

# From Gen Z, Millennials, to Babyboomers: Portraits of Working from Home during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Ziyu Xiong, Pin Li, Hanjia Lyu, Jiebo Luo

University of Rochester  
{zxiong7, pin.li, hlyu5}@ur.rochester.edu, jluo@cs.rochester.edu

## Abstract

Since March 2020, companies nationwide have started work from home (WFH) due to the rapid increase of COVID-19 confirmed cases in an attempt to help prevent the coronavirus from spreading and rescue the economy from the pandemic. Many organizations have conducted surveys to understand people's opinions towards WFH. However, due to the limited sample size in surveys and the dynamic topics over time, we instead conduct a large-scale social media study using Twitter data to portrait different groups who have positive/negative opinions about WFH. We perform an ordinary least square regression to investigate the relationship between the sentiment about WFH and user characteristics including gender, age, ethnicity, median household income, and population density. To better understand public opinion, we use latent Dirichlet allocation to extract topics and discover how tweet contents relate to people's attitude. These findings provide evidence that sentiment about WFH varies across user characteristics. Furthermore, content analysis sheds light on the nuanced differences of sentiment and reveal disparities relate to WFH.

## Introduction

COVID-19, also known as the coronavirus, first reported in China and then spread to the whole world, has caused 22.3 million confirmed cases and more than 373 thousand deaths in the United States by January 11, 2021<sup>1</sup>. To help prevent the virus from spreading and also salvage the economy, companies and schools nationwide have started work/study from home. According to a Gartner survey of 880 global HR executives on March 17, 2020<sup>2</sup>, almost 88% organizations have encouraged or required employees to work from home. Barro, Bloom, and Davis (2020) have found that working from home might stick even after the pandemic ends. Concerns may arise when it comes to productivity (Feng and Savani 2020), willingness (Palumbo 2020), and future trends (Barro, Bloom, and Davis 2020; Chung et al. 2020) regarding work/study from home.

In this study, we intend to understand public opinions on work from home using large-scale social media data. Twitter has been a platform for people, especially in the United States, to express their feelings about what is happening

around them. In contrast, the Boston Consulting Group used survey data to study employees' opinions regarding COVID-19 work from home (Dahik et al. 2020). However, Twitter data allows an opportunity for conducting a more timely study on a larger scale. We acquire the data with an authorized Twitter developer account using Tweepy, a Python library for accessing the Twitter API. This ensures the reliability by acquiring first-hand and sufficient data when conducting the research.

In this paper, we also infer user demographic information using Twitter user information. This is of importance since we can deep dive into the characteristics of those who are more pro-WFH. For example, when we look into gender, we understand that historically mothers have been mostly responsible for caring children (Thistle 2006). Therefore, we need the gender information to check if there is any difference in sentiment towards working from home between female and male, as working from home would allow female employees to allocate more time accompanying their children.

Our goal is to understand the U.S. public opinions on working from home during the COVID-19 pandemic. In particular, we focus on the following research questions:

- **RQ1:** Who are more likely to tweet about working from home?
- **RQ2:** How does the sentiment of working from home vary across user demographics?
- **RQ3:** When discussing working from home, what do Twitter users mainly talk about? How does the content correlate with the sentiment of working from home?

To summarize, in a large-scale dataset of publicly available Twitter posts concerning working from home ranging from April 10, 2020 to April 22, 2020, we find that females and older people are more likely to tweet about working from home. After performing the ordinary least square regression, we confirm that sentiment of working from home vary across user characteristics. In particular, females tend to be more positive about working from home. People in their 30s are more positive towards WFH than other age groups. People from urban areas are more pro-WFH. High-income people are more likely to have positive opinions about working from home.

<sup>1</sup>CDC COVID Data Tracker

<sup>2</sup><https://www.gartner.com/en/newsroom/press-releases/2020-03-19-gartner-hr-survey-reveals-88-of-organizations-have-e>

These nuanced differences are supported by a more fine-grained topic analysis. At a higher level, we find that negative sentiment about WFH roughly corresponds to the discussion of unemployment issues. However, people express more positive sentiment when talking about WFH experience. Furthermore, topic distributions vary across different user groups.

### Related Work

Working from home has been a controversial issue which merits a closer look. An investigation shows that WFH might incur side-effect such as a negative impact on work-life balance (Palumbo 2020). This would lead to negative opinions towards WFH when people tweet about it. Other research deep dive into specific categories. A survey of Lithuania’s employees shows that female employees appreciate more than male employees, because the female can enjoy a healthier lifestyle while male employees worry about career constraints (Raišienė et al. 2020). However, another survey conducted in the United States shows “a gender gap in perceived work productivity”: Before WFH, female and male employees report the same level of self-rated work productivity. After shifting to WFH, males perform with better productivity than the female employees (Feng and Savani 2020). As for age, people in their 40s have more negative opinions on WFH because the unfamiliarity with teleworking. People aged 30-39 have the most positive opinions because they can enjoy time with family and they are already used to new technologies for teleworking (Raišienė et al. 2020). Previous studies (Raišienė et al. 2020; Bick, Blandin, and Mertens 2020; Barrero, Bloom, and Davis 2020) also show that opinions concerning working from home vary across different socioeconomic groups. A similar social media study of public sentiment on working from home has been conducted in the U.K. (Carroll, Mostafa, and Thorne 2020). Results show that in the U.K., more than 70% tweets concerning working from home have positive sentiment and the main topics include traffic, drink and e-Commerce.

Similar approaches have been implemented by researchers mining Twitter posts with natural language processing on their attitudes on face masks (Yeung, Lai, and Luo 2020), using the Valence Aware Dictionary and Sentiment Reasoner (VADER) model (Hutto and Gilbert 2014) to perform sentiment analysis. Moreover, Twitter data have been used to study many different aspects of COVID-19, such as mining overall public perception towards COVID-19 (Boon-Itt and Skunkan 2020), college students’ attitudes on the pandemic (Duong et al. 2020), people’s attitude on potential COVID-19 vaccines (Lyu et al. 2020), pregnant women sentiment analysis during quarantine (Talbot, Charon, and Konkle 2021), as well as monitoring depression trend on Twitter during the COVID-19 pandemic (Zhang et al. 2020). They all use VADER for sentiment analysis and most of them include time series analysis. In addition, we follow the practice of using LDA, as known as the Latent Dirichlet analysis (Blei, Ng, and Jordan 2003), to identify topics among large text corpus. The M3-inference Model is used by Yeung, Lai, and Luo (2020) and Zhang et al. (2020) to portrait different demographic groups.

## Methods

In this section, we summarize the data collection process and the methods we apply in the analyses. To address **RQ1** and **RQ2**, we discuss how we infer user characteristics and the sentiment in **Feature Inference**. To investigate **RQ3**, we describe how we extract the topics of tweets in **Topic Modeling**.

### Data Collection

We collect related English tweets through Tweepy stream API using keywords and hashtags filtering. The filter keywords and hashtags are “WFH”, “workfromhome”, “work from home”, “layoff”, “furlough”, “jobless”, “#wfh”, “#workingfromhome”. 1,906,416 unique tweets with 23 attributes posted by 1,119,251 unique Twitter users ranging from April 5, 2020 to April 26, 2020 are collected. We attempt to infer the gender, age, ethnicity of the Twitter users, extract the population density of the location, and estimate the sentiment of the tweets. In the end, 58,345 unique Twitter users with all features are included in the dataset.

### Feature Inference

**Sentiment.** A normalized, weighted composite score is calculated for each tweet using VADER (Valence Aware Dictionary for sEntiment Reasoning) (Hutto and Gilbert 2014) to measure the sentiment. The score ranges from -1 (most negative) to +1 (most positive). Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics of the sentiment score.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the sentiment score.

mean	0.119
std	0.504
min	-0.984
25%	-0.296
50%	0.000
75%	0.540
max	0.994

**Age and gender.** We apply the M3-inference model (Wang et al. 2019) to infer the gender and age of each Twitter user using profile name, user name (screen name), and profile description. Age is binned into four groups:  $\leq 18$ ,  $19 - 29$ ,  $30 - 39$ ,  $\geq 40$ . The gender distribution of Twitter users is biased on males around 71.8% (Burger et al. 2011). A similar pattern is also observed in our dataset, where 60.2% are male, and 39.8% are female. With respect to age, 40.5% of the users in our dataset are older than 40 years old, 35.0% are between 30 to 39 years old, 15.4% are between 19 to 29 years old, and the rest are younger than 19 years old.

**Ethnicity.** To estimate the ethnicity of the Twitter users, we apply the Ethnicolr API<sup>3</sup> which makes inference based on the last name and first name or just the last name of the Twitter user (Sood and Laohaprapanon 2018). In our work,

<sup>3</sup><https://pypi.org/project/ethnicolr/>

we remove emoji icons, hyphens, unrelated contents and special characters to extract the last names and apply “census.In” to infer the ethnicity which contains *White*, *Black or African American*, *Asian/Pacific Islander*, *American Indian/Alaskan Native*, and *Hispanic*.

In our dataset, *White* is predominant over other categories with 83.4%, while according to the U.S. Census Bureau (U.S. Census Bureau 2019), *White* constitutes 60.1% of the U.S. population; 7.0% are *Asian/Pacific Islander*, while *Asian/Pacific Islander* constitutes 6.1% in the United States; 6.8% are *Hispanic*, while 18.5% of the U.S. population are *Hispanic*; 2.6% are *Black or African American*, while 13.4% of the U.S. population are *Black or African American*; *American Indian/Alaska Native* only constitutes 0.3%. Interestingly, the percentages of *White* and *Asian/Pacific Islander* are much higher than those in the general population, which could be related to the labor force distributions of these two groups. In 2018, 54% of employed *Asian* and 41% of employed *White*, compared with 31% of employed *Black or African American* and 22% of employed *Hispanic* worked in management, professional, and related occupations (STATISTICS, US BUREAU OF LABOR 2019a) that can be most likely done at home (Dingel and Neiman 2020). Therefore, it is not surprising that there are more *White* and *Asian/Pacific Islander* in our dataset due to the disparities in the occupations.

**Population density.** USzipcode SearchEngine is applied to extract the population density of each user’s location that is self-reported by the Twitter user in the profile information. The population density is categorized into urban (greater than 3,000), suburban (1,000-3,000) and rural (lower than 1,000). In the end, 63.6% are urban, 15.5% are suburban and the rest are rural. The majority of the users of our dataset are from urban area which is consistent with the fact that 83% of U.S. population lived in urban area (urb 2020), however, there are proportionally fewer urban users in our dataset than in the U.S. population.

**Income.** To understand the relationship between people’s attitude towards working from home and the gap between rich and poor at users’ locations, we retrieve regional median income from 2019 American Community Survey (ACS). Census Application Programming Interface (API) tools are used to extract median income with an input of city-level user location. The descriptive statistics are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Descriptive statistics of the regional median income.

mean	33,079
std	10,070
min	3,803
25%	27,623
50%	31,406
75%	35,925
max	121,797

## Topic Modeling

We use Latent Dirichlet Allocation (Blei, Ng, and Jordan 2003) to extract topics from the tweets. In our study, we use the stop words package from NLTK library, extended with topic related words (e.g., “work”, “home”). To extract the most relevant topics, we only collect nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs lemmas. We tune the hyperparameters by nested looping topic numbers,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . In the end, we choose  $num\_topics=10$ ,  $alpha="asymmetric"$ ,  $eta=0.31$ , with a coherence score  $C_v = 0.372$ .

## Sentiment Analysis

In the previous section, we find that when referring to working from home, Twitter users are slightly positive. In this section, we attempt to investigate the relationship between user characteristics and the sentiment of discussions about working from home. We perform an ordinary least square regression on the dataset ( $n = 58,345$ ). Descriptive statistics and bi-variate correlations are shown in Table 3. Table 4 summarizes the result of the ordinary least squares regression.

**Females tend to be more positive about working from home.** Males are significantly more negative about working from home than females ( $B = -0.03$ ,  $SE = 0.00$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $95\%CI = [-0.04, -0.02]$ ). The average sentiment score of males/females is shown in Figure 1. This is consistent with the remote work survey report by Fast Company (TENNEN-ZAPIER 2020). A more positive sentiment observed in females could be due to the change in working styles (TENNEN-ZAPIER 2020) and fewer work hours compared to male (Collins et al. 2020). Previous survey indicates that females favor WFH from a healthier lifestyle perspective (Raišienė et al. 2020).




Figure 1: Average sentiment scores of gender groups.

**People in their 30s are more positive towards WFH than other age groups.** Age is another perspective. Compared to people aged 40 and more, people aged 0-18 are significantly more negative about working from home ( $B =$

Table 3: Descriptive statistics and the bi-variate correlations. Income is normalized by MinMaxScaler.

Variables	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1 Gender(0 = female, 1 = male)	0.11	0.50										
2 Age <= 18 (0 = No, 1 = Yes)	0.08	0.49	-.04									
3 Age 19-29 (0 = No, 1 = Yes)	0.10	0.48	-.19	-.14								
4 Age 30-39 (0 = No, 1 = Yes)	0.14	-0.50	.02	-.23	-.31							
5 Black or African American (0 = No, 1 = Yes)	0.14	0.50	.01	.01**	.00	-.02						
6 Asian/Pacific Islander (0 = No, 1 = Yes)	0.12	0.49	.00	.07	.02	-.00	-.05					
8 American Indian/Alaska Native. (0 = No, 1 = Yes)	0.11	0.48	.02	.02	.00	-.01**	-.01*	-.01**				
7 Hispanic (0 = No, 1 = Yes)	0.11	0.51	-.01**	.03	.06	.02**	-.04	-.07	-.01			
9 Income	0.25	0.09	.02	-.03	-.05	-.01*	-.01*	.03	.00	-.02		
10 Urban (0 = No, 1 = Yes)	0.64	0.48	-.02	.02	.03	.03	-.00	.05	.00	.04	.07	
11 Suburban (0 = No, 1 = Yes)	0.16	0.36	.01**	-.02	-.02**	-.01**	.01**	-.02	.00	-.02**	.08	-.57

Note. \*  $p < 0.05$ . \*\*  $p < 0.01$ .

Table 4: Ordinary least squares regression outputs for public opinion on Working From Home against demographics and other variables of interest.

Predictor	Sentiment score		
	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	95% CI
Intercept	0.091***	0.008	(0.075, 0.107)
Gender (0=Female, 1=Male)	-0.032***	0.004	(-0.041, -0.024)
Age <= 18 (0=No, 1=Yes)	-0.045***	0.008	(-0.060, 0.030)
Age 19-29 (0=No, 1=Yes)	-0.023***	0.006	(-0.036, -0.011)
Age 30-39 (0=No, 1=Yes)	0.020***	0.005	(0.010, 0.029)
<i>Black or African American</i> (0=No, 1=Yes)	0.021	0.013	(-0.005, 0.046)
<i>Asian/Pacific Islander</i> (0=No, 1=Yes)	-0.002	0.008	(-0.018, 0.014)
<i>American Indian/Alaska Native</i> (0=No, 1=Yes)	0.006	0.041	(-0.074, 0.085)
<i>Hispanic</i> (0=No, 1=Yes)	-0.011	0.008	(-0.028, 0.005)
Income	0.137***	0.025	(0.088, 0.186)
Urban (0=No, 1=Yes)	0.020***	0.005	(0.010, 0.031)
Suburban	0.013	0.007	(-0.001, 0.027)
F-statistic		17.63***	
$R^2$		0.003	
Adjusted $R^2$		0.003	
Sample size		58,345	

Note. \*  $p < 0.05$ . \*\*  $p < 0.01$ . \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ .

$-0.05, SE = 0.01, p < .001, 95\%CI = [-0.06, -0.03]$ ), and people aged 20-29 are also significantly more negative ( $B = -0.02, SE = 0.01, p < .001, 95\%CI = [-0.04, -0.01]$ ), but people aged 30-39 are significantly more positive ( $B = 0.02, SE = 0.01, p < .001, 95\%CI = [0.01, 0.0129]$ ). The average sentiment score of each age group is shown in Figure 2. This is consistent with the survey result conducted by Hubblehq.com (Watkins 2020) that Gen Z (people at the point of this report aging from 8-23) are more pro-office than Millennials (24-39) and Babyboomers (40+) where more Babyboomers hold a neutral opinion than others. Further details about the topics will be discussed in the following section. It also shows the same pattern as the survey conducted in Lithuania (Raišienė et al. 2020).




Figure 2: Average sentiment scores of age groups.

**People from urban areas are more pro-WFH than those from suburban areas and those from rural areas.** Compared to people from rural areas, we do not find sufficient evidence to conclude that people from the suburban areas are more positive about working from home, but people from urban areas are significantly more positive ( $B = 0.02, SE = 0.01, p < .001, 95\%CI = [0.01, 0.03]$ ). The average sentiment scores of urban/suburban/rural are shown in Figure 3. This would make sense because people from the populated area would be more worried about working on-site, since there were more cases in the urban areas than suburban and rural areas (Duca et al. 2020). Moreover, large company especially the ones in urban areas have technical support for working from home and remote job positions (Bach 2020).

**People from higher-income areas are more likely to have pro-WFH opinions than the people from lower-income areas.** Income is significantly correlated with the sentiment about working from home ( $B = 0.14, SE = 0.03, p < .001, 95\%CI = [0.09, 0.19]$ ). This aligns with our findings from people from urban areas would be more pro-WFH, since the regional median income would be higher in big cities (Duffin 2020). This is also in line with the findings of




Figure 3: Average sentiment scores of urban/suburban/rural.

Barrero, Bloom, and Davis (2020) that high-income workers, especially, enjoy the perks of working from home.

We conduct an ordinary least square regression to investigate the relationship between the user characteristics and the sentiment referring to working from home. Our findings confirm the predictive effects of the user characteristics on the sentiment. Females tend to be more positive about working from home. People in their 30s are more positive. People from urban areas are more pro-WFH. High-income people tend to be more pro-WFH. As for ethnicity, there is no sufficient evidence to conclude that the sentiment varies across ethnicity groups according to our dataset.

## Topic Analysis

Next, we attempt to capture what Twitter users mainly talk about when they refer to working from home. More specifically, we investigate how the contents correlate with the sentiment of working from home. Table 5 shows the 10 topics extracted by LDA model. We assign each topic a title on the basis of the top 10 keywords.

Topic 1 (Quarantine) contains the keywords: “pandemic”, “force” and “stay”, and it constitutes 15.4% of the total tweets. In April, there were five days that quarantine-related topics were trended on Twitter daily top 15 topics<sup>4</sup>. Topic 2 (COVID) constitutes 15.3% of the total tweets we collect, which is also a large amount, and COVID-related topics have been trended on Twitter for five days. Topic 3 (Work) contains the keywords “office” and “business”, most of the tweets in this topic are about the daily routine of work. Topic 4 (Home) contains the keywords “dress” and “watch”, many of the tweets in this topic are about sharing life during working, what they are dressing, what movie they are watching at home, etc. Topic 5 (Government and Trump) contains the keywords “government”, “trump”, “economy” and “state”. Government and Trump related topic has been trended on Twitter top 15 for more than 10 days but many of them are not related to our study, thus there are only 8.9% of the total tweets talking about this topic. Topic 6 (Remote) con-

<sup>4</sup><https://us.trend-calendar.com/>

Table 5: Titles and the top 10 keywords of the topics extracted by LDA.

Topic	Topic Title	Topic Keywords
1	Quarantine	force, stay, people, pandemic, go, way, day, think, many, also
2	COVID	covid, people, let, come, still, take, go, back, day, today
3	Work	office, back, year, business, must, well, could, go, instead, go
4	Home	dress, watch, adult, go, get, enough, first, right, full, say
5	Government and Trump	government, unemployment, economy, trump, keep, state, shut, people, go, week
6	Remote	new, remote, find, space, desk, take, help, easy, team, music
7	WFH Experience	new, free, happy, help, people, check, know, take, team, time
8	Health Care	care, health, look, pandemic, right, provide, people, lose, million, view
9	Unemployment	pay, unemployment, small, stay, today, month, last, total, month, time
10	General	get, may, lot, honestly, low, world, reveal, day, try, good

tains the keywords “remote”, “space” and “desk”, most of the tweets in this topic are about their new remote working setup, such as desk space, speakers and chairs. Topic 7 (WFH Experience) is summarized on the keywords “happy”, “free” and “new”, tweets of this topic are mainly about how people think about working from home, such as feeling happy about the new work style. Topic 8 (Health Care) is concluded on the keywords “health”, “care” and “pandemic”, most of the tweets in this topic is about supporting front line workers and the health care system. Topic 9 (Unemployment) is concluded on the keywords “unemployment” and “pay”. Most of the tweets are about complaining losing jobs and the rising unemployment rate. Topic 10 (General) constitutes 23.5% of the total tweets, where people mostly retweet or share their opinions on general events.




Figure 5: Average sentiment score of each topic.




Figure 4: Topic distributions.

Figure 5 shows the average sentiment score of each topic. The average sentiment score of Topic 7 (WFH Experience) is the highest (0.370), considered as the most positive topic, compared with Topic 9 (Unemployment), the most negative topic (-0.064). In addition, a decent high sentiment score is observed in Topic 6 (Remote) and Topic 8 (Health Care), while the average sentiment score of Topic 5 (Government and Trump) is much lower compared to other topics.

**Unemployment was a big issue in April.** In our study, we notice that there are multiple spikes in our time series graph (Figure 6), and most of them are related to Topic 9 (unemployment). For these downward spikes located on April 11, April 13, April 16, April 19 and April 23, almost all of them are related with an increase in the percentage of Topic 9 (Unemployment). In other words, unemployment was a very important topic during this time period (April), which is consistent with the facts that the unemployment rate had a sharp increase in April, reaching 14.7% (STATISTICS, US BUREAU OF LABOR 2019b).

In addition, comparing the time series of Topic 9 (Unemployment) and Topic 7 (WFH Experience), we find that “unemployment” and “WFH Experience” are in a moderate negative correlation (Figure 7). Most of the time, the percentage of the tweets talking about “unemployment” goes downward as the percentage of the tweets talking about “WFH Experience” increases.

**Older people tweet more on negative topics.** According to the aforementioned discussion, the average sentiment score rises as the age goes up, but the average sentiment score falls a little bit when it reaches the Babyboomer’s age. This is in line with the findings of Raišienė et al. (2020). Fig-




Figure 6: The distributions of the 10 topics during April, 2020.




Figure 7: Times series of the percentages of the tweets talking about “Unemployment”/“WFH Experience”.

ure 8 shows the topic distributions of age groups. In  $\geq 40$  age group, the percentage of negative topics - “Unemployment” and “Government and Trump” - is 17.5%, which is significantly higher than that of other age groups, 11.5% in  $\leq 18$  group, 11.0% in 19-29 group, 13.0% in 30-39 group. As for another slightly negative topic (Home), although the percentage of the tweets about “Home” is lower than that of the other age groups, the average sentiment score of “Home” of  $\geq 40$  group is extremely low (-0.111), which is the only negative score in all age groups ( $\leq 18$ : 0.05, 19-29: 0.09, 30-39: 0.03). Furthermore, we find, as age increases, people talk less about “General”. There are 31.8% for  $\leq 18$  age group, 28.5% for 19-29 age group, 23.5% for 30-39 age group and only 19.7% for  $\geq 40$  age group, which indicates the potential pattern that older people are more likely to tweet about non-general topics.

**Superwomen in WFH.** In the previous section, we conclude that females show more positive attitude than males on working from home. Collins et al. (2020) found that might be because that females tend to reduce more work hours. According to the difference between the topic distributions of males and females, we think that fewer work hours allow females to spend more time accompanying children and taking care of family. The percentage of the tweets about WFH experience (Topic 7) of females is higher than that of males. Many tweets that talk about spending time with the children during working from home are observed in the set of Topic 7. An example is:




Figure 8: Topic distributions of age groups.

That'd be me. I get to work from home and be with my kids. I'm loving every minute of this time with them!!

Furthermore, we notice that females are less likely to tweet about negative topics (i.e., "Unemployment", "Government and Trump"). As shown in Figure 9, 5.0% and 8.1% of the tweets by females talk about "Unemployment" and "Government and Trump", compared with 5.7% and 9.5% of the tweets by males. Also, in Topic General, there is a large gap between males and females. Females have a 0.172 average sentiment score on Topic General, where males only has 0.090, indicating that females have a much higher sentiment score than males.




Figure 9: Gender Topic distribution.

**Black or African American and Hispanic are more negative about the unemployment.** Although we do not find sufficient evidence to conclude that there is significant difference of the sentiment among different ethnicity groups, there are slight differences of the sentiment across topics. The topic distributions of ethnicity groups is shown in Figure 10. For "Unemployment", the average sentiment scores of *Black or African American* (-0.157) and *Hispanic* (-0.114) are the lowest indicating the most negative attitude, which is in line with the fact that, in April, the unemployment issue was the most serious among *Black or African American* and *Hispanic*. The unemployment rate of *Hispanic* was 18.9% and that of *Black or African American* was 16.7%<sup>5</sup>(U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics 2020). The unemployment rates of *White* and *Asian* were all near 14 (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics 2020) and the average sentiment scores are -0.05 and -0.07, respectively<sup>6</sup>.

## Discussion

This study represents a large-scale quantitative analysis of public opinions on working from home during the COVID-19 pandemic. Through the lens of social media, we find that females and older people are more likely to talk about working from home. After performing the ordinary least square regression, we find that sentiment of working from home vary across user characteristics. In particular, females are more positive about working from home, which could be related to the change of working styles (TENNEN-ZAPIER 2020) and fewer work hours compared to males (Collins et al. 2020). People in their 30s tend to be more positive towards working from home, compared with younger people and the people who are older than 40. Gen Z (people at the point of this report aging from 8-23) are found to be more pro-office than Millennials (24-39) (Watkins 2020), and Babyboomers (40+) tend to hold a negative opinion about working from home because of the unfamiliarity of remote work. People from urban areas are more pro-WFH, which could be related to a higher confirmed rate in the more populated area (Duca et al. 2020) and better support for working from home (Bach 2020). High-income people are more likely to have positive opinions about working from

<sup>5</sup>Data from US BUREAU OF LABOR

<sup>6</sup>*American Indian/Alaskan Native* is not included in this distribution, because of insufficient data.






Figure 10: Topic distributions of ethnicity groups.

home, which echoes the findings of Barrero, Bloom, and Davis (2020).

These nuanced differences are supported by a more fine-grained topic analysis. At a higher level, we find that negative sentiment about WFH roughly corresponds to the discussion of unemployment issues. However, people express more positive sentiment when talking about WFH experience. Furthermore, topic distributions vary across different user groups. Notably, the rising unemployment rate brings “Unemployment” topic to the public. In April, the unemployment rate has risen 10% compared to March and 11.1% compared to April 2019. *Black or African American* and *Hispanic* are the most negative groups complaining about “Unemployment”, which can be related to the higher unemployment rates, compared to *White* and *Asian/Pacific Islander* (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics 2020). Females are talking less about negative topics and many of them is sharing their good time with their families when talking about “WFH Experience”, which be one of the reasons why females are more pro-WFH.

**Implications.** Barrero, Bloom, and Davis (2020) have found that working from home will even stick after the pandemic ends. It is critical to understand public opinions on working from home to help improve their experience and design a more suitable and flexible work policy. Our paper suggests that there are nuanced differences across user characteristics. Policy-makers of the government and companies could design a more customized work policy to not only increase the work productivity but also improve the work satisfaction of their employees. It is also important to address the disparities related to working from home, which have been reported among different racial and socioeconomic groups (Chowkwanyun and Reed Jr 2020; Chang et al. 2020).

**Limitations.** Our study is focused on the relationship between user characteristics and the sentiment about working from home. However, the occupation of the user can be included in the future analyses. The work ability varies among different kinds of jobs (Dingel and Neiman 2020), one potential hypothesis could be that people of different occupations hold different opinions about working from home, thus occupations would have an impact on the sentiment.

## Conclusion

This paper presents a large-scale social media-based study on who are more likely to tweet about working from home. By performing the ordinary least square regression, we show how the sentiment of working from home varies across user characteristics. After conducting a content-based analysis, we dissect what Twitter users mainly talk about and how the content correlates with the sentiment of working from home. This paper contributes to a better understanding of public opinions on working from home during the COVID-19 pandemic and lends itself to making policies both at national and institution/company levels to improve the overall population’s experience of working from home.

## References

2020. U.S. CITIES FACTSHEET.
- Bach, T. 2020. What the Surge in Working From Home Means for Big Cities.
- Barrero, J. M.; Bloom, N.; and Davis, S. J. 2020. Why Working From Home Will Stick. *University of Chicago, Becker Friedman Institute for Economics Working Paper* (2020-174).
- Bick, A.; Blandin, A.; and Mertens, K. 2020. Work from home after the COVID-19 Outbreak .
- Blei, D. M.; Ng, A. Y.; and Jordan, M. I. 2003. Latent dirichlet allocation. *Journal of machine Learning research* 3(Jan): 993–1022.
- Boon-Itt, S.; and Skunkan, Y. 2020. Public perception of the COVID-19 pandemic on Twitter: sentiment analysis and topic modeling study. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance* 6(4): e21978.
- Burger, J. D.; Henderson, J.; Kim, G.; and Zarrella, G. 2011. Discriminating gender on Twitter. In *Proceedings of the 2011 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 1301–1309.
- Carroll, F.; Mostafa, M.; and Thorne, S. 2020. COVID 19: WORKING FROM HOME: TWITTER REVEALS WHY WE’RE EMBRACING IT .
- Chang, S.; Pierson, E.; Koh, P. W.; Gerardin, J.; Redbird, B.; Grusky, D.; and Leskovec, J. 2020. Mobility network models of COVID-19 explain inequities and inform reopening. *Nature* 1–6.

- Chowkwanyun, M.; and Reed Jr, A. L. 2020. Racial health disparities and Covid-19—caution and context. *New England Journal of Medicine* .
- Chung, H.; Seo, H.; Forbes, S.; and Birkett, H. 2020. Working from home during the COVID-19 lockdown: Changing preferences and the future of work .
- Collins, C.; Landivar, L. C.; Ruppner, L.; and Scarborough, W. J. 2020. COVID-19 and the gender gap in work hours. *Gender, Work & Organization* .
- Dahik, A.; Lovich, D.; Kreaflé, C.; Bailey, A.; Kilmann, J.; Kennedy, D.; Roongta, P.; Schuler, F.; Tomlin, L.; and Wenstrup, J. 2020. What 12,000 Employees Have to Say About the Future of Remote Work .
- Dingel, J. I.; and Neiman, B. 2020. How many jobs can be done at home? Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Duca, L. M.; Coyle, J.; McCabe, C.; and McLean, C. A. 2020. COVID-19 Stats: COVID-19 Incidence, by Urban-Rural Classification—United States, January 22–October 31, 2020 .
- Duffin, E. 2020. Most populated cities in the U.S. - median household income 2019.
- Duong, V.; Pham, P.; Yang, T.; Wang, Y.; and Luo, J. 2020. The ivory tower lost: How college students respond differently than the general public to the covid-19 pandemic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.09968* .
- Feng, Z.; and Savani, K. 2020. Covid-19 created a gender gap in perceived work productivity and job satisfaction: implications for dual-career parents working from home. *Gender in Management: An International Journal* .
- Hutto, C.; and Gilbert, E. 2014. Vader: A parsimonious rule-based model for sentiment analysis of social media text. In *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, volume 8.
- Lyu, H.; Wang, J.; Wu, W.; Duong, V.; Zhang, X.; Dye, T. D.; and Luo, J. 2020. Social Media Study of Public Opinions on Potential COVID-19 Vaccines: Informing Dissent, Disparities, and Dissemination. *medRxiv* .
- Palumbo, R. 2020. Let me go to the office! An investigation into the side effects of working from home on work-life balance. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* .
- Raišienė, A. G.; Rapuano, V.; Varkulevičiūtė, K.; and Stachová, K. 2020. Working from Home—Who is Happy? A Survey of Lithuania's employees during the COVID-19 quarantine period. *Sustainability* 12(13): 5332.
- Sood, G.; and Laohaprapanon, S. 2018. Predicting race and ethnicity from the sequence of characters in a name. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.02109* .
- STATISTICS, US BUREAU OF LABOR. 2019a. Labor force characteristics by race and ethnicity, 2018 .
- STATISTICS, US BUREAU OF LABOR. 2019b. Unemployment rate rises to record high 14.7 percent in April 2020 .
- Talbot, J.; Charron, V.; and Konkle, A. 2021. Feeling the Void: Lack of Support for Isolation and Sleep Difficulties in Pregnant Women during the COVID-19 Pandemic Revealed by Twitter Data Analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18(2): 393.
- TENNEN–ZAPIER, D. 2020. 4 ways remote work is better for women.
- Thistle, S. 2006. *From marriage to the market: The transformation of women's lives and work*. Univ of California Press.
- U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2020. Labor Force Statistics from the Current Population Survey.
- U.S. Census Bureau. 2019. Qucik Facts Table.
- Wang, Z.; Hale, S.; Adelani, D. I.; Grabowicz, P.; Hartman, T.; Flöck, F.; and Jurgens, D. 2019. Demographic inference and representative population estimates from multilingual social media data. In *The World Wide Web Conference, 2056–2067*. ACM.
- Watkins, H. 2020. Gen Z and Millennials are Much More Pro-Office than Gen X and Baby Boomers.
- Yeung, N.; Lai, J.; and Luo, J. 2020. Face Off: Polarized Public Opinions on Personal Face Mask Usage during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.00336* .
- Zhang, Y.; Lyu, H.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Y.; and Luo, J. 2020. Monitoring Depression Trend on Twitter during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.00228* .