

MARKOV MOVES, L^2 -BURAU MAPS AND LINK INVARIANTS

FATHI BEN ARIBI

ABSTRACT. We study the effect of Markov moves on L^2 -Bureau maps of braids, in order to construct link invariants from these maps with a process mirroring the well-known Alexander-Bureau formula.

We prove such a Markov invariance for L^2 -Bureau maps going to the groups of the braid closures or deeper. In the case of the link group, the corresponding link invariant was known to be the L^2 -Alexander torsion by a previous result of A. Conway and the author.

Furthermore, we find two counter-examples, meaning two families of L^2 -Bureau maps that cannot yield link invariants in this way. The proofs use relations between Fuglede-Kadison determinants, Mahler measures, and random walks on Cayley graphs, and the works of Boyd, Bartholdi and Dasbach-Lalin.

All of this suggests that twisted L^2 -Alexander torsions are the only link invariants we can hope to construct from L^2 -Bureau maps with such a process.

1. INTRODUCTION

The L^2 -Bureau maps and reduced L^2 -Bureau maps of braids were introduced in 2016 in [BAC] by A. Conway and the author. These maps generalise the Bureau representation of braid groups and are indexed by a positive real number t and a group epimorphism γ of a free group of finite rank. In a sense, all the L^2 -Bureau maps are contained between the Bureau representation and the Artin injection of the braid group B_n in the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_n)$ of the free group \mathbb{F}_n . Moreover, we proved in [BAC] that for a braid $\beta \in B_n$, its image by the reduced L^2 -Bureau map $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma\beta}^{(2)}$ indexed by the epimorphism $\gamma_\beta: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow G_\beta \cong \pi_1(S^3 \setminus \hat{\beta})$ yields the L^2 -Alexander torsion of the braid closure $\hat{\beta}$ (a link invariant due to Li-Zhang and Dubois-Friedl-Lück [LZ, DFL]), and thus that $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma\beta}^{(2)}$ contains deep topological information about β such as the hyperbolic volume or the genus of $\hat{\beta}$.

It is then natural to wonder whether L^2 -Bureau maps associated to other epimorphisms can similarly provide link invariants and detect topological information of the braid, and this article provides a partial positive answer to this question.

We first introduce the notion of *Markov-admissibility* of a family \mathcal{Q} of group epimorphisms $Q_\beta: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \rightarrow G_{Q_\beta}$ indexed by braids $\beta \in \sqcup_{n \geq 1} B_n$, in Section 3. Roughly speaking, we say that such a family is Markov-admissible when for any two braids α, β that have isotopic closures (and thus are related by Markov moves), the associated epimorphisms Q_α and Q_β descend “to the same depth” and are related by a sequence of commutative diagrams. Markov admissibility appears to be a necessary condition in order to construct Markov functions and link invariants from general families of epimorphisms indexed by braids.

In this paper we focus on a specific candidate for being a Markov function, namely

$$F_{\mathcal{Q}} := \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqcup_{n \geq 1} B_n & \rightarrow \\ \beta & \mapsto \end{array} \left[t \mapsto \frac{\det_{G_{Q_\beta}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_\beta}^{(2)}(\beta) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right)}{\max(1, t)^n} \right] \right),$$

where \mathcal{Q} is a Markov-admissible family of epimorphisms and $\det_{G_{\mathcal{Q}_\beta}}^r$ is the *regular Fuglede-Kadison determinant*, a version of the determinant for infinite-dimensional G -equivariant operators on $\ell^2(G)$ such as the L^2 -Burau maps (see Section 2 for a definition).

The first main result of this article is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.1). *Let \mathcal{Q} be a Markov-admissible family of epimorphisms that descends to the groups of the braid closures or deeper. Then $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a Markov function, and thus defines an invariant of links.*

As detailed in Section 4, to prove Theorem 1.1 we study how Markov moves on braids modify reduced L^2 -Burau maps and we use properties of the Fuglede-Kadison determinant.

Part of Theorem 1.1 was already proven in [BAC], but without considering Markov invariance. Indeed, for \mathcal{Q} the family that exactly descends to the groups of the braid closures G_β , [BAC, Theorem 4.9] directly linked $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ to the L^2 -Alexander torsions of the braid closures, as a variant of the well-known Alexander-Burau formula; and since the L^2 -Alexander torsions were already known link invariants, Markov invariance was reciprocally guaranteed, and carefully studying Markov moves was unnecessary.

However, as stated in Theorem 1.1, the methods of the current article provide several new link invariants, which appear (unsurprisingly) to be twisted L^2 -Alexander torsions of links.

Theorem 1.1 is not an equivalence in the sense that $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ could theoretically be a Markov function for other families \mathcal{Q} , but the specific convenient cancellations that occur in matrix coefficients in the proof of Theorem 1.1 make this seem unlikely. As further evidence, we establish two families \mathcal{Q} such that $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is not a Markov function, in the second main result of this article:

Theorem 1.2 (Theorems 5.1 and 5.5). *Let \mathcal{Q} be either the family of identities of the free groups or the family of abelianizations of the free groups. Then $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is not a Markov function.*

Our main tools to prove Theorem 1.2 are relations between Fuglede-Kadison determinants (which are technical to define and difficult to compute), Mahler measures of polynomials (notably studied by Boyd [Bo]) and combinatorics on Cayley graphs (developed in [Ba, DL]). Along the way, we notably compute new values for Fuglede-Kadison determinants of operators over the free groups (see Proposition 5.3).

As the reader will probably agree, the initial question (how to build link invariants from L^2 -Burau maps) is still far from answered. We restricted ourselves to studying the most intuitive form of (potential) Markov function $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$, and found that twisted L^2 -Alexander torsions appeared to be the best link invariants we could obtain with it. This last point is unsurprising considering the form of $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and its natural connection with the Alexander polynomial and its variations.

However, there may well be new link invariants to discover via other functions of the L^2 -Burau maps, and we hope that our computations of how these maps change under Markov moves can be of use for future research in this vein.

The present article arose as a part of a wider project in collaboration with C. Anghel aiming to construct new knot invariants from L^2 -versions of the Burau and Lawrence representations of braid groups. To attain this end, studying the influence of Markov moves on L^2 -Burau maps appears to be a natural step.

The article is organised as follows: in Section 2 we recall preliminaries on braid groups and L^2 -invariants; in Section 3 we introduce the notion of a Markov-admissible family of group epimorphisms with several examples; in Section 4 we

state and prove Theorem 4.1 on Markov invariance; finally, in Section 5 we present counter-examples to Markov invariance and new computations of Fuglede-Kadison determinants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author was supported by the FNRS in his position at UCLouvain. We thank Cristina Anghel-Palmer and Anthony Conway for helpful discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We will mostly follow the conventions of [BAC] and [Lu].

2.1. Braid groups. The braid group B_n can be seen as the set of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the punctured disk $D_n := D^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ which fix the boundary pointwise. Recall that B_n admits a presentation with $n - 1$ generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ following the relations $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ for each i , and $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ if $|i - j| > 2$. Topologically, the generator σ_i is the braid whose i -th component passes over the $(i + 1)$ -th component.




FIGURE 1. The punctured disk D_3 .

Fix a base point z of D_n and denote by x_i the simple loop based at z turning once around p_i counterclockwise for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ (see Figure 1). The group $\pi_1(D_n)$ can then be identified with the free group \mathbb{F}_n on the x_i . If H_β is a homeomorphism of D_n representing a braid β , then the induced automorphism h_β of the free group \mathbb{F}_n depends only on β . It follows from the way we compose braids that $h_{\alpha\beta} = h_\beta \circ h_\alpha$, and the resulting *right* action of B_n on \mathbb{F}_n (named the *Artin action*) can be explicitly described by

$$h_{\sigma_i}(x_j) = \begin{cases} x_i x_{i+1} x_i^{-1} & \text{if } j = i, \\ x_i & \text{if } j = i + 1, \\ x_j & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad h_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(x_j) = \begin{cases} x_{i+1} & \text{if } j = i, \\ x_{i+1}^{-1} x_i x_{i+1} & \text{if } j = i + 1, \\ x_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this paper we will also use a second set of generators of \mathbb{F}_n , namely

$$g_1 := x_1, \quad g_2 := x_1 x_2, \quad \dots, \quad g_n := x_1 \dots x_n.$$

Looking at Figure 1, g_i represents the class of the loop that circles the first i punctures. On these generators, B_n acts in the following way:

$$h_{\sigma_i}(g_j) = \begin{cases} g_{i+1} g_i^{-1} g_{i-1} & \text{if } j = i, \\ g_j & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad h_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(g_j) = \begin{cases} g_{i-1} g_i^{-1} g_{i+1} & \text{if } j = i, \\ g_j & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we use the convention $g_0 := 1$.

2.2. Fox calculus. Denoting by \mathbb{F}_n the free group on x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , the *Fox derivative* $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} : \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{F}_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{F}_n]$ (first introduced in [Fox]) is the linear extension of the map defined on elements of \mathbb{F}_n by

$$\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_i} = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \frac{\partial x_j^{-1}}{\partial x_i} = -\delta_{i,j} x_j^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial(uv)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}.$$

The following formula is sometimes referred to the fundamental formula of Fox calculus:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $u \in \mathbb{F}_n$ and $\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the map defined by $\epsilon : x_i \mapsto 1$. Then:*

$$u - \epsilon(u) \cdot 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot (x_i - 1).$$

2.3. Fuglede-Kadison determinant. In this section we will give short definitions of the von Neumann trace and the Fuglede-Kadison determinant, without going into technical details. More details can be found in [Lu] and [BAC].

Let G be a finitely generated group. The Hilbert space $\ell^2(G)$ is the completion of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$, and the space of bounded operators on it is denoted $B(\ell^2(G))$. We will focus on *right-multiplication operators* $R_w \in B(\ell^2(G))$, where R denotes the right regular action of G on $\ell^2(G)$ extended to the group ring $\mathbb{C}G$ (and even to the rings of matrices $M_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}G)$).

For any element $w = a_0 \cdot 1_G + a_1 g_1 \dots + a_r g_r \in \mathbb{C}G$, the *von Neumann trace* tr_G of the associated right multiplication operator is defined as

$$\text{tr}_G(R_w) = \text{tr}_G(a_0 \text{Id}_{\ell^2(G)} + a_1 R_{g_1} \dots + a_r R_{g_r}) := a_0,$$

and the von Neumann trace for a finite square matrix over $\mathbb{C}G$ is given as the sum of the traces of the diagonal coefficients.

Now the most concise definition of the *Fuglede-Kadison determinant* \det_G of a right-multiplication operator A is probably

$$\det_G(A) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\exp \circ \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_G \right) \circ \ln \right) ((A_\perp)^*(A_\perp) + \varepsilon \text{Id}) \geq 0,$$

where A_\perp is the restriction of A to a supplementary of its kernel, $*$ is the adjunction and \ln the logarithm of an operator in the sense of the holomorphic functional calculus. Compare with [Lu, Theorem 3.14] and Proposition 2.2 below.

The following properties concern the classical Fuglede-Kadison determinant \det_G described in [Lu2, Chapter 3], which is not always multiplicative if one deals with non injective operators. Moreover, this determinant forgets about the influence of the spectral value 0, which surprisingly makes it take the value 1 for the zero operator. More recent articles have used the *regular Fuglede-Kadison determinant* \det_G^r instead, which is defined for square injective operators, is zero for non injective operators, and is always multiplicative. In this paper we will work with both types of determinants, but the reader should be reassured that most of the statements we will make remain unchanged while replacing one determinant with the other (up to assumptions on injectivity usually). Similarly, the statements of the following Proposition 2.2 admit immediate variants with \det_G^r .

Proposition 2.2 ([Lu2]). *Let G be a countable discrete group and let*

$$A, B, C, D \in \sqcup_{p,q \in \mathbb{N}} R_{M_{p,q}}(\mathbb{C}G)$$

be general right multiplication operators. The Fuglede-Kadison determinant satisfies the following properties:

- (1) (*multiplicativity*) If A, B are injective, square and of the same size, then

$$\det_G(A \circ B) = \det_G(A) \det_G(B).$$

- (2) (*block triangular case*) If A, B are injective and square, then

$$\det_G \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \det_G(A) \det_G(B),$$

where C has the appropriate dimensions.

- (3) (*induction*) If $\iota: G \hookrightarrow H$ is a group monomorphism, then

$$\det_H(\iota(A)) = \det_G(A).$$

- (4) (*relation with the von Neumann trace*) If A is a positive operator, then

$$\det_G(A) = (\exp \circ \text{tr}_G \circ \ln)(A).$$

- (5) (*simple case*) If $g \in G$ is of infinite order, then for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ the operator $\text{Id} - tR_g$ is injective and

$$\det_G(\text{Id} - tR_g) = \max(1, |t|).$$

- (6) (*2×2 trick*) For all $A, B, C, D \in N(G)$ such that B is invertible, $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ is injective if and only if $DB^{-1}A - C$ is injective, and in this case one has:

$$\det_G \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det_G(B) \det_G(DB^{-1}A - C).$$

- (7) (*relation with Mahler measure*) Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, and P denote the d -variable polynomial associated to the operator $A \in R_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^d}$. Then

$$\det_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(A) = \mathcal{M}(P),$$

where \mathcal{M} is the d -variable Mahler measure.

- (8) (*limit of positive operators*) If A is injective, then

$$\det_G(A) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sqrt{\det_G(A^*A + \varepsilon \text{Id})}.$$

- (9) (*dilations*) Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then:

$$\det_G(\lambda \text{Id}^{\oplus n}) = |\lambda|^n.$$

Remark 2.3. If the group G satisfies the *strong Atiyah conjecture* (see [Lu, Chapter 10]), then the right multiplication operator by any non-zero element of $\mathbb{C}G$ is injective, which makes it convenient to apply some parts of Proposition 2.2. Free groups and free abelian groups are examples of such groups.

2.4. L^2 -Bureau maps on braids. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $t > 0$, $\Phi_n: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ denote the projection of all free generators to 1, and $\gamma: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow G$ denote an epimorphism such that Φ_n factors through γ . Let $\kappa(t, \Phi_n, \gamma): \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}G$ denote the ring homomorphism that sends $g \in \mathbb{F}_n$ to $t^{\Phi_n(g)}\gamma(g)$.

Then following [BAC], the associated L^2 -Bureau map on B_n is

$$\mathcal{B}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}: B_n \ni \beta \mapsto R_{\kappa(t,\Phi_n,\gamma)(J)} \in B(\ell^2(G)^{\oplus n}),$$

where $J = \left(\frac{\partial h_\beta(x_j)}{\partial x_i} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is the Fox jacobian of h_β for the base of the x_i , and

the associated *reduced L^2 -Bureau map* on B_n is

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}: B_n \ni \beta \mapsto R_{\kappa(t,\Phi_n,\gamma)(J')} \in B(\ell^2(G)^{\oplus(n-1)}),$$

where $J' = \left(\frac{\partial h_\beta(g_j)}{\partial g_i} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$ is the Fox jacobian of h_β for the base of the g_i .

In the remainder of this article we will focus on reduced L^2 -Bourau maps.

Note that L^2 -Bourau maps (reduced or not) admit other definitions as maps over a certain homology of a cover of the punctured disk (see [BAC] for details). Although these homological definitions may be more natural and more useful for further generalizations, the remainder of this article will only use the previous definitions via Fox jacobians.

The following proposition is an (anti-) multiplication formula for the reduced L^2 -Bourau maps.

Proposition 2.4 ([BAC]). *For any n, t, γ as above and any two braids $\alpha, \beta \in B_n$, we have:*

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\alpha\beta) = \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\beta) \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma \circ h_\beta}^{(2)}(\alpha).$$

Note that the unreduced L^2 -Bourau maps satisfy an identical formula.

It follows from Proposition 2.4 that a reduced L^2 -Bourau map can be computed for any braid via knowing the values on the generators σ_i of the braid group. For the reader's convenience and since they will be used in the remainder of this article, we now provide the image of the generators σ_i :

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\sigma_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} -tR_{\gamma(g_2g_1^{-1})} & 0 \\ \text{Id} & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-3)}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\sigma_i) &= \text{Id}^{\oplus(i-2)} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & tR_{\gamma(g_{i+1}g_i^{-1})} & 0 \\ 0 & -tR_{\gamma(g_{i+1}g_i^{-1})} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id} & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-i-2)} \text{ for } 1 < i < n-1, \\ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\sigma_{n-1}) &= \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-3)} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & tR_{\gamma(g_n g_{n-1}^{-1})} \\ 0 & -tR_{\gamma(g_n g_{n-1}^{-1})} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and the images of the inverses σ_i^{-1} :

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\sigma_1^{-1}) &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{t}R_{\gamma(g_1^{-1})} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{t}R_{\gamma(g_1^{-1})} & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-3)}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\sigma_i^{-1}) &= \text{Id}^{\oplus(i-2)} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{t}R_{\gamma(g_{i-1}g_i^{-1})} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t}R_{\gamma(g_{i-1}g_i^{-1})} & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-i-2)} \text{ for } 1 < i < n-1, \\ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}) &= \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-3)} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & \text{Id} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{t}R_{\gamma(g_{n-2}g_{n-1}^{-1})} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.5. It follows from what precedes and from Proposition 2.2 that for all $t > 0$, we have $\det_G \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\sigma_i^{\pm 1}) \right) = t^{\pm 1}$.

3. MARKOV ADMISSIBILITY OF A FAMILY OF EPIMORPHISMS

For each $n \geq 1$ and each braid $\beta \in B_n$, let us denote

- $n(\beta) := n$ the number of strands,
- h_β the (Artin) group automorphism on $\mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)}$ (note that $\beta \mapsto h_\beta$ is anti-multiplicative),
- $\gamma_\beta: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \rightarrow G_\beta$ the quotient by all relations of the form $\cdot = h_\beta(\cdot)$,
- $\hat{\beta}$ the closure of β , a link in S^3 ,
- $G_{\hat{\beta}} = \pi_1 \left(S^3 \setminus \hat{\beta} \right)$ the group of the link $\hat{\beta}$,
- $\Phi_n: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the projection of all free generators to 1,

- $\iota_n: \mathbb{F}_n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{n+1}$ the group inclusion sending the n generators of \mathbb{F}_n to the first n generators of \mathbb{F}_{n+1} .

Definition 3.1. A family \mathcal{Q} of group epimorphisms of the form

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_\beta: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \twoheadrightarrow G_{Q_\beta} \mid \beta \in \sqcup_{n \geq 1} B_n\}$$

will be called *Markov-admissible* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) For all $n \geq 1$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in B_n$, there exists a group isomorphism $\chi_{\beta, \alpha}^{\mathcal{Q}}: G_{Q_\beta} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}$ such that $Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha} \circ h_\alpha = \chi_{\beta, \alpha}^{\mathcal{Q}} \circ Q_\beta$.
- (2) For all $n \geq 1$, $\beta \in B_n$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, there exists a group monomorphism $\sigma_{\beta, \varepsilon}^{\mathcal{Q}}: G_{Q_\beta} \hookrightarrow G_{Q_{\sigma_n^\varepsilon \beta}}$ such that $Q_{\sigma_n^\varepsilon \beta} \circ \iota_n = \sigma_{\beta, \varepsilon}^{\mathcal{Q}} \circ Q_\beta$.

These two conditions are illustrated in Figure 2.

FIGURE 2. Conditions for \mathcal{Q} to be Markov-admissible

Roughly speaking, the epimorphisms in a Markov-admissible family will be compatible in a way that lets us hope to compute (L^2 -)knot invariants by using them. Note that less restrictive definitions may be preferred in the future if we find better ways of computing L^2 -objects such as Fuglede-Kadison determinants.

We will now present several examples of Markov-admissible families, which are all displayed in Figure 3 for clarity. Moreover, Figure 3 summarizes the results of Sections 4 and 5 concerning Markov invariance (\checkmark standing for yes and \times for no).




FIGURE 3. When does $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma}^{(2)}$ yield a map on braids which is invariant under Markov moves, for $\gamma: \mathbb{F}_n \twoheadrightarrow G$?

Example 3.2. The family of identity morphisms $\mathcal{Q} = \{\text{id}_{\mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)}}\}$ is Markov-admissible, with $\chi_{\beta, \alpha}^{\mathcal{Q}} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)}}$ and $\sigma_{\beta, \varepsilon}^{\mathcal{Q}} = \iota_{n(\beta)}$.

Example 3.3. At the other end of the spectrum, the family $\mathcal{Q} = \{\Phi_{n(\beta)}\}$ is Markov-admissible, with $\chi_{\beta, \alpha}^{\mathcal{Q}} = \sigma_{\beta, \varepsilon}^{\mathcal{Q}} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Example 3.4. The family of abelianizations $\Omega = \{\varphi_{n(\beta)}: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n(\beta)}\}$ is Markov-admissible, with $\sigma_{\beta,\varepsilon}^{\mathbb{O}}$ the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}^{n(\beta)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n(\beta)+1}$ induced by $\iota_{n(\beta)}$, and $\chi_{\beta,\alpha}^{\mathbb{O}}$ the permutation on the canonical generators of \mathbb{Z}^n corresponding to the permutation of $n(\alpha)$ strands induced by $\alpha \in B_n$.

The following proposition is an elementary result in group theory, but is stated for the reader's convenience.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $f: G \rightarrow H$ be a group homomorphism, and N a normal subgroup of G . If f is surjective and $\text{Ker}(f) \subset N$ (in particular if f is an isomorphism), then f induces an isomorphism between G/N and $H/f(N)$.*

Let us now consider epimorphisms that descend to the fundamental groups of the braid closure complements.

Proposition 3.6. *The family $\Omega = \{\gamma_{\beta}: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \rightarrow G_{\beta}\}$ is Markov-admissible. Moreover the monomorphisms $\sigma_{\beta,\varepsilon}^{\mathbb{O}}$ are isomorphisms.*

Proof. First step: Markov 1:

Take $n \geq 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \in B_n$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\alpha}(\text{Ker}(\gamma_{\beta})) &= h_{\alpha}(\langle \langle h_{\beta}(x)x^{-1}; x \in \mathbb{F}_n \rangle \rangle) = \langle \langle h_{\beta\alpha}(x)h_{\alpha}(x)^{-1}; x \in \mathbb{F}_n \rangle \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle h_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}(y)y^{-1}; y \in \mathbb{F}_n \rangle \rangle = \text{Ker}(\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}), \end{aligned}$$

thus it follows from Proposition 3.5 that the isomorphism $h_{\alpha}: \mathbb{F}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_n$ induces the required isomorphism $\chi_{\beta,\alpha}^{\mathbb{O}}: G_{\beta} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}$.

Second step: Markov 2:

Take $n \geq 1$ and $\beta \in B_n$. Let $\beta_+ = \sigma_n^{-1}\iota(\beta) \in B_{n+1}$. First notice that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\beta_+}(x_j)x_j^{-1} &= h_{\iota(\beta)}(h_{\sigma_n^{-1}}(x_j))x_j^{-1} = h_{\iota(\beta)}(x_j)x_j^{-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ h_{\beta_+}(x_n)x_n^{-1} &= h_{\iota(\beta)}(h_{\sigma_n^{-1}}(x_n))x_n^{-1} = h_{\iota(\beta)}(x_{n+1})x_n^{-1} = x_{n+1}x_n^{-1}, \\ h_{\beta_+}(x_{n+1})x_{n+1}^{-1} &= h_{\iota(\beta)}(h_{\sigma_n^{-1}}(x_{n+1}))x_{n+1}^{-1} = h_{\iota(\beta)}(x_{n+1}^{-1}x_nx_{n+1})x_{n+1}^{-1} = x_{n+1}^{-1}h_{\iota(\beta)}(x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{Ker}(\gamma_{\beta_+}) = \langle \langle x_{n+1}x_n^{-1}; \iota_n(\text{Ker}(\gamma_{\beta})) \rangle \rangle$.

We can now define $\sigma_{\beta,-1}^{\mathbb{O}} := (G_{\beta} \ni [x]_{G_{\beta}} \mapsto [\iota_n(x)]_{G_{\beta_+}} \in G_{\beta_+})$, where $x \in \mathbb{F}_n$ and $[\cdot]_{G}$ is the quotient class in G . Since $\iota_n(\text{Ker}(\gamma_{\beta})) \subset \text{Ker}(\gamma_{\beta_+})$, $\sigma_{\beta,-1}^{\mathbb{O}}$ is a well-defined group homomorphism.

Let us prove that $\sigma_{\beta,-1}^{\mathbb{O}}$ is surjective. Let $[y]_{G_{\beta_+}} \in G_{\beta_+}$, with $y \in \mathbb{F}_{n+1}$. Let $y' \in \iota_n(\mathbb{F}_n)$ be the word constructed from y by replacing all letters x_{n+1} with x_n . Hence $[y]_{G_{\beta_+}} = [y']_{G_{\beta_+}} \in \text{Im}(\sigma_{\beta,-1}^{\mathbb{O}})$ and $\sigma_{\beta,-1}^{\mathbb{O}}$ is surjective.

Let us prove that $\sigma_{\beta,-1}^{\mathbb{O}}$ is injective. Let $[\iota_n(x)]_{G_{\beta_+}} \in G_{\beta_+}$ (with $x \in \mathbb{F}_n$) be trivial. Then $\iota_n(x) \in \text{Ker}(\gamma_{\beta_+}) = \langle \langle x_{n+1}x_n^{-1}; \iota_n(\text{Ker}(\gamma_{\beta})) \rangle \rangle$. Thus $\iota_n(x)$ is a product of conjugates (in \mathbb{F}_{n+1}) of terms $(x_{n+1}x_n^{-1})^{\pm 1}$ and/or terms in $\iota_n(\text{Ker}(\gamma_{\beta}))$. But since $\iota_n(x)$ is a free word without the letter x_{n+1} , we conclude that the conjugates of terms $(x_{n+1}x_n^{-1})^{\pm 1}$ in it cancel each other. Thus $\iota_n(x) \in \iota_n(\text{Ker}(\gamma_{\beta}))$ and $[x]_{G_{\beta}} = 1$. Hence $\sigma_{\beta,-1}^{\mathbb{O}}$ is injective.

Third step: Markov 2 again:

Take $n \geq 1$, $\beta \in B_n$, and let $\beta_+ = \sigma_n\iota(\beta) \in B_{n+1}$. The proof is similar as in the Second step, with the following differences:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\beta_+}(x_n)x_n^{-1} &= h_{\iota(\beta)}(x_n)x_{n+1}(h_{\iota(\beta)}(x_n))^{-1}x_n^{-1}, \\ h_{\beta_+}(x_{n+1})x_{n+1}^{-1} &= h_{\iota(\beta)}(x_n)x_{n+1}^{-1}, \\ \text{Ker}(\gamma_{\beta_+}) &= \langle \langle h_{\iota(\beta)}(x_n)x_{n+1}^{-1}; \iota_n(\text{Ker}(\gamma_{\beta})) \rangle \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and we replace letters x_{n+1} with $h_{\iota(\beta)}(x_n)$ in the proof of the surjectivity of $\sigma_{\beta,1}^{\mathbb{Q}}$. \square

Finally, let us fix notations for epimorphisms that go deeper than the groups of the braid closures.

Example 3.7. Let $\{\psi_{\beta}: G_{\beta} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{\psi_{\beta}}\}_{\beta \in \sqcup_{n \geq 1} B_n}$ be a family of epimorphisms such that

- $\Phi_{n(\beta)}$ factors through $\psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma_{\beta}$,
- $\chi_{\beta,\alpha}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Ker}(\psi_{\beta})) = \text{Ker}(\psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha})$,
- $\sigma_{\beta,\varepsilon}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Ker}(\psi_{\beta})) = \text{Ker}(\psi_{\sigma_n^{\varepsilon}\iota(\beta)})$.

Then it follows from Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 that the family

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{\psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma_{\beta}: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{\psi_{\beta}}\}$$

is Markov-admissible.

4. A SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR MARKOV INVARIANCE

For $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_{\beta}\}$ a Markov-admissible family, and $t > 0$, we define the function

$$F_{\mathcal{Q}} := \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \sqcup_{n \geq 1} B_n & \rightarrow & \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \mathbb{R}_{>0}) / \{t \mapsto t^m, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ \beta & \mapsto & \left[t \mapsto \frac{\det_{G_{Q_{\beta}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta}}^{(2)}(\beta) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right)}{\max(1, t)^n} \right] \end{array} \right),$$

taking values in equivalence classes $[t \mapsto f(t)]$ of selfmaps of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, up to multiplication by monomials with integer exponents.

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition on \mathcal{Q} for $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ to be a Markov function and thus yield a invariant of links.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{\psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma_{\beta}: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{\psi_{\beta}}\}$ be as in Example 3.7. Then $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a Markov function, and thus defines an invariant of links, that we will denote $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Q}}$.*

Remark that for $\mathcal{Q} = \{\Psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma_{\beta}: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \twoheadrightarrow G_{\hat{\beta}}\}_{\beta}$, where Ψ_{β} denotes an isomorphism between G_{β} and $G_{\hat{\beta}}$, it follows from [BAC] that the link invariant $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is the L^2 -Alexander torsion.

Moreover, it seems that the link invariant $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ (for a general \mathcal{Q} of the form of Theorem 4.1) is a *twisted* L^2 -Alexander torsion, where the twist is by the general epimorphism ψ_{β} . To prove this, one would need to adapt the proof of the main result of [BAC].

To prove Theorem 4.1, we will use two lemmas, one for each Markov move.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{\psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma_{\beta}: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{\psi_{\beta}}\}$ be as in Example 3.7. Then $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is invariant under the first Markov move.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_{\beta} := \psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma_{\beta}: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{\psi_{\beta}}\}$. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, $t > 0$, and $\alpha, \beta \in B_n$. We will prove that

$$\det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right) = \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\beta}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta}}^{(2)}(\beta) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right).$$

Remark that, for any epimorphism $\gamma: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow G$, it follows from Proposition 2.4 that:

$$\text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} = \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma}^{(2)}(1) = \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma}^{(2)}(\alpha\alpha^{-1}) = \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma}^{(2)}(\alpha^{-1}) \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma \circ h_{\alpha^{-1}}}^{(2)}(\alpha),$$

$$\text{thus } \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma}^{(2)}(\alpha^{-1}) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma \circ h_{\alpha^{-1}}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right)^{-1}.$$

Consequently, we have for any epimorphism $\gamma: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow G$:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma}^{(2)}(\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha) &= \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma}^{(2)}(\alpha) \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma \circ h_{\alpha}}^{(2)}(\beta) \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma \circ h_{\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha^{-1}) \\ &= \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma}^{(2)}(\alpha) \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma \circ h_{\alpha}}^{(2)}(\beta) \circ \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \gamma \circ h_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any epimorphism $\gamma: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow G$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \det_G^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right) \\ &= \det_G^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\alpha) \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma \circ h_\alpha}^{(2)}(\beta) \circ \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma \circ h_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right)^{-1} - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right) \\ &= \det_G^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma \circ h_\alpha}^{(2)}(\beta) - \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right)^{-1} \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\gamma \circ h_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Remark 2.5 and Proposition 2.2 (1).

For $\gamma = Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}$, we thus have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right) \\ &= \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha} \circ h_\alpha}^{(2)}(\beta) - \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right)^{-1} \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha} \circ h_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right) \\ &= \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\chi_{\beta,\alpha}^{\circ} \circ Q_\beta}^{(2)}(\beta) - \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right)^{-1} \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha} \circ h_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since for every braid $\sigma \in B_n$, $\gamma_\sigma \circ h_\sigma = \gamma_\sigma$ and $Q_\sigma = \psi_\sigma \circ \gamma_\sigma$, we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right) \\ &= \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\chi_{\beta,\alpha}^{\circ} \circ Q_\beta}^{(2)}(\beta) - \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right)^{-1} \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha} \circ h_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right) \\ &= \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\chi_{\beta,\alpha}^{\circ} \circ Q_\beta}^{(2)}(\beta) - \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right)^{-1} \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}^{(2)}(\alpha) \right) \\ &= \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,\chi_{\beta,\alpha}^{\circ} \circ Q_\beta}^{(2)}(\beta) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right) \\ &= \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_\beta}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_\beta}^{(2)}(\beta) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 2.2 (3). \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{\psi_\beta \circ \gamma_\beta: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\psi_\beta}\}$ be as in Example 3.7. Then $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is invariant under the second Markov move.*

Proof. For any braid β let us denote $Q_\beta := \psi_\beta \circ \gamma_\beta: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\psi_\beta}$.

First step: negative crossing:

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, $\beta \in B_n$ and $\beta_- = \sigma_n^{-1}\iota(\beta) \in B_{n+1}$. Let $t > 0$. We will prove that

$$\frac{\det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\beta_-}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\beta_-) - \text{Id}^{\oplus n} \right)}{\max(1, t)^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{\det_{\Gamma_{\psi_\beta}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_\beta}^{(2)}(\beta) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right)}{\max(1, t)^n}.$$

In order to do this, we will compose $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\beta_-) - \text{Id}^{\oplus n}$ with two operators (one named \mathfrak{G} on the left, one named \mathfrak{D} on the right) so that we obtain a block triangular operator with the upper left block “mostly” equal to $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_\beta}^{(2)}(\beta) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)}$.

First, it follows from Proposition 2.4 that

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\beta_-) = \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\sigma_n^{-1}\iota(\beta)) = \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\iota(\beta)) \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)}}^{(2)}(\sigma_n^{-1}).$$

Recall that $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,id}^{(2)}(\sigma_n^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{t}R_{g_{n-1}g_n^{-1}} \end{pmatrix}$, thus the operator

defined as $\mathfrak{D} := \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)}}^{(2)}(\sigma_n^{-1})\right)^{-1}$ is equal to:

$$\mathfrak{D} := \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)}}^{(2)}(\sigma_n^{-1})\right)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & tR_{(Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_n g_{n-1}^{-1})} \\ & & & \text{Id} & -tR_{(Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_n g_{n-1}^{-1})} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We therefore compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\beta_-) \circ \mathfrak{D} &= \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\iota(\beta)) \circ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)}}^{(2)}(\sigma_n^{-1}) \circ \mathfrak{D} \\ &= \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\iota(\beta)) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-} \circ \iota_{\mathbb{F}_n}}^{(2)}(\beta) & & & \vdots \\ & \mathcal{R} & & 0 \\ & & & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where the row \mathcal{R} is the right multiplication operator by the row

$$\left(\kappa(t, \Phi_{n+1}, Q_{\beta_-}) \left(\frac{\partial h_{\iota(\beta)}(g_j)}{\partial g_n}\right)\right) = \left(\kappa(t, \Phi_{n+1} \circ \iota_{\mathbb{F}_n}, Q_{\beta_-} \circ \iota_{\mathbb{F}_n}) \left(\frac{\partial h_{\beta}(g_j)}{\partial g_n}\right)\right)$$

that has $n - 1$ coefficients in $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\psi_{\beta_-}}$.

Now, the fundamental formula of Fox calculus (Proposition 2.1) implies that:

$$(R_{g_1^{-1}} \dots R_{g_n^{-1}}) \cdot \left(\frac{R \partial h_{\iota(\beta)}(g_j)}{\partial g_i}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = (R_{h_{\iota(\beta)}(g_1)^{-1}} \dots R_{h_{\iota(\beta)}(g_n)^{-1}}),$$

thus, by applying $\kappa(t, \Phi_{n+1}, Q_{\beta_-})$ to the previous equality and by definition of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\iota(\beta))$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(tR_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_1)} - \text{Id} \dots t^n R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_n)} - \text{Id}\right) \cdot \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t,Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\iota(\beta)) \\ &= \left(tR_{(Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_1)} - \text{Id} \dots t^n R_{(Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_n)} - \text{Id}\right) \\ &= \left(tR_{(Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_1)} - \text{Id} \dots t^{n-1} R_{(Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_{n-1})} - \text{Id} \ t^n R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_n)} - \text{Id}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that $\beta \in B_n$ leaves g_n unchanged in the Artin action. Let us thus define the following block triangular operator \mathfrak{G} :

$$\mathfrak{G} := \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \text{Id} & 0 \\ tR_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_1)} - \text{Id} & \dots & t^{n-1} R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_{n-1})} - \text{Id} & t^n R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_n)} - \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It immediately follows from what precedes that

$$\mathfrak{G} \circ \left(\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\beta_-) \right) \circ \mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{G} \circ \left(\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\iota(\beta)) \right) = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ tR_{(Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_1)} - \text{Id} & \dots & t^{n-1}R_{(Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_{n-1})} - \text{Id} & t^n R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_n)} - \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, we compute $\mathfrak{G} \circ (\text{Id}^{\oplus n}) \circ \mathfrak{D} =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \text{Id} & 0 \\ tR_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_1)} - \text{Id} & \dots & t^{n-1}R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_{n-1})} - \text{Id} & \star \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\star = t^n R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_n h_{\iota(\beta)}(g_{n-1}^{-1})g_{n-1})} - t^{n+1} R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_n h_{\iota(\beta)}(g_{n-1}^{-1})g_n)}$.

Hence

$$\mathfrak{G} \circ \left(\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\beta_-) - \text{Id}^{\oplus n} \right) \circ \mathfrak{D} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ \dots & t^j R_{(Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_j)} - t^j R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_j)} & \dots & -tR_{(Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_n g_{n-1}^{-1})} \\ & & & \square \end{pmatrix},$$

where $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and

$$\square = t^n R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_n)} - \text{Id} - t^n R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_n h_{\iota(\beta)}(g_{n-1}^{-1})g_{n-1})} + t^{n+1} R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_n h_{\iota(\beta)}(g_{n-1}^{-1})g_n)}.$$

Now we use the fact that our epimorphism Q_{β_-} plunges deeper than the braid closure group: indeed, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we have:

$$Q_{\beta_-}(g_j) = (Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\beta_-})(g_j) = (Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)} \circ h_{\sigma_n^{-1}})(g_j) = (Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_j).$$

Thus $\mathfrak{G} \circ \left(\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\beta_-) - \text{Id}^{\oplus n} \right) \circ \mathfrak{D}$ is actually upper block triangular equal to:

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ & & -tR_{(Q_{\beta_-} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)})(g_n g_{n-1}^{-1})} & \\ 0 & & -\text{Id} + t^{n+1} R_{Q_{\beta_-}(g_n h_{\iota(\beta)}(g_{n-1}^{-1})g_n)} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

By applying $\det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\beta_-}}}^r$ to the previous equality, it therefore follows from Proposition 2.2 and Remark 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max(1, t)^n \cdot \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\beta_-}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta_-}}^{(2)}(\beta_-) - \text{Id}^{\oplus n} \right) \cdot t \\ &= \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\beta_-}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta_-} \circ \iota_{\mathbb{F}_n}}^{(2)}(\beta) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right) \cdot \max(1, t)^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by using the fact that $Q_{\beta_-} \circ \iota_{\mathbb{F}_n} = \sigma_{\beta, -1}^{\circ} \circ Q_{\beta}$ (by Markov-admissibility of \mathcal{Q}) and Proposition 2.2 (3).

Second step: positive crossing:

Let $\beta_+ = \sigma_n \iota(\beta) \in B_{n+1}$. We will proceed almost exactly as in the first step, except for the following differences:

- We now aim to prove that

$$\frac{\det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\beta_+}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta_+}}^{(2)}(\beta_+) - \text{Id}^{\oplus n} \right)}{\max(1, t)^{n+1}} = \frac{\det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\beta}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta}}^{(2)}(\beta) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right)}{\max(1, t)^n}.$$

- The operator \mathfrak{D} becomes:

$$\mathfrak{D} := \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta_+} \circ h_{\iota(\beta)}}^{(2)}(\sigma_n) \right)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & & \text{Id} & & 0 \\ & & & \text{Id} & \text{Id} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{t} R_{Q_{\beta_+}(g_n g_{n+1}^{-1})} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The final lower right coefficient \star of $\mathfrak{G} \circ \mathfrak{D}$ becomes

$$\star = t^{n-1} R_{Q_{\beta_+}(g_{n-1})} - \text{Id} - t^{n-1} R_{Q_{\beta_+}(g_n g_{n+1}^{-1} g_n)} + \frac{1}{t} R_{Q_{\beta_+}(g_n g_{n+1}^{-1})}.$$

- The final lower right coefficient \square of $\mathfrak{G} \circ \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta_+}}^{(2)}(\beta_+) - \text{Id}^{\oplus n} \right) \circ \mathfrak{D}$ becomes

$$\square = -t^{n-1} R_{Q_{\beta_+}(g_{n-1})} + t^n R_{Q_{\beta_+}(g_n)} + t^{n-1} R_{Q_{\beta_+}(g_n g_{n+1}^{-1} g_n)} - \frac{1}{t} R_{Q_{\beta_+}(g_n g_{n+1}^{-1})}.$$

- The simplification

$$\square = t^n R_{Q_{\beta_+}(g_n)} - \frac{1}{t} R_{Q_{\beta_+}(g_n g_{n+1}^{-1})}$$

comes from the following reasoning. Remark that in the ring $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{n+1}$ we have the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n-1} - g_n g_{n+1}^{-1} g_n &= g_n (g_n^{-1} - g_{n+1}^{-1} g_n g_{n-1}^{-1}) g_{n-1} \\ &= g_n (g_n^{-1} - h_{\sigma_n}^{-1}(g_n^{-1})) g_{n-1} \\ &= g_n (g_n^{-1} - h_{\sigma_n}^{-1}(h_{\iota(\beta)}^{-1}(g_n^{-1}))) g_{n-1} \\ &= g_n (g_n^{-1} - h_{\beta_+}^{-1}(g_n^{-1})) g_{n-1} \\ &= g_n (h_{\beta_+} (h_{\beta_+}^{-1}(g_n^{-1})) - h_{\beta_+}^{-1}(g_n^{-1})) g_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by composing with $\gamma_{\beta_+} : \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{F}^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G_{\beta_+}]$, the quotient by all relations of the form $h_{\beta_+}(\ast) = \ast$, we obtain $\gamma_{\beta_+}(g_{n-1} - g_n g_{n+1}^{-1} g_n) = 0$. The previous equalities to zero remain true when applying any deeper epimorphism $Q_{\beta_+} = \psi_{\beta_+} \circ \gamma_{\beta_+}$ instead.

- Applying $\det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\beta_+}}}^r$ to the final equality now yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\max(1, t)^n \cdot \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\beta_+}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta_+}}^{(2)}(\beta_+) - \text{Id}^{\oplus n} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{t} \\ &= \det_{\Gamma_{\psi_{\beta_+}}}^r \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, Q_{\beta_+} \circ \iota_{\mathbb{F}^n}}^{(2)}(\beta) - \text{Id}^{\oplus(n-1)} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{t} \max(1, t)^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

as expected. □

We now have all the tools to prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. This follows immediately from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. □

5. COUNTER-EXAMPLES TO MARKOV INVARIANCE

Theorem 4.1 established that F_Q is a Markov function when the Markov-admissible family of epimorphisms \mathcal{Q} plunges to the link groups or deeper. It is now natural to ask if those families \mathcal{Q} are the only ones for which F_Q is a Markov function. Unfortunately, we do not have a clear answer to this question at the time of writing.

However, by looking at the details of the proof of Theorem 4.1 (more precisely those of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3), it appears that applying the epimorphism γ_β (or a strictly deeper epimorphism) is necessary in order to obtain the cancellations in the matrices that yield Markov invariance for F_Q . As additional evidence for this hypothesis, we discovered two families \mathcal{Q} such that F_Q is not a Markov function: one family (the identities of the free group) lives strictly higher than the γ_β , and the other one (the abelianizations of the free groups) is not comparable to the γ_β (Figure 3 can help visualizing this). See the following Theorems 5.1 and 5.5.

These two counter-examples illustrate some of the difficulties in computing Fuglede-Kadison determinants, and some transversal techniques one might need to use in order to do so (techniques such as computation of Mahler measures of polynomials, or combinatorics of closed paths on Cayley graphs).

Of course, appearances might still deceive, and it could happen that unexpected identities of Fuglede-Kadison determinants occur even with families of epimorphisms not encompassed by Theorem 4.1, especially since computing such determinants remains a daunting task to the day of writing.

5.1. Descending to the free abelian group. In the following theorem, we prove that when the family of epimorphisms \mathcal{Q} descends to the free abelian groups, F_Q is not a Markov function and thus cannot yield knot invariants. The proof uses properties of the Fuglede-Kadison determinant and a value of the Mahler measure due to Boyd [Bo].

Theorem 5.1. *For the family of abelianizations $\mathcal{Q} = \{\varphi_{n(\beta)}: \mathbb{F}_{n(\beta)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n(\beta)}\}$, the value at $t = 1$ of the function F_Q is not invariant under Markov moves. In particular F_Q is not a Markov function.*

Proof. Let $t > 0$, $n = 2$, $\beta = \sigma_1^{-1} \in B_2$, and $\beta_+ = \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2 \in B_3$. Following Section 2.1, we compute that β_+ acts on \mathbb{F}_3 by

$$\begin{cases} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{cases} \xrightarrow{h_{\beta_+}} \begin{cases} g_1^{-1} g_2 \\ g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} g_2 \end{cases},$$

where g_1, g_2, g_3 denote the generators as in Section 2.1. Thus Fox calculus gives:

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, id}^{(2)}(\beta_+) - \text{Id}^{\oplus 2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{t} R_{g_1^{-1}} - \text{Id} & -R_{g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}} \\ \frac{1}{t} R_{g_1^{-1}} & -\text{Id} - t R_{g_3 g_2^{-1}} + R_{g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, from Remark 2.3 and Proposition 2.2 (6), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \det_{\mathbb{F}_3} \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, id}^{(2)}(\beta_+) - \text{Id}^{\oplus 2} \right) \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{F}_3} \left(\left(-\text{Id} - t R_{g_3 g_2^{-1}} + R_{g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}} \right) \left(-R_{g_1 g_2 g_3^{-1}} \right) \left(-\frac{1}{t} R_{g_1^{-1}} - \text{Id} \right) - \frac{1}{t} R_{g_1^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{F}_3} \left(- \left(t R_{g_1} + R_{g_1 g_2 g_3^{-1}} + \frac{1}{t} R_{g_2 g_3^{-1}} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \det_{\mathbb{F}_3} \left(\text{Id} + t R_{g_1} + t^2 R_{g_1 g_3 g_2^{-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let z_1, z_2, z_3 denote the canonical generators of \mathbb{Z}^3 . Then it follows from the same arguments as in the previous computation that:

$$\det_{\mathbb{F}_3} \left(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{t, \varphi_3}^{(2)}(\beta_+) - \text{Id}^{\oplus 2} \right) = \frac{1}{t} \det_{\mathbb{Z}^3} (\text{Id} + tR_{z_1} + t^2R_{z_1z_3}).$$

Let H be the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^2 and generated by $\lambda = z_1$ and $\mu = z_1z_3$. Recall that $F_{\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_+)$ is an equivalence class of functions of $t > 0$ up to multiplication by a monomial, thus the value at $t = 1$ is always the same regardless of the representant of the equivalence class. Let us denote this value $F_{\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_+)(1)$. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_+)(1) &= \det_{\mathbb{Z}^3} (\text{Id} + R_{z_1} + R_{z_1z_3}) \\ &= \det_H (\text{Id} + R_{\lambda} + R_{\mu}) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(1 + X + Y) = 1.38135\dots \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{M} is the Mahler measure of a polynomial, the second equality follows from Proposition 2.2 (3), the third one from Proposition 2.2 (7) and the fourth one from [Bo, Section 4].

Now, since by Proposition 2.2 (5) we have:

$$F_{\mathbb{Q}}(\beta)(1) = \det_{\mathbb{Z}} \left(-R_{\varphi_2(g_1^{-1}g_2)} - \text{Id} \right) = 1,$$

we conclude that $\beta \mapsto F_{\mathbb{Q}}(\beta)(1)$ is not invariant under Markov moves. \square

5.2. Remaining at the free group. The following lemma gives a method to compute Fuglede-Kadison determinants using the generating series associated to the number of closed paths on a regular graph (see [Ba, DL] and [Lu, Section 3.7]).

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be a finitely presented group and $A \in R_{\mathbb{C}G}$ the right multiplication operator on $\ell^2(G)$ by a non-zero element of the group algebra. Then for any $\lambda \in (0, \|A\|^{-2})$, we have:*

$$\det_G(A) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{w_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(t) - 1}{t} dt \right),$$

where

$$w_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}_G \left(((1 - \lambda\varepsilon)\text{Id} - \lambda A^* A)^n \right) t^n$$

is a well-defined power series for ε small enough and $t < 1$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in (0, \|A\|^{-2})$. Since A is assumed to be non-zero, it is injective by Remark 2.3. Thus it follows from Proposition 2.2 (8) that

$$\det_G(A) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sqrt{\det_G(A^* A + \varepsilon \text{Id})}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\lambda < \frac{1}{\|A\|^2 + \varepsilon} < \frac{1}{\|A\|^2}$. Since $A^*A + \varepsilon\text{Id}$ is a positive operator, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \det_G(A^*A + \varepsilon\text{Id}) &= (\exp \circ \text{tr}_G \circ \ln)(A^*A + \varepsilon\text{Id}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} (\exp \circ \text{tr}_G \circ \ln)(\lambda A^*A + \lambda\varepsilon\text{Id}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} (\exp \circ \text{tr}_G \circ \ln)(\text{Id} - (\text{Id} - (\lambda A^*A + \lambda\varepsilon\text{Id}))) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} (\exp \circ \text{tr}_G) \left(- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (\text{Id} - (\lambda A^*A + \lambda\varepsilon\text{Id}))^n \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp \left(- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}_G(((1 - \lambda\varepsilon)\text{Id} - \lambda A^*A)^n) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Proposition 2.2 (4), the fourth one from holomorphic functional calculus and the fact that the spectrum of the positive operator $\lambda A^*A + \lambda\varepsilon\text{Id}$ is inside $(0, 1)$ (since $\lambda < \frac{1}{\|A\|^2 + \varepsilon}$).

Now, since the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}_G(((1 - \lambda\varepsilon)\text{Id} - \lambda A^*A)^n)$ converges, we therefore have that

$$w_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}_G(((1 - \lambda\varepsilon)\text{Id} - \lambda A^*A)^n) t^n$$

is a well-defined power series for $t < 1$, and moreover that for all $T < 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}_G(((1 - \lambda\varepsilon)\text{Id} - \lambda A^*A)^n) T^n = \int_0^T \frac{w_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(t) - 1}{t} dt.$$

Finally, once again since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}_G(((1 - \lambda\varepsilon)\text{Id} - \lambda A^*A)^n)$ converges, we can apply Abel's theorem and make $T \rightarrow 1^-$ in the previous equality. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \det_G(A) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sqrt{\det_G(A^*A + \varepsilon\text{Id})} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda} \exp \left(- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}_G(((1 - \lambda\varepsilon)\text{Id} - \lambda A^*A)^n) \right)} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda} \exp \left(- \int_0^1 \frac{w_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(t) - 1}{t} dt \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows. \square

In the following proposition, we compute Fuglede-Kadison determinants of basic operators on the free groups, using the explicit value (given in [Ba, DL]) of the generating series counting paths on a regular infinite tree.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $d \geq 3$, and let x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} be $d - 1$ generators of the free group \mathbb{F}_{d-1} . Then we have:*

$$\det_{\mathbb{F}_{d-1}}(\text{Id} + R_{x_1} + \dots + R_{x_{d-1}}) = \frac{(d-1)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{d^{\frac{d-2}{2}}}.$$

In particular, for any two generators x, y of the free group \mathbb{F}_2 , we have:

$$\det_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\text{Id} + R_x + R_y) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} = 1.15\dots$$

The following proof is somewhat technical but only uses classical calculus arguments.

Proof. Let $d \geq 3$. First we use Lemma 5.2, by taking $G = \mathbb{F}_{d-1}$, $A = \text{Id} + R_{x_1} + \dots + R_{x_{d-1}}$, $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{d^2})$, and we obtain:

$$\det_{\mathbb{F}_{d-1}}(\text{Id} + R_{x_1} + \dots + R_{x_{d-1}}) = \det_G(A) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{w_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t) - 1}{t} dt\right),$$

where

$$w_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}_G(((1 - \lambda\varepsilon)\text{Id} - \lambda A^* A)^n) t^n$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and $t \in [0, 1)$.

Now we compute, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and $t \in [0, 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}_G(((1 - \lambda\varepsilon)\text{Id} - \lambda A^* A)^n) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}_G\left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda\varepsilon)^{n-k} (-\lambda)^k (A^* A)^k\right) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda\varepsilon)^{n-k} (-\lambda)^k \text{tr}_G((A^* A)^k) t^n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda\varepsilon}\right)^k \text{tr}_G((A^* A)^k) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda\varepsilon)^n t^n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda\varepsilon}\right)^k \text{tr}_G((A^* A)^k) \frac{((1 - \lambda\varepsilon)t)^k}{(1 - (1 - \lambda\varepsilon)t)^{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - (1 - \lambda\varepsilon)t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\lambda t}{1 - (1 - \lambda\varepsilon)t}\right)^k \text{tr}_G((A^* A)^k), \end{aligned}$$

where the fifth equality follows from the binomial formula. Thus, by denoting $u(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}_G((A^* A)^k) t^k$, we have

$$w_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - \lambda\varepsilon)t} u\left(\frac{-\lambda t}{1 - (1 - \lambda\varepsilon)t}\right).$$

Since it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 \frac{w_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t) - 1}{t} dt = \ln\left(\frac{d^{d-2}}{(d-1)^{d-1}\lambda}\right),$$

we will study the integral $I_{\lambda,\varepsilon} := \int_0^1 \frac{w_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t) - 1}{t} dt$.

It follows from [DL] and [Ba] that

$$u(t) = \frac{2d-2}{d-2 + d\sqrt{1-4(d-1)t}},$$

thus

$$I_{\lambda,\varepsilon} = \int_0^1 \frac{\frac{1}{1-(1-\lambda\varepsilon)t} \frac{2d-2}{d-2+d\sqrt{1+\frac{4(d-1)\lambda t}{1-(1-\lambda\varepsilon)t}}} - 1}{t} dt.$$

An antiderivative of the integrand is

$$\begin{aligned}
F(t) &:= (d-2) \ln \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{d^2 \lambda}{1 - \lambda \varepsilon} \right) (1 - \lambda \varepsilon) t \right) + \\
&\frac{d}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1 - (1 - (1 - \lambda \varepsilon) t) \sqrt{1 + \frac{4(d-1)\lambda t}{1 - (1 - \lambda \varepsilon) t}} + \left(\frac{2(d-1)\lambda}{1 - \lambda \varepsilon} - 1 \right) (1 - \lambda \varepsilon) t}{(1 - \lambda \varepsilon)^2 t^2} \right) + \\
&\left(1 - \frac{d}{2} \right) \ln \left(-d(d-2)(1 - (1 - \lambda \varepsilon) t) \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{4(d-1)\lambda t}{1 - (1 - \lambda \varepsilon) t}} - 1 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. 2d^2(d-1)\lambda t + 2(1 - (1 - \lambda \varepsilon) t) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to compute $I_{\lambda, \varepsilon} = F(1) - F(0)$. At $t = 0$, we first remark that:

$$\sqrt{1 + \frac{4(d-1)\lambda t}{1 - (1 - \lambda \varepsilon) t}} \underset{t \rightarrow 0^+}{=} 1 + \frac{2(d-1)\lambda t}{1 - (1 - \lambda \varepsilon) t} - \frac{2(d-1)^2 \lambda^2 t^2}{(1 - (1 - \lambda \varepsilon) t)^2} + o_{t \rightarrow 0^+}(t^2),$$

thus, from an immediate calculation, the fraction inside the \ln in the second term of $F(t)$ above behaves like

$$\frac{2\lambda^2(d-1)^2}{1 - (1 - \lambda \varepsilon) t} + o_{t \rightarrow 0^+}(1).$$

Hence

$$F(0) = (d-2) \ln(1) + \frac{d}{2} \ln(2\lambda^2(d-1)^2) + \left(1 - \frac{d}{2} \right) \ln(2) = \ln(2\lambda^d(d-1)^d).$$

Furthermore, at $t = 1$ we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(1) &= (d-2) \ln(d^2 \lambda + \lambda \varepsilon) + \\
&\frac{d}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{(1 - \lambda \varepsilon)^2} \left(2(d-1) + \varepsilon - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\varepsilon + 4(d-1)} \right) \right) + \\
&\left(1 - \frac{d}{2} \right) \ln \left(2d^2(d-1)\lambda + 2\lambda \varepsilon - d(d-2)\lambda \sqrt{\varepsilon} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon + 4(d-1)} - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(1) &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (d-2) \ln(d^2 \lambda) + \frac{d}{2} \ln(2(d-1)\lambda) + \left(1 - \frac{d}{2} \right) \ln(2d^2(d-1)\lambda) \\
&= \ln(2\lambda^{d-1} d^{d-2} (d-1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the limit

$$I_{\lambda, \varepsilon} = F(1) - F(0) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \ln(2\lambda^{-1} d^{d-2} (d-1)^{1-d}),$$

and the lemma follows. \square

Remark 5.4. In [Lu2], W. Lück defined Lehmer's constant $\Lambda_1^w(G)$ for any group G , as the infimum of Fuglede-Kadison determinants $\det_G(A)$ of injective operators $A \in R_{\mathbb{Z}G}$ such that $\det_G(A) > 1$.

Since every free group \mathbb{F}_d (for $d \geq 2$) injects in \mathbb{F}_2 and vice-versa, it follows from Proposition 2.2 (3) that all free groups \mathbb{F}_d have the same Lehmer's constant $\Lambda_1^w(\mathbb{F}_d)$.

Furthermore, Proposition 5.3 provides us a new upper bound on this constant:

$$\forall d \geq 2, \Lambda_1^w(\mathbb{F}_d) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} = 1.15\dots$$

Indeed, the operators $\text{Id} + R_{x_1} + \dots + R_{x_{d-1}}$ are injective because the free groups satisfy the Strong Atiyah Conjecture (see Remark 2.3 and [Lu, Theorem 10.19]).

We can now prove that we cannot expect Markov invariance by remaining at the level of the free group, as the following theorem shows:

Theorem 5.5. *For the family of identities $\mathcal{Q} = \{\text{id}_{\mathbb{F}_n(\beta)}\}$, the function $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is not invariant under Markov moves.*

Proof. Let us take $t = 1$, $n = 2$, $\beta = \sigma_1^{-1} \in B_2$, $\beta_+ = \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 \in B_3$. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathcal{Q}}(\beta_+)(1) &= \det_{\mathbb{F}_3} \left(\mathcal{B}_{1, \text{id}}^{(2)}(\beta_+) - \text{Id}^{\oplus 2} \right) \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{F}_3} \left(\text{Id} + R_{g_1} + R_{g_1 g_3 g_2^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \det_F \left(\text{Id} + R_x + R_y \right), \end{aligned}$$

where F is the free group on two generators x, y that embeds in \mathbb{F}_3 via $x \mapsto g_1, y \mapsto g_1 g_3 g_2^{-1}$, and the last equality follows from Proposition 2.2 (3). Now, since

$$F_{\mathcal{Q}}(\beta)(1) = \det_{\mathbb{F}_2} \left(-R_{g_1^{-1} g_2} - \text{Id} \right) = 1,$$

it then suffices to prove that $\det_F \left(\text{Id} + R_x + R_y \right) \neq 1$. This is the case thanks to Proposition 5.3. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ba] L. Bartholdi, *Counting paths in graphs*, Enseign. Math. (2) 45 (1999), no. 1-2, 83–131.
- [BAC] F. Ben Aribi and A. Conway, *L^2 -Bureau maps and L^2 -Alexander torsions* (2018), Osaka J.Math. 55, 529–545.
- [Bo] D. Boyd, *Speculations concerning the range of Mahler’s measure*, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (1981), no. 4, 453–469.
- [DL] O. Dasbach and M. Lalin, *Mahler measure under variations of the base group*, Forum Math. 21 (2009), no. 4, 621–637.
- [DFL] J. Dubois, S. Friedl and W. Lück, *The L^2 -Alexander torsion of 3-manifolds*, Journal of Topology 9, No. 3 (2016), 889–926.
- [Fox] R.H. Fox, *Free differential calculus. II. The isomorphism problem of groups*, Ann. of Math. (2), 59 (1954), 196–210.
- [LZ] W. Li and W. Zhang, *An L^2 -Alexander invariant for knots*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 8 (2006), no. 2, 167–187.
- [Lu] W. Lück, *L^2 -invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K-theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 44. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [Lu2] W. Lück, *Lehmer’s Problem for arbitrary groups*, to appear in Journal of Topology and Analysis, arXiv:1901.00827.

UCLouvain, IRMP, CHEMIN DU CYCLOTRON 2, 1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIUM
Email address: fathi.benaribi@uclouvain.be