

THE HUREWICZ MAP IN MOTIVIC HOMOTOPY THEORY

UTSAV CHOUDHURY AND AMIT HOGADI

ABSTRACT. For an \mathbb{A}^1 -connected pointed simplicial sheaf \mathcal{X} over a perfect field k , we prove that the Hurewicz map $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is surjective. We also observe that the Hurewicz map for \mathbb{P}_k^1 is the abelianisation map. In the course of proving this result, we also show that for any morphism ϕ of strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves of groups, the image and kernel of ϕ are also strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a field k , let Sm/k denote the category of smooth k -varieties with Nisnevich topology. Let $\Delta^{op}Sh(Sm/k)$ denote the category of simplicial sheaves on the category Sm/k . This category with its \mathbb{A}^1 -model structure as defined in [6] is one of the main objects of study in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. For any pointed simplicial sheaf \mathcal{X} in $\Delta^{op}Sh(Sm/k)$ one defines the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy group sheaves, $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$, to be the sheaves of simplicial homotopy groups of a fibrant replacement of \mathcal{X} in the \mathbb{A}^1 -model structure. Morel, in his foundational work in [5, Ch. 6] has defined, for every integer i , \mathbb{A}^1 -homology groups $H_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ and canonical Hurewicz morphisms

$$\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$$

The above maps are analogous to the Hurewicz map that we have in topology. In the topological setup, the Hurewicz morphism for $i = 1$ is known to be the abelianisation when the underlying space is connected. We will refer to this result as the Hurewicz theorem. Hurewicz theorem is expected in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory (see [5, 6.36]), but not yet known. However the following theorem by Morel is the closest known result to the Hurewicz theorem.

Theorem 1.1. [5, 6.35] *For a connected simplicial sheaf \mathcal{X} , the Hurewicz morphism*

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$$

is a universal map to a strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of abelian groups.

Recall that a sheaf of groups \mathcal{G} is called strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant if for $i = 0, 1$ the maps

$$H^i(U, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^i(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{G})$$

are bijective for all U in Sm/k . If \mathcal{G} is abelian, then it is called strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant if the above isomorphism holds for all $i \geq 0$. $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant and $H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is known to be strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant (see [5, 6.1, 6.23]).

In topology, the surjectivity of the Hurewicz map is almost a direct consequence of the definitions. This is not the case in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. The main source of difficulty lies in the non-explicit nature of \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrant replacements; non-explicit from the viewpoint of making explicit calculations. In this paper we prove this surjectivity by using Giraud's theory of non-abelian cohomology.

Theorem 1.2. *Let k be a perfect field and \mathcal{X} be a pointed simplicial sheaf on Sm/k in the Nisnevich topology. Then the Hurewicz map $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is surjective.*

The above theorem will be deduced from the following result, which is of independent interest.

Theorem 1.3. *Let k be a perfect field. Let G be a strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of groups on Sm/k and $G \rightarrow H$ be an epimorphism. Then H is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant iff it is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.*

Remark 1.4. If k is perfect field, a theorem of Morel [5, 5.46] says that any strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant Nisnevich sheaf of abelian groups on Sm/k is also strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. Unfortunately it is not yet known if this statement holds for imperfect fields. This is the sole reason for assuming k to be perfect in Theorems 1.3 and 1.2. Also note that strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant is a stronger notion than just \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. In particular, there exists \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves which are not strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant (see [7, Lemma 5.6]).

For a morphism of strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant abelian sheaves over a perfect field, the kernel and image of the morphism are also strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. This result is a consequence of a nontrivial theorem of Morel (see [5, 6.24]) that the category of strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves of abelian groups is an abelian category, as it is obtained as a heart of a t -structure. The theorem below, can be viewed as a generalization of this result for non-abelian strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves. Moreover the proof of this generalization is completely different and is more direct in the sense that it does not appeal to the existence of t -structures.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $G \xrightarrow{\phi} H$ be a morphism of strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves of groups. Then the image and the kernel of ϕ are strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.*

Proof. The image $\text{Image}(\phi)$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, since it is a subsheaf of an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf H . Thus by Theorem 1.3 it is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. The kernel K is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant as it fits in the following exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow \text{Image}(\phi) \rightarrow 1$$

where the other two sheaves are strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. \square

Acknowledgement: We thank Fabien Morel, Tom Bachmann and O. Röndigs for their comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON GERBES AND GIRAUD'S NON-ABELIAN COHOMOLOGY

Let \mathcal{C} be any small site (e.g. Sm/k with Nisnevich topology) and $\Delta^{op}(\mathcal{C})$ be the category of simplicial sheaves on \mathcal{C} . The goal of this section is to recall the main results of Giraud on non-abelian cohomology. Everything in this section is a subset of [3]. We work with the following definition of a gerbe.

Definition 2.1. A simplicial sheaf \mathcal{X} on \mathcal{C} is called a gerbe if it is connected and if for any $U \in \mathcal{C}$ and any $x \in \mathcal{X}(U)$, the homotopy sheaves of groups $\pi_i(\mathcal{X}|_U, x) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Given any simplicial sheaf \mathcal{X} (not necessarily a gerbe), one gets a category fibered in groupoids over \mathcal{C} defined by the fundamental groupoid construction: i.e. for every $U \in \mathcal{C}$, the fiber category $\mathcal{X}|_U$ is the fundamental groupoid of the space $\mathcal{X}(U)$, a category whose objects are elements of $\mathcal{X}(U)$ and morphisms are paths up to homotopy. This category fibered in groupoids is in fact a gerbe in the sense of [4, 3.2] if \mathcal{X} is connected. If \mathcal{X} was a gerbe to start with, then it can be recovered, up to weak equivalence, using this category fibered in groupoids using the simplicial nerve construction. A gerbe \mathcal{X} , is called neutral if it has a global section. In this case, by making a choice of a global section, one can define the fundamental group of \mathcal{X} . Since \mathcal{X} is connected, a different choice gives a fundamental group which can be canonically identified with the previous one, modulo an inner automorphism.

This motivates the following definition by Giraud.

Definition 2.2. [3, 1.1.3] For two sheaves of groups F and G , let $\text{Isex}(F, G)$ denote the set of isomorphisms from F onto G modulo the action of inner automorphisms of F (acting on the left) and the action of inner automorphisms of G .

Consider the pre-stack whose objects over U are sheaves of groups over U (small w.r.t to a fixed universe) with morphisms between F and G defined as elements of $\text{Isex}(F, G)$. One can stackify this pre-stack (see [4]) and objects of this stack are called bands. In particular, every sheaf of groups defines a band. Since every band is represented locally by a sheaf of groups, all those concepts related to sheaves of groups which are local in nature (e.g. exact sequence, epimorphism, kernel, center)

also make sense for bands. It is a simple exercise to show that the center of a band is necessarily represented by a sheaf of groups. The 'fundamental group' of any gerbe \mathcal{X} (neutral or not) is always defined as a band. For a band L , a gerbe banded by L (or simply an L -gerbe) will mean a gerbe together with an isomorphism of L with the band defined by \mathcal{X} . An equivalence of L -gerbes means an equivalence of the gerbes compatible with the given isomorphisms of their bands with L .

Definition 2.3. [3, 3.1.1] For a band L on a site \mathcal{C} , let $H^2(\mathcal{C}, L)$ or simply $H^2(L)$ denote the equivalence class of L -gerbes. The subset represented by neutral classes in $H^2(\mathcal{C}, L)$ is denoted by $H^2(\mathcal{C}, L)'$ or simply $(H^2(L))'$.

Remark 2.4. Note that $H^2(L)'$ is non-empty if and only if L can be represented by a sheaf of groups (see [3, 3.2.4]), in which case it is a singleton set, as can be seen for e.g. by Theorem 2.8 stated below.

Remark 2.5. If a band L is representable by a sheaf of abelian groups A , then $H^2(L)$ defined above is in canonical bijection with the $H^2(A)$ as defined by sheaf cohomology [3, 3.4].

Given an exact sequence of sheaves of groups

$$1 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{a} B \xrightarrow{b} C \rightarrow 1$$

one has a long exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H^0(A) \rightarrow H^0(B) \rightarrow H^0(C) \rightarrow H^1(A) \rightarrow H^1(B) \rightarrow H^1(C).$$

One of the goals of introducing the non-abelian H^2 is to extend this exact sequence on the right. We first note that B acts on itself by inner automorphisms. Since A is normal in B , this action also induces an action on A . Thus B also acts on $\mathbf{band}(A)$, where $\mathbf{band}(A)$ denotes the band defined by A . This action factors through C .

We need the following definition to state the result extending the above long exact sequence to H^2 :

Definition 2.6. [3, 4.2.3] For an epimorphism of bands $v : M \rightarrow N$, one defines a pointed set

$$\mathbf{O}(v) := N(v)/R$$

where

- (1) $N(v)$ is the set of all triples (K, L, u) where K is a gerbe with band L and $u : L \rightarrow M$ is a monomorphism which makes the following sequence exact

$$1 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{v} N \rightarrow 1.$$

- (2) R is the equivalence relation defined by declaring $(K, L, u) \sim (K', L', u')$ if there exists a morphism of gerbes $\alpha : K \rightarrow K'$ such that the induced morphism $\alpha : L \rightarrow L'$ on bands makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{u} & M \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \parallel \\ L & \xrightarrow{u'} & M \end{array}$$

- (3) $\mathbf{O}(v)'$ denotes the subset of $\mathbf{O}(v)$ defined by all those (K, L, u) where K is a neutral L -gerbe.

Now one has the following general result.

Theorem 2.7. [3, 4.2.8, 4.2.10] *Given an exact sequence of sheaves of groups $1 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 1$, we have the following long exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow H^0(A) \rightarrow H^0(B) \rightarrow H^0(C) \rightarrow H^1(A) \rightarrow H^1(B) \rightarrow H^1(C) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{O}(g) \rightarrow H^2(B) \rightarrow H^2(C).$$

where the map d is as defined in [3, 4.7.2.4] and exactness of the sequence is defined similar to that in the case of pointed sets with subsets $\mathbf{O}(g)'$ and $H^2(B)'$, $H^2(C)'$ playing the role of base points. When the action of C on $\mathbf{band}(A)$ is trivial, one has a canonical bijection $\mathbf{O}(g) \cong H^2(A)$.

Another important result of Giraud we need is the following.

Theorem 2.8. [3, 3.3.3] *Let L be a band and C be its center. Then one has a canonical action of $H^2(C)$ on $H^2(L)$ which is free and transitive.*

This theorem, loosely speaking, says that the non-abelian cohomology set $H^2(L)$ is essentially all abelian as it "comes from" its center. Note however that if $H^2(L)$ has no class represented by a neutral gerbe, then there is no canonical bijection between $H^2(L)$ and $H^2(C)$.

The following is a direct consequence of the above theorem and the definition of

Lemma 2.9. *Let $1 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence of sheaves of groups. Assume that $H^2(A)$ is trivial. Then $O(g)' = O(g)$.*

Proof. Let (K, L, u) be any triple where L is a band which fits in the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{u} \mathbf{band}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{band}(C) \rightarrow 1$$

and K is an L -gerbe. To prove the theorem it is enough to show that K is neutral. However we note that center $Z(L)$ coincides with $Z(A)$, the center of A . The result then directly follows from the above theorem. \square

3. STRONG \mathbb{A}^1 -INVARIANCE OF THE CENTER AND APPLICATIONS

The goal of this section is to prove the theorems mentioned in the introduction. We start by proving the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of groups on Sm/k . Then $Z(G)$, the center of G is also strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.*

Proof. Since G is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, BG is \mathbb{A}^1 -local. By choosing a simplicially fibrant model for BG we may further assume, without loss of generality, that BG is \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrant. To show $Z(G)$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, we need to show $BZ(G)$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local. Let $BZ(G) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{X}$ be an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrant replacement (in the category of pointed spaces). Thus u is a trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -cofibration. To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that u is a simplicial weak equivalence. Equivalently, it suffices to show that the map on sheaves of fundamental groups

$$\pi_1(BZ(G)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{X})(=: H)$$

is an isomorphism. Since BG is \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrant and u is a trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -cofibration, we have a factorization h as below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BZ(G) & \longrightarrow & BG \\ u \downarrow & \nearrow \exists h & \\ \mathcal{X} & & \end{array}$$

which gives a commutative diagram of the maps induces on the fundamental groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z(G) & \longrightarrow & G \\ u_* \downarrow & \nearrow h_* & \\ H & & \end{array}$$

\square

In the above diagram, if we show that the image of h_* is $Z(G)$, then it will follow that $Z(G)$ is a retract of the strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf H and hence is itself strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. Thus it suffices to show that image of h_* is contained in $Z(G)$. This is equivalent to showing that for every smooth k -scheme U and an element $g \in G(U)$, the map $h|_U$ is homotopic to the composite of

$$\mathcal{X}|_U \xrightarrow{h|_U} BG|_U \xrightarrow{x \mapsto gxg^{-1}} BG|_U.$$

But note that the base change functor from $\Delta^{op}(\mathcal{S}h(k)) \rightarrow \Delta^{op}(\mathcal{S}h(U))$ preserves trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -cofibrations since it is a left quillen functor. Moreover it also preserves \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrations. Thus

$$BZ(G)|_U \xrightarrow{\nu|_U} \mathcal{X}|_U$$

is a trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -cofibration. Since $Z(G)$ is the center of G , the map $BZ(G)|_U \xrightarrow{\nu|_U} BG|_U$ is homotopic (in fact equal) to the composite

$$BZ(G)|_U \rightarrow BG|_U \xrightarrow{x \mapsto gxg^{-1}} BG|_U.$$

The proof now follows from commutative diagram below, using the fact that $\nu|_U$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence and the fact that $BG|_U$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local by Lemma 3.2.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BZ(G)|_U & \longrightarrow & BG|_U \xrightarrow{x \mapsto gxg^{-1}} BG|_U \\ \nu|_U \downarrow & \nearrow h|_U & \\ \mathcal{X}|_U & & \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.2. *$U \in Sm/k$. Then the restriction functor $\Delta^{op}(\mathcal{S}h(k)) \rightarrow \Delta^{op}(\mathcal{S}h(U))$ takes \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrant objects to \mathbb{A}^1 -local objects.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Y} \in \Delta^{op}(\mathcal{S}h(k))$ be an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrant object. Then $\mathcal{Y}|_U$ has BG property, since BG property is defined in terms of Nisnevich distinguished triangles and every Nisnevich distinguished triangle in the category Sm/U is also a Nisnevich distinguished triangle in Sm/k . Moreover, since $\mathcal{Y}(V \times \mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(V)$ is a weak equivalence for every V/U . Thus by arguments given as in [5, A.6], $\mathcal{Y}|_U$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local. \square

Proof of 1.3. Let K denote the kernel of the the epimorphism $G \rightarrow H$. Thus we have a short exact sequence of Nisnevich sheaves of groups

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1.$$

Step 1: For every smooth k -scheme U , this gives us an exact sequence (see [3, 3.3.1]) of pointed cohomology sets

$$1 \rightarrow H^0(U, K) \rightarrow H^0(U, G) \rightarrow H^0(U, H) \rightarrow H^1(U, K) \rightarrow H^1(U, G) \rightarrow H^1(U, H)$$

Using functoriality of the above exact sequence in the case when U is Hensel local, we deduce that the \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of H implies (in fact is equivalent to) strong \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of K . By Theorem 3.1, $Z(K)$, the center of K is strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf.

Step 2: To show strong \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of H , it is enough to show that for all henselian local essentially smooth schemes U/k , $H^1(U \times \mathbb{A}_k^1, H)$ is trivial. By [3, 4.7.2.4], we have an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$\rightarrow H^1(U \times \mathbb{A}_k^1, G) \rightarrow H^1(U \times \mathbb{A}_k^1, H) \rightarrow O(\phi)$$

where ϕ denotes restriction of $G \rightarrow H$ to the over-category $(Sm/k)/U \times \mathbb{A}^1$ which will be denoted by $Sm_k/U \times \mathbb{A}^1$ for simplicity and $O(\phi)$ is as defined in 2.6. It is enough to show $O(\phi)$ is trivial. This follows from Lemma 2.9 and the strict \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of $Z(K)$. \square

Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on Sm/k . For $U \in Sm/k$ we say $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ are naive \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopic if there exists a $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}(U \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ such that

$$\alpha = \sigma_0^*(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \sigma_1^*(\gamma)$$

where $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 : U \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{A}^1$ are the sections defined by 0 and 1 respectively. As in [2, 2.9], let $S(\mathcal{F})$ denote the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \frac{\mathcal{F}(U)}{\sim}$$

where \sim denotes the equivalence relation generated by naive \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies. There is a canonical epimorphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow S(\mathcal{F})$. Let

$$S^\infty(\mathcal{F}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^n(\mathcal{F})$$

The following lemma is straightforward to check.

Lemma 3.3. (see [2, 2.13]) *The canonical morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow S^\infty(\mathcal{F})$ is an epimorphism and is a universal map from \mathcal{F} to an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf. Moreover if \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of groups, then so is $S^\infty(\mathcal{F})$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By lemma 3.3, the map

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{h} H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$$

factors uniquely through

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{s} S^\infty\left(\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})^{ab}\right).$$

Since the map s is an epimorphism, Theorem 1.3 implies that $S^\infty\left(\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})^{ab}\right)$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of abelian groups. Thus s is a universal map to strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of abelian groups. However by [5, 6.35], so is h . Thus the induced map from $S^\infty\left(\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})^{ab}\right) \rightarrow H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ must be an isomorphism. In particular h must be an epimorphism. \square

4. HUREWICZ MAP FOR \mathbb{P}_k^1

In this section we reserve the notation H to denote the Hurewicz map for \mathbb{P}^1 , i.e. $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1) \xrightarrow{H} H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$. The goal of this section is to prove the following propositions

Proposition 4.1. *The kernel of the Hurewicz map for \mathbb{P}_k^1 ,*

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1) \xrightarrow{H} H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$$

is equal to the commutator subgroup of $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$.

As a consequence of the explicit computation of the Hurewicz map we obtain the following :

Proposition 4.2. *The sequence of Nisnevich sheaves*

$$0 \rightarrow h\underline{K}_2^{MW} \rightarrow \underline{K}_2^{MW} \xrightarrow{\eta} \underline{K}_1^{MW}$$

is exact.

Remark 4.3. We do not know if there is an elementary way to prove the above proposition, using generator and relations. In particular we do not know if

$$0 \rightarrow h\underline{K}_n^{MW} \rightarrow \underline{K}_n^{MW} \xrightarrow{\eta} \underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW}$$

is exact for every $n \geq 1$. However, as pointed out to us by O. Röndigs, it is possible that the above short exact sequence is induced by a cofiber sequence given in [1, Prop. 11].

The most difficult part of the computation in the above propositions is the universality of the Hurewicz map and the computation of $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ itself, both of which has been elegantly done in [5, 6.35, 7.3]. We first restate Morel's computation of $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ as it will also help us to build notation for use in subsequent calculation.

Let $F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1) := \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$. First we recall the following two maps defined by Morel:

- (1) A map $\theta : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1)$ which is a result of an \mathbb{A}^1 -equivalence $\mathbb{P}_k^1 \sim \Sigma(\mathbb{G}_m)$.
- (2) A map $\underline{K}_2^{MW} \rightarrow F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1)$ which is a result of applying π_1 to the map $\mathbb{A}^2 - 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and a theorem of Morel which shows $\underline{K}_2^{MW} \cong \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{A}^2 - 0)$.

In what follows, we will freely use standard notation for denoting elements of K_*^{MW} used in [5, Chapter 3], e.g. $\langle -1 \rangle, h, [U]$ etc.

Theorem 4.4. [5, 7.29] *As a sheaf of sets $F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1)$ is a product $K_2^{MW} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ and the following describes the structure of $F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1)$ completely:*

(i) *The sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \underline{K}_2^{MW} \rightarrow F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

is exact and is a central extension.

(ii) *For two units U, V in any field extension F of k , the following hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(U)\theta(V)^{-1} &= [-U][-V]\theta(U^{-1}V)^{-1} \\ \theta(U)^{-1}\theta(V) &= [U^{-1}][-V]\theta(U^{-1}V). \end{aligned}$$

The following is the main calculation in the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Lemma 4.5. *For any essentially smooth field extension F/k , the commutator subgroup of $F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1)(F)$ is equal to $hK_2^{MW}(F)$.*

Proof. Since $K_2^{MW}(F)$ is in the center of $F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1)(F)$, we have that the commutator subgroup

$$[F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1)(F), F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1)(F)] = \langle \theta(U)\theta(V)\theta(U)^{-1}\theta(V)^{-1} \mid U, V \in F \rangle$$

where the angle brackets on RHS denote subgroup generated by the elements within.

$$\theta(U)\theta(V) = \langle -1 \rangle [U][V]\theta(UV) \quad \dots(\text{by [5, 7.31]})$$

$$\theta(V)\theta(U) = \langle -1 \rangle [U][V]\theta(UV)$$

$$\theta(U)\theta(V)\theta(U)^{-1}\theta(V)^{-1} = \langle -1 \rangle [U][V]\theta(UV) \cdot (-\langle -1 \rangle [V][U]\theta(UV)^{-1}) \quad \dots(\text{by [5, 7.29(ii)]})$$

$$= \langle -1 \rangle ([U][V] - [V][U]) \quad \dots(\because K_2^{MW} \text{ is in the center})$$

$$= [U][V](\langle -1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle^2) \quad \dots(\text{using [5, 3.7(3)]})$$

$$= h(h-1)[U][V] \quad \dots(\because h = 1 + \langle -1 \rangle)$$

Thus we have

$$[F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1)(F), F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1)(F)] = \langle h(h-1)[U][V] \mid U, V \in F \rangle$$

$$= h(h-1)K_2^{MW}(F) \quad \dots(\text{using [5, 3.6(1)]})$$

$$= h \cdot K_2^{MW}(F) \quad \dots(\because h-1 = \langle -1 \rangle \text{ is a unit by [5, 3.5(4)]})$$

□

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Recall that H is a universal map from $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ to a strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of abelian groups. Thus it is enough to show that the abelianisation of $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)^{ab}$ is a quotient of a strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf therefore by Theorem 1.3, we need to show that $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)^{ab}$ is homotopy invariant. However by (4.4) and Lemma 4.5, $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)^{ab}$ fits in the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{K_2^{MW}}{hK_2^{MW}} \rightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)^{ab} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus it is enough to show that $\frac{K_2^{MW}}{hK_2^{MW}}$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant or equivalently hK_2^{MW} is strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. However this follows from [5, 3.32]. □

The following lemmas give explicit description of the Hurewicz morphism H .

Lemma 4.6. *There exists an isomorphism $\phi : H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong \underline{K}_1^{MW}$ such that*

$$\phi \circ H([U][V]\theta(W)) = \eta[U^{-1}][V] + [W]$$

where U, V, W are sections of \mathbb{G}_m on an object in \mathbb{G}_m .

Proof. Since there is an \mathbb{A}^1 -equivalence $\mathbb{P}_k^1 \cong \Sigma \mathbb{G}_m$, we have

$$H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}_k^1) \cong H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \tilde{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m)$$

where the second isomorphism is due to \mathbb{A}^1 -suspension theorem for homology [5, 6.30]. In the above statement \mathbb{G}_m is considered as a sheaf of sets pointed by 1. By the definition of \mathbb{A}^1 -homology groups, $\tilde{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is the strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of abelian groups generated by the pointed sheaf \mathbb{G}_m . By [5, 3.2], this must be isomorphic to \underline{K}_1^{MW} . Now recall that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \theta & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\Sigma \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}_k^1) \\
 \downarrow U \mapsto [U] & & & & \downarrow H \\
 \underline{K}_1^{MW} & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \tilde{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & H_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\Sigma \mathbb{G}_m) \\
 & & \phi & & \\
 & & \curvearrowleft & &
 \end{array}$$

The diagram commutes because all morphisms in this diagram are a result of some universal property. The commutativity of the diagram gives us the formula

$$(1) \quad \phi(H([W])) = [W]$$

Now, using the following equality proved in [5, 7.29(1)]

$$\theta(U^{-1})^{-1} \theta(U^{-1}V) \theta(V)^{-1} = [U][V]$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(H([U][V]\theta(W))) &= \phi(H(\theta(U^{-1})^{-1} \theta(U^{-1}V) \theta(V)^{-1} \theta(W))) \\
 &= -[U^{-1}] + [U^{-1}V] - [V] + [W] && \dots(\text{using eqn(1) above}) \\
 &= \eta[U^{-1}][V] + [W] && \dots(\text{using [5, 3.1(2)]})
 \end{aligned}$$

□

To state the next lemma, recall that \underline{K}_2^{MW} is the free strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of abelian groups generated by the pointed sheaf of sets $\mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$. Thus any automorphism of $\mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$ gives rise to an automorphism of \underline{K}_2^{MW} . In particular, the automorphism of \underline{K}_2^{MW} induced by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m &\longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \\
 (U, V) &\mapsto (U^{-1}, V)
 \end{aligned}$$

will be denoted by τ .

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\tau : \underline{K}_2^{MW} \rightarrow \underline{K}_2^{MW}$ denote the automorphism which sends $[U][V] \mapsto [U^{-1}][V]$. Then the restriction of the Hurewicz map H to \underline{K}_2^{MW} coincides with the composition*

$$\underline{K}_2^{MW} \xrightarrow{\tau} \underline{K}_2^{MW} \xrightarrow{H} \underline{K}_1^{MW}$$

Proof. This follows directly from the explicit formula for H in the above lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 4.2. By universality of H , as noted before, the map $F^{\mathbb{A}^1}(1) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{G}_m$ factors through H . Thus

$$\text{Ker}(H) \subset \text{Ker}(\gamma) = \underline{K}_2^{MW}.$$

$\text{Ker}(H)$ must coincide with the kernel of restriction of H to \underline{K}_2^{MW} . Now the proposition follows from Lemmas 4.5 and 4.7. □

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ananyevskiy, O. Röndigs, P. Østvær ; On very effective hermitian K-theory. *Math. Z.* **294** (2020), no. 3-4, 1021–1034. [6](#)
- [2] Chetan Balwe, Amit Hogadi and Anand Sawant; A^1 -connected components of schemes. *Adv. Math.* 282 (2015), 335–361. [5](#), [6](#)
- [3] Giraud, Jean; *Cohomologie non abélienne*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band **179**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- [4] G. Laumon, L. Moret-Bailly; *Champs algébriques*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. **3**. Folge. **39**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. [2](#)
- [5] F. Morel, A^1 -algebraic topology over a field, LNM 2052, *Springer*, Heidelberg, 2012. [1](#), [2](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#)
- [6] F. Morel, V. Voevodsky, A^1 -homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. **90** (1999), 45–143 (2001). [1](#)
- [7] U. Choudhury; Connectivity of motivic H -spaces. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology* 14 (2014) 37–55. [2](#)