

RELATIVE VANISHING THEOREMS FOR \mathbf{Q} -SCHEMES

TAKUMI MURAYAMA

ABSTRACT. We prove the relative Grauert–Riemenschneider vanishing, Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing, and Kollár injectivity theorems for \mathbf{Q} -schemes, solving conjectures of Boutot and Kawakita. Our proof uses Grothendieck’s limit theorem for sheaf cohomology and Zariski–Riemann spaces. As an application, we extend Boutot’s theorem to the case of locally quasi-excellent \mathbf{Q} -algebras by showing that if $R \rightarrow R'$ is a cyclically pure homomorphism of locally quasi-excellent \mathbf{Q} -algebras, and R' has rational singularities, then R has rational singularities. This solves a conjecture of Boutot and answers a question of Schoutens in the locally quasi-excellent case.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Limits and local cohomology	6
4. Approximating morphisms of schemes	10
5. Relative vanishing and injectivity theorems for invertible sheaves	16
6. The Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem	21
7. Rational singularities and Boutot’s theorem	24
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth complex projective variety. Kodaira’s vanishing theorem [Kod53, Thm. 2] says that if \mathcal{L} is an ample invertible sheaf on X , then $H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Kodaira’s theorem and its generalizations have since become indispensable tools in algebraic geometry over fields of characteristic zero, in particular in birational geometry and the minimal model program (see, e.g., [KMM87; EV92; KM98; Laz04; Fuj17]).

While the central goal of birational geometry is to study birational equivalences between projective varieties, this often requires working with more general schemes. For instance, Hironaka’s original proof of resolution of singularities over fields of characteristic zero uses an inductive strategy involving schemes of finite type over quasi-excellent local \mathbf{Q} -algebras (see [Hir64, p. 162]). In [dFEM10; dFEM11], de Fernex, Ein, and Mustața work with schemes of finite type over formal power series rings to prove Shokurov’s ACC conjecture for log canonical thresholds on complex algebraic varieties with at worst bounded singularities (Shokurov’s conjecture has since been proved in general [HMX14]).

A problem in these more general contexts is the lack of Kodaira-type vanishing theorems. One of the most fundamental generalizations of Kodaira’s theorem for the minimal model program is the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem [Kaw82, Thm. 1; Vie82, Thm. I], relative versions of

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14F17; Secondary 14E15, 14A15, 14B15, 13F40, 14B05.

Key words and phrases. vanishing theorems, excellent schemes, Grothendieck’s limit theorem, Zariski–Riemann spaces, rational singularities.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1902616.

which are known to hold for proper morphisms of varieties over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero [KMM87, Thm. 1-2-3], or for certain proper morphisms of complex analytic spaces (see, e.g., [Nak87, Thm. 3.7]).

Our main result is the following generalization of the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem to proper morphisms of \mathbf{Q} -schemes with dualizing complexes, which resolves conjectures of Boutot [Bou87, Rem. 1 on p. 67] and Kawakita [Kaw15, Conj. 1.1]. Below, a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *maximally dominating* if it every generic point of an irreducible component of X maps to a generic point of an irreducible component of Y [ILO14, Exp. II, Déf. 1.1.2]. Proper surjective morphisms of integral schemes are maximally dominating.

Theorem A. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper maximally dominating morphism of noetherian \mathbf{Q} -schemes such that X is regular and such that Y has a dualizing complex ω_Y^\bullet . Denote by ω_X the unique cohomology sheaf of $f^!\omega_Y^\bullet$.*

Let Δ be a \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X with simple normal crossings support such that $[\Delta] = 0$. Consider a divisor L on X such that $L \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} M + \Delta$, where M is an f -nef and f -big \mathbf{Q} -divisor. Then, we have

$$R^i f_*(\omega_X(L)) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$.

We note that $f^!\omega_Y^\bullet$ is concentrated in one degree by local duality [Har66, Ch. V, Cor. 6.3] since X is regular, hence Cohen–Macaulay. As far as we are aware, the only previously known case of Theorem A outside of the context of algebraic varieties or analytic spaces is when $\dim(X) = 2$. This case is essentially due to Lipman [Lip78, Thm. 2.4] when f is generically finite (see [Kol13, Thm. 10.4]). Tanaka [Tan18, §3.1] used Lipman’s methods to prove the case when f is arbitrary in the course of establishing the minimal model program for excellent surfaces of arbitrary characteristic. When $\dim(X) = 3$ and f is birational, Bernasconi and Kollár showed that a version of Theorem A holds when the residue fields of Y are perfect fields of characteristic $\notin \{2, 3, 5\}$ [BK, Thm. 2]. Some cases when Y is the spectrum of a complete DVR are due to Boucksom–Favre–Jonsson [BFJ16, Thm. B.3] and Mustașă–Nicaise [MN15, Thm. 5.2.3 and Rem. 5.3].

We suspect that Theorem A can be used to prove the Cone and Contraction theorems as stated in [KMM87, Thm. 4-2-1] for Kawamata log terminal pairs on excellent \mathbf{Q} -schemes with dualizing complexes. It is also possible that it could be used to show finite generation of the relative canonical ring in this setting in the vein of [BCHM10, Thm. 1.2], since the approach of Cascini and Lazić [CL12] depends only on the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem according to [CL13, p. 617]. By the work of Corti and Lazić [CL13], this would give an approach to proving that one can run the minimal model program to the extent shown in [BCHM10; HM10] in this setting. The minimal model program for excellent schemes with dualizing complexes is already known in dimension two [Tan18]. For excellent \mathbf{Q} -schemes, there is also some progress in dimension three [Kaw94, Thm. 5.7; Kaw99, §3; Kol, Thm. 6].

To prove Theorem A, after replacing Y by $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y})$ for each $y \in Y$, we can use cyclic covers and log resolutions to reduce to the case when L is f -ample and $\Delta = 0$. We then show the following:

Theorem B. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper maximally dominating morphism of noetherian \mathbf{Q} -schemes such that X is regular and such that Y has a dualizing complex ω_Y^\bullet . Denote by ω_X the unique cohomology sheaf of $f^!\omega_Y^\bullet$.*

Consider an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on X .

(i) *Suppose \mathcal{L} is f -big and f -semi-ample. Then, we have*

$$R^i f_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$.

(ii) Suppose \mathcal{L} is f -semi-ample. Then, for every effective divisor D on X such that $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \simeq \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ for some integer $n > 0$, the canonical morphisms

$$R^i f_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow R^i f_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}(D))$$

induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ are injective for all i .

The two statements (i) and (ii) are relative versions of the Grauert–Riemenschneider vanishing theorem [GR70, Satz 2.1] and Kollár’s injectivity theorem [Kol86, Thm. 2.2], respectively. While we only use (i) to prove Theorem A, we hope that (ii) shows the flexibility of our methods. We also show dual versions of Theorems A and B (see Theorems A* and B*), following Hartshorne and Ogus’s dual formulation [HO74, Prop. 2.2] of the relative Grauert–Riemenschneider vanishing theorem [GR70, Satz 2.3]. These dual statements have the advantage of not requiring that Y has a dualizing complex. A version of Theorem B(ii) when Y is the spectrum of a complete DVR is due to Mustașă and Nicaise [MN15, Thm. 5.3.1 and Rem. 5.4].

Theorems A and B have many immediate applications. As one example of such an application, we show the following version of Boutot’s theorem [Bou87, Thm. on p. 65], which solves a conjecture of Boutot [Bou87, Rem. 1 on p. 67] and answers a question of Schoutens [Sch08, (2) on p. 611] in the locally quasi-excellent case. The definition of rational singularities we use (see Definition 7.2) is called *resolution-rational* in [Kov, Def. 9.1]. We recall that a ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R'$ is *cyclically pure* if $IR' \cap R = I$ for every ideal $I \subseteq R$ [Hoc77, p. 463]. Split or faithfully flat ring homomorphisms are cyclically pure [HR74, p. 136].

Theorem C. *Let $R \rightarrow R'$ be a cyclically pure homomorphism of locally quasi-excellent \mathbf{Q} -algebras. If R' has rational singularities, then R has rational singularities.*

Theorem C gives a new proof of a result of Schoutens [Sch08, Main Thm. A], which says that if $R \rightarrow R'$ is a cyclically pure homomorphism of \mathbf{Q} -algebras and R' is regular, then R is pseudo-rational, even without quasi-excellence assumptions (see Corollary 7.5). Theorem A also implies that excellent divisorial log terminal pairs over \mathbf{Q} satisfy local rationality properties as in [BK, Thm. 17], since thrifty log resolutions exist for quasi-excellent \mathbf{Q} -schemes [Tem18, Thm. 1.1.6].

We now describe the proof of Theorem B. For simplicity, we consider the case when $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper surjective morphism of integral schemes. The proof of Theorem B proceeds by approximating the morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by proper surjective morphisms of \mathbf{Q} -varieties, and then deducing the vanishing in Theorem B from the usual statements for varieties over a field of characteristic zero. This approach runs into two major difficulties.

- While we can write f as the limit of proper surjective morphisms $f_\lambda: X_\lambda \rightarrow Y_\lambda$ of \mathbf{Q} -varieties using the method of relative noetherian approximation [EGAIV₃, §8], we cannot ensure that the X_λ are smooth, even if X is regular.
- Even though direct images behave well under limits by Grothendieck’s limit theorem [SGA4₂, Exp. VI, Thm. 8.7.3] (see Theorem 3.10(ii)), the sheaves ω_X are not known to behave well under limits.

To work around these issues, we proceed as follows. We concentrate on Theorem B(i).

- (I) We replace Y with $\text{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y})$ to assume that Y is the spectrum of an excellent local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}) containing \mathbf{Q} .
- (II) Using the duality statement in [Lip78, Thm. on p. 188] (see Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.2), we translate the vanishing statements in Theorem B to vanishing statements about the local cohomology modules $H_Z^i(\mathcal{L}^{-1})$ where $Z = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ as in [HO74, Prop. 2.2].
- (III) Using vanishing theorems for higher direct images of structure sheaves as in [Hir64, (2) on pp. 144–145] or [CR15, Thm. 1.1], we show that the higher direct images of the structure sheaf under the projection morphism $\text{ZR}(X) \rightarrow X$ from the Zariski–Riemann space of X

vanish (Theorem 5.3). It then suffices to show that vanishing holds for the composition

$$\mathrm{ZR}(X) \xrightarrow{\pi} X \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Spec}(R).$$

See Definition 3.7 for the definition of $\mathrm{ZR}(X)$.

- (IV) Using the method of relative noetherian approximation [EGAIV₃, §8] and Hironaka’s resolution of singularities [Hir64, Ch. 0, §3, Main Thm. I(n)], we write the composition in (III) as the limit of morphisms

$$W_{\lambda,p} \xrightarrow{g_{\lambda,p}} X_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{f_{\lambda}} \mathrm{Spec}(R_{\lambda})$$

of \mathbf{Q} -varieties, where the $W_{\lambda,p}$ are smooth (Lemma 4.2). The usual vanishing statements for \mathbf{Q} -varieties then imply Theorem B by a version of Grothendieck’s limit theorem [SGA4₂, Exp. VI, Thm. 8.7.3] for local cohomology modules (Theorem 3.11(ii)).

The inspiration to use Grothendieck’s limit theorem comes from Panin’s proof of the equicharacteristic case of Gersten’s conjecture in algebraic K -theory [Pan03, Thm. A]. Since Gersten’s conjecture is a statement about regular local rings, however, Panin was able to use Néron–Popescu desingularization [Pop86, Thm. 2.4; Pop90, p. 45; Swa98, Thm. 1.1] to approximate the regular local rings appearing in Gersten’s conjecture with essentially smooth algebras over a field. By doing so, Panin reduced the equicharacteristic case of Gersten’s conjecture to the geometric case already shown by Quillen [Qui73, Thm. 5.11]. This strategy was also used in [Ska].

Our approach is also related to Hochster and Huneke’s work on big Cohen–Macaulay algebras in equal characteristic $p > 0$ [HH92, Thm. 1.2]. In that context, the integral closure of X in an algebraic closure of its function field takes the role of the Zariski–Riemann space $\mathrm{ZR}(X)$. Hochster and Huneke’s result is an analogue of Kodaira’s vanishing theorem, and Smith asked whether analogues of the Grauert–Riemenschneider or Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorems could also hold [Smi97a, Thm. 2.1; Smi97b]. Bhatt answered Smith’s question in [Bha12, §7]. This approach was recently applied in mixed characteristic [Bha, Thm. 5.1; TY, §3.1; BMPSTWW, §3].

Notation. All rings are commutative with identity, and all ring homomorphisms are unital. If k is a field, then a k -variety is an integral scheme that is separated and of finite type over k .

Intersection products on schemes that are proper over a field are defined using Euler characteristics as in [Kle66, Ch. I; Kle05, App. B]. Weil and Cartier divisors are defined as in [Har77, Ch. II, §6]. The two notions coincide on locally noetherian schemes that are locally factorial [EGAIV₄, Thm. 21.6.9(ii)]. A \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor (resp. \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor) is a \mathbf{Q} -linear combination of Weil divisors (resp. Cartier divisors).

We also use the following terminology. A point x in a topological space X is *maximal* if it is the generic point of an irreducible component of X [EGA_{new}, Ch. 0, (2.1.1)]. A morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes is *maximally dominating* if every maximal point of X maps to a maximal point of Y [ILO14, Exp. II, Déf. 1.1.2].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Donu Arapura, Bhargav Bhatt, Rankeya Datta, Yajnaseni Dutta, Charles Godfrey, Mattias Jonsson, János Kollár, Linquan Ma, Mircea Mustață, Giovan Battista Pignatti Morano di Custozza, Hans Schoutens, Karl Schwede, Kazuma Shimomoto, Chris Skalit, and Farrah Yhee for helpful conversations. We are grateful to Shiji Lyu for pointing out that Theorems A and B hold without excellence assumptions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Excellence and quasi-excellence. We begin with the notion of excellence. Grothendieck and Dieudonné conjectured that resolutions of singularities exist for all quasi-excellent schemes [EGAIV₂, Rem. 7.9.6]. Temkin proved their conjecture for \mathbf{Q} -schemes [Tem08, Thm. 1.1].

Definition 2.1 [EGAIV₂, Def. 7.8.2 and (7.8.5); Mat80, Def. 34.A]. Let R be a ring. We say that R is *excellent* (resp. *quasi-excellent*) if the following conditions (resp. conditions (i), (iii), and (iv) below) are satisfied.

- (i) R is noetherian.
- (ii) R is universally catenary.
- (iii) R is a G -ring, i.e., for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$, the \mathfrak{p} -adic completion homomorphism $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has geometrically regular fibers.
- (iv) R is J -2, i.e., for every R -algebra S of finite type, the regular locus in $\mathrm{Spec}(S)$ is open.

A locally noetherian scheme X is *excellent* (resp. *quasi-excellent*) if it admits an open affine covering $X = \bigcup_i \mathrm{Spec}(R_i)$ such that every R_i is excellent (resp. quasi-excellent).

A locally noetherian scheme X or a ring R is *locally excellent* (resp. *locally quasi-excellent*) if all of its local rings are excellent (resp. quasi-excellent).

Noetherian complete local rings are excellent, and the class of excellent schemes is stable under morphisms essentially of finite type [EGAIV₂, Sch. 7.8.3].

Remark 2.2. While excellence (resp. quasi-excellence) implies local excellence (resp. local quasi-excellence), the converse is false in general [Hoc73, Ex. 1]. In fact, by [Mat80, (34.A)], a noetherian ring is locally excellent (resp. locally quasi-excellent) if and only if it is a universally catenary G -ring (resp. it is a G -ring).

2.2. Dualizing complexes. We will also need the notion of a dualizing complex.

Definition 2.3 [Har66, Ch. V, Def. on p. 258; Con00, p. 118]. Let X be a locally noetherian scheme. A *dualizing complex* on X is a complex ω_X^\bullet in $D_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(X)$ that has finite injective dimension, such that the natural morphism

$$\mathrm{id} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-, \omega_X^\bullet), \omega_X^\bullet)$$

of δ -functors on $D_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(X)$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.4. We will cite results about dualizing complexes and Grothendieck duality as we use them. To ensure the existence of dualizing complexes, we recall the following (see [Har66, p. 299]):

- (i) If X is regular (or more generally, Gorenstein) of finite Krull dimension, then \mathcal{O}_X is a dualizing complex for X . In particular, spectra of noetherian complete local rings have dualizing complexes.
- (ii) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of finite type between noetherian schemes, and ω_Y^\bullet is a dualizing complex for Y , then the exceptional pullback $f^!\omega_Y^\bullet$ is a dualizing complex for X .

We also note that locally noetherian schemes with dualizing complexes have finite Krull dimension and are universally catenary [Har66, (1) and (2) on p. 300].

2.3. Relative ampleness conditions. We define relative ampleness conditions for invertible sheaves and \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisors. While most of these definitions exist in the literature, the definitions of f -very big and f -big are more general than those usually used. We have made these definitions to facilitate our limit arguments in §4. The terminology *very big* is due to Kollár (see [EKL95, p. 195]).

Definition 2.5 (see [EGAII, Défs. 4.4.2 and 4.6.1; KMM87, Defs. 0-1-4, 0-3-2, and 0-1-1; Fuj17, §§2.1–2.2]). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes, and let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on X .

- (i) We say that \mathcal{L} is *f -very ample* if there exists a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -module \mathcal{E} and a immersion $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ over Y such that $\mathcal{L} \simeq i^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1))$.
- (ii) Suppose f is quasi-compact. We say that \mathcal{L} is *f -ample* if there exists an affine open cover $Y = \bigcup_i U_i$ such that $\mathcal{L}|_{f^{-1}(U_i)}$ is ample for all i .

- (iii) We say that \mathcal{L} is *f-generated* if the adjunction morphism $f^*f_*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is surjective. We say that \mathcal{L} is *f-semi-ample* if there exists an integer $n > 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is *f-generated*.
- (iv) Suppose that f is a proper morphism. We say that \mathcal{L} is *f-very big* if for each maximal point $\eta \in Y$, the pullback \mathcal{L}_η of \mathcal{L} to the fiber X_η induces a rational map

$$X_\eta \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}(H^0(X_\eta, \mathcal{L}_\eta))$$

that is birational onto its image in the sense of [EGA1_{new}, (2.3.4)]. We say that \mathcal{L} is *f-big* if there exists an integer $n > 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is *f-very big*.

- (v) Suppose that f is a proper morphism. We say that \mathcal{L} is *f-nef* if $\mathcal{L}|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ is nef for every $y \in Y$, i.e., if for every one-dimensional integral closed subscheme $C \subseteq f^{-1}(y)$, we have

$$(\mathcal{L}|_{f^{-1}(y)} \cdot C) \geq 0.$$

We can extend these definitions to Cartier divisors L on X by asking that their associated invertible sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X(L)$ satisfy these conditions. If D is a \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor, then we say that D is *f-ample* (resp. *f-semi-ample*, *f-big*, *f-nef*) if some positive integer multiple of D satisfies this property.

3. LIMITS AND LOCAL COHOMOLOGY

In this section, we review some preliminaries on limits of ringed spaces and schemes and their sheaf cohomology. The main new result is that local cohomology is well-behaved under limits of ringed spaces (Theorem 3.11). We set our conventions for limits of spaces in §3.1, and define Zariski–Riemann spaces as an example of such a limit in §3.2. The behavior of sheaf cohomology under limits of ringed spaces is reviewed in §3.3, and we deduce the analogous result for local cohomology as a consequence in §3.4.

3.1. Limits of ringed spaces and schemes. We fix our notation for limits of ringed spaces mostly following Fujiwara and Kato [FK18, Ch. 0, §4], which in turn draws on the topos-theoretic formulation of this material in [SGA4₂, Exps. VI and VII]. In the scheme-theoretic context, much of this material appears in [EGAIV₃, §8].

Setup 3.1 (see [FK18, Ch. 0, §4.1.(e) and §4.2.(a)]). Let $\{(X_\lambda, v_{\lambda\mu})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an inverse system of ringed spaces (resp. locally ringed spaces) indexed by a filtered preordered set Λ . By [FK18, Ch. 0, Prop. 4.1.10], the limit

$$X = \varprojlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$$

exists in the category of ringed spaces (resp. locally ringed spaces), and is preserved under the forgetful functor from the category of locally ringed spaces to the category of ringed spaces. The underlying topological space of X is the limit of the underlying topological spaces of the X_λ 's, and denoting by $v_\lambda: X \rightarrow X_\lambda$ the canonical projection morphism for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the structure sheaf is the colimit

$$\mathcal{O}_X = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} v_\lambda^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}.$$

With this description for \mathcal{O}_X , we have the canonical isomorphism from [FK18, Ch. 0, Lem. 4.2.7]:

$$\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} v_\lambda^* \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}.$$

Setup 3.2 (see [EGAIV₃, §8.2]). With notation as in Setup 3.1, suppose the inverse system $\{(X_\lambda, v_{\lambda\mu})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ lies in the category of schemes. If the transition morphisms $v_{\lambda\mu}: X_\mu \rightarrow X_\lambda$ are affine for all $\lambda \leq \mu$, then the limit X in the category of locally ringed spaces is a scheme by [EGAIV₃, Prop. 8.2.3 and Rem. 8.2.14], and the projection morphisms $v_\lambda: X \rightarrow X_\lambda$ are affine for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Remark 3.3. Our setup is more general than that in [FK18] since our index sets Λ are only assumed to be filtered and preordered. However, given an inverse system (resp. direct system) indexed by such a filtered preordered set Λ , there always exists a directed set Λ' and an inverse system (resp. direct system) indexed by Λ' using the same objects and morphisms as the original system, together with an initial (resp. final) morphism between the two inverse systems (resp. direct systems) by [AN82, Thm. 1]. Since this morphism of inverse systems (resp. direct systems) is initial (resp. final), they have the same limit (resp. colimit). We will therefore allow ourselves to index inverse systems and direct systems by filtered preordered sets, and will state results from [FK18] in this generality.

In order for cohomology to behave well with respect to limits, we need to make some additional assumptions on our inverse systems $\{(X_\lambda, v_{\lambda\mu})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$.

Assumptions 3.4. With notation as in Setup 3.1, we will assume the following:

- (a) For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the underlying topological space of X_λ is spectral in the sense of [Hoc69, p. 43].
- (b) For all $\lambda \leq \mu$, the underlying continuous maps of $v_{\lambda\mu}: X_\mu \rightarrow X_\lambda$ are quasi-compact.

By [Hoc69, Thm. 7] (see also [FK18, Ch. 0, Thm. 2.2.10(1)]), these assumptions imply that the underlying topological space of X is spectral, and that the underlying continuous maps of the projection morphisms $v_\lambda: X \rightarrow X_\lambda$ are quasi-compact.

Remark 3.5. If X_λ is a scheme as in Setup 3.2, then (a) holds if and only if X_λ is quasi-compact and quasi-separated by [EGAI_{new}, Props. 2.1.5 and 6.1.12] (see also [FK18, Ch. 0, Ex. 2.2.2(2)]). Thus, if the X_λ are all noetherian schemes, then both (a) and (b) hold by [EGAI_{new}, Cor. 6.1.13 and Prop. 6.1.5(v)].

Remark 3.6. A topological space is spectral if and only if it is coherent and sober [FK18, Ch. 0, Rem. 2.2.4(1)]. Quasi-compact maps of spectral spaces are called *spectral* in [Hoc69, p. 43].

3.2. Zariski–Riemann spaces. An important example of a limit of an inverse system of schemes is the *Zariski–Riemann* space defined by Zariski for varieties [Zar40, Def. A.II.5; Zar44, §2] and by Nagata for noetherian separated schemes [Nag63, §3].

Definition 3.7 (see [FK06, Def. 5.9; Tem10, §3.2; FK18, Ch. II, Defs. E.2.2 and E.2.3]). Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme. Denote by AId_X the set of quasi-coherent ideal sheaves \mathcal{I} of finite type on X such that $X - V(\mathcal{I})$ contains all maximal points of X . The *Zariski–Riemann* space of X is the limit

$$\text{ZR}(X) := \varprojlim_{\mathcal{I} \in \text{AId}_X} X_{\mathcal{I}}$$

over the inverse system of blowups $X_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow X$ along $\mathcal{I} \in \text{AId}_X$. Since this inverse system satisfies the conditions in Assumptions 3.4, the underlying topological space of $\text{ZR}(X)$ is spectral, and the underlying continuous maps of the projection morphisms $\text{ZR}(X) \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{I}}$ are quasi-compact.

Remark 3.8. In [Tem10, §3.2], Temkin defines the Zariski–Riemann space for integral schemes using all proper birational morphisms $X' \rightarrow X$. If X is quasi-compact and quasi-separated, then the limit over such an inverse system coincides with $\text{ZR}(X)$ since all proper birational morphisms can be dominated by a blowup along an ideal sheaf in AId_X by [RG71, Pt. I, Cor. 5.7.12] (see also [Con07, Thm. 2.11]). We have chosen our definition to ensure that our inverse system is indexed by a directed set, instead of a directed category.

3.3. Sheaf cohomology on limits of ringed spaces. We will need to understand the behavior of sheaf cohomology on limits of ringed spaces. To do so, we set our notation for sheaves on inverse systems of ringed spaces. Again, much of this material also appears in [SGA4₂, Exps. VI and VII].

Setup 3.9 (see [FK18, Ch. 0, §4.4]). With notation as in Setup 3.1, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we also fix an \mathcal{O}_{X_λ} -module \mathcal{F}_λ , together with a morphism

$$\varphi_{\lambda\mu}: v_{\lambda\mu}^* \mathcal{F}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\mu$$

of \mathcal{O}_{X_μ} -modules for every $\lambda \leq \mu$, such that $\varphi_{\lambda\nu} = \varphi_{\mu\nu} \circ v_{\lambda\mu}^* \varphi_{\lambda\mu}$ whenever $\lambda \leq \mu \leq \nu$. We then have a direct system $\{v_\lambda^* \mathcal{F}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules whose colimit is the \mathcal{O}_X -module

$$\mathcal{F} = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} v_\lambda^* \mathcal{F}_\lambda.$$

We have the canonical isomorphism from [FK18, Ch. 0, Prop. 4.2.7]:

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} v_\lambda^{-1} \mathcal{F}_\lambda.$$

With this notation, we have the following statement about the behavior of sheaf cohomology under limits of ringed spaces, which is a special case of [SGA4₂, Exp. VI, Thm. 8.7.3]. Panin calls the statement in (i) ‘‘Grothendieck’s limit theorem’’ in [Pan03].

Theorem 3.10 [FK18, Ch. 0, Prop. 4.4.1 and Cor. 4.4.4]. *Let $\{(X_\lambda, v_{\lambda\mu})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an inverse system of ringed spaces as in Setup 3.1 satisfying the conditions in Assumptions 3.4. Consider \mathcal{O}_{X_λ} -modules \mathcal{F}_λ on each X_λ with colimit \mathcal{F} as in Setup 3.9.*

- (i) *Consider a ring A together with a collection of ring homomorphisms $A \rightarrow \Gamma(X_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda})$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that the digram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Gamma(X_\mu, \mathcal{O}_{X_\mu}) & \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow \Gamma(X_\lambda, v_{\lambda\mu}^\#) \\ A & & \\ & \searrow & \\ & \Gamma(X_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}) & \end{array}$$

commutes for all $\lambda \leq \mu$. Then, the canonical homomorphism

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H^i(X_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\lambda) \longrightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$$

is an isomorphism of A -modules for all $i \geq 0$.

- (ii) *Let $\{(Y_\lambda, w_{\lambda\mu})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be another inverse systems of ringed spaces as in Setup 3.1 satisfying the conditions in Assumptions 3.4 with limit Y and projection morphisms $w_\lambda: Y \rightarrow Y_\lambda$. Consider a system of morphisms $\{f_\lambda: X_\lambda \rightarrow Y_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that the diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\mu & \xrightarrow{f_\mu} & Y_\mu \\ v_{\lambda\mu} \downarrow & & \downarrow w_{\lambda\mu} \\ X_\lambda & \xrightarrow{f_\lambda} & Y_\lambda \end{array}$$

commute for all $\lambda \leq \mu$, and set $f = \varprojlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda: X \rightarrow Y$. Then, the canonical morphism

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} w_\lambda^{-1} R^i f_{\lambda*}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) \longrightarrow R^i f_*(\mathcal{F})$$

is an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules for all $i \geq 0$.

3.4. Local cohomology on limits of ringed spaces. We now show that local cohomology is well-behaved under limits. See [SGA4₂, Exp. VI, Cor. 5.5] and [HO08, Lem. 5.16] for related results.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\{(X_\lambda, v_{\lambda\mu})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an inverse system of ringed spaces as in Setup 3.1 satisfying the conditions in Assumptions 3.4. Consider \mathcal{O}_{X_λ} -modules \mathcal{F}_λ on each X_λ with colimit \mathcal{F} as in Setup 3.9. Let U be a quasi-compact open subset of X , and let Z be a closed subset of U such that $U - Z$ is quasi-compact. We then have the following:*

- (i) *There exists an index $\alpha \in \Lambda$, a quasi-compact open subset $U_\alpha \subseteq X_\alpha$, and a closed subset $Z_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$ such that $U = v_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ and $Z = v_\alpha^{-1}(Z_\alpha)$.*
- (ii) *Consider a ring A together with a collection of ring homomorphisms $A \rightarrow \Gamma(X_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda})$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that the digram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Gamma(X_\mu, \mathcal{O}_{X_\mu}) & \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow \Gamma(X_\lambda, v_{\lambda\mu}^\#) \\ A & & \\ & \searrow & \\ & \Gamma(X_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}) & \end{array}$$

commutes for all $\lambda \leq \mu$. Suppose we are given U_α and Z_α as in (i), and set $U_\lambda = v_{\alpha\lambda}^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ and $Z_\lambda = X_\lambda - U_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$. Then, there are canonical A -module homomorphisms

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda \geq \alpha} H_{Z_\lambda}^i(X_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\lambda) \longrightarrow H_Z^i(X, \mathcal{F})$$

that are isomorphisms for all $i \geq 0$.

We will need the following compatibility of long exact sequences under continuous maps. We note that in the proof below, we will compute local cohomology using injective resolutions in the category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. This gives the same result as computing local cohomology using injective resolutions in the category of abelian sheaves since injective \mathcal{O}_X -modules are flasque [Har77, Ch. III, Lem. 2.4], hence acyclic for local cohomology [Gro67, Prop. 1.10].

Lemma 3.12 (cf. [Gro67, Prop. 1.9]). *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of topological spaces, and let \mathcal{O}_Y be a sheaf of rings on Y . Let Z be a locally closed subset in Y , let Z' be a closed subset in Z , and let $Z'' = Z - Z'$. Consider an $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module \mathcal{F} and an \mathcal{O}_Y -module \mathcal{G} together with a morphism $\varphi: f^{-1}\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ of $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules. Then, we have the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{Z'}^i(Y, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & H_Z^i(Y, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & H_{Z''}^i(Y, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{f^{-1}(Z')}^i(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H_{f^{-1}(Z)}^i(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H_{f^{-1}(Z'')}^i(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array} \quad (1)$$

of $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ -modules with exact rows, where the vertical homomorphisms are induced by pulling back along f and then applying the homomorphism induced by φ on sheaf cohomology.

Proof. We adapt the construction of the analogous pullback maps on sheaf cohomology groups in [EGAIII₁, Ch. 0, (12.1.4)]. Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet$ be an injective resolution as a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules. Since f^{-1} is exact, we obtain a resolution $0 \rightarrow f^{-1}\mathcal{G} \rightarrow f^{-1}\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ as $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules. Now if $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^\bullet$ is an injective resolution as a sheaf of $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules, we obtain a morphism of chain complexes $f^{-1}\mathcal{I}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^\bullet$ compatible with the augmentation morphisms and the morphism

φ by [CE56, Ch. V, Prop. 1.1a]. This induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{Z'}(Y, \mathcal{I}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_Z(Y, \mathcal{I}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{Z''}(Y, \mathcal{I}^\bullet) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{f^{-1}(Z')}(X, f^{-1}\mathcal{I}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{f^{-1}(Z)}(X, f^{-1}\mathcal{I}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{f^{-1}(Z'')}(X, f^{-1}\mathcal{I}^\bullet) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{f^{-1}(Z')}(X, \mathcal{I}'^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{f^{-1}(Z)}(X, \mathcal{I}'^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{f^{-1}(Z'')}(X, \mathcal{I}'^\bullet) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

of complexes of $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ -modules with exact rows by [Gro67, Lem. 1.8], since injective sheaves are flasque [Har77, Ch. III, Lem. 2.4]. Now taking cohomology for the commutative diagram obtained from the top and bottom rows, we obtain the commutative diagram (1). \square

We can now prove Theorem 3.11.

Proof of Theorem 3.11. (i) follows from [FK18, Ch. 0, Prop. 2.2.9], where we use the assumption that U and $U - Z$ are quasi-compact.

It remains to show (ii). By Excision [Gro67, Prop. 1.3], we may replace X by U to assume that Z is closed in X . By Lemma 3.12 applied to $Z = X$, $Z' = Z$, and $Z'' = U = X - Z$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{Z_\lambda}^i(X_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^i(X_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^i(U_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\lambda|_{U_\lambda}) \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_Z^i(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(U, \mathcal{F}|_U) \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

of A -modules with exact rows, where the vertical homomorphisms are induced by pulling back along v_λ and using the morphism $v_\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{F}_\lambda \rightarrow v_\lambda^*\mathcal{F}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ from the colimit definition of \mathcal{F} by Lemma 3.12. Taking colimits over all $\lambda \geq \alpha$, the middle and right homomorphisms in the diagram yield isomorphisms of A -modules by Theorem 3.10(i). The five lemma [CE56, Ch. I, Prop. 1.1] then implies the desired isomorphisms. \square

4. APPROXIMATING MORPHISMS OF SCHEMES

As outlined in §1, the idea in our proof of Theorem B is to approximate the morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by morphisms of \mathbf{Q} -varieties. Since this approximation construction takes up the bulk of the proof of Theorem B, we state and prove it separately below. In §4.1, we prove that many ampleness conditions on invertible sheaves behave well under limits. We prove our approximation result (Lemma 4.2) in §4.2.

4.1. Relative ampleness conditions and limits. We prove that all ampleness conditions defined in Definition 2.5 (except for f -nefness) behave well under limits.

Lemma 4.1 (cf. [EGAIV₃, Lem. 8.10.5.2]). *Let $\{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an inverse system of quasi-compact and quasi-separated schemes with affine transition morphisms and limit S as in Setups 3.1 and 3.2. Let $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ be a morphism of S_α -schemes of finite presentation for some $\alpha \in \Lambda$, and let \mathcal{L}_α be an invertible sheaf on X_α . For every $\lambda \geq \alpha$, let*

$$f_\lambda: X_\lambda \longrightarrow Y_\lambda$$

be the base change of f_α along $S_\lambda \rightarrow S_\alpha$, and denote by \mathcal{L}_λ the pullback of \mathcal{L}_α to X_λ . Denote by $f: X \rightarrow Y$ the limit of the morphisms f_λ , and denote by \mathcal{L} the pullback of \mathcal{L}_α to X .

(i) *If \mathcal{L} is f -very ample (resp. f -ample), then there exists an index $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that \mathcal{L}_μ is f -very ample (resp. f -ample) for all $\mu \geq \lambda$.*

- (ii) Suppose f_α is quasi-separated. If \mathcal{L} is f -generated (resp. f -semi-ample), then there exists an index $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that \mathcal{L}_μ is f -generated (resp. f -semi-ample) for all $\mu \geq \lambda$.
- (iii) Suppose f is a proper morphism of schemes. If \mathcal{L} is f -very big (resp. f -big), then there exists an index $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that \mathcal{L}_μ is f -very big (resp. f -big) for all $\mu \geq \lambda$.

Proof. The statement (i) follows from [EGAIV₃, Lem. 8.10.5.2], since very ampleness (resp. ampleness) is stable under base change [EGAII, Props. 4.4.10(iii) and 4.6.13(iii)].

For (ii), after replacing \mathcal{L}_α by a positive integer power, it suffices to show the f -generated case. For all $\mu \geq \alpha$, the pullback of the morphism $f_\mu^* f_{\mu*} \mathcal{L}_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\mu$ is

$$f^* w_\mu^* f_{\mu*} \mathcal{L}_\mu = v_\mu^* f_\mu^* f_{\mu*} \mathcal{L}_\mu \longrightarrow v_\mu^* \mathcal{L}_\mu$$

where $w_\mu: Y \rightarrow Y_\mu$ and $v_\mu: X \rightarrow X_\mu$ are the canonical projection morphisms. The colimit of these morphisms is the adjunction morphism $f^* f_* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, since the left adjoint f^* commutes with colimits and then by applying Theorem 3.10(ii) together with the isomorphism [FK18, Ch. 0, Prop. 4.2.7] that allows us to replace w_μ^{-1} with w_μ^* . Now since $f_{\mu*} \mathcal{L}_\mu$ is quasi-coherent [EGAI_{new}, Prop. 6.7.1], we can apply [EGAIV₃, Cor. 8.5.7] to say that there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that

$$f_\mu^* f_{\mu*} \mathcal{L}_\mu \longrightarrow v_\mu^* \mathcal{L}_\mu$$

is surjective for all $\mu \geq \lambda$, as required.

We now show (iii). We first note that the f_μ are proper for large enough μ [EGAIV₃, Thm. 8.10.5(xii)]. Moreover, by [EGAIV₃, Prop. 8.4.2(a)(i)], the morphisms $Y \rightarrow Y_\mu$ induce bijections on maximal points for large enough μ . After possibly replacing α by a larger index, we can then replace Y_α by the spectra $\text{Spec}(\kappa(\eta_\alpha))$ of its residue fields at maximal points to assume that the Y_λ are spectra of fields k . The statement then follows by choosing a large enough power of \mathcal{L} that is very big over $\text{Spec}(k)$, which restricts to a very ample invertible sheaf on a dense open subset of X , in which case the claim follows from (i). \square

4.2. The approximation lemma. We now show our main approximation result.

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathbf{k} be a noetherian ring, and let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local domain over \mathbf{k} . Consider a proper surjective morphism $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ from an integral scheme X . Write R as the colimit*

$$R \simeq \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda \tag{2}$$

of a direct system of sub- \mathbf{k} -algebras of finite type indexed by a directed set Λ and partially ordered by inclusion. We then have the following:

- (i) *There exists $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and a proper surjective morphism $f'_\alpha: X'_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_\alpha)$ from a reduced scheme X'_α with for which the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Spec}(R) \\ v'_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X'_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f'_\alpha} & \text{Spec}(R_\alpha) \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Moreover, α can be chosen such that denoting by $f'_\lambda: X'_\lambda \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_\lambda)$ the base change of f'_α to $\text{Spec}(R_\lambda)$, there exist integral closed subschemes $X_\lambda \subseteq X'_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$ such that the following hold:

- Setting $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda := \mathfrak{m} \cap R_\lambda$, we have

$$\dim(X) \leq \dim(X_\lambda \otimes_{R_\lambda} (R_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}_\lambda})$$

for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$.

- *The limit of the morphisms*

$$f_\lambda: X_\lambda \hookrightarrow X'_\lambda \xrightarrow{f'_\lambda} \mathrm{Spec}(R_\lambda)$$

with transition morphisms $v_{\lambda\mu}: X_\mu \rightarrow X_\lambda$ is the morphism $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$.

- (ii) Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on X . Then, after possibly replacing α with a larger index, we can write

$$\mathcal{L} \simeq v_\alpha^* \mathcal{L}_\alpha$$

for an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L}_α on X_α , where $v_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ are the canonical projection morphisms. Moreover, if \mathcal{L} is f -very ample (resp. f -ample, f -semi-ample, f -very big, f -big), then we may assume that the invertible sheaves $\mathcal{L}_\lambda := v_{\alpha\lambda}^* \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ are f -very ample (resp. f -ample, f -semi-ample, f -very big, f -big) for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$.

Now suppose that projective resolutions of singularities exist for all integral schemes that are separated and of finite type over \mathbf{k} . We then have the following:

- (iii) For each $\lambda \geq \alpha$, the inverse system

$$\{g_{\lambda,p}: W_{\lambda,p} \longrightarrow X_\lambda\}_{p \in P_\lambda} \quad (3)$$

of projective birational morphisms from integral regular schemes $W_{\lambda,p}$ that are separated and of finite type over \mathbf{k} such that $f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p}$ is projective is nonempty and indexed by a directed set P_λ .

- (iv) Consider the set

$$J = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} P_\lambda$$

with the preorder where $(\lambda, p) \leq (\mu, q)$ if and only if $\lambda \leq \mu$ and the morphism $g_{\mu,q}$ fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_{\mu,q} & \xrightarrow{g_{\mu,q}} & X_\mu & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(R_\mu) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow v_{\lambda\mu} & & \downarrow \\ W_{\lambda,p} & \xrightarrow{g_{\lambda,p}} & X_\lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(R_\lambda) \end{array}$$

Then, the set J is filtered, and the morphism $\pi: \mathrm{ZR}(X) \rightarrow X$ of locally ringed spaces from the Zariski–Riemann space of X is the limit of the inverse systems (3) as $\lambda \in \Lambda$ also varies.

Remark 4.3. Let \mathbf{k} be a quasi-excellent noetherian local \mathbf{Q} -algebra, in which case projective resolutions of singularities exist by [Hir64, Ch. I, §3, Main Thm. I(n)]. In this case, given $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ as above, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{ZR}(X) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{Spec}(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow v_\lambda & & \downarrow \\ W_{\lambda,p} & \xrightarrow{g_{\lambda,p}} & X_\lambda & \xrightarrow{f_\lambda} & \mathrm{Spec}(R_\lambda) \end{array}$$

of locally ringed spaces, where all but $\mathrm{ZR}(X)$ are noetherian \mathbf{k} -schemes, and where the schemes in the bottom row are integral schemes that are separated and of finite type over \mathbf{k} , such that the morphisms in the top row are the limits of the morphisms in the bottom row. The same discussion works if \mathbf{k} is not necessarily local by [Tem08, Thm. 1.1].

Proof of Lemma 4.2. We construct each part of the diagram step by step, and check that our constructions have the correct limits along the way.

We construct the morphisms in (i). The first part of the statement follows from [EGAIV₃, Thm. 8.8.2(ii)]. By [EGAIV₃, Prop. 8.7.2 and Thm. 8.10.5(vi),(xii)], after possibly replacing α by a larger index, we may assume that X'_λ is reduced and that f'_λ is proper and surjective for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$. Denote

by η and η_λ the generic points of Y and Y_λ , respectively. By transitivity of fibers [EGAI_{new}, Cor. 3.4.9] and [EGAIV₂, (4.4.1)], the generic fibers $f_\lambda'^{-1}(\eta_\lambda)$ are also irreducible.

It remains to show that we can replace the morphisms f'_λ by some $f_\lambda: X_\lambda \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_\lambda)$ for \mathbf{Q} -varieties X_λ . For each $\lambda \geq \mu \geq \alpha$, we will construct the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Spec}(R) \\
 v_\mu \downarrow & & \downarrow v'_\mu & & \downarrow \\
 X_\mu & \hookrightarrow & X'_\mu & \xrightarrow{f'_\mu} & \text{Spec}(R_\mu) \\
 v_{\lambda\mu} \downarrow & & \downarrow v'_{\lambda\mu} & & \downarrow \\
 X_\lambda & \hookrightarrow & X'_\lambda & \xrightarrow{f'_\lambda} & \text{Spec}(R_\lambda)
 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

Here, the squares in the right column are cartesian. The scheme X_λ is the scheme-theoretic closure of $f_\lambda'^{-1}(\eta_\lambda)$, which coincides with the set-theoretic closure with reduced scheme structure since X'_λ is reduced [EGAI_{new}, Cor. 6.10.6]. We define X_μ in a similar fashion. These schemes are irreducible by [EGAI_{new}, Ch. 0, Prop. 2.1.13], hence integral. The morphisms in the rightmost column induce bijections on generic points for all $\mu \geq \lambda \geq \alpha$, since all rings in the direct system (2) are domains. Thus, by transitivity of scheme-theoretic images [EGAI_{new}, Prop. 6.10.3], morphisms in the leftmost column exist in a way that makes the squares in left column commute. Now for every $\lambda \geq \alpha$, consider the composition

$$f_\lambda: X_\lambda \hookrightarrow X'_\lambda \xrightarrow{f'_\lambda} \text{Spec}(R_\lambda).$$

This morphism is proper since it is the composition of proper morphisms, and is surjective with irreducible generic fiber by construction. By [EGAIV₃, Lem. 13.1.2], the squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Spec}(R) \\
 v_\lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X_\lambda & \xrightarrow{f_\lambda} & \text{Spec}(R_\lambda)
 \end{array}$$

are cartesian for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$. We therefore see that $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ satisfies the universal property for the limit of the morphisms f_λ . Finally, to ensure that $\dim(X) \leq \dim(X_\lambda \otimes_{R_\lambda} (R_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}_\lambda})$ for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$, we choose a maximal chain

$$Z_0 \subsetneq Z_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Z_{\dim(X)} = X \tag{5}$$

of irreducible closed subsets in X , which exists since $\dim(X) < \infty$ by [EGAIV₂, Cor. 5.6.6]. Since limits commute with fiber products and since

$$R \simeq \varinjlim_{\lambda \geq \alpha} (R_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}_\lambda}$$

by [EGAI_{new}, Ch. 0, Prop. 6.1.6(ii)], we have

$$X \simeq \varinjlim_{\lambda \geq \alpha} (X_\lambda \otimes_{R_\lambda} (R_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}_\lambda})$$

since they satisfy the same universal property. After possibly replacing α by a larger index, we may assume that the chain (5) is the preimage of a chain of irreducible closed subsets in $X_\lambda \otimes_{R_\lambda} (R_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}_\lambda}$ with strict inclusions [EGAIV₃, Prop. 8.6.3 and Cor. 8.6.3.1]. The preimage of this chain in $X_\lambda \otimes_{R_\lambda} (R_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}_\lambda}$ for each $\lambda \geq \alpha$ is still a chain of irreducible closed subsets with strict inclusions, since it is the image of the chain (5).

We now show (ii). By [EGAIV₃, Thm. 8.5.2(ii)], there exists an index $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and a coherent sheaf \mathcal{L}'_α on X'_α such that $v_\alpha'^* \mathcal{L}'_\alpha \simeq \mathcal{L}$. By [EGAIV₃, Prop. 8.5.5], after possibly replacing α by a

larger index, we may assume that the inverse image $\mathcal{L}'_\lambda := v_\lambda^* \mathcal{L}'_\alpha$ on X'_λ is invertible for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$. We now set

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda := \mathcal{L}'_\lambda|_{X_\lambda},$$

which is invertible and satisfies $\mathcal{L} \simeq v_\alpha^* \mathcal{L}'_\alpha \simeq v_\alpha^* \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ by the commutativity of the squares in the left column of (4).

We now show that if \mathcal{L} is f -very ample (resp. f -ample, f -semi-ample, f -very big, f -big), then we may assume the same holds for \mathcal{L}_λ for all $\lambda \geq \alpha$. This holds for \mathcal{L}'_λ instead of \mathcal{L}_λ by Lemma 4.2. Restricting \mathcal{L}'_λ to X'_λ preserves these properties in each case by [EGAI, Props. 4.4.10(*i bis*) and 4.6.13(*i bis*)] for f -very ample and f -ample, [CT20, Lem. 2.11(*i*)] and its proof for f -generated and f -semi-ample, and the fact that $X_\lambda \hookrightarrow X'_\lambda$ is an isomorphism along the generic fiber of f_λ by construction for f -very big and f -big.

Next, we show (*iii*). For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, consider the set

$$\{g_{\lambda,p'}: W_{\lambda,p'} \longrightarrow X_\lambda\}_{p' \in P'_\lambda} \quad (6)$$

of projective birational morphisms where the $W_{\lambda,p'}$ are integral regular schemes that are separated and of finite type over \mathbf{k} . This inverse system is nonempty since it contains the identity, and is indexed by AId_{X_λ} since every projective birational morphism to X_λ is a blowup [EGAI, Cor. 2.3.7]. This inverse system is cointial with the inverse system (3) by Chow's lemma [EGAI, Cor. 5.6.2] and the existence of projective resolution of singularities, and hence the inverse systems (3) are nonempty and indexed by a directed set.

Finally, it remains to show (*iv*). Set $J' = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} P'_\lambda$ to be the preordered set defined analogously to J for the inverse systems in (6). By the argument in (*iii*), the two inverse systems

$$\begin{aligned} \{g_{\lambda,p}: W_{\lambda,p} \longrightarrow X_\lambda\}_{(\lambda,p) \in J} \\ \{g_{\lambda,p'}: W_{\lambda,p'} \longrightarrow X_\lambda\}_{(\lambda,p') \in J'} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

are cointial, and hence it suffices to show that J' is filtered, and that the morphism $\pi: \text{ZR}(X) \rightarrow X$ is the limit of the morphisms in (7).

To show that J' is filtered, let (λ_1, p'_1) and (λ_2, p'_2) be two indices in J' . Since Λ is directed, there exists $\mu \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_1 \leq \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \leq \mu$. We now claim we can construct a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & W'_{\lambda_1, p'_1} & \hookrightarrow & W_{\lambda_1, p'_1} \times_{X_{\lambda_1}} X_\mu & & \\ & \nearrow & & & & \searrow \text{pr}_2 & \\ W_{\mu, q'} & & & & & & X_\mu \\ & \searrow & & & & \nearrow \text{pr}_2 & \\ & & W'_{\lambda_2, p'_2} & \hookrightarrow & W_{\lambda_2, p'_2} \times_{X_{\lambda_2}} X_\mu & & \end{array}$$

where the composition $W_{\mu, q'} \rightarrow X_\mu$ is projective and birational, and where $W_{\mu, q'}$ is a smooth \mathbf{Q} -variety. We set W'_{λ_1, p'_1} to be the closure of the inverse image of the open set in X_μ over which the second projection $\text{pr}_2: W_{\lambda_1, p'_1} \times_{X_{\lambda_1}} X_\mu \rightarrow X_\mu$ is an isomorphism, and similarly for W'_{λ_2, p'_2} . Since $W'_{\lambda_1, p'_1} \rightarrow X_\mu$ is a projective and birational morphism from an integral scheme by construction, it is the blowup along some ideal $\mathcal{I}_1 \in \text{AId}_X$ by [EGAI, Cor. 2.3.7], and similarly $W'_{\lambda_2, p'_2} \rightarrow X_\mu$ is the blowup along some ideal $\mathcal{I}_2 \in \text{AId}_X$. We can therefore consider the blowup $W_{\mu, q'} \rightarrow X_\mu$ along $\mathcal{I}_1 \mathcal{I}_2$, which factors uniquely through W'_{λ_1, p'_1} and W'_{λ_2, p'_2} by the universal property of blowups [Har77, Ch. II, Prop. 7.14].

It remains to show that the limit of the morphisms in (7) is indeed the morphism $\pi: \text{ZR}(X) \rightarrow X$. We claim that the limit of the inverse system

$$\{g_{\lambda,p'} \times_{X_\lambda} \text{id}_X: W_{\lambda,p'} \times_{X_\lambda} X \longrightarrow X_\lambda \times_{X_\lambda} X\}_{(\lambda,p') \in J'} \quad (8)$$

coincides with the limit of the inverse system (7). This follows since the squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{\lambda,p'} \times_{X_\lambda} X & \xrightarrow{g_{\lambda,p'} \times_{X_\lambda} \text{id}_X} & X_\lambda \times_{X_\lambda} X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow v_\lambda \\ W_{\lambda,p'} & \xrightarrow{g_{\lambda,p'}} & X_\lambda \end{array}$$

are cartesian, and hence the limits of the two inverse systems (7) and (8) satisfy the same universal property.

We now show that the limit of the inverse system (8) is indeed the morphism $\pi: \text{ZR}(X) \rightarrow X$. It suffices to show that the inverse system (8) is coinitial with the inverse system defining $\text{ZR}(X)$. We first show that for every morphism $g_{\lambda,p'} \times_{X_\lambda} \text{id}_X$, there exists a closed immersion $X' \hookrightarrow W_{\lambda,p'} \times_{X_\lambda} X$ such that the composition

$$X' \hookrightarrow W_{\lambda,p'} \times_{X_\lambda} X \xrightarrow{g_{\lambda,p'} \times_{X_\lambda} \text{id}_X} X$$

is a blowup along an ideal in AId_X . Since $g_{\lambda,p'} \times_{X_\lambda} \text{id}_X$ is projective by base change, the composition is also projective. We claim that we can find X' such that it maps birationally onto X . Note that $g_{\lambda,p'}$ induces an isomorphism along an open subset $U_\lambda \subseteq X_\lambda$, hence it induces an isomorphism along the open subset $U = U_\lambda \times_{X_\lambda} X$. We then set $X_{\mathcal{J}}$ to be the scheme-theoretic closure of U in $W_{\lambda,p'} \times_{X_\lambda} X$. Then, $X_{\mathcal{J}}$ is an integral scheme, and the composition $X_{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow X$ is a blowup since it is a projective birational morphism onto X [EGAIII₁, Cor. 2.3.7].

Conversely, suppose $\pi_{\mathcal{J}}: X_{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow X$ is a blowup. Then, by [EGAIV₃, Thm. 8.8.2(ii)], there exists an index $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and a morphism $h'_\alpha: W'_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ for which the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\mathcal{J}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{J}}} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow v_\alpha \\ W'_\alpha & \xrightarrow{h'_\alpha} & X_\alpha \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Denote by $h'_\lambda: W'_\lambda \rightarrow X_\lambda$ the base change of h'_α to X_λ . By [EGAIV₃, Prop. 8.7.2 and Thm. 8.10.5(i),(xiii)], for large enough $\lambda \geq \alpha$, the scheme W'_λ is reduced, the morphism h'_λ is projective, and the restriction of h'_λ to an open subset U_λ of X_λ induces an isomorphism. Denote by ξ and ξ_λ the generic points of X and X_λ , respectively. By transitivity of fibers [EGAI_{new}, Cor. 3.4.9] and [EGAIV₂, (4.4.1)], the generic fibers $h'^{-1}_\lambda(\xi_\lambda)$ are also irreducible. Now let W_λ be the scheme-theoretic closure of $h'^{-1}_\lambda(\xi_\lambda)$, which coincides with the set-theoretic closure with reduced scheme structure since W'_λ is reduced [EGAI_{new}, Cor. 6.10.6]. The scheme W_λ is irreducible by [EGAI_{new}, Ch. 0, Prop. 2.1.13], hence integral. Now consider the composition

$$h_\lambda: W_\lambda \hookrightarrow W'_\lambda \xrightarrow{h'_\lambda} X_\lambda.$$

This morphism is projective since it is the composition of projective morphisms, and is birational since its restriction to U_λ is still an isomorphism. By [EGAIV₃, Lem. 13.1.2], the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\mathcal{J}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_\lambda} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow v_\lambda \\ W_\lambda & \xrightarrow{h_\lambda} & X_\lambda \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Thus, the inverse system (8) is coinitial with the inverse system defining $\text{ZR}(X)$, and hence their limits coincide. \square

5. RELATIVE VANISHING AND INJECTIVITY THEOREMS FOR INVERTIBLE SHEAVES

Our goal in this section is to prove Theorem B. We will in fact prove the following dual version of Theorem B, following the formulation of Grauert–Riemenschneider vanishing due to Hartshorne and Ogus [HO74, Prop. 2.2]. This statement has the advantage of not requiring the existence of dualizing complexes, and also of avoiding mentioning the sheaf ω_X , which is not known to behave well under limits.

Theorem B*. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper maximally dominating morphism of locally noetherian \mathbf{Q} -schemes such that X is regular.*

Consider an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on X . For every $y \in Y$, denote by $f_y: X_y \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$ the base change of f along $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) \rightarrow Y$, denote by \mathcal{L}_y the pullback of \mathcal{L} to X_y , and set $Z_y = f_y^{-1}(y)$.

(i) *Suppose \mathcal{L} is f -big and f -semi-ample. Then, we have*

$$H_{Z_y}^i(X_y, \mathcal{L}_y^{-1}) = 0$$

for every $y \in Y$ and for all $i < \dim(X_y)$.

(ii) *Suppose \mathcal{L} is f -semi-ample. Then, for every effective divisor D on X such that $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \simeq \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ for some integer $n > 0$, the canonical morphisms*

$$H_{Z_y}^i(X_y, \mathcal{L}_y^{-1}(-D_y)) \longrightarrow H_{Z_y}^i(X_y, \mathcal{L}_y^{-1})$$

induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-D_y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$ are surjective for every $y \in Y$ and for all i , where D_y is the pullback of D to X_y .

In §5.1, we prove that B and B* are essentially equivalent by Grothendieck duality. We prove our relative vanishing theorem for Zariski–Riemann spaces (Theorem 5.3) in §5.2. Finally, in §5.3, we prove Theorem B*.

5.1. Duality between Theorems B and B*. We prove that Theorems B and B* are equivalent when dualizing complexes exist. The key ingredient is the following duality statement. See [Har66, Def. on p. 276] for the notion of a normalized dualizing complex used below. Hartshorne and Ogus give a different approach using formal duality in the proof of [HO74, Prop. 2.2]. If \mathcal{L} is a locally free sheaf of finite rank on a ringed space X , the dual of \mathcal{L} is $\mathcal{L}^\vee := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Lemma 5.1 (see [Lip78, Thm. on p. 188]). *Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a proper morphism, where (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a noetherian local ring with a normalized dualizing complex ω_R^\bullet . Set $Z = f^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}\})$, and let \mathcal{L} be a locally free sheaf of finite rank on X . Then, there is a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_Z(X, \mathcal{L}^\vee) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}f_*(\omega_X^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}), E),$$

functorial in \mathcal{L} , where $\omega_X^\bullet = f^!\omega_R^\bullet$ and where E is the injective hull of the residue field of R . In particular, if X is Cohen–Macaulay of pure dimension n , we have an isomorphism

$$H_Z^i(X, \mathcal{L}^\vee) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_R(R^{n-i}f_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}), E)$$

for every i , where ω_X denotes the unique cohomology sheaf of $f^!\omega_Y^\bullet$.

Proof. We follow the proof in [Lip78, Thm. on p. 188], keeping track of morphisms $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of locally free sheaves of finite rank along the way. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{R}f_*(\omega_X^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{L}) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{R}f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}^\vee, \omega_X^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}f_* \mathcal{L}^\vee, \omega_R^\bullet) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{R}f_*(\omega_X^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{M}) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{R}f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}^\vee, \omega_X^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}f_* \mathcal{M}^\vee, \omega_R^\bullet) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms induced by the isomorphism of functors

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}^\vee, -) \xrightarrow{\sim} - \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}$$

coming from [Har66, Ch. V, Cor. 6.3] and the evaluation at 1 map in the left square, and are induced by Grothendieck duality [Har66, Ch. VII, Cor. 3.4(c); Con00, Thm. 3.4.4] in the right square. Since $\mathbf{R}f_*\mathcal{L}^\vee$ is quasi-coherent with coherent cohomology (by the assumption that f is proper [EGAIII₁, Thm. 3.2.1]), we can apply local duality [Har66, Ch. V, Cor. 6.3] to obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(R, \mathbf{R}f_*\mathcal{L}^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}f_*\mathcal{L}^\vee, \omega_R^\bullet), E) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(R, \mathbf{R}f_*\mathcal{M}^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}f_*\mathcal{M}^\vee, \omega_R^\bullet), E) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms. Using the isomorphism of functors $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \mathbf{R}f_* \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_Z$ and combining the diagrams we have obtained so far, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_Z(X, \mathcal{L}^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}f_*(\omega_X^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{L}), E) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma_Z(X, \mathcal{M}^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}f_*(\omega_X^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{M}), E) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal dashes are isomorphisms. The ‘‘in particular’’ statement follows from the first statement after taking i -th cohomology, since in this case $\omega_X \simeq \omega_X^\bullet[-n]$ by local duality [Har66, Ch. V, Cor. 6.3]. \square

We now show that Theorems B and B* are equivalent when dualizing complexes exist.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes, and suppose that X is Cohen–Macaulay and that Y has a dualizing complex ω_Y^\bullet . Denote by ω_X is the unique cohomology sheaf of $f^!\omega_Y^\bullet$.*

Consider an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on X , and fix $y \in Y$. Denote by $f_y: X_y \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$ the base change of f along $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) \rightarrow Y$, by \mathcal{L}_y the pullback of \mathcal{L} to X_y , and set $Z_y = f_y^{-1}(y)$. For all i , we have the following:

- (i) $R^i f_{y*}(\omega_{X_y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_y}} \mathcal{L}_y) = 0$ if and only if $H_{Z_y}^{\dim(X_y)-i}(X_y, \mathcal{L}_y^{-1}) = 0$.
- (ii) Let D be an effective Cartier divisor on X , and denote by D_y the pullback of D to X_y . Then, the canonical morphism

$$R^i f_{y*}(\omega_{X_y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_y}} \mathcal{L}_y) \longrightarrow R^i f_{y*}(\omega_{X_y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_y}} \mathcal{L}_y(D_y))$$

induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{X_y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(D_y)$ is injective if and only if the canonical morphism

$$H_{Z_y}^{\dim(X_y)-i}(X_y, \mathcal{L}_y^{-1}(-D_y)) \longrightarrow H_{Z_y}^{\dim(X_y)-i}(X_y, \mathcal{L}_y^{-1})$$

induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-D_y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$ is surjective.

Proof. Since all statements are local by flat base change, we may replace Y with $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$ to assume that Y is the spectrum of a noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) with a dualizing complex, since dualizing complexes localize [Har66, Ch. V, Cor. 2.3]. After translating the dualizing complex, we may assume it is normalized.

We first consider (i). Let E denote the injective hull of the residue field of R . Since $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, E)$ is faithfully exact [Ish64, Cor. 3.2(2)], we see that $R^i f_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}) = 0$ if and only if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(R^i f_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}), E) = 0.$$

By Lemma 5.1, this is equivalent to $H_Z^{\dim(X)-i}(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$.

We now consider (ii). Since $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, E)$ is faithfully exact [Ish64, Cor. 3.2(2)], the morphism

$$R^i f_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow R^i f_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}(D))$$

is injective if and only if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(R^i f_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}(D)), E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R^i f_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}), E)$$

is surjective. By Lemma 5.1, this is equivalent to the surjectivity of

$$H_Z^{\dim(X)-i}(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}(-D)) \longrightarrow H_Z^{\dim(X)-i}(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}). \quad \square$$

5.2. A relative vanishing theorem for Zariski–Riemann spaces. Our goal in this subsection is to show that the higher direct images of the structure sheaf of the Zariski–Riemann space of a regular noetherian \mathbf{Q} -scheme X vanishes.

Theorem 5.3. *Let X be a regular excellent noetherian \mathbf{Q} -scheme and denote by $\pi: \mathrm{ZR}(X) \rightarrow X$ the canonical projection morphism from the Zariski–Riemann space of X . Then, we have*

$$R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{ZR}(X)} = 0$$

for all $i > 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.10(ii), it suffices to show that for a cofinal subset of ideals $\{\mathcal{I}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{AId}_X$, the blowup $\pi_{\mathcal{I}_\lambda}: X_{\mathcal{I}_\lambda} \rightarrow X$ along \mathcal{I}_λ satisfies $R^i \pi_{\mathcal{I}_\lambda*} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathcal{I}_\lambda}} = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Let $X_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow X$ be a blowup where $\mathcal{I} \in \mathrm{AId}_X$. Since $X_{\mathcal{I}}$ is an excellent \mathbf{Q} -scheme [EGAIV₂, Prop. 7.8.6(i)], there exists a birational blowup $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{I}}$ such that \tilde{X} is regular [Tem08, Thm. 1.1]. By [RG71, Pt. I, Lem. 5.1.4] (see also [Con07, Lem. 1.2]), the composition

$$\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{I}} \longrightarrow X$$

can be written as the blowup of X along a coherent ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_\lambda \in \mathrm{AId}_X$ contained in \mathcal{I} . We have $R^i \tilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = 0$ by [CR15, Thm. 1.1]. \square

Remark 5.4. In Theorem 5.3, if X is of finite type over a quasi-excellent local \mathbf{Q} -algebra, then one can replace [Tem08, Thm. 1.1] with [Hir64, Ch. I, §3, Main Thm. I(n)]. In this case, one can prove [CR15, Thm. 1.1] using the method in [Hir64, (2) on pp. 144–145], since an appropriately strong version of elimination of indeterminacies holds [Hir64, Ch. I, §3, Main Thm. II(N)] (see also [CH05, Thm. 1.2; BK, (14)]).

One can also show Theorem 5.3 when X is a noetherian scheme with rational singularities in the sense of Kovács [Kov, Def. 9.9] using the Macaulayfication theorem of Česnavičius [Čes, Thm. 5.3] instead of resolutions of singularities. As in the previous paragraph, if X is of finite type over a noetherian ring that has a dualizing complex, then one can use Kawasaki’s Macaulayfication theorem [Kaw00, Thm. 1.1] instead of [Čes, Thm. 5.3].

5.3. Proof of Theorem B*. We now prove Theorem B*, which implies Theorem B by Proposition 5.2. As outlined in §1, the idea is to approximate the local cohomology groups in question by approximating the morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by a morphism of \mathbf{Q} -varieties.

This idea to approximate sheaf cohomology using limits of schemes comes from Panin’s proof of the equicharacteristic case of Gersten’s conjecture in algebraic K -theory [Pan03, Thm. A]. Unlike in Panin’s proof, however, we cannot approximate f by morphisms $f_\lambda: X_\lambda \rightarrow Y_\lambda$ of \mathbf{Q} -varieties where X_λ is smooth. To allow for taking resolutions of singularities of X_λ , we will instead show that local cohomology modules on the Zariski–Riemann space $\mathrm{ZR}(X)$ of X vanish, and then descend this result to X using relative vanishing for Zariski–Riemann spaces (Theorem 5.3).

Proof of Theorem B.* We proceed in a sequence of steps.

Step 1. We may assume that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective morphism, where X is integral and $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ for an excellent local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}) . In this case, setting $Z = f^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}\})$, it suffices to show that

$$H_Z^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$$

for all $i < \dim(X)$ for (i), and that

$$H_Z^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}(-D)) \rightarrow H_Z^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1})$$

is surjective for all i for (ii).

Since the desired vanishing is a local condition, we may replace Y by $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$. We can moreover replace Y by $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y})$, since \widehat{X} is regular by [EGAIV₂, Lem. 7.9.3.1], and the morphism $\hat{f}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y})$ is proper and maximally dominating by flat base change [ILO14, Exp. II, Prop. 1.1.5]. Note that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y}$ is an excellent local ring by [EGAIV₂, Sch. 7.8.3(iii)], and the vanishing and surjectivity statements on local cohomology for f descend from those on \hat{f} by faithfully flat base change [HO08, Thm. 6.10]. The pullback $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ of \mathcal{L} to \widehat{X} is \hat{f} -semiample by [CT20, Lem. 2.12(i)]. To show that $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is \hat{f} -big in situation (i), let $n > 0$ be an integer such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is f -very big. Then, by transitivity of fibers [EGAI_{new}, Cor. 3.4.9], the compatibility of maps induced by linear systems and base change [EGAI, (4.2.10)], and the fact that birational maps are stable under flat base change [EGAI_{new}, Prop. 3.9.9], we see that the restriction of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes n}$ to each fiber of \hat{f} over the maximal points of $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y})$ is very big. Thus, $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is \hat{f} -big.

It remains to show that we may assume that X and Y are integral. Consider the Stein factorization

$$\widehat{X} \xrightarrow{f'} Y' \xrightarrow{g} \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y})$$

of \hat{f} . By Incomparability applied to the finite morphism g , the points in Y' lying over maximal points of $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y})$ must be maximal, and hence f' is maximally dominant. Since Y' is normal, it is the disjoint union of integral normal schemes. We claim we may work with one irreducible component of \widehat{X} and Y' at a time to assume that f is a surjective morphism of integral schemes. Since g is affine, Theorem B for f' implies Theorem B for \hat{f} (note that dualizing complexes exist by Remark 2.4(i)), and hence by Proposition 5.2, Theorem B* for f' implies Theorem B* for \hat{f} .

Finally, since the desired vanishing and surjectivity statements are local, it suffices to show the vanishing and surjectivity statements in Step 1 after replacing Y' with $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y',y'})$ for every $y' \in g^{-1}(y)$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{Y',y'}$ is excellent since it is essentially of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ [EGAIV₂, Sch. 7.8.3(ii)].

Step 2. It suffices to show that Theorem B* holds when f is a projective morphism between \mathbf{Q} -varieties, where X is smooth and Y is affine.

By Lemma 4.2 and Remark 4.3 applied to $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Q}$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{ZR}(X) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{Spec}(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow v_\lambda & & \downarrow \\ W_{\lambda,p} & \xrightarrow{g_{\lambda,p}} & X_\lambda & \xrightarrow{f_\lambda} & \mathrm{Spec}(R_\lambda) \end{array} \quad (9)$$

of locally ringed spaces, where all but $\mathrm{ZR}(X)$ are noetherian \mathbf{Q} -schemes, and where the schemes in the bottom row are \mathbf{Q} -varieties, such that the morphisms in the top row are the limits of the morphisms in the bottom row. By Lemma 4.2(ii), we also have that

$$\mathcal{L} \simeq v_\lambda^* \mathcal{L}_\lambda \simeq \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} v_\lambda^* \mathcal{L}_\lambda$$

for f_λ -big and f_λ -semi-ample (resp. f_λ -semi-ample) invertible sheaves \mathcal{L}_λ . We also know that $g_{\lambda,p}^* \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is $(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})$ -semi-ample by [CT20, Lem. 2.11], and is also $(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})$ -big in situation (i) by the fact that $g_{\lambda,p}$ induces a birational morphism along the generic fiber of f_λ .

Now suppose that Theorem B*(i) holds in the special case stated in Step 2. Then, we have

$$H_{(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})^{-1}(\{m_\lambda\})}^i(W_{\lambda,p}, g_{\lambda,p}^* \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}) = 0$$

for all $i < \dim(X)$, since $\dim(X) \leq \dim(X_\lambda \otimes_{R_\lambda} (R_\lambda)_{m_\lambda})$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ (see Lemma 4.2(ii)), and since $g_{\lambda,p}^* \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is $(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})$ -big and $(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})$ -semi-ample. Taking colimits over all $(\lambda, p) \in J$, Theorem 3.11(ii) implies

$$H_{(f \circ \pi)^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(\mathrm{ZR}(X), \pi^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0,$$

where the colimit of the inverse images of the sheaves $g_{\lambda,p}^* \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ on $\mathrm{ZR}(X)$ is $\pi^* \mathcal{L}$ by the commutativity of the diagram (9). Next, since $R^j \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{ZR}(X)} = 0$ for all $j > 0$ by Theorem 5.3 and by the projection formula [EGAIII₁, Ch. 0, Prop. 12.2.3], we have the isomorphism

$$H_Z^i(X, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{ZR}(X)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \simeq H_{\pi^{-1}(Z)}^i(\mathrm{ZR}(X), \pi^* \mathcal{L}^{-1})$$

induced by the Grothendieck spectral sequence [Gro67, Cor. 5.6], and hence it suffices to show that $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{ZR}(X)}$ is an isomorphism. By Theorem 3.10(ii), it suffices to show that $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{G}*} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathcal{G}}}$ is an isomorphism for every blowup $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}: X_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow X$. This follows from the fact that the morphisms $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}$ are proper and birational, and the fact that X is normal and integral [EGAIII₁, Cor. 4.3.12].

Finally, suppose that Theorem B*(ii) holds in the special case stated in Step 2. Applying Lemma 4.2(ii) to $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$, we know that $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ is the pullback of an invertible sheaf \mathcal{M}_α on X_α for some $\alpha \in \Lambda$. By [EGAIV₃, Thm. 8.5.2(i), Cor. 8.5.8(ii), and Cor. 8.5.2.5], after possibly replacing α by a larger index, we can moreover assume that the injection $\mathcal{O}_X(-D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is the pullback of an injection $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}$, and that $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \simeq \mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\otimes -n}$. Set D_α to be the Cartier divisor associated to the invertible ideal sheaf $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}$. Writing $D_\lambda := v_{\alpha\lambda}^* D_\alpha$ for its pullbacks to X_λ (which exist since the $v_{\alpha\lambda}$ are surjective morphisms of integral noetherian schemes [EGAIV₄, Prop. 21.4.5(iii)]), we can therefore write the injection $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(-D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ as the colimit of the injections

$$v_\lambda^*(\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(-D_\lambda)) \hookrightarrow v_\lambda^*(\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}).$$

We then have that

$$H_{(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})^{-1}(\{m_\lambda\})}^i(W_{\lambda,p}, g_{\lambda,p}^* \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(-D_\lambda)) \longrightarrow H_{(f_\lambda \circ g_{\lambda,p})^{-1}(\{m_\lambda\})}^i(W_{\lambda,p}, g_{\lambda,p}^* \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1})$$

is surjective for all i , since $g_{\lambda,p}^* \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is f -semi-ample by [CT20, Lem. 2.11]. Taking colimits over all $(\lambda, p) \in J$, Theorem 3.11(ii) implies

$$H_{(f \circ \pi)^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(\mathrm{ZR}(X), \pi^*(\mathcal{L}^{-1}(-D))) \longrightarrow H_{(f \circ \pi)^{-1}(\{m\})}^i(\mathrm{ZR}(X), \pi^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}),$$

is surjective for all i , where the colimit of the inverse images of the sheaves $g_{\lambda,p}^*(\mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(-D_\lambda))$ on $\mathrm{ZR}(X)$ is $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ by the commutativity of the diagram (9).

Step 3. *Theorem B* holds when f is a projective morphism between \mathbf{Q} -varieties, where X is smooth and Y is affine.*

By Proposition 5.2 and flat base change, it suffices to show that Theorem B holds in this setting.

We start by showing that Theorem B(i) holds in the special case stated in Step 3. Consider the Stein factorization

$$X \xrightarrow{f'} Y' \xrightarrow{g} Y$$

of f . We can replace $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by $f': X \rightarrow Y'$ to assume that Y is normal, since the relative normalization morphism g is affine and hence $R^i f'_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L})$ vanishes if and only if $R^i f_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L})$

\mathcal{L}) does. By flat base change and the fact that the formation of ω_X is compatible with ground field extensions [Har66, Ch. V, Cor. 3.4(a)], it suffices to show that denoting by

$$f_{\mathbf{C}}: X_{\mathbf{C}} \longrightarrow Y_{\mathbf{C}}$$

the base change of f along the field extension $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, we have

$$R^i f_{\mathbf{C}*}(\omega_{X_{\mathbf{C}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbf{C}}}} \mathcal{L}) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$. We note that $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ is maximally dominating by the flatness of $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ [ILO14, Exp. II, Prop. 1.1.5]. Since $Y_{\mathbf{C}}$ is normal, it is the disjoint union of normal varieties. We claim we may work one irreducible component at a time to assume that $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a projective surjective morphism of complex varieties. Note that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ -semiample by [CT20, Lem. 2.12(i)], and that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ -big by the same argument as in Step 1. We may therefore replace f by these components of $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ to reduce to the case when \mathbf{Q} is replaced by \mathbf{C} in the statement of Step 3. Now the required vanishing holds in situation (i) by relative Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing for complex algebraic varieties [KMM87, Thm. 1-2-3].

It remains to show Theorem B(ii) holds in the special case stated in Step 3. By flat base change and the fact that the formation of ω_X is compatible with ground field extensions [Har66, Ch. V, Cor. 3.4(a)], it suffices to show that denoting by

$$f_{\mathbf{C}}: X_{\mathbf{C}} \longrightarrow Y_{\mathbf{C}}$$

the base change of f along the field extension $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, the morphisms

$$R^i f_* (\omega_{X_{\mathbf{C}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbf{C}}}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{C}}) \longrightarrow R^i f_* (\omega_{X_{\mathbf{C}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbf{C}}}} (\mathcal{L}(D))_{\mathbf{C}})$$

are injective for all i , where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $(\mathcal{L}(D))_{\mathbf{C}}$ are the pullbacks of \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{L}(D)$ to $X_{\mathbf{C}}$, respectively. This statement holds by Fujino’s version of Kollár’s injectivity theorem for simple normal crossings pairs [Fuj17, Thm. 5.6.1]. \square

Remark 5.5. We only used Theorem 5.3 in Step 2, where the scheme X in that step is the scheme X_y which is of finite type over a local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ of Y in the original notation of Theorem B*. Thus, to show Theorem B*, it suffices to use the special case of Theorem 5.3 described in Remark 5.4. This means that Theorem B can be shown using [Hir64] instead of [Tem08] and [CR15].

We also note that by Remark 5.4, one can obtain a version of Theorems B and B* where X has rational singularities in the sense of [Kov, Def. 9.9].

6. THE KAWAMATA–VIEHWEG VANISHING THEOREM

Our goal in this section is to deduce our version of Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing (Theorem A) from Theorem B(i). To do so, we establish a covering lemma in §6.1. We then prove Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing (Theorem A) in §6.2. This result is not used in the proof of Theorem C.

6.1. A covering lemma. We start with the following version of Kawamata’s covering lemma (cf. [Kaw81, Thm. 17]).

Lemma 6.1 (cf. [EV92, Lem. 3.19]). *Let X be an integral regular scheme projective over a noetherian local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}) containing \mathbf{Q} . Let*

$$D = \sum_{j=1}^r D_j$$

be a reduced simple normal crossings divisor, and let N_1, N_2, \dots, N_r be positive integers. Then, there exists a finite surjective morphism $\tau: W \rightarrow X$ from a regular integral scheme W such that

- (i) *We have $\tau^* D_j = N_j \cdot (\tau^* D_j)_{\text{red}}$ for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.*
- (ii) *$\tau^* D$ is a simple normal crossings divisor.*
- (iii) *The degree of τ divides some power of $\prod_{j=1}^r N_j$.*

Proof. The same proof as in [EV92, Lem. 3.19] works with the following changes:

- (1) The invertible sheaf \mathcal{A} can be chosen as follows. Let \mathcal{A}' be an f -very ample invertible sheaf on X . Then there exists an integer $n > 0$ such that $\mathcal{A}'^{\otimes n}(-D_1)$ is f -generated [EGAII, Prop. 2.6.8(i)]. Letting $m > 0$ be an integer such that $N_1 \mid (n + m)$ and setting $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}'^{\otimes (n+m)/N_1}$, we have that $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes N_1}(-D_1)$ is f -very ample [EGAII, Prop. 4.4.8].
- (2) The divisors $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{\dim(X)}$ can be constructed as follows. Since $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes N_1}(-D_1)$ is f -very ample, there is a closed immersion $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_R^N$ over R such that $i^*\mathcal{O}(1) \simeq \mathcal{A}^{\otimes N_1}(-D_1)$. We now choose divisors $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{\dim(X)}$ one by one as the vanishing of a section in $H^0(\mathbf{P}_R^N, \mathcal{O}(1))$ such that $\sum_i H_i$ is a simple normal crossings divisor using the Bertini theorem in [BMPSTWW, Thm. 2.11 and Rem. 2.12]. \square

6.2. Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing. We now prove Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing for simple normal crossings divisors on regular \mathbf{Q} -schemes (Theorem A). We follow the proofs in [KMM87, Thm. 1-2-3] and [KM98, Thm. 2.64]. By Proposition 5.2(i), it suffices to show the following statement, which is a generalization of [Kol11, Cor. 20].

Theorem A*. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper maximally dominating morphism of locally noetherian \mathbf{Q} -schemes such that X is regular.*

Let Δ be a \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X with simple normal crossings support such that $[\Delta] = 0$. Consider a divisor L on X such that $L \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} M + \Delta$, where M is an f -nef and f -big \mathbf{Q} -divisor. For every $y \in Y$, denote by $f_y: X_y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$ the base change of f along $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) \rightarrow Y$, denote by L_y the pullback of L to X_y , and set $Z_y = f_y^{-1}(y)$. Then, we have

$$H_{Z_y}^i(X_y, \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-L_y)) = 0$$

for every $y \in Y$ and for all $i < \dim(X_y)$.

Proof. We proceed in a sequence of steps.

Step 1. *We may assume that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective morphism, where X is integral and $Y = \text{Spec}(R)$ for an excellent local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}) . In this case, setting $Z = f^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}\})$, it suffices to show that*

$$H_Z^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-L)) = 0$$

for all $i < \dim(X)$.

We claim we may replace f by its base change $\hat{f}: \hat{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y})$ along the homomorphism $\text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y}) \rightarrow Y$ for each $y \in Y$. Note that the pullback of M to \hat{X} is \hat{f} -nef [Kee03, Lem. 2.20(1)], and the pullback $\hat{\Delta}$ of Δ to \hat{X} satisfies $[\hat{\Delta}] = 0$ and has simple normal crossings support by applying [EGAIV₂, Lem. 7.9.3.1] to each stratum of Δ . The rest of the argument in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem B* then applies.

Step 2. *The vanishing in Step 1 holds when M is f -ample.*

By Theorem B*(i), it suffices to reduce to the case when $\Delta = 0$. The idea is to induce on the number of components in Δ , which we do by showing the following more general result:

Claim 6.1.1. *Let X be an integral regular scheme projective over a noetherian local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}) containing \mathbf{Q} . Let L be a Cartier divisor on X such that*

$$L \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} M + \sum_{j=1}^r a_j D_j,$$

where the D_j are regular (possibly disconnected) divisors, $\sum_j D_j$ is a simple normal crossings divisor, and the a_j are rational numbers in $[0, 1)$. Then, there is a finite surjective morphism $p: Z \rightarrow X$

from a regular integral scheme W and a divisor M_W on W such that $M_W \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} p^*M$ and such that $H_Z^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-L))$ is a direct summand of

$$H_{p^{-1}(Z)}^i(W, \mathcal{O}_W(-M_W)).$$

Proof of Claim 6.1.1. We proceed by induction on r . Write $a_1 = b/m$, where m is a positive integer. By Lemma 6.1 applied to $\sum_j D_j$, $N_1 = m$, and $N_2 = N_3 = \cdots = N_r = 1$, there exists a finite surjective morphism $p_1: X_1 \rightarrow X$ such that $p_1^*D_1 \sim mD$ for some divisor D on X_1 . Moreover, each $p_1^*D_j$ is regular and $\sum_j p_1^*D_j$ is a simple normal crossings divisor. By [KM98, Thm. 2.64, Step 1], the canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow p_{1*}\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ splits, and hence

$$H_Z^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-L)) \longrightarrow H_{p_1^{-1}(Z)}^i(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-p_1^*L))$$

is a split injection.

Now D_1 corresponds to a section of $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(mD)$, and hence we can take the associated m -th cyclic cover $p_2: X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ as in [KM98, Def. 2.50]. Then, [KM98, Lem. 2.51] implies that X_2 is regular, the $p_2^*D_j$ are regular, and $\sum_j p_1^*D_j$ is a simple normal crossings divisor. We have the decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2*}\mathcal{O}_{X_2} &= \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-\ell D), \\ H_{(p_1 \circ p_2)^{-1}(Z)}^i(X_2, \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(-p_2^*p_1^*L + bD)) &= \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{m-1} H_{p_1^{-1}(Z)}^i(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-p_1^*L + (b - \ell)D)). \end{aligned}$$

The $\ell = b$ summand shows that $H^i(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-p_1^*L))$ is a direct summand of the local cohomology module on the left-hand side.

We now have the \mathbf{Q} -linear equivalence

$$p_2^*p_1^*L - bD \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} p_2^*p_1^*M + \sum_{j=2}^r a_j p_2^*p_1^*D_j,$$

which satisfies the hypotheses of Claim 6.1.1. By the inductive hypothesis, there exists a finite surjective morphism $W \rightarrow X_2$ satisfying the conclusion of Claim 6.1.1 for X_2 . The composition $W \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X$ then satisfies the conclusion of Claim 6.1.1 for X . \square

The special case in Step 2 now follows by constructing a finite surjective morphism $p: Z \rightarrow X$ as in Claim 6.1.1, in which case we have an injection

$$H_Z^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-L)) \hookrightarrow H_{p^{-1}(Z)}^i(W, \mathcal{O}_W(-M_W)).$$

Since $M_W \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} p^*M$, it is f -ample [EGAII, Prop. 5.1.12], and hence the right-hand side vanishes by Theorem B*(i).

Step 3. *Conclusion of proof.*

This step is identical to Step 2 in the proof of [KMM87, Thm. 1-2-3], since Kodaira's lemma [KMM87, Cor. 0-3-4], Kleiman's criterion for f -ampleness [Kle66, Ch. IV, §4, Thm. 2; Kee03, Thm. 3.9; Kee18, Thm. E2.2], resolutions of singularities [Hir64, Ch. I, §3, Main Thm. I(n)], and an appropriate version of the logarithmic ramification formula [Kol13, Prop. 2.18] all hold in this setting. \square

Remark 6.2. Theorems A and A* hold when Δ only has normal crossings support under the assumption that Y is locally excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Y^\bullet . This is because under the quasi-excellence hypothesis, one does not need to pass to the completion as we did in Step 1 above, and the proof of Step 3 reduces to the case when Δ has simple normal crossings support and when M is f -ample, which is exactly what we established in Step 2.

7. RATIONAL SINGULARITIES AND BOUTOT'S THEOREM

We now want to prove our version of Boutot's theorem (Theorem C) as an application of Theorem B*. We will start with some preliminaries on rational singularities and their definition in §7.1, and prove Theorem C in §7.2.

7.1. Kempf's criterion and a definition for rational singularities. We start by noting that Kempf's criterion holds for quasi-excellent \mathbf{Q} -schemes with dualizing complexes. This gives a proof of Kempf's criterion in equal characteristic zero independent of [Kov, Thm. 8.6].

The trace morphism below comes from the adjunction $\mathbf{R}f_* \dashv f^!$ in Grothendieck duality [Har66, Ch. VI, Cor. 3.4(b); Con00, Lem. 3.4.3].

Proposition 7.1 (cf. [KKMSD73, Prop. on p. 50; Koll13, Prop. 2.77]). *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper birational morphism of noetherian \mathbf{Q} -schemes such that X is regular and such that Y has a dualizing complex ω_Y^\bullet . Denote by ω_X the unique cohomology sheaf of $f^!\omega_Y^\bullet$.*

The following are equivalent:

- (i) Y is normal and $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X = 0$ for all $i > 0$.
- (ii) Y is Cohen–Macaulay and the trace morphism $f_* \omega_X \rightarrow \omega_Y$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. The proof of [Koll13, Prop. 2.77] applies, since Grothendieck duality holds in our setting [Har66, Ch. VII, Cor. 3.4(c); Con00, Thm. 3.4.4], and since the condition (3) in [Koll13, Def. 2.76] and [Koll13, Prop. 2.77] hold by Theorem B(i) applied to $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X$. \square

We adopt the following definition for rational singularities, modeled on condition (i) in Proposition 7.1 above. These singularities are called *resolution-rational* in [Kov, Def. 9.1].

Definition 7.2 (cf. [KKMSD73, p. 51; Koll13, Def. 2.76]). Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a quasi-excellent local \mathbf{Q} -algebra. We say that R has *rational singularities* if R is normal and if for every proper birational morphism $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ such that X is regular, we have $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Now let Y be a normal locally quasi-excellent locally noetherian \mathbf{Q} -scheme. We say that Y has *rational singularities* if every local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ has rational singularities. If R is a locally quasi-excellent noetherian ring, we say that R has *rational singularities* if $\text{Spec}(R)$ does.

The condition in Definition 7.2 is not vacuous since resolutions of singularities exist for quasi-excellent local \mathbf{Q} -algebras by [Hir64, Ch. I, §3, Main Thm. I(n)]. We check that this definition localizes.

Lemma 7.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a quasi-excellent local \mathbf{Q} -algebra with rational singularities. Then, $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has rational singularities for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$, and hence $\text{Spec}(R)$ has rational singularities.*

Proof. Since normality is a local condition, it suffices to consider the condition on proper birational morphisms.

Let $f_{\mathfrak{p}}: X_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be a proper birational morphism from a regular scheme $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We can then find a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & X \\ f_{\mathfrak{p}} \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \text{Spec}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(R) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal morphisms are localizing immersions in the sense of [Nay09, Def. 2.7] and g is proper by Nayak's version of Nagata compactification [Nay09, Thm. 4.1]. Set $\overline{X_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ to be the scheme-theoretic closure of $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in X . Since $X_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow X$ is quasi-compact, the underlying set of $\overline{X_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is equal to the set-theoretic closure of $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in X by [EGAI_{new}, Cor. 6.10.6(1)]. The morphism $\overline{X_{\mathfrak{p}}} \rightarrow Y$ is therefore birational.

We now let $\pi_1: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities that is an isomorphism along the set-theoretic image of $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $\overline{X_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, which exists by [Hir64, Ch. I, §3, Main Thm. I(n)]. We then obtain the proper birational morphism

$$f := (g \circ \pi_1): \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$$

from the regular scheme \tilde{X} whose base change to $\mathrm{Spec}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is the morphism $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By assumption, we have $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = 0$ for all $i > 0$, and hence $R^i f_{\mathfrak{p}*} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{p}}} = 0$ for all $i > 0$ by flat base change. \square

7.2. Boutot's theorem. Finally, we are ready to prove our version of Boutot's theorem for quasi-excellent \mathbf{Q} -algebras (Theorem C). This solves a conjecture of Boutot [Bou87, Rem. 1 on p. 67] and answers a question of Schoutens [Sch08, (2) on p. 611] in the locally quasi-excellent case. See Remark 7.4 for further discussion on Schoutens's question.

Proof of Theorem C. We follow the proof in [Bou87]. First, by [Has10, Cor. 3.12], R is noetherian and normal, and $R \rightarrow R'$ is pure. By noetherian induction, it suffices to show that if $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ is a prime ideal, and $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ has rational singularities for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \supsetneq \mathfrak{p}$, then $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has rational singularities. Replacing R by $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we may assume that R is a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) that has rational singularities away from \mathfrak{m} . After localizing R' at a suitable maximal ideal, we may also assume that $R \rightarrow R'$ is a pure homomorphism of quasi-excellent local domains [HH95, Lem. 2.2]. If $\dim(R) \leq 1$, then there is nothing to show since R is regular. We may therefore assume that $\dim(R) \geq 2$.

Let $f: W \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a proper birational morphism such that W is regular. Then, there is a unique irreducible component of $W \otimes_R R'$ that is birational to $\mathrm{Spec}(R')$ since only the generic point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R')$ lies over the generic point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ by the fact that $R \rightarrow R'$ is an injection of domains. By [Hir64, Ch. I, §3, Main Thm. I(n)], there exists a resolution of singularities W' of that component, which yields the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(R') & \xleftarrow{f'} & W' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(R) & \xleftarrow{f} & W \end{array}$$

Now let $U = \mathrm{Spec}(R) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, and let U' (resp. V, V') be the inverse image of U in $\mathrm{Spec}(R')$ (resp. W, W'). For each $i > 0$, we then have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^i(W', \mathcal{O}_{W'}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(V', \mathcal{O}_{V'}) & \xleftarrow{h_{U'V'}} & H^i(U', \mathcal{O}_{U'}) \\ h_{WW'} \uparrow & & \uparrow h_{VV'} & & \uparrow h_{UU'} \\ H^i(W, \mathcal{O}_W) & \xrightarrow{h_{WV}} & H^i(V, \mathcal{O}_V) & \xleftarrow{h_{UV}} & H^i(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \end{array} \quad (10)$$

of R -modules, where each arrow is induced by pulling back local sections of structure sheaves. Since $H^i(W', \mathcal{O}_{W'}) = 0$ by the hypothesis that R' has rational singularities, to show that $H^i(W, \mathcal{O}_W) = 0$, it suffices to show that $h_{WW'}$ is injective.

We proceed by showing that various arrows in (10) are injective. We claim that $h_{VV'}$ is injective. First, h_{UV} is an isomorphism since the inductive hypothesis says that U has rational singularities and hence the Leray spectral sequence for the composition $V \rightarrow U \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ degenerates. For the same reason, since U' has rational singularities, $h_{U'V'}$ is an isomorphism. The arrow $h_{UU'}$ is injective since it fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(U', \mathcal{O}_{U'}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+1}(R') \\ h_{UU'} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^i(U, \mathcal{O}_U) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+1}(R) \end{array}$$

by Lemma 3.12, and the right vertical arrow is injective by the purity of $R \rightarrow R'$ [HR74, Cor. 6.8]. By the commutativity of the diagram (10), this shows that $h_{VV'}$ is injective.

We now claim that h_{WV} is injective. The homomorphism h_{WV} fits into the exact sequence

$$H_{f^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}\})}^i(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \longrightarrow H^i(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \xrightarrow{h_{WV}} H^i(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$$

by Lemma 3.12. For every $i < d = \dim(R)$, the local cohomology module on the left-hand side vanishes by Theorem B*(i) applied to $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_W$, and hence h_{WV} is injective. When $i = d$, the exact sequence above fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{d-1}(V, \mathcal{O}_V) & \longrightarrow & H_{f^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{m}\})}^d(W, \mathcal{O}_W) & \longrightarrow & H^d(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \xrightarrow{h_{WV}} H^d(V, \mathcal{O}_V) \\ h_{UV} \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow & & \\ H^{d-1}(U, \mathcal{O}_U) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) & & \end{array}$$

by Lemma 3.12, where the top row is exact. The left vertical arrow is an isomorphism by the previous paragraph. The right vertical arrow is surjective by [LT81, Rem. (b) on p. 103]. By the commutativity of the diagram, the top left horizontal arrow is surjective, and hence h_{WV} is injective.

Finally, the commutativity of the diagram in (10) shows that the homomorphism $h_{WW'}$ is injective, as desired. \square

Remark 7.4. In [Sch08, (2) on p. 611], Schoutens asks the following: Given a cyclically pure homomorphism $R \rightarrow R'$ of \mathbf{Q} -algebras, if the local rings of R' are pseudo-rational, then are the local rings of R pseudo-rational? Here, pseudo-rationality is defined as in [LT81, p. 102]. Schoutens showed that in this situation, if R' is regular, then the local rings of R are pseudo-rational [Sch08, Main Thm. A].

We note that pseudo-rationality is equivalent to our definition in Definition 7.2 for quasi-excellent local \mathbf{Q} -algebras: by [LT81, (iv)' on p. 102], both definitions can be checked by taking resolutions of singularities $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$, and then we may use Proposition 7.1 and [LT81, Cor. of (iii) on p. 107] after replacing $\text{Spec}(R)$ by its completion. Note that $X \otimes_R \widehat{R}$ is regular by [EGAIV₂, Lem. 7.9.3.1].

We can partially remove the quasi-excellence assumptions in Theorem C. This result gives a new proof of Schoutens's theorem [Sch08, Main Thm. A] mentioned in Remark 7.4.

Corollary 7.5. *Let $R \rightarrow R'$ be a cyclically pure homomorphism of \mathbf{Q} -algebras. If the completion of R' at every maximal (resp. prime) ideal in R' is pseudo-rational, then every localization of R at a maximal (resp. prime) ideal is pseudo-rational. In particular, if R' is regular, then every localization of R at a prime ideal is pseudo-rational.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem C, we can replace R with a localization at a maximal (resp. prime) ideal \mathfrak{m} and R' with a localization at a maximal (resp. prime) ideal \mathfrak{n} lying over \mathfrak{m} to assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (R', \mathfrak{n})$ is a pure homomorphism of noetherian local domains. Denoting by \widehat{R} and \widehat{R}' the \mathfrak{m} -adic and \mathfrak{n} -adic completions of R and R' , respectively, it suffices to show that if \widehat{R}' is pseudo-rational, then R is pseudo-rational.

We claim that the homomorphism $\widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{R}'$ is pure. Since $R' \rightarrow \widehat{R}'$ is faithfully flat, it is pure, and hence $R \rightarrow R' \rightarrow \widehat{R}'$ is pure [HR74, p. 136]. Since R' is \mathfrak{m} -adically complete, this shows that $\widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{R}'$ is pure by [HR74, Cor. 6.13].

We now show the corollary. Since both \widehat{R} and \widehat{R}' are complete noetherian local rings, they are excellent [EGAIV₂, Sch. 7.8.3(iii)]. Since \widehat{R}' has rational singularities by Remark 7.4, we see that \widehat{R} has rational singularities by Theorem C. Finally, this implies that R is pseudo-rational by

[Mur, Prop. 4.15]. The “in particular” statement follows by the fact that all regular local rings are pseudo-rational [LT81, §4]. \square

REFERENCES

- [AN82] H. Andr eka and I. N emeti. “Direct limits and filtered colimits are strongly equivalent in all categories.” *Universal algebra and applications (Warsaw, 1978)*. Banach Center Publ., Vol. 9. Warsaw: PWN, 1982, pp. 75–88. DOI: [10.4064/-9-1-75-88](https://doi.org/10.4064/-9-1-75-88). MR: [738804](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=738804). 7
- [BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. M cKernan. “Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type.” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23.2 (2010), pp. 405–468. DOI: [10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00649-3](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00649-3). MR: [2601039](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2601039). 2
- [BFJ16] S. Boucksom, C. Favre, and M. Jonsson. “Singular semipositive metrics in non-Archimedean geometry.” *J. Algebraic Geom.* 25.1 (2016), pp. 77–139. DOI: [10.1090/jag/656](https://doi.org/10.1090/jag/656). MR: [3419957](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3419957). 2
- [Bha12] B. Bhatt. “Derived splinters in positive characteristic.” *Compos. Math.* 148.6 (2012), pp. 1757–1786. DOI: [10.1112/S0010437X12000309](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X12000309). MR: [2999303](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2999303). 4
- [Bha] B. Bhatt. “Cohen-Macaulayness of absolute integral closures.” Aug. 18, 2020. [arXiv:2008.08070v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.08070v1) [math.AG]. 4
- [BK] F. Bernasconi and J. Koll ar. “Vanishing theorems for threefolds in characteristic $p > 5$.” Feb. 11, 2021. [arXiv:2012.08343v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.08343v2) [math.AG]. 2, 3, 18
- [BMPSTWW] B. Bhatt, L. Ma, Zs. Patakfalvi, K. Schwede, K. Tucker, J. Waldron, and J. Witaszek. “Globally $+$ -regular varieties and the minimal model program for threefolds in mixed characteristic.” Dec. 31, 2020. [arXiv:2012.15801v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.15801v1) [math.AG]. 4, 22
- [Bou87] J.-F. Boutot. “Singularit es rationnelles et quotients par les groupes r eductifs.” *Invent. Math.* 88.1 (1987), pp. 65–68. DOI: [10.1007/BF01405091](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01405091). MR: [877006](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=877006). 2, 3, 25
- [CE56] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg. *Homological algebra*. With an appendix by D. A. Buchsbaum. Princeton Math. Ser., Vol. 19. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press, 1956. DOI: [10.1515/9781400883844](https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400883844). MR: [77480](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=77480). 10
- [ ces] K.  esnavi cius. “Macaulayfication of Noetherian schemes.” *Duke Math. J.* Advanced publication (2020), 37 pp. DOI: [10.1215/00127094-2020-0063](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2020-0063). 18
- [CH05] S. D. Cutkosky and H. T. H a. “Arithmetic Macaulayfication of projective schemes.” *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 201.1-3 (2005), pp. 49–61. DOI: [10.1016/j.jpaa.2004.12.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2004.12.014). MR: [2158747](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2158747). 18
- [CL12] P. Cascini and V. Lazi c. “New outlook on the minimal model program, I.” *Duke Math. J.* 161.12 (2012), pp. 2415–2467. DOI: [10.1215/00127094-1723755](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-1723755). MR: [2972461](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2972461). 2
- [CL13] A. Corti and V. Lazi c. “New outlook on the minimal model program, II.” *Math. Ann.* 356.2 (2013), pp. 617–633. DOI: [10.1007/s00208-012-0858-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-012-0858-1). MR: [3048609](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3048609). 2
- [Con00] B. Conrad. *Grothendieck duality and base change*. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1750. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2000. See also [Con11]. DOI: [10.1007/b75857](https://doi.org/10.1007/b75857). MR: [1804902](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1804902). 5, 17, 24
- [Con07] B. Conrad. “Deligne’s notes on Nagata compactifications.” *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* 22.3 (2007), pp. 205–257. See also [Con09]. MR: [2356346](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2356346). 7, 18
- [Con09] B. Conrad. “Erratum for “Deligne’s notes on Nagata compactifications”.” *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* 24.4 (2009), pp. 427–428. MR: [2599570](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2599570). 27
- [Con11] B. Conrad. “Clarifications and corrections for *Grothendieck duality and base change*.” Oct. 27, 2011. URL: <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/dualitycorrections.pdf>. 27
- [CR15] A. Chatzistamatiou and K. R ulling. “Vanishing of the higher direct images of the structure sheaf.” *Compos. Math.* 151.11 (2015), pp. 2131–2144. DOI: [10.1112/S0010437X15007435](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X15007435). MR: [3427575](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3427575). 3, 18, 21
- [CT20] P. Cascini and H. Tanaka. “Relative semi-ampleness in positive characteristic.” *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 121.3 (2020), pp. 617–655. DOI: [10.1112/plms.12323](https://doi.org/10.1112/plms.12323). MR: [4100119](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=4100119). 14, 19, 20, 21
- [dFEM10] T. de Fernex, L. Ein, and M. Musta a. “Shokurov’s ACC conjecture for log canonical thresholds on smooth varieties.” *Duke Math. J.* 152.1 (2010), pp. 93–114. DOI: [10.1215/00127094-2010-008](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2010-008). MR: [2643057](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2643057). 1
- [dFEM11] T. de Fernex, L. Ein, and M. Musta a. “Log canonical thresholds on varieties with bounded singularities.” *Classification of algebraic varieties*. EMS Ser. Congr. Rep. Z urich: Eur. Math. Soc., 2011, pp. 221–257. DOI: [10.4171/007-1/10](https://doi.org/10.4171/007-1/10). MR: [2779474](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2779474). 1
- [EGAI_{new}] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonn e. *El ements de g eom trie alg brique. I*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Vol. 166. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1971. MR: [3075000](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3075000). 4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 15, 19, 24
- [EGAI] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonn e. “El ements de g eom trie alg brique. II.  tude globale  l mentaire de quelques classes de morphismes.” *Inst. Hautes  tudes Sci. Publ. Math.* 8 (1961), pp. 1–222. DOI: [10.1007/BF02699291](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02699291). MR: [217084](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=217084). 5, 11, 14, 19, 22, 23

- [EGAIII₁] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. I.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 11 (1961), pp. 1–167. DOI: [10.1007/BF02684322](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02684322). MR: [217085](#). [9](#), [14](#), [15](#), [17](#), [20](#)
- [EGAIV₂] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 24 (1965), pp. 1–231. DOI: [10.1007/BF02684322](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02684322). MR: [199181](#). [4](#), [5](#), [13](#), [15](#), [18](#), [19](#), [22](#), [26](#)
- [EGAIV₃] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 28 (1966), pp. 1–255. DOI: [10.1007/BF02684343](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02684343). MR: [217086](#). [3](#), [4](#), [6](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#), [15](#), [20](#)
- [EGAIV₄] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. IV.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 32 (1967), pp. 1–361. DOI: [10.1007/BF02732123](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02732123). MR: [238860](#). [4](#), [20](#)
- [EKL95] L. Ein, O. Küchle, and R. Lazarsfeld. “Local positivity of ample line bundles.” *J. Differential Geom.* 42.2 (1995), pp. 193–219. DOI: [10.4310/jdg/1214457231](https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214457231). MR: [1366545](#). [5](#)
- [EV92] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg. *Lectures on vanishing theorems*. DMV Seminar, Vol. 20. Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag, 1992. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-0348-8600-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-8600-0). MR: [1193913](#). [1](#), [21](#), [22](#)
- [FK06] K. Fujiwara and F. Kato. “Rigid geometry and applications.” *Moduli spaces and arithmetic geometry*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 45. Tokyo: Math. Soc. Japan, 2006, pp. 327–386. DOI: [10.2969/aspm/04510327](https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/04510327). MR: [2310255](#). [7](#)
- [FK18] K. Fujiwara and F. Kato. *Foundations of rigid geometry. I*. EMS Monogr. Math. Zürich: Eur. Math. Soc., 2018. DOI: [10.4171/135](https://doi.org/10.4171/135). MR: [3752648](#). [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [10](#), [11](#)
- [Fuj17] O. Fujino. *Foundations of the minimal model program*. MSJ Memoirs, Vol. 35. Tokyo: Math. Soc. Japan, 2017. DOI: [10.2969/msjmemoirs/035010000](https://doi.org/10.2969/msjmemoirs/035010000). MR: [3643725](#). [1](#), [5](#), [21](#)
- [GR70] H. Grauert and O. Riemenschneider. “Verschwindungssätze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf komplexen Räumen.” *Invent. Math.* 11 (1970), pp. 263–292. DOI: [10.1007/BF01403182](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01403182). MR: [302938](#). [3](#)
- [Gro67] A. Grothendieck. *Local cohomology*. Lecture notes by R. Hartshorne from a seminar given at Harvard, Fall 1961. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 41. Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1967. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0073971](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0073971). MR: [224620](#). [9](#), [10](#), [20](#)
- [Har66] R. Hartshorne. *Residues and duality*. Lecture notes from a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck given at Harvard, 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 20. Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1966. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0080482](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0080482). MR: [222093](#). [2](#), [5](#), [16](#), [17](#), [21](#), [24](#)
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 52. New York-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1977. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4757-3849-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-3849-0). MR: [463157](#). [4](#), [9](#), [10](#), [14](#)
- [Has10] M. Hashimoto. “ F -pure homomorphisms, strong F -regularity, and F -injectivity.” *Comm. Algebra* 38.12 (2010), pp. 4569–4596. DOI: [10.1080/00927870903431241](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870903431241). MR: [2764840](#). [25](#)
- [HH92] M. Hochster and C. Huneke. “Infinite integral extensions and big Cohen-Macaulay algebras.” *Ann. of Math. (2)* 135.1 (1992), pp. 53–89. DOI: [10.2307/2946563](https://doi.org/10.2307/2946563). MR: [1147957](#). [4](#)
- [HH95] M. Hochster and C. Huneke. “Applications of the existence of big Cohen-Macaulay algebras.” *Adv. Math.* 113.1 (1995), pp. 45–117. DOI: [10.1006/aima.1995.1035](https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1995.1035). MR: [1332808](#). [25](#)
- [Hir64] H. Hironaka. “Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. I.” *Ann. of Math. (2)* 79.1 (1964), pp. 109–203. DOI: [10.2307/1970486](https://doi.org/10.2307/1970486). MR: [199184](#). [1](#), [3](#), [4](#), [12](#), [18](#), [21](#), [23](#), [24](#), [25](#)
- [HMX14] C. D. Hacon, J. M^cKernan, and C. Xu. “ACC for log canonical thresholds.” *Ann. of Math. (2)* 180.2 (2014), pp. 523–571. DOI: [10.4007/annals.2014.180.2.3](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2014.180.2.3). MR: [3224718](#). [1](#)
- [HM10] C. D. Hacon and J. M^cKernan. “Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. II.” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23.2 (2010), pp. 469–490. DOI: [10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00651-1](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00651-1). MR: [2601040](#). [2](#)
- [HO74] R. Hartshorne and A. Ogus. “On the factoriality of local rings of small embedding codimension.” *Comm. Algebra* 1 (1974), pp. 415–437. DOI: [10.1080/00927877408548627](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927877408548627). MR: [347821](#). [3](#), [16](#)
- [HO08] M. Hashimoto and M. Ohtani. “Local cohomology on diagrams of schemes.” *Michigan Math. J.* 57 (2008): *Special volume in honor of Melvin Hochster*, pp. 383–425. See also [HO09]. DOI: [10.1307/mmj/1220879415](https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1220879415). MR: [2492459](#). [9](#), [19](#)
- [HO09] M. Hashimoto and M. Ohtani. “Errata: “Local cohomology on diagrams of schemes”.” *Michigan Math. J.* 58.2 (2009), pp. 599–600. DOI: [10.1307/mmj/1250169078](https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1250169078). MR: [2595555](#). [28](#)
- [Hoc69] M. Hochster. “Prime ideal structure in commutative rings.” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 142 (1969), pp. 43–60. DOI: [10.2307/1995344](https://doi.org/10.2307/1995344). MR: [251026](#). [7](#)
- [Hoc73] M. Hochster. “Non-openness of loci in Noetherian rings.” *Duke Math. J.* 40.1 (1973), pp. 215–219. DOI: [10.1215/S0012-7094-73-04020-9](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-73-04020-9). MR: [311653](#). [5](#)

- [Hoc77] M. Hochster. “Cyclic purity versus purity in excellent Noetherian rings.” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 231.2 (1977), pp. 463–488. DOI: [10.2307/1997914](https://doi.org/10.2307/1997914). MR: [463152](#). [3](#)
- [HR74] M. Hochster and J. L. Roberts. “Rings of invariants of reductive groups acting on regular rings are Cohen-Macaulay.” *Advances in Math.* 13 (1974), pp. 115–175. DOI: [10.1016/0001-8708\(74\)90067-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(74)90067-X). MR: [347810](#). [3](#), [26](#)
- [ILO14] L. Illusie, Y. Laszlo, and F. Orgogozo, eds. *Travaux de Gabber sur l’uniformisation locale et la cohomologie étale des schémas quasi-excellents*. Séminaire à l’École Polytechnique 2006–2008. With the collaboration of F. Déglise, A. Moreau, V. Pilloni, M. Raynaud, J. Riou, B. Stroh, M. Temkin, and W. Zheng. Astérisque, Vol. 363-364. Paris: Soc. Math. France, 2014. MR: [3309086](#). [2](#), [4](#), [19](#), [21](#)
- [Ish64] T. Ishikawa. “Faithfully exact functors and their applications to projective modules and injective modules.” *Nagoya Math. J.* 24 (1964), pp. 29–42. DOI: [10.1017/s0027763000011326](https://doi.org/10.1017/s0027763000011326). MR: [169888](#). [17](#), [18](#)
- [Kaw81] Y. Kawamata. “Characterization of abelian varieties.” *Compositio Math.* 43.2 (1981), pp. 253–276. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item/CM_1981__43_2_253_0. MR: [622451](#). [21](#)
- [Kaw82] Y. Kawamata. “A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam’s vanishing theorem.” *Math. Ann.* 261.1 (1982), pp. 43–46. DOI: [10.1007/BF01456407](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01456407). MR: [675204](#). [1](#)
- [Kaw94] Y. Kawamata. “Semistable minimal models of threefolds in positive or mixed characteristic.” *J. Algebraic Geom.* 3.3 (1994), pp. 463–491. MR: [1269717](#). [2](#)
- [Kaw99] Y. Kawamata. “Index 1 covers of log terminal surface singularities.” *J. Algebraic Geom.* 8.3 (1999), pp. 519–527. MR: [1689354](#). [2](#)
- [Kaw00] T. Kawasaki. “On Macaulayfication of Noetherian schemes.” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 352.6 (2000), pp. 2517–2552. DOI: [10.1090/S0002-9947-00-02603-9](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-00-02603-9). MR: [1707481](#). [18](#)
- [Kaw15] M. Kawakita. “A connectedness theorem over the spectrum of a formal power series ring.” *Internat. J. Math.* 26.11 (2015), 1550088, 27 pp. DOI: [10.1142/S0129167X15500883](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X15500883). MR: [3413983](#). [2](#)
- [Kee03] D. S. Keeler. “Ample filters of invertible sheaves.” *J. Algebra* 259.1 (2003), pp. 243–283. See also [Kee18]. DOI: [10.1016/S0021-8693\(02\)00557-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8693(02)00557-4). MR: [1953719](#). [22](#), [23](#)
- [Kee18] D. S. Keeler. “Corrigendum to “Ample filters of invertible sheaves”.” *J. Algebra* 507 (2018), pp. 592–598. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2018.03.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2018.03.024). MR: [3807062](#). [23](#), [29](#)
- [KKMSD73] G. Kempf, F. F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, and B. Saint-Donat. *Toroidal embeddings. I*. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 339. Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1973. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0070318](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0070318). MR: [335518](#). [24](#)
- [Kle66] S. L. Kleiman. “Toward a numerical theory of ampleness.” *Ann. of Math. (2)* 84 (1966), pp. 293–344. DOI: [10.2307/1970447](https://doi.org/10.2307/1970447). MR: [206009](#). [4](#), [23](#)
- [Kle05] S. L. Kleiman. “The Picard scheme.” *Fundamental algebraic geometry*. Math. Surveys Monogr., Vol. 123. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 2005, pp. 235–321. DOI: [10.1090/surv/123/09](https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/123/09). MR: [2223410](#). [4](#)
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. Cambridge Tracts in Math., Vol. 134. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998. DOI: [10.1017/CB09780511662560](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511662560). MR: [1658959](#). [1](#), [22](#), [23](#)
- [KMM87] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, and K. Matsuki. “Introduction to the minimal model problem.” *Algebraic geometry (Sendai, 1985)*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 10. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1987, pp. 283–360. DOI: [10.2969/aspm/01010283](https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/01010283). MR: [946243](#). [1](#), [2](#), [5](#), [21](#), [22](#), [23](#)
- [Kod53] K. Kodaira. “On a differential-geometric method in the theory of analytic stacks.” *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 39 (1953), pp. 1268–1273. DOI: [10.1073/pnas.39.12.1268](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.39.12.1268). MR: [66693](#). [1](#)
- [Kol86] J. Kollár. “Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. I.” *Ann. of Math. (2)* 123.1 (1986), pp. 11–42. DOI: [10.2307/1971351](https://doi.org/10.2307/1971351). MR: [825838](#). [3](#)
- [Kol11] J. Kollár. “A local version of the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem.” *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 7.4 (2011): *Special Issue: In memory of Eckart Viehweg*, pp. 1477–1494. DOI: [10.4310/PAMQ.2011.v7.n4.a18](https://doi.org/10.4310/PAMQ.2011.v7.n4.a18). MR: [2918170](#). [22](#)
- [Kol13] J. Kollár. *Singularities of the minimal model program*. With a collaboration of S. J. Kovács. Cambridge Tracts in Math., Vol. 200. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013. DOI: [10.1017/CB09781139547895](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139547895). MR: [3057950](#). [2](#), [23](#), [24](#)
- [Kol] J. Kollár. “Relative MMP without \mathbf{Q} -factoriality.” Dec. 9, 2020. [arXiv:2012.05327v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.05327v1) [math.AG]. [2](#)
- [Kov] S. J. Kovács. “Rational singularities.” Jul. 23, 2020. [arXiv:1703.02269v8](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.02269v8) [math.AG]. [3](#), [18](#), [21](#), [24](#)
- [Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series*. Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), Vol. 48. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2004. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-18808-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-18808-4). MR: [2095471](#). [1](#)

- [Lip78] J. Lipman. “Desingularization of two-dimensional schemes.” *Ann. Math. (2)* 107.1 (1978), pp. 151–207. DOI: [10.2307/1971141](https://doi.org/10.2307/1971141). MR: [491722](#). 2, 3, 16
- [LT81] J. Lipman and B. Teissier. “Pseudorational local rings and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda about integral closures of ideals.” *Michigan Math. J.* 28.1 (1981), pp. 97–116. DOI: [10.1307/mmj/1029002461](https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1029002461). MR: [600418](#). 26, 27
- [Mat80] H. Matsumura. *Commutative algebra*. Second ed. Mathematics Lecture Note Series, Vol. 56. Reading, MA: Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., 1980. MR: [575344](#). 5
- [MN15] M. Mustață and J. Nicaise. “Weight functions on non-Archimedean analytic spaces and the Kontsevich-Soibelman skeleton.” *Algebr. Geom.* 2.3 (2015), pp. 365–404. DOI: [10.14231/AG-2015-016](https://doi.org/10.14231/AG-2015-016). MR: [3370127](#). 2, 3
- [Mur] T. Murayama. “A uniform treatment of Grothendieck’s localization problem.” Apr. 14, 2020. [arXiv:2004.06737v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.06737v1) [[math.AG](#)]. 27
- [Nag63] M. Nagata. “A generalization of the imbedding problem of an abstract variety in a complete variety.” *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 3 (1963), pp. 89–102. DOI: [10.1215/kjm/1250524859](https://doi.org/10.1215/kjm/1250524859). MR: [158892](#). 7
- [Nak87] N. Nakayama. “The lower semicontinuity of the plurigenera of complex varieties.” *Algebraic geometry (Sendai, 1985)*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 10. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1987, pp. 551–590. DOI: [10.2969/aspm/01010551](https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/01010551). MR: [946250](#). 2
- [Nay09] S. Nayak. “Compactification for essentially finite-type maps.” *Adv. Math.* 222.2 (2009), pp. 527–546. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2009.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2009.05.002). MR: [2538019](#). 24
- [Pan03] I. A. Panin. “The equicharacteristic case of the Gersten conjecture.” *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* 241 (2003): *Number theory, algebra, and algebraic geometry. Collected papers dedicated to the 80th birthday of academician Igor’ Rostislavovich Shafarevich*, pp. 169–178. URL: <http://mi.mathnet.ru/tm394>. MR: [2024050](#). 4, 8, 18
- [Pop86] D. Popescu. “General Néron desingularization and approximation.” *Nagoya Math. J.* 104 (1986), pp. 85–115. DOI: [10.1017/S0027763000022698](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000022698). MR: [868439](#). 4
- [Pop90] D. Popescu. “Letter to the editor: “General Néron desingularization and approximation”.” *Nagoya Math. J.* 118 (1990), pp. 45–53. DOI: [10.1017/S0027763000002981](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000002981). MR: [1060701](#). 4
- [Qui73] D. Quillen. “Higher algebraic K -theory. I.” *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341. Berlin: Springer, 1973, pp. 85–147. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0067053](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0067053). MR: [338129](#). 4
- [RG71] M. Raynaud and L. Gruson. “Critères de platitude et de projectivité. Techniques de “platification” d’un module.” *Invent. Math.* 13 (1971), pp. 1–89. DOI: [10.1007/BF01390094](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01390094). MR: [308104](#). 7, 18
- [Sch08] H. Schoutens. “Pure subrings of regular rings are pseudo-rational.” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360.2 (2008), pp. 609–627. DOI: [10.1090/S0002-9947-07-04134-7](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-07-04134-7). MR: [2346464](#). 3, 25, 26
- [SGA4₂] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J. L. Verdier, eds. *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 2. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4)*. With the collaboration of N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne, and B. Saint-Donat. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 270. Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1972. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0061319](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0061319). MR: [354653](#). 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9
- [Ska] C. Skalit. “Regular morphisms and Gersten’s conjecture.” Oct. 1, 2017. [arXiv:1710.00303v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.00303v1) [[math.KT](#)]. 4
- [Smi97a] K. E. Smith. “Vanishing, singularities and effective bounds via prime characteristic local algebra.” *Algebraic geometry (Santa Cruz, 1995)*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 62, Pt. 1. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1997, pp. 289–325. See also [[Smi97b](#)]. DOI: [10.1090/pspum/062.1/1492526](https://doi.org/10.1090/pspum/062.1/1492526). MR: [1492526](#). 4
- [Smi97b] K. E. Smith. “Erratum to Vanishing, singularities and effective bounds via prime characteristic local algebra.” Nov. 25, 1997. URL: <http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~kesmith/santaerratum.ps>. 4, 30
- [Swan98] R. G. Swan. “Néron-Popescu desingularization.” *Algebra and geometry (Taipei, 1995)*. Lect. Algebra Geom., Vol. 2. Cambridge, MA: Int. Press, 1998, pp. 135–192. MR: [1697953](#). 4
- [Tan18] H. Tanaka. “Minimal model program for excellent surfaces.” *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 68.1 (2018), pp. 345–376. DOI: [10.5802/aif.3163](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.3163). MR: [3795482](#). 2
- [Tem08] M. Temkin. “Desingularization of quasi-excellent schemes in characteristic zero.” *Adv. Math.* 219.2 (2008), pp. 488–522. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2008.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2008.05.006). MR: [2435647](#). 4, 12, 18, 21
- [Tem10] M. Temkin. “Stable modification of relative curves.” *J. Algebraic Geom.* 19.4 (2010), pp. 603–677. DOI: [10.1090/S1056-3911-2010-00560-7](https://doi.org/10.1090/S1056-3911-2010-00560-7). MR: [2669727](#). 7
- [Tem18] M. Temkin. “Functional desingularization over \mathbf{Q} : boundaries and the embedded case.” *Israel J. Math.* 224.1 (2018), pp. 455–504. DOI: [10.1007/s11856-018-1656-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-018-1656-6). MR: [3799764](#). 3
- [TY] T. Takamatsu and S. Yoshikawa. “Minimal model program for semi-stable threefolds in mixed characteristic.” Jan. 15, 2021. [arXiv:2012.07324v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.07324v2) [[math.AG](#)]. 4

- [Vie82] E. Viehweg. “Vanishing theorems.” *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 335 (1982), pp. 1–8. DOI: [10.1515/crll.1982.335.1](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1982.335.1). MR: [667459.1](#)
- [Zar40] O. Zariski. “Local uniformization on algebraic varieties.” *Ann. of Math. (2)* 41 (1940), pp. 852–896. DOI: [10.2307/1968864](https://doi.org/10.2307/1968864). MR: [2864.7](#)
- [Zar44] O. Zariski. “The compactness of the Riemann manifold of an abstract field of algebraic functions.” *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 50 (1944), pp. 683–691. DOI: [10.1090/S0002-9904-1944-08206-2](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1944-08206-2). MR: [11573.7](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ 08544-1000, USA
Email address: takumim@math.princeton.edu
URL: <https://web.math.princeton.edu/~takumim/>