

Modern Physics Letters A
© World Scientific Publishing Company

Broadening quantum cosmology with a fractional whirl

S. M. M. Rasouli

*Departamento de Física,
Centro de Matemática e Aplicações (CMA - UBI),
Universidade da Beira Interior,
Rua Marquês d'Avila e Bolama, 6200-001 Covilhã, Portugal.*

*and
Department of Physics,
Qazvin Branch,
Islamic Azad University,
Qazvin, Iran.
mrasouli@ubi.pt*

S. Jalalzadeh

*Departamento de Física,
Universidade Federal de Pernambuco,
Pernambuco, PE 52171-900, Brazil
shahram.jalalzadeh@ufpe.br*

P. V. Moniz

*Departamento de Física,
Centro de Matemática e Aplicações (CMA - UBI),
Universidade da Beira Interior,
Rua Marquês d'Avila e Bolama, 6200-001 Covilhã, Portugal.
pmoniz@ubi.pt*

Received (Day Month Year)

Revised (Day Month Year)

We start by presenting a brief summary of fractional quantum mechanics, as means to convey a motivation towards *fractional quantum cosmology*. Subsequently, such application is made concrete with the assistance of a case study. Specifically, we investigate and then discuss a model of stiff matter in a spatially flat homogeneous and isotropic universe. A *new* quantum cosmological solution, where fractional calculus implications are explicit, is presented and then contrasted with the corresponding standard quantum cosmology setting.

Keywords: quantum cosmology; fractional quantum mechanics; fractional Schrödinger equation; fractional Wheeler–DeWitt equation; Caputo derivative; Riesz derivative.

PACS Nos.: 05.40.Fb, 04.60.-m, 98.80.Qc

1. Introduction

A particular branch of mathematical analysis, albeit not yet widely explored, is fractional calculus, in which the power of the differentiation operator can be any rational (or even a real or a complex) number. Indeed, investigations into fractional calculus^{1–6} started in the 17th century, which is almost the same temporal frame as the integer-order calculus started to develop. However, in the early stages of the evolution of these two branches of mathematics, due to the lack of practical evidence in physics (and mechanics, specifically), the former developed much more slowly than the latter. This was the setting until Mandelbrot⁷ realized that an exciting connection between fractional Brownian motion and Riemann-Liouville fractional calculus could be reasonably established. After that remark, applications of fractional calculus to study phenomena in engineering as well as other various fields of science have increased, and therefore the equations of fractional calculus have played a significant role in describing, e.g., anomalous transport, super-slow relaxation, diffusion-reaction processes.^{8–12} In addition, areas of contemporary engineering were also explored within fractional calculus.¹³

Furthermore, the physical world includes not only the conservative systems but also the non-conservative ones (due to the presence of friction). Advanced procedures like classical Hamiltonian (or Lagrangian) mechanics (which is formulated based on the derivative of integer order) could be replaced by fractional Hamiltonian (and Lagrangian) equations of motion, aiming in investigating analytically any peculiar consequences of the non-conservative forces.^{14–24}

Similarly, in the context of quantum physics, there might be suggestive physical reasons to reformulate the theory based on fractional calculus. For instance, if restricting to only consider the Brownian paths, it is impossible to analyze other pertinent many phenomena.²⁵ Such consideration in quantum physics leads to generalized versions of the well-known Schrödinger equation (SE). More concretely, by including non-Brownian trajectories in the path integral derivation, either the *space*-fractional, *time*-fractional and *space-time*-fractional versions of the standard SE have been established.^{25–40} Let us introduce them briefly and then, in the next section, we further specify (though shortly) about their formalism:

- In deriving the space-fractional SE, the Feynman-Hibbs path integral procedure⁴¹ has been extended by Laskin such that the Gaussian probability distribution was replaced by Lévy's.^{25–28} More precisely, the second-order spatial derivative in the standard SE is then modified to the fractional-order α , whilst the time sector remains unchanged. This equation is characterized by the Lévy index α , $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, such that for the particular case where $\alpha = 2$, the standard SE is recovered. Moreover, the Laplacian operator acquires a fractional Riesz derivative.⁴²

By applying some examples of specific potential fields, this (space) fractional quantum system has been coherently explored.^{37,38}

- From employing the Caputo fractional derivative,^{4,5,13} the first-order time

derivative associated with the standard SE has been generalized to being fractional. Therefore, the time-fractional SE was obtained.³⁴ The associated Hamiltonian is non-Hermitian and not local in time. Indeed, in this procedure, analogous to the map between the standard versions of the diffusion equation and the SE, the time-fractional diffusion equation was also mapped into the time-fractional SE.²⁹ We should note that, in the latter, the spatial derivative, the same as the standard SE, is still the second order. Indeed, unlike the standard SE and the space-fractional SE (which both obey the Markovian evolution law), the time-fractional SE describes a non-Markovian evolution in quantum physics.³⁶ As applications of the time-fractional SE, a free particle and a particle in a particular potential well have been investigated.³⁴

- The term “space-time fractional SE” has been used for the first time by Wang and Xu,³⁵ where they employed a combination of the procedures used by Naber²⁵ and Laskin³⁴ to establish this equation. More precisely, this is the most generalized version of the standard SE, which is retrieved by including both the Caputo fractional derivative and the quantum Riesz fractional operator.⁴² The space-time fractional QM was applied to study a free particle and an infinite square potential well.³⁵ Moreover, Dong and Xu³⁶ have represented the work of Wang and Xu (although with a minor difference) and then applied the space-time fractional SE to study a quantum particle in a δ -potential well. Furthermore, not only the space-time fractional SE has recently been represented by Laskin²⁹ within his own method but also the important features of the fractional QM approaches have been outlined. In the therein established framework,²⁹ the space-time fractional SE includes not only dimensional parameters but also a set of dimensionless fractality parameters. These latter parameters are responsible for modeling the spatial and temporal fractality.²⁹

Recently, fractional quantum mechanics (FQM) has been considered as a tool to explore features within cosmology. Namely, *fractional quantum cosmology* (FQC). It has been emerging gradually,^{43–45} subsequently pointing to fascinating opportunities and ‘lateral’ connections with what were unforeseen mathematics and physics domains, with this review herewith following a particular (canonical) route as charted in another publication.⁴⁵

Before proceeding, let us point that this review paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review fractional quantum mechanics (publications with much more detail can be found in the bibliography^{25, 26, 29, 30}). Subsections 2.1 and 2.2 convey the summary line of FQM extensions within *spatial*-FQM and *space-time*-FQM, respectively. Section 3 reports the study of a cosmological model within the scope of *time*-FQM. Finally, in section 4 we present a brief discussion and outlook with respect canonical FQC, as unveiled in this review paper and a recent contribution as well.⁴⁵

2. A brief review of fractional quantum mechanics

In this section, we would like to introduce and summarize the space-fractional SE and the space-time fractional SE. In order to retrieve more details of the mentioned frameworks and their applications, we suggest the readers consider additional references, indicated in our bibliography.^{25–28,34–36}

2.1. Space fractional quantum mechanics

The Hamiltonian of a system in classical mechanics is given by

$$H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}) := \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + V(\mathbf{r}), \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{p} , respectively, stand for the space coordinates and the corresponding momentum, associated with a particle with mass m ; the potential energy, in general, is assumed to be a function of the space coordinates, i.e., $V = V(\mathbf{r})$. In analogy with classical mechanics, the Hamiltonian of quantum mechanics can be extracted from (1), provided that the corresponding quantities are taken as operators (which are denoted with an over-hat):

$$\hat{H}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) := \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2}{2m} + \hat{V}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}). \quad (2)$$

Pondering the empirical physical (classical) fact realized in the square dependence of the momentum in equations (1) and (2), such feature has motivated researchers to explore diverse functions of the kinematic term, such that it would be nevertheless consistent with the fundamental principles of classical mechanics and quantum mechanics.³⁰ Such an investigation was launched for the first time by Laskin, who chose the Feynman path integral approach (to quantum mechanics) as an appropriate procedure. Let us be more precise. In the Laskin approach, the Brownian-like quantum mechanical trajectories applied in the Feynman's framework, are replaced by Lévy-like ones.^a More concretely, applying a natural generalization^{25,28,30} of equation (1) as

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}) := D_\alpha |\mathbf{p}|^\alpha + V(\mathbf{r}), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad (3)$$

(where D_α is taken as a generalized coefficient carrying dimension $[D_\alpha] = \text{erg}^{1-\alpha} \text{cm}^\alpha \text{sec}^{-\alpha}$) and letting $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{r}}$, the (space) fractional quantum dynamics is constructed. Obviously, in the particular case where $\alpha = 2$ and $D_\alpha = 1/(2m)$, equation (3) reduces to (1). Namely, the fractional quantum mechanics (FQM) established through applying the Lévy path integral is a natural generalization of the Feynman path integral. Consequently, the space-fractional SE (including the space derivatives of order α), which is a generalized version of the standard one, is obtained via applying the Feynman path integral over Brownian

^aAlthough, it should be noted that the path integral over the Lévy paths has formerly been brought up by Kac.⁴⁶

trajectories.³⁰ In summary, in the particular case where $\alpha = 2$, the equations of the standard (non-fractional) quantum mechanics are recovered from the corresponding ones associated with the space-fractional quantum mechanics.

Now, we briefly introduce the space-fractional SE. In analogy with the same procedure that yields the standard SE, let us admit

$$E := D_\alpha |\mathbf{p}|^\alpha + V(\mathbf{r}), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad (4)$$

where E and \mathbf{p} can transform as

$$E \rightarrow i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \mathbf{p} \rightarrow -i\hbar \nabla, \quad (5)$$

where $\nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}}$ and \hbar is the Planck's constant over 2π . Therefore, the space-fractional SE can be obtained by employing the well-known transformations in (5) into equation (3) and using them^{25,28,30} to eventually extract the wave function $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = D_\alpha (-\hbar^2 \Delta)^{\alpha/2} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) + V(\mathbf{r}, t) \psi(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2. \quad (6)$$

In equation (6), $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\Delta := \nabla \cdot \nabla$ are the wave function in space representation and the Laplacian, respectively. Moreover, the fractional (quantum) Riesz derivative^{30,42} in $3D$, $(-\hbar^2 \Delta)^{\alpha/2}$, is given by

$$(-\hbar^2 \Delta)^{\alpha/2} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int d^3 p e^{i\frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\hbar}} |\mathbf{p}|^\alpha \varphi(\mathbf{p}, t), \quad (7)$$

where $\varphi(\mathbf{p}, t)$ is the wave function in momentum representation, which is related to $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ by $3D$ Fourier transforms.

In summary, replacing \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{p} by quantum mechanical operators $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$, equation (3) leads to the fractional Hamilton operator $\hat{H}_\alpha(\hat{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}})$:

$$\hat{H}_\alpha(\hat{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) := D_\alpha |\hat{\mathbf{p}}|^\alpha + V(\hat{\mathbf{r}}), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, the space-fractional SE equation can also be rewritten in the operator form as

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = \hat{H}_\alpha(\hat{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \psi(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (9)$$

In what follows, it is worthy to present some features of FQM,³⁰ which are considered as the generalized version of those known in the standard quantum mechanics: (i) The fractional Hamiltonian operator is a self-adjoint or Hermitian operator in the space with scalar product;³⁰ (ii) The parity conservation law for the FQM is given by $\hat{\mathbf{P}} H_\alpha = H_\alpha \hat{\mathbf{P}}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{P}}$ denotes the inversion operator; (iii) It has been shown that

$$\frac{\partial \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} + \text{div} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0, \quad (10)$$

6 *Rasouli, Jalalzadeh, Moniz*

where $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) := \psi^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ denote the quantum mechanical probability density and the fractional probability current density vector, respectively, where the latter is defined as

$$\mathbf{j} := \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\psi \hat{\mathbf{v}}^* \psi + \psi^* \hat{\mathbf{v}} \psi \right), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2. \quad (11)$$

Notwithstanding the formal similarities with the standard formulation, in equation (11) the velocity operator $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = d\hat{\mathbf{r}}/dt$ is specifically given instead by

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \alpha D_\alpha |\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2|^{\alpha/2-1} \hat{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (12)$$

Let us close this subsection by focusing on the special case where the Hamiltonian does not depend explicitly on time. In this case, the space-fractional SE (6) is satisfied by the special solution and in one dimension

$$\psi(x, t) = \left[\exp\left(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}\right) \right] \phi(x), \quad (13)$$

provided that

$$H_\alpha \phi(x) = -D_\alpha (\hbar \nabla)^\alpha \phi(x) + V(x)\phi(x) = E\phi(x), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad (14)$$

which is the time-independent fractional SE.³⁰ Equation (14) implies that the probability to find the particle at x is equal to $|\phi|^2$, which is independent of time.

2.2. Space-time fractional quantum mechanics

As mentioned, the space-time fractional SE has been originally established by Wang and Xu³⁵ and then further discussed by Dong and Xu.³⁶ In this subsection, let us introduce this interesting framework from within the approach of Laskin,²⁹ in which the wording “time fractional QM” has been used because the time derivative of the SE associated with both the “standard QM” and the “space FQM” is substituted by a fractional time derivative (namely, the Caputo fractional time derivative).

The space-time fractional SE can be considered as a generalized version of equation (9):

$$\hbar_\beta i^\beta \partial_t^\beta \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \hat{H}_{\alpha, \beta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_\beta, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \psi(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\hat{H}_{\alpha, \beta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_\beta, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) = D_{\alpha, \beta} |\hat{\mathbf{p}}_\beta|^\alpha + V(\hat{\mathbf{r}}, t), \quad (16)$$

in which, $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = -i\hbar_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}}$ denote the 3D quantum operator of coordinate and 3D time fractional quantum momentum operator, respectively. Moreover, in (15), \hbar_β and $D_{\alpha, \beta}$ are two scale coefficients with physical dimensions $[\hbar_\beta] = \text{erg} \cdot \text{sec}^\beta$ and $[D_{\alpha, \beta}] = \text{erg}^{1-\alpha} \cdot \text{cm}^\alpha \cdot \text{sec}^{-\alpha\beta}$; ∂_t^β denotes the left Caputo fractional derivative²³ of order β :

$$\partial_t^\beta f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^t d\tau \frac{f'(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\beta}, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1, \quad (17)$$

where $f'(\tau) \equiv \frac{df(\tau)}{d\tau}$. It should be reminded that in the time fractional QM, the operator $\hat{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_\beta, \hat{\mathbf{r}})$ introduced by equation (16) is not the Hamilton operator of the considered quantum mechanical system, and it was therefore called the pseudo-Hamilton operator.³⁶ However, it is straightforward to show that $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_\beta$ are Hermitian operators.²⁹ Moreover, assuming $V(\hat{\mathbf{r}}, t) = V(-\hat{\mathbf{r}}, t)$, we find that the parity conservation law is satisfied, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{P}}\hat{H}_{\alpha,\beta} = \hat{H}_{\alpha,\beta}\hat{\mathbf{P}}$.

In this review, we abstain from detailing the applications of the three frameworks of FQM, since much is available in the literature and we point to some in the bibliography. However, in what follows, let us mention a few general features:²⁹

- (1) In special cases, the most generalized FQM yields the following well-known cases (let us focus on a one-dimensional case):
 - For $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 1$, we have $D_{\alpha,\beta} = 1/(2m)$, $p_\beta = p$ and $\hbar_\beta = \hbar$, therefore the traditional SE is recovered.
 - Assuming $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $\beta = 1$, we find that $D_{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow D_\alpha$, and therefore the space fractional SE²⁸ is recovered.
 - Assuming $\alpha = 2$ and $0 < \beta \leq 1$, we find that $D_{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow D_{2,\beta}$ and therefore the time fractional SE is retrieved, which can be considered as an alternative route to that established by Naber.³⁴
- (2) Notwithstanding the previous item, let us outline a few shortcomings of the time fractional QM. It has been shown that the space fractional QM^{25,28,30} supports all the QM fundamentals. Whilst, the following QM characteristics are violated by the time fractional QM: (i) Quantum superposition law; (ii) Unitarity of evolution operator; (iii) Probability conservation law; (iv) Existence of stationary energy levels of quantum system. Moreover, as mentioned, in spite of the standard and the space fractional QM, whose dynamics are governed by a Hamilton operator, the operator $\hat{H}_{\alpha,\beta}$ associated with the time fractional QM is a pseudo-Hamilton (namely, its eigenvalues are not the energy levels of a time fractional quantum system).
- (3) Let us here remark a few advantages of the time fractional QM. Indeed, investigating it highlighted the importance and fundamental beauty of the standard as well as space fractional QM (some of them mentioned above); the time fractional QM is however a great challenge for studying and discovering new mathematical tools, which have not yet been applied in the the standard as well as space fractional QM. Concretely, in order to investigate dissipative quantum systems interacting with environment, the time fractional QM can be considered as an enticing approach.^{24,31-33}

3. Fractional quantum cosmology case study

As a simple model of application of FQM towards canonical quantum cosmology⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰ (i.e., (canonical) fractional quantum cosmology⁴⁵), let us consider a

Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universe with a line element

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t)dt^2 + e^{2x(t)} \left[\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right], \quad (18)$$

where $N(t)$ is the lapse function, $e^{x(t)}$ represents the scale factor and $k = \pm 1, 0$ is the spatial 3-curvature of a homogeneous and isotropic 3-dimensional compact and without boundary hypersurface, Σ_t . Note that the compactness of Σ_t requires that the 3-volume V_k is finite. The ADM action functional of the gravitational sector plus matter fields (in this work, we will consider a perfect fluid with the barotropic equation of state $p = \omega\rho$, where ω is a constant and ρ, p stand for the energy density and pressure, respectively) in the formalism developed by Schutz⁵¹ is

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \int_{\Sigma_t} d^3x N \sqrt{h} \left[{}^{(3)}R + K_{ij}K^{ij} - K^2 \right] + \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \int_{\Sigma_t} d^3x N \sqrt{h} p, \quad (19)$$

where ${}^{(3)}R$, K_{ij} and h_{ij} denote the Ricci scalar, the extrinsic curvature, and the induced metric of Σ_t , respectively. In Schutz's formalism, the fluid's 4-velocity can be expressed in terms of five potentials $\sigma, \zeta, \bar{\beta}, \theta$ and \mathcal{S}

$$u_\nu := \frac{1}{\mu} (\epsilon_{,\nu} + \zeta \bar{\beta}_{,\nu} + \theta \mathcal{S}_{,\nu}), \quad u_\nu u^\nu = -1, \quad (20)$$

where μ denotes the specific enthalpy of the fluid, \mathcal{S} is the specific entropy, and the potentials ζ and $\bar{\beta}$ are connected with rotation which are absent of homogeneous and isotropic models. The action (19) corresponding to the line element (18), after some thermodynamical considerations and using the constraints for the fluid,⁵² reduces to

$$S = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \left[\frac{3\mathcal{V}_k}{8\pi G} \left(-\frac{e^{3x} \dot{x}^2}{N} + kN e^x \right) + \frac{\omega \mathcal{V}_k e^{3x} e^{-\frac{\mathcal{S}}{\omega}}}{(1+\omega)^{1+\frac{1}{\omega}} N^{\frac{1}{\omega}}} (\dot{\epsilon} + \theta \dot{\mathcal{S}})^{1+\frac{1}{\omega}} \right] dt, \quad (21)$$

where an overdot denotes differentiation with respect time coordinate t . It is straightforward to show that the ADM Hamiltonian of our herein model is given by

$$H_{\text{ADM}} = \dot{x}p + \dot{\mathcal{S}}p_{\mathcal{S}} + \dot{\epsilon}p_{\epsilon} - L_{\text{ADM}} = \tilde{N} \left[-\frac{2\pi}{m_{\text{P}}^2 \mathcal{V}_k} e^{3(\omega-1)x} p^2 + \frac{e^{\mathcal{S}}}{\mathcal{V}_k^{\omega}} p_{\epsilon}^{1+\omega} - \frac{3k\mathcal{V}_k m_{\text{P}}^2}{8\pi} e^{(3\omega-1)x} \right], \quad (22)$$

where $m_{\text{P}} = 1/\sqrt{G}$ is the Planck mass and the new lapse function \tilde{N} is defined by

$$\tilde{N} := \frac{N}{e^{3x}}. \quad (23)$$

Moreover,

$$p := -\frac{3\mathcal{V}_k e^{3x}}{4\pi G N} \dot{x}, \quad p_{\epsilon} := \frac{\mathcal{V}_k e^{3x}}{N^{\frac{1}{\omega}} (1+\omega)^{\frac{1}{\omega}}} (\dot{\epsilon} + \theta \dot{\mathcal{S}})^{\frac{1}{\omega}} e^{-\frac{\mathcal{S}}{\omega}}, \quad (24)$$

are the conjugate momenta of the scale factor x and ϵ , respectively. Note that the model has constraints of the second kind, i.e., $p_\theta = 0$ and $p_S = \theta p_\epsilon$.

It is easy to show that employing the additional canonical transformations⁵³

$$\begin{aligned} p_T &:= -\frac{e^S}{\mathcal{V}_k^{\omega} m_{\text{P}}} p_\epsilon^{1+\omega}, & T &:= m_{\text{P}} \mathcal{V}_k^\omega p_S e^{-S} p_\epsilon^{-(\omega+1)}, \\ \bar{\epsilon} &:= \epsilon - (\omega - 1) \frac{p_S}{p_\epsilon}, & \bar{p}_\epsilon &:= p_\epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

it simplifies the ADM Hamiltonian to

$$H_{\text{ADM}} = \tilde{N} \left[-\frac{2\pi}{m_{\text{P}}^2 \mathcal{V}_k} e^{3(\omega-1)x} p^2 - m_{\text{P}} p_T - \frac{3k \mathcal{V}_k m_{\text{P}}^2}{8\pi} e^{(3\omega-1)x} \right]. \quad (26)$$

In equation (26), it is seen that the momentum p_T is the only remaining canonical variable (associated with the perfect fluid), which appears linearly. We should also note that the new variable T is dimensionless. The super-Hamiltonian constraint of the model is given by

$$\mathcal{H} := -\frac{2\pi}{m_{\text{P}}^2 \mathcal{V}_k} e^{3(\omega-1)x} p^2 - m_{\text{P}} p_T - \frac{3k \mathcal{V}_k m_{\text{P}}^2}{8\pi} e^{(3\omega-1)x} = 0. \quad (27)$$

We can then obtain the Wheeler–DeWitt equation by imposing the standard quantization conditions on the canonical momenta:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x} &= x, & \hat{p} &= -i\partial_x, \\ \hat{T} &= T, & \hat{p}_T &= -i\partial_T. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Moreover, we assume that the super-Hamiltonian operator annihilate the wave function

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_T \Psi(x, T) &= \\ -\frac{1}{2M} e^{3(\omega-1)x} \left[\partial_x^2 - \frac{3}{2}(\omega-1)\partial_x \right] \Psi(x, T) &+ \frac{3k \mathcal{V}_k m_{\text{P}}}{8\pi} e^{(3\omega-1)x} \Psi(x, T), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where M is the dimensionless ‘mass’ parameter and we have used the Laplace–Beltrami operator ordering. Requiring that the Hamiltonian operator H in the right hand side of (29) to be self-adjoint, the inner product of two arbitrary wave functions Φ and Ψ must take the form

$$\langle \Phi | \Psi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\omega-1)x} \Phi^*(x, T) \Psi(x, T) dx. \quad (30)$$

Redefining the wavefunction as

$$\psi(x, T) := e^{\frac{3}{4}(\omega-1)x} \Psi(x, T), \quad (31)$$

the Wheeler–DeWitt equation (29) transforms to

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_T \psi(x, T) &= \\ -\frac{1}{2M} e^{3(\omega-1)x} \partial_x^2 \psi(x, T) &+ \left[\frac{9\pi(\omega-1)^2}{8\mathcal{V}_k m_{\text{P}}^3} e^{3(\omega-1)x} + \frac{3k \mathcal{V}_k m_{\text{P}}}{8\pi} e^{(3\omega-1)x} \right] \psi(x, T), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

10 *Rasouli, Jalalzadeh, Moniz*

with inner product given by

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^*(x, T) \psi(x, T) dx. \quad (33)$$

Consequently, the Wheeler–DeWitt equation (29) becomes in the form of the Schrödinger equation $i\partial_t \psi = H\psi$.

For simplicity and in order to obtain analytical solutions, from now on, let us proceed our consideration with only the spatially flat universe ($k = 0$) filled with the stiff matter described with $\omega = 1$. Therefore, for such a simple case, the Wheeler–DeWitt equation (29) reduces to the Schrödinger equation of a free particle in 1D mini-superspace:

$$i\partial_T \Psi(x, T) = -\frac{1}{2M} \partial_x^2 \Psi(x, T), \quad (34)$$

where \mathcal{V}_0 denotes the 3-volume associated with $k = 0$. The solution of the above ‘free particle’ Schrödinger equation could be represented by a superposition of momentum eigenfunctions, with coefficients given by the Fourier transform of the initial wavefunction

$$\Psi(x, T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_0(p) e^{i(px - \omega t)} dp, \quad (35)$$

where $\omega := \frac{p^2}{2M}$ and $\Phi_0(p)$ is the Fourier transform of the wavefunction $\Psi(x, 0)$. Let us consider the initial state to be a normalized wave function

$$\Phi_0(p) = \left(\frac{a^2}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{a^2}{4}(p-p_0)^2}, \quad (36)$$

where $a, p_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Inserting the initial wave function (36) into (35) and performing the Gaussian integration, we obtain

$$\Psi(x, T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \left(\frac{a^2}{8\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{ip_0(x - \frac{p_0}{2M}T)} e^{-\frac{1}{4q}(x - \frac{p_0}{M}T)^2}, \quad (37)$$

where $q := \frac{a^2}{4} + i\frac{T}{2M}$. Therefore, the expectation value of the scale factor is given by

$$\langle x \rangle = \frac{p_0}{M} T = \frac{4\pi p_0}{m_{\text{P}}^2 \mathcal{V}_0} T. \quad (38)$$

In what follows, we would like to extend our herein model by applying the most generalized fractional QM framework presented in subsection 2.2. Concretely, the ‘space-time’ fractional counterpart of the Schrödinger–Wheeler–DeWitt equation (32) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} i^\beta \partial_T^\beta \psi(x, T) &= \frac{2\pi}{\mathcal{V}_k m_{\text{P}}^3} e^{3(\omega-1)x} (-\partial_x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi(x, T) \\ &+ \left[\frac{9\pi(\omega-1)^2}{8\mathcal{V}_k m_{\text{P}}^3} e^{3(\omega-1)x} + \frac{3k\mathcal{V}_k m_{\text{P}}}{8\pi} e^{(3\omega-1)x} \right] \psi(x, T), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

We should note that the time coordinate T as well as x are dimensionless and consequently we have assumed the scaling coefficients in ‘space-time’ fractional SE (15) are unit, i.e., $\hbar_\beta = D_{\alpha,\beta} = 1$. Again, assuming our simple case with $k = 0$ and $\omega = 1$, equation (39) reduces to

$$i^\beta \partial_T^\beta \Psi(x, T) = \frac{1}{2M} (-\partial_x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Psi(x, T), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1. \quad (40)$$

Applying the Fourier transform to the wavefunction as

$$\Psi(x, T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp e^{ipx} \Phi(p, T), \quad (41)$$

we obtain

$$i^\beta \partial_T^\beta \Phi(p, T) = \frac{1}{2M} |p^2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Phi(p, T). \quad (42)$$

The solution of the above equation is

$$\Phi(p, T) = E_\beta \left(\frac{i^\beta}{2M} |p^2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} t^\beta \right) \Phi_0(p), \quad (43)$$

where $\Phi_0(p) = \Phi(p, T = 0)$ and

$$E_\beta(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\beta n + 1)}, \quad (44)$$

is the Mittag-Leffler function. Inserting (43) into (41) yields the wavefunction of the ‘space-time’ fractional stiff matter flat universe:

$$\Psi(x, T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp e^{ipx} E_\beta \left(\frac{i^\beta}{2M} |p^2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} t^\beta \right) \Phi_0(p). \quad (45)$$

If we choose the following ‘weight’ function,²⁵ $\Phi_0(p)$ as the fractional generalization of Gaussian ‘weight’ (36)

$$\Phi_0(p) = \left(\frac{a\nu}{2^{\frac{\nu+1}{\nu}} \Gamma(\frac{1}{\nu})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4} a^\nu |p-p_0|^\nu}, \quad p_0 > 0, \quad \nu \leq \alpha, \quad (46)$$

then the wavefunction becomes

$$\Psi(x, T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{a\nu}{2^{\frac{\nu+1}{\nu}} \Gamma(\frac{1}{\nu})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp e^{ipx} E_\beta \left(\frac{i^\beta}{2M} |p^2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} t^\beta \right) e^{-\frac{1}{4} a^\nu |p-p_0|^\nu}. \quad (47)$$

Hence, the density of the probability of finding the universe to ‘occupy’ a scale factor x is

$$|\Psi(x, T)|^2 = \frac{a\nu}{2^{\frac{\nu+3}{\nu}} \pi \Gamma(\frac{1}{\nu})} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_1 dp_2 \quad (48)$$

$$e^{i(p_1-p_2)x} E_\beta \left(\frac{i^\beta}{2M} |p_1^2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} t^\beta \right) E_\beta \left(\frac{(-i)^\beta}{2M} |p_2^2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} t^\beta \right) e^{-\frac{1}{4} a^\nu (|p_1-p_0|^\nu + |p_2-p_0|^\nu)}.$$

Note that for $\beta \neq 1$ the total probability is not conserved and it increases with time. For large values of β the total probability increases much faster. For that ‘space’ fractional case, $\beta = 1$, the expectation value of the scale factor x is

$$\langle x \rangle = \frac{\alpha p_0^{\alpha-1}}{2M} t, \quad (49)$$

which shows that the center of the Lévy wave packet (47) moves with the group velocity $\frac{\alpha p_0^{\alpha-1}}{2M}$.

4. Discussion and Outlook

The bibliography of FQM,³⁰ within the three approaches herewith pointed (namely, space-, time-, space-time-fractional), is now relevant and significant. Fractional calculus has indeed become a computational powerhouse in physics and many engineering applications.¹³ Endeavouring towards cosmology (including in a quantum mechanical context) has also recently emerged.^{43–45}

In the review paper herein presented, we follow the ‘ouverture’ made in another publication, with an emphasis on the canonical description.⁴⁵ After a summary of FQM, we presented a *new* solution for a FRW model, within the scope of *time*-FQM for a Schrödinger-Wheeler-DeWitt equation.

Our results are depicted, also mentioning the corresponding ones within the standard Wheeler-DeWitt-SE for quantum cosmology; it can be easily appraised how the fractional feature (in the time derivative, specifically) brings significant alterations into the quantum dynamics of the universe. This assertion follows results in other publications⁴⁵ and herein, hence the suggestive ‘omen’ in our proposed title, broadening (canonical) quantum cosmology with a fractional agitation, like a ‘whirl’ of change. In particular, it is instructive to regard equations (3), (38), (48), (49) and contrast the standard to the fractional ‘whirl’ brought into concrete observables.

As far as subsequent work, in the herein footsteps and elsewhere,⁴⁵ the covariant d’Alembertian (i.e., for both time and space derivatives in FQM, physically consistent within geometrodynamics covariance) remains an open issue. So far, we have only attempted ‘restricted’ explorations for either space or time fractional derivatives, in separate, never together (surely not covariantly, as stressed). These limitations notwithstanding, the results so far are interesting and point that fractional calculus (even for simple case studies) can indeed open up new routes towards more realistic and so far unchallenged situations in quantum cosmology.^{47–50} The outlook, for (canonical) FQC is therefore warmly exciting and promising. The authors sincerely hope and trust that FQC will be a decisive route for exploration within the next decades.

Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to J. Fabris and G. Calcani for letting us know of their earlier work. Likewise, we are most thankful to M. Ortigueira for sharing details about his work and pointing to a rich collection of references within fractional calculus. In addition, PVM and SMMR acknowledge the FCT grants UID-B-MAT/00212/2020 and UID-P-MAT/00212/2020 at CMA-UBI. This article is based upon work conducted within the Action CA18108–Quantum gravity phenomenology in the multi-messenger approach–supported by the COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology).

References

1. K.B. Oldham and J. Spanier, *The Fractional Calculus: Theory and Applications, Differentiation and Integration to Arbitrary Order*, (Math. Sci. Eng., Academic Press, New York, 1974).
2. S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, O.I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*; Gordon and Breach, New York, 1993.
3. Herrmann, R. *Fractional Calculus: An Introduction for Physicists*, (World Scientific: Singapore, 2014).
4. A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006).
5. I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations* (Academic Press, San Diego, 1999).
6. Ross, B. A brief history and exposition of the fundamental theory of fractional calculus. In: *Fractional Calculus and Its Applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol 457; Ross, B., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, 1975. doi:10.1007/BFb0067096. *A brief history and exposition of the fundamental theory of fractional calculus*, B. Ross, <https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/BFb0067096>
7. B.B. Mandelbrot, *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*, (Freeman, New York, 1982).
8. R. Metzler and J. Klafter, *The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: A fractional dynamics approach*, *Phys. Rep.* **339**, 1 (2000).
9. F. Mainardi, Yu. Luchko, G. Pagnini, *The fundamental solution of the space-time fractional diffusion equation*, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **4**, 153 (2001).
10. G.M. Zaslavsky, *Chaos, fractional kinetics, and anomalous transport*, *Phys. Rep.* **371**, 461 (2002).
11. G.M. Zaslavsky, *Hamiltonian Chaos and Fractional Dynamics* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2005).
12. A. Carpinteri and F. Mainardi, *Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
13. M. D. Ortigueira, *Fractional Calculus for Scientists and Engineers*, 2011, Springer; Ortigueira M. D., Machado J.T. *What is a fractional derivative?*. *Journal of computational Physics*. 2015 Jul 15;293:4-13.
14. A.A. Stanislavsky, *Hamiltonian formalism of fractional systems*, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **49**, 93 (2006).
15. F. Riewe, *Nonconservative Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics*, *Phys. Rev. E* **53**, 1890 (1996).
16. F. Riewe, *Mechanics with fractional derivatives*, *Phys. Rev. E* **55**, 3581 (1997).
17. O.P. Agrawal, *Formulation of Euler-Lagrange equations for fractional variational problems*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **272**, 368 (2002).
18. O.P. Agrawal, *Fractional variational calculus and the transversality conditions*, *J. Phys. A* **39**, 10375 (2006).

- 14 Rasouli, Jalalzadeh, Moniz
19. D. Baleanu, O.P. Agrawal, *Fractional Hamilton formalism within Caputo's derivative*, *Czechoslovak J. Phys.* **56**, 1087 (2006).
20. D. Baleanu, S. Muslih and K. Tas, *Fractional Hamiltonian analysis of higher order derivatives systems*, *J. Math. Phys.* **47**, 103503 (2006).
21. E.M. Rabei, I. Almayteh, S.I. Muslih, D. Baleanu, *Hamilton-Jacobi formulation of systems with Caputo's fractional derivative*, *Phys. Scr.* **77**, 015101 (2008).
22. S. Muslih, D. Baleanu, E. Rabei, *Hamiltonian formulation of classical fields within Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives*, *Phys. Scr.* **73** (2006) 436.
23. M. Caputo, *Linear models of dissipation whose Q almost frequency independent II*, *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.* **13**, 529 (1967).
24. V. Tarasov, *Quantum mechanics of non-Hamiltonian and dissipative systems, monograph series on nonlinear science and complexity* (Amsterdam: Elsevier Science; 2008).
25. Laskin, N. *Fractional quantum mechanics*, *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 3135 (2000).
26. Laskin, N. *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals*, *Phys. Lett. A* **268**, 298 (2000).
27. N. Laskin, *Fractals and quantum mechanics*, *Chaos* **10**, 780 (2000).
28. N. Laskin, *Fractional Schrödinger equation*, *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 056108 (2002).
29. N. Laskin, *Time fractional quantum mechanics*, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **102**, 16 (2017).
30. Laskin, N. *Principles of Fractional Quantum Mechanics*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1009.5533>.
31. A. Iomin, *Fractional-time quantum dynamics*, *Phys. Rev. E.* **80**, 022103 (2009).
32. J-N Wu, et al., *Spontaneous emission from a two-level atom in anisotropic one-band photonic crystals: A fractional calculus approach*, *Phys Rev A* **81**, 023827 (2010).
33. A. Iomin and T. Sandev, *Lévy transport in slab geometry of inhomogeneous media*, *Math Model Nat Phenom* **11**, 51 (2016).
34. M. Naber, *Time fractional Schrödinger equation*, *J. Math. Phys.* **45**, 3339 (2004).
35. S.W. Wang and M.Y. Xu, *Generalized fractional Schrödinger equation with space-time fractional derivatives*, *J. Math. Phys.* **48**, 043502 (2007).
36. J. Dong, M. Xu, *Space-time fractional Schrödinger equation with time-independent potentials*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **344**, 1005 (2008).
37. X.Y. Guo and M.Y. Xu, *Some physical applications of fractional Schrödinger equation*, *J. Math. Phys.* **47**, 082104 (2006).
38. J.P. Dong and M.Y. Xu, *Some solutions to the space fractional Schrödinger equation using momentum representation method*, *J. Math. Phys.* **48**, 072105 (2007).
39. Hasanab, M.; Mandal, B. *Tunneling time in space fractional quantum mechanics* *Phys. Lett. A*, **382**, 248 (2018).
40. E. de Oliveira and J. Vaz, *Tunneling in fractional quantum mechanics* *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44**, 185303 (2011).
41. R.P. Feynman, A.R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
42. M. Riesz, *Acta Mathematica*, **81**, 1 (1949).
43. Gianluca Calcagni, *Multifractional theories: an unconventional review*, *JHEP* **03**, 138 (2017); Gianluca Calcagni, *Multifractional spacetimes from the Standard Model to cosmology*, *Int.J.Geom.Meth.Mod.Phys.* **16**, 1940004 (2018); Gianluca Calcagni, *Fractal universe and quantum gravity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 251301 (2010); G. Knizhnik, Alexander M. Polyakov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Fractal Structure of 2D Quantum Gravity*; *Mod.Phys.Lett. A* **3**, 819 (1988); Gianluca Calcagni, *Geometry of fractional spaces*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **16**, 549 (2012); Gianluca Calcagni, *Diffusion in multiscale spacetimes*, *Phys. Rev. E* **87**, 012123 (2013); Gianluca Calcagni, *Quantum*

- mechanics in fractional and other anomalous spacetimes*, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 102110 (2012); Gianluca Calcagni, *Dimensional flow and fuzziness in quantum gravity: emergence of stochastic spacetime*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **923**, 144 (2017); Giovanni elino-Camelia and Gianluca Calcagni, *Imprint of quantum gravity in the dimension and fabric of spacetime*, *Phys.Lett. B* **774**, 630 (2017);
44. Isaac Torres, Júlio César Fabris, Oliver Fabio Piattella, Antônio Brasil Batista, *Quantum Cosmology of Fab Four John Theory with Conformable Fractional Derivative*, *Universe*, **6** 50 (2020).
 45. S. Jalalzadeh and P. V. Moniz, *From Fractional Quantum Mechanics to Quantum Cosmology: An Overture*, *Mathematics* **8**, 313 (2020).
 46. M. Kac, *in the Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability* (University of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1951).
 47. C. Kiefer, *Quantum Gravity: Third Edition* (Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2012).
 48. P. Moniz and S. Jalalzadeh, *Challenging Routes in Quantum Cosmology*, to appear in 2020. doi:10.1142/8540.
 49. Moniz, Paulo Vargas, *Quantum Cosmology-The Supersymmetric Perspective-Vol. 1: Fundamentals*, 803, 2010, Springer
 50. Moniz, Paulo Vargas, *Quantum Cosmology-The Supersymmetric Perspective-Vol. 2: Advanced Topics*, 804, 2010, Springer
 51. B.F. Schutz, *Phys. Rev. D* **2**, 2762 (1970); *Phys. Rev. D* **4**, 3559 (1971).
 52. V.G. Lapchinskii and V.A. Rubakov, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **33**, 1076 (1977).
 53. P. Pedram, M. Mirzaei, S. Jalalzadeh and S.S. Gousheh, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **40**, 1663 (2008); P. Pedram, S. Jalalzadeh and S. S. Gousheh, *Phys. Lett. B* **655**, 91 (2007); P. Pedram, S. Jalalzadeh, S. S. Gousheh, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **46**, 3201 (2007); P. Pedram and S. Jalalzadeh, *Phys. Lett. B* **659** 6 (2008); P. Pedram and S. Jalalzadeh, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 12352 (2008); P. Pedram, S. Jalalzadeh, S. S. Gousheh, *Class. Quantum. Grav.* **24**, 5515 (2007).