

KÄHLER-EINSTEIN METRICS ON PASQUIER'S TWO-ORBITS VARIETIES

AKIHIRO KANEMITSU

ABSTRACT. We show that there exist Kähler-Einstein metrics on two exceptional Pasquier's two-orbits varieties. As an application, we will provide a new example of K-unstable Fano manifold with Picard number one.

INTRODUCTION

0.1. **Pasquier's two-orbits varieties.** In 2009, as an application of his study on horospherical varieties, Pasquier classified a special kind of two-orbits varieties that satisfies the following condition [Pas09]:

Condition 0.1.

- X is a Fano manifold with Picard number one.
- The connected automorphism group $G := \text{Aut}^0(X)$ acts on X with two orbits $X^0 \amalg Z$, where X^0 is the open orbit and Z is the closed orbit.
- The blow-up $\text{Bl}_Z X$ is again a two-orbits variety $X^0 \amalg E$ with respect to G , where E is the exceptional divisor of the blow-up.

In summary, he showed that each Fano manifold with the above condition satisfies one of the following:

- (1) X is a horospherical variety;
- (2) X is isomorphic to a 23-dimensional Fano manifold \mathcal{P}_{F_4} with $G = F_4$;
- (3) X is isomorphic to an 8-dimensional Fano manifold $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$ with $G = A_1 \times G_2$.

In the course of his study, he also determined the group G for case (1); in each of horospherical cases, G is not reductive, and hence there are no Kähler-Einstein metrics on X [Mat57].

In a previous article [Kan20], the author studied stability of tangent bundles for varieties satisfying Condition 0.1. Therein we have provided examples of Fano manifolds with Picard number one whose tangent bundles are unstable, which disproved a folklore conjecture. The present article is a continuation of this previous paper [Kan20]; the purpose of this article is to show the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics in the two remaining cases \mathcal{P}_{F_4} and $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$:

Theorem 0.2. *There are Kähler-Einstein metrics on \mathcal{P}_{F_4} and $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$.*

Remark 0.3. In [Del20b, Theorem 4.1], Delcroix also obtained the proof of the above result independently. The method used in his proof is different from our proof; Delcroix's proof relies on a criterion of K-stability for spherical varieties, while our proof does not use the fact \mathcal{P}_{F_4} and $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$ are spherical, but relies on the G -equivariant valuative criterion of K-stability.

Date: November 11, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14J45, 14J40.

Key words and phrases. Fano manifold, Kähler-Einstein metric, K-stability, two orbits variety.

The author is a JSPS Research Fellow and supported by the Grant-in-Aid for JSPS fellows (JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18J00681).

Remark 0.4. More precisely, we will show that \mathcal{P}_{F_4} and $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$ are K-polystable, which is equivalent to the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics by virtue of [Tia97, Don02, Ber16, CDS15a, CDS15b, CDS15c, Tia15].

The variety $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$ is a Mukai 8-fold of genus 7 (see [BFM20, Section 4.2] or [Kan20, Remark 4.2]). Recall that, by [Kuz18, Section 6], there are two isomorphic classes X_{sp} and X_{gen} of Mukai 8-folds of genus 7, and X_{gen} degenerates to X_{sp} . One can prove that $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2} \simeq X_{\text{gen}}$ (cf. [Kan20, Remark 4.2]). See Section 4 for a proof of this fact and also [BFM20, Proposition 4.8, Remark 4.10, Remark 5.4] for proofs. Thus, by the general properties of K-moduli, we have:

Corollary 0.5. *X_{sp} is not K-semistable. In particular, there are no Kähler-Einstein metrics on X_{sp} .*

Remark 0.6. The above corollary provides a new counter-example of a conjecture due to Odaka and Okada [OO13, Conjecture 5.1]. See [Fuj17] and [Del20a] for other examples.

0.2. Organization of the paper. The article is organized as follows: Section 1 provides preliminaries on G -equivariant valuative criterion of K-stability. The main ingredient of our proof is Theorem 1.5, which provides a criterion of K-stability by means of valuations. In Subsection 1.4, we provide the outline of the proof.

In Section 2, we briefly recall the geometry of \mathcal{P}_{F_4} and $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$. Then, in Section 3, we complete our proof of Theorem 0.2. In the last section (=Section 4), we prove Corollary 0.5. We also give a remark on the relation between Kähler-Einstein metrics and foliations.

Convention 0.7. We work over the complex number field \mathbf{C} . For a vector space V , $\mathbf{P}_{\text{sub}}(V)$ denotes the parameter space of 1-dimensional subspaces in V .

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Thibaut Delcroix for careful reading of the first draft of this paper, and also for discussions about the difference between his proof and our proof. The author is also grateful to Professors Kento Fujita and Yuji Odaka for helpful discussions and also for answering questions on K-stability of Fano varieties. The author also would like to thank Professor Baohua Fu for drawing his attention to [BFM20] and for explaining Remark 4.3.

1. PRELIMINARIES: G -EQUIVARIANT VALUATIVE CRITERION OF K-STABILITY

Here we briefly recall the definition of K-stability and its criterion. For simplicity, we assume that X is a smooth Fano variety and the polarization is given by $L := -K_X$.

1.1. K-stability. K-stability is defined by using test configurations and their Donaldson-Futaki invariants, which encode informations about degenerations of the variety X in question. We briefly recall the definitions.

Definition 1.1 ([Tia97], [Don02]).

- (1) A test configuration of the pair (X, L) is the following data:
 - a normal variety \mathcal{X} ;
 - a proper flat morphism $p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$;
 - a p -ample \mathbf{Q} -line bundle \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{X} ;
 - a \mathbf{G}_m -action on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ which makes the morphism p \mathbf{G}_m -equivariant with respect to the natural action \mathbf{G}_m on \mathbf{A}^1 ($(g, x) \mapsto gx$);
 - a \mathbf{G}_m -equivariant isomorphism $(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_0}) \simeq (X \times (\mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}), \text{pr}_1^* L)$.

- (2) A test configuration is called a *product test configuration* if $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \simeq (X \times \mathbf{A}^1, \text{pr}_1^* L)$.

Let $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}, \overline{\mathcal{L}})/\mathbf{P}^1$ be the compactification of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})/\mathbf{A}^1$ obtained by gluing $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})/\mathbf{A}^1$ and $(X \times \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \text{pr}_1^* L\})$. We denote by $\overline{p}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ the natural projection.

Definition 1.2 ([Tia97], [Don02], [Wan12], [Oda13]). For a test configuration of (X, L) , the *Donaldson-Futaki* invariant is defined as follows:

$$\text{DF}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) := \frac{n}{n+1} \cdot \frac{\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{n+1}}{L^n} + \frac{\overline{\mathcal{L}}^n \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}/\mathbf{P}^1}}{L^n},$$

where $K_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}/\mathbf{P}^1} := K_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} - \overline{p}^* K_{\mathbf{P}^1}$.

Definition 1.3.

- The pair (X, L) is called *K-semistable* if $\text{DF}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq 0$ for any test configuration.
- The pair (X, L) is called *K-polystable* if it is *K-semistable*, and moreover $\text{DF}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ only when $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is a product test configuration.

1.2. Valuative criterion. Here we recall the (G -equivariant) valuative criterion of *K-stability* [Fuj19], [Li17], [Zhu20]. A prime divisor *over* X is a prime divisor F on a resolution $\sigma: Y \rightarrow X$.

Definition 1.4.

- (1) The log discrepancy of X along F is defined as follows:

$$A(F) := 1 + \text{ord}_F(K_Y - \sigma^* K_X).$$

- (2) ([BJ20]) $S(F)$ is defined as follows:

$$S(F) := \frac{1}{L^n} \int_0^\infty \text{vol}(L - xF) dx,$$

where $\text{vol}(L - xF) := \text{vol}_Y(\sigma^* L - xF)$.

- (3) ([Fuj19], [Li17]) Then the β -invariant of F is defined as follows:

$$\beta(F) := (L^n)(A(F) - S(F)).$$

Theorem 1.5 ([Zhu20, Corollary 4.14]). *Assume that a reductive algebraic group G acts on (X, L) . If $\beta(F) > 0$ for any G -invariant prime divisor F over X , then X is *K-polystable*.*

1.3. ξ -invariant. By using formula (1.8.1) below, we replace the calculation of β -invariant with that of ξ -invariant [Fuj15], which corresponds to the slope stability of a subvariety in the sense of Ross-Thomas [RT07].

Definition 1.6. Let X be a Fano manifold and Z a smooth subvariety of codimension r . Denote by $\varphi: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ the blow-up of X along Z . Then the Seshadri constant of $\epsilon(Z)$ is defined as follows:

$$\epsilon(Z) := \max\{t \in \mathbf{R}_{>0} \mid \varphi^* L - tE \text{ is nef on } \widetilde{X}\}.$$

Proposition 1.7 ([Fuj15, Proposition 3.2]). *Set*

$$\xi(Z) := r \text{vol}_X(-K_X) + (\epsilon(Z) - r) \text{vol}_{\widetilde{X}}(\varphi^*(-K_X) - \epsilon(Z)E) - \int_0^{\epsilon(Z)} \text{vol}_{\widetilde{X}}(\varphi^*(-K_X) - xE) dx.$$

Then

$$\xi(Z) = n \int_0^{\epsilon(Z)} (r - x)(E \cdot (\varphi^*(-K_X) - xE)^{n-1}) dx.$$

Remark 1.8. Note that, if $\varphi^*(-K_X) - xE$ is not big for $x \geq \epsilon(Z)$, then

$$\text{vol}_{\tilde{X}}(\varphi^*(-K_X) - xE) = 0$$

for $x \geq \epsilon(Z)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(Z) &= r \text{vol}_X(-K_X) - \int_0^{\epsilon(Z)} \text{vol}_{\tilde{X}}(\varphi^*(-K_X) - xE) dx \\ (1.8.1) \quad &= r \text{vol}_X(-K_X) - \int_0^\infty \text{vol}_{\tilde{X}}(\varphi^*(-K_X) - xE) dx \\ &= (A(E) - S(E))L^n \\ &= \beta(E), \end{aligned}$$

where E is the exceptional divisor of the blow-up $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.

1.4. Outline of the proof. Here we briefly sketch the outline of our proof. In the case of Pasquier's two-orbits varieties $X = \mathcal{P}_{F_4}$ or $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$, the blow-up \tilde{X} of X along Z admits a smooth fibration $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ and hence we have the following diagram (see [Kan20, Propositions 2.5 and 2.7]):

$$(1.8.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\varphi|_E} & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \\ \downarrow \pi & & \\ Y & & \end{array}$$

Note that $\xi(Z) = \beta(E)$ by (1.8.1). By Condition 0.1, E is the unique G -invariant prime divisor over X . Note also that $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is reductive. Thus

$$X \text{ is K-polystable} \iff \beta(E) > 0 \iff \xi(Z) > 0.$$

Thus it is enough to show $\xi(Z) > 0$. By virtue of Proposition 1.7, we can calculate $\xi(Z)$ by computing intersection numbers on a homogeneous space E , and we will show $\xi(Z) > 0$, which completes the proof.

2. GEOMETRY OF PASQUIER'S TWO-ORBITS VARIETIES

Here we recall brief descriptions of Pasquier's two varieties \mathcal{P}_{F_4} and $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$. For detailed descriptions and the original descriptions, we refer the reader to [Kan20], [Pas09].

Proposition 2.1 ([Kan20, Proposition 2.5 and 2.7]). *Consider diagram (1.8.2). Let H_X and H_Y be the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X)$ and $\text{Pic}(Y)$ respectively.*

(1) *If $X = \mathcal{P}_{F_4}$, then we have the following:*

- $\dim X = 23$ and $\dim Y = 20$;
- $-K_X = 8H_X$;
- $E = -\pi^*H_Y + \varphi^*H_X$.

In particular, $\epsilon(Z) = 8$ and

$$\xi(Z) = 23 \int_0^8 (3-x)(E \cdot (\varphi^*(-K_X) - xE)^{22}) dx.$$

(2) *If $X = \mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$, then we have the following:*

- $\dim X = 8$ and $\dim Y = 5$;
- $-K_X = 6H_X$;
- $E = -\pi^*H_Y + 2\varphi^*H_X$.

In particular, $\epsilon(Z) = 3$ and

$$\xi(Z) = 8 \int_0^3 (2-x)(E \cdot (\varphi^*(-K_X) - xE)^7) dx.$$

2.1. Geometry of the exceptional divisor. Here we recall the description of the exceptional divisor E . In the following, we denote by $p_Y: E \rightarrow Y$ and $p_Z: E \rightarrow Z$ the natural projections respectively.

Definition 2.2 ([Kan20, Definition 2.1]). The associated triples (D, ω_Y, ω_Z) for \mathcal{P}_{F_4} and $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$ are defined as follows:

- $(D, \omega_Y, \omega_Z) := (F_4, \omega_1, \omega_3)$;
- $(D, \omega_Y, \omega_Z) := (A_1 \times G_2, \omega_2, \omega_0 + \omega_1)$.

Here (D, ω_Y, ω_Z) consists of a Dynkin diagram D and weights ω_Y and ω_Z . For the descriptions of the root systems F_4 and $A_1 \times G_2$, see Section 3 below.

Notation 2.3. In the following G is the simply connected semisimple algebraic group whose Dynkin diagram is D .

- V_Y and V_Z are the irreducible G -representations with highest weights ω_Y and ω_Z respectively.
- v_Y and v_Z are the corresponding highest weight vectors.
- $[v_Y] \in \mathbf{P}_{\text{sub}}(V_Y)$ and $[v_Z] \in \mathbf{P}_{\text{sub}}(V_Z)$ are the corresponding points.
- P_Y and P_Z are the stabilizer groups of $[v_Y]$ and $[v_Z]$ respectively, which are parabolic subgroups.
- $P_{Y,Z} := P_Y \cap P_Z$.

Then $\mathbf{P}_{\text{sub}}(V_Y) \supset G \cdot [v_Y] = G/P_Y$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\text{sub}}(V_Z) \supset G \cdot [v_Z] = G/P_Z$. The polarizations of these two homogeneous spaces are given by the divisors corresponding to the weights ω_Y and ω_Z .

Proposition 2.4 ([Kan20, Sections 2.3, 2.4]). *Then $E \simeq G/P_{Y,Z}$, $Y \simeq G/P_Y$ and $Z \simeq G/P_Z$. The morphisms $p_Y: E \rightarrow Y$ and $p_Z: E \rightarrow Z$ are the quotient maps.*

Moreover H_Y is the divisor corresponding to ω_Y and $H_X|_Z$ is the divisor corresponding to ω_Z .

Corollary 2.5.

- (1) *If $X = \mathcal{P}_{F_4}$, then*

$$\xi(Z) = 23 \int_0^8 (3-x)(xp_Y^* H_Y + (8-x)p_Z^*(H_X|_Z))^{22}$$

- (2) *If $X = \mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$, then*

$$\xi(Z) = 8 \int_0^3 (2-x)(xp_Y^* H_Y + (6-2x)p_Z^*(H_X|_Z))^7.$$

Moreover $p_Y^ H_Y$ and $p_Z^*(H_X|_Z)$ are the divisors on $E = G/P_{Y,Z}$ corresponding to the weights ω_Y and ω_Z respectively.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.2

3.1. Proof of Theorem 0.2 for \mathcal{P}_{F_4} . First we briefly recall the description of the root system F_4 . We follow the description in [Bou02].


Let $V = \mathbf{R}^4$ be the Euclidian space of dimension 4 and e_i be the i -th fundamental vector. Then the root system F_4 consists of the following 48 roots:

- $\pm e_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$);
- $\pm e_i \pm e_j$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq 4$);
- $\frac{1}{2}(\pm e_1 \pm e_2 \pm e_3 \pm e_4)$.

Then the following four roots define a basis Δ of the root system:

- $\alpha_1 := e_2 - e_3$;
- $\alpha_2 := e_3 - e_4$;
- $\alpha_3 := e_4$;
- $\alpha_4 := \frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4)$.

The Dynkin diagram associated to this root system (with the above basis) is as follows:



and the Cartan matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

With respect to this choice of basis Δ , the set Φ^+ of positive roots consists of the following vectors: $(1, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 0, 1)$, $(1, 1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1, 1)$, $(1, 1, 1, 0)$, $(0, 1, 1, 1)$, $(1, 1, 1, 1)$, $(0, 1, 2, 0)$, $(1, 1, 2, 0)$, $(0, 1, 2, 1)$, $(1, 2, 2, 0)$, $(1, 1, 2, 1)$, $(0, 1, 2, 2)$, $(1, 2, 2, 1)$, $(1, 1, 2, 2)$, $(1, 2, 3, 1)$, $(1, 2, 2, 2)$, $(1, 2, 3, 2)$, $(1, 2, 4, 2)$, $(1, 3, 4, 2)$, $(2, 3, 4, 2)$, where (a, b, c, d) means the root $a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_2 + c\alpha_3 + d\alpha_4$.

The fundamental weights are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &:= (2, 3, 4, 2), \\ \omega_2 &:= (3, 6, 8, 4), \\ \omega_3 &:= (2, 4, 6, 3), \\ \omega_4 &:= (1, 2, 3, 2), \end{aligned}$$

and the half-sum of positive roots is

$$\rho = \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4 = (8, 15, 21, 11).$$

In the following we denote by H_ω the divisor corresponding to a weight ω . Then, by [BH59, Theorem 24.10], the degree of H_ω on E is as follows:

$$\deg H_\omega = (\dim E)! \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{(\omega, \gamma)}{(\rho, \gamma)},$$

where the set \mathcal{C} of complementary roots to the subset $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3\}$ is, by definition, the set of positive roots each of which is not a linear combination of roots in $\Delta \setminus \{\alpha_1, \alpha_3\}$. By the description of positive roots, we see that \mathcal{C} is $\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_2, \alpha_4\}$, which consists of 22 vectors. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(Z) &= 23 \int_0^8 (3-x)(xp_Y^* H_Y + (8-x)p_Z^* H_Z)^{22} dx \\ &= 23 \int_0^8 (3-x)(22!) \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{(x\omega_1 + (8-x)\omega_3, \gamma)}{(\rho, \gamma)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the matrix of products (ω_i, α_j) are as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the products with complementary roots are given as follows:

$$\underline{\quad | (x\omega_1 + (8-x)\omega_3, -) | (\rho, -) \quad}$$

(1, 0, 0, 0)	x	1
(0, 0, 1, 0)	$(8 - x)/2$	1/2
(1, 1, 0, 0)	x	2
(0, 1, 1, 0)	$(8 - x)/2$	3/2
(0, 0, 1, 1)	$(8 - x)/2$	1
(1, 1, 1, 0)	$(8 + x)/2$	5/2
(0, 1, 1, 1)	$(8 - x)/2$	2
(1, 1, 1, 1)	$(8 + x)/2$	3
(0, 1, 2, 0)	$8 - x$	2
(1, 1, 2, 0)	8	3
(0, 1, 2, 1)	$8 - x$	5/2
(1, 2, 2, 0)	8	4
(1, 1, 2, 1)	8	7/2
(0, 1, 2, 2)	$8 - x$	3
(1, 2, 2, 1)	8	9/2
(1, 1, 2, 2)	8	4
(1, 2, 3, 1)	$(24 - x)/2$	5
(1, 2, 2, 2)	8	5
(1, 2, 3, 2)	$(24 - x)/2$	11/2
(1, 2, 4, 2)	$16 - x$	6
(1, 3, 4, 2)	$16 - x$	7
(2, 3, 4, 2)	16	8

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} (\rho, \gamma) &= 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{5}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{5}{2} \cdot 4 \cdot \frac{7}{2} \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{9}{2} \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot \frac{11}{2} \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \\ &= 2^4 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} (x\omega_1 + (8 - x)\omega_3, \gamma) = -2^{14}x^2(x - 8)^7(x + 8)^2(x - 24)^2(x - 16)^2.$$

Therefore


$$\begin{aligned} \xi(Z) &= \frac{23 \cdot (22!) \cdot 2^{14}}{2^4 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11} \int_0^8 x^2(x - 3)(x - 8)^7(x + 8)^2(x - 24)^2(x - 16)^2 dx \\ &= 2^{73} \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 199 \cdot 1049 \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{P}_{F_4} is K-polystable.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 0.2 for $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$. First we recall the root system G_2 . Consider two vectors α_1 and α_2 in the Euclidian vector space $V = \mathbf{R}^2$, where

- $\|\alpha_1\|^2 = 2$;
- $\|\alpha_2\|^2 = 6$;
- $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = -3$.

Then the set of the following vectors form the root system G_2 : $\pm\alpha_1, \pm\alpha_2, \pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \pm(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \pm(3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \pm(3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)$.



Then the roots α_1 and α_2 define a basis of G_2 and the Dynkin diagram is as follows:



Then the fundamental weights are as follows:

- $\omega_1 = 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$;
- $\omega_2 = 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$,


and the half sum of positive roots is

$$\rho = \omega_1 + \omega_2 = 5\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2.$$

Note that the matrix of products (ω_i, α_j) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By considering the direct sum with the root system A_1 , we have the root system of $A_1 \times G_2$. In the following, we denote the positive root of A_1 by α_0 and thus the Dynkin diagram is as follows:



Then the half sum of positive roots of $A_1 \times G_2$ is

$$\rho = \omega_0 + \omega_1 + \omega_2 = \alpha_0 + 5\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2.$$

Similarly to the case $X = \mathcal{P}_{F_4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(Z) &= 8 \int_0^3 (2-x)(xp_Y^* H_Y + (6-2x)p_Z^* H_Z)^7 dx \\ &= 8 \int_0^3 (2-x)(7!) \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{(x\omega_Y + (6-2x)\omega_Z, \gamma)}{(\rho, \gamma)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the set \mathcal{C} of complementary roots to the subset $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ is, by definition, the set of positive roots each of which is not a linear combination of roots in $\Delta \setminus \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\} = \emptyset$. Thus \mathcal{C} is the set of positive roots Φ^+ , which consists of 7 vectors.

Note that $\omega_Y = \omega_2$ and $\omega_Z = \omega_0 + \omega_1$. Thus the products with complementary roots are given as follows:

	$(x\omega_Y + (6-2x)\omega_Z, -)$	$(\rho, -)$
α_0	$6-2x$	1
α_1	$6-2x$	1
α_2	$3x$	3
$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$6+x$	4
$2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$12-x$	5

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c} 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 & 18 - 3x & 6 \\ 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 & 18 & 9 \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} (\rho, \gamma) &= 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 9 \\ &= 2^3 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} (x\omega_Y + (6 - 2x)\omega_Z, \gamma) = 2^3 \cdot 3^4 \cdot x(x-3)^2(x-6)(x+6)(x-12).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(Z) &= \frac{-8 \cdot (7!) \cdot 2^3 \cdot 3^4}{2^3 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5} \int_0^3 x(x-2)(x-3)^2(x-6)(x+6)(x-12)dx \\ &= 2^4 \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$ is K-polystable.

4. REMARKS

4.1. Remark on specialization of $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$. As is mentioned in [Kan20, Remark 4.2], $X = \mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$ is a Mukai 8-fold of genus 7, i.e. $-K_X = 6H_X$ and $H_X^8 = 12$. By [Kuz18], it is known that there are two isomorphic classes X_{gen} and X_{sp} of Mukai 8-fold of genus 7. Moreover X_{gen} degenerates isotrivially to X_{sp} .

The following proposition can be found in [BFM20, Proposition 4.8, Remark 4.10, Remark 5.4]:

Proposition 4.1. $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2} \simeq X_{\text{gen}}$.

Proof. Set $X = \mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$. Note that $\text{Aut}^0(X) = A_1 \times G_2$ and thus

$$\dim H^0(T_X) = 17.$$

On the other hand we know that $\chi(T_X) = 17$. By the Akizuki-Nakano vanishing, we have

$$\dim H^{\geq 2}(T_X) = 0.$$

Thus $\dim H^1(T_X) = 0$ and thus X is rigid. \square

Proof of Corollary 0.5. Assume to that contrary that X_{sp} is K-semistable. Then, X_{sp} degenerates to a K-polystable Fano variety [CDS15c], [LWX18], and we obtain a contradiction from the description of K-moduli [BX19], [LWX19], [LWX18], [Oda15], [SSY16]. For instance, by [BX19, Theorem 1.1], X_{gen} and X_{sp} are S -equivalent. By [LWX18], X_{gen} and X_{sp} have a common K-polystable degeneration, which should be X_{gen} . This contradicts to the fact that X_{gen} is rigid. \square

Remark 4.2. In fact, by carefully checking constructions in [Kuz18], we have a test configuration which degenerates X_{gen} to X_{sp} . Together with the K-polystability of X_{gen} , this implies the K-unstability of X_{sp} .

Remark 4.3. In a response to the first version of this paper, Baohua Fu has pointed out that the automorphism group of X_{sp} is not reductive as follows: By [FH18, Remark 2.13] (see also [BFM20, Section 4.2]), X_{sp} is an equivariant compactification of \mathbf{C}^8 . Then, it follows from [AP14, Proposition 1] that the automorphism group of X_{sp} is not reductive, since X_{sp} is not homogeneous.

This implies that there are no Kähler-Einstein metrics on X_{sp} . Note that the non-reductivity of the automorphism group is not enough to conclude the K-unstability of X_{sp} .

4.2. Remark on foliations. Let X be a Fano manifold satisfying Condition 0.1. Then X is horospherical or isomorphic to \mathcal{P}_{F_4} or $\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}$. In each case, the blow-up along the closed orbit admits a similar diagram as in (1.8.2), and the smooth morphism π defines a G -invariant foliation \mathcal{F} on X , which is called the *canonical foliation* on X [Kan20, Section 3]. The first Chern class of \mathcal{F} and the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics are summarized as follows (see also [Kan20, Proposition 3.5]):

X	$c_1(\mathcal{F})$	KE or not
horospherical	> 0	Not KE
$\mathcal{P}_{A_1 \times G_2}, \mathcal{P}_{F_4}$	0	KE

A naive question asks whether or not there is a relation between G -invariant foliations with positive first Chern class and Kähler-Einstein metrics.

REFERENCES

- [AP14] Ivan Arzhantsev and Andrey Popovskiy, *Additive actions on projective hypersurfaces*, Automorphisms in birational and affine geometry, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 79, Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 17–33.
- [Ber16] Robert J. Berman, *K-polystability of \mathbb{Q} -Fano varieties admitting Kähler-Einstein metrics*, Invent. Math. **203** (2016), no. 3, 973–1025.
- [BFM20] Chenyu Bai, Baohua Fu, and Laurent Manivel, *On Fano complete intersections in rational homogeneous varieties*, Math. Z. **295** (2020), no. 1–2, 289–308.
- [BH59] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, *Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces. II*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 315–382.
- [BJ20] Harold Blum and Mattias Jonsson, *Thresholds, valuations, and K-stability*, Adv. Math. **365** (2020), 107062, 57.
- [Bou02] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6*, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley.
- [BX19] Harold Blum and Chenyang Xu, *Uniqueness of K-polystable degenerations of Fano varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **190** (2019), no. 2, 609–656.
- [CDS15a] Xiuxiong Chen, Simon Donaldson, and Song Sun, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. I: Approximation of metrics with cone singularities*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), no. 1, 183–197.
- [CDS15b] ———, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. II: Limits with cone angle less than 2π* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), no. 1, 199–234.
- [CDS15c] ———, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. III: Limits as cone angle approaches 2π and completion of the main proof*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), no. 1, 235–278.
- [Del20a] Thibaut Delcroix, *K-stability of Fano spherical varieties*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **53** (2020), no. 3, 615–662.
- [Del20b] Thibaut Delcroix, *The Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for cohomogeneity one manifolds*, arXiv:2011.07135v1, 2020.
- [Don02] S. K. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties*, J. Differential Geom. **62** (2002), no. 2, 289–349.
- [FH18] Baohua Fu and Jun-Muk Hwang, *Special birational transformations of type (2, 1)*, J. Algebraic Geom. **27** (2018), no. 1, 55–89.
- [Fuj15] Kento Fujita, *Towards a criterion for slope stability of Fano manifolds along divisors*, Osaka J. Math. **52** (2015), no. 1, 71–91.
- [Fuj17] ———, *Examples of K-unstable Fano manifolds with the Picard number 1*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **60** (2017), no. 4, 881–891.
- [Fuj19] ———, *A valuative criterion for uniform K-stability of \mathbb{Q} -Fano varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **751** (2019), 309–338.

- [Kan20] Akihiro Kanemitsu, *Fano manifolds and stability of tangent bundles*, to appear in *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, available at <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2020-0043>, 2020.
- [Kuz18] A. G. Kuznetsov, *On linear sections of the spinor tenfold. I*, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **82** (2018), no. 4, 53–114.
- [Li17] Chi Li, *K-semistability is equivariant volume minimization*, *Duke Math. J.* **166** (2017), no. 16, 3147–3218.
- [LWX18] Chi Li, Xiaowei Wang, and Chenyang Xu, *Algebraicity of the metric tangent cones and equivariant K-stability*, arXiv:1805.03393v1, 2018.
- [LWX19] Chi Li, Xiaowei Wang, and Chenyang Xu, *On the proper moduli spaces of smoothable Kähler-Einstein Fano varieties*, *Duke Math. J.* **168** (2019), no. 8, 1387–1459.
- [Mat57] Yozô Matsushima, *Sur la structure du groupe d'homéomorphismes analytiques d'une certaine variété kählérienne*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **11** (1957), 145–150.
- [Oda13] Yuji Odaka, *A generalization of the Ross-Thomas slope theory*, *Osaka J. Math.* **50** (2013), no. 1, 171–185.
- [Oda15] ———, *Compact moduli spaces of Kähler-Einstein Fano varieties*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **51** (2015), no. 3, 549–565.
- [OO13] Yuji Odaka and Takuzo Okada, *Birational superrigidity and slope stability of Fano manifolds*, *Math. Z.* **275** (2013), no. 3-4, 1109–1119.
- [Pas09] Boris Pasquier, *On some smooth projective two-orbit varieties with Picard number 1*, *Math. Ann.* **344** (2009), no. 4, 963–987.
- [RT07] Julius Ross and Richard Thomas, *A study of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion for the stability of projective varieties*, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **16** (2007), no. 2, 201–255.
- [SSY16] Cristiano Spotti, Song Sun, and Chengjian Yao, *Existence and deformations of Kähler-Einstein metrics on smoothable \mathbb{Q} -Fano varieties*, *Duke Math. J.* **165** (2016), no. 16, 3043–3083.
- [Tia97] Gang Tian, *Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature*, *Invent. Math.* **130** (1997), no. 1, 1–37.
- [Tia15] ———, *K-stability and Kähler-Einstein metrics*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **68** (2015), no. 7, 1085–1156.
- [Wan12] Xiaowei Wang, *Height and GIT weight*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **19** (2012), no. 4, 909–926.
- [Zhu20] Ziquan Zhuang, *Optimal destabilizing centers and equivariant K-stability*, arXiv:2004.09413v2, 2020.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

Email address: kanemitsu@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp