

# DNC-Aided SCL-Flip Decoding of Polar Codes

Yaoyu Tao, *Member, IEEE*, and Zhengya Zhang, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109 USA

Emails: {taoyaoyu, zhengya}@umich.edu

**Abstract**—Successive-cancellation list (SCL) decoding of polar codes is promising towards practical adoptions. However, the performance is not satisfactory with moderate code length. Variety of flip algorithms are developed to solve this problem. The key for successful flip is to accurately identify error bit positions. However, state-of-the-art flip strategies, including heuristic and deep-learning-aided (DL-aided) approaches, are not effective in handling long-distance dependencies in sequential SCL decoding. In this work, we propose a new DNC-aided flip decoding with differentiable neural computer (DNC). New action and state encoding are developed for better training and inference efficiency. The proposed method consists of two phases: i) a flip DNC (F-DNC) is exploited to rank most likely flip positions for multi-bit flipping; ii) if multi-bit flipping fails, a flip-validate DNC (FV-DNC) is used to re-select error position and assist single-bit flipping successively. Training methods are designed accordingly for the two DNCs. Simulation results show that proposed DNC-aided SCL-Flip (DNC-SCLF) decoding can effectively improve the error-correction performance and reduce number of decoding attempts compared to prior works.

**Index Terms**—Polar code, deep learning, successive cancellation list decoder, flip algorithms, differentiable neural computer

## I. INTRODUCTION

Capacity-achieving polar code [1] has been adopted in modern communication systems such as 5th generation (5G) wireless standard. It can be decoded sequentially on a trellis using successive cancellation list (SCL) [2] decoder. Upon receiving log-likelihood ratios (LLRs), SCL calculates path metrics (PMs) following a bit after bit order. A list of  $L$  most likely paths are kept during decoding and decoded bits are determined by the path with highest PM. However, the decoding performance are not very satisfactory with moderate code length  $N$ . Once wrong bit decisions occur on SC trellis, they have no chance to be corrected due to the sequential decoding order.

To solve this problem, flip algorithms are used when standard decoding fails with cyclic redundancy check (CRC). Error positions are searched and flipped in new decoding attempts. Clearly, the key for successful flip decoding is to accurately identify error positions. Various heuristic methods have been proposed for this purpose. [3] flipped the bits with small received LLR amplitude. [4], [5] proposed methods to reduce the search scope for lower complexity. [6] introduced a critical set with high possibility to be flipped. [7] developed a look-up table to store the error patterns. [8] designed a new metric based on SCL to rank the error positions. Techniques like progressive flipping [6], partitioned flipping [9] and dynamic

flipping [10], [11] are proposed for multi-bit flipping at a time. All these methods aim to effectively locate error positions; however, the optimal flipping strategy is still an open problem. Recent works on flip algorithms involve deep learning. [7], [12]–[14] proposed to use long short-term memory (LSTMs) to help locate error positions for short polar codes of length 64 or 128. LSTM networks can deal with event sequences, but dependencies between distant events get diffused. This presents a limitation in the accuracy of identifying error positions for longer code length.

The recently developed differentiable neural computer (DNC) [15] uses an external memory to help LSTM store long-distance dependencies. It has shown advantages over traditional LSTM when tackling highly complex sequence problems. In this paper, we adopt DNC to solve bit flipping problem in SCL decoding where complex long-distance dependencies between bits are embedded in sequence. The main contributions are summarized as follows:

- 1) A two-phase decoding is proposed assisted by two DNCs, flip DNC (F-DNC) and flip-validate DNC (FV-DNC). F-DNC ranks possible error positions and generates a flip set for multi-bit flipping. If decoding still fails, FV-DNC is used to re-select error position and assist single-bit flipping successively.
- 2) We propose new action encoding with soft multi-hot scheme and state encoding considering both PMs and received LLRs for better DNC training and inference efficiency. Training methods are designed accordingly for the two DNCs, where training database are generated based on supervised flip decoding attempts.
- 3) Simulation results show that the proposed DNC-aided SCL-Flip (DNC-SCLF) decoder outperforms the state-of-the-art techniques by up to 0.19dB in error correction performance or 44% reduction in number of decoding attempts, respectively.

## II. BACKGROUND

### A. SCL and SCL-Flip Decoding of Polar Code

An  $(N, K)$  polar code has a code length  $N$  and code rate  $K/N$ , where  $N = 2^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $u_0^{N-1} = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{N-1})$  denote the vector of input bits to the encoder. The  $K$  most reliable bits in  $u_0^{N-1}$ , called free bits  $\mathcal{A}$ , are used to carry information; while the remaining  $N - K$  bits, called frozen bits  $\mathcal{A}^c$ , are set to pre-determined values.

SC is the basic decoding scheme of polar codes proposed in [1]. Assume  $r_0^{N-1}$  is the received LLRs. It follows a bit-

after-bit sequential order and the decoding of a bit depends on previously decoded bits. The dependencies become complex and long-distance for long code length. SC keeps the most likely path, or the path of the highest PM. SCL decoding [2] improves the error-correction performance by keeping a list of  $L$  candidate paths, or paths of the  $L$  highest PMs. Concatenating polar code with cyclic redundancy check (CRC) [16], [17] can help pick the final path. The CRC-aided polar SCL decoding can be described by Algorithm 1. SC can be seen as a special case when list size  $L = 1$ .

---

**Algorithm 1:** CRC-SCL Decoding of  $(N, K)$  Polar Code

---

```

1 List size =  $L$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \{0, \dots, L - 1\}$ 
2 for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$  do
3   if  $i \notin \mathcal{A}$  then
4      $\hat{u}_i(\ell) \leftarrow u_i$  for  $\forall \ell \in \mathcal{L}$ 
5   else
6      $\forall \hat{u}_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall \ell \in \mathcal{L}$ 
7     1) SC Trellis:  $L(\ell)_i = \log \frac{\Pr(u_i=0|r_0^{N-1}, \hat{u}(\ell)_0^{i-1})}{\Pr(u_i=1|r_0^{N-1}, \hat{u}(\ell)_0^{i-1})}$ 
8     2)
9     PM:  $\mathcal{P}(\ell)_i = \mathcal{P}(\ell)_{i-1} + \log(1 + e^{(1-2\hat{u}_i)L(\hat{u}_i)})$ 
10    3) Sort: continue along the  $L$  paths with top
11     $\mathcal{P}(\ell)_i$ 
12  end
13 end
14  $\ell^* \leftarrow$  index of most likely path that passes CRC
15 return  $\hat{u}_{\mathcal{A}}(\ell^*)$ 

```

---

An alternative approach to improve error-correction performance of SC is to use flip algorithms. Upon failed CRC of initial SC decoding, it uses  $T$  additional iterations to identify and flip error positions in subsequent SC attempts. The flip position set  $\mathcal{F}$  for each attempt can be determined either by explicit mathematical metric or by neural networks like LSTMs. Heuristic methods like [3]–[6], [9] use received  $r_0^{N-1}$  or their absolute values as the metric in SC-Flip (SCF) decoding. [10], [11] propose dynamic SC-Flip (DSCF) with a new metric considering not only received  $r_0^{N-1}$  but also the sequential aspect of SC decoder. DSCF allows flipping of multiple bits at a time and improves the performance of SCF. [8] extends the bit-flipping from SC to SCL and proposed a SCL-Flip decoding (SCLF). Similarly, SCF can be seen as a special case of SCLF when  $L = 1$ .

Recently developed DL-based SCF/SCLF [7], [12]–[14] exploit a trained LSTM to locate error positions instead of explicit mathematical metrics. They have shown similar or slightly better performance than heuristic methods for short polar codes. Besides the limitation of LSTM in dealing with longer code length, the action and state encoding as well as good training strategy are also crucial to achieve good performance.




Fig. 1. Differentiable neural computer architecture.

### B. Differentiable Neural Computer (DNC)

The basic motivation behind DNC is that LSTMs are not very efficient on complicated process executions that contain multiple computational steps and long-distance dependencies. The key behind the DNC is the use of an external memory. Since its invention, DNC has found many applications like question answering [18], [19] and simple algorithmic tasks [20]. DNC can be considered as an LSTM controller augmented with an external memory. DNC periodically receives  $x^t$  as input vector and produces  $y^t$  as output vector at time  $t$ . The output vector  $y^t$  is usually made into a probability distribution using softmax.

A top level architecture of DNC is demonstrated in Fig. 1. At time  $t$ , the DNC 1) reads an input  $x^t$ , 2) writes the new information into the external memory using interface vector  $v_c^t$  through memory controller, 3) reads the updated memory  $M^t$  and 4) produces an output  $y^t$ . Assume the external memory is a matrix of  $M_h$  slots, each slot is a length- $M_w$  vector. To interface with this external memory, DNC computes read and write keys to locate slots. The memory slot is found using similarity between key and slot content. This mechanism is known as the content-based addressing. In addition, DNC also uses dynamic memory allocation and temporal memory linkage mechanisms for computing write and read weights. We omit the mathematical descriptions of DNC here and readers can refer to [15] for more details.

## III. DNC FOR SCLF DECODING

Bit-flipping on SC trellis can be modeled as a game and the DNC is the player to decide which bits to be flipped towards successful decoding. Upon CRC failure, the DNC player needs to take an action based on current state, either reverting falsely flipped positions in previous attempt, or adding more flip positions in next attempt. The proposed DNC-aided methodology includes: 1) action and state encoding; and 2) DNC-aided two-phase decoding flow.

### A. Action and State Encoding

One of the keys for efficient DNC is to design good input and output vector for training and inference. We discuss the existing DL-based approaches [7], [12]–[14] and present a new encoding scheme.

1) *Action Encoding*: the one-hot scheme used in state-of-the-art LSTM-based flip algorithms are efficient in identifying the first error bit, but lacks the capability to flip multiple bits at a time. This results in more decoding attempts. To improve bit flipping efficiency, we use a soft multi-hot (i.e.  $\omega$ -hot) flip vector  $v_f$  to encode both first error bit and subsequent error bits, aiming to correctly flip multiple bits in one attempt.  $v_f$  is a length- $N$  vector that has  $\omega$  non-zero entries. An action is therefore encoded by  $v_f$ . Each possible flip position in  $v_f$  is a soft value indicating the flip likelihood of the bit. For training purpose we introduce a scaled logarithmic series distribution with parameter  $p$  to assign flip likelihoods to the  $\omega$  error positions. The intention is to create a distribution with descending probabilities for first error position and subsequent error positions and to provide enough likelihood differences between them. Reference  $v_f$  generation for F-DNC training are discussed in detail in Section IV. Assume index of bit position  $k$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}(k)$ , non-zero entries of  $v_f$  can be derived as (1):

$$v_f(k) = \mathcal{K} \frac{-1}{\ln(1-p)} \frac{p^{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}(k)}}{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}(k)} \text{ for } k \in \mathcal{F} \quad (1)$$

where scaling factor  $\mathcal{K} = 1 / \int_{\mathcal{F}} v_f$

2) *State Encoding*: a straightforward way to encode states is to directly use the received LLR sequence  $r_0^{N-1}$  or survival path metrics  $\mathcal{P}_0^{N-1}$ . [7], [12] use the amplitudes of received LLRs as the LSTM input. [14] uses the amplitudes of received LLRs combining the syndromes generated by CRC for state encoding. However, path metric information in sequential decoding are discarded in these methods, resulting in a loss in representing error path selection probability. [13] proposed a state encoding by taking the PM ratio of discarded paths and survival paths. However, this representation introduces extra computations to standard decoding for PM summations at each bit position and does not include received LLR information.

In this work, we introduce a new state encoding scheme using the gradients of  $L$  survival paths concatenated with received LLRs. It takes both PMs and received LLRs into consideration. For  $\ell \in \mathcal{L} = \{0, \dots, L-1\}$ , the PM gradients  $\nabla \mathcal{P}(\ell)_0^{N-1}$  can be described in (2).

$$\nabla \mathcal{P}(\ell)_0^{N-1} = \log(1 + e^{(1-2\hat{u}(\ell)_0^{N-1})L(\ell)_0^{N-1}}) \quad (2)$$

Note that  $\nabla \mathcal{P}(\ell)_0^{N-1}$  is already calculated in step 2) of Algorithm 1. Hence it can be directly taken from existing SCL without extra computations. The state encoding  $S$  is then a vector as (3) and is used as DNC input in this work.

$$S = \{\nabla \mathcal{P}(\ell)_0^{N-1}, r_0^{N-1}\} \quad (3)$$

### B. DNC-Aided Two-Phase Decoding Flow

We design a new two-phase flip decoding flow for CRC-SCL decoder aiming to reduce the number of SCL attempts while still achieving good error correction performance. The




Fig. 2. DNC-aided two-phase flip decoding ( $\omega = 3$  case)

two phases in this flow are: i) multi-bit flipping and ii) successive single-bit flipping. In the first phase, the received symbols are first decoded with a standard decoder. If it fails CRC, a flip DNC (F-DNC) exploits the state encoding  $S$  to score the actions, i.e., estimate the probability of each bit being error bits and output a flip vector  $v_f$ . Fig. 2 shows an example of  $\omega = 3$  where  $\mathcal{F} = \{7, 9, 2\}$  is flip position set in descending likelihoods. To avoid wrong flips of subsequent positions with insignificant flip likelihoods, an  $\alpha$ -thresholding is applied to keep only positions with  $v_f > \alpha$  for multi-bit flipping. A subsequent decode attempt is then carried out with multi-bit flipping of these bit positions.

If CRC still fails after multi-bit flipping, we enter Phase-II that successively flip a single bit position. The reasons of failed decoding with Phase-I are either: 1) first error bit position is wrong; or 2) first error bit position is right but subsequent flip positions are wrong. A solution is to flip each possible flip positions one at a time and use a flip-validate DNC (FV-DNC) to confirm if this is a correct flip before moving to the next possible flip position. The first attempt in Phase-II flips the highest ranked error position in  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e., bit 7 in the example shown in Fig. 2.

If FV-DNC invalidates the single-bit flip (bit 7 in this case), we discard bit 7 and re-select the flip position to next bit




Fig. 3. Flip attempts in Phase-II for different FV-DNC output combinations ( $\omega = 3$  case)

9 in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Alternatively, if FV-DNC confirms the flip of bit 7, we continue by adding bit 9 into the flip queue  $\mathcal{Q}_f$  and flip  $\mathcal{Q}_f = \{7, 9\}$  in next attempt. The process runs successively until CRC passes or reaching the end of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Fig. 3 shows all possible flip combinations given different FV-DNC output attempts of Phase-II is bounded by  $\omega$ . The two-phase DNC-SCLF can be described as Algorithm 2.

---

**Algorithm 2:** Two-Phase DNC-Aided SCL-Flip Decoding

---

```

1  $\hat{u}_0^{N-1}, S \leftarrow \text{SCL}(r_0^{N-1})$ 
2 if  $\text{CRC}(\hat{u}_0^{N-1}) = \text{success}$  return  $\hat{u}_0^{N-1}$ 
3 Phase-I: Multi-bit Flipping
4  $\mathcal{F}, \omega, v_f \leftarrow \text{F-DNC}(S)$ 
5  $\hat{u}_0^{N-1} \leftarrow \text{SCL}(r_0^{N-1}, \mathcal{F}_{v_f \geq \alpha})$ 
6 if  $\text{CRC}(\hat{u}_0^{N-1}) = \text{success}$  return  $\hat{u}_0^{N-1}$ 
7 Phase-II: Successive Single-bit Flipping
8  $\mathcal{Q}_f = \{\mathcal{F}[0]\}$ 
9 for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \omega - 1$  do
10    $\hat{u}_0^{N-1}, S \leftarrow \text{SCL}(r_0^{N-1}, \mathcal{Q}_f)$ 
11   if  $\text{CRC}(\hat{u}_0^{N-1}) = \text{success}$  or  $i =$ 
12      $\omega - 1$  return  $\hat{u}_0^{N-1}$ 
13    $\mathcal{R} \leftarrow \text{FV-DNC}(S)$ 
14   if  $\mathcal{R} = \text{continue}$  then
15      $\mathcal{Q}_f = \{\mathcal{Q}_f, \mathcal{F}[i + 1]\}$ 
16   else
17      $\mathcal{Q}_f[\text{end}] = \mathcal{F}[i + 1]$ 
18 end

```

---

#### IV. TRAINING METHODOLOGY

In this section, we discuss training for the DNCs used in proposed DNC-SCLF. The training is conducted off-line and does not increase the run-time decoding complexity. We

TABLE I  
F-DNC/FV-DNC HYPER-PARAMETERS SET

Parameter	Description
LSTM controller	1 layer of size 256
Size of access heads	1 write head, 4 read heads
Size of external memory	$M_h = 512, M_w = 128$
Size of training set	$10^6$ for F-DNC, $3 \times 10^7$ for FV-DNC
Size of validation set	$5 \times 10^4$
Mini-batch size	100
Dropout probability	0.05
Optimizer	Adam
Environment	Tensorflow 1.14.0 on Nvidia GTX 1080Ti

adopt the cross-entropy function which has been widely used in classification tasks [21]. The training method involves 1) training F-DNC to identify error positions; and 2) training FV-DNC to validate single-bit flip.

In the first training stage, we run extensive SCL decoder simulations and collect error frames upon CRC failure. The F-DNC training database consists of pairs of  $S$  from (3) as DNC input and a corresponding  $v_f$  from (1) as reference output.  $S$  can be straightforwardly derived based on received LLRs and PMs of collected error frames. However,  $v_f$  is determined by parameter  $\omega$  and  $p$ , whose values will affect the training and inference efficiency. We first label the error positions w.r.t the transmitted sequence for each sample as candidate flip positions. Intuitively, small  $\omega$  and  $p$  strengthen the likelihood of identifying first error position, but attenuate the likelihoods of subsequent error positions. Hence there is a trade-off between the accuracy of identifying first error position and the accuracy of identifying subsequent error positions. In this work, we carried out reference  $v_f$  generations with  $\omega = \{2, 5, 10\}$  and  $p = \{0.2, 0.8\}$ . The experimental results with these parameter choices are discussed in Section V.

The error frames that can not be decoded correctly in Phase-I enter Phase-II, where single bit positions are flipped and tested successively as in Fig. 3. This is to prevent wrong flips that will lead the DNC player into a trapping state and can never recover. The FV-DNC is a classifier taking either "re-select" or "continue" action given the knowledge of received LLRs and PMs from most recent attempt. The key for FV-DNC training is to create a well-categorized database that can detect trapping state effectively. To generate FV-DNC training database, we carry out supervised flip decoding attempts based on reference  $v_f$  in F-DNC database. For each collected error: 1) the first 5 error positions in reference  $v_f$  are flipped successively bit after bit and their corresponding state encoding  $S$  are recorded. These samples result in a "continue" action. 2) After flipping each of the first 5 error positions, we flip 5 random positions not in  $\mathcal{F}$  and record their state encoding  $S$ . These samples indicate trapping state and result in a "re-select" action. Hence for each collected frame, we have 5 samples for "continue" action and 25 samples for "re-select" action.




Fig. 4. Rate of identifying error positions for  $\omega = \{2, 5, 10\}$  and  $p = \{0.2, 0.8\}$  for SC decoding of (256,128) polar code

## V. EXPERIMENTS AND ANALYSIS

To fully show the competitiveness of DNC in dealing with long-distance dependencies in polar SC trellis, we evaluate the performances for polar codes of length  $N = 256, 1024$  with SC and SCL ( $L = 4$ ) in this work. The code rate is set to  $1/2$  with an 16b CRC. Error frames are collected at SNR 2dB for both training and testing. In this paper, we do not focus on the training parameter optimization and just demonstrate a set of configurations and hyper-parameters that work through our experiments for F-DNC and FV-DNC in Table I.

Firstly, we study the effects of parameters  $\omega$  and  $p$  introduced in F-DNC. Fig. 4 presents the accuracy of identifying the first 5 error positions for code length  $N = 256$  and SC decoding. For a given  $\omega$ , a smaller  $p$  ( $p = 0.2$ ) enhances the probability of identifying the first error position, but attenuates the probability of identifying subsequent error positions. We achieve up to 0.573 success rate of identifying the first error position with  $\omega = 2$ , outperforming the 0.51 and 0.425 success rate of identifying the first error position for an even shorter code length of 128b with LSTM-based SCF [12] and heuristic-based DSCF [11], respectively. On the other hand, comparing  $\omega = 2$  and  $\omega = 5$  with same  $p = 0.8$ , a bigger  $\omega$  helps to identify more error positions, but the success rates of identifying each position are degraded.

We pick  $p = 0.8$  in our two-phase DNC-SCLF experiments to strengthen the success rates of identifying subsequent error positions and slightly sacrifice the rate of identifying first error position. This is because with help of FV-DNC, even though F-DNC may not identify the first error position correctly in multi-bit flipping, the two-phase decoding can re-select it in successive single-bit flipping. We use an  $\alpha = 0.03$  for thresholding through our experiments. Assume  $\beta_1$  is the rate of successful decoding with multi-bit flipping in Phase-I, the average number of decoding attempts  $T_{avg}$  for DNC-SCLF can be calculated by (4) below:




Fig. 5. Number of extra decoding attempts of DNC-SCF and state-of-the-art flipping algorithms for (1024, 512) polar code

$$T_{avg} = \beta_1 + \omega_{2,avg}(1 - \beta_1) \quad (4)$$

where  $\omega_{2,avg}$  is the average number of attempts in Phase-II and  $\omega_{2,avg} \leq \omega$ . Fig. 5 presents the  $T_{avg}$  for the proposed DNC-SCF and the state-of-the-art techniques.

We first compare DNC-SCF with the state-of-the-art heuristic methods [11] and LSTM-based methods [12], [14] for (1024, 512) polar code and 16b CRC. For a fair comparison, we compare the FER of DNC-SCF and DSCF [11] with optimized metric parameters and  $T = 10$  at an FER of  $10^{-4}$ . DNC-SCF  $\omega = 2$  is able to achieve 0.5dB coding gain w.r.t SC decoder. Increasing  $\omega$  to 5 provides another 0.3dB coding gain from DNC-SCF  $\omega = 2$ . DNC-SCF  $\omega = 5$  also outperforms DSCF  $T = 10$  by 0.06dB, while reducing the number of extra attempts by 44% at 2dB SNR. Further increasing  $\omega$  to DNC-SCF  $\omega = 10$  provides 0.19dB coding gain compared to DSCF  $T = 10$  while reducing the number of decoding attempts by 18.9% at 2dB SNR.

The LSTM-based approach in [12] did not report FER performance, but has shown up to 10% improvement in the accuracy of identifying first error position over DSCF with  $T = 1$  at 1dB SNR for (64, 32) polar code. The estimated FER of [12] with 1024b and  $T = 10$  will be close to DNC-SCF  $\omega = 5$ . Another LSTM-based SCF [14] provides FER for (64, 32) polar code with  $T = 6$  and claims 0.2dB improvement over DSCF  $T = 6$ . The estimated FER of [14] with 1024b and  $T = 10$  will be close to DNC-SCF  $\omega = 10$  in Fig. 6. Note that the assumption that FER improvement holds for longer polar code of 1024b is optimistic, because LSTM's capability of identifying error positions usually gets drastically weakened when code length becomes longer.

We further compare the DNC-SCLF ( $L = 4$ ) on (256, 128) polar code and 16b CRC with state-of-the-art heuristic methods [8] and LSTM-based approaches [7], [13]. Fig. 7 demonstrates the FER of DNC-SCLF ( $L = 4$ ) with  $\omega =$




Fig. 6. FER performance comparison of DNC-SCF and state-of-the-art flipping algorithms for (1024,512) polar code and 16b CRC




Fig. 7. FER performance comparison of DNC-SCLF ( $L = 4$ ) and state-of-the-art flipping algorithms for (256,128) polar code and 16b CRC

$\{2, 5, 10\}$ . At FER  $10^{-4}$ , DNC-SCLF  $\omega = 2$  achieves a 0.26dB coding gain w.r.t standard SCL. Increasing  $\omega$  to 5 and 10 results in 0.49dB and 0.55dB coding gain from the standard SCL. Compared with [8] and [7], DNC-SCLF  $\omega = 5$  achieves 0.13dB and 0.07dB better performance than SCLF and LSTM-SCLF with  $T = 10$ , respectively. Our proposed DNC-SCLF demonstrates better FER performance with a reduced number of decoding attempts.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we present a new DNC-aided SCL-Flip decoding. We propose a two-phase decoding assisted by two DNCs, F-DNC and FV-DNC, to identify error positions and to validate or re-select error positions in successive single-bit flipping, respectively. The multi-bit flipping reduces number of flip decoding attempts while successive single-bit flipping lowers the probability of going into trapping state. Training methods

are proposed accordingly to efficiently train F-DNC and FV-DNC. This strategy provides a new method to exploit DNC, an advanced variant of deep learning, in assisting decoding algorithms. Simulation results show that the proposed DNC-SCLF helps to identify error bits more accurately, achieving better error correction performance and reducing the number of flip decoding attempts than the the state-of-the-art flip algorithms.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arikan, "Channel polarization: A method for constructing capacity-achieving codes for symmetric binary-input memoryless channels," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3051–3073, July 2009.
- [2] I. Tal and A. Vardy, "List decoding of polar codes," in *2011 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory Proceedings*, July 2011, pp. 1–5.
- [3] O. Afisiadis, A. Balatsoukas-Stimming, and A. Burg, "A low-complexity improved successive cancellation decoder for polar codes," in *2014 48th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers*, Nov 2014, pp. 2116–2120.
- [4] C. Condo, F. Ercan, and W. Gross, "Improved successive cancellation flip decoding of polar codes based on error distribution," in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Commun. Netw. Conf. Workshops (WCNCW)*, Apr 2018, pp. 19–24.
- [5] F. Ercan, C. Condo, and W. J. Gross, "Improved bit-flipping algorithm for successive cancellation decoding of polar codes," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 61–72, Jan 2019.
- [6] Z. Zhang, K. Qin, L. Zhang, H. Zhang, and G. T. Chen, "Progressive bit-flipping decoding of polar codes over layered critical sets," in *GLOBECOM 2017 - 2017 IEEE Global Communications Conference*, Dec 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [7] X. Liu, S. Wu, Y. Wang, N. Zhang, J. Jiao, and Q. Zhang, "Exploiting error-correction-crc for polar scl decoding: A deep learning based approach," *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, pp. 1–1, 2019.
- [8] F. Cheng, A. Liu, Y. Zhang, and J. Ren, "Bit-flip algorithm for successive cancellation list decoder of polar codes," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 58 346–58 352, 2019.
- [9] F. Ercan, C. Condo, S. A. Hashemi, and W. J. Gross, "Partitioned successive-cancellation flip decoding of polar codes," in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, May 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [10] L. Chandesaris, V. Savin, and D. Declercq, "An improved sclflip decoder for polar codes," in *2016 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*, Dec 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [11] L. Chandesaris, V. Savin, and D. Declercq, "Dynamic-sclflip decoding of polar codes," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 66, no. 6, pp. 2333–2345, June 2018.
- [12] X. Wang, H. Zhang, R. Li, L. Huang, S. Dai, Y. Yourui, and J. Wang, "Learning to flip successive cancellation decoding of polar codes with lstm networks," arXiv:1902.08394, Feb 2019.
- [13] C.-H. Chen, C.-F. Teng, and A.-Y. Wu, "Low-complexity lstm-assisted bit-flipping algorithm for successive cancellation list polar decoder," in *45th IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, May 2020.
- [14] B. He, S. Wu, Y. Deng, H. Yin, J. Jiao, and Q. Zhang, "A machine learning based multi-flips successive cancellation decoding scheme of polar codes," in *2020 IEEE 91st Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2020-Spring)*, 2020, pp. 1–5.
- [15] A. Graves, G. Wayne, and M. Reynolds et al., "Hybrid computing using a neural network with dynamic external memory," *Nature*, vol. 538, pp. 471–476, Oct 2016.
- [16] K. Niu and K. Chen, "Crc-aided decoding of polar codes," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 1668–1671, October 2012.
- [17] B. Li, H. Shen, and D. Tse, "An adaptive successive cancellation list decoder for polar codes with cyclic redundancy check," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 2044–2047, December 2012.

- [18] S. Sukhbaatar, a. szlam, J. Weston, and R. Fergus, "End-to-end memory networks," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 28*, 2015, pp. 2440–2448.
- [19] A. Kumar, O. Irsoy, P. Ondruska, M. Iyyer, J. Bradbury, I. Gulrajani, V. Zhong, R. Paulus, and R. Socher, "Ask me anything: Dynamic memory networks for natural language processing," in *Proceedings of The 33rd International Conference on Machine Learning*, vol. 48, 20–22 Jun 2016, pp. 1378–1387.
- [20] A. Graves, G. Wayne, and I. Danihelka, "Neural turing machines." arXiv:1410.5401, 2014.
- [21] Y. Lecun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton et al., "Deep learning," *Nature*, vol. 521, no. 7553, pp. 436–444, Oct 2015.