

POSITIVE ORIENTED THOMPSON LINKS

VALERIANO AIELLO AND SEBASTIAN BAADER

ABSTRACT. We prove that the links associated with positive elements of the oriented subgroup of the Thompson group are positive.

In memory of Vaughan F. R. Jones

1. INTRODUCTION

The Thompson group F (along with its brothers T and V) was introduced by R. Thompson in the sixties and has received a great deal of attention. Indeed, several equivalent definitions appeared in the literature, for instance as a subgroup of piecewise linear homeomorphisms of $[0, 1]$, as a diagram group, as pairs of planar rooted binary trees, and as strand diagrams. Motivated by the study of subfactors, Vaughan Jones started a new fascinating research program centred on the unitary representations of the Thompson groups. In particular, Jones' recent work on the representation theory of Thompson's group F gave rise to a combinatorial model for links, where elements of F define links in a similar way as elements of the braid groups [13]. Unfortunately, the links arising from F do not admit a natural orientation. For this reason, Jones introduced the so-called oriented subgroup \vec{F} . The links associated with the elements of \vec{F} come with a natural orientation. The Thompson groups are as good knot constructors as the braid groups. In fact, all unoriented and oriented links can be produced by means of elements of F and \vec{F} , respectively [13, 1]. The purpose of this paper is to show that the notions of positivity for elements of \vec{F} and for links are compatible, in the following sense.

Theorem 1. *For any $g \in \vec{F}_+$, the oriented link $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ admits a positive diagram.*

Positive elements of the oriented group \vec{F} admit a description by finite 4-valent rooted plane trees T . The associated links $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(T)$ are defined diagrammatically via a binary transform $\alpha(T)$ of the original tree. This is illustrated in the first two figures, and defined in the next section. Positive oriented Thompson links are complicated in that their defining diagrams are highly non-minimal. In particular, they tend to be a lot of unknotted components. As we will see, removing these trivial components, together with another type of simplification, results in positive link diagrams whose crossing number is bounded above by the number of right leaves of the plane tree T . This is illustrated at the bottom of Figure 2, where the resulting link is the positive twist knot 5_2 .




FIGURE 1. The 4-regular rooted tree T and its binary transform $\alpha(T)$.




FIGURE 2. Positive oriented Thompson link $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(T)$ and its knotted component 5_2 .

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

In this section we review the basic definitions and properties of the Thompson group F , the oriented Thompson group \vec{F} , the Brown-Thompson group F_3 , and Jones's construction of knots from elements of Thompson group F . For further information we refer to [10, 8, 9, 13, 15].

The Thompson group admits the following infinite presentation

$$F = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots \mid x_n x_k = x_k x_{n+1} \quad \forall k < n \rangle .$$

The monoid generated by x_0, x_1, \dots is denoted by F_+ and its elements are said to be positive. In this paper we will make use of a graphical description of the elements of F . Every element of F can be described by a pair of rooted planar binary trees (T_+, T_-) with the same number of leaves [10]. We draw such pairs of trees in the plane, with one tree upside down on top of the other and the leaves sitting on the natural numbers of the x -axis (see Figure 3 for the generators of F). Two pairs of trees are equivalent when they differ by a pair of opposing carets, see Figure 4. This equivalence relation allows to define the multiplication in F by the formula $(T_+, T_-) \cdot (T', T'_-) := (T_+, T'_-)$. The trivial element is represented by any pair (T, T) and $(T_+, T_-)^{-1} = (T_-, T_+)$.




FIGURE 3. The generators of F .





FIGURE 4. A pair of opposing carets and a pair of trees equivalent to x_0 .

The shift homomorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow F$ is defined graphically as




This homomorphism maps x_i to x_{i+1} for all $i \geq 0$.

We now recall Jones's construction [13, 15] of knots and links from elements of F , which we illustrate with x_0 as an example. The idea is to construct a Tait diagram $\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$ from a pair of trees (T_+, T_-) . We put the vertices of $\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$ on the half integers. For $x_0 x_1$ these points are $(1/2, 0)$, $(3/2, 0)$, $(5/2, 0)$, $(7/2, 0)$. The edges of $\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$ pass transversally through the edges of the top tree sloping up from left




FIGURE 5. A positive and a negative crossing.

to right (we call them West-North edges, or simply $WN=↗$) and the edges of the bottom tree sloping down from left to right (we refer to them by West-South edges, or just $WS=↘$).



As shown in [13, Lemma 4.1.4] there is a bijection between the graphs of the form $\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$ and the pairs of trees (T_+, T_-) . We denote by $\Gamma_+(T_+)$ and $\Gamma_-(T_-)$ the subgraphs of $\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$ contained in the upper and lower-half plane, respectively. Sometimes, to ease the notation we will use the symbols Γ_+ and Γ_- . Since a Tait diagram is a signed graph, we say that the edges of Γ_+ (resp. Γ_-) are positive (resp. negative). This means that in checkerboard shading of the corresponding link diagram, the crossings corresponding to Γ_+ and Γ_- are positive and negative, respectively (see Figure 5).

In order to obtain a knot diagram we need two further steps. First we draw the medial graph $M(\Gamma(T_+, T_-))$ of $\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$. In general, given a connected plane graph G , the vertices of its medial graph $M(G)$ sit on every edge of G and an edge of $M(G)$ connect two vertices if they are on adjacent edges of the same face. Below we will provide an example in our context. Now all the vertices of $M(\Gamma(T_+, T_-))$ have degree 4 and to obtain a knot/link diagram we need to turn the vertices into crossings. For the vertices in the upper-half plane we use the crossing \times , while for those in the lower-half plane we use \bowtie . Here are $M(\Gamma(x_0x_1))$ and $\mathcal{L}(x_0x_1)$



So far we have obtained an unoriented knot/link. In general the link diagrams obtained from elements of F do not admit a natural orientation. However, there is a natural orientation when the group element is in the oriented Thompson group \vec{F} , whose definition we now recall. Shade the the link diagram $\mathcal{L}(T_+, T_-)$ in black and





FIGURE 6. The rules needed for obtaining $\mathcal{L}(g)$.

white (we adopt the convention that the colour of the unbounded region is white). This yields a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 whose boundary is the link $\mathcal{L}(T_+, T_-)$ (see [13, Section 5.3.2]). The oriented Thompson group \vec{F} can be defined as [13]


$$\vec{F} := \{(T_+, T_-) \in F \mid \Gamma(T_+, T_-) \text{ is bipartite}\} .$$

Equivalently, the elements of \vec{F} have Tait diagram 2-colorable. We denote the colours by $\{+, -\}$. We recall that if the Tait graph is 2-colorable, then there are exactly two colorings. By convention we choose the one in which the leftmost vertex is assigned the colour $+$. We denote by \vec{F}_+ the monoid $\vec{F} \cap F_+$.

By construction the vertices of the graph $\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$ sit in the black regions and each one has been assigned with a colour $+$ or $-$. These colours determine an orientation of the surface and of the boundary ($+$ means that the region is positively oriented). It can be easily seen that the graph $\Gamma(x_0x_1)$ is bipartite and thus x_0x_1 is in \vec{F} (this element is actually one of the three natural generators of \vec{F}). Here is the oriented link associated with x_0x_1



The construction of the underlying unoriented links from elements of the Thompson group can also be obtained in the following equivalent way, [13, 15]. Starting from a tree diagram in F , first we turn all the 3-valent vertices into 4-valent and join the two roots of the trees, then we turn all the 4-valent vertices into crossings (see Figure 6). We exemplify this procedure with x_0x_1







FIGURE 7. A positive and a negative crossing.

The Tait diagram of the link diagram obtained in this way is exactly the one described with the previous procedure. In passing, we mention that by means of planar algebras [12] and this construction of knots, several unitary representation of both the Thompson group and the oriented Thompson group related to notable knot and graph invariants were defined [13, 14, 5, 2, 3, 4] and investigated [6, 16, 7].

We recall that the bottom tree of a positive element in both F and the corresponding graph Γ_- have the following form




By convention the coloring of Γ_- is $+ - + - + - \dots$. Since the bottom tree of a positive element is always the same, sometimes we will use the notation $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(T_+)$, instead of $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(T_+, T_-)$.

It is well known that every element of the braid group may be expressed as the product of a positive braid and the inverse of a positive braid. A similar result for oriented Thompson group was proved by Ren [18]:

Proposition 1. *For any $g \in \vec{F}$, there exists $g_1, g_2 \in \vec{F}_+$ such that $g = g_1 g_2^{-1}$.*

An oriented knot/link is positive if it admits a knot diagram where all the crossings are positive, see Figure 7.

The Brown-Thompson group F_3 consists of pairs of rooted planar ternary trees with the same number of leaves, [9]. The positive elements of F_3 are those whose bottom tree is of the form







FIGURE 8. The isomorphism $\alpha : F_3 \rightarrow \vec{F}$.

The monoid consisting of positive elements in F_3 is denoted by $F_{3,+}$. Since the bottom tree of a positive element (either in F and F_3) is always of the same form, in the sequel we will only draw the top tree.


In [11] it was proved that \vec{F} is isomorphic with the Brown-Thompson group F_3 . Later a graphical interpretation of this isomorphism was provided by Ren in [18]: in every ternary tree, the 4-valent vertices are replaced by a suitable binary tree with 3 leaves (see Figure 8). We will use this isomorphism in the next section to study the positive oriented Thompson knots. Note that the trees of type T_- are mapped to those of type T'_- . Therefore, by its very definition $\alpha(F_{3,+})$ is contained in $F_+ \cap \vec{F}$.

Here follow some examples of positive oriented Thompson knots: (up to disjoint union with unknots) the trefoil, $(3+2n)_2$ twist knot, the 7_4 knot, the granny knot, the oriented boundary of an n -times twisted annulus, the Pretzel knot $P(3, 3, 3, 3, -2)$.


Example 1. *The trefoil knot (up to disjoint union with unknots) may be obtained from $g := x_0^3 x_1 x_3^2 x_4 x_7^2 x_8 \in \vec{F}_+$. Here is the top tree of g*




and this is the corresponding Tait diagram




Example 2. The $(3+2n)_2$ twist knot (up to disjoint union with unknots) is obtained with the following element in \vec{F}_+ with top tree t_n




Example 3. The 7_4 knot (up to disjoint union with unknots) can be obtained from $g := x_0^3 x_1 x_3^2 x_4 x_6^2 x_7 x_9^2 x_{10} x_{13}^2 x_{14} x_{16}^2 x_{17} x_{19}^2 x_{20} \in \vec{F}_+$ with the following top tree




and this is the corresponding Tait diagram




Example 4. The granny knot (up to disjoint union with unknots) can be obtained from $g := x_0^3 x_1 x_3^2 x_4 x_7^2 x_8 x_{11}^3 x_{12} x_{14}^2 x_{15} x_{17}^2 x_{19} \in \vec{F}_+$. Below is the top tree of g




and this is the corresponding Tait diagram




Example 5. The oriented boundary of an n -times twisted annulus (up to disjoint union with unknots) is obtained with the following element in \vec{F}_+ with top tree t_n



This is the Tait diagram of the link associated with t_2



Example 6. *The pretzel knot of type $P(3, 3, 3, 3, -2)$ may be obtained (up to disjoint union with unknots) from the element of \vec{F}_+ with the following top tree*



Remark 1. *The pretzel knot of type $(3, 3, 3, 3, -2)$ is non-alternating, since its minimal diagram is non-alternating. A general result on the minimality of canonical pretzel link diagrams can be found in [17]. There exist simpler examples of non-alternating positive pretzel knots, for example $P(3, 3, -2)$ and $P(5, 3, -2)$, also known as torus knots $T(3, 4)$ and $T(3, 5)$, but we were not able to realise these as positive oriented Thompson links. The previous example was discovered after Yuanyuan Bao pointed out to us a mistake in the first version of this paper, where we claimed that the links corresponding to the elements of \vec{F}_+ were alternating.*

In Figure 9 we display the Reidemeister moves of type I and II in the language of Tait diagrams. They will come in handy in the next section.

We conclude the preliminaries with a lemma concerning the structure of the top tree of elements in \vec{F}_+ . It is a consequence of the proof of [18, Theorem 5.5] and for this reason we only give a sketch of its proof.

Lemma 1. *With the notations of the previous section, it holds $\alpha(F_3) \cap \vec{F}_+ = \alpha(F_{3,+})$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\alpha(F_{3,+}) \subset \alpha(F_3) \cap \vec{F}_+$ is obvious. The converse inclusion can be proved by induction on the number of leaves in the trees and by showing that the top tree T_+ always contains the following subtree (the leaves of this subtree are a subset of the leaves of T_+)



If the leaves of the above tree are the rightmost leaves of T_+ , then by cancelling two pairs of opposing carets we are done. Otherwise multiply $g \in \vec{F}_+$ by $\alpha(x_i x_{i+1})^{-1}$ (where i is a suitable non-negative integer). The element $g\alpha(x_i x_{i+1})^{-1}$ has less leaves than g . Therefore, by induction $g\alpha(x_i x_{i+1})^{-1} = \alpha(g')$ and we are done. \square




FIGURE 9. A Reidemeister move of type I allows to add (or remove) a 1-valent vertex and its edge. When there is a 2-valent vertex whose edges have opposite signs, they may be contracted as shown in the move of type IIa. Two parallel edges with opposite signs may be added (or removed) by means of a move of type IIb.

3. POSITIVITY OF $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(\vec{F}_+)$

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need to transform the link diagrams of elements in \vec{F}_+ into positive ones. Let $g \in \vec{F}_+$ be represented by a pair of trees (T_+, T_-) , where T_- is the standard bottom tree. By construction, the link diagram $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ is a union of two tangles A and B , situated above and below the x -axis, respectively. Both tangles are alternating and have the same number of crossings $n - 1$, where n is the number of leaves of the two trees T_+, T_- . Moreover, all the crossings of A are positive, while all the crossings of B are negative, since the Tait graph $\Gamma(T_+, T_-)$ is bipartite. Therefore, in order to obtain a positive diagram, we need to remove all the crossings of the bottom tangle B . There is one negative crossing attached to every string coming out of a leaf of T_+ , except for the leaf at the very right of the tree, compare Figure 2. We will remove all these negative crossings simultaneously, using two types of local moves. Recall that the upper tree can be interpreted as the binary transform of a 4-valent plane tree, by Lemma 1. The crossings of B attached to left or middle vertices of the tree T_+ can be removed by single Reidemeister moves of type two, as shown in Figures 10 and 11. The small boxes in these figures stand for an arbitrary 4-valent subtree. By these reduction moves, we also remove one of the crossings of the upper tangle A .




FIGURE 10. Left leaf.




FIGURE 11. Middle leaf.

The crossings of B attached to right vertices of the tree T_+ can be removed by a detour move, as shown in Figure 12. The precise effect of this move is removing a negative crossing, and replacing one of the crossings of A by another positive crossing. This can be seen by analysing the auxiliary orientations in the figure (in fact, there are two possibilities for the local orientations; however, the actual choice has no effect on the signs of the crossings). We record two important features about these local moves:

- (1) they can be performed independently,
- (2) they preserve the positivity of the upper tangle A and decrease the number of negative crossings in the lower tangle.

In particular, we end up with a positive diagram for the link $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(g)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

The reader is invited to apply the above procedure to the top diagram of Figure 2. The resulting positive diagram is drawn at the bottom of the same figure, with the trivial components removed.

Remark 2. *The number of crossings of the final diagram is bounded above by the number of right leaves of the upper tree T_+ .*

4. UNKNOTTING POSITIVE ORIENTED THOMPSON LINKS

The next theorem provides an upper bound for the unknotting number of $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ when g is in \vec{F}_+ . We will use 4-valent plane trees in order to describe elements of




FIGURE 12. Right leaf.




FIGURE 13. Seven reduction moves for the elements in $F_{3,+} = \alpha^{-1}(\vec{F}_+)$. All these moves except the sixth, do not affect the corresponding knot (only some unknots are lost in the application of these moves). In the sixth move a positive crossing is turned into a negative one.

\vec{F}_+ , as in the proof of Theorem 1. As we will see, these trees can be reduced to an empty tree by using a set of seven moves as shown in Figure 13.

Theorem 2. *For any $g \in \vec{F}_+$, the unknotting number is at most equal to the number of applications of 6-move depicted in Figure 13.*

Proof. Let (T_+, T_-) be a pair of ternary trees in F_3 . Thanks to Lemma 1 we can make an induction on the number n of leaves. When $n = 3$, the element (T_+, T_-) is the trivial element of F_3 and the corresponding link is trivial. Now there are seven cases to deal with. Indeed, an easy inductive argument shows that T_+ contains one of the subtrees depicted in Figure 13 (the leaves of this tree are a subset of the leaves of T_+). The edges in red in the above figure will be erased.

As we shall see, in all the cases, but case 6), we simply apply Reidemeister moves

of type I and II, and (possibly) remove unknots. Only in case 6) we need to turn a positive crossing into negative.

Here follows the subtree of case 1) transformed under the map α , the corresponding Tait diagram, an "equivalent" Tait diagram along with the corresponding binary and ternary trees.



Here are the analogous graphs for case 2)



In the third case we only need Reidemeister moves of type II as shown below



These are the analogous graphs for the fourth case




Here is case 5)




The sixth case is more complicated. First we simplify the Tait diagram




There are two subcases depending on the color of the leftmost vertex. Suppose that the color is $+$, then we have the following Tait diagram and the link



After turning the leftmost crossing into a negative crossing we get the following link, Tait diagrams, tree



Similarly when the color is $-$, then we have the following Tait diagram, the link, Tait diagrams and tree



Finally, we take care of case 7)



□

Remark 3. *The above bound is optimal. Indeed, let g be $x_0^2 x_1 x_3^2 x_4$, then $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ is the Hopf link (up to disjoint union with unknots). The link $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(g\varphi^7(g))$ is a chain link with 3 connected components. More generally, $\vec{\mathcal{L}}(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi^{7i}(g))$ is a chain link with n connected components, see Figure 14 (here we are using the notation $\prod_{i=1}^n g_i := g_1 \cdots g_n$). It is easy to see that the unknotting number and the number of 6-moves needed are both equal to n .*

In the proof of Theorem 2 we saw that elements $g \in \vec{F}_+$ can be simplified by turning positive crossings into negative crossings. We end this paper with the observation that it is also possible to reduce the "size" of g by smoothing suitable positive crossings. As before we use an inductive argument on the number of leaves of an element (T_+, T_-) in $F_{3,+}$. By the previous discussion, one of the 7 cases depicted in Figure 13 occurs. In all cases but 6, we may reduce the number of leaves with the only effect of losing some distant unknots. In the sixth we changed a positive crossing into a negative one. In this case, we claim that it is also possible to reduce the




FIGURE 14. The element $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi^{\tau_i}(g)$ is represented by the above recursive relation, where T_0 is the empty tree. The corresponding link is a chain with n connected components.





FIGURE 15. An additional move of type 6.


size of g by smoothing a crossing. As in the previous proof, there are two subcases depending on the color of the leftmost vertex. Suppose that the color is $+$, then we have the following Tait diagram and the link



If we smooth the leftmost crossing, after applying some Reidemeister moves, we get the following Tait diagram



Similarly when the color is $-$, we have the following knot and Tait diagrams



and after smoothing the leftmost crossing, we get the following Tait diagram



In both cases we get a new element (T'_+, T'_-) , where T'_+ has $n - 5$ leaves.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support by the Swiss National Science foundation through the SNF project no. 178756 (Fibred links, L-space covers and algorithmic knot theory).

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Aiello, *On the Alexander Theorem for the oriented Thompson group \vec{F}* , Algebraic & Geometric Topology, 20 (2020) 429–438, doi: 10.2140/agt.2020.20.429 preprint arXiv:1811.08323
- [2] V. Aiello, A. Brothier, R. Conti. *Jones representations of Thompson’s group F arising from Temperley-Lieb-Jones algebras*, accepted for publication in Int. Math. Res. Not., doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnz240 preprint arXiv:1901.10597 (2019).
- [3] V. Aiello, R. Conti, *Graph polynomials and link invariants as positive type functions on Thompson’s group F* , J. Knot Theory Ramifications 28 (2019), no. 2, 1950006, 17 pp. doi: 10.1142/S0218216519500068 , preprint arXiv:1510.04428
- [4] V. Aiello, R. Conti, *The Jones polynomial and functions of positive type on the oriented Jones-Thompson groups \vec{F} and \vec{T}* , Complex Anal. Oper. Theory (2019) 13: 3127. doi: 10.1007/s11785-018-0866-6 preprint arXiv:1603.03946
- [5] V. Aiello, R. Conti, V.F.R. Jones, *The Homflypt polynomial and the oriented Thompson group*, Quantum Topol. 9 (2018), 461-472. preprint arXiv:1609.02484
- [6] V. Aiello, V.F.R. Jones, *On spectral measures for certain unitary representations of R . Thompson’s group F* . J. Funct. Anal. 280 (2021). preprint arXiv:1905.05806 (2019).
- [7] V. Aiello, T. Nagnibeda, *On the oriented Thompson subgroup \vec{F}_3 and its relatives in higher Brown-Thompson groups*, accepted for publication in Journal of Algebra and its Applications, preprint arXiv:1912.04730 (2019)
- [8] J. Belk, *Thompson’s group F* . Ph.D. Thesis (Cornell University). preprint arXiv:0708.3609 (2007).
- [9] K. S. Brown, *Finiteness properties of groups*. Proceedings of the Northwestern conference on cohomology of groups (Evanston, Ill., 1985). J. Pure Appl. Algebra 44 (1987), no. 1–3, 45–75.
- [10] J.W. Cannon, W.J. Floyd, W.R. Parry, *Introductory notes on Richard Thompson’s groups*. *L’Enseignement Mathématique* **42** (1996): 215–256
- [11] G. Golan, M. Sapir, *On Jones’ subgroup of R . Thompson group F* , Journal of Algebra 470 (2017), 122-159.
- [12] V.F.R. Jones, *Planar Algebras I*. preprint arXiv: math/9909027 (1999).
- [13] V.F.R. Jones, *Some unitary representations of Thompson’s groups F and T* . J. Comb. Algebra **1** (2017), 1–44.
- [14] V.F.R. Jones, *A no-go theorem for the continuum limit of a quantum spin chain*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **357** (2018), 295–317.
- [15] V.F.R. Jones, *On the construction of knots and links from Thompson’s groups*. In: Adams C. et al. (eds) *Knots, Low-Dimensional Topology and Applications*. KNOTS16 2016. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol 284. Springer, Cham. preprint arXiv:1810.06034 (2019).
- [16] V.F.R. Jones, *Irreducibility of the Wysiwyg representations of Thompson’s groups*. preprint arXiv:1906.09619 (2019).
- [17] W. R. Lickorish, M. B. Thistlethwaite, *Some links with non-trivial polynomials and their crossing-numbers*. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 63(1), (1988) 527–539.
- [18] Y. Ren, *From skein theory to presentations for Thompson group*. Journal of Algebra, **498**, 178–196 (2018).

VALERIANO AIELLO, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BERN, ALPENEGGSTRASSE 22,
3012 BERN, SWITZERLAND

Email address: `valerianoaiello@gmail.com`

SEBASTIAN BAADER, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BERN, SIDLERSTRASSE 5,
3012 BERN, SWITZERLAND

Email address: `sebastian.baader@math.unibe.ch`