

Validity of Borodin and Kostochka Conjecture for classes of graphs without a single, forbidden subgraph on 5 vertices

Medha Dhurandhar

Abstract: Problem of finding an optimal upper bound for the chromatic no. of a graph is still open and very hard. **Borodin & Kostochka Conjecture** is still open and if proved will improve Brook's bound on Chromatic no. of a graph. Here we prove **Borodin & Kostochka Conjecture** for (1) $(P_4 \cup K_1)$ -free (2) P_5 -free (3) Chair-free graphs and 4) graphs with dense neighbourhoods. Certain known results follow as Corollaries.

Introduction:

In [1], [2], [3], [4] chromatic bounds for graphs are considered especially in relation with ω and Δ . Gyárfás [5] and Kim [6] show that the optimal χ -binding function for the class of $P_4 \cup K_1$ -free graphs has order $\omega^2/\log(\omega)$. If we forbid additional induced subgraphs, the order of the optimal χ -binding function drops below $\omega^2/\log(\omega)$. In 1941, Brooks' theorem stated that for any connected undirected graph G with maximum degree Δ , the chromatic number of G is at most Δ unless G is a complete graph or an odd cycle, in which case the chromatic number is $\Delta + 1$ [5]. In 1977, **Borodin & Kostochka** [6] conjectured that if $\Delta(G) \geq 9$, then $\chi(G) \leq \max\{\omega, \Delta-1\}$. In 1999, Reed proved the conjecture for $\Delta \geq 10^{14}$ [7]. Also D. W. Cranston and L. Rabern [8] proved it for claw-free graphs. We further proved it for a certain class of graphs [9]. Gupta and Pradhan [10] proved it for $\{C_4, P_5\}$ -free graphs. Here we prove **Borodin & Kostochka** conjecture for graphs which are either $P_4 \cup K_1$ -free or P_5 -free or Chair-free and for graphs with dense neighbourhoods.

Notation: For a graph G , $V(G)$, $E(G)$, Δ , ω , χ denote the vertex set, edge set, maximum degree, size of a maximum clique, chromatic number of G resp. For $u \in V(G)$, $N(u) = \{v \in V(G) / uv \in E(G)\}$, and $\overline{N}(u) = N(u) \cup \{u\}$. If $S \subseteq V$, then $\langle S \rangle$ denotes subgraph of G induced by S . If C is some coloring of G and if $u \in V(G)$ is colored m in C , then u is called a m -vertex. If $N(u)$ has a unique r -vertex say A , then r is called a unique color of u , A is called a unique r -vertex of u and if $N(u)$ has more than one r -vertex, then r is called a repeat color of u . Also if P is a path in G s.t. vertices on P are alternately colored say i and j , then P is called a i - j bicolour path. All graphs considered henceforth are simple and undirected. For terms which are not defined herein we refer to Bondy and Murty [10].

We use the following **Lemma** in the subsequent **Main Results**.

Lemma: If $G (\neq K_{|V(G)|})$ is a smallest graph with $\Delta \geq 9$ and $\chi > \max\{\Delta-1, \omega\}$, then

1. $\chi = \Delta > \omega$
2. $\chi(G-u) = \chi-1 \forall u \in V(G)$.

Proof: As $G \neq K_{|V(G)|}$, $\Delta \geq \omega$. Now $\Delta-1 \geq \omega$ (else $\omega = \Delta$ and $\chi > \omega$. But as $G \neq C_{2n+1}$, by Brook's Theorem $\chi \leq \Delta = \omega$). Hence $\chi = \Delta > \omega$. Let $u \in V(G)$. Then $G-u \neq K_{|V(G)|-1}$ (else $\chi = \omega$). **If $\Delta(G-u) \geq 9$** , then by minimality, $\chi(G-u) \leq \max\{\omega(G-u), \Delta(G-u)-1\}$. Clearly if $\omega(G-u) \leq \Delta(G-u)-1$, then $\chi(G-u) \leq \Delta(G-u)-1 \leq \Delta-1 = \chi-1$ and otherwise $\chi(G-u) \leq \omega(G-u) \leq \omega < \Delta = \chi$. Thus $\chi(G-u) = \chi-1$. **Also if $\Delta(G-u) < 9$** , then as $G-u \neq C_{2n+1}$ (else $\chi \leq 4 < \Delta$) or $K_{|V(G)|-1}$ (else $\chi = \omega < \Delta$), by Brook's Theorem $\chi(G-u) \leq \Delta(G-u) < 9 \leq \Delta$. Thus always $\chi(G-u) = \chi-1 = \Delta-1 \forall v \in V(G)$.

Main Result 1: If G is a graph with $\Delta \geq 9$ s.t. for $u \in V(G)$, every $v \in N(u)$ is non-adjacent to at most three vertices in $N(u)$, then $\chi \leq \max\{\Delta-1, \omega\}$.

Proof: Let if possible G be a smallest, connected graph with $\Delta \geq 9$ satisfying above condition and $\chi > \max\{\Delta-1, \omega\}$. Then by **Lemma**, $\chi = \Delta > \omega$, $\chi(G-u) = \chi-1 \forall u \in V(G)$. Let $u \in V(G)$ be s.t. $\deg u = \Delta$. Let $S = \{1, \dots, \Delta-1\}$ be a $(\Delta-1)$ -coloring of $G-u$. Then $N(u)$ has at least $\Delta-2$ unique i -vertices say A_i colored i ($1 \leq i \leq \Delta-2$) and at most a pair of vertices say X, Y with the same color $\Delta-1$. Clearly A_i has a j -vertex for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \Delta-2$ (else color A_i by j , u by i) and A_i has at most two j -vertices for any j , $1 \leq j \leq \Delta-2$ (else A_i has a color α missing in $N(A_i)$, color A_i by α and u by i).

(a) If $A_i A_j \notin E(G)$, then for no m , A_m is the only m -vertex of both A_i and A_j $1 \leq i, j, m \leq \Delta-2$.

Let if possible A_i, A_j both have A_m as the only m -vertex. Then as A_m has at the most one repeat color, w.l.g. let A_i be the only i -vertex of A_m . Color A_i, A_j by m, A_m by i, u by j , a contradiction.

(b) If $A_i A_j \notin E(G)$, then A_i, A_j do not have more than two common adjacent vertices in $N(u)$.

First let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to three unique vertices of u say A_k, A_l, A_m . As each of A_i, A_j has at the most one repeat color, w.l.g. let A_m be the only m -vertex of both A_i and A_j , a contradiction to **(a)**. Next let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to say $A_k, A_l, X, 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq \Delta-2$ where X has a repeat color. Then X is the unique $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of both A_i, A_j . If X has any color r missing in $N(X)$, then color X by r, A_i by $\Delta-1, u$ by i , a contradiction. Hence X has no color missing and has either i or j as a unique colour in their neighbourhood. W.l.g. let i be the unique color for X . Then color A_i, A_j by $\Delta-1, X$ by i and u by j , a contradiction. Lastly let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to say $A_k, Y, X, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq \Delta-2$. As A_i, A_j have two $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices A_k is their unique k -vertex, a contradiction to **(a)**.

Case 1: \exists a $(\Delta-1)$ -coloring of $G-u$ s.t. $A_i A_j \notin E(G)$ where A_i, A_j are unique vertices of u .

As $\Delta \geq 9, A_i, A_j$ have at least three common adjacent vertices in $N(u)$, a contradiction to **(b)**.

Case 2: In every $(\Delta-1)$ -coloring of $G-u$, all vertices with unique colors in $N(u)$ are adjacent.

Clearly $\Delta-1 \leq \omega \Rightarrow \Delta-1 = \omega \Rightarrow \langle u \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\Delta-2} A_i \rangle$ is a maximum clique in G and $\{X, Y\} = N(u) - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\Delta-2} A_i$.

Now as every $v \in N(u)$ is non-adjacent to at most three vertices in $N(u)$ and $\Delta \geq 9, X$ and Y have at least three common adjacent vertices in $N(u)$ say A_i for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. W.l.g. let X be adjacent to more no. of A_i s than Y .

Case 2.1: X has no color missing in $N(X)$.

Let $X A_k \notin E(G)$ for some $k > 3$. Now X has at the most one repeat color (else X has a color missing in $N(X)$), hence X and A_k have a common unique vertex A_1 . If X is the only $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of A_1 , then color X, A_k by $1, A_1$ by $\Delta-1, u$ by k , a contradiction. Hence let A_1 have two $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices and a unique k -vertex. Color X, A_k by 1 and A_1 by k . Then Y is the only $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of u , and $Y A_j \in E(G) \forall j \neq k$ (else we get **Case 1** with Y and A_j) and $Y A_k \notin E(G)$. By assumption $X A_j \in E(G) \forall j \neq k$. Again as $\Delta \geq 9, \exists A_p$ s.t. A_p is the only p -vertex of X, Y and A_k . Color A_p by $k; X, Y, A_k$ by $p; u$ by $(\Delta-1)$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2: Some color r is missing in $N(X)$.

Then $X A_r \notin E(G)$ and $Y A_j \in E(G) \forall j \neq r$ (else color X by r and we get **Case 1** with Y and A_j) $\Rightarrow Y A_r \notin E(G)$. Then by assumption $X A_j \in E(G) \forall j \neq r$ and r is the only color missing in $N(X)$. Again Y has no color t missing in $N(Y)$ (else color X by r, Y by t and u by $(\Delta-1)$). Hence as $\Delta \geq 9, \exists A_p$ s.t. A_p is the only p -vertex of X, Y and A_r . Color A_p by $(\Delta-1); X, Y, A_r$ by p, u by r , a contradiction.

This proves **Case 2** and completes the proof of the **Main Result 1**.

Main Result 2: Let G be $P_4 \cup K_1$ -free and $\Delta \geq 9$, then $\chi \leq \max \{\Delta-1, \omega\}$.

Proof: Let if possible G be a smallest, connected, $P_4 \cup K_1$ -free graph with $\Delta \geq 9$ and $\chi > \max \{\Delta-1, \omega\}$. Then by **Lemma**, $\chi = \Delta > \omega, \chi(G-u) = \chi-1 \forall u \in V(G)$. Let $u \in V(G)$ be s.t. $\deg u = \Delta$. Let $S = \{1, \dots, \Delta-1\}$ be a $(\Delta-1)$ -coloring of $G-u$. Then $N(u)$ has $\Delta-2$ unique vertices say A_i colored i ($1 \leq i \leq \Delta-2$) and a pair of vertices say X, Y with the same color $\Delta-1$. Clearly A_i has a j -vertex for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \Delta-2$ (else color A_i by j, u by i) and A_i has at most two j -vertices for any $j, 1 \leq j \leq \Delta-2$. Also as G is $P_4 \cup K_1$ -free, every odd cycle of G is C_5 .

(a) If $A_i A_j \notin E(G)$, then for no m , A_m is the only m -vertex of both A_i and A_j for $1 \leq i, j, m \leq \Delta-2$.

Let if possible A_i, A_j both have A_m as the only m -vertex. Then as A_m has at the most one repeat color, w.l.g. let A_i be the only i -vertex of A_m . Color A_i, A_j by m, A_m by i, u by j , a contradiction.

(b) If $A_i A_j \notin E(G)$, then A_i, A_j do not have more than two common adjacent vertices in $N(u)$.

First let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to say A_k, A_l, A_m $1 \leq i, j, k, l, m \leq \Delta-2$. As each of A_i, A_j has at the most one repeat color, w.l.g. let A_m be the only m -vertex of both A_i and A_j , a contradiction to **(a)**. Next let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to say A_k, A_l, X , $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq \Delta-2$. Then A_i, A_j both have a unique $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex X . If X has any color r missing in $N(X)$, then color X by r , A_i by $(\Delta-1)$, u by i , a contradiction. Hence X has no color missing and has either a unique i -vertex or j -vertex. W.l.g. let X have a unique i -vertex. Then color A_i, A_j by $\Delta-1$, X by i , u by j , a contradiction. Lastly let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to say A_k, Y, X , $1 \leq i, j, k \leq \Delta-2$. As A_i, A_j have two $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices each A_k is their only k -vertex, a contradiction to **(a)**.

(c) A_i is non-adjacent to at the most two A_k s for $1 \leq i, k \leq \Delta-2$.

Let if possible say $A_1 A_k \notin E(G)$, $2 \leq k \leq 4$. Now A_k is adjacent to every 1-vertex ($\neq A_1$) of $V(G)$ for $2 \leq k \leq 4$ (else let n, n' ($\neq A_1$) be 1-vertices of G s.t. $n A_k \notin E(G)$ and $n' A_k \in E(G) \Rightarrow \langle A_1, u, A_k, n', n \rangle = P_4 \cup K_1$). Let $\{A_1, m, n, A_k\}$ be a 1- k path. Then n has two j -vertices for $2 \leq j \leq 4$ (else if n has say one j -vertex, then $\exists \{A_j, n', m', A_1\}$ $j-1$ path and $\langle u, A_1, m', n', n \rangle = P_4 \cup K_1$). Clearly this is true for all 1-vertices of $G \Rightarrow$ every 1-vertex has a color missing. Color each 1-vertex by its missing color and u by 1, a contradiction.

Case 1: \exists a $(\Delta-1)$ -coloring of $G-u$ s.t. $A_i A_j \notin E(G)$ for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \Delta-2\}$.

As $\Delta \geq 9$, by **(c)** \exists at least three vertices A_k s ($1 \leq i, k \leq \Delta-2$) adjacent to both A_i, A_j , a contradiction to **(b)**.

Case 2: In every $(\Delta-1)$ -coloring of $G-u$, all vertices with unique colors in $N(u)$ are adjacent.

Clearly $\Delta-1 \leq \omega \Rightarrow \Delta-1 = \omega \geq 8 \Rightarrow \langle \bigcup_{i=1}^{\Delta-2} A_i \cup u \rangle$ is a maximum clique in G and $\{X, Y\} = N(u) - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\Delta-2} A_i$.

Claim: At most two vertices in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\Delta-2} A_i$ are non-adjacent to both X and Y .

Let if possible A_i be non-adjacent to both X and Y for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then if $Z \notin \{X, Y\}$ is any $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of G , $Z A_i \in E(G)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ (else if $Z A_i \notin E(G)$ and Z' is a $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of A_i , then $\langle Z', A_i, u, X, Z \rangle = P_4 \cup K_1$). Thus G has at most two $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices $\notin \{X, Y\}$. Again at least one $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of A_i has two i -vertices for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Hence clearly \exists two $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices $Z, W \notin \{X, Y\}$. W.l.g. say Z has two 1-vertices, 2-vertices and W has two 3-vertices. Let $P = \{A_1, Z, a_{11}, X\}$ be a 1- $(\Delta-1)$ bicolour path. Now $Y a_{11}, W a_{11} \in E(G)$ (else $\langle u, X, a_{11}, Z, Y/W \rangle = P_4 \cup K_1$). Thus a_{11} has four $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices and has a color say r missing in $N(a_{11})$. Color a_{11} by r . Then X or Y has another 1-vertex say a_{12} . But as before it can be seen that a_{12} has four $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices and has a color say s missing in $N(a_{12})$. Thus color X and Y by 1, u by $\Delta-1$, a contradiction.

Hence the **Claim** holds.

As $\Delta \geq 9$, w.l.g. let A_i be adjacent to either X or Y for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Again w.l.g. let X be adjacent to more no. of A_i s than Y and hence let $X A_j \in E(G)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

Case 2.1: X has no color missing in $N(X)$.

Let $X A_k \notin E(G)$ for some $k > 3$. Now X has at the most one repeat color (else X has a color missing in $N(X)$) and clearly X and A_k have a common unique vertex A_n for $1 \leq n \leq 3$. If X is the only $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of A_n , then color X, A_k by n , A_n by $\Delta-1$, u by k , a contradiction. Hence let A_n have two $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices and a unique k -vertex. Color X, A_k by n and A_n by k . Then Y is the only $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of u , $Y A_j \in E(G) \forall j \neq k$ (else we get **Case 1** with Y and A_j) and $Y A_k \notin E(G)$. By assumption $X A_j \in E(G) \forall j \neq k$. Again as $\Delta \geq 9$, $\exists A_p$ s.t. A_p is the only p -vertex of X, Y and A_k . Color A_p by k ; X, Y and A_k by p , u by $(\Delta-1)$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2: Some color r is missing in $N(X)$.

Then $X A_r \notin E(G)$ and $Y A_j \in E(G) \forall i \neq r$ (else color X by r and we get **Case 1** with Y and A_i) $\Rightarrow Y A_r \notin E(G)$. Again Y has no color t missing in $N(Y)$ (else color Y by t and u by $(\Delta-1)$). Hence as $\Delta \geq 9$, $\exists A_p$ s.t. A_p is the only p -vertex of Y and A_r . Now A_p has either a unique $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex or r -vertex. Color A_p by $(\Delta-1)/r$; Y and A_r by p , u by $r/(\Delta-1)$, a contradiction.

This proves **Case 2** and completes the proof of the **Main Result 2**.

Our results in [9] follow as corollaries to the **Main Result 2**.

Corollary 1: Borodin & Kostochka conjecture is true for $P_3 \cup K_1$ -free graphs.

Corollary 2: Borodin & Kostochka conjecture is true for $K_2 \cup \overline{K_2}$ -free graphs.

Corollary 3: Borodin & Kostochka conjecture is true for $3K_1$ -free graphs.

Main Result 3: Let G be Chair-free and $\Delta \geq 9$, then $\chi \leq \max \{ \Delta-1, \omega \}$.

Proof: Let if possible G be a smallest, connected, Chair-free graph with $\Delta \geq 9$ and $\chi > \max \{ \Delta-1, \omega \}$. Then by **Lemma**, $\chi = \Delta > \omega$, $\chi(G-u) = \chi-1 \forall u \in V(G)$. Let $u \in V(G)$ be s.t. $\deg u = \Delta$. Let $S = \{1, \dots, \Delta-1\}$ be a $(\Delta-1)$ -coloring of $G-u$. Then $N(u)$ has at least $\Delta-2$ vertices say A_i with unique colors i ($1 \leq i \leq \Delta-2$) and at most a pair of vertices say X, Y with the same color $\Delta-1$. Clearly A_i has a j -vertex for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \Delta-2$ (else color A_i by j , u by i) and A_i has at most two j -vertices for any j , $1 \leq j \leq \Delta-2$.

(a) For no m , A_m is the only m -vertex of both A_i and A_j for $1 \leq i, j, m \leq \Delta-2$.

Let if possible A_i, A_k both have A_m as the only m -vertex. Then as A_m has at the most one repeat color, w.l.g. let A_i be the only i -vertex of A_m . Color A_i, A_k by m , A_m by i , u by k , a contradiction.

(b) If $A_i A_j \notin E(G)$, then A_i (A_j) has a unique j -vertex (i -vertex).

If Z, W are the two j -vertices of A_i , then $\langle A_i, Z, W, u, A_j \rangle = \text{Chair}$, a contradiction.

(c) A_i, A_j do not have more than two common adjacent vertices in $N(u)$.

First let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to say A_k, A_l, A_m $1 \leq i, j, k, l, m \leq \Delta-2$. As each of A_i, A_j has at the most one repeat color, w.l.g. let A_m be the only m -vertex of both A_i and A_j . This is a contradiction to **(a)**. Next let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to say A_k, A_l, X , $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq \Delta-2$. Then by **(a)**, A_i, A_j both have a unique x -vertex X . If X has any color r missing in $N(X)$, then color X by r , A_i by x , u by i , a contradiction. Hence X has no color missing and has either a unique i -vertex or j -vertex. W.l.g. let X have a unique i -vertex. Then color A_i, A_j by $\Delta-1$, X by i , u by j , a contradiction. Lastly let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to say A_k, Y, X , $1 \leq i, j, k \leq \Delta-2$. As A_i, A_j have two $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices each they have a unique k -vertex, a contradiction to **(a)**.

(d) A_i is non-adjacent to at the most two A_k s for $1 \leq i, k \leq \Delta-2$.

Let if possible $A_1 A_k \notin E(G)$ for $2 \leq k \leq 4$. By **(b)**, each A_k has a unique i -vertex and that i -vertex has two k -vertices \Rightarrow at least two A_k 's have different i -vertices. W.l.g. let $Z A_2, W A_3 \in E(G)$ where Z, W are i -vertices. Again by **(b)**, w.l.g. let $Z A_4 \notin E(G)$. Now $A_2 A_3, A_2 A_4 \in E(G)$ (else $\langle u, A_1, A_2, A_3, Z \rangle = \text{Chair}$). As A_2 has at most one repeat color, w.l.g. let A_2 have a unique 3-vertex. Consider a 1-3 bi-color component R containing A_1, A_3 . Let P be a A_1 - A_3 path in R .

Claim: $R = P$.

Let if possible V be the **First** vertex on P with degree more than two in R . By **(b)**, $V \neq A_1$. Let M, N be the vertices preceding V on P and L be the vertex following V on P . Let S be the third vertex having same color as N and L s.t. L is adjacent to V . But then either $\langle V, M, L, S, N \rangle = \text{Chair}$ or M has degree at least three in R , a contradiction. Hence the **Claim** holds.

Alter colors along P , color A_2 by 3, u by 2, a contradiction.

Case 1: \exists a $(\Delta-1)$ -coloring of $G-u$ s.t. $A_i A_j \notin E(G)$ for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \Delta-2\}$.

As $\Delta \geq 9$, by **(c)** \exists at least three vertices A_k s ($1 \leq i, k \leq \Delta-2$) adjacent to both A_i, A_j , a contradiction to **(b)**.

Case 2: In every $(\Delta-1)$ -coloring of $G-u$, all vertices with unique colors in $N(u)$ are adjacent.

Clearly $\Delta-1 \leq \omega \Rightarrow \Delta-1 = \omega \geq 8 \Rightarrow \langle \bigcup_{i=1}^{\Delta-2} A_i \rangle$ is a maximum clique in $G-u$ and $\{X, Y\} = N(u) - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\Delta-2} A_i$.

As G is Chair-free, clearly every A_i is adjacent to X or Y . Let X have more A_k s adjacent than Y . As $\Delta \geq 9$, \exists at least four A_k s adjacent to X . Let $X A_s \notin E(G) \Rightarrow Y A_s \in E(G)$. Let $Y A_t \notin E(G)$. If X has a

color say s missing, then we get **Case 1** with Y and A_i , a contradiction. Hence X has no color missing and X and A_s have at least two unique colors say i, j . If A_i has a unique $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex X , then color X and A_s by i , A_i by $\Delta-1$, u by i , a contradiction. If A_i has two $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices, then color X and A_s by i , A_i by s . Thus Y is a unique $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of $N(u)$ and we get **Case 1** with Y and A_i , a contradiction.

This proves **Case 2** and completes the proof of the **Main Result 3**.

D. W. Cranston and L. Rabern [8] result for claw-free graphs follows as a Corollary.

Corollary: Borodin & Kostochka conjecture is true for claw-free graphs.

Main Result 4: Let G be P_5 -free and $\Delta \geq 9$, then $\chi \leq \max \{ \Delta-1, \omega \}$.

Proof: Let if possible G be a smallest, connected, P_5 -free graph with $\Delta \geq 9$ and $\chi > \max \{ \Delta-1, \omega \}$. Then by **Lemma**, $\chi = \Delta > \omega$, $\chi(G-u) = \chi-1 \forall u \in V(G)$. Let $u \in V(G)$ be s.t. $\deg u = \Delta$. Let $S = \{1, \dots, \Delta-1, \Delta\}$ be a Δ -coloring of G with only u colored Δ . Then $N(u)$ has $\Delta-2$ vertices say A_i with unique colors i ($1 \leq i \leq \Delta-2$) and a pair of vertices say X, Y with the same color $\Delta-1$. Clearly A_i has a j -vertex for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \Delta-2$ (else color A_i by j , u by i) and A_i has at most two j -vertices for any j , $1 \leq j \leq \Delta-2$. Also as G is $P_4 \cup K_1$ -free, every odd cycle of G is C_5 .

(a) For no m , A_m is the only m -vertex of both A_i and A_j for $1 \leq i, j, m \leq \Delta-2$.

Let if possible A_i, A_k both have A_m as the only m -vertex. Then as A_m has at the most one repeat color, w.l.g. let A_i be the only i -vertex of A_m . Color A_i, A_k by m , A_m by i , u by k , a contradiction.

(b) A_i, A_j do not have more than two common adjacent vertices in $N(u)$.

First let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to say A_k, A_l, A_m $1 \leq i, j, k, l, m \leq \Delta-2$. As each of A_i, A_j has at the most one repeat color, w.l.g. let A_m be the only m -vertex of both A_i and A_j . This is a contradiction to (a). Next let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to say A_k, A_l, X , $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq \Delta-2$. Again as before A_i, A_j both have a unique x -vertex X . If X has any color r missing in $N(X)$, then color X by r , A_i by x , u by i , a contradiction. Hence X has no color missing and has either a unique i -vertex or j -vertex. W.l.g. let X have a unique i -vertex. Then color A_i, A_j by $\Delta-1$, X by i , u by j , a contradiction. Lastly let A_i, A_j be both adjacent to say A_k, Y, X , $1 \leq i, j, k \leq \Delta-2$. As A_i, A_j have two $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices each they have a unique k -vertex, a contradiction to (a).

(c) A_i is non-adjacent to at the most two A_k s for $1 \leq i, k \leq \Delta-2$.

Let if possible $A_1 A_k \notin E(G)$ for $2 \leq k \leq 4$. Now \exists a 1-vertex say n of A_2 s.t. n has no color missing in $N(n)$ (else color each such n by the missing color, A_2 by 1, u by 2). Let $\{A_1, m, n, A_2\}$ be a 1-2 path from A_1 to A_2 . As n has two 2-vertices, w.l.g. let n have at most one 3-vertex (else if n has two 2-vertices and 3-vertices, then n has a color say r missing). Let $\{A_1, s, t, A_3\}$ be a 1-3 path from A_1 to A_3 . Now $ns \in E(G)$ iff $nA_3 \in E(G)$ (else $\langle n, s/A_3, A_1, u, A_3/s \rangle = P_5$). Hence $ns, nA_3 \notin E(G)$. Let v be a 3-vertex of n . Again $sA_2 \in E(G)$ (else $\langle n, A_2, u, A_1, s \rangle = P_5$) and $vA_2 \notin E(G)$ (else $A_2 A_3, A_2 t \notin E(G)$ and $\langle n, A_2, u, A_3, t \rangle = P_5$) $\Rightarrow A_2 A_3 \in E(G)$ (else $\langle v, n, A_2, u, A_3 \rangle = P_5$). Thus A_2 has two 3-vertices and hence n is its only 1-vertex. But then $\langle v, n, A_2, A_3, t \rangle = P_5$, a contradiction.

Case 1: \exists a $(\Delta-1)$ -coloring of $G-u$ s.t. $A_i A_j \notin E(G)$ for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \Delta-2\}$.

As $\Delta \geq 9$, by (c) \exists at least three vertices A_k s ($1 \leq i, k \leq \Delta-2$) adjacent to both A_i, A_j , a contradiction to (b).

Case 2: In every $(\Delta-1)$ -coloring of $G-u$, all vertices with unique colors in $N(u)$ are adjacent.

Clearly $\Delta-1 \leq \omega \Rightarrow \Delta-1 = \omega \geq 8 \Rightarrow \langle \bigcup_{i=1}^{\Delta-2} A_i \rangle$ is a maximum clique in $G-u$ and $\{X, Y\} = N(u) - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\Delta-2} A_i$.

Claim: At most two vertices in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\Delta-2} A_i$ are non-adjacent to both X and Y .

Let if possible A_i be non-adjacent to both X and Y for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then \exists a i - $(\Delta-1)$ path $\{A_i, a_{(\Delta-1)1}, a_{i1}, X/Y\}$. Again $a_{i1} Y/X \in E(G)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ (else $\langle Y/X, u, A_i, a_{(\Delta-1)1}, a_{i1} \rangle = P_5$). **First let A_i have two $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices W and Z .** As G is P_5 -free, $W a_{i1}, Z a_{i1} \in E(G)$. Thus a_{i1} has four $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices and hence a color say r missing in $N(a_{i1})$. This is true for every i -vertex adjacent to X or Y . By coloring all such a_{i1} s

by the missing colors, coloring X and Y by i and u by $\Delta-1$, we get a contradiction. **Next let every A_i have a unique $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex.** Clearly $\exists i, j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq 3$) s.t. A_i, A_j have different $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices (else the common $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of all A_i s has a color say r missing. Color that vertex by r , A_i by $\Delta-1$, u by i) say Z, W resply. Consider a j - $(\Delta-1)$ path $\{A_j, W, a_{j1}, X\}$ from A_j to X . Then $Za_{j1} \in E(G)$ and a_{j1} has a color missing in $N(a_{j1})$. As before, we get a contradiction.

Thus the **Claim** holds.

As $\Delta \geq 9$, w.l.g. let A_i be adjacent to either X or Y for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Again w.l.g. let X be adjacent to more no. of A_i s than Y and hence let $XA_j \in E(G)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

Case 2.1: X has no color missing in $N(X)$.

Let $XA_k \notin E(G)$ for some $k > 3$. Now X has at the most one repeat color and clearly X and A_k have a common unique vertex A_n for $1 \leq n \leq 3$. If X is the only $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of A_n , then color X, A_k by n, A_n by $\Delta-1, u$ by k , a contradiction. Hence let A_n have two $(\Delta-1)$ -vertices and a unique k -vertex. Color X, A_k by n and A_n by k . Then Y is the only $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex of $u, YA_j \in E(G) \forall j \neq k$ (else we get **Case 1** with Y and A_j) and $YA_k \notin E(G)$. By assumption $XA_j \in E(G) \forall j \neq k$. Again as $\Delta \geq 9, \exists A_p$ s.t. A_p is the only p -vertex of X, Y and A_k . Color A_p by $k; X, Y$ and A_k by p, u by $(\Delta-1)$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2: Some color r is missing in $N(X)$.

Then $XA_r \notin E(G)$ and $YA_j \in E(G) \forall j \neq r$ (else color X by r and we get **Case 1** with Y and A_i) $\Rightarrow YA_r \notin E(G)$. Again Y has no color t missing in $N(Y)$ (else color Y by t and u by $(\Delta-1)$). Hence as $\Delta \geq 9, \exists A_p$ s.t. A_p is the only p -vertex of Y and A_r . Now A_p has either a unique $(\Delta-1)$ -vertex or r -vertex. Color A_p by $(\Delta-1)/r; Y$ and A_r by p, u by $r/(\Delta-1)$, a contradiction.

This proves **Case 2** and completes the proof of the **Main Result 4**.

Gupta and Pradhan [10] result for $\{C_4, P_5\}$ -free graphs follows as a Corollary.

Corollary: Borodin & Kostochka conjecture is true for $\{C_4, P_5\}$ -free graphs.

References

- [1] "Linear Chromatic Bounds for a Subfamily of $3K_1$ -free Graphs", S. A. Choudum, T. Karthick, M. A. Shalu, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 24:413–428, 2008
- [2] "On the divisibility of graphs", Chinh T. Hoang, Colin McDiarmid, *Discrete Mathematics* 242, 145–156, 2002
- [3] " ω, Δ , and χ ", B.A. Reed, *J. Graph Theory* 27, pp. 177-212, 1998
- [4] "Some results on Reed's Conjecture about ω, Δ and χ with respect to α ", Anja Kohl, Ingo Schiermeyer, *Discrete Mathematics* 310, pp. 1429-1438, 2010
- [5] "On colouring the nodes of a network", *Proc. Cambridge Philosophical Society, Math. Phys. Sci.*, 37 (1941), 194–197
- [6] "On an upper bound of a graph's chromatic number, depending on the graph's degree and density", O. V. Borodin and A. V. Kostochka, *JCTB* 23 (1977), 247--250.
- [7] "A strengthening of Brooks' Theorem", B. A. Reed, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B*, 76 (1999), 136–149.
- [8] "Coloring claw-free graphs with $\Delta-1$ colors", D. W. Cranston and L. Rabern, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 27(1) (1999), 534–549.
- [9] "Validity of Borodin and Kostochka Conjecture for a Class of Graphs", Medha Dhurandhar, arxiv:1705.03195 [math.CO], May 2017.
- [10] "Borodin-Kostochka's conjecture on (P_5, C_4) -free graphs", Uttam K. Gupta & D. Pradhan, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, 2020.
- [11] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty. *Graph Theory*, volume 244 of Graduate Text in Mathematics. Springer, 2008.