

Multilayer Haldane model

Xi Wu,^{1,*} C.X. Zhang,^{1,†} and M. A. Zubkov^{‡1,§}

¹*Physics Department, Ariel University, Ariel 40700, Israel*

We propose the model of layered materials, in which each layer is described by the conventional Haldane model, while the inter - layer hopping parameter corresponds to the ABC stacking. We calculate the topological invariant N_3 for the resulting model, which is responsible for the conductivity of intrinsic quantum Hall effect. It has been shown that in a certain range of the values of interlayer hopping parameter, the value of N_3 is equal to the number of layers multiplied by the topological invariant of each layer. At the same time this value may be calculated using the low energy effective theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum Hall Effect (QHE) [1–3] is one of the most remarkable phenomena in solid state physics. The quantization of Hall conductivity is so precise that it may be used as an etalon of its physical unit. The quantization is provided by the topological properties of matter. Originally the QHE has been considered in the presence of external magnetic field. Later the models of intrinsic anomalous QHE have been proposed, in which the QHE exists without external magnetic field. The very first model of this type is the so - called Haldane model [4]. It reveals correspondence with the tight - binding model of single - layer graphene [5]. Several extra terms are added to the latter model that provide a non - trivial band topology resulted in the QHE. The Haldane model itself does not describe any real materials. However, qualitatively it describes the wide class of two - dimensional solids - state systems called now Chern insulators [6, 7].

The quantum Hall conductivity of intrinsic QHE may be expressed through the topological invariant N_3 composed of the two - point Green function [8–10]. This invariant is also relevant for the description of topological phenomena in Helium-3 superfluid [11]. As well as the ordinary QHE the intrinsic QHE may be both integer and fractional [6, 7]. In the majority of solid state systems the values of N_3 are 0, 1, -1 . Larger values of N_3 are more rare. The systems with arbitrary values of the topological invariant have been considered, for example, in [12, 13].

In the present paper we propose the model of two - dimensional layered systems based on the analogy to the multi - layer graphene [14–16]. Various multi - layered systems are well - known in solid - state physics (see, for example, [17] and references therein). The model considered in our present paper may be called the multilayer Haldane model (with ABC stacking). We demonstrate that its value of N_3 is equal to the topological invariant of conventional (mono - layer) Haldane model multiplied by the number of layers, in a certain range of inter - layer hopping parameter. As it was mentioned above, the Haldane model is defined on the hexagonal lattice. Therefore, our multi - layer Haldane Hamiltonian is related to the mono - layer Haldane Hamiltonian in the way similar to the models of multi - layer graphene [14–16].

II. THE MULTI - LAYER HALDANE MODEL

Thus in the case of ABC stacking, the multi - layer Haldane Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathbb{H}_n = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 & t^T & & & & \\ t & H_1 & t^T & & & \\ & t & H_1 & t^T & & \\ & & & \dots & & \\ & & & t & H_1 & \\ & & & & & \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 2n}, \quad (1)$$

[‡] On leave of absence from NRC "Kurchatov Institute" - ITEP, B. Cheremushkinskaya 25, Moscow, 117259, Russia

*Electronic address: wuxi5949@gmail.com

†Electronic address: zhang12345@sina.com

§Electronic address: zubkov@itep.ru

Here $\mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t_{\perp} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, while t_{\perp} is the inter - layer hopping parameter. H_1 is the Hamiltonian of mono - layer Haldane model

$$H_1 = 2t_2 \cos \phi \sum_i \cos(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{b}_i) \sigma_0 + t_1 \sum_i [\cos(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i) \sigma_1 + \sin(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i) \sigma_2] + [M - 2t_2 \sin \phi \sum_i \sin(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{b}_i)] \sigma_3, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{a}_1| &= |\mathbf{a}_2| = |\mathbf{a}_3| = a \\ \cos\langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \rangle &= \cos\langle \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3 \rangle = \cos\langle \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_1 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \\ \mathbf{b}_i &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} (\mathbf{a}_j - \mathbf{a}_k). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We can also represent the Hamiltonian of the multi - layer system as follows

$$\mathbb{H}_n = h_0 \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i \sigma_i + \hat{Y} t_{\perp}, \quad (4)$$

with

$$h_0 = 2t_2 \cos \phi \sum_i \cos(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{b}_i) \quad (5)$$

$$h_1 = t_1 \sum_i \cos(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i) \quad (6)$$

$$h_2 = t_1 \sum_i \sin(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i) \quad (7)$$

$$h_3 = [M - 2t_2 \sin \phi \sum_i \sin(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{b}_i)]. \quad (8)$$

Here

$$\hat{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y^T & & & \\ y & 0 & y^T & & \\ & y & 0 & y^T & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & y & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 2n}, \quad (9)$$

with

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

while by σ_i we denote the "repeated" Pauli matrices:

$$\sigma_i = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_i & & & & \\ & \sigma_i & & & \\ & & \sigma_i & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & \sigma_i \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 2n}, \quad (10)$$

Commutation relations follow:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} &= 2\delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3 \\ \{\sigma_0, \sigma_j\} &= 2\sigma_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3 \\ \{\sigma_3, \hat{Y}\} &= 0 \\ \{\sigma_1, \hat{Y}\} &= \Sigma_1 \\ \{\sigma_2, \hat{Y}\} &= \Sigma_2 \\ \{\sigma_0, \hat{Y}\} &= 2\hat{Y} \\ \hat{Y}^2 &= 1_{\pm} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$1_{\pm} = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{-} & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \dots \\ & & & & 1_{+} \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 2n}, \quad (12)$$

with

$$1_{-} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$1_{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

while

$$\Sigma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 2n}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\Sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i & & & \\ i & 0 & -i & & \\ & i & 0 & -i & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & i & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 2n}, \quad (14)$$

Propagator may be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_n &= ((\mathcal{E} - h_0)\sigma_0 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i \sigma_i - \hat{Y} t_{\perp})^{-1} \\ &= ((\mathcal{E} - h_0)\sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i \sigma_i + \hat{Y} t_{\perp}) \left((\mathcal{E} - h_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i^2 - t_{\perp}^2 1_{\pm} - t_{\perp} \Sigma_1 h_1 - t_{\perp} \Sigma_2 h_2 \right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The energy levels \mathcal{E} are given by solutions of equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \det((\mathcal{E} - h_0)\sigma_0 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i \sigma_i - \hat{Y} t_{\perp}) \\ &= \det \sigma_3 ((\mathcal{E} - h_0)\sigma_0 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i \sigma_i - \hat{Y} t_{\perp}) \sigma_3 \\ &= \det((\mathcal{E} - h_0)\sigma_0 - h_3 \sigma_3 + \sum_{i=1,2} h_i \sigma_i + \hat{Y} t_{\perp}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \det((\mathcal{E} - h_0)\sigma_0 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i \sigma_i - \hat{Y} t_{\perp}) ((\mathcal{E} - h_0)\sigma_0 - h_3 \sigma_3 + \sum_{i=1,2} h_i \sigma_i + \hat{Y} t_{\perp}) \\ &= \det \left((\mathcal{E} - h_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i^2 - t_{\perp}^2 1_{\pm} - t_{\perp} \Sigma_1 h_1 - t_{\perp} \Sigma_2 h_2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

or

$$0 = d_n(\mathcal{E})$$

where

$$d_n(\mathcal{E}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} F_-(\mathcal{E}) & -t_\perp(h_1 - ih_2) & & & \\ -t_\perp(h_1 + ih_2) & F(\mathcal{E}) & -t_\perp(h_1 - ih_2) & & \\ 0 & -t_\perp(h_1 + ih_2) & F(\mathcal{E}) & -t_\perp(h_1 - ih_2) & \\ & & \dots & & \\ & & -t_\perp(h_1 + ih_2) & F_+(\mathcal{E}) & \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 2n}, \quad (18)$$

and

$$F(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{E} - h_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i^2 - t_\perp^2$$

$$F_+(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{E} - h_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i^2 - t_\perp^2 1_+$$

$$F_-(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{E} - h_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i^2 - t_\perp^2 1_-$$

Let us consider, for example, the particular case $n = 2$. Then $d_2(\mathcal{E})$ is

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} (\mathcal{E} - h_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i^2 & 0 & -t_\perp(h_1 - ih_2) & 0 \\ 0 & (\mathcal{E} - h_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i^2 - t_\perp^2 & 0 & -t_\perp(h_1 - ih_2) \\ -t_\perp(h_1 + ih_2) & 0 & (\mathcal{E} - h_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i^2 - t_\perp^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -t_\perp(h_1 + ih_2) & 0 & (\mathcal{E} - h_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us denote $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{E} - h_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i^2$. We come to the following equation

$$0 = \mathcal{F}^2(\mathcal{E})(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}) - t_\perp^2)^2 - 2\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E})(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}) - t_\perp^2)t_\perp^2(h_1^2 + h_2^2) + t_\perp^4(h_1^2 + h_2^2)^2 \quad (19)$$

The energy bands can be found analytically:

$$\mathcal{E} = h_0 \pm \sqrt{\sum_{i=1,2,3} h_i^2 + \frac{t_\perp^2}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4 \frac{h_1^2 + h_2^2}{t_\perp^2}} \right)} \quad (20)$$

One can see, that when the value of t_\perp is increased from zero, the gap is not closed as far as h_3 remains nonzero. However, it becomes infinitely close to zero at $t_\perp \rightarrow \infty$ at the points, where $h_3(p_1, p_2) = 0$. Such points do exist if the corresponding monolayer Haldane model has nonzero topological invariant. We do not exclude, however, that for the larger number of layers the gap might be closed for a certain finite critical value $t_\perp^{(c)}$. But even so, there should obviously exist the region of the parameter $0 < t_\perp < t_\perp^{(c)}$, where the gap remains open.

III. TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANT FOR HALL CONDUCTIVITY

The topological invariant [8–10] responsible for the conductivity of intrinsic QHE, is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{N}[\mathbb{G}] = \frac{1}{3!} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^2} \epsilon^{ijk} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{G} \partial_i \mathbb{G}^{-1} \mathbb{G} \partial_j \mathbb{G}^{-1} \mathbb{G} \partial_k \mathbb{G}^{-1}). \quad (21)$$

It is proportional to Hall conductivity: $\sigma_H = \frac{\mathcal{N}[\mathbb{G}]}{2\pi}$. In these expressions \mathbb{G} is the two - point Green function of electrons. Starting from Eq. (19), the inverse of Green's function in multilayer Haldane model (with n layers) can be written as

$$\mathbb{G}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} Q & t^T & & & \\ t & Q & t^T & & \\ & t & Q & t^T & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & t & Q \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 2n}, \quad (22)$$




FIG. 1: Dependence of energy on momenta in the units of t_1 (the lowest bands) for the 5 - layer Haldane model at $2t_2 \cos \phi = 2t_2 \sin \phi = 0.01 t_1$, $M = 0.1 t_1$, $t_\perp = t_1$.

where $Q = i\omega - H_1$.

If a matrix \mathbb{G} is a direct tensor product of the two other matrices G_1 and G_2 , then the topological invariant, or the winding number, of \mathbb{G} will be the sum of the topological invariant of G_1 and that of G_2 . Namely, if $\mathbb{G} = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & G_2 \end{bmatrix}$, then $N[\mathbb{G}] = N[G_1] + N[G_2]$ [18].

The proof is trivial. Namely, we have $\mathbb{G}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} G_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & G_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{N}[\mathbb{G}] &= \frac{1}{3!} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^2} \epsilon^{ijk} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{G} \partial_i \mathbb{G}^{-1} \mathbb{G} \partial_j \mathbb{G}^{-1} \mathbb{G} \partial_k \mathbb{G}^{-1}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3!} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^2} \epsilon^{ijk} \text{Tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & G_2 \end{bmatrix} \partial_i \begin{bmatrix} G_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & G_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & G_2 \end{bmatrix} \partial_j \begin{bmatrix} G_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & G_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & G_2 \end{bmatrix} \partial_k \begin{bmatrix} G_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & G_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3!} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^2} \epsilon^{ijk} \text{Tr}(G_1 \partial_i G_1^{-1} G_1 \partial_j G_1^{-1} G_1 \partial_k G_1^{-1} + G_2 \partial_i G_2^{-1} G_2 \partial_j G_2^{-1} G_2 \partial_k G_2^{-1}) \\
 &= \mathcal{N}[G_1] + \mathcal{N}[G_2].
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

When $t_\perp = 0$, Eq. (22) becomes

$$\mathbb{G}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} Q & & & & \\ & Q & & & \\ & & Q & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & Q \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 2n}, \tag{24}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{N}[\mathbb{G}_n] = n\mathcal{N}[G]. \quad (25)$$

One can see that without the inter-layer hopping, the n-layer Haldane model would have the topological invariant with the value equal to the sum of the topological invariants of each layer. Although above we considered the case, when all layers have the same Hamiltonian, this is true even when the Hamiltonians of the layers differ from each other.

According to remark of the previous section when the value of t_\perp is increased starting from zero, the value of the Hall conductivity remains the same if the Fermi energy is tuned in order to remain inside the gap. This value remains constant until the gap is closed (which may occur for a certain critical value of t_\perp).

IV. EFFECTIVE LOW ENERGY THEORY

Let's consider the case when the interlayer hopping parameter is much larger than the gap of the monolayer Haldane model. This corresponds qualitatively to the real situation that takes place in multilayer graphene [5]. In such a case, there is an effective description in which the topological invariant can be directly computed. At the would-be Fermi points K and K' of graphene the off-diagonal parts of the Hamiltonian for the monolayer Haldane model vanish. In the small vicinity of K we can write the Hamiltonian of monolayer Haldane model as (the similar expression will be at the K' point):

$$H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} h_0 + h_3 & v\pi^\dagger \\ v\pi & h_0 - h_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

where $\pi = (p_1 - K_1) + i(p_2 - K_2)$, and

$$h_3 = M - 2t_2 \sin \phi \sum_i \sin(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{b}_i),$$

$$h_0 = 2t_2 \cos \phi \sum_i \cos(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{b}_i),$$

h_3 is nonzero in a vicinity of K . The interlayer hopping parameter is much larger than the gap, which gives that $t_\perp \gg |h_3|$ or $t_\perp \gg t_2, M$. At this point we assume that the gap remains open in the given model, and the Fermi energy is inside the gap.

Let us show now that the effective 2×2 low energy Hamiltonian of the n-layer Haldane model has the form

$$H_n^{eff} = \begin{bmatrix} h_3^{(n)} & h^{(n)}(v\pi^\dagger)^n \\ h^{(n)}(v\pi)^n & -h_3'^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

using the mathematical induction similar to that of [16]. Here certain functions $h^{(n)}$, $h_3'^{(n)}$, $h_3^{(n)}$ depend on the size of the matrix. First, indeed the monolayer Haldane model has such a form. Next, suppose that the n-layer Haldane model has the effective 2×2 low energy Hamiltonian with the form given by Eq. (27). Adding one more layer to the n-layer Haldane model, we obtain the Hamiltonian of the resulting model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_3^{(n)} & h^{(n)}(v\pi^\dagger)^n & & & \\ h^{(n)}(v\pi)^n & -h_3'^{(n)} & t_\perp & & \\ & t_\perp & h_3 & v\pi^\dagger & \\ & & v\pi & -h_3 & \end{bmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

The transformation based on the following matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

brings the Hamiltonian to the following form

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_3^{(n)} & & & h^{(n)}(v\pi^\dagger)^n \\ & -h_3 & v\pi & \\ & v\pi^\dagger & h_3 & t_\perp \\ h^{(n)}(v\pi)^n & & t_\perp & -h_3^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (H_{PP})_{2 \times 2} & (H_{PQ})_{2 \times 2} \\ (H_{QP})_{2 \times 2} & (H_{QQ})_{2 \times 2} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

We can consider H_{PQ} and H_{QP} as perturbations in a vicinity of $\pi = 0$, when $t_\perp \gg |h_3|$. Then according to the degenerate state perturbation theory the effective 2×2 Hamiltonian is given by

$$H^{eff} \approx H_{PP} - H_{PQ} \frac{1}{H_{QQ}} H_{QP}. \quad (30)$$

Applying Eq. (30) to Eq. (29) we get the the effective 2×2 low energy Hamiltonian of the $(n+1)$ - layer Haldane model of the form of Eq. (27), in which functions $h^{(n)}$, $h_3^{(n)}$, $h_3'^{(n)}$ are defined by recursive relations:

$$\begin{aligned} h_3^{(1)} &= h_3 + h_0, & h^{(1)} &= 1, & h_3'^{(1)} &= h_3 - h_0 \\ h_3^{(n+1)} &= h_3^{(n)} + h_0 + \frac{|v\pi|^{2n} (h^{(n)})^2 (h_3 + h_0)}{t_\perp^2 + (h_3 + h_0)(h_3^{(n)} - h_0)} \\ h^{(n+1)} &= -\frac{t_\perp h^{(n)}}{t_\perp^2 + (h_3 + h_0)(h_3^{(n)} - h_0)} \\ h_3'^{(n+1)} &= h_3 - h_0 + \frac{|v\pi|^2}{t_\perp^2 + (h_3 + h_0)(h_3^{(n)} - h_0)} (h_3'^{(n)} - h_0) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Recall that $t_\perp \gg h_3$. In the following we consider small vicinity of K , where $|v\pi| \ll t_\perp$. One can see, that function $h^{(n)}$ in this vicinity is close to the constant $\frac{1}{(-t_\perp)^{n-1}}$, while $h_3^{(n)}$ is close to function $h_3 + h_0$, which remains almost constant in this vicinity.

V. TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANT FOR THE LOW ENERGY EFFECTIVE THEORY

If the gap is not closed when the value of t_\perp is increased from zero to the given value, we may deform the Hamiltonian smoothly to the form, in which it is equal to

$$H_{n+1}^{eff} = \begin{bmatrix} h_3 & h^{(n+1)}(v\pi^\dagger)^{n+1} \\ h^{(n+1)}(v\pi)^{n+1} & -h_3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

It is possible to make this deformation in such a way that the poles of the Green function do not appear. Therefore, the given deformation cannot lead to modification of the topological number under consideration [12, 19]:

$$N[H] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2\mathbf{p} \epsilon^{abc} \hat{h}_a \partial_{p_x} \hat{h}_b \partial_{p_y} \hat{h}_c, \quad (33)$$

where

$$\hat{h}_a = \frac{g_a}{\sqrt{\sum_a g_a^2}}$$

and $g_3 = h_3$, $g_1 + iq_2 = h^{(n+1)}(v\pi^\dagger)^{n+1}$. From Eq.(33) we can understand that by stripping the same positive function from all the coefficients, we do not change the value of the topological invariant. Thus, we can use an even more simple Hamiltonian

$$H_{n+1}^{eff} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{h_3}{|h^{(n+1)}|} & \text{sgn}(h^{(n+1)})(v\pi^\dagger)^{n+1} \\ \text{sgn}(h^{(n+1)})(v\pi)^{n+1} & -\frac{h_3}{|h^{(n+1)}|} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

Moreover, the simultaneous change of the signs of h_1 and h_2 will not affect the topological invariant. Therefore, we do not need to worry about the sign of $h^{(n+1)}$, and get a further simplified Hamiltonian with the same value of the topological invariant as that of Eq.(34)

$$H_{n+1}^{eff} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{h_3}{|h^{(n+1)}|} & (v\pi^\dagger)^{n+1} \\ (v\pi)^{n+1} & -\frac{h_3}{|h^{(n+1)}|} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (35)$$

This can already be put into Eq. (33) for the direct computation, i.e. we set $g_3 = \frac{h_3}{|h^{(n+1)}|}$, $g_1 + iq_2 = (v\pi^\dagger)^{n+1}$. The result is

$$N[H_{n+1}^{eff}] = (n+1)N[H_1]. \quad (36)$$

The similar procedure can be applied to the vicinity of the K' point. Finally, we obtain that the topological invariant (and thus the Hall conductivity) for the low energy effective theory is equal to the number of the layers times the value of topological invariant (the Hall conductivity) of monolayer Haldane model. This pattern is illustrated by Fig. 2.




FIG. 2: Dependence of energy on momentum p_y at $p_x = 0$ in the units of t_1 for the 5 - layer Haldane model at $2t_2 \cos \phi = 2t_2 \sin \phi = 0.01 t_1$, $M = 0.1 t_1$, $t_\perp = t_1$. The orange dashed line represents the energy bands of effective low energy theory. The green dotted line represents the line of zero energy $E = 0$ counted from the Fermi level.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have studied the topological invariant responsible for the intrinsic QHE conductivity of the multilayer Haldane model with ABC stacking. We showed that the value of the topological invariant is equal to the number of layers times the value of the topological invariant of monolayer Haldane model for the sufficiently small values of interlayer hopping parameter. It has the same value also when the value of this parameter is increased until the gap is closed if Fermi energy remains in the gap. It appears that the same value is given also by the effective low energy model containing only two energy bands.

It is worth mentioning, that in our analysis we disregard completely the consideration of both inter - electron interactions and disorder. According to rather general considerations of [20] the interactions cannot affect the value of Hall conductivity, at least, on the level of perturbation theory. The similar statement may also be drawn for the role of disorder [21] as long as we consider the value of conductivity averaged over the sample area. In the latter case, however, the Hall current is expected to be concentrated along the boundary.

The multi - layered system qualitatively similar to the one discussed here, in principle, may be realized experimentally [22, 23] via the appropriate crystal growth. Such a growth being performed would represent an engineering of

the system with arbitrary large integer value of the topological invariant responsible for intrinsic anomalous quantum Hall effect.

-
- [1] K. v. Klitzing, G. Dorda, and M. Pepper, *Physical Review Letters* **45**, 494 (1980).
 - [2] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, *Physical Review Letters* **49**, 405 (1982).
 - [3] X.-L. Qi, *Physical Review B* **78** (2008).
 - [4] F. D. M. Haldane, *Physical Review Letters* **61**, 2015 (1988).
 - [5] M. I. Katsnelson, *Materials Today* **10**, 20 (2007), ISSN 1369-7021, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1369702106717886>.
 - [6] C. C. Titus Neupert, Luiz Santos and C. Mudry, *Physical Review Letters* **106** (2011).
 - [7] D. Sheng, Z.-C. Gu, K. Sun, and L. Sheng, *Nature Communications* **2** (2011), URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1380>.
 - [8] K. Ishikawa and T. Matsuyama, *Nuclear Physics B* **280**, 523 (1987), ISSN 0550-3213, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/055032138790160X>.
 - [9] M. F. L. Golterman, K. Jansen, and D. B. Kaplan, *Phys. Lett.* **B301**, 219 (1993), hep-lat/9209003.
 - [10] G. E. Volovik, *The Universe in a Helium Droplet* (Oxford University Press, 2009), URL <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof/3Aoso/2F9780199564842.001.0001>.
 - [11] G. E. Volovik, **67**, 1804 (1988), URL http://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:22027484.
 - [12] F. P. Doru Sticlet, J.-N. Fuchs, P. Kalugin, and P. Simon, *Physical Review B* **85** (2012).
 - [13] D. Sticlet and F. Piéchon, *Physical Review B* **87** (2013).
 - [14] F. Guinea, A. H. C. Neto, and N. M. R. Peres, *Physical Review B* **73** (2006).
 - [15] E. McCann and V. I. Fal'ko, *Physical Review Letters* **96** (2006).
 - [16] H. Min and A. H. MacDonald, *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement* **176**, 227 (2008).
 - [17] M. Trescher and E. J. Bergholtz, *Physical Review B* **86** (2012).
 - [18] M. Nakahara, *Geometry, topology and physics* (CRC Press, 2003).
 - [19] X. Wu and M. Zubkov, arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.09135 (2020).
 - [20] C. X. Zhang and M. A. Zubkov, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **53**, 195002 (2020), ISSN 1751-8121, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ab81d4>.
 - [21] C. X. Zhang and M. A. Zubkov, *JETP Letters* **110**, 487–494 (2019), ISSN 1090-6487, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S0021364019190020>.
 - [22] G. Jotzu, M. Messer, R. Desbuquois, M. Lebrat, T. Uehlinger, D. Greif, and T. Esslinger, *Nature* **515**, 237–240 (2014), ISSN 1476-4687, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13915>.
 - [23] Y.-F. Zhao, R. Zhang, R. Mei, L.-J. Zhou, H. Yi, Y.-Q. Zhang, J. Yu, R. Xiao, K. Wang, N. Samarth, et al., *Tuning chern number in quantum anomalous hall insulators* (2020), 2006.16215.