

Algorithmic Complexities in Backpropagation and Tropical Neural Networks

Özgür Ceyhan

ozgurceyhan@gmail.com

April 22, 2021

Abstract

In this note, we propose a novel technique to reduce the algorithmic complexity of neural network training by using matrices of tropical real numbers instead of matrices of real numbers. Since the tropical arithmetics replaces multiplication with addition, and addition with max, we theoretically achieve several order of magnitude better constant factors in time complexities in the training phase.

The fact that we replace the field of real numbers with the tropical semiring of real numbers and yet achieve the same classification results via neural networks come from deep results in topology and analysis, which we verify in our note. We then explore artificial neural networks in terms of tropical arithmetics and tropical algebraic geometry, and introduce the multi-layered tropical neural networks as universal approximators. After giving a tropical reformulation of the backpropagation algorithm, we verify the algorithmic complexity is substantially lower than the usual backpropagation as the tropical arithmetic is free of the complexity of usual multiplication.

1 Introduction

The main strategies for building capable and more efficient neural networks can be summarised as

- (I) Developing and manufacturing more capable hardware;
- (II) Designing smaller and more robust versions of neural networks that realise the same tasks;
- (III) Reducing the computational complexities of learning algorithms without changing the structures of neural networks or hardware.

The approach (I) is an industrial design and manufacturing challenge. The approach (II) is essentially the subject of network pruning. This note will focus only on a theoretical approach on (III) based on tropical arithmetics and geometry.

The main training method of multi-layered neural networks is the backpropagation algorithm and its variations (see, for instance [Ru16] for variants of backpropagation and their properties). The backpropagation is essentially a recursive gradient descent technique that works on large matrices. However, the sizes of the matrices determined by the initial parameters of neural networks are enormous: The state-of-the-art applications may have 10^6 - 10^7 neurons, therefore, have as many parameters as the pairings of these neurons, see [DBBNG, §1.2.4]. Many neural network implementations require adequately large computational resources when the scale of computations is that big, see [DBBNG] and references therein. Naturally, since the computational resources required are large, any reduction in the algorithmic complexity of elementary operations is going to provide substantial advantages. To this end, we would like to eliminate the basic arithmetic operation of multiplication, which is algorithmically more complex than addition, subtraction and *min/max*, without changing the nature of the classification problem that we wish to realise with a neural network.

We propose to reach our goal by using tropical arithmetics and tropical geometry. While tropicalisation is a relatively new concept, its core idea has been used in engineering for decades in areas such as the linear control theory and the combinatorial optimisation. The effective use of tropicalization in mathematics goes back to Viro in the 80's where he constructs real algebraic varieties with prescribed topology to address Hilbert's 16th and related problems [Vi06]. Further studies on Viro's method revealed the tropical semiring, an algebraic structure whose arithmetics is devoid of multiplication, is the key algebraic structure behind Viro's results [Vi01]. In this note we claim that the tropical geometry also provides a suitable setup to construct the backpropagation algorithm with substantially better complexity.

After briefly describing the sources of the algorithmic complexities in backpropagation techniques in §2, and introducing the basic notions in tropical arithmetics and tropical geometry in §3.1, we first define the tropical limit of the rectified linear unit (ReLU) in §3.2, and explore the multilayered feedforward neural networks using this tropical unit in §3.3. We show that these tropical neural networks have the properties of the universal approximator as the deep ReLU neural networks. The last section §4 focuses on the topological realisation of the classification problems via backpropagation based on the tropical semiring. In §4.1, we first reformulate the classification problem as a topological problem of exploring the connected components of the complement of the zero locus of a function approximated by a neural network. Finally, we introduce the tropicalization of the backpropagation algorithm in §4.2, that essentially approximates the original classification problem. We conclude that, while we achieve an algorithm which approximates the original problem with less algorithmic complexity, it does not require substantial recoding, only the replacement of linear algebra packages with their tropical versions.

1.1 Short history of this note and its current state

This note essentially summarises the results presented by the author at *Séminaire "Fables Géométriques"* in University of Geneva on December 9, 2016.¹ The version at hand

¹unige.ch/math/tggroup/doku.php?id=fables

has been submitted for publication in 2017. Since the completion of this note, tropical neural networks started to attract considerable attention and there has been a number of publications appeared. For instance, *Tropical geometry of neural networks* appeared in ICML 2018 by Zhang et al was not available to the author when this paper is written. This note is kept as it was initially submitted and no new results has been incorporated.

2 Algorithmic complexity of backpropagation

2.1 Backpropagation in a nutshell

Assume we have a multi-layered neural network with the weight matrix $\mathbf{W}^{(k)} = [w_{ij}^{(k)}]$ connecting the layers $k - 1$ and k . The backpropagation algorithm aims at minimising a predetermined error function E by using an iterative process of gradient descent. In this algorithm, one calculates the gradient matrix

$$(\nabla E)^{(k)} = \left[\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ij}^{(k)}} \right], k = 0, \dots, l$$

for training data, and adjusts the weight matrix $\mathbf{W}^{(k)}$ by adding a correction term $\Delta \mathbf{W}^{(k)} = -\epsilon \nabla^{(k)} E$ in order to minimise the error function iteratively. The backpropagated error on the k -th layer is computed via an iterative matrix multiplication

$$\Delta \mathbf{W}^{(k)} = \mathbf{D}^{(k)} \mathbf{W}^{(k+1)} \dots \mathbf{D}^{(l)} \mathbf{W}^{(l+1)} \mathbf{e} \quad (1)$$

where for each layer k , the matrix $\mathbf{D}^{(k)}$ is the diagonal matrix composed of the derivatives of the activation function with respect to its arguments, and the vector \mathbf{e} contains the derivatives of the output errors with respect to the arguments of the last layer. For details, see for instance [Ro96, §7.3.3] or [DBBNG, §6.5].

2.2 The sources of algorithmic complexity

The algorithmic complexity of the backpropagation algorithm as presented in (1) has essentially two layers:

- (i) The complexity of calculating the matrix product.
- (ii) The complexity of the arithmetic operations involved in each step of the matrix multiplications.

As we mentioned in the introduction, one can design smaller and more robust neural networks performing the same task, but we would need different pruning techniques. For various network pruning techniques, see for example [HMD15, LKDSG, TF97, YCS16]. The ordinary multiplication algorithm of matrices of size $n \times m$ and $m \times p$ has the algorithmic complexity of $O(nmp)$. Any simplification in the structure of the network is going to decrease the algorithmic complexity as the sizes of the resulting matrices will decrease. Even though there are matrix multiplication algorithms with better

asymptotic complexities (see for instance [Ga12]), they are generally impractical due to the large constant factors in their running times. It is also not very clear whether these improved matrix multiplications algorithms are well-suited to the GPU's.

In this note, we propose to focus on the more subtle source of the complexity (ii): the arithmetic operations. In algebraic terms, we propose replacing the base field of real numbers and corresponding arithmetic operations with the *tropical semiring of reals* with its own simpler arithmetic operations. Since we only swap the field of real numbers with the tropical ring of real numbers, we note that any reduction on the algorithmic complexity of arithmetic operations would not require any structural changes in the backpropagation algorithm. We discuss these aspects in §4.2. Thus, such a swap requires minimal adaptation in the existing code bases, i.e., swapping the classical linear package with a tropical linear algebra package.

Remark 2.2.1 *Approximation of activation function is also notable source of computational complexity as it plays a role in (1) as entries of the matrices $\mathbf{D}^{(k)}$. Most of the activation functions are intentionally non-linear, and their evaluations require certain precision. However, tropicalisation of activation functions and especially their approximations are computationally less complex as they are realised in terms of piecewise linear functions, see §3.2 below.*

2.3 Complexities of min/max, addition and multiplication

There are substantial differences in (time) complexities of different the elementary binary operations. The amount of the operations to sum two n -bits numbers via schoolbook addition algorithm is $O(n)$. Similarly, the upper bound of the number operations to decide the maximum (or the minimum) of the two n -bits numbers is similarly $O(n)$. More importantly, the average-case complexity of max (and min) is $O(1)$.

By contrast, the schoolbook multiplication for the same size is $O(n^2)$. While there are other multiplication algorithms with better algorithmic complexity, such as the Karatsuba algorithm of order $O(n^{\log_2(3)})$ [Ka95], they all have the complexity of $O(n^\lambda)$ with $\lambda > 1$. It is important to note that these lower algorithmic complexities are achieved asymptotically in most cases, and they are usually effectively implement for integers. Moreover, one may argue that the Kolmogorov complexities of these *asymptotically better* algorithms are not better as they are significantly difficult to implement.

2.4 Execution time and energy consumption

The actual execution time of a specific code depends on numerous factors such as the processor speed, the instruction set, disk speed, and the compiler used. An old rule of thumb in designing numerical experiments that dictates avoiding multiplications and divisions in simulations in favor of additions and subtractions in order to improve the actual execution time may heuristically seem redundant on modern processors as they closed the time-cost-gap between addition and multiplication drastically. However, one can still say the following on the algorithmic complexity of arithmetic operations:

- Integer sums and *max/min* take the same amount of time;

- Floating-point operations are slower than integer operations of the same size;
- Floating-point multiplications are slower than the sums. ²

The energy consumption does not favour the multiplications over the summations either: the required energy for multiplication is always considerably higher than the summation [Hor14, pg. 32]. Even if we disregard the complexities in CPU designs, both execution time and energy consumption criteria suggest that the reduction of algorithmic complexity by eliminating the multiplications as much as possible provide considerable benefits.

3 Tropical arithmetics and tropical neural networks

In this section, we introduce the basic notions in tropical arithmetics and tropical geometry, then use them to introduce the tropical neural networks as universal approximators.

3.1 Tropical semiring: Arithmetic without multiplication

The *tropical semiring* is the limit $\hbar \rightarrow +\infty$ of the family $S_{\hbar} := (\mathbb{T}, \oplus_{\hbar}, \otimes_{\hbar})$ where $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ with the following arithmetic operations; for $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$a \oplus_{\hbar} b := \begin{cases} \log_{\hbar}(\hbar^a + \hbar^b) & \text{when } \hbar \in (e, +\infty) \\ \max\{a, b\} & \text{when } \hbar \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$a \otimes_{\hbar} b := \log_{\hbar}(\hbar^a \cdot \hbar^b) = a + b. \quad (3)$$

S_{\hbar} form a semiring (a ring without additive inverses) and admit the semiring isomorphism

$$D_{\hbar} : (\mathbb{T}, \times, +) \rightarrow S_{\hbar}$$

for any finite value of \hbar , see [IMS, Vi01].³

The family S_{\hbar} in (2) relates the ordinary addition and multiplication operations on the set of real numbers with the tropical arithmetic in the limit. This limiting process is also called the *Maslov dequantization* [IMS, Vi01]. The tropical limit S_{∞} admits a tropical division, however, the subtraction is impossible due to the idempotency of \oplus_{\hbar} , i.e. $x \oplus_{\infty} x = \max\{x, x\} = x$. The role of the additive zero is played by $-\infty$, and the multiplicative unit becomes 0.

3.2 The corner locus

A *tropical polynomial* is a tropical sum of tropical monomials, therefore, a polynomial of the form

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in V} a_{j_1, \dots, j_n} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n}, \text{ with } \mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

²See for instance, http://nicolas.limare.net/pro/notes/2014/12/12_arit_speed/ and <https://lemire.me/blog/2010/07/19/is-multiplication-slower-than-addition/>

³The parameter \hbar is not just the reminiscent of Planck constant. The tropical limit $\hbar \rightarrow \infty$ is essentially the quasi-classical (i.e., zero temperature) limit of a certain model in quantum mechanics.

is evaluated in the following form in the tropical ring

$$\begin{aligned} P^{tr}(\mathbf{x}) &:= D_\infty(P(\mathbf{x})) = \bigoplus_V \left(a_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \otimes_\infty x_1^{j_1} \otimes_\infty \dots \otimes_\infty x_n^{j_n} \right) \\ &= \max_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in V} \{ a_{j_1, \dots, j_n} + \sum j_k x_k \} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $V \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a finite set of points with non-negative coordinates and the coefficients a 's are tropical numbers.

The *zero set of a tropical polynomial* P^{tr} is the set of tropical vectors \mathbf{x} for which either $P^{tr}(\mathbf{x}) = -\infty$, or there exists a pair $i \neq j$ in V such that

$$a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \otimes_\infty x_1^{i_1} \otimes_\infty \dots \otimes_\infty x_n^{i_n} = a_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \otimes_\infty x_1^{j_1} \otimes_\infty \dots \otimes_\infty x_n^{j_n}.$$

Therefore, one can picture the tropical zero set as the union of intersections of hyperplanes defined by the tropical monomials. In other words, the tropical zero set defined by such a polynomial is given by the corner locus, that is where the tropical polynomial (4) is not locally affine-linear.

3.3 Rectified linear unit and its tropical degeneration

In order to describe the tropical degeneration of the rectified linear unit (ReLU)

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \max \left\{ 0, b + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\} \text{ where } \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (5)$$

we consider the log-log plot of this activation function as family with respect to a parameter $\hbar \in [e, \infty)$. The transition to the log paper corresponds to the change of coordinates:

$$v_\hbar = \log_\hbar(y), \text{ and } u_i = \log_\hbar(x_i)$$

Then, we simply obtain

$$v_\hbar = \log_\hbar \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hbar^{\alpha_i} \hbar^{u_i} + \hbar^\beta \right)$$

where $b = \hbar^\beta$ and $a_i = \hbar^{\alpha_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. In its domain, the tropical limit $\hbar \rightarrow \infty$ of this log-log graph of the function ReLU becomes

$$\sigma^{tr} := \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow \infty} v_\hbar = \max \{ b, \max_{i=1, \dots, k} \{ a_i + x_i \} \}. \quad (6)$$

In other words, the tropical degeneration of ReLU is another ReLU in an appropriately defined domain.

3.4 Multi-layered neural networks as universal approximators

Multi-layered neural networks are used for approximating an unknown function described by a sample of points in a large affine space \mathbb{R}^n called a *data set*. The theoretical

underpinnings of such an approximation goes as far back as Kolmogorov [Sp64, Cy89, H91]. We know that for a given bounded, and monotonically-increasing continuous function σ and a compact domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, any continuous function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be approximated by finite linear sums of the form

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \sigma(b_i + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j). \quad (7)$$

As a result, the neural networks with different activation function such as the logistic function, arctan, tanh, SoftPlus etc., are often thought as the universal approximator of continuous functions [Ro96, DBBNG].

We view neural networks as a concrete computational manifestation of this *Universal Approximation Theorem* where σ plays the role of the activation function in a neural network. Our focus in this paper is to develop better computational methods in achieving such approximations.

3.5 Approximation via tropical neural networks

The rectified linear unit (ReLU) we defined in (5) also lies in this class of functions that can be used for approximations of continuous and the piecewise smooth functions on any compact domain in \mathbb{R}^n . As we observe in (6) that ReLU can also be represented by using tropical unit on compact domains. Thus, we can simply state that the ReLU and the tropical units σ^{tr} in (6) are equivalent from the perspective of approximation theory:

Proposition 3.5.1 *Multilayered feedforward neural networks using tropical unit σ^{tr} in (6) as the activation function can give arbitrarily close approximations for any continuous and piecewise smooth function on any compact domain in \mathbb{R}^n .*

For the deep ReLU networks, these approximations work as effectively. For details see [PV17, Ya16].

4 Backpropagation in tropical setting

4.1 Reformulating a classification problem as a topological problem

Consider a smooth map $f: \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined over the cone $\mathbb{R}_+^n = (0, \infty)^n$, and consider the zero locus $Z_f := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) = 0\}$ of f . Let us define a map

$$F: \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus Z_f \rightarrow H_0(\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus Z_f)$$

from the complement of Z_f to the set of connected components of $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus Z_f$. The map F simply sends each elements $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus Z_f$ to their homology classes $[x] \in H_0(\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus Z_f)$, i.e. the connected component of $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus Z_f$ containing x . For basics of (co)homology theory, see [BT95].

Now, given an arbitrary finite data set (or a finite set of compact subsets) Ω in \mathbb{R}_+^n , we can reformulate a classification problem

$$N: \Omega \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\} \quad (8)$$

as a problem of finding a smooth map f satisfying the following property: for $x, y \in \Omega$,

$$N(x) = N(y) \iff F(x) = F(y).$$

Clearly, this reformulation requires that $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus Z_f$ has at least k connected components so that F can realise the same classification problem (8).

4.2 Backpropagation and its tropicalisation

Let f be a function which realises the classification problem in (8), and let $\{f_i \mid i = 0, \dots, \infty\}$ be a sequence of deep ReLU networks coming from the backpropagation algorithm in (1)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k = f.$$

Each function f_k is piecewise linear and continuous as they are realised by multilayered ReLU networks. See Proposition 3.5.1.

The corner locus of each f_k is mapped into the corner locus of the tropical limit $f_k^{tr} = \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow \infty} f_k$. In addition to the tropical images of these existing corner locus, the tropical limit of f_k form additional corner locus which is the tropical limit of the zero locus $Z_{f_k} = \{f_k = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$ under tropical degeneration. This new corner locus $Z_{f_k}^{tr}$ is the tropical zero set of f_k^{tr} defined by $\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow \infty} f_k$.

Lemma 4.2.1 *The zero locus Z_{f_k} of f_k is homeomorphic to the tropical set $Z_{f_k}^{tr}$.*

This statement follows from the fact that the map

$$\text{Log}_{\hbar} : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (\log_{\hbar}(x_1), \dots, \log_{\hbar}(x_n)) \quad (9)$$

is a diffeomorphism for all $\hbar < \infty$. Then the image $\text{Log}_{\hbar}(Z_{f_k})$ is homeomorphic to Z_{f_k} for any finite \hbar . For the tropical limit $\hbar \rightarrow \infty$, we use the fact

$$\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{d\hbar} \text{Log}_{\hbar} = 0$$

to show that $Z_{f_k}^{tr}$ is homeomorphic to $\text{Log}_{\hbar}(Z_{f_k})$ for sufficiently large \hbar .

We note that this statement is in fact a special case of Viro's theorem [Vi06]. Viro observed that tropical degeneration preserves the topology of real algebraic varieties. He developed a method, known as *Viro patchworking*, that combinatorially constructs any real algebraic variety with a prescribed topology. We believe that Viro's method in its general form can also be directly used in classification problems in (8).

Corollary 4.2.2 *The classification $N : \Omega \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ in (8) can be realised by the tropical set*

$$f^{tr} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k^{tr}$$

as the tropical set that f^{tr} defines is homeomorphic to Z_f .

Corollary 4.2.2 essentially means that we can simply apply Log_h (9) to each step of the backpropagation algorithm given in (1) to define the tropical version of the backpropagation algorithm. This is done by taking tropical image of each entry of the matrices, and then replacing the matrix addition and multiplication by their respective tropical arithmetic operations \oplus_∞ and \otimes_∞ in (2): Let $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ and $\mathbf{B} = [b_{ij}]$ be $n \times m$ matrices. The *tropical matrix sum*, $\mathbf{A} \oplus_\infty \mathbf{B}$, is then obtained by evaluating the tropical sum of the corresponding entries,

$$(\mathbf{A} \oplus_\infty \mathbf{B})_{ij} := a_{ij} \oplus_\infty b_{ij} = \max\{a_{ij}, b_{ij}\}.$$

The *tropical multiplication* $\mathbf{A} \otimes_\infty \mathbf{B}$ of two matrices $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\mathbf{B} = [b_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ is given by the matrix $\mathbf{C} = [c_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ with entries

$$c_{ij} := \oplus_\infty (a_{ik} \otimes_\infty b_{kj}) = \max_k \{a_{ik} + b_{kj}\}.$$

By using the error term (1) and tropical linear algebra defined above, we formulate tropical gradient descent iteration as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{\text{new}}^{(k)} &= \mathbf{W}^{(k)} \oplus_\infty \Delta \mathbf{W}^{(k)} \\ &= \mathbf{W}^{(k)} \oplus_\infty -\epsilon \left(\mathbf{D}^{(k)} \otimes_\infty \mathbf{W}^{(k+1)} \otimes_\infty \dots \otimes_\infty \mathbf{D}^{(l)} \otimes_\infty \mathbf{W}^{(l+1)} \otimes_\infty \mathbf{e} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Corollary 4.2.3 *The tropical backpropagation algorithm in (10) has a lower algorithmic complexity relative to the vanilla backpropagation algorithm.*

This statement follows from the construction of tropical backpropagation which simply eliminates the complexities of multiplications.

Remark 4.2.4 *On a machine with a fixed register size (the number of bits available to represent a number), the improvements we get by swapping the ring of reals with the tropical semiring of reals will only effect the the constant factor of the complexity of matrix operations. On a classical machine with 128-bit registers, the complexity of ordinary multiplication of two $n \times n$ matrices is $O(n^3)$ with constant factor of 2^{14} while the same multiplication on a tropical machine with the same size registers will take again $O(n^3)$ time but with the constant factor of 2^7 .*

Remark 4.2.5 *The tropical linear algebra is efficient and lowers the algorithmic complexity of large matrix operations, and therefore, fits well with the backpropagation and may be used in other applications. However, there are also serious limitations as it does not admit matrix inversion due to its idempotent nature.*

5 Conclusion

In this note, we defined the tropical limit of the ReLU function which is used in many neural network models. We also showed that the multilayered feedforward neural networks using this tropical unit carry the properties of the universal approximator. With further analysis, we established that the topology of the zero loci of functions

realised by the multilayered neural networks do not change when their tropical limit is considered. This observation allowed us to tropicalize the backpropagation algorithm solving any classification problem. The tropical backpropagation algorithm is simply obtained from the classical backpropagation algorithm in (1) and replacing the matrix addition and multiplication with their tropical analogues based on the tropical arithmetic operations \oplus_∞ and \otimes_∞ in (2).

As the tropical backpropagation algorithm works over the tropical semiring, it comes with a considerably algorithmic advantages with almost no drawback:

- Tropicalization reduces the algorithmic complexity significantly by eliminating multiplications.
- The performance gain out of tropicalization does not come with a cost of substantial change in the existing code, since it only requires a swapping an ordinary linear algebra library with an appropriate tropical linear algebra library.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank to Grisha Mikhalkin, not only for introducing me to the tropical geometry over the years, but also for inviting me over to Geneva (in various occasions) and listening my half baked ideas with Ilia Itenberg patiently. I also thank to Yiğit Gündüç who introduced me to the concepts of computational complexity decades earlier.

I am grateful to Atabey Kaygun, for being an unwearrying friend and collaborator. Main idea of this note appeared first during a discussion with Atabey, and he read and commented on all versions of it, i.e., he is a secret author of this paper. Saying that, all mistakes are mine, mine only.

References

- [BT95] R. Bott, L.W. Tu, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 1995.
- [Cy89] G. Cybenko, *Approximations by superpositions of sigmoidal functions*. Mathematics of Control, Signals, and Systems (1989), 2 (4),303–314.
- [DBBNG] C. Dugas, Y. Bengio, F. Bélisle, C. Nadeau, R. Garcia, *Incorporating Second-Order Functional Knowledge for Better Option Pricing*. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 13, MIT Press, 2001, 472–478.
- [HMD15] S. Han, H. Mao, W.J. Dally, *Deep Compression: Compressing Deep Neural Networks with Pruning, Trained Quantization and Huffman Coding*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.00149>
- [H91] K. Hornik, *Approximation Capabilities of Multilayer Feedforward Networks*. Neural Networks, 4(2) (1991), 251–257.
- [Hor14] M. Horowitz, *1.1 computing’s energy problem (and what we can do about it)*. Solid-State Circuits Conference Digest of Technical Papers (ISSCC), 2014.

- [IMS] I. Itenberg, G. Mikhalkin, E.I. Shustin, *Tropical Algebraic Geometry*. Oberwolfach Series Birkhäuser; 2 edition (2009).
- [Ka95] A.A. Karatsuba, *The Complexity of Computations*. Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics. 211 (1995): 16–183.
- [LLPS] M. Leshno, V.Ya. Lin, A. Pinkus, S. Schocken, *Multilayer Feedforward Networks With a Nonpolynomial Activation Function Can Approximate Any Function*. Neural Networks, Vol. 6, pp. 861–867, 1993.
- [Ga12] F. Le Gall, *Faster algorithms for rectangular matrix multiplication*, Proceedings of the 53rd Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS 2012), pp. 514–523.
- [LKDSG] H. Li, A. Kadav, I. Durdanovic, H. Samet, H.P. Graf, *Pruning Filters for Efficient ConvNets*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.08710>
- [PV17] P. Petersen, F. Voigtlaender, *Optimal approximation of piecewise smooth functions using deep ReLU neural networks*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.05289v3>
- [Ro96] R. Rojas, *Neural Networks: A Systematic Introduction*. Springer, 1996.
- [Ru16] S. Ruder, *An overview of gradient descent optimization algorithms*. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1609.04747.pdf>.
- [Sp64] D. Sprecher, *On the Structure of Continuous Functions of Several Variables*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 115 (1964), pp. 340–355.
- [TF97] G. Thimm, E. Fissler, *Pruning of neural networks*. <http://publications.idiap.ch/downloads/reports/1997/rr97-03.pdf>
- [Vi06] O. Viro, *Patchworking Real Algebraic Varieties*. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0611382.pdf>
- [Vi01] O. Viro, *Dequantization of Real Algebraic Geometry on a Logarithmic Paper*. Proceedings of the 3rd European Congress of Mathematicians, Birkhäuser, Progress in Math, 201, (2001), 135–146.
- [Ya16] D. Yarotsky, *Error bounds for approximations with deep ReLU networks*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.01145>
- [YCS16] T.J. Yang, Y.H. Chen, V. Sze, *Designing Energy-Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks using Energy-Aware Pruning*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.05128>