

Space-Efficient Algorithms for Reachability in Geometric Graphs^{*}

Sujoy Bhore¹ and Rahul Jain²

¹ Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Bruxelles, Belgium

sujoy.bhore@gmail.com

² Fernuniversität in Hagen, Germany

rahul.jain@fernuni-hagen.de

Abstract. The problem of graph REACHABILITY is to decide whether there is a path from one vertex to another in a given graph. In this paper, we study the REACHABILITY problem on three distinct graph families – intersection graphs of Jordan regions, unit contact disk graphs (penny graphs), and chordal graphs. For each of these graph families, we present space-efficient algorithms for the REACHABILITY problem. In order to obtain these results, we use the *vertex separator* of these graphs effectively, and design space-efficient algorithms to find such separators. The constructions of the separators presented here can be of independent interest.

1 Introduction

Given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ and two of its vertices s and t , the problem of REACHABILITY is to decide if there exists a path from one vertex s to another vertex t in G . Formally, the objective is to check if there exists a sequence of vertices $s = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = t$ such that the edge (v_{i-1}, v_i) is in E , for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. REACHABILITY is one of the fundamental problems in theoretical computer science. The REACHABILITY problem in directed and undirected graphs, is complete for the classes non-deterministic log-space (NL) and deterministic log-space (L), respectively; see [23, 25]. The famous open question $L \stackrel{?}{=} NL$ essentially asks if there exists a deterministic log-space algorithm for REACHABILITY or not. Moreover, REACHABILITY can be solved in $\Theta(n \log n)$ space and optimal time by using standard graph traversal algorithms such as DFS and BFS. Furthermore, it is known that this problem can be solved in $\Theta(\log^2 n)$ -space and $n^{\Theta(\log n)}$ time [29]. Wigderson asked in his survey of reachability problems [30], that whether there is an algorithm for REACHABILITY that runs in $O(n^{1-\epsilon})$ space (for any $\epsilon > 0$) and polynomial time.

Barnes et al. [6] partially answered this question and showed that REACHABILITY on general graphs can be solved in polynomial time and $O(n/2^{\Theta(\sqrt{\log n})})$ space. This result was followed by numerous works on various restricted graph

^{*} Sujoy Bhore acknowledges support from the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique-FNRS under Grant no MISU F 6001 1.

families. Asano and Doerr [3] presented an algorithm for grid graphs that uses $O(n^{1/2+\epsilon})$ -space. Then, Imai et al. [20] achieved the similar space bound for planar graphs. Later, Asano et al. [4] improved the space bound to $\tilde{O}(n^{1/2})$ for planar graphs. Recently, this bound has been improved to $O(n^{1/4+\epsilon})$ for grid graphs [21]. Besides, Chakraborty et al. [9] studied REACHABILITY for graphs with higher genus and gave an $\tilde{O}(n^{2/3}g^{1/3})$ -space bound algorithm, and an $\tilde{O}(n^{2/3})$ space algorithm for H minor-free graphs.

For layered planar graphs, Chakraborty and Tewari [10] showed that, there is an $O(n^\epsilon)$ -space and polynomial algorithm. Gupta et al. [18] showed that given a pair $\{s, t\}$ and an embedding of an $O(\log n)$ genus graph, deciding the REACHABILITY from s to t in G is in unambiguous logarithmic space (UL).

Recently, Jain and Tewari [22] showed that given an n vertex directed graph of *Treewidth* w along with its tree decomposition, there exists an algorithm for REACHABILITY problem that runs polynomial time and $O(w \log n)$ space. *Treewidth* is a strong graph property that made many classical hard problems on graphs tractable [1]. Then, on the other hand, *vertex separator* is another fundamental graph theoretic notion that helps in designing divide and conquer based algorithms. Informally, a *vertex separator* is a small set of vertices of the graph, removal of which divides the graph into pieces whose size are at most a fraction of the original graph. Lipton-Tarjan's pioneering result [24] showed that there exists an $O(n^{1/2})$ sized separator for a planar graph, which has been used extensively to design algorithms for planar graphs.

In the realm of space-efficient algorithms, the primary objective is to optimize the space-complexity of an algorithm while maintaining a polynomial-time bound. The goal is typically to design algorithms whose input resides in read-only memory and that use only a constant or sublinear amount of writable memory to accomplish their task. The notion of *vertex separator* has been previously exploited in designing memory-constrained algorithms, specifically for REACHABILITY problem. In particular, we point out to the work of Imai et al. [20]

In [22], Jain and Tewari formalized the connection between *Vertex Separator* and REACHABILITY problems, that has several consequences. For the sake of completeness, we state their theorem below.

Theorem 1 (Theorem 2, [22]). *Let \mathcal{G} be a class of graphs and $w : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function such that for every graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ with n vertices and m edges, TREewidth of G is at most $w(n, m)$. If there exist an $O(w(n, m) \log n)$ space and polynomial time algorithm, that given a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and a set U of $V(G)$, outputs a separator of U in G of size $O(w(n, m))$, then there exists an algorithm to decide REACHABILITY in G that uses $O(w(n, m) \log n)$ space and polynomial time.*

In this paper, we study the REACHABILITY problem on directed geometric graph families. Many important graph families can be described as intersection graphs of more restricted types of set families. More often than not, the geometric intersection graph families provide additional geometric structures that help to generate efficient algorithms. Many problems that are NP-complete on general

graphs, become tractable on geometric intersection graphs [12, 19]. Indeed, such advantages have been exploited for space-efficient algorithms as well. We refer to the survey on geometric algorithms with limited work-space [5]. We note that most of the geometric intersection graph families contain large cliques. Thereby, the *Treewidth* of such graph classes are very high, and that makes it hard to use *Treewidth* for REACHABILITY on some of these graph families. In this work, we show that for some geometric contact graphs *Treewidth* turns out to be a rather useful notion in the context of REACHABILITY

In this work, we primarily exploit the *Vertex separator* of these graphs effectively. In general, we opt for *Vertex separator* that has two properties - (1) the size of the separator is small (2) the separator partitions the graph in somewhat *Balanced* manner, such that each part contains more or less equal number of vertices. Fox and Pach [14] established several geometric extensions of the Lipton-Tarjan separator theorem. They showed that, any collection \mathcal{C} of Jordan curves in the plane with a total of m intersections has a partition into three parts $\mathcal{C} = S \cup \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$ such that $|S| = O(\sqrt{m})$, $\max\{|\mathcal{C}_1|, |\mathcal{C}_2|\} \leq \frac{2}{3}|\mathcal{C}|$, and no element of \mathcal{C}_1 has a point in common with any element of \mathcal{C}_2 . Recently, Carmi et al. [7] improved the bound for unit disk graphs. They showed for any set of n unit disks in the plane there exists a line ℓ such that ℓ intersects at most $O(\sqrt{(m+n)} \log n)$ disks and each of the halfplanes determined by ℓ contains at most $2n/3$ unit disks from the set, where m is the number of intersecting pairs of disks.

Motivated by this, we study the REACHABILITY problem on three graph families – intersection graphs of Jordan regions, unit contact disk graphs (penny graphs), and chordal graphs. For each of them, we design space-efficient algorithms for REACHABILITY. Even though, chordal graphs seems to be an exception in the context of geometric graph families, we note that there exists work representing chordal graphs as a subfamily of string graphs [11]. Moreover, it is known that chordal graphs can be characterized as intersection graphs of sub-trees of a tree [16], and interval graphs are a subfamily of chordal graphs.

Preliminaries. Throughout the text, we denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ as $[n]$. For a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a subset $U \subseteq V$, $G[U]$ denotes the subgraph induced on U . Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ with vertex set V and edge set E , a weight function $w : V \rightarrow R_{\geq 0}$ is a non-negative function on V such that the sum of the weights is at most 1. For any subset $S \subset V$, the weight $w(S)$ is defined to be $\sum_{v \in S} w(v)$. A separator in a graph G with respect to a weight function w is a subset $S \subset V$ of vertices such that there is a partition $V = S \cup V_1 \cup V_2$ such that $w(V_1), w(V_2) \leq 2/3$ and there are no edges between V_1 and V_2 . If the weight function is not specified, it is assumed that $w(v) = \frac{1}{|V|}$, for every vertex v . We refer to Arora and Barak [2], for a basic understanding of the model and terminologies for space-efficient algorithms.

Our Contribution. In this paper, we study the REACHABILITY problem on three different graph families; i.e., intersection Graphs of Jordan Regions, Unit Contact Disk Graphs (Penny Graphs) and Chordal Graphs. First, in Section 2,

we show that given a collection of Jordan regions, there exists a polynomial time algorithm that computes a separator of size $O(m^{1/2})$ using $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ space. Then, by combining this with Theorem 1, we note that REACHABILITY on directed intersection graphs of Jordan regions can be solved in polynomial time and $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ space. Next, in Section 3, we present a space-efficient algorithm for REACHABILITY on penny graphs that uses $O(n^{1/4+\epsilon})$ space and polynomial time. Finally, in Section 4, we adopt the algorithm of [17] for REACHABILITY on chordal graphs, to provide a space-efficient and polynomial time algorithm.

2 Intersection Graphs of Jordan Regions

In this section, we study the REACHABILITY problem on intersection graphs of Jordan regions. Let \mathcal{C} be a set such that each element C of \mathcal{C} is a simply connected compact region in a plane bounded by closed Jordan curve. Let $G(\mathcal{C})$ be an intersection graph on \mathcal{C} , where two distinct elements $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ are connected by an edge if and only if their intersection is not empty. Additionally, each edge of $G(\mathcal{C})$ is a directed edge. A Jordan region A contains another Jordan region B if B lies completely in the interior of A . A crossing between a pair of Jordan regions is either an intersection between their boundaries or a containment one into other. In [14], Fox and Pach showed the existence of a $O(m^{1/2})$ -size separator on a collection of Jordan regions, where m is the total number of intersections.

We prove the following theorem about the separator. Note, *vertex separator* of an intersection graph does not rely on the direction of the edges. Hence, for the ease of explanation, we drop the directions from the input graph $G(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a collection of Jordan regions, and let $G(\mathcal{C})$ be an intersection graph on \mathcal{C} . Let w be a weight function. There exists a polynomial time algorithm that takes as an input the set \mathcal{C} and outputs a separator of size $O(m^{1/2})$ using $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ space.*

The existence of such a separator was already shown in [14] by Fox and Pach. Here, our objective is to show that such a separator can be constructed in a space-efficient manner. We assume that the sum of the weights of these Jordan regions is one. Let $H(\mathcal{C})$ be the set of *heavy* regions in \mathcal{C} whose weight is more than $1/m^{1/2}$. Let $L(\mathcal{C})$ be the set of regions in \mathcal{C} that is involved with at least $\frac{1}{3}m^{1/2}$ containments with other elements of \mathcal{C} . Let $I(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} \setminus (H(\mathcal{C}) \cup L(\mathcal{C}))$. We define a planar graph $G_P(\mathcal{C})$. The vertex set of this graph is the union of two subsets, i.e., $A(\mathcal{C}) \cup B(\mathcal{C})$, where $A(\mathcal{C})$ is the set of all crossing points that lie on the boundary of at least one element of $I(\mathcal{C})$ and $B(\mathcal{C})$ is the collection of points not in $A(\mathcal{C})$ such that the boundary of each $C \in I(\mathcal{C})$ contains precisely three points in $B(\mathcal{C})$. There exists an edge between two vertices of $G_P(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if they are consecutive points along the boundary of an element of $I(\mathcal{C})$; see Figure 1(a). We proceed with the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *There exists a log-space algorithm that takes as an input a set of Jordan regions \mathcal{C} and outputs the graph $G_P(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. First of all, note that there exists a log-space subroutine that, on an input Jordan region C of \mathcal{C} , determines if C is in $L(\mathcal{C})$. To do this, the subroutine iterates over all Jordan regions in \mathcal{C} and calculates the total number of containment with which C is involved. The weight of each Jordan region comes with the input. Hence, it is enough for us to determine if a Jordan region C of \mathcal{C} belongs to the set $I(\mathcal{C})$. In order to construct the planar graph, we essentially need to know $I(\mathcal{C})$. Thereby concluding the proof of the lemma. \square




Fig. 1. (a) An illustration of the planar embedding of the curves in \mathcal{C} . The black disk points are the intersection points from set $A(\mathcal{C})$, and the blue square points the three extra points from set $B(\mathcal{C})$. (b) A illustration of a face in the embedding and its corresponding planar triangulation. (c) Traversal of the left-face of the edge $e = (u, v)$.

Next, we triangulate the planar graph $G_P(\mathcal{C})$, and denote by $G_T(\mathcal{C})$. In the following lemma, we prove that $G_T(\mathcal{C})$ can be computed in log-space.

Lemma 2. *There exists an algorithm that takes a planar graph in the input and returns a triangulated planar graph in log-space.*

Proof. Consider a planar graph G_P . Note that, an edge $e_1 = (u_1, v_1)$ in G_P is part of two faces in the planar embedding of the graph. We call them the left and the right face, respectively. We explain how to traverse the left face of e_1 in log-space. In order to do this, we start by traversing e_1 to one of its endpoint (say v_1) and take the edge clockwise next to e_1 , that is incident on v_1 . Let e_2 is the edge; see Figure 1. We continue the traversal along the edge e_3 , which is the edge clockwise next to e_2 from the endpoint v_2 of e_2 , and so on. In general, if we reach the vertex v_i using the edge e_i , we continue along the edge clockwise next to e_i from v_i . Clearly, by following this procedure we can traverse the boundary of the face. In order to prove this lemma, it is sufficient to show that, given two vertices u_l and v_l of the input planar graph G_P , whether an edge e_l can be added between them in log-space, as part of the triangulation. We assume that the vertices of the input graph are indexed by an integer from 1 to k , for some $k \in [n]$. For each edge e that is incident with u , we first traverse the left face of e and see if - (i) v is present in that face; (ii) either u or v is the lowest-indexed vertex of that face. There is a triangulated edge between u and v if and

only if both these conditions are true for any such edge e ; see Figure 1(b). This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Let $d(C)$ is the number of points on the boundary of C that belongs to the vertex set of $G_T(\mathcal{C})$. For a vertex v in $G_T(\mathcal{C})$, we define a new weight function $\text{weight}(v)$ as follows:

Case 1: v is part of two boundaries C_1 and C_2 of \mathcal{C} , $\text{weight}(v) = \frac{w(C_1)}{d(C_1)} + \frac{w(C_2)}{d(C_2)}$.

Case 2: v is in the boundary of only one element C_1 of \mathcal{C} , $\text{weight}(v) = \frac{w(C_1)}{d(C_1)}$.

Fox and Pach [14] used the idea to find a cycle-separator in this triangulated graph $G_T(\mathcal{C})$ and used it to construct a separator of the original geometric intersection graph. Instead, we will use the result of Imai et al. from [20] to obtain such a separator.

Lemma 3 ([20]). *Let G be a triangulated planar graph. There exists a polynomial time algorithm that uses $O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$ space to output a separator of G of size $O(\sqrt{n})$.*

Now, consider the set of regions of \mathcal{C} whose boundary contains at least one of the points of the separator returned by the algorithm of Imai et al. on $G_T(\mathcal{C})$. We denote these regions by $\text{sep}(\mathcal{C})$. In the following, we show that this set is indeed the required separator. Moreover, this set can be calculated within the required space-time bounds.

Lemma 4. *The set $\text{sep}(\mathcal{C})$ is a separator of the intersection graph of \mathcal{C}*

Proof. First of all, note $G_T(\mathcal{C})$ is a triangulated planar graph. Let S be the separator of this graph returned by the algorithm of Imai et al. [20]. For a triangulated graph, we observe that the separator returned by Imai et al. [20] is a cycle. Let V_0 be the set of all elements of \mathcal{C} that are either not in $I(\mathcal{C})$, or whose boundary contains a vertex of S . Let K_1 and K_2 be the set of vertices that are *inside* and *outside* the cycle S , respectively. Let V_i be the set of elements of $I(\mathcal{C})$, such that all vertex of $V(G_T(\mathcal{C}))$ which are in its boundary belong to the component K_i . It is easy to see that V_0 , V_1 and V_2 are pairwise disjoint sets and their union is \mathcal{C} . If V_0 has a weight of at least $1/3$, then we see that it act as a trivial separator. Hence, for the rest of this proof we assume that the weight of V_0 is less than $1/3$. Thus, we can also see that the weight of V_i is at most $2/3$. It only remains to show that there is no edge between a vertex of V_1 and a vertex of V_2 .

Let us assume, w.l.o.g., that the weight of V_2 is greater than the weight of V_1 , and hence greater than $1/3$. In order show see that there is no edge between a vertex of V_1 and a vertex of V_2 , let us assume to the contrary that there is one such edge. Since V_1 and V_2 are on two different sides of a closed Jordan curve, there exists an element v of V_1 that contains in its interior all the elements of V_2 . The number of elements in V_2 is at least $\frac{1}{3}m^{1/2}$ contradicting the fact that v belongs to $I(\mathcal{C})$. \square

Next, in the final lemma we argue about the space-complexity of the above mentioned procedure.

Lemma 5. *There exists a polynomial time algorithm that takes as an input \mathcal{C} and outputs the set $\text{sep}(\mathcal{C})$ in $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ space.*

Proof. We first see that on input \mathcal{C} , the graph $G_T(\mathcal{C})$ contains $O(m)$ vertices. We can output this graph in log-space (see Lemma 2). Then, using the planar separator algorithm of Imai et al. [20], we can get a set of $O(m^{1/2})$ vertices which acts as the separator of this graph. This process can be done in $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ space and polynomial time. Once we obtain this set, it is possible to construct $\text{sep}(\mathcal{C})$ by using this set. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. Then, by combining Theorem 2 and Theorem 1 [22], we conclude the following.

Corollary 1. *There exists an algorithm that solves the REACHABILITY on directed intersection graph of Jordan regions in polynomial time and $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ space.*

3 Unit Contact Disk Graphs (Penny Graphs)

In this section, we study the REACHABILITY problem on unit contact disk graphs (penny graphs). Penny graphs have also been called unit coin graphs [8]. The vertices of a penny graph are unit circles in the plane, such that no two of those circles cross each other, and there is an edge between two vertices if and only if the corresponding circles touches each other. Due to Carmi et al. [7], we know that given a set of unit disks, there exists an axis-parallel line intersecting $O(\sqrt{m+n})$ disks such that each half-plane separated by that line contains at most $4n/5$ disks. They call such a separator a *balanced separator*. We first describe that how such a balanced separator can be obtained in a space-efficient manner.

Let $G = (D, E)$ be a directed penny graph, where $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$ is a set of unit disks, and there is an edge $e \in E$ between two disks d_i and d_j (for some $i, j \in [n]$) if d_i and d_j touch each other. Moreover, each edge in $e \in E$ is a directed edge. We assume that the disks are given in the sorted order based on the x -coordinates of their centers. Let \mathcal{R} be a rectangular bounding box that contains the disks in D . Our algorithm to solve the REACHABILITY problem on penny graphs consists of four steps – (1) find the balanced separators; (2) create the auxiliary graph; (3) obtain the pseudo-separator; (4) solve the REACHABILITY.

Balanced Separators: In this step, the direction of the edges of G are not relevant. So, we simply consider the input at this step as a set of unit non-overlapping unit disks D . The main idea is to divide the rectangle \mathcal{R} into smaller rectangles such that each smaller rectangle contains at most $n^{1-\epsilon}$ unit disks, and the number of unit disks intersecting the boundary of any rectangle is at most $n^{1/2+\epsilon/2}$. Let l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 be the line segments of the bounding rectangle \mathcal{R} . In what follows, we describe our sweeping procedure. We discuss primarily in terms of vertical sweeping, and the the horizontal sweeping is done similarly.

Consider a vertical sweep line ℓ . We start with the leftmost disk and sweep until we find a balanced separator that is intersecting $O(\sqrt{m+n})$ many disks such that each half-plane separated by that line contains at most $4n/5$ disks. If we do not find any such vertical separator, then we would apply the same procedure also with a horizontal sweep line (say ℓ') sweeping from top to bottom.

From Theorem 2 in [7], we know that there exists an axis-parallel balanced separator. Therefore, we shall obtain a balanced separator by doing this procedure.

When we process a disk, we see it as an *event*, that is when the sweep line ℓ encounters a new disk or leaves an old disk. There are precisely $2n$ number of *events*. At each *event* k (for some $k \in [n]$), either the sweep line intersects a new disk d_i or leaves a disk d_j , for some $i, j \in [n]$. Note that, it is possible to test by using $\log n$ space, whether a disk d_i intersects the line ℓ . We maintain a counter c_ℓ corresponding to the sweep line ℓ . At each event k (for some $k \in [n]$), we determine the number of disks that intersect ℓ , by checking each disk that whether it is intersecting with ℓ or not. Then, when the next event happens, we increase the value of the counter by 1 if it intersects a new disk, or decrease by 1 otherwise. By using this procedure, we can find a separator line ℓ , that is a balanced separator. It is also clear that we can determine such a separator by using $\log n$ space. Now, once we find such a separator we only store the x -coordinate of the line ℓ on \mathcal{R} . Note that, it is possible to find the actual set of disks that form such a separator on the fly.

Let bl_1 be the balanced separator found after performing the above procedure. bl_1 divides the rectangle \mathcal{R} into two smaller rectangles, where each rectangle contains at most $4n/5$ disks. Then, in the next step we perform the same procedure on a rectangle containing more than $n^{1-\epsilon}$ many disks. This process is continued until there is no rectangle with more than $n^{1-\epsilon}$ many disks. Let bl_j be balanced separator obtained at the j -th step (for some $j \in [n]$), after performing the above procedure. We store the endpoints of bl_j on the corresponding bounding rectangle. See Figure 2 for an illustration.

We calculate the number of separators required to reach the termination point. From [7], we know that one $\sqrt{m+n}$ size separator would guarantee that on each side there are at most $\frac{4n}{5}$ disks. Since penny graphs is a subset of planar graphs, the total number of edges is at most $O(n)$. Now, at each step, we have obtained a *balanced separator* whenever it has satisfied the criteria. We need $O(n^\epsilon)$ many separators to have at most $O(n^{1-\epsilon})$ disks in each cell. To store these separators, we need to dedicate $O(n^\epsilon \log n)$ space.

The initial graph G is divided into $n^\epsilon \times n^\epsilon$ rectangles obtained from the above procedure. Let \mathcal{Z} be the set of all rectangles. Note that the number of vertices inside any particular rectangle R of \mathcal{Z} is of size $O(n^{1-\epsilon})$. The idea is to reduce the size of the graph by dropping the disks that are completely contained inside some rectangle and not touched or intersected by its boundary line. Though it reduces the size of the vertex set, we need to ensure that the REACHABILITY information is fully preserved. For that, we proceed to the next step and build an auxiliary graph.




Fig. 2. An illustration of the rectangular subdivision by using the balanced separators. The blue disks are the ones intersected by the balanced separator lines, and the red disks are contained inside the rectangles.

Auxiliary Graph: For a rectangle R , let G_R be the graph defined as follows. The vertex set of G_R is the set of all disks which intersect at least one of the boundaries of R . We add an edge from a vertex u to a vertex v in G_R iff there is a directed path from u to v which contains only the disks present inside the rectangle R . Let v_1 is an arbitrary disk and let $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ be the sets of disks intersecting the boundary of R in the anti-clockwise order. We first place the disk centers of the boundary while preserving - (1) the order of them on the boundary; (2) each vertex v_i is on the same side of the boundary as it was before (see Figure 3(b)). The edges of G_R are drawn in the following manner. If there is an edge between two vertices that are on different sides, then we give a straight line edge. Otherwise, we join them by a directed circular arc. Moreover, we ensure that there is a crossing between two edges (v_i, v_k) and (v_l, v_j) in the drawing if and only if there is an ordering on the boundary which is one of the followings - 1. $\{v_i \prec v_l \prec v_k \prec v_j\}$, 2. $\{v_j \prec v_l \prec v_k \prec v_i\}$, 3. $\{v_l \prec v_i \prec v_j \prec v_k\}$, 4. $\{v_l \prec v_i \prec v_j \prec v_k\}$. Clearly, there exists such a drawing as their arc edges can be drawn arbitrarily close to the boundary lines.

Now, by combining the graphs defined for each rectangle, we define the auxiliary graph $Aux_\epsilon(G)$ (for $0 < \epsilon < 1$). The vertex set of $Aux_\epsilon(G)$ is $\bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{Z}} V(G_R)$ and the edge set is $\bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{Z}} E(G_R)$. Notice that $Aux_\epsilon(G)$ might have parallel edges since there exists paths between vertices in two adjacent rectangles, and in that case we keep both of these edges in their respective rectangles. The total number of vertices in each cell is $O(n^{1-\epsilon})$ hence the total number of vertices in $Aux_\epsilon(G)$ is $O(n^{1/2+\epsilon/2})$. We point out that we do not store $Aux_\epsilon(G)$ explicitly, because that would require too much space. Instead, we would deal with each cell recursively, whenever the subroutine queries for an edge in that cell of $Aux_\epsilon(G)$. Now we prove the following property about the auxiliary graph.

Lemma 6. *Let G be a penny graph and $e = (v_i, v_j)$ and $e' = (v_k, v_l)$ be two edges in $Aux_\epsilon(G)$. If e and e' cross each other, then $Aux_\epsilon(G)$ also contains the edges (v_i, v_l) and (v_k, v_j) .*




Fig. 3. An illustration of the representation of the graph G_R , (a) the blue disks are the vertices of G_R intersecting the boundary of the rectangle (b) drawing of G_R .

Proof. Consider two edges $e = (v_i, v_j)$ and $e' = (v_k, v_l)$ be two edges in $Aux_\epsilon(G)$. From the definition, these edges corresponding to the directed paths in the input graph G . From the construction, we know that the ordering of the end vertices are one of the following - $\{v_i \prec v_l \prec v_k \prec v_j\}$, $\{v_j \prec v_l \prec v_k \prec v_i\}$, $\{v_l \prec v_i \prec v_j \prec v_k\}$, $\{v_j \prec v_l \prec v_i \prec v_k\}$, $\{v_l \prec v_i \prec v_j \prec v_k\}$. Now, we know that the corresponding directed paths is fully embedded inside the grid cell. If two directed path intersects in the Auxiliary graph (based on our definition) of an input penny graph, that is embedded inside a rectangle, then they must have one common vertex; see Figure 4. Otherwise, it will not admit a planar embedding. Hence, there must be directed path from v_i to v_l , and v_k to v_j . Thereby proving the lemma. \square




Fig. 4. An illustration of two directed paths inside a grid cell.

Pseudo-Separator: An essential property of a separator is that, for any two vertices u and v , a path between them must contain a vertex from the separator if u and v lie in two different components with respect to the separator. Imai et al. [20] used a separator construction to devise a space-efficient algorithm for planar graphs. Penny graphs is a special class of planar graphs. Therefore, the result of Imai et al. translates on penny graphs, however with space-complexity $O(n^{1/2} \log n)$.

We would also use a separator construction for $Aux_\epsilon(G)$. But, note that $Aux_\epsilon(G)$ is not a planar graph anymore. Therefore, we would use a special kind of separator that we call a *pseudo-separator*. This type of separator was previously used for grid-graphs by Jain and Tewari [21]. Note that, all grid-graphs are also realisable as unit disk graphs, however, unit disk graphs contains extra graph structures, e.g., arbitrary size cliques, stars, etc. The general idea of our approach is the following. Consider a vertex induced subgraph H of $Aux_\epsilon(G)$. We choose a maximal subset of edges such that H is a planar graph, therefore admits a planar embedding. Next, we triangulate this chosen sub-graph. We show that until this point each operation can be performed in log-space. Then, we use the algorithm of Imai et al. [20] to obtain a separator of the triangulated graph. In what follows, we describe these procedures in detail.

We first pick a maximal planar graph, denoted by $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$, of $Aux_\epsilon(G)$. The vertex set of $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ is same as the vertex set of $Aux_\epsilon(G)$. Now, for each rectangle, we index the vertices in ascending order anti-clockwise. For each rectangle R , an edge $e_l = (u_l, v_l)$ of G_R is added to $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ if there is no edge $e_k = (u_k, v_k)$ such that the ordering of the vertices is one of the following- $\{u_k \prec u_l \prec v_k \prec v_l\}$; $\{v_k \prec u_l \prec u_k \prec v_l\}$; $\{u_k \prec v_l \prec v_k \prec u_l\}$; $\{u_k \prec v_l \prec v_k \prec u_l\}$. In the following claim, we prove that, $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ indeed a maximal planar graph of $Aux_\epsilon(G)$.

Lemma 7. $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ is a maximal planar graph of $Aux_\epsilon(G)$.

Proof. First, note that $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ is a planar graph that comes from the construction. This is because for each rectangle R we have selected edges from G_R such that no two edges intersect each other. In order to show that this is maximal, we prove by contradiction. Assume that there exists an edge $e_l = (u_l, v_l)$ in $Aux_\epsilon(G)$ that is not chosen in $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$, and this edge does not intersect any edge in $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$. Let R^* be the rectangle that contains e_k . We pick the edge $e_b = (u_b, v_b)$ with largest width whose exactly one of the endpoints lie in between u_l, v_l in the total ordering of the boundary vertices (see Figure 5). From the definition of $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$, we know that there must be an edge.

Now, if this edge is a directed edge from u_b to v_b , and such an edge is not chosen in $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ because there is another edge $e_g = (u_g, v_g)$ such that u_g lying between u_b and u_l . Then, by applying Lemma 6 we can argue that there is an edge between u_g to v_b with larger width (i.e., for any two vertices u and v , the number of vertices encountered in the anti-clockwise order to reach from u to v). This contradicts the fact that the edge (u_b, v_b) has the largest width among those which intersects (u_l, v_l) . See Figure 5 for a detailed explanation. Moreover, based on the direction of the edges there are other uniform cases which we do not explicitly discuss here. \square

Next, we triangulate $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ by adding the boundary edges first. Then, for each rectangle, we consider a face of $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$, and add the edges to complete the triangulation by a similar procedure described in Lemma 2 from Section 2. Moreover, the direction of the edges is arbitrary. The triangulated




Fig. 5. An illustration of the proof of Lemma 7

graph is denoted by $\hat{H}(Aux_\epsilon(G))$. Note that this procedure can be done in log-space (See Lemma 2). Next, we will use a Lemma from [20] that is stated below.

Lemma 8 ([20]). *For each $\beta > 0$, there exists a polynomial time algorithm and $\tilde{O}(h^{1/2+\beta/2})$ space algorithm that takes a h -vertex planar graph P as input and outputs a set of vertices S , such that $|S|$ is $O(h^{1/2+\beta/2})$ and removal of S disconnects the graph into components of size $O(h^{1-\beta})$.*

Next, we construct the pseudo-separator $S_H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ by using the following steps. First, by Lemma 8 we find a set S of vertices in $\hat{H}(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ that divides it into components of size $O(n^{1-\beta})$. Each vertex and each edge of S is added to the vertex set and the edge set of $S_H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$, respectively. However, there is a small caveat to use Lemma 8 on $\hat{H}(Aux_\epsilon(G))$. In order to triangulate the graph, we have added edges that were not part of the auxiliary graph. Therefore, for each edge $e_k = (u_k, v_k)$ of the triangulation that is present in some rectangle \mathcal{R} , we consider a set of at most four edges of $Aux_\epsilon(G)$ that form a *shield* around e_k . Two edges that are starting from u_k ending at two vertices v_p, v'_p , where v_p and v'_p are the closest point to the left and closest point to the right of u_k , respectively, in the total ordering of the boundary vertices. Additionally, two edges that are starting from v_k ending at two vertices u_q, u'_q , where u_q and u'_q are the closest point to the right and the closest point to the left of v_k , respectively, in the total ordering of boundary vertices. See Figure 6(a) for a detailed understanding. Later, we argue that if these edges do not exist, and the corresponding triangulation edge is intersected by the cycle separator then there must be other edges chosen in the maximal planar graph intersecting that triangulation edge, and hence would give us a contradiction.

In order to prove that $S_H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ is indeed a pseudo-separator, we need a property of triangulated graphs from [22]. We know that a simple cycle in a planar embedding of a planar graph divides the plane into two parts. We call them two sides of the cycle.

Lemma 9 ([22]). *Let G be a triangulated planar graph and S be a subset of its vertices. For every pair of vertex u, v which belong to different components of $G \setminus S$, there exists a cycle in $G[S]$, such that u and v belong to different sides of this cycle.*

Next, we prove the lemma about pseudo-separator.

Lemma 10 (Pseudo-Separator Lemma). *Let G be a penny graph and $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ be a vertex induced subgraph of $Aux_\epsilon(G)$. The graph $S_H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ is a $h^{1-\beta}$ -pseudo-separator of $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$.*




Fig. 6. An illustration of the pseudo-separator. The red edge is an edge of the triangulation and part of the red cycle separator. The yellow edges are chosen to form the shield (a) when the proper shield exists (b) otherwise.

Proof. Let S be a set of vertices chosen from $\hat{H}(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ by using Lemma 8. The claim is that if two vertices u and v belongs to two different component in $\hat{H}(Aux_\epsilon(G)) \setminus S$ then a path between u and v in G either takes a vertex of $S_H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$ or crosses an edge of $S_H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$. Note that, due to Lemma 9, we know that u and v are on two different sides of a cycle of S . Thus, any edge drawn in the plane connecting u and v (not necessarily a straight line edge) would necessarily cross the cycle of S . If the path crosses non-triangulation edge or an edge which is shielded properly (see Figure 6(a)), we are done as the path would intersect an edge of $S_H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$. The other situation is when such a path intersects a triangulation edge. We prove by contradiction that this can not happen. Assume, that it does (see Figure 6(b)). Let e_r be the triangulation edge intersected by the edge e_b of the path from u to v . Now, since e_b not chosen in the maximal planar graph, means there exist other edges chosen in the $H(Aux_\epsilon(G))$. Let e_o and e'_o be two such edges with maximum width on either side of the triangulated edge (see the orange edges in Figure 6(b)). By Lemma 6 there exists an edge e_g from the tail of e_o to the head of e'_o (the green path in Figure 6(b)). Since this edge e_g also cross the triangulation edge e_r , it is not present in the maximal planar graph. Any edge that is present in maximal planar graph and crosses e_g contradicts the fact that e_o and e'_o are of maximum

width. There are other cases when either of e_o or e'_o is not present, but they can be handled similarly. We do not explicitly mention them here due to space constraint. \square

The Algorithm. Let H be a vertex induced subgraph of an auxiliary graph. Here, we first explain how to solve REACHABILITY in H . Initially, the graph H is the whole auxiliary graph, and we wish to find the REACHABILITY between the given two vertices s and t of H .

By using Lemma 10 we find a pseudo-separator S of the graph H . W.l.o.g., assume that s and t are both in S . The pseudo-separator divides the graph into components (say, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k). We maintain a set of vertices M that we call *marked* vertex set. We use an array of size at most $|S|$ to mark a set of vertices M_1 of the pseudo-separator. Additionally, for each edge of the pseudo-separator we have at most one associated vertex, and they form the set M_2 . Then, $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ is called a *marked* vertex set.

Throughout the algorithm, we maintain that if a vertex is marked, then there is a path from s to that vertex in the auxiliary graph. Initially, only the vertex s is marked. We then perform $|H|$ iterations. In each iteration, we update the set of marked vertices as follows:

Step 1. For every vertex of S , we mark it if there is a path from an already marked vertex to it such that the internal vertices of that path all belong to only one component C_i . We check this by recursively running our algorithm on the vertex induced subgraph of C_i .

Step 2. For each edge e of S , the algorithm sets the associated marked vertex to u if the following three conditions are satisfied: **(a)** There exists an edge $f = (u, v)$ which crosses e . **(b)** There exists a path from a marked vertex to u such that the internal vertices of that path all belong to only one component C_i . We check this by recursively running our algorithm on the vertex induced subgraph of C_i . **(c)** f is the closest such edge to u .

Finally, we output 'YES' if and only if t is marked at the end of these iterations. In what follows, we describe the correctness and the space-complexity analysis of the algorithm.

Correctness. Let P be a path from s to t in H . Suppose P passes through the components $C_{\sigma_1}, C_{\sigma_2}, \dots, C_{\sigma_l}$ in this order. By the definition of a pseudo-separator, the path can go from one component, say C_{σ_i} to the next component $C_{\sigma_{i+1}}$ in the following ways only:

Case 1: The path exits C_{σ_i} and enters $C_{\sigma_{i+1}}$ through a vertex w of the pseudo-separator.

Case 2: The path exits C_{σ_i} and enters $C_{\sigma_{i+1}}$ through through an edge $f = (u, v)$ whose tail is in C_{σ_i} and head is in $C_{\sigma_{i+1}}$. This edge will cross an edge $e = (x, y)$ of the pseudo-separator.

We see that after the i -th iteration, our algorithm would traverse the fragment of the path in the component C_{σ_i} and either mark in **Case 1** its endpoint or in **Case 2** a vertex u' such that the edge (u', v) exist. Thus, t would be marked

after l iterations if and only if there is a path from s to t in H . Since l can be at most $|H|$, these many iterations would suffice.

From the above discussion, we know how to solve REACHABILITY on the auxiliary graph with the desired space-bound. Now, to solve the problem on the input graph, we find the rectangular subdivision of the input graph. Then, we form the auxiliary graph by solving each rectangle recursively. Next, we solve the REACHABILITY problem on the auxiliary graph by following the above procedure. We have shown earlier that the reachability information of the input graph is fully preserved in the auxiliary graph. Hence, it is possible to report the solution of the input directed penny graph from the solution on the auxiliary graph.

Space-Complexity: The space complexity of our algorithm is dominated by the space required to store the *marked* vertices. Since there can be only $H^{1/2+\epsilon/2}$ such vertices, we need $O(H^{1/2+\epsilon/2} \log n)$ space. It is easy to see that for every $\epsilon' > 0$, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $O(|H|^{1/2+\epsilon/2} \log n) = O(|H|^{1/2+\epsilon'})$. Note that, for the input auxiliary graph H , our algorithm recurses on vertex induced subgraphs whose size is $O(|H|^{1-\epsilon})$. Hence, the depth of recursion is bounded by a constant. This would increase the space required by at most a constant factor. Since the number of vertices in the initial auxiliary graph was itself $O(n^{1/2+\epsilon/2})$, we get the desired space bound.

Theorem 3. *For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a polynomial time algorithm that can solve REACHABILITY in an n vertex directed penny graph, using $O(n^{1/4+\epsilon})$ space.*

4 Chordal Graphs

In this section, we study the REACHABILITY problem on chordal graphs, and design space-efficient algorithm. We adopt the algorithm of Gilbert *et al.* [17] for *Vertex Separator* and analyze to obtain the desired space-bound. In [22], it was noted that REACHABILITY on chordal graphs can be solved in space-efficient manner, however, without any formal explanation. Here, we provide a detailed analysis of this claim.

A graph is said to be chordal if every cycle of length at least four has a *chord*, which is an edge joining two vertices that are not adjacent on the cycle. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a chordal graph. We refer the reader to the works of Dirac [13], Fulkerson and Gross [15], and Rose, Tarjan, and Lueker [26–28] for the basic theory on chordal graphs. For the sake of completeness, We will state some of the known results that are relevant to us. In the literature, Chordal graphs are also known as *triangulated graphs*, *monotone transitive graphs*, *rigid circuit graphs*, *perfect elimination graphs*.

Finding separator. Gilbert et al. [17] presented $O(mn)$ -time algorithm for finding *Vertex Separator* on chordal graphs. Furthermore, a better algorithm of time complexity $O(m)$ is also shown. We design a space-efficient algorithm for

Vertex separator that uses $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ space and polynomial time. We adopt the $O(mn)$ -time algorithm from [17], and analyze to provide the desired space-bound. In order to do that, we allow time complexity that is much larger than $O(mn)$, but, remains polynomial in n . We proceed with the following lemma. A similar property is somewhat implicitly used by [17].

Lemma 11. *Let G be a chordal graph and let C be a clique. Let A be the largest component in $G \setminus C$. Then, either of the following two statements is true:*

- *There exists a vertex v in C which is not adjacent to any vertex in A .*
- *There exists a vertex u in A which is adjacent to every vertex in C .*

We need several definitions and structural properties of the Chordal graphs in order to prove this Lemma. However, some of the properties are well-known results for chordal graphs. We proceed with the following definitions.

Definition 1. *Let G be a graph and v be a vertex of G . The deficiency of v , denoted by $D(v)$ is defined as follows: $D(v) = \{\{u_1, u_2\} \mid \{u_1, v\} \in E(G), \{u_2, v\} \in E(G) \text{ and } \{u_1, u_2\} \notin E(G)\}$*

Definition 2. *Let G be a graph and v be a vertex of G . We define the graph G_v as follows: $G_v = (V(G) \setminus \{v\}, E(G[V(G) \setminus \{v\}]) \cup D(v))$. We say that the graph G_v is formed by eliminating v from G .*

Definition 3. *When a sequence of vertices is eliminated from a graph, the edges in the deficiencies that are added are called fill-in edges. A simplicial vertex of a graph is a vertex that has a deficiency of 0.*

We state the following known facts about the chordal graphs. The following Lemmas are due to [13, 15, 26–28]. For the sake of completion, we state them here in the form that we will be using and provide their proofs.

Lemma 12. *Let G be a chordal graph and a and b be two vertices in $V(G)$. Let S be a set of vertices of G such that: 1) a and b are in different components of the graph $G \setminus S$; 2) there exists no proper subset $S' \subseteq S$ such that vertices a, b are in different components of the graph $G \setminus S'$. If these conditions hold, then the set S forms a clique in G .*

Proof. Let C_a and C_b be the components in $G \setminus S$ containing a and b , respectively. Note that, each vertex $s \in S$ is adjacent to some vertices in C_a , and some vertices in C_b . Consider two vertices $x, y \in S$. Let \mathcal{P}_a be the shortest path between x and y in $G[C_a \cup \{x, y\}]$. Similarly, let \mathcal{P}_b be the shortest path between x and y in $G[C_b \cup \{x, y\}]$. The paths \mathcal{P}_a and \mathcal{P}_b together forms a cycle. Hence, there must be an edge between x and y , since it is the only *chord* that is possible. This argument holds for any pair of vertices in S . This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 13. *Let G be a chordal graphs and let C be any clique of G . Then, either G is a complete graph or there is a vertex $v \in G \setminus C$ that is simplicial.*

Proof. We prove this by induction on $|G|$. The base case $|G| = 1$ is trivial. Let us assume that the Lemma holds for all G such that $|G| \leq k$, for some $k \in [n]$. Now, G be a graph such that $|G| = k + 1$. If G is not a complete graph then, let a, b be two vertices of G , that are not adjacent. Due to Lemma 12, there exists a set S that separates a and b . Let C_a, C_b , be the corresponding components G containing a and b , respectively. Note that, the vertices in $C \setminus S$ should be in one component. W.l.o.g., assume that they belong to C_a . Consider the graph $G_b = G[S \cup C_b]$. We have $|G_b| \leq k$. Hence, by induction, either G_b is a clique or there is a vertex $u \notin S$, that is *simplicial* in G_b . In either of the cases, there exists a vertex $u \notin S$ that is simplicial in G_b , since G_b must contain at least one vertex not in S . Note, u is a simplicial vertex in G , since u is not adjacent to a vertex in any component other than C_b . This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2. *Let G be a chordal graph and C be a clique. Let A be the largest component in $G \setminus C$. If B is a non-empty subset of A , then B contains a vertex whose neighbours in $G[B \cup C]$ forms a clique.*

Lemma 14 ([17]). *Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k be an elimination ordering for a graph G . Let v and w be nonadjacent vertices of G . Then $\{v, w\}$ is a fill-in edge if and only if there is a path from v to w consisting of vertices that are eliminated earlier than both v and w .*

Now, we have the ingredients to prove the main Lemma 11.

Proof. Let G be a chordal graph, and let C be a clique. Let A be the largest component in $G \setminus C$. Let us assume that each vertex $v \in C$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in A . We will show that under this assumption, there exists a vertex u in A that is adjacent to each vertex in C , thereby proving the Lemma.

It is known that a vertex induced subgraph of a chordal graph is also a chordal graph. Hence, the subgraph $G_0 := G[A \cup C]$ is a chordal graph. Due to Lemma 13, we know that there is a vertex $u_0 \in G_0 \setminus C$, that is simplicial, whose neighbours form a clique. Let $G_1 := G[(A \cup C) \setminus \{u_0\}]$. Similarly, let u_1 be a vertex in $G_1 \setminus C$ that is simplicial. In general, let u_i be a vertex in $G_i \setminus C$ whose neighbours forms a clique, where G_i is defined as $G[(A \cup C) \setminus \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}\}]$. Let k be an integer such that $G_{k+1} = G[C]$. We claim that u_k is adjacent to every vertex of C .

Consider a vertex x in C . Since A is connected and by our assumption, x is adjacent to a vertex of A , there is a path from x to u_k in $G[A \cup C]$ that uses only vertices of A . Lemma 14 says that if $\{x, u_k\}$ is not an edge of $G[A \cup C]$, then it is a fill-in edge. But a perfect elimination ordering has no fill-in, so x is adjacent to u_k in $G[A \cup C]$. Thus u_k is adjacent to every vertex of C . This completes the proof. \square

Given the above lemma, the algorithm for finding a separator in a chordal graph is rather straightforward; as also observed by [17]. The algorithm is described in the following Procedure 1.

The correctness of the algorithm directly comes from Lemma 11. In what follows, we show that this algorithm uses $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ -space.

Input: A chordal graph G
Output: A separator S of G of size \sqrt{m}

```

1  $S \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;
2 while there exists a component  $A$  of  $G \setminus S$  that has weight more than  $n/2$  do
3   while there exists a vertex  $x$  of  $S$  that is adjacent to no vertex of  $A$  do
4      $S \leftarrow S \setminus \{x\}$ ;
5   end
6    $v \leftarrow$  a vertex of  $A$  adjacent to every vertex of  $S$ ;
7    $S \leftarrow S \cup \{v\}$ ;
8 end
9 Return  $S$ ;
```

Algorithm 1: Vertex Separator in Chordal Graphs

Space-Complexity: To see that the above algorithm can be implemented in $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ -space and polynomial time, we first recall that the set S (separator) always forms a clique in the graph. Since the size of a clique is upper-bounded by $m^{1/2}$, it will require at-most $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ space to store the clique.

Now, in order to implement the algorithm, we need to calculate the weights of each of the connected component of $G \setminus S$. However, we cannot afford to store these components explicitly, since the number of vertices present in these components could be large. Instead, we identify a component with the lowest-index vertex present in it. We call the lowest-index vertex a *marker* of the component. In order to check that whether a vertex v is a *marker*, we run Reingold’s undirected REACHABILITY algorithm to see if it is not connected with any vertex u in $G \setminus S$ such that the index of u is lower than v . Also, to know the size of the connected component for a *marker* vertex v , we use Reingold’s algorithm [25] to count the number of vertices that are connected to it. Therefore it is possible to count the weight of any component of $G \setminus S$ in the desired space-bound. We conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Given a chordal graph $G = (V, E)$, there exists an algorithm that computes a \sqrt{m} separator in polynomial time by using $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ -space.*

Moreover, from Theorem 4 and Theorem 1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *There exists an algorithm that solves the REACHABILITY problem for chordal graphs by using $O(m^{1/2} \log n)$ -space and polynomial time.*

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Paz Carmi and Martin Nöllenburg for interesting discussions during the early stages of the research.

References

1. Arnborg, S., Proskurowski, A.: Linear time algorithms for np-hard problems restricted to partial k-trees. Discrete applied mathematics **23**(1), 11–24 (1989)

2. Arora, S., Barak, B.: Computational complexity: a modern approach. Cambridge University Press (2009)
3. Asano, T., Doerr, B.: Memory-constrained algorithms for shortest path problem. In: CCCG (2011)
4. Asano, T., Kirkpatrick, D., Nakagawa, K., Watanabe, O.: $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$ -space and polynomial-time algorithm for planar directed graph reachability. In: International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science. pp. 45–56. Springer (2014)
5. Banyassady, B., Korman, M., Mulzer, W.: Geometric algorithms with limited workspace: A survey. CoRR **abs/1806.05868** (2018), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1806.05868>
6. Barnes, G., Buss, J.F., Ruzzo, W.L., Schieber, B.: A sublinear space, polynomial time algorithm for directed st connectivity. SIAM Journal on Computing **27**(5), 1273–1282 (1998)
7. Carmi, P., Chiu, M., Katz, M.J., Korman, M., Okamoto, Y., van Renssen, A., Roeloffzen, M., Shiitada, T., Smorodinsky, S.: Balanced line separators of unit disk graphs. Comput. Geom. **86** (2020)
8. Cerioli, M.R., Faria, L., Ferreira, T.O., Protti, F.: A note on maximum independent sets and minimum clique partitions in unit disk graphs and penny graphs: complexity and approximation. RAIRO-Theoretical Informatics and Applications **45**(3), 331–346 (2011)
9. Chakraborty, D., Pavan, A., Tewari, R., Vinodchandran, N.V., Yang, L.F.: New time-space upperbounds for directed reachability in high-genus and h-minor-free graphs. In: Raman, V., Suresh, S.P. (eds.) 34th International Conference on Foundation of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science, FSTTCS 2014, December 15-17, 2014, New Delhi, India. LIPIcs, vol. 29, pp. 585–595. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik (2014)
10. Chakraborty, D., Tewari, R.: An $o(n^\epsilon)$ space and polynomial time algorithm for reachability in directed layered planar graphs. ACM Trans. Comput. Theory **9**(4), 19:1–19:11 (2018)
11. Chaplick, S., Jelínek, V., Kratochvíl, J., Vyskočil, T.: Bend-bounded path intersection graphs: Sausages, noodles, and waffles on a grill. In: International Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science. pp. 274–285. Springer (2012)
12. Clark, B.N., Colbourn, C.J., Johnson, D.S.: Unit disk graphs. In: Annals of Discrete Mathematics, vol. 48, pp. 165–177. Elsevier (1991)
13. Dirac, G.A.: On rigid circuit graphs. In: Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg. vol. 25, pp. 71–76. Springer (1961)
14. Fox, J., Pach, J.: Separator theorems and turán-type results for planar intersection graphs. Advances in Mathematics **219**(3), 1070–1080 (2008)
15. Fulkerson, D., Gross, O.: Incidence matrices and interval graphs. Pacific journal of mathematics **15**(3), 835–855 (1965)
16. Gavril, F.: The intersection graphs of subtrees in trees are exactly the chordal graphs. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **16**(1), 47–56 (1974)
17. Gilbert, J.R., Rose, D.J., Edenbrandt, A.: A separator theorem for chordal graphs. SIAM Journal on Algebraic Discrete Methods **5**(3), 306–313 (1984)
18. Gupta, C., Sharma, V.R., Tewari, R.: Reachability in $o(\log n)$ genus graphs is in unambiguous logspace. In: 36th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2019). Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik (2019)

19. Imai, H., Asano, T.: Finding the connected components and a maximum clique of an intersection graph of rectangles in the plane. *Journal of Algorithms* **4**(4), 310–323 (1983)
20. Imai, T., Nakagawa, K., Pavan, A., Vinodchandran, N.V., Watanabe, O.: An $o(n^{1/2} + \sum)$ -space and polynomial-time algorithm for directed planar reachability. In: *Proceedings of the 28th Conference on Computational Complexity, CCC 2013*, K.lo Alto, California, USA, 5-7 June, 2013. pp. 277–286. IEEE Computer Society (2013)
21. Jain, R., Tewari, R.: An $o(n^{(1/4+\epsilon)})$ space and polynomial algorithm for grid graph reachability. In: Chattopadhyay, A., Gustin, P. (eds.) *39th IARCS Annual Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science, FSTTCS 2019*, December 11-13, 2019, Bombay, India. LIPIcs, vol. 150, pp. 19:1–19:14. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik (2019)
22. Jain, R., Tewari, R.: Reachability in high treewidth graphs. In: Lu, P., Zhang, G. (eds.) *30th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation, ISAAC 2019*, December 8-11, 2019, Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, Shanghai, China. LIPIcs, vol. 149, pp. 12:1–12:14. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik (2019)
23. Lewis, H.R., Papadimitriou, C.H.: Symmetric space-bounded computation. *Theoretical Computer Science* **19**(2), 161–187 (1982)
24. Lipton, R.J., Tarjan, R.E.: Application of a planar separator theorem. In: *18th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, Providence, Rhode Island, USA, 31 October - 1 November 1977. pp. 162–170. IEEE Computer Society (1977)
25. Reingold, O.: Undirected connectivity in log-space. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)* **55**(4), 1–24 (2008)
26. Rose, D.J.: Triangulated graphs and the elimination process. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **32**(3), 597–609 (1970)
27. Rose, D.J.: A graph-theoretic study of the numerical solution of sparse positive definite systems of linear equations. In: *Graph theory and computing*, pp. 183–217. Elsevier (1972)
28. Rose, D.J., Tarjan, R.E., Lueker, G.S.: Algorithmic aspects of vertex elimination on graphs. *SIAM Journal on computing* **5**(2), 266–283 (1976)
29. Savitch, W.J.: Relationships between nondeterministic and deterministic tape complexities. *Journal of computer and system sciences* **4**(2), 177–192 (1970)
30. Wigderson, A.: The complexity of graph connectivity. In: *International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science*. pp. 112–132. Springer (1992)