

ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF PRIME DIVISORS IN KRULL MONOID ALGEBRAS

VICTOR FADINGER AND DANIEL WINDISCH

ABSTRACT. In the present work, we prove that every class of the divisor class group of a Krull monoid algebra contains infinitely many prime divisors. Several attempts to this result have been made in the literature so far, unfortunately with open gaps. We present a complete proof of this fact.

1. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of class groups and the distribution of prime divisors in the classes is a central topic in ring theory and has been studied for many classes of rings, e.g. for orders in number fields. A variety of realization theorems for class groups have been achieved, for instance that every abelian group occurs as the class group of a Dedekind domain (Claborn's Realization Theorem) respectively as the class group of a simple Dedekind domain [18].

In the present paper, we study the distribution of prime divisors in the class group of commutative monoid algebras $D[S]$ that are Krull. In our setting, a monoid S is a cancellative commutative unitary semigroup. For a commutative ring D with unity and a monoid S , we denote by $D[S]$ the monoid algebra of D over S . It is a well-known result by Chouinard, that $D[S]$ is a Krull domain if and only if D is a Krull domain and S is a torsion-free Krull monoid with S^\times satisfying the ACC (ascending chain condition) on cyclic subgroups, where S^\times denotes the group of units of S (see [5]). Moreover, we have a canonical isomorphism of divisor class groups $\mathcal{C}_v(D) \oplus \mathcal{C}_v(S) \cong \mathcal{C}_v(D[S])$ via $([I], [J]) \mapsto [I[J]]$ [10, Corollary 16.8].

In the setting of monoids, it is known that every abelian group is the class group of a Krull monoid and the possible ways of distributing the prime divisors in the classes are completely determined [8, Theorem 2.5.4]. Considering domains, a slightly weaker form of a realization result holds true. Although every abelian group is the class group of a Dedekind domain, it is not completely clear how prime divisors behave [8, Theorem 3.7.8].

In Section 2, we fix notations concerning monoid algebras and class groups. Section 3 contains a proof of the following result concerning the distribution of prime divisors:

Theorem. Let D be a Krull domain and let S be a Krull monoid such that $D[S]$ is a Krull domain. Then every divisor class of $D[S]$ contains infinitely many prime divisors.

In the special case of the polynomial ring over a Krull domain, this is already known [9, Theorem 45.5]. Moreover, Kainrath [12] proved the same for finitely generated algebras over infinite fields. Attempts to achieve such results for Krull monoid algebras have been made by Kim [13] and Chang [4]. However, there are deficiencies in their proofs as we demonstrate in Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We assume some familiarity with monoid algebras and ideal systems. For a domain D and a monoid S , let $D[S]$ denote the monoid algebra of D over S . It is well known that the monoid algebra $D[S]$ is a domain if and only if the monoid S is torsion-free [10, Theorem 8.1] and in that case S admits a total

Key words and phrases. semigroup ring, monoid ring, monoid algebra, Krull domain, Krull monoid, Class group, Prime divisors.

V. Fadinger is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): W1230.

D. Windisch is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P 30934.

order $<$ compatible with its semigroup operation. Therefore, we can write every element $f \in D[S]$ in the form $f = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i X^{s_i}$ with $d_i \in D$, $s_i \in S$ and $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$. For subsets $P \subseteq D$ and $H \subseteq S$, we denote by $P[H] = \{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i X^{h_i} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, p_i \in P, h_i \in H\} \subseteq D[S]$.

Let K be the quotient field of D and let G be the quotient group of S . For an element $f = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i X^{s_i} \in K[G]$ with $d_i \in K$ and $s_i \in G$ we denote by A_f resp. E_f the fractional ideal of D resp. S generated by d_1, \dots, d_n resp. s_1, \dots, s_n .

Let G be an abelian group. An element $g \in G$ is said to be of *height* $(0, 0, 0, \dots)$ if the equation $px = g$ has no solution $x \in G$ for any prime number p . Furthermore, g is said to be of *type* $(0, 0, 0, \dots)$ if the same equation has a solution for only finitely many prime numbers. The group G is said to be of *type* $(0, 0, 0, \dots)$ if every element of G is of type $(0, 0, 0, \dots)$. It is well known that G is of type $(0, 0, 0, \dots)$ if and only if it satisfies the ACC (ascending chain condition) on cyclic subgroups. For a proof of this statement and for further equivalent conditions, see [10, §14].

Let S be a monoid. By $\mathcal{C}_t(S)$ we denote the t -class group of S which is the quotient group of the group of t -invertible fractional t -ideals of S modulo the subgroup of non-empty principal fractional ideals of S . If S is a Krull monoid, then $\mathcal{C}_t(S)$ coincides with the v -class group $\mathcal{C}_v(S)$ (which is isomorphic to the divisor class group of S). Moreover, in this case $\mathcal{C}_v(S)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the monoid of v -invertible (integral) v -ideals modulo the submonoid of non-empty principal (integral) ideals.

If I is a t -invertible fractional t -ideal of S , we denote by $[I] \in \mathcal{C}_t(S)$ its t -class and refer to it as the divisor class of I if S is a Krull monoid. Moreover, we denote by $\mathfrak{X}(S)$ the set of height-one prime ideals of S . In the literature, the elements of $\mathfrak{X}(S)$ are also often called prime divisors of S (especially, if S is a Krull monoid).

Replacing the monoid S by a domain D , the terminology and notation concerning class groups and prime divisors above is the same. For further information, see [8].

We will make intensive use of the theory of valuations on fields and groups. If D is a domain and P is a prime ideal of D such that the localization D_P is a valuation domain, then we denote by ν_P its valuation induced on the quotient field of D and call it the P -adic valuation. In the case of monoids, the notation is analogous. The interested reader is referred to [2] and [10].

For the remainder of this work and if not specified otherwise, D denotes a domain with quotient field K and S is a torsion-free monoid with quotient group G .

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

To ensure the existence of prime divisor in divisor classes of $D[S]$, it is necessary to construct irreducible elements in $K[G]$. The following lemma (which is due to Matsuda [16]) is a first step into this direction that will be used in a special case. For the convenience of the reader we include the full proof.

Lemma 3.1. ([16, Lemma 4.1], [14, Lemma 2.2]) *Let G be a torsion-free abelian group and let K be a field. Let $g \in G$ be an element of height $(0, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $a, b \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Then $a + bX^g$ is irreducible in $K[G]$.*

Proof. Let $f, h \in K[G]$ such that $a + bX^g = fh$. Let H be the subgroup of G that is generated by g together with the exponents of f and h . We first show, that the subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ of H generated by g is a direct summand of H . Let p be a prime number. Suppose $p^n x \in \langle g \rangle$ for some positive integer n and $x \in H$. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p^n x = mg$. Since H is torsion-free, there are integers $n' \geq 0$ and m' such that $p^{n'} x = m'g$ and $\gcd(p, m') = 1$. It follows that $1 = kp^{n'} + lm'$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Multiplying by g gives $g = kp^{n'}g + lm'g = p^{n'}(kg + lx)$. Since the height of g equals $(0, 0, 0, \dots)$, we have $n' = 0$, hence $x = m'g \in \langle g \rangle$. So we have shown that $\langle g \rangle$ is a pure subgroup of the finitely generated group H and is therefore a direct summand by [6, Corollary 25.3]. So we write $H = \langle g \rangle \oplus \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle e_n \rangle$ for some $e_1, \dots, e_n \in H$.

The set $\{X^g, X^{e_1}, \dots, X^{e_n}\}$ is algebraically independent over K . Hence $a + bX^g$ is irreducible in the polynomial ring $K[X^g, X^{e_1}, \dots, X^{e_n}]$ and therefore also in $K[H] = K[X^g, X^{-g}, X^{e_1}, X^{-e_1}, \dots, X^{e_n}, X^{-e_n}]$. It follows that either f or h is a unit in $K[H]$ and hence in $K[G]$. \square

The next result is a special case of [4, Theorem 3]. Nevertheless, we recall its proof.

Lemma 3.2. [4, Theorem 3] *Let $D[S]$ be a Krull monoid algebra and $f \in K[G] \setminus \{0\}$. Then $fK[G] \cap D[S] = fA_f^{-1}[E_f^{-1}]$.*

Proof. As noted in the introduction, we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}_v(D) \oplus \mathcal{C}_v(S) \cong \mathcal{C}_v(D[S])$ via $([I], [J]) \mapsto [I[J]]$. Clearly, $fK[G] \cap D[S]$ is a height-one prime ideal of $D[S]$ and hence non-zero divisorial. So there exist divisorial ideals $I \neq (0)$ resp. $J \neq \emptyset$ of D resp. S and $h \in K[G] \setminus \{0\}$ such that $fK[G] \cap D[S] = hI[J]$ (note that $fK[G] \cap D[S] \subseteq K[G]$). It follows that $fK[G] = hK[G]$ and hence $h = uX^a f$ for some $u \in K \setminus \{0\}$ and $a \in G$. Thus, $fK[G] \cap D[S] = fuI[a + J]$. A simple computation gives $uI = A_f^{-1}$ and $a + J = E_f^{-1}$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let D be a Krull domain with quotient field $K \neq D$ and let I be a non-zero divisorial ideal of D . There exist $a, b \in K$ and $P \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$ such that $I^{-1} = (a, b)_v$ and $v_P(\frac{a}{b}) = 1$. If D is not semi-local, then there are infinitely many $P \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$ such that there exist $a, b \in K$ with $I^{-1} = (a, b)_v$ and $v_P(\frac{a}{b}) = 1$.*

Proof. By the Approximation Theorem for Krull domains, there exist $a', b \in K$ such that $I^{-1} = (a', b)_v$. If I^{-1} is a principal fractional ideal, I is a principal ideal, hence we can assume without loss of generality that b is its generator. Now take any $P \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$ and any element $a \in D$ with $v_P(a) = 1$. In particular, if D is not semi-local, there exist infinitely many such P .

Now assume that I^{-1} is not a principal fractional ideal. Thus, there exists $P \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$ such that $v_P(a') \neq v_P(b)$. Without loss of generality, let $v_P(a') > v_P(b)$. By the Approximation Theorem for Krull domains, we may choose $a \in K$ such that

$$v_Q(a) = \begin{cases} v_P(b) + 1 & \text{if } Q = P, \\ v_Q(a') & \text{if } v_Q(a') \neq 0 \text{ or } v_Q(b) \neq 0 \text{ and } Q \neq P, \\ v_Q(a) \geq 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $Q \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$. Then $\min\{v_Q(a), v_Q(b)\} = \min\{v_Q(a'), v_Q(b)\}$ for all $Q \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$ and hence $I^{-1} = (a, b)_v$. Moreover $v_P(\frac{a}{b}) = 1$.

If D is not semi-local, then $\mathfrak{X}(D)$ is infinite, whence there are infinitely many $P \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$ such that $v_P(a') = 0 = v_P(b)$. For each of them, we construct a in the following way using the Approximation Theorem for Krull domains:

$$v_Q(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Q = P, \\ v_Q(a') & \text{if } v_Q(a') \neq 0 \text{ or } v_Q(b) \neq 0 \text{ and } Q \neq P, \\ v_Q(a) \geq 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $Q \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$. Then again $\min\{v_Q(a), v_Q(b)\} = \min\{v_Q(a'), v_Q(b)\}$ for all $Q \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$ and hence $I^{-1} = (a, b)_v$. Moreover $v_P(\frac{a}{b}) = 1$. \square

Kim [13] showed that every divisor class of $D[G]$ (where $G \neq \{0\}$) contains a prime divisor. We copy and modify his proof in such a way that the existence of infinitely many prime divisors in each class follows.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a non-zero abelian group such that $D[G]$ is a Krull domain. Then each divisor class of $D[G]$ contains infinitely many prime divisors.*

Proof. Note that G is torsion-free, because $D[G]$ is Krull.

Case 1: Let G be finitely generated. Then there is a positive integer n such that $D[G]$ is isomorphic to the Laurent polynomial ring in n indeterminates over D . If $\mathfrak{X}(D)$ is finite, then D is a semi-local principal ideal domain. Hence $D[G]$ is factorial with infinitely many non-associated prime elements. Now let $\mathfrak{X}(D)$ be infinite. Let $I[G]$ be a non-zero divisorial ideal of $D[G]$ where I is a non-zero divisorial ideal of D . By Lemma 3.3, there exist infinitely many $P \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$ such that there exist $a, b \in K$ with $I^{-1} = (a, b)_v$ and

$v_P(\frac{a}{b}) = 1$. For each choice of P and corresponding $a, b \in K$ with the above properties, we construct a prime divisor lying in $[I[G]]$: Let $\alpha \in G$ with $\alpha > 0$ and set $g = \frac{a}{b} + X^\alpha$. Then g is irreducible in $D_P[G]$ by a generalized version of Eisenstein's criterion [4, Lemma 5]. Hence g is irreducible in $K[G]$ by localization. Moreover, we have by Lemma 3.2 that $gK[G] \cap D[G] = g(\frac{a}{b}, 1)^{-1}[G] = gb(a, b)^{-1}[G] = gbI[G]$ and hence $gK[G] \cap D[G]$ is one of infinitely many prime divisors lying in the class of $I[G]$.

Case 2: Let G be non-finitely generated. Again, let $I[G]$ be a non-zero divisorial ideal of $D[G]$ where I is a non-zero divisorial ideal of D . By Lemma 3.3, there exist $a, b \in K$ such that $I^{-1} = (a, b)_v$. Since G is non-finitely generated, there are infinitely many elements $g \in G$ of height $(0, 0, 0, \dots)$. For each choice of g , the element $f = a + bX^g$ is irreducible in $K[G]$ by Lemma 3.1. Moreover, by Lemma 3.2 we have $fK[G] \cap D[G] = f(a, b)^{-1}[G] = fI[G]$ and hence $fK[G] \cap D[G]$ is one of infinitely many prime divisors lying in the class of $I[G]$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let K be a field and let S be a monoid such that $K[S]$ is a Krull domain. Then every divisor class of $K[S]$ contains infinitely many prime divisor.*

Proof. If S is a Krull monoid, then it has a decomposition $S \cong S_{red} \times S^\times$, where S_{red} denotes the reduced monoid of S [8, Theorem 2.4.8.2]. Thus, by [10, Theorem 7.1] we have $K[S] \cong K[S_{red}][S^\times]$, which is a Krull group algebra over $K[S_{red}]$. Therefore, if S is non-reduced then S^\times is non-trivial and we can use Lemma 3.4 to show that every divisor class contains infinitely many prime divisors. So, from now on, assume that S is reduced.

Let G be the quotient group of S .

Case 1: First assume that S is finitely generated. Then, since S is torsion-free, it is isomorphic to an additive submonoid of the group $(\mathbb{Z}^m, +)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ [3, page 50]. Therefore G is a \mathbb{Z} -submodule of the free \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}^m and hence free, say of rank $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim A: There exists a \mathbb{Z} -basis B of G such that $B \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of Claim A. If $n = 0$ or $n = 1$, this is trivial. So let $n > 1$. Then in particular $S \neq G$, because S is reduced. Let $P \subseteq S$ be a height-one prime ideal of S and $v_P : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the associated P -adic valuation. Let $a \in S$ with $v_P(a) = 1$. Let G_0 be the kernel of v_P . Then G_0 is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank $n - 1$ and we have $G \cong \ker v_P \oplus \text{im } v_P \cong G_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. So, if a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of G_0 , then a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of G with $a \in S$. \square [Proof of Claim A]

Now let (a_1, \dots, a_n) be a \mathbb{Z} -basis of G with $a_1 \in S$. We define $\mathcal{O} = K[a_1]$ with quotient field $F = K(a_1)$. By $L = K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ we denote the quotient field of $K[S]$. Then $K[S]$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{O} -algebra, F is a Hilbertian field (as a finitely generated transcendental extension of a field), the Krull dimension of \mathcal{O} equals 1, \mathcal{O} and $K[S]$ are integrally closed, L/F is a purely transcendental extension and hence separable and regular, and $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$. Therefore by [12, Theorem 2], $K[S]$ has infinitely many prime divisors in all classes and we are done.

Case 2: Now let S be non-finitely generated. We have an isomorphism of divisor class groups $\mathcal{C}_v(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_v(K[S])$ via $[I] \mapsto [K[I]]$ ([10, Theorem 16.7]). Therefore it suffices to prove that $[K[I]]$ contains a prime ideal of $K[S]$ for every non-empty v -ideal I of S . So let I be a non-empty v -ideal of S and let $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ with $I^{-1} = ((g_1 + S) \cup \dots \cup (g_n + S))_v$.

Since S is a reduced non-finitely generated Krull monoid, it follows from [8, Theorem 2.7.14] that the set $\mathfrak{X}(S)$ of height-one prime ideals of S is infinite. Thus, there exist infinitely many $P \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$ such that $0 = v_P(g_1) = \dots = v_P(g_n)$. For each choice of P , we construct a prime divisor lying in $[K[I]]$ (and infinitely many of them are pairwise distinct). Let $a \in S$ with $v_P(a) = 1$. Let $g = X^{g_1} + \dots + X^{g_n} + X^{g_n+a} \in K[G]$.

Claim B: g is irreducible in $K[G]$.

Suppose that the claim holds true. On the one hand, $I^{-1} = ((g_1 + S) \cup \dots \cup (g_n + S))_v = ((g_1 + S) \cup \dots \cup (g_n + S) \cup (g_n + a + S))_v$, because $a \in S$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2, we have that $gK[G] \cap K[S] = gK[((g_1 + S) \cup \dots \cup (g_n + S) \cup (g_n + a + S))^{-1}] = gK[I_v] = gK[I]$. Therefore, $gK[G] \cap K[S]$

is one of infinitely many prime ideals of $K[S]$ (because g is irreducible by the claim) that lies in the divisor class of $K[I]$.

Proof of Claim B. It suffices to show that g is irreducible in $K[S_P]$, because $K[G]$ is the localization of $K[S_P]$ at the set $\{X^s \mid s \in P\}$ and $K[S_P]$ is a factorial domain.

To see that $g \in K[S_P]$ is irreducible, let $h_1, h_2 \in K[S_P]$ with $g = h_1 h_2$. Since S_P is a discrete rank one valuation monoid, the map $\mathbb{N}_0 \times S_P^\times \rightarrow S_P$ via $(n, s) \mapsto sa^n$ is an isomorphism (note that $a \in S_P$ was chosen with $v_P(a) = 1$). We endow $\mathbb{N}_0 \times S_P^\times$ with a total order compatible with the monoid operation in the following way: \mathbb{N}_0 carries the canonical order \leq . On S_P^\times take any total order \leq compatible with the group operation, which is possible by [10, Corollary 3.4]. Now $\mathbb{N}_0 \times S_P^\times$ is a totally ordered monoid with lexicographic order, i.e.,

$$(m, s) \leq (n, t) \Leftrightarrow (m < n \vee (m = n \wedge s \leq t)),$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $s, t \in S_P^\times$.

Now we can write $h_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i X^{(a_i, b_i)}$ and $h_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m l_j X^{(c_j, d_j)}$ with $k_i, l_j \in K \setminus \{0\}$, $(a_i, b_i), (c_j, d_j) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times S_P^\times$, and $(a_1, b_1) < (a_2, b_2) < \dots < (a_n, b_n)$, $(c_1, d_1) < (c_2, d_2) < \dots < (c_m, d_m)$. Also $g = X^{(0, g_1)} + \dots + X^{(0, g_n)} + X^{(1, g_n)}$ in this notation.

It follows that $(1, g_n) = (a_n, b_n) + (c_m, d_m) = (a_n + c_m, b_n + d_m)$, thus $1 = a_n + c_m$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $a_n = 0$ and $c_m = 1$. Therefore $a_i = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be minimal such that $c_j = 1$. Then the monomial in $h_1 h_2 = g$ with exponent $(a_1, b_1) + (1, d_j) = (1, b_1 + d_j)$ has a non-zero coefficient and therefore it holds that $(a_1, b_1) + (c_j, d_j) = (1, b_1 + d_j) = (1, g_n) = (1, b_n + d_m) = (a_n, b_n) + (c_m, d_m)$. It follows that $n = 1$ and hence $h_1 = k_1 X^{(0, b_1)} \in K[S_P]$ is a unit. This proves that $g \in K[S_P]$ is irreducible. \square [Proof of Claim B]

\square

Proof of the Theorem. Let K be the quotient field of D and G be the quotient group of S . If $D = K$ or $S = G$, we are done by Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5. So assume that $D \neq K$ and $S \neq G$. We have an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}_v(D) \oplus \mathcal{C}_v(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_v(D[S])$ via $([I], [J]) \mapsto [I[J]]$. Hence it suffices to prove that every class of the form $[I[J]]$ contains a prime divisor, where I (resp. J) is a non-zero (resp. non-empty) v -ideal of D (resp. S). So let I be a non-zero v -ideal of D and let J be a non-empty v -ideal of S .

By Lemma 3.3, let $a, b \in K$ and $P \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$ such that $I^{-1} = (a, b)_v$ and $v_P(\frac{a}{b}) = 1$. Define $p = \frac{a}{b}$. Then p is a prime element of D_P . Moreover, let $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ such that $J^{-1} = ((g_1 + S) \cup \dots \cup (g_n + S))_v$. For each non-negative integer m we can choose $h_1, \dots, h_m \in J^{-1}$ such that $g_1, \dots, g_n, h_1, \dots, h_m$ are pairwise distinct. This works out, because S is torsion-free and hence infinite. Let h be the maximal element in $\{g_1, \dots, g_n, h_1, \dots, h_m\}$ for some fixed total order on G and set $M = \{g_1, \dots, g_n, h_1, \dots, h_m\} \setminus \{h\}$. Now we set $g = X^h + \sum_{a \in M} p X^a \in D_P[G]$. Then g is prime in $D_P[G]$ by [4, Lemma 5]. Since $K[G]$ is the localization of $D_P[G]$ at all non-zero constants and $g D_P[G]$ is a prime ideal not containing non-zero constants, it follows that $g \in K[G]$ is prime. Moreover, we have $g K[G] \cap D[S] = g(p, 1)^{-1} [((g_1 + S) \cup \dots \cup (g_n + S) \cup (h_1 + S) \cup \dots \cup (h_m + S))^{-1}] = gb(a, b)^{-1} [J] = gbI[J]$, where the first equality follows by Lemma 3.2. Hence $g K[G] \cap D[S]$ is a prime divisor lying in the class of $I[J]$. By varying m , the construction leads to non-associated prime elements $g \in K[G]$ and hence the assertion follows. \square

4. PAST PROOF ATTEMPTS

As noted in the beginning of this work, Chang [4] states the fact that in a Krull monoid algebra $D[S]$ every divisor class contains a prime divisor. Unfortunately, due to an error in Lemma 7 of his work (Krull monoids in general do not satisfy the approximation property, see [11, Theorem 26.4]), the proof of his main result collapses. Another error that occurs in Chang's argumentation is due to a mistake in [13, Corollary 5], where Kim asserts that fractional v -ideals of Krull monoids S such that $D[S]$ is a Krull

domain are always v -generated by two elements. A counterexample to this assertion can be found in [17, Beispiel 3.8]. In the following, we give an explicit counterexample to Chang's Lemma 7.

Counterexample 4.1. This example is based on zero-sum theory. For an introduction to this topic, see [8, Chapter 5]. Let $G_0 = \{-2g, -g, g, 2g\} \subseteq G$, where G is an abelian group and $g \in G$ is an element of infinite order. The monoid $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ of zero-sum sequences over G_0 is a finitely generated reduced torsion-free Krull monoid that is not factorial. Its height-one spectrum is $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{B}(G_0)) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i \mid i \in G_0\}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_i = \{S \in \mathcal{B}(G_0) \mid i \in \text{supp}(S)\}$. Now set $\alpha_1 = (-2g) \cdot (-2g) \cdot 2g \cdot 2g \cdot (-g) \cdot (-g) \cdot g \cdot g \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_1 \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$. Thus, whenever we take $a \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$, the element $\alpha_2 + a - \alpha_1$ has \mathfrak{p}_i -adic valuation at least 2 for every $i \in G_0$, which is contradiction to Lemma 7 in [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] D.D. Anderson, E.G. Houston and M. Zafrullah, *t-linked extensions, the t-class group, and Nagata's theorem*, J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra **86** (1993), 109–124.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, 2nd printing, 1989.
- [3] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, *Rings, Polytopes and K-Theory*, Springer, 2009.
- [4] G.W. Chang, *Every divisor class of Krull monoid domains contains a prime ideal*, J. Algebra **336** (2011), 370–377.
- [5] L. Chouinard, *Krull semigroups and divisor class groups*, Canad. J. Math. **33** (1981), 1459–1468.
- [6] L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, Pergamon Press, 1960.
- [7] S. Gabelli, *On divisorial ideals in polynomial rings over Mori domains*, Comm. Algebra **15** (1987), 2349–2370.
- [8] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [9] R. Gilmer, *Multiplikative Ideal Theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [10] R. Gilmer, *Commutative semigroup rings*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, 1984.
- [11] F. Halter-Koch, *Ideal Systems: An Introduction to Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Marcel Dekker, 1998.
- [12] F. Kainrath, *The distribution of prime divisors in finitely generated domains*, manuscripta math. **100** (1999), 203–212.
- [13] H. Kim, *The distribution of prime divisors in Krull monoid domains*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **155** (2001), 203–210.
- [14] R. Matsuda, *On Algebraic Properties of Infinite Group Rings*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Ibaraki Univ. Math. **7** (1975), 29–37.
- [15] R. Matsuda, *Infinite Group Rings II*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Ibaraki Univ. Math. **8** (1976), 43–66.
- [16] R. Matsuda, *Torsion-Free Abelian Group Rings III*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Ibaraki Univ. Math. **9** (1977), 1–49.
- [17] L. Skula, *Divisorentheorie einer Halbgruppe*, Math. Z. **114** (1970), 113–120.
- [18] D. Smertnig, *Every abelian group is the class group of a simple Dedekind domain*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), 2477–2491.

(Victor Fadinger) INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND WISSENSCHAFTLICHES RECHNEN, KARL-FRANZENS-UNIVERSITÄT GRAZ, HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

Email address: victor.fadinger@uni-graz.at

(Daniel Windisch) INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS UND ZAHLENTHEORIE, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT GRAZ, KOPERNIKUSGASSE 24/II, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

Email address: dwindisch@math.tugraz.at