \end{array}, \\
\end{array} \tag{3.21}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ t_{231}^- \end{array} & = A^{-2} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ [e_{12}e_{23}e_{41}] \end{array} + A \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ [e_{21}h_3] \end{array}, \\
\end{array} \tag{3.22}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ t_{342}^- \end{array} & = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ [t_{124}^+t_{342}^-] \end{array}, \\
\end{array} \tag{3.23}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ t_{413}^- \end{array} & = A^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ [e_{21}e_{14}e_{43}] \end{array} + A \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ [e_{41}h_2] \end{array}, \\
\end{array} \tag{3.24}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ e_{31} \end{array} & = A^{-\frac{3}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ [e_{41}t_{231}^+] \end{array} + A^{\frac{3}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ [e_{21}t_{413}^+] \end{array}, \\
\end{array} \tag{3.25}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ e_{42} \end{array} & = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ [t_{124}^+e_{42}] \end{array}, \\
\end{array} \tag{3.26}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ e_{13} \end{array} & = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ [t_{124}^+e_{13}] \end{array}, \\
\end{array} \tag{3.27}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ e_{24} \end{array} & = A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ [t_{124}^+e_{24}] \end{array}, \\
\end{array} \tag{3.28}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ h_1 \end{array} & = A \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ [e_{21}e_{14}t_{342}^+] \end{array} + A^{-2} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ [e_{41}e_{24}t_{231}^+] \end{array}, \\
\end{array} \tag{3.29}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ h_2 \end{array} & = A^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ [e_{12}e_{41}t_{413}^-] \end{array} + A^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ [e_{21}e_{42}t_{413}^+] \end{array}, \\
\end{array} \tag{3.30}$$




$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} & = \\
h_1 & h_3 & \\
\end{array} & = & \begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} & + & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} & + & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \\
[e_{12}e_{23}e_{34}e_{41}] & & [e_{32}e_{23}e_{14}e_{41}] & & [e_{14}e_{43}e_{32}e_{21}] \\
\end{array} \\
& + A^3 & \begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} & + & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \\
[e_{12}e_{43}h_4] & & [e_{21}e_{34}h_2] \\
\end{array} , & & (3.41)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} & = A^{-1} \\
h_1 & h_4 & \\
\end{array} & = & A^{-1} \begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} & + & A \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \\
[e_{21}e_{14}e_{23}e_{34}] & & [e_{24}t_{231}^+ t_{413}^-] \\
\end{array} . & & (3.42)
\end{array}$$

Indeed, the relations between  $\mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times Q}$  and the other webs follows from the boundary skein relations (3.6), (3.7). The remaining relations are obtained by applying the Dynkin involution and rotations of the quadrilateral to the above relations.

**Remark 3.23.** The Weyl ordering of a  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -web appearing in the above relations can be represented by a flat trivalent graph obtained by the following operations:



This operation is an inverse operation of the *arborization* in [FP16].

**Proposition 3.24.** *The skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q}^A$  is generated by  $\mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}_A$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* We take a point  $p$  on an edge of  $Q$  and a point  $q$  on the opposite side. For any non-elliptic flat trivalent graph  $G$  representing a basis web in  $\mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q}$ , fix a minimal cut-path  $\alpha$  of  $G$  from  $p$  to  $q$ . We remark that the minimal cut-path is non-convex to the left and right sides by Definition 3.8, thus there exists left and right cores  $\alpha_L$  and  $\alpha_R$  Lemma 3.9 (2). An induction on  $|\text{wt}_\alpha(G)|$  will prove the proposition. The cases  $\text{wt}_\alpha(G) = 0, 1$  are easy. Assume  $|\text{wt}_\alpha(G)| = n$ , by Lemma 3.9 (1), there is no cut-paths related to  $\alpha_L$  (resp.  $\alpha_R$ ) by  $H$ -moves in the left (resp. right) side, and  $\alpha_L$  is related to  $\alpha_R$  by  $H$ -moves and identity moves. The explicit description of the basis webs on  $T$  in Figure 3.5 and the proof of Proposition 3.18 imply that there exists a trivalent graph  $G'$  of the form in the left of Figure 3.7 such that  $G = A^k G'$  for some  $k$ . Here the web  $B$  in the biangle bounded by  $\alpha_L$  and  $\alpha_R$  is constructed by a concatenation of  $H$ -webs, as shown in the center of Figure 3.7. By applying the skein relations (3.6) and (3.7), these  $H$ -webs can be replaced by internal crossings up to multiplication by  $A$  and modulo webs with weights lower than  $n$ . Then the web  $B$  can be replaced with  $A^\bullet \sigma + \sum_x \lambda_x x$ , where  $x$  is a trivalent graph whose minimal cut-path between  $p$  and  $q$  has intersection points less than  $n$ , and  $\sigma$  is a non-twisting positive braid between  $\alpha_L$  and  $\alpha_R$ , as shown in the right of Figure 3.7. By substituting  $B = A^\bullet \sigma + \sum_x \lambda_x x$  into  $G'$ , we obtain an expression




FIGURE 3.7. A web in  $G'$

$G' = G'_\sigma + \sum_x \lambda_x G'_x$  and see that  $G'_\sigma$  is described as a product of webs in  $\mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q}$ . The proof is finished by applying the induction hypothesis to  $G'_x$ . By the proof, notice that the webs  $h_1$  and  $h_3$  are not needed for the generating set.  $\square$

By using the above proposition, we give the set of elementary webs and the collection of web clusters for the quadrilateral.

**Proposition 3.25.**  $\mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q} = \{e_{ij}, t_{123}^\epsilon, t_{231}^\epsilon, t_{342}^\epsilon, t_{413}^\epsilon, h_i \mid i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, i \neq j, \epsilon \in \{+, -\}\}$  is the set of elementary for  $Q$ .

*Proof.* We use the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.19. Recall that if a basis web  $G$  is decomposed into a product  $G = G_1 G_2$ , then  $\vec{\text{gr}}(G) = \vec{\text{gr}}(G_1) + \vec{\text{gr}}(G_2)$ . On the other hand, we know the explicit generators of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q}^A$  given in Proposition 3.24. Observe that

$$\vec{\text{gr}}(t_{ijk}^+) = (3, 0), \quad \vec{\text{gr}}(t_{ijk}^-) = (0, 3), \quad \vec{\text{gr}}(e_{ij}) = (1, 1), \quad \vec{\text{gr}}(h_j) = (2, 2).$$

Hence except for the last  $h_j$ 's, one can easily see that these webs are indecomposable. Therefore we only have to care about the possibility that  $h_j$  is decomposed into two webs in  $\{e_{13}, e_{31}, e_{24}, e_{42}\}$ , but it is impossible by Lemma 3.22. Thus each web in  $\mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q}$  is indecomposable. With a notice that each basis web appearing on the right-hand side of expansions given in Lemma 3.22 is described as a product of webs in  $\mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q}$ , we conclude that this set is exactly the set of elementary webs.  $\square$

**Remark 3.26.** We can also determine the web clusters by Lemma 3.22. In fact,  $\mathbf{CWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q}$  consists 50 web clusters. We will list  $\mathbf{CWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q}$  up in the forthcoming paper [IY].

We will consider expansions of any webs in the five web clusters  $C_\nu = C'_\nu \cup \text{BWeb}_{\text{sl}_3, \partial \times Q}$  in  $\text{CWeb}_{\text{sl}_3, Q}$  for  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ , where  $C'_\nu$ 's are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
C'_0 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{web } t_{124}^+ \\ \text{web } t_{342}^+ \\ \text{web } e_{42} \\ \text{web } e_{24} \end{array} \right\}, & C'_1 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{web } t_{124}^+ \\ \text{web } t_{342}^- \\ \text{web } e_{42} \\ \text{web } e_{24} \end{array} \right\} \\
C'_2 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{web } t_{124}^- \\ \text{web } t_{342}^+ \\ \text{web } e_{42} \\ \text{web } e_{24} \end{array} \right\}, & C'_3 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{web } t_{124}^+ \\ \text{web } t_{342}^+ \\ \text{web } t_{231}^+ \\ \text{web } e_{24} \end{array} \right\} \\
C'_4 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{web } t_{124}^- \\ \text{web } t_{342}^+ \\ \text{web } e_{42} \\ \text{web } t_{413}^+ \end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

As clarified later in Section 4, the web clusters  $C_1, C_2, C_3$ , and  $C_4$  are ‘‘adjacent’’ to  $C_0$  by a mutation. It will mean that by replacing  $t_{342}^+ \in C_0$  with  $t_{342}^-$  we get  $C_1$ , and they satisfy the relation

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{web } t_{342}^+ \\ \text{web } t_{342}^- \end{array} = A^{3/2} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } [e_{23}e_{34}e_{42}] \\ \text{web } [e_{24}e_{43}e_{32}] \end{array} + A^{-3/2} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } [e_{23}e_{34}e_{42}] \\ \text{web } [e_{24}e_{43}e_{32}] \end{array} \in \langle C_0 \cap C_1 \rangle_{\text{alg}}. \quad (3.43)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{c} \text{web } t_{124}^+ \\ \text{web } t_{124}^- \end{array} &= A^{3/2} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } [e_{12}e_{24}e_{41}] \\ \text{web } [e_{14}e_{42}e_{21}] \end{array} + A^{-3/2} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } [e_{12}e_{24}e_{41}] \\ \text{web } [e_{14}e_{42}e_{21}] \end{array} \in \langle C_0 \cap C_2 \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \\
\begin{array}{c} \text{web } e_{42} \\ \text{web } t_{231}^+ \end{array} &= A^{3/2} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } [e_{12}t_{342}^+] \\ \text{web } [e_{32}t_{124}^+] \end{array} + A^{-3/2} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } [e_{12}t_{342}^+] \\ \text{web } [e_{32}t_{124}^+] \end{array} \in \langle C_0 \cap C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \\
\begin{array}{c} \text{web } e_{24} \\ \text{web } t_{413}^+ \end{array} &= A^{3/2} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } [e_{34}t_{124}^+] \\ \text{web } [e_{14}t_{342}^+] \end{array} + A^{-3/2} \begin{array}{c} \text{web } [e_{34}t_{124}^+] \\ \text{web } [e_{14}t_{342}^+] \end{array} \in \langle C_0 \cap C_4 \rangle_{\text{alg}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The following lemma gives the ‘‘cluster expansion’’ of any web in  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  in the web clusters  $C_\nu$  for  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

**Proposition 3.27.** *For any web  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{sl}_3, Q}^A$  and  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ , there exists  $J_\nu \in \text{mon}(C_\nu)$  such that  $xJ_\nu \in \langle C_\nu \rangle_{\text{alg}}$ .*

*Proof.* For the web clusters  $C_0, C_1$ , and  $C_2$ , the assertion is already proved in Corollary 3.29. An expansion in  $C_3$  gives an expansion on  $C_4$  via an automorphism induced



by a rotation of  $Q$ . Therefore we only need to obtain an expansion of elementary webs in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q}^A$  in the web cluster  $C_3$ . For each elementary web in

$$\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, Q} \setminus C_3 = \{e_{31}, e_{42}, e_{13}, t_{413}^+, t_{123}^-, t_{231}^-, t_{342}^-, t_{413}^-, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\},$$

we can expand it as a polynomial in  $C_3$  by the right multiplication of webs in  $C'_3$ . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \\ e_{42} & t_{231}^+ \end{array} \in \left\langle \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \\ t_{124}^+ & t_{342}^+ \end{array} \right\rangle_{\text{alg}} \subset \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \end{array} \quad (3.44)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} \\ t_{413}^+ & e_{24} \end{array} \in \left\langle \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \\ t_{124}^+ & t_{342}^+ \end{array} \right\rangle_{\text{alg}} \subset \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \end{array} \quad (3.45)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \\ h_1 & t_{124}^+ \end{array} \in \left\langle \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \\ t_{124}^+ & t_{342}^+ \end{array} \right\rangle_{\text{alg}} \subset \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}},$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} \\ h_4 & t_{342}^+ \end{array} \in \left\langle \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \\ t_{124}^+ & t_{342}^+ \end{array} \right\rangle_{\text{alg}} \subset \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}.$$

Similarly, one can confirm the followings by a straightforward computation:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \\ t_{124}^+ & e_{24} \end{array} \right) \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} \\ t_{342}^+ & e_{24} \end{array} \right) \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \\ e_{31} & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \\ t_{124}^+ & t_{231}^+ \end{array} \right) \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} \\ t_{342}^+ & t_{231}^+ \end{array} \right) \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \\ t_{124}^- & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \\ t_{124}^+ & t_{342}^+ \end{array} \right) \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \\ t_{413}^- & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \square \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \square \end{array} \\ e_{24} & t_{124}^+ & t_{124}^+ \end{array} \right) \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \\ h_2 & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: Square with red arcs]} \\ h_3 \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: Square with red diagonal]} \\ e_{24} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: Square with red arcs]} \\ t_{342}^+ \end{array} \left. \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: Square with red arcs]} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} \right) \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \\
\begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: Square with red arcs]} \\ t_{231}^- \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: Square with red arcs]} \\ t_{231}^+ \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: Square with red arcs]} \\ t_{124}^+ \end{array} \left. \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: Square with red arcs]} \\ t_{342}^+ \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: Square with red diagonal]} \\ e_{24} \end{array} \left. \right) \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}.
\end{array}$$

For example, the most complicated one will be an expansion of  $t_{231}^-(t_{231}^+ t_{124}^+ t_{342}^+ e_{24})$ . Firstly,  $t_{413}^+ e_{24} \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}$  by eq. (3.44). Since  $e_{31} t_{124}^+$  is a sum of  $e_{e_{41}} t_{231}^+$  and  $e_{21} t_{413}^+$ , we get  $e_{31} (t_{124}^+ e_{24}) \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}$  by eq. (3.45). In the same way,  $e_{13} (t_{342}^+ e_{24}) \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}$ . By Lemma 3.17,  $t_{231}^- t_{231}^+$  is expanded as a sum of  $e_{12} e_{23} e_{31}$  and  $e_{21} e_{32} e_{13}$ . We remark that  $e_{12} e_{23} e_{31}$  and  $t_{342}^+$  are  $A$ -commutative, so are  $e_{21} e_{32} e_{13}$  and  $t_{124}^+$ . Thus we get  $t_{231}^-(t_{231}^+ t_{124}^+ t_{342}^+ e_{24}) \in \langle C_3 \rangle_{\text{alg}}$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Laurent expressions, positivity and localized skein algebras.** Based on the expansion results in triangles and quadrilaterals obtained in the previous subsections, we are going to give an expansion result in a general marked surface and discuss the positivity of the coefficients.

**3.4.1. Laurent expression.** Let  $\Delta = (\Delta, \mathbf{s}_\Delta)$  be a decorated triangulation of  $\Sigma$ . For each triangle  $T \in t(\Delta)$ , we can naturally regard the set of elementary webs  $\mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$  as a subset of  $\mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ . Similarly we regard each web cluster  $C_{(\Delta_T, \mathbf{s}_\Delta(T))} \in \mathbf{CWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$  as a subset of  $\mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ .

We are going to show that any  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -web  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  can be expressed as a Laurent polynomial in the web cluster  $C_\Delta := \cup_{T \in t(\Delta)} C_{(\Delta_T, \mathbf{s}_\Delta(T))} \subset \mathbf{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ . Let

$$\text{mon}(\Delta) := \text{mon}(\mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times T}) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$$

be the multiplicatively closed set generated by the elementary webs along the edges of  $\Delta$  and  $A^{1/2}$ .

**Theorem 3.28** (Expansions in elementary webs on triangles). *For any web  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  and a triangulation  $\Delta$ , there exists a monomial  $J_\Delta \in \text{mon}(\Delta)$  such that  $x J_\Delta \in \langle \cup_T \mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T} \rangle_{\text{alg}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $[G]$  be a  $\Delta$ -transverse flat trivalent graph in  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ . Here a flat trivalent graph is said to be  $\Delta$ -transverse if it has only finitely many transverse intersection points with  $\Delta$ , which lie in  $\Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma$ . For an edge  $E_{ij} = p_i p_j \in e(\Delta)$  connecting  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ , assume we have  $\#[G] \cap E_{ij} = n$  and let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$  be the corresponding subarcs of  $[G]$  in a neighborhood of  $E_{ij}$ . By applying the skein relations, the product  $\gamma_k [e_{ij} e_{ji}]$  is computed as

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: Arc } \gamma_k \text{ crossing edge } E_{ij}] \end{array} = A^3 \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: } G_k^+ \text{ web]} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: } G_k^0 \text{ web]} \end{array} + A^{-3} \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: } G_k^- \text{ web]} \end{array} \quad (3.46)$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that  $[e_{ij}e_{ji}]$  is  $A$ -commutative with the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs  $G_k^\epsilon$  appearing in the right-hand side from the boundary  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relation. Hence for any  $\ell \geq 0$ , we have  $(A^3G_k^+ + G_k^0 + A^{-3}G_k^-)[e_{ij}e_{ji}]^\ell = [e_{ij}e_{ji}]^\ell(A^{r_+}G_k^+ + A^{r_0}G_k^0 + A^{r_-}G_k^-)$  for some  $r_+$ ,  $r_0$ , and  $r_-$ . Therefore we can independently apply the above computation to all  $\gamma_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus the product  $G[e_{ij}e_{ji}]^n$  is expanded into a sum of webs without transverse intersection points with  $E_{ij}$ .

Any  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -web  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  can be written as a sum  $x = \sum_G \lambda_G [G]$  of  $\Delta$ -transverse non-elliptic flat trivalent graphs. For each  $E \in e(\Delta)$ , consider the non-negative integer  $n_E(x) := \max\{\#[G] \cap E \mid \lambda_G \neq 0\}$ . By applying the above computation, the product

$$x \prod_{E_{ij} \in \Delta} (e_{ij}e_{ji})^{n_{E_{ij}}(x)}$$

is expanded into a polynomial of webs in triangles of  $\Delta$ , which can be further written as a polynomial in  $\cup_{T \in t(\Delta)} \mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$  by Proposition 3.18. Thus we get the assertion with  $J_\Delta := \prod_{E_{ij} \in \Delta} (e_{ij}e_{ji})^{n_{E_{ij}}(x)}$ .  $\square$

Naively, the above theorem tells us that the web  $x$  has a Laurent expression  $x = f_\Delta J_\Delta^{-1}$  with  $f_\Delta \in \langle \cup_T \mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T} \rangle_{\text{alg}}$ . This will be made more precise in Section 3.4.3.

Let us further consider the multiplicatively closed set  $\text{mon}(C_\Delta)$ , which obviously contains  $\text{mon}(\Delta)$ .

**Corollary 3.29** (Expansions in the web cluster  $C_\Delta$ ). *For any web  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  and a decorated triangulation  $\Delta$ , there exists a monomial  $J_\Delta \in \text{mon}(C_\Delta)$  such that  $xJ_\Delta \in \langle C_\Delta \rangle_{\text{alg}}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.28, there exists a monomial  $J'_\Delta \in \text{mon}(\Delta)$  such that  $xJ'_\Delta \in \langle \cup_T \mathbf{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T} \rangle_{\text{alg}}$ . In the same way as the proof of Theorem 3.21, by multiplying  $(e_{v,s(T)})^{k_T}$  to  $xJ'_\Delta$ , we can replace  $*(e_{v,s(T)})$  for each  $v \in I^{\text{tri}}(\Delta) \cap T$  with elementary webs along the edges. Here  $k_T$  is the degree of  $*(e_{v,s(T)})$  in the polynomial  $xJ'_\Delta$ , and note that any monomial containing no  $*(e_{v,s(T)})$  is  $A$ -commutative with  $e_{v,s(T)}$ . Thus  $xJ'_\Delta \prod_{T \in t(\Delta)} (e_{v,s(T)})^{k_T}$  is contained in  $\langle C_\Delta \rangle_{\text{alg}}$ , and we get the assertion with  $J_\Delta := J'_\Delta \prod_{T \in t(\Delta)} (e_{v,s(T)})^{k_T} \in \text{mon}(C_\Delta)$ .  $\square$

**3.4.2. Laurent positivity for elevation-preserving webs.** We are going to show that the Laurent expressions of webs of certain kind, which we call the *elevation-preserving  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs*, in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\Delta^{-1}]$  have positive coefficients. By arguing as in the proof of Corollary 3.29, it implies that the Laurent expressions in the web cluster  $C_\Delta$  have also positive coefficients. Elevation-preserving  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs include the bracelets and the bangles along an oriented simple closed curve.

For an ideal triangulation  $\Delta$  of  $\Sigma$ , let  $\Delta^{\text{split}}$  be the associated *splitting triangulation* obtained by replacing each edge of  $\Delta$  with doubled edges as shown in Figure 3.8. The set of connected components of  $\Sigma \setminus \Delta^{\text{split}}$  is divided into two subsets: the set  $t(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  of triangles and the set  $b(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  of biangles. We can canonically identify  $t(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  with  $t(\Delta)$ , and  $b(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  with  $e(\Delta)$ . We denote a triangle in  $t(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  by the same symbol as the corresponding triangle in  $t(\Delta)$ , while the biangle corresponding to an edge  $E \in e(\Delta)$

is denoted by  $B_E \in b(\Delta^{\text{split}})$ . For an edge  $E \in e(\Delta)$  and a triangle  $T \in t(\Delta)$  adjacent to  $E$ , let  $E_T \in e(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  denote the edge shared by  $B_E$  and  $T$ .

**Definition 3.30.** (1) A *fundamental piece* in  $T \in t(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  consists of a superposition of trivalent graphs with at most one trivalent vertex and distinct endpoints on  $\partial^\times T$  such that endpoints of the same connected component lies in distinct connected components of  $\partial^\times T$  each other. An *elevation* of a fundamental piece of  $T$  is a labeling of its connected components by positive integers. See left and right of Figure 3.9.

(2) Let  $E \in e(\Delta)$  be an edge shared by  $T$  and  $T'$  in  $t(\Delta)$ . An *elevation-preserving braid* in  $B_E \in b(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  connecting fundamental pieces with elevations in  $T$  and  $T'$  is a braid between  $E_T$  and  $E_{T'}$  such that

- the braid consists of a superposition of strands connecting endpoints of fundamental pieces of  $T$  and  $T'$ ;
- for any strands  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of the braid,  $\alpha(T) \leq \beta(T)$  if and only if  $\alpha(T') \leq \beta(T')$ ;
- a strand  $\alpha$  passes above another strand  $\beta$  if  $\alpha(T) > \beta(T)$  or  $\alpha(T') > \beta(T')$ ;

where  $\alpha(T)$  (resp.  $\beta(T)$ ) denotes the elevation on the endpoint of  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\beta$ ) in  $E_T$  induced from the fundamental piece with the elevation in  $T$ , and similarly for  $T'$ . See the middle of Figure 3.9.

(3) Let  $E \in \mathbb{B}$  be a boundary interval and  $T \in t(\Delta)$  the adjacent triangle. An *elevation-preserving braid* in  $B_E \in b(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  consists of elevation-preserving arcs with no internal crossings connecting  $E_T$  to one of the two special points of  $B_E$ .

We define a certain  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -web which satisfies positivity by concatenating fundamental pieces with elevations by elevation-preserving braids.

**Definition 3.31** (elevation-preserving  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs). A tangled trivalent graph in  $\Sigma$  is said to be *elevation-preserving with respect to  $\Delta$*  if it can be decomposed into fundamental pieces in triangles and elevation-preserving braids in biangles connecting them by cutting along  $e(\Delta^{\text{split}})$ . An *elevation-preserving  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -web* is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -web such that it is represented by an elevation-preserving graph with respect to some  $\Delta$ .

**Example 3.32.** For a triangulation  $\Delta$ , a simple trivalent graph obtained by attaching fundamental pieces to the triangles  $t(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  with no internal crossings and connecting them by identity braids in biangles in  $b(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  gives an elevation-preserving trivalent tangle with respect to  $\Delta$ . In particular, oriented simple loops and oriented simple arcs are elevation-preserving for any  $\Delta$ . For any triangulation  $\Delta$ , the  $n$ -bracelet along a (non-null homotopic) simple loop  $\gamma$  (Figure 1.1) is obtained from the  $n$ -bangle of  $\gamma$  by replacing the identity  $n$ -braid in some biangle by a braid corresponding to a cyclic permutation  $(12 \cdots n)$ . See Figure 3.10.

**Theorem 3.33.** *For any elevation-preserving web  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  with respect to a triangulation  $\Delta$ , there exists  $J_\Delta \in \text{mon}(\Delta)$  such that the expansion of  $xJ_\Delta$  in  $\langle \cup_T \text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T} \rangle_{\text{alg}}$  has positive coefficients.*




FIGURE 3.8. The split triangulation  $\Delta^{\text{split}}$  associated with  $\Delta$ .




FIGURE 3.9. Fundamental pieces in triangles  $T$  and  $T'$ , and an elevation-preserving braid in the biangle  $B_E$  connecting them. Elevations are presented by positive integers.

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be an elevation-preserving web with respect to  $\Delta$ . Our strategy of the proof is the following. Firstly, we decompose  $x$  into webs in triangles of  $\Delta^{\text{split}}$  as in Theorem 3.28, in order of increasing elevation. Next, expand the remaining part in biangles. Notice that the right-hand sides of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein relations have positive coefficients, except for (3.4), and we can avoid using this relation in the above process. Therefore we can observe that the coefficients in these expansions are positive.

Let us describe the details of the proof. We focus on a piece  $G$  of  $x$  in  $T \in t(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  and represent it by  $G = G_n \dots G_2 G_1$  as a superposition of connected components, where subscripts indicate their elevations. Here  $G_1$  is the connected component of  $G$  of the lowest elevation, and each  $G_i$  is an arc or a trivalent graph with a single vertex. Let  $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$  be the three special points of  $T$ ,  $E_{ij}$  the edge between  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ , and  $B_{ij} := B_{E_{ij}}$ . We will use the notation in Section 3.2 for the elementary webs in  $T$ .




FIGURE 3.10. The left-hand side shows a portion of the diagram of an oriented simple loop  $\gamma$  in a quadrilateral in of a triangulation  $\Delta$  of  $\Sigma$ . The right-hand side shows the associated 3-bracelet.

Firstly, expand  $G_1$  by multiplying  $[e_{12}e_{21}e_{23}e_{32}e_{31}e_{13}] \in \text{mon}(\Delta)$  and by using eq. (3.46). We remark that one can omit multiplying one of  $e_{ij}e_{ji}$  if  $G_1$  is an arc. In a neighborhood of each edge, the resulting diagrams in the expansion are decomposed into diagrams in the three parts: in the *biangle part* (shwon as a shaded region), on the *edge part*, and the *triangle part* (*i.e.*, the interior of  $T$ ) as follows. For a strand incoming to  $T$ ,

$$\begin{array}{c} T \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array} = A^3 \begin{array}{c} T \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} T \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array} + A^{-3} \begin{array}{c} T \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array},$$

where the bottom-half belongs to a biangle part and the top-half does to one of the triangle parts covering  $T$ . The oriented edges between the special points belong to the edge part. For a strand outgoing from  $T$  (obtained by applying the Dynkin involution to the incoming case),

$$\begin{array}{c} T \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array} = A^3 \begin{array}{c} T \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} T \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array} + A^{-3} \begin{array}{c} T \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}.$$

Then the webs in the expansion of  $G_1[e_{12}e_{21}e_{23}e_{32}e_{31}e_{13}]$  in  $T$  are obtained by concatenating the pieces in the three sectors  $T_{12}, T_{23}, T_{31}$  shown in Figure 3.11, and their coefficients are one of  $\{1, A^{\pm 3}, A^{\pm 6}\}$ . In order to list up the concatenation patterns, let us denote the resulting webs in each sector by

$$X_{ij}^+ = \begin{array}{c} T_{ij} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}, \quad X_{ij}^0 = \begin{array}{c} T_{ij} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}, \quad X_{ij}^- = \begin{array}{c} T_{ij} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}.$$




FIGURE 3.11. Concatenation of webs in three region  $X, Y, Z$  gives webs appearing in the expansion on  $T$ .

If  $G_1$  is an arc connecting the edges  $E_{ij}$  and  $E_{jk}$ , we just concatenate  $X_{ij}^\epsilon$  and  $*X_{jk}^{\epsilon'}$ . If  $G_1$  is a trivalent graph, the concatenation of the three pieces  $X_{12}^\epsilon, X_{23}^{\epsilon'}, X_{31}^{\epsilon''}$  produce one new sink or source vertex at the center of  $T$ . It is easy to confirm that these concatenations produce the following webs. In the case that  $G_1$  is an arc, we get

$$X_{12}^+ \star (*X_{23}^+) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ e_{21} \end{array}, \quad X_{12}^+ \star (*X_{23}^0) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ t_{123}^+ \end{array}, \quad X_{12}^+ \star (*X_{23}^-) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ e_{23} \end{array},$$

$$X_{12}^0 \star (*X_{23}^+) = 0, \quad X_{12}^0 \star (*X_{23}^0) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ [e_{12}e_{31}] \end{array}, \quad X_{12}^0 \star (*X_{23}^-) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ t_{123}^- \end{array},$$

$$X_{12}^- \star (*X_{23}^+) = 0, \quad X_{12}^- \star (*X_{23}^0) = 0, \quad X_{12}^- \star (*X_{23}^-) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ e_{13} \end{array},$$

$$X_{12}^+ \star (*X_{31}^+) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ e_{23} \end{array}, \quad X_{12}^+ \star (*X_{31}^0) = 0, \quad X_{12}^+ \star (*X_{31}^-) = 0,$$

$$X_{12}^0 \star (*X_{31}^+) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ t_{123}^+ \end{array}, \quad X_{12}^0 \star (*X_{31}^0) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ [e_{32}e_{21}] \end{array}, \quad X_{12}^0 \star (*X_{31}^-) = 0,$$

$$X_{12}^- \star (*X_{31}^+) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ e_{13} \end{array}, \quad X_{12}^- \star (*X_{31}^0) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \\ t_{123}^- \end{array}, \quad X_{12}^- \star (*X_{31}^-) = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \leftarrow \\ e_{12} \end{array},$$



where  $X \star Y$  means the concatenation of  $X$  and  $Y$ . In the case that  $G_1$  is a trivalent graph, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{12}^+ \star X_{23}^+ \star X_{31}^+ &= \begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with red lines and arrows} \\ t_{123}^+ \end{array}, & X_{12}^+ \star X_{23}^+ \star X_{31}^0 &= \begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with red lines and arrows} \\ [e_{23}e_{31}] \end{array}, \\
X_{12}^+ \star X_{23}^+ \star X_{31}^- &= 0, & X_{12}^+ \star X_{23}^0 \star X_{31}^0 &= \begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with red lines and arrows} \\ [e_{23}t_{123}^-] \end{array}, \\
X_{12}^+ \star X_{23}^0 \star X_{31}^- &= \begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with red lines and arrows} \\ [e_{23}e_{32}] \end{array}, & X_{12}^+ \star X_{23}^- \star X_{31}^- &= 0, \\
X_{12}^0 \star X_{23}^0 \star X_{31}^0 &= \begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with red lines and arrows} \\ [t_{123}^+t_{123}^+] \end{array}, & X_{12}^0 \star X_{23}^0 \star X_{31}^- &= \begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with red lines and arrows} \\ [t_{123}^+e_{21}] \end{array}, \\
X_{12}^0 \star X_{23}^- \star X_{31}^- &= \begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with red lines and arrows} \\ [e_{32}e_{21}] \end{array}, & X_{12}^- \star X_{23}^- \star X_{31}^- &= \begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with red lines and arrows} \\ t_{123}^+ \end{array},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $X \star Y \star Z$  means the concatenation of  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$ . Here we have applied some skein relations. For example,

$$X_{12}^+ \star X_{23}^0 \star X_{31}^0 = \begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with red lines and arrows} \\ = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{triangle with red lines and arrows} \end{array}.$$

The above calculation shows that the triangle part of  $G_1[e_{12}e_{21}e_{23}e_{32}e_{31}e_{13}]$  in  $T \in t(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  is expanded as a polynomial in  $\text{EWeb}_{\text{st},T}$  with positive coefficients. The webs appearing in this expansion  $A$ -commute with webs along the edges of  $T$ , since the biangle part, edge apart, and triangle part  $A$ -commute with each other. Therefore in the product


$$\begin{aligned}
G[e_{12}e_{21}e_{23}e_{32}e_{31}e_{13}]^2 &= G_n \cdots G_2 G_1[e_{12}e_{21}e_{23}e_{32}e_{31}e_{13}]^2 \\
&= G_n \cdots G_2(G_1[e_{12}e_{21}e_{23}e_{32}e_{31}e_{13}])[e_{12}e_{21}e_{23}e_{32}e_{31}e_{13}],
\end{aligned}$$

we can move  $[e_{12}e_{21}e_{23}e_{32}e_{31}e_{13}]$  to the left beyond  $G_1[e_{12}e_{21}e_{23}e_{32}e_{31}e_{13}]$  preserving the positivity of coefficients in the expansion, and hence the component  $G_2$  can be expanded in the same way. Proceeding in this way, one can decompose  $G[e_{12}e_{21}e_{23}e_{32}e_{31}e_{13}]^n$  into webs in  $T \in \Delta^{\text{split}}$  and webs outside of  $T$  with positive coefficients.

Applying this operation to  $x$  for all  $T \in \Delta^{\text{split}}$ , we obtain a positive sum of webs such that

- their triangle parts (and edge parts) in  $T \in t(\Delta^{\text{split}})$  are expressed as monomials in  $\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$ ,
- concatenations of elevation-preserving braids and biangle parts produced in the expansion procedure.

It remains to show that a web in each biangle becomes a polynomial in  $\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$ , especially  $\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial^\times}$ , with positive coefficients. In the expansion of  $G$ , webs in a biangle part adjacent to  $T$  inherits the elevation from the fundamental piece in  $T$ . Hence from the elevation-preserving assumption, for adjacent triangles  $T, T' \in t(\Delta^{\text{split}})$ , the biangle parts of  $T$  and  $T'$  can be connected by strands with preserving their elevations. Hence, the concatenation of biangle parts and the elevation-preserving braid is presented as a superposition of the concatenation of biangle parts, as listed below:



Consequently,  $x$  is decomposed into a sum of monomials in  $\cup_{T \in t(\Delta)} \text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$  such that its coefficients are positive Laurent polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}_A$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.34.** *Let  $\Delta = (\Delta, \mathbf{s}_\Delta)$  be a decorated triangulation of  $\Sigma$ . Then, for any elevation-preserving web  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  with respect to  $\Delta$ , there exists  $J_\Delta \in \text{mon}(C_\Delta)$  such that the expansion of  $xJ_\Delta$  in  $\langle C_\Delta \rangle_{\text{alg}}$  has positive coefficients.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.33, an elevation-preserving web is expanded as a positive polynomial in  $\cup_{T \in t(\Delta)} \text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T}$  by multiplying an appropriate element in  $\text{mon}(\Delta)$ . In a similar way to Corollary 3.29, we can further expand it as a polynomial in a web cluster  $C_\Delta$  with positive coefficients by multiplying appropriate elementary webs in triangles.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.35.** *Let  $\gamma$  be an oriented simple loop in  $\Sigma$ . Then for any  $\Delta$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $n$ -bracelet and  $n$ -bangle are expressed as polynomial with positive coefficients in  $\langle C_\Delta \rangle_{\text{alg}}$  by multiplying some monomial in  $\text{mon}(C_\Delta)$ .*

3.4.3. *The  $\Delta$ -localization of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ .* In Theorems 3.28 and 3.33, we expanded any  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  by multiplying some monomials. We are going to see that these expansion give rise to expressions of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs as Laurent polynomials in suitable localizations of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ .

**Lemma 3.36.** *The multiplicatively closed set  $\text{mon}(\Delta)$  in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  satisfies the Ore condition.*

*Proof.* We first show the right Ore condition that for any web  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  and monomial  $J \in \text{mon}(\Delta)$ , there exist a web  $x' \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  and a monomial  $J' \in \text{mon}(\Delta)$  such that  $xJ' = Jx'$ . By Theorem 3.28, there exist a monomial  $J'' \in \text{mon}(\Delta)$  such that

$$xJ'' = \sum_f \lambda_f e^f \in \langle \cup_{T \in t(\Delta)} \text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T} \rangle_{\text{alg}}$$

where  $f: \cup_{T \in t(\Delta)} \text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, T} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $e^f := \prod_{i \in I(\Delta)} e_i^{f(i)}$ . Since any monomial in  $\text{mon}(\Delta)$  is  $A$ -commutative with  $e^f$  by Lemma 3.17, we obtain the following:

$$xJ''J = \left( \sum_f \lambda_f e^f \right) J = \sum_f \lambda_f e^f J = \sum_f \lambda_f A^{n(f, J)} J e^f = J \left( \sum_f A^{n(f, J)} \lambda_f e^f \right),$$

where  $n(f, J)$  is some half integer. In other words,  $xJ' = Jx'$  holds with  $J' := J''J$  and  $x' := \sum_f A^{n(f, J)} \lambda_f e^f$ . By applying the mirror-reflection  $\dagger$ , we see that the left Ore condition also holds.  $\square$

**Definition 3.37** (the localized  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein algebras for  $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ ). The  $\Delta$ -localized skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\Delta^{-1}]$  is the Ore localization of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  by the Ore set  $\text{mon}(\Delta)$ . Similarly, the  $\partial$ -localized skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}]$  is the Ore localization by  $\text{mon}(\text{EWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \partial \times \Sigma})$ .

The following theorem guarantees the existence of the skew-field of fractions  $\text{Frac} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  and embeddings of the above localizations of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ .

**Theorem 3.38** ([Yua]).  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  is an Ore domain.

Here we give a sketch of the proof. Let us consider a  $(\mathbb{N}^2)^{e(\Delta)} \times \mathbb{N}^{t(\Delta)}$ -filtration  $\{F_{(\mathbf{e}_\Delta, \mathbf{t}_\Delta)} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A\}$  such that the first component  $\mathbf{e}_\Delta$  is given by weights of basis webs on edges of  $\Delta$ , and the second  $\mathbf{t}_\Delta$  is given by a function of trivalent vertices and edges in a triangle. By considering a certain partial order on this filtration, we can define the associated graded algebra  $\text{gr}_\Delta \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{e}_\Delta, \mathbf{t}_\Delta} F_{(\mathbf{e}_\Delta, \mathbf{t}_\Delta)} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A / F_{(\mathbf{e}_\Delta, \mathbf{t}_\Delta)}^- \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ . In this graded algebra, all basis webs are  $A$ -commutative. We can also prove that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  is generated by a finite subset of  $\text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ . Then we conclude that  $\text{gr}_\Delta \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  is a quantum affine space, thus a Noetherian domain. A Noetherian domain is known to be Ore [GW04, Corollary 6.7] and a filtered algebra inherit this property from its associated graded algebra.

**Corollary 3.39.** *We have inclusions*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}] \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\Delta^{-1}] \subset \text{Frac} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A.$$

## 4. QUANTUM CLUSTER ALGEBRAS AND SKEIN ALGEBRAS

**4.1. Realization of the quantum surface subalgebra in the skein algebra.** In this section, we construct a quantum seed pattern in the skew-field  $\text{Frac} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  of fractions of the skein algebra  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ , which quantizes the seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)$ . In what follows, we identify the quantum parameters as  $q = A$ .

For any vertex  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  of the surface subgraph, we are going to define a quantum seed  $(B^{(\omega)}, \Pi^{(\omega)}, M^{(\omega)})$  in  $\text{Frac} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ . The exchange matrix  $B^{(\omega)}$  is the one already




FIGURE 4.1. The neighboring vertices to  $i \in I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta)$  (left) and the corresponding collection of elementary webs (right). An additional elementary web  $e_j$  is also shown in purple.

defined in Section 2.2. In order to define the remaining data, we consider a web cluster (Definition 3.15)  $C(\omega) = \{e_i^{(\omega)} \mid i \in I(\omega)\}$  defined as follows. See Figure 4.4.

- Suppose  $\omega = \Delta = (\Delta, \mathbf{s}_\Delta)$  is a decorated triangulation. If  $i \in I^{\text{tri}}(\Delta)$ , then  $e_i^\Delta$  is one of the elementary webs on the corresponding triangle  $T \in t(\Delta)$ . If  $\mathbf{s}_\Delta(T) = +$  (resp.  $\mathbf{s}_\Delta(T) = -$ ), then it is defined to be the one with the unique trivalent sink (resp. source). If  $i \in I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta)$ , then  $e_i^\Delta$  is one of the elementary webs given by assigning an orientation to the edge on which  $i$  is located. The orientation is determined so that the terminal point is closer to the vertex  $i$ .
- For a decorated cell decomposition  $\omega$  obtained by the mutation  $\mu_i$  for  $i \in I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta)$  from a decorated triangulation  $\Delta$ , we set  $e_j^{(\omega)} := e_j^\Delta$  for  $j \neq i$ . Define  $e_i^{(\omega)}$  to be the trivalent sink with endpoints three of the special points on the unique quadrilateral, which span a triangle that contains  $i$  in its interior.
- For a decorated cell decomposition  $\omega$  obtained by the mutation  $\mu_{\text{op}}$  for  $i \in I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta)$  from the decorated cell decomposition  $\omega' := \mu_i(\Delta)$ , we set  $e_j^{(\omega)} := e_j^{(\omega')}$  for  $j \neq i^{\text{op}}$ . Define  $e_{i^{\text{op}}}^{(\omega)}$  to be the trivalent sink with endpoints three of the special points on the unique quadrilateral, which span a triangle that contains  $i^{\text{op}}$  in its interior.

Then define the compatibility matrix  $\Pi^{(\omega)} = (\pi_{ij}^{(\omega)})_{i,j \in I(\omega)}$  by

$$\pi_{ij}^{(\omega)} := \Pi(e_i^{(\omega)}, e_j^{(\omega)}).$$

Here recall Definition 3.16. Then  $\Pi^{(\omega)}$  is evidently skew-symmetric.

**Proposition 4.1.** *For any decorated triangulation  $\Delta = (\Delta, \mathbf{s}_\Delta)$  with  $\mathbf{s}_\Delta(T) = +$  for all  $T \in t(\Delta)$ , the pair  $(B^\Delta, \Pi^\Delta)$  satisfies the compatibility relation*

$$(B^\Delta)^\top \Pi^\Delta = (6 \cdot \text{Id}, 0).$$

*Proof.* During the proof, we fix a decorated triangulation  $\Delta$  and omit the superscript  $\Delta$ . Let  $\varepsilon := B^\top$  denote the quiver exchange matrix associated with  $\Delta$ . For  $i \in I(\Delta)_{\text{uf}}$  and




FIGURE 4.2. The neighboring vertices to  $i \in I^{\text{tri}}(\Delta)$  (left) and the corresponding collection of elementary webs (right). An additional elementary web  $e_j$  is also shown in purple.

$j \in I(\Delta)$ , we are going to compute  $(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ij} = \sum_{k \in I(\Delta)} \varepsilon_{ik} \pi_{kj}$ . Let us divide into the cases  $i \in I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta)$  and  $i \in I^{\text{tri}}(\Delta)$ .

**The case  $i \in I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta)$ :** Let  $Q$  be the quadrilateral having  $E$  as its diagonal. Label the neighboring vertices of the quiver as in Figure 4.1. In this case, we have

$$(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ij} = \sum_{\nu=1}^4 (-1)^\nu \pi_{k_\nu j}.$$

If  $j$  lies on an edge outside of  $Q$ , then one can easily see that  $(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ij} = 0$ . One example of the elementary web  $e_j$  corresponding to such a vertex is shown in the right of Figure 4.1. For this example, we have  $\pi_{k_2 j} = \pi_{k_3 j} = +1$  and thus  $(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ij} = (-1)^2 + (-1)^3 = 0$ . This is also the case for the vertices lying on the left and the bottom edges in Figure 4.1, since each entry of the compatibility matrix is defined as the sum of the contribution from each end. If  $j$  lies on the face of a triangle outside of  $Q$ , then we get  $(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ij} = 0$  by a similar consideration. The remaining entries are computed as follows:

$$(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ik_1} = (-1)^2 \cdot (+2) + (-1)^3 \cdot (+1) + (-1)^4 \cdot (+1 - 2) = 0$$

and similarly for  $j = k_1^{\text{op}}, k_2, k_2^{\text{op}}$ ;

$$(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ik_3} = (-1)^1 \cdot (-1) + (-1)^2 \cdot (-2 + 1) + (-1)^4 \cdot (-2 + 2) = 0$$

and similarly for  $j = k_4$ ;

$$(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ii^{\text{op}}} = (-1)^1 \cdot (-1) + (-1)^2 \cdot (+1) + (-1)^3 \cdot (-1 + 2) + (-1)^4 \cdot (-2 + 1) = 0,$$

and finally

$$(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ii} = (-1)^1 \cdot (-2) + (-1)^2 \cdot (+2) + (-1)^3 \cdot (+1 - 2) + (-1)^4 \cdot (-1 + 2) = 6.$$

**The case  $i \in I^{\text{tri}}(\Delta)$ :** Label the neighboring vertices of the quiver as in Figure 4.2. In this case, we have

$$(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ij} = \sum_{\nu=1}^6 (-1)^\nu \pi_{k_\nu j}.$$

Then a similar computation shows that the matrix entries  $(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ij}$  vanishes except for

$$(\varepsilon\Pi)_{ii} = -3 \cdot (+1 - 2) + 3 \cdot (+2 - 1) = 6.$$

Thus  $(B^{(\omega)}, \Pi^{(\omega)})$  is a compatible pair when  $\omega = (\Delta, \mathbf{s}_\Delta)$  is a decorated triangulation with  $\mathbf{s}_T = +$  for all  $T \in t(\Delta)$ .  $\square$

The check of the compatibility relation for a general  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  is postponed until the proof of Theorem 4.3 below. For any vertex  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ , define a toric frame

$$M^{(\omega)} : \mathring{\Lambda}^{(\omega)} \rightarrow \text{Frac} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$$

by sending the basis vector  $\mathbf{f}_i^{(\omega)}$  to the corresponding elementary web  $e_i^{(\omega)}$ , and extending by

$$M^{(\omega)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \mathbf{f}_i^{(\omega)} \right) := [(e_1^{(\omega)})^{x_1} \dots (e_N^{(\omega)})^{x_N}]$$

by using the Weyl ordering (Definition 3.6) for an auxiliary linear ordering  $I(\omega) \cong \{1, \dots, N\}$ . Note that this is the same extension rule as (2.4), and hence we get:

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any vertex  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ , the pair  $(\Pi^{(\omega)}, M^{(\omega)})$  satisfies*

$$M^{(\omega)}(\alpha)M^{(\omega)}(\beta) = q^{\Pi^{(\omega)}(\alpha, \beta)/2} M^{(\omega)}(\alpha + \beta)$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathring{\Lambda}^{(\omega)}$  with  $q = A$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *For any vertex  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ , the triple  $(B^{(\omega)}, \Pi^{(\omega)}, M^{(\omega)})$  is a quantum seed in  $\text{Frac} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ . These quantum seeds are mutation-equivalent to each other.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, the triple  $(B^\Delta, \Pi^\Delta, M^\Delta)$  associated with a decorated triangulation  $\omega = \Delta$  is a quantum seed. Here the condition  $\text{Frac} T_\Delta = \text{Frac} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  follows from Corollary 3.29. We have also seen that the exchange matrices  $B^{(\omega)}$  are related to each other by matrix mutations.

We are going to first show that the toric frames  $M^{(\omega)}$  are related to each other by the quantum exchange relations (2.5). By the connectivity of the surface subgraph  $\text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  and symmetry, it suffices to consider the toric frames associated with two vertices  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  connected by an edge of the following three types.

- (1) The first mutation from a decorated triangulation, where  $\omega = (\Delta, \mathbf{s}_\Delta)$  is a decorated triangulation and  $\omega' = \mu_i(\omega)$  for a vertex  $i \in I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta)$ .
- (2) The second mutation from a decorated triangulation, where  $\omega = \mu_{i^{\text{op}}}(\Delta)$  and  $\omega' = \mu_i \mu_{i^{\text{op}}}(\Delta)$  for some decorated triangulation  $\Delta = (\Delta, \mathbf{s}_\Delta)$  and a vertex  $i \in I^{\text{edge}}(\Delta)$ .

- (3) A change of a sign at a triangle  $T$ , where  $\omega = (\Delta, \mathbf{s}_\Delta)$  and  $\omega' = (\Delta, \mathbf{s}_{\Delta'})$  are both decorated triangulations with the same underlying triangulation but with  $\mathbf{s}_\Delta(T) = +$ ,  $\mathbf{s}_{\Delta'}(T) = -$  and  $\mathbf{s}_\Delta(T') = \mathbf{s}_{\Delta'}(T')$  for  $T' \in t(\Delta) \setminus \{T\}$ .

During the proof, we simply denote the elementary webs by  $e_j := e_j^{(\omega)}$  and  $e'_j := e_j^{(\omega')}$  in each case.

In the first case, label the vertices of the quiver as in the left in Figure 4.1. Then we need to check the quantum exchange relation

$$e'_i = M^{(\omega)}(-\mathbf{f}_i + \mathbf{f}_{k_2} + \mathbf{f}_{k_4}) + M^{(\omega)}(-\mathbf{f}_i + \mathbf{f}_{k_1} + \mathbf{f}_{k_3}) \quad (4.1)$$

holds in  $\text{Frac} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ . Using the relation  $M^{(\omega)}(\alpha + \beta) = q^{\Pi^{(\omega)}(\alpha, \beta)/2} M^{(\omega)}(\beta) M^{(\omega)}(\alpha)$  which follows from the definition of the toric frame, this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e'_i &= q^{-3/2} M^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{f}_{k_2} + \mathbf{f}_{k_4}) + q^{3/2} M^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{f}_{k_1} + \mathbf{f}_{k_3}) \\ &= q^{-3/2} [e_{k_2} e_{k_4}] + q^{3/2} [e_{k_1} e_{k_3}], \end{aligned}$$

This is nothing but the (3.25) (with a suitable change of labelings).

In the second case, label the vertices of the quiver as in the left of Figure 4.3. The expected quantum exchange relation is:

$$\begin{aligned} e'_i &= M^{(\omega)}(-\mathbf{f}_i + \mathbf{f}_{k_2} + \mathbf{f}_{k_4}) + M^{(\omega)}(-\mathbf{f}_i + \mathbf{f}_{k_1} + \mathbf{f}_{k_3}) \\ &= q^{-3/2} e_i^{-1} M^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{f}_{k_2} + \mathbf{f}_{k_4}) + q^{3/2} e_i^{-1} M^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{f}_{k_1} + \mathbf{f}_{k_3}) \\ &= e_i^{-1} (q^{-3/2} [e_{k_2} e_{k_4}] + q^{3/2} [e_{k_1} e_{k_3}]). \end{aligned}$$

This is again the relation (3.25).

In the third case, label the vertices of the quiver on  $T$  as in the left of Figure 4.2. The expected quantum exchange relation is

$$\begin{aligned} e'_i &= M^{(\omega)}(-\mathbf{f}_i + \mathbf{f}_{k_2} + \mathbf{f}_{k_4} + \mathbf{f}_{k_6}) + M^{(\omega)}(-\mathbf{f}_i + \mathbf{f}_{k_1} + \mathbf{f}_{k_3} + \mathbf{f}_{k_5}) \\ &= e_i^{-1} (q^{-3/2} M(\mathbf{f}_{k_2} + \mathbf{f}_{k_4} + \mathbf{f}_{k_6}) + q^{3/2} M(\mathbf{f}_{k_1} + \mathbf{f}_{k_3} + \mathbf{f}_{k_5})) \\ &= e_i^{-1} (q^{-3/2} [e_{k_2} e_{k_4} e_{k_6}] + q^{3/2} [e_{k_1} e_{k_3} e_{k_5}]) \end{aligned}$$

This is the relation (3.21). Thus the toric frames  $M^{(\omega)}$  are related to each other by the quantum exchange relations.

Then it follows from Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 2.4 that the pair  $(B^{(\omega)}, \Pi^{(\omega)})$  satisfies the compatibility relation for all  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ . The assertion is proved.  $\square$

It follows from the above theorem that the quantum seeds  $(B^{(\omega)}, \Pi^{(\omega)}, M^{(\omega)})$  generates a canonical quantum seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)$ , whose quantum cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)}$  is realized in  $\text{Frac} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)}$  denote the  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -subalgebra generated by the union of the quantum clusters  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}$  and the inverses of frozen variables in  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}^f$  associated with the vertices  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  of the surface subgraph, which we call the *quantum surface subalgebra*. Now we are ready to prove our comparison result of the skein and cluster algebras.






FIGURE 4.3. The neighboring vertices to  $i \in I^{\text{edge}}(\mu_{i^{\text{op}}}(\Delta))$  (left) and the corresponding collection of elementary webs (right).




FIGURE 4.4. The web clusters associated with the flip sequence in Figure 2.3. Here the relevant elementary webs are just overwritten, not meaning the simultaneous crossing. The elementary webs on the boundary of the quadrilateral are omitted.

**Theorem 4.4.** *We have an  $MC(\Sigma) \times \text{Out}(SL_3)$ -equivariant inclusions*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A(A)[\partial^{-1}] \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$$

with  $q = A$ . Moreover, the bar-involution on  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  restricts to the mirror-reflection on  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}]$ .

*Proof.* Since each cluster variable in  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q$  is realized as an elementary web  $M^{(\omega)}(f_i^{(\omega)}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  for some  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  by Theorem 2.11, we get the first inclusion. Here note that the inverses of the frozen variables are contained in  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^q$ .

In order to prove the second inclusion, thanks to Theorem 2.7, it suffices to check the inclusion  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}(\Delta)$  for a decorated triangulation  $\Delta$ . Moreover, note that all the vertices of  $\text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  adjacent to  $\Delta$  are decorated cell decompositions. Therefore it suffices to see that for any  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  and a decorated cell decomposition  $\omega$ , there exists a monomial  $J_{(\omega)}$  of elementary webs in the web cluster  $C_{(\omega)}$  such that  $xJ_{(\omega)} \in \langle C_{(\omega)} \rangle_{\text{alg}}$ .

When  $\omega = \Delta$  is a decorated triangulation, it is exactly Corollary 3.29. Indeed, we have seen that by multiplying a product of elementary webs  $e_i^\Delta$  for  $i \in I^{\text{edge}(\Delta)}$  to  $x$ , we can decompose it into a sum of webs in triangles (*i.e.*, a product of  $e_j^\Delta$ 's and  $*(e_j^\Delta)$ 's for  $j \in I^{\text{tri}(\Delta)}$ ), and these webs are further decomposed into a polynomial in  $C_\Delta$  by multiplying some product of  $*(e_j^\Delta)$ 's.

When  $\omega$  is a decorated cell decomposition of deficiency 1, the assertion follows from Proposition 3.27. Indeed, we can similarly decompose  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  into a sum of webs in triangles and the unique quadrilateral, and the latter webs can be expanded in the web cluster associated with  $\omega$ . Thus we get the inclusion  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}] \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}(\Delta) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  as desired.

The equivariance is easily seen by comparing the descriptions of the group actions given in Section 2.2 and Section 3.1.2. To compare the bar-involution and the mirror-reflection, note that they coincide on the elementary webs associated with a decorated triangulation. Then the assertion follows from the cluster expansion (Corollary 3.29).  $\square$

We move on to the comparison of the two notions of gradings. Recall the lattice

$$L(3) := \ker((\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{M}} \xrightarrow{\text{aug}} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{mod}_3} \mathbb{Z}_3),$$

where  $\text{aug}((k_p, l_p)_{p \in \mathbb{M}}) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{M}} (k_p - l_p)$ . For any  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ , take the associated web cluster  $(e_i^{(\omega)})_{i \in I(\omega)}$  and consider the map

$$\text{end}^{(\omega)} : \mathring{\Lambda}^{(\omega)} \rightarrow L(3), \quad f_i^{(\omega)} \mapsto \text{gr}(e_i^{(\omega)}).$$

**Lemma 4.5.** *For an edge  $\omega \xrightarrow{k} \omega'$  in  $\text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ , we have  $\text{end}^{(\omega')} = \text{end}^{(\omega)} \circ \mu_{k, \epsilon}^*$  for each  $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to check the relation  $\text{end}^{(\omega')}(f_k^{(\omega')}) = \text{end}^{(\omega)}(\mu_{k, \epsilon}^*(f_k^{(\omega)}))$ , which is equivalent to

$$\text{gr}(e_k^{(\omega')}) = \text{gr} \left( (e_k^{(\omega)})^{-1} \prod_{j \in I(\omega)} (A_j^{(\omega)})^{[eb_{jk}^{(\omega)}]_+} \right), \quad \text{or}$$

$$\mathrm{gr}(e_k^{(\omega)} e_k^{(\omega')}) = \mathrm{gr} \left( \prod_{j \in I(\omega)} (A_j^{(\omega)})^{[\epsilon b_{jk}^{(\omega)}]_+} \right).$$

On the other hand, the comparison of the skein and quantum exchange relations obtained in the proof of Theorem 4.3 tells us that the monomial appearing in the right-hand side is exactly one of the two terms in the corresponding skein relation. Since the skein relations are homogeneous with respect to the endpoint grading, we get the desired assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *For any  $\omega \in \mathrm{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$ , we have an isomorphism  $\overline{\mathrm{end}}^{(\omega)} : \mathrm{coker} p_{(\omega)}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} L(3)$  of lattices which fits into the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathring{\Lambda}^{(\omega)} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{end}^{(\omega)}} & L(3). \\ \alpha_{(\omega)}^* \downarrow & \nearrow \overline{\mathrm{end}}^{(\omega)} & \\ \mathrm{coker} p_{(\omega)}^* & & \end{array}$$

Here  $\alpha_{(\omega)}^* : \mathring{\Lambda}^{(\omega)} \rightarrow \mathrm{coker} p_{(\omega)}^*$  denotes the natural projection. Together with the mutation-invariance (Lemma 4.5), we get a canonical isomorphism  $\overline{\mathrm{end}} : \mathrm{coker} p^* \xrightarrow{\sim} L(3)$ .

*Proof.* Thanks to the mutation-invariance (Lemma 4.5), it suffices to prove the statement for a decorated triangulation  $\omega = \Delta$ . For the well-definedness of  $\overline{\mathrm{end}}^\Delta$ , we need to check that

$$\mathrm{end}^\Delta(p_\Delta^* e_i^\Delta) = \mathrm{end}^\Delta \left( \sum_{j \in I(\Delta)} \varepsilon_{ij}^\Delta f_j^\Delta \right) = \mathrm{gr} \left( \prod_{j \in I(\Delta)} (e_j^\Delta)^{\varepsilon_{ij}^\Delta} \right) = 0$$

for all  $i \in I(\Delta)_{\mathrm{uf}}$ . Let us write  $e_j := e_j^\Delta \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A$  for simplicity.

If  $i \in I^{\mathrm{edge}}(\Delta)$ , then label the neighboring vertices as in the left of Figure 4.1. Then one can see  $\mathrm{gr}(e_{j_2} e_{j_4} / e_{j_1} e_{j_3}) = 0$  by inspection into the right of Figure 4.1. If  $i \in I^{\mathrm{tri}}(\Delta)$ , then label the neighboring vertices as in the left of Figure 4.2. Then one can see  $\mathrm{gr}(e_{j_2} e_{j_4} e_{j_6} / e_{j_1} e_{j_3} e_{j_5}) = 0$  by inspection into the right of Figure 4.2. Thus the map  $\overline{\mathrm{end}}^\Delta$  is well-defined.

For the surjectivity, first note that the lattice  $L(3)$  is generated by the following grading vectors

- (1)  $\mathrm{gr}(e_i^\Delta)$  for  $i \in I^{\mathrm{tri}}(\Delta)$ ;
- (2)  $\mathrm{gr}(e_i^{(\omega)})$  for  $\omega \in \mathrm{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  and  $i \in I^{\mathrm{edge}}(\omega)$ .

Indeed, given any vector in  $L(3)$ , by adding a suitable number of vectors of the the form (1), we can translate it so that  $\mathrm{aug} = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{M}} k_p - \sum_{p \in \mathbb{M}} l_p = 0$ . Such a grading vector can be written as a sum of the grading vectors of the oriented arcs, which are of the form (2). Since each web cluster  $C_{(\omega)}$  is mutation-equivalent to  $C_\Delta$ , each grading vector in (1)(2) can be written as a sum of the vectors  $\mathrm{gr}(e_i^\Delta)$  for  $i \in I(\Delta)$ . Thus  $\overline{\mathrm{end}}^\Delta$  is surjective.

Since  $L(3)$  is a full-rank sub-lattice of  $(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{M}}$ , we have  $\mathrm{rank} L(3) = 2|\mathbb{M}|$ . On the other hand, we know from the geometric realizations of the cluster varieties as certain

moduli spaces that  $\text{rank coker } p^* = \dim \mathcal{A}_{SL_3, \Sigma} - \dim \mathcal{X}_{PGL_3, \Sigma} = 2|\mathbb{M}|$  [FG06a, Lemma 2.4]. It follows that  $\overline{\text{end}}^\Delta$  is an isomorphism of lattices as a surjective morphism between two lattices of the same rank.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** *The ensemble grading on  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  restricts to the endpoint grading on  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^A[\partial^{-1}]$ . More precisely, we have*

$$\overline{\text{end}}(\mathbf{gr}(A_i^{(\omega)})) = \mathbf{gr}(e_i^{(\omega)})$$

for  $\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}$  and  $i \in I(\omega)$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 4.6, we get

$$\overline{\text{end}}(\mathbf{gr}(A_i^{(\omega)})) = \overline{\text{end}}^{(\omega)}(\alpha_{(\omega)}^*(\mathbf{f}_i^{(\omega)})) = \text{end}^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{f}_i^{(\omega)}) = \mathbf{gr}(e_i^{(\omega)})$$

as desired.  $\square$

**4.2. Quantum Laurent positivity of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -webs.** As a quantum counterpart of (A.6), we have the semiring

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3}^+ := \bigcap_{\omega \in \text{Exch}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3}} \mathbb{Z}_q^+[\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3}$$

of *quantum universally Laurent polynomials*, where  $\mathbb{Z}_q^+[\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathbb{Z}_q[\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}^{\pm 1}]$  denote the semiring of quantum Laurent polynomials in the variables  $A_i^{(\omega)}$  for  $i \in I$  and  $q^{1/2}$  with non-negative coefficients. When  $\mathfrak{sl}_3 = \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)$ , one may ask the existence of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{BWeb}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)} \\ & \searrow \text{?} & \uparrow \\ & & \mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma)}^+ \end{array}$$

In order to state our partial result, consider a larger semiring

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^+ := \bigcap_{\Delta} \mathbb{Z}_q^+[\mathbf{A}_{\Delta}^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3},$$

where  $\Delta$  runs over all the decorated triangulations of  $\Sigma$ . An element of  $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^+$  is called a *quantum GS-universally positive Laurent polynomial*. The following is a rephrasing of Corollaries 3.34 and 3.35:

**Theorem 4.8** (Quantum Laurent positivity of webs). *Any elevation-preserving web with respect to  $\Delta$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Z}_q^+[\mathbf{A}_{\Delta}^{\pm 1}]$ . In particular, the  $n$ -bracelet or the  $n$ -bangle along an oriented simple loop in  $\Sigma$  for any  $n$  are contained in the semiring  $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3, \Sigma}^+$ .*

## APPENDIX A. RELATION TO THE CLUSTER VARIETIES

Here we recall some relations between the theory of cluster algebras [FZ02] and that of cluster varieties [FG09]. Although we mainly deal with (the quantum aspects of) the former in the text, we borrow some notations from the latter to indicate connections to relevant geometries. For a comparison of their quantizations given by [BZ05] and [FG08], see [GS19, Section 13.3].

Given a seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}$  (see Section 2.1.1), the corresponding *Fock–Goncharov seed pattern* is an assignment

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\text{FG}} : \mathfrak{T}_{I_{\text{uf}}} \ni t \mapsto (\Lambda^{(t)}, (\ , \ )^{(t)}, (\mathbf{e}_i^{(t)})_{i \in I}),$$

where  $\Lambda^{(t)} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} \mathbf{e}_i^{(t)}$  is a lattice with a fixed basis,  $(\ , \ )^{(t)} : \Lambda^{(t)} \times \Lambda^{(t)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is a bilinear form given by  $(\mathbf{e}_i^{(t)}, \mathbf{e}_j^{(t)})^{(t)} := \varepsilon_{ij}^{(t)} = b_{ji}^{(t)}$ . For an edge  $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$ , the lattices  $\Lambda^{(t)}$  and  $\Lambda^{(t')}$  are related by two linear isomorphisms

$$\mu_{k,\epsilon}^* : \Lambda^{(t')} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^{(t)}, \quad \mathbf{e}_i^{(t')} \mapsto \sum_{j \in I} \mathbf{e}_j^{(t)} (F_{k,\epsilon}^{(t)})_{ji}$$

for  $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$ , which we call the *signed seed mutations*. The dual lattices  $\mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)} := \text{Hom}(\Lambda^{(t)}, \mathbb{Z})$  are related by

$$\check{\mu}_{k,\epsilon}^* := ((\mu_{k,\epsilon}^*)^\Gamma)^{-1} : \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t')} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)}, \quad \mathbf{f}_i^{(t')} \mapsto \sum_{j \in I} \mathbf{f}_j^{(t)} (E_{k,\epsilon}^{(t)})_{ji} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with the dual basis  $(\mathbf{f}_i^{(t)})_{i \in I}$  of  $(\mathbf{e}_i^{(t)})_{i \in I}$ . The bilinear form  $(\ , \ )^{(t)}$  induces the *ensemble map*

$$p_{(t)}^* : \Lambda_{\text{uf}}^{(t)} \rightarrow \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)}, \quad \mathbf{e}_i^{(t)} \mapsto (\mathbf{e}_i^{(t)}, \ )^{(t)} = \sum_{j \in I} \varepsilon_{ij}^{(t)} \mathbf{f}_j^{(t)},$$

where  $\Lambda_{\text{uf}}^{(t)} := \bigoplus_{i \in I_{\text{uf}}} \mathbb{Z} \mathbf{e}_i^{(t)}$ . For each  $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$  and  $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{\text{uf}}^{(t')} & \xrightarrow{p_{(t')}^*} & \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t')} \\ \mu_{k,\epsilon}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \check{\mu}_{k,\epsilon}^* \\ \Lambda_{\text{uf}}^{(t)} & \xrightarrow{p_{(t)}^*} & \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)}. \end{array} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The lattice  $\mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)}$  parametrizes the character on the algebraic torus  $\mathcal{A}_{(t)} := \text{Hom}(\mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ , where  $\mathbb{G}_m := \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$  denotes the multiplicative algebraic group<sup>6</sup>. The correspondence is given by the natural isomorphism  $\text{ch}_\bullet : \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_{(t)})$ ,  $\text{ch}_m(\psi) := \psi(m)$  for  $m \in \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)}$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{(t)}$ . Let  $A_i^{(t)} := \text{ch}_{\mathbf{f}_i^{(t)}}$  for  $i \in I$ , and similarly consider the characters  $X_i^{(t)} := \text{ch}_{\mathbf{e}_i^{(t)}}$  on the algebraic torus  $\mathcal{X}_{(t)}^{\text{uf}} := \text{Hom}(\Lambda_{\text{uf}}^{(t)}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . The above mentioned linear

<sup>6</sup>A reader not familiar with such a notion may substitute any field  $k$  to get  $\mathbb{G}_m(k) = k^*$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_{(t)}(k) \cong (k^*)^I$ . This amounts to consider schemes over  $k$ , making their function rings  $k$ -algebras in the sequel.

maps between the lattices of the form  $f^*$  naturally induce monomial morphisms  $f$  between the corresponding tori.

For  $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$ , the *cluster  $\mathcal{A}$ -transformation* is the birational map  $\mu_k^a := \check{\mu}_{k,\epsilon} \circ \mu_{k,\epsilon}^{\#,a} : \mathcal{A}_{(t)} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{(t')}$ , where  $\mu_{k,\epsilon}^{\#,a}$  is the birational automorphism on  $\mathcal{A}_{(t)}$  given by

$$(\mu_{k,\epsilon}^{\#,a})^* A_i^{(t)} := A_i^{(t)} (1 + (p^* X_i^{(t)})^\epsilon)^{-\delta_{ik}}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The *cluster  $K_2$ -variety* (or the *cluster  $\mathcal{A}$ -variety*) is defined by gluing the tori  $\mathcal{A}_{(t)}$  via these cluster  $\mathcal{A}$ -transformations:

$$\mathcal{A}_s := \bigcup_{t \in \mathfrak{T}_{\text{Iuf}}} \mathcal{A}_{(t)}.$$

From the commutative diagram (A.2), the signed seed mutation induces a linear isomorphism  $\check{\mu}_{k,\epsilon}^* : \text{coker } p_{(t')}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coker } p_{(t)}^*$  for each  $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$ , which does not depend on the sign  $\epsilon$ . Via these linear isomorphisms, we identify the lattices  $\text{coker } p_{(t)}^*$  for any vertex  $t \in \mathfrak{T}_{\text{Iuf}}$  and simply denote it by  $\text{coker } p^*$ . Let  $H_{\mathcal{A}} := \text{Hom}(\text{coker } p^*, \mathbb{G}_m)$  denote the corresponding split algebraic torus. The projections  $\alpha_{(t)}^* : \mathring{\Lambda}^{(t)} \rightarrow \text{coker } p_{(t)}^*$  combine to give an action [FG09, Lemma 2.10(a)]

$$\alpha : H_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_s. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

**Reconstruction of the (upper) cluster algebra.** For  $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$ , one can easily see that  $(\mu_k^a)^* A_i^{(t')}$  coincides with the right-hand side of the exchange relation (2.2).

Fixing an ‘‘initial’’ vertex  $t_0 \in \mathfrak{T}_{\text{Iuf}}$ , consider the rational functions  $A_i^{(t;t_0)} := (\mu_\gamma^a)^* A_i^{(t)}$  for  $i \in I$  and  $t \in \mathfrak{T}_{\text{Iuf}}$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{(t_0)}$ , where  $\gamma$  is the unique shortest edge path from  $t_0$  to  $t$  and  $\mu_\gamma^a$  denotes the composite of the cluster  $\mathcal{A}$ -transformations associated with the edges  $\gamma$  traverses. Then the pair  $\mathfrak{s}^{(t)} = (B^{(t)}, \mathbf{A}^{(t;t_0)} := (A_i^{(t;t_0)})_{i \in I})$  is a seed in the field  $\mathcal{F}_{(t_0)}$  of rational functions on  $\mathcal{A}_{(t_0)}$ . Thus the original seed pattern  $\mathfrak{s}$  is recovered via an isomorphism  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}_{(t_0)}$ . The cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_s$  is the ring generated by these rational functions  $\mathbf{A}^{(t;t_0)}$ . The upper cluster algebra is the ring of global functions on  $\mathcal{A}_s$ :

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_s) = \bigcap_{t \in \mathfrak{T}_{\text{Iuf}}} \mathbb{Z}[(A_i^{(t)})^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I] \cong \bigcap_{t \in \mathfrak{T}_{\text{Iuf}}} \mathbb{Z}[(A_i^{(t;t_0)})^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I] = \mathcal{U}_s. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Here we use the fact that  $A_i^{(t';t_0)}$  and  $A_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}^{(t;t_0)}$  are the same function for  $i \in I$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{s}^{(t')} = \sigma \mathfrak{s}^{(t)}$ . In particular, we may identify the unordered collection  $\{A_i^{(t;t_0)}\}_{i \in I}$  of functions with  $\mathbf{A}_{(\omega)}$  for  $\omega := \pi_s(t) \in \text{Exch}_s$ .

Let

$$\mathbb{L}_+(\mathcal{A}_s) := \bigcap_{t \in \mathfrak{T}_{\text{Iuf}}} \mathbb{Z}_+[(A_i^{(t)})^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I] \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_s) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

denote the semiring of *universally Laurent polynomials* on  $\mathcal{A}_s$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_+[(A_i^{(t)})^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I]$  is the semiring of Laurent polynomials in  $A_i^{(t)}$ 's with non-negative integral coefficients. Then the Fock–Goncharov duality conjecture [FG09, Section 4] asserts that  $\mathbb{L}_+(\mathcal{A}_s)$  is  $\Gamma_s$ -equivariantly isomorphic to the abelian semigroup generated by the set  $\mathcal{X}_{s^\vee}(\mathbb{Z}^t)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [AK17] D. G. L. Allegretti and H. K. Kim, *A duality map for quantum cluster varieties from surfaces*, Adv. Math. **306** (2017), 1164–1208.
- [BZ05] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, *Quantum cluster algebras*, Adv. Math. **195** (2005), no. 2, 405–455.
- [BW11] F. Bonahon and H. Wong, *Quantum traces for representations of surface groups in  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$* , Geom. Topol. **15** (2011), no. 3, 1569–1615.
- [Bul97] D. Bullock *Rings of  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -characters and the Kauffman bracket skein module*, Comment. Math. Helv. **72** (1997), no. 4, 521–542.
- [BFK99] D. Bullock, C. Frohman and J. Kania-Bartoszyńska, *Understanding the Kauffman bracket skein module*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications, **8** (1999), no. 3, 265–277.
- [CL19] F. Costantino and T. T. Q. Lê, *Stated skein algebras of surfaces*, to appear in Journal of EMS, arXiv:1907.11400.
- [DS20a] D. C. Douglas and Z. Sun, *Tropical Fock-Goncharov coordinates for  $SL_3$ -webs on surfaces I: construction*, arXiv:2011.01768.
- [DS20b] D. C. Douglas and Z. Sun, *Tropical Fock-Goncharov coordinates for  $SL_3$ -webs on surfaces II: naturality*, arXiv:2012.14202.
- [FG06a] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., **103** (2006), 1–211.
- [FG06b] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *Cluster  $\mathcal{X}$ -varieties, amalgamation and Poisson-Lie groups*, Algebraic geometry and number theory, volume 253 of Progr. Math., PP 27–68, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [FG08] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *The quantum dilogarithm and representations of quantum cluster varieties*, Invent. Math. **175** (2009), no. 2, 223–286.
- [FG09] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér., **42** (2009), 865–930.
- [FP16] S. Fomin and P. Pylyavskyy, *Tensor diagrams and cluster algebras*, Adv. Math. **300** (2016), 717–787.
- [FZ02] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. I. Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 497–529.
- [FZ07] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients*, Compos. Math. **143** (2007), 112–164.
- [FS20] C. Frohman and A. S. Sikora,  *$SU(3)$ -skein algebras and webs on surfaces*, arXiv:2002.08151.
- [GMN13] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore and A. Neitzke, *spectral networks*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **14** (2013), no. 7, 1643–1731.
- [GSV03] M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein, *Cluster algebras and Poisson geometry*, Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), no. 3, 899–934, 1199.
- [Go17] A. B. Goncharov, *Ideal webs, moduli spaces of local systems, and 3d Calabi-Yau categories*, Algebra, geometry, and physics in the 21st century, 31–97; Progr. Math., **324**, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [GS18] A. B. Goncharov and L. Shen, *Donaldson-Thomas transformations of moduli spaces of  $G$ -local systems*, Adv. Math. **327** (2018), 225–348.
- [GS19] A. B. Goncharov and L. Shen, *Quantum geometry of moduli spaces of local systems and representation theory*, arXiv:1904.10491.
- [GW04] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield, Jr. *An introduction to noncommutative Noetherian rings*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, **61** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2004).



- [Hig20] V. Higgins, *Triangular decomposition of  $SL_3$  skein algebras*, arXiv:2008.09419.
- [IY] T. Ishibashi and W. Yuasa, *Skein and cluster algebras of polygons for  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$* , in preparation.
- [Kim07] D. Kim, *Jones-Wenzl idempotents for rank 2 simple Lie algebras*, Osaka J. Math. **44** (2007), no. 3, 691–722.
- [Kim20] H. K. Kim,  *$SL_3$ -laminations as bases for  $PGL_3$  cluster varieties for surfaces*, arXiv:2011.14765.
- [Kup96] G. Kuperberg, *Spiders for rank 2 Lie groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **180** (1996), no. 1, 109–151.
- [Le19] I. Le, *Cluster structure on higher Teichmüller spaces for classical groups*, Forum Math. Sigma **7** (2019), e13, 165 pp.
- [Lê18] T. T. Q. Lê, *Triangular decomposition of skein algebras*, Quantum Topol. **9** (2018), no. 3, 591–632.
- [Lê19] T. T. Q. Lê, *Quantum Teichmüller spaces and quantum trace map*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **18** (2019), no. 2, 249–291.
- [Mor07] S. Morrison, *A diagrammatic category for the representation theory of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$* , ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI (2007), 89 pp.
- [Mul16] G. Muller, *Skein and cluster algebras of marked surfaces*, Quantum Topol. **7** (2016), no. 3, 435–503.
- [MOY98] H. Murakami, T. Ohtsuki and S. Yamada, *Homfly polynomial via an invariant of colored plane graphs*, Enseign. Math. (2) **44** (1998), no. 3-4, 325–360.
- [MSW11] G. Musiker, R. Schiffler and L. Williams, *Positivity for cluster algebras from surfaces*, Adv. Math. **227** (2011), no. 6, 2241–2308.
- [MSW13] G. Musiker, R. Schiffler and L. Williams, *Bases for cluster algebras from surfaces*, Compos. Math. **149** (2013), no. 2, 217–263.
- [NZ12] T. Nakanishi and A. Zelevinsky, *On tropical dualities in cluster algebras*, Algebraic groups and quantum groups, Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, **565** (2012), 217–226.
- [PS00] J. Przytycki and A. S. Sikora, *On skein algebras and  $Sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character varieties*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 1, 115–148.
- [PS19] J. Przytycki and A. S. Sikora, *Skein algebras of surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019), no. 2, 1309–1332.
- [RY14] J. Roger and T. Yang, *The skein algebra of arcs and links and the decorated Teichmüller space*, J. Differential Geom. **96** (2014), no. 1, 95–140.
- [Sik05] A. S. Sikora, *Skein theory for  $SU(n)$ -quantum invariants*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **5** (2005), 865–897.
- [SW07] A. S. Sikora and B. W. Westbury, *Confluence theory for graphs*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **7** (2007), 439–478.
- [Thu14] D. P. Thurston, *Positive basis for surface skein algebras*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **111** (2014), no. 27, 9725–9732.
- [Xie13] D. Xie, *Higher laminations, webs and  $N = 2$  line operators*, arXiv:1304.2390 (hep-th).
- [Yua] W. Yuasa, *Filtered and graded  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -skein algebras of marked surface without punctures*, in preparation.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA OIWAKE-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

*Email address:* [ishiba@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:ishiba@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

*URL:* <https://sites.google.com/view/tsukasa-ishibashi/home>

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA OIWAKE-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

*Email address:* [wyuasa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:wyuasa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

*URL:* <https://wataruyuasa.github.io/math/>