

ALMOST UNIMODAL AND REAL-ROOTED GRAPH POLYNOMIALS

JOHANN A. MAKOWSKY AND VSEVOLOD RAKITA

ABSTRACT. It is well known that the coefficients of the matching polynomial are unimodal. Unimodality of the coefficients (or their absolute values) of other graph polynomials have been studied as well. One way to prove unimodality is to prove real-rootedness.¹

Recently I. Beaton and J. Brown (2020) proved the for almost all graphs the coefficients of the domination polynomial form a unimodal sequence, and C. Barton, J. Brown and D. Pike (2020) proved that the forest polynomial (aka acyclic polynomial) is real-rooted iff G is a forest.

Let \mathcal{A} be a graph property, and let $a_i(G)$ be the number of induced subgraphs of order i of a graph G which are in \mathcal{A} . Inspired by their results we prove:

Theorem: If \mathcal{A} is the complement of a hereditary property, then for almost all graphs in $G(n, p)$ the sequence $a_i(G)$ is unimodal.

Theorem: If \mathcal{A} is a hereditary property which contains a graph which is not a clique or the complement of a clique, then the graph polynomial $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x) = \sum_i a_i(G)x^i$ is real-rooted iff $G \in \mathcal{A}$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Graph polynomials and their properties	2
1.2. Real-rooted and unimodal graph polynomials	2
1.3. Counting induced subgraphs of a graph	3
1.4. Unimodality for almost all graphs	4
1.5. Real-rooted graph polynomials	5
2. Augmented graph properties	5
3. Proof of the Almost Unimodality Theorem 1.8	5
3.1. A criterion for unimodality	6
3.2. Using random graphs	7
4. Proof of the Real-rootedness Theorem 1.10	8
4.1. Using Sturm's Theorem	9
5. Conclusions and open problems	10
References	11

Date: April 29, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05, 05C10, 05C30, 05C31, 05C69, 05C80 .

Key words and phrases. Graph polynomials, unimodality.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Graph polynomials and their properties. A graph polynomial is a graph invariant $P(G)$ with values in a polynomial ring, usually a subring of $\mathbb{R}[\bar{x}]$. $P(G)$ is univariate if for every graph G the polynomial has one indeterminate. Particular graph polynomials, such as the chromatic polynomial, the characteristic polynomial, the matching polynomial, the Tutte polynomial have been studied extensively. In [Mak08] the first author initiated a project to develop a comparative study of graph polynomials. Its purpose is to find properties of graph polynomials which are shared by infinitely many uniformly described families of graph polynomials. In this paper we present two general theorems for univariate graph polynomials $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ which are generating functions of hereditary, respectively co-hereditary graph properties \mathcal{A} . We show that for \mathcal{A} co-hereditary, the graph polynomial $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ is unimodal for almost all graphs, and that for \mathcal{A} hereditary which contains at least one graph which is not a clique or a complement of a clique, $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ is real-rooted iff $G \in \mathcal{A}$.

1.2. Real-rooted and unimodal graph polynomials. Let $F(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be an univariate polynomial of degree d with real coefficients,

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$$

- (i) $F(x)$ is *real-rooted* if all its roots are in \mathbb{R} .
- (ii) The coefficients of $F(x)$ are *log-concave* if for all $1 < j < d - 1$

$$a_j^2 \geq a_{j-1} a_{j+1}.$$
- (iii) The coefficients of $F(x)$ are *unimodal with mode k* if $a_i \leq a_j$ for $i < j \leq k$ and $a_i \leq a_j$ for $k \leq i < j \leq d$.
- (iv) $F(x)$ is *absolute unimodal with mode k (log-concave)* if the absolute values of a_i are unimodal (log-concave).
- (v) These definitions, but for (i), apply to any sequence $a_i, 0 \leq i \leq d$, even if it not interpreted as a sequence of coefficients of a polynomial.

Theorem 1.1 (Newton's Theorem). *(i) implies (ii), (ii) implies (iii) and none of the reverse implications holds.*

For a proof one may consult [Brä15].

The sequence $\binom{n}{k}$ of the number of subsets of order k of $V(G)$ is log-concave, hence unimodal. More interestingly, let $m_i(G)$ the sequence of the number of edge independent subsets (matchings) of $E(G)$ of order k . The numbers $m_i(G)$ are also the coefficient of the generating matching polynomial

$$M(G; x) = \sum_i m_i(G) x^i.$$

Theorem 1.2. *$m_i(G)$ is real-rooted, hence it is unimodal.*

There are two independent proofs of this. It follows from the fact that all the roots of $M(G, x)$ are real for all graphs G , [HL70], see also [Gut16], using Newton's Theorem. Unimodality was also shown directly by A. Schwenk, [Sch81].

Let $in_i(G), i \leq n(G)$, the sequence of the number of vertex independent subsets (matchings) of $V(G)$ of order k .

$$I(G; x) = \sum_i x^i$$

is the independence polynomial. Real-rootedness, and unimodality of $I(G; x)$ was studied intensively.

Let $G(n, p)$ the random graph of order n where each edge is chosen independently with probability p .

Theorem 1.3. (i) $I(G; x)$ is not unimodal, [AMSE87]. However, it is easily seen that the set of counterexamples G given in that paper has measure 0 among the random graphs $G(n, p)$.

(ii) For claw-free graphs the sequence $I(G; x)$ is real-rooted, hence unimodal, [CS07, Ben14]. The proof uses Newton's Theorem. It is easily seen that the claw-free graphs have measure 0 among the random graphs $G(n, p)$.

This leaves open whether $I(G; x)$ is real-rooted, or at least unimodal, for other graph classes.

Problem 1.1. Could it be that $I(G; x)$ is unimodal for almost all graphs $G \in G(n, p)$ and constant p ?

Let $\chi(G, x) = \sum_i c_i(G)x^i$ be the chromatic polynomial of G . The case of the chromatic polynomial of a graph is slightly different. The sequence $c_i(G)$ is alternatingly positive and negative. However, it was conjectured by R.C. Read, [Rea68], that the absolute values $|c_i(G)|$ form a unimodal sequence. J. Huh, [Huh12] finally proved the conjecture.

Theorem 1.4 (J. Huh, 2012). For every graph G the chromatic polynomial $\chi(G, x)$ is absolute unimodal. In fact the sequence $|c_i(G)|$ is log-concave.

1.3. Counting induced subgraphs of a graph. A graph property \mathcal{A} is a class \mathcal{A} of finite graphs closed under graph isomorphisms. Both $m_i(G)$ and $in_i(G)$ are graph parameters counting subgraphs of G which satisfy a graph property \mathcal{A} , graphs of degree exactly 1, and edge-less graphs respectively.

In this paper, we are interested for which properties \mathcal{A} the corresponding counting parameters are real-rooted, unimodal, or (almost) unimodal.

Given a graph property \mathcal{A} , and denote by

$$c_i^{\mathcal{A}}(G) = |\{A \subseteq V(G) : |A| = i, G[A] \in \mathcal{A}\}|$$

$$P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x) = \sum_i c_i^{\mathcal{A}}(G)x^i = \sum_{S \subseteq V(G)} x^{|S|}$$

the number of induced subgraphs of G in \mathcal{A} of order i and its corresponding graph polynomial.

For each G the polynomial $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ is the generating function of \mathcal{A} .

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of graphs $(V(G), E(G), S)$ together with a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ closed under S -preserving graph isomorphisms. We call \mathcal{Q} an *augmented graph property*, and denote by

$$c_i^{\mathcal{Q}}(G) = |\{S \subseteq V(G) : |A| = i, (V(G), E(G), S) \in \mathcal{Q}\}|$$

$$F_{\mathcal{Q}}(G; x) = \sum_i c_i^{\mathcal{Q}}(G)x^i = \sum_{S \subseteq V(G), (V(G), E(G), S) \in \mathcal{Q}} x^{|S|}$$

the number of subsets $S \subseteq V(G)$ of size i with $(V(G), E(G), S) \in \mathcal{Q}$ and its corresponding graph polynomial.

We write $H < G$ if H is an induced subgraph of G . We write $G[A]$ for the subgraph of G which is induced by the subset $A \subseteq V(G)$. A graph property \mathcal{A} is

hereditary iff there is a family of graphs $\mathcal{H} = \{H_i, i \in I\}$ such that $G \in \mathcal{A}$ iff G has no graph $H \in \mathcal{H}$ as an induced subgraph. In this case the complement $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathcal{A} can be written as the union of properties \mathcal{A}_H with $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{A}_H = \{G : H < G\}$. \mathcal{A} is *co-hereditary* if \mathcal{A} is the complement of a hereditary graph property.

Let \mathcal{P} be an augmented graph property. \mathcal{P} is *upward monotone*, or *u-monotone* for short, if whenever $(V(G), E(G), A) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $A' \subseteq V(G)$ and $A \subseteq A'$ then $(V(G), E(G), A') \in \mathcal{P}$.

Typical examples which we will use in this paper are:

- Examples 1.5.** (i) *FOR* is the class of forest or cycle-free graphs. This is a hereditary graph property. The corresponding graph polynomial is $P_{FOR}(G; x) = FOR(G; x)$.
- (ii) *DOM* consists of all graphs $(V(G), E(G), D)$ with a distinguished dominating set $D \subseteq V(G)$. This is an upward monotone augmented graph property. The corresponding graph polynomial is $F_{DOM}(G; x) = DOM(G; x)$.
- (iii) Every graph property \mathcal{A} can be viewed as an augmented graph property $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{A}}$ by setting

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{(V(G), E(G), A) : G[A] \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Hence, for every graph property \mathcal{A} we have

$$c_i^{\mathcal{A}}(G) = c_i^{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{A}}}(G) \text{ and } P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x) = F_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{A}}}(G; x)$$

The converse is not true, as shown in Theorem 1.6 below, with a proof given in Section 2.

Theorem 1.6 ([MRK19]). *There is no graph property \mathcal{A} such that for all graphs G and for all $i \leq n(G)$ we have*

$$c_i^{DOM}(G) = c_i^{\mathcal{A}}(G).$$

1.4. Unimodality for almost all graphs. I. Beaton and J. Brown, [BB20], very recently proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7 (I. Beaton and J. Brown, 2020). *Let $d_k(G)$ be the number of dominating sets D of a graph G with $|D| = k$. For almost all graphs $G \in G(n, p)$ the sequence $d_k(G), k \in [n(G)]$ is unimodal.*

Their proof suggests the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. *Let \mathcal{Q} be an upward monotone augmented graph property. Let $q_k(G)$ be the number of subsets A of a graph G with $|A| = k$ such that $(V(G), E(G), A) \in \mathcal{Q}$. For almost all graphs $G \in G(n, p)$ the sequence $q_k(G), k \in [n(G)]$ is unimodal.*

Our main result here is a first step in proving this conjecture.

Theorem 1.8 (Almost Unimodality Theorem). *Let \mathcal{A} the complement of a hereditary graph property. Let $c_k(G)$ be the number of subsets A of a graph G with $|A| = k$ such that $G[A] \in \mathcal{A}$. For almost all graphs $G \in G(n, p)$ the sequence $c_k(G), k \in [n(G)]$ is unimodal.*

Theorem 1.8 does not imply Conjecture 1 because of Theorem 1.6.

1.5. **Real-rooted graph polynomials.** In [BBP20]¹ the following is shown:

Theorem 1.9 (C. Barton, J. Brown, D. Pike, 2020). *FOR($G; x$) is real-rooted iff G is a forest.*

It turns out that their proof (almost verbatim) generalizes to the following:

Theorem 1.10 (Real-rootedness Theorem). *Let \mathcal{A} be hereditary and with a graph $G \in \mathcal{A}$ which is neither a clique nor an edge-less graph. Then $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ is real-rooted iff $G \in \mathcal{A}$.*

For \mathcal{A} the class of edge-less graphs, $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ is the Independence polynomial $In(G; x)$. The theorem fails for $In(G; x)$, because both the acyclic and the generating matching polynomials are real-rooted by [HL70]. Let $g(G; x)$ be the generating matching polynomial of G . If $L(G)$ is the line graph of G , then $In(L(G); x) = g(G; x)$. Therefore, $In(G; x)$ is real-rooted for line graphs, and by [CS07] also for claw-free graphs. The clique polynomial $Cl(G; x)$ is the independence of the complement graph G^c , $Cl(G; x) = In(G^c; x)$, hence the theorem also fails for the Clique polynomial.

2. AUGMENTED GRAPH PROPERTIES

Theorem 1.6 states that there is no graph property \mathcal{A} such that for all graphs G

$$DOM(G; x) = P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x).$$

It was proved in [MRK19]. For convenience of the reader we include here the proof.

Proof. We first compute some values for $c_i^{DOM}(G)$ the graphs K_2 and its complement graph $\bar{K}_2 = E_2$.

$$(2.1) \quad c_1^{DOM}(K_2) = 2, c_1^{DOM}(E_2) = 0$$

Now, assume, for contradiction, there is such a \mathcal{A} . We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $K_1 \in \mathcal{A}$.

Then $c_1^{\mathcal{A}}(E_2) = 2$ because $K_1 \in \mathcal{A}$. However, $c_1^{DOM}(E_2) = 0$ by equation (2.1), a contradiction.

Case 2: $K_1 \notin \mathcal{A}$. Then $c_1^{\mathcal{A}}(K_2) = 0$ because $K_1 \notin \mathcal{A}$. However, $c_1^{DOM}(K_2) = 2$ by equation (2.1), a contradiction. \square

3. PROOF OF THE ALMOST UNIMODALITY THEOREM 1.8

Let \mathcal{A} be a graph property such that if $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and H is an induced subgraph of H' , then $H' \in \mathcal{A}$. In other words, \mathcal{A} is the complement of a hereditary graph property. Let G be a graph of order n , and denote $C_k = \{A \subseteq V(G) : |A| = k, G[A] \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $c_k = |C_k|$. We first prove Proposition 3.4, which asserts unimodality under the condition that

$$(*) \quad \frac{c_k}{\binom{n}{k}} \geq \frac{n-k}{k+1}.$$

Then we use Theorem 3.5 due to S. Janson, T. Luczak and A. Ruciński, [JLR90, JLR11], to show that condition (*) is satisfied for almost all graphs.

¹In the paper [BBP20] $F(G; x)$ is called the *acyclic polynomial*. This is an unfortunate choice, as the acyclic polynomial exists in the literature as one of the version the matching polynomial, also called the defect matching polynomial, $\mu(G; x)$, used originally in [HL70].

3.1. A criterion for unimodality.

Lemma 3.1. *If \mathcal{A} is the complement of a hereditary graph property, then for $0 \leq k < n/2$, $c_k \leq c_{k+1}$.*

Proof. Fix $k < n/2$. If $c_k = 0$, the claim is trivial, so assume $c_k > 0$. Denote $D_{k+1} = \{B \subseteq V(G) : |B| = k+1, \exists A \in C_k, A \subseteq B\}$. Note that if $G[A] \in \mathcal{A}$, then $G[A \cup \{v\}] \in \mathcal{A}$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, so $D_{k+1} \subseteq C_{k+1}$, and hence it is enough to prove $c_k \leq |D_{k+1}|$.

Consider the bipartite graph $(X \cup Y, E)$ where $X = C_k$, $Y = D_{k+1}$ and there is an edge between $A \in X$ and $B \in Y$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$. Note that every vertex in X has degree $n - k$, so there are $c_k(n - k)$ edges. On the other hand, if $|Y| < |X|$, there is a vertex $B \in Y$ with degree larger than $n - k$, but the degree of a vertex in Y is at most $k + 1$, so we have $n - k < k + 1$, but then $n/2 \leq k$, which is a contradiction.

Overall, we have $c_k = |X| \leq |Y| = |D_{k+1}|$ as required. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be as before, G a graph of order n , and $k \geq n/2$. If $\frac{c_k}{\binom{n}{k}} \geq \frac{n-k}{k+1}$, then $c_i \geq c_{i+1}$ for $i \geq k$.*

Proof. Denote $r_i = \frac{c_i}{\binom{n}{i}}$. Note that for all i , $r_{i+1} \geq r_i$: if we denote by $A_{i+1} = \{(v, S) : v \in S, S \in C_{i+1}\}$ and by $B_i = \{(v, S) : v \in V - S, S \in C_i\}$, we have an injective mapping $f : B_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$ defined by $f(v, S) = (v, S \cup \{v\})$. Thus, we have that

$$(n - i)c_i = |B_i| \leq |A_{i+1}| = (i + 1)c_{i+1}$$

and so

$$r_{i+1} = \frac{c_{i+1}}{\binom{n}{i+1}} \geq \frac{n-i}{i+1} \frac{c_i}{\binom{n}{i+1}} = \frac{c_i}{\binom{n}{i}} = r_i$$

Now, if $r_k \geq \frac{n-k}{k+1}$, for $i \geq k$ we have

$$r_i \geq r_k \geq \frac{n-k}{k+1} \geq \frac{n-i}{i+1}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_i}{r_i + 1} &\geq r_i \geq \frac{n-i}{i+1} \\ \frac{c_i}{c_{i+1}} \frac{\binom{n}{i+1}}{\binom{n}{i}} &\geq \frac{n-i}{i+1} \\ \frac{c_i}{c_{i+1}} &\geq 1 \\ c_i &\geq c_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

As required. \square

Proposition 3.3. *If \mathcal{A} is as above and G is a graph of order n such that for $k = \lceil n/2 \rceil$,*

$$(*) \quad \frac{c_k}{\binom{n}{k}} \geq \frac{n-k}{k+1},$$

then the sequence $\{c_i\}$ is unimodal with mode $\lceil n/2 \rceil$.

Proof. From lemma 3.1, we have that $c_k \leq c_{k+1}$ for $k < n/2$, and from lemma 3.2 we have that $c_k \geq c_{k+1}$ for $k \geq n/2$. Thus, the sequence $\{c_i\}$ is unimodal with mode $\lceil n/2 \rceil$. \square

In particular, we have:

Corollary 3.4. *If \mathcal{A} is as above and G is a graph of order n such that there are no subsets $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $|S| = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ and $G[S] \notin \mathcal{A}$, the sequence $\{c_i\}$ is unimodal with mode $\lceil n/2 \rceil$.*

Proof. If there are no subsets $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $|S| = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ and $G[S] \notin \mathcal{A}$, then for $k = \lceil n/2 \rceil$, we have $\frac{c_k}{\binom{n}{k}} = 1 > \frac{\lceil n/2 \rceil}{\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1}$.

This can also be shown without using Lemma 3.2 by noting that in this case we have $c_i(G) = \binom{n}{i}$, for $i \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil$. \square

3.2. Using random graphs. We can use Corollary 3.4 to show that for many graph properties \mathcal{A} the sequence $c_i(G)$ is unimodal for almost all graphs G . In particular, Corollary 3.4 applies to all the cases where \mathcal{A} consists of all graphs which contain a fixed induced subgraph H .

For the general case we use the following classical theorem.

Theorem 3.5 (Janson, Luczak, Ruciński, 1988). *Let H be a graph, and $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ a random graph. The probability that G is H free is bounded by $2^{-cn^{c'}}$, where $c, c' \in \mathbb{N}$ are constants that depends on H .*

We only need the following consequence of Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.6. *Let H be a graph, and $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, 1/2)$ a random graph. Then with high probability, G does not have an H free subgraph with $n/2$ vertices.*

Proof. We bound the probability that a subset of k vertices of G induces an H free graph:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ a set of size } k \text{ in } V(G) \text{ that induces an } H \text{ free graph}) &\leq \\ \mathbb{E}(\# \text{ of sets of size } k \text{ in } V(G) \text{ that induces an } H \text{ free graph}) &= \\ \binom{n}{k} \mathbb{P}(\text{a random graph with } k \text{ vertices is } H \text{ free}) &\leq \\ \binom{n}{k} 2^{-ck^{c'}} &\leq \left(\frac{ne}{k}\right)^k 2^{-ck^{c'}} \end{aligned}$$

When $k = n/2$, we have

$$\left(\frac{ne}{k}\right)^k 2^{-ck^{c'}} = (2e)^{n/2} 2^{-c(n/2)^{c'}} = e^{n/2} 2^{(1-c)(n/2)^{c'}}$$

Which tends to 0. \square

Now we are in a position to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be a non trivial graph property such that if $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and H' is an induced subgraph of H , then $H' \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for almost all graphs G , the sequence $\{c_k\}$ is unimodal with mode $\lceil |V(G)|/2 \rceil$.*

Proof. Let $H \in \mathcal{C}$. By theorem 3.6 almost all graphs G don't have an induced subgraph with $|V(G)|/2$ vertices that is H free. But from Corollary 3.4, for every such graph the sequence $\{c_k\}$ is unimodal with mode $\lceil |V(G)|/2 \rceil$. \square

4. PROOF OF THE REAL-ROOTEDNESS THEOREM 1.10

Now we prove the Real-rootedness Theorem 1.10:

Theorem 1.10 : *Let \mathcal{A} be hereditary and with a graph $G_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ which is neither a clique nor an edge-less graph. Then $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ is real-rooted iff $G \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Let \mathcal{H} be a family of graphs and $Forb(\mathcal{H})$ the class of graphs with no induced subgraph in \mathcal{H} . We will the following characterization of hereditary graph properties.

Theorem 4.1 (Folklore). *A graph property \mathcal{A} is hereditary iff there is a family of graphs \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{A} = Forb(\mathcal{H})$.*

We adapt some definitions from [BBP20].

Let \mathcal{A} be a hereditary class of graphs and G a graph of order $n = n(G)$.

Definition 4.2. (i) $g_{\mathcal{A}}(G) = \min\{|S| : S \subseteq V(G), G[S] \notin \mathcal{A}\}$
(ii) $g_{\mathcal{A}} = \min_G \{g_{\mathcal{A}}(G)\}$
(iii) $\nabla_{\mathcal{A}}(G) = \min\{|S| : S \subseteq V(G), G[V(G) - S] \in \mathcal{A}\}$

Note that for $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x) = \sum_{S \subseteq V(G), G[S] \in \mathcal{A}} x^{|S|}$, the graph polynomial $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ is of degree $n(G) - \nabla_{\mathcal{A}}(G)$.

Examples 4.3. (i) The independence polynomial $I(G; x)$ is of this form with \mathcal{A} the class of edge-less graphs and $g_{\mathcal{A}} = 2$.
(ii) For \mathcal{A} the class of complete graphs, $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x) = Cl(G; x)$ is the Clique polynomial, and $g_{\mathcal{A}} = 2$.
(iii) Let \mathcal{H} be a family of graphs with $\mu(\mathcal{H})$ the order of its smallest member. Then $g_{Forb(\mathcal{H})} = \mu(\mathcal{H})$.
(iv) If \mathcal{A} is hereditary and $g(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ then \mathcal{A} consists either of all complete graphs or of all edge-less graphs.

Lemma 4.4. *If $G \in \mathcal{A}$, $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ is real rooted.*

Proof. In this case $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x) = \sum_i \binom{n}{i} x^i = (1 + x)^n$.
Therefore $-1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a root with multiplicity n . □

Lemma 4.5.

$$P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x) = B(x) + \left(\binom{n}{g_{\mathcal{A}}(G)} - \alpha \right) x^{g_{\mathcal{A}}(G)} + C(x)$$

with α a positive integer and

$$B = \sum_{i=0}^{g_{\mathcal{A}}(G)-1} \binom{n}{i} x^i = (1 + x)^{g_{\mathcal{A}}(G)-1}$$

of degree $g_{\mathcal{A}}(G) - 1$ and

$$C(x) = \sum_{j=g_{\mathcal{A}}(G)+1}^d a_j x^j$$

with $d = n(G) - \nabla_{\mathcal{A}}(G)$.

Proof. This follows from the definitions of $g_{\mathcal{A}}(G)$ and $\nabla_{\mathcal{A}}(G)$. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a polynomial of degree d and $n \geq d$. $f(x)$ is real-rooted iff $x^n f(\frac{1}{x})$ is real-rooted.*

Proof. Let $f(x) = x^k \prod_{i=0}^{d-k} (x - c_i)$ with roots $c_i \neq 0$ and 0 with multiplicity k .

Now $f(\frac{1}{x}) = (\frac{1}{x})^k \prod_{i=0}^{d-k} (\frac{1}{x} - c_i)$ with roots $d_i = \frac{1}{c_i}$.

$x^n f(\frac{1}{x}) = x^{n-k} \prod_{i=0}^{d-k} (\frac{1}{x} - c_i)$ has root 0 with multiplicity $n - k$ and the roots d_i . Clearly d_i is real iff c_i is real.

We used that $n \geq d \geq k$. \square

4.1. Using Sturm's Theorem. Let $F(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a real polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$ and leading coefficient $a_n > 0$.

The polynomials

$$F_0(x), F_1(x), F_2(x), \dots, F_k(x)$$

with respective degrees d_i form a *Sturm sequence* for F if

- (i) $F_0(x) = F(x)$,
- (ii) $F_1(x) = F(x)'$, the derivative of $F(x)$,
- (iii) $F_i(x) = -R_{i-2, i-1}(x)$ for $i \geq 2$, where

$$F_{i-2}(x) = F_{i-1}(x) \cdot D_i(x) + R_{i-2, i-1}(x)$$

where $R_{i-2, i-1}(x)$ is the remainder of the division of $F_{i-2}(x)$ by $F_{i-1}(x)$.

- (iv) The degrees d_i form a strictly decreasing sequence.
- (v) $F_k(x)$ has smallest possible positive degree.

In the paper [BH02] the following consequence of Sturm's Theorem is proved ²:

Theorem 4.7 (J. Brown and X. Hickman, 2002). *Let $F(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a real polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$ and leading coefficient $a_n > 0$.*

Let $F_0(x), F_1(x), F_2(x), \dots, F_k(x)$ its Sturm sequence with degrees d_i .

Then $F(x)$ is real-rooted iff no $F_i(x)$ has a negative leading coefficient and $|d_i - d_{i+1}| = 1$ for all $j \leq k$.

We now compute the Sturm sequence for

$$x^n P_{\mathcal{A}}(G, \frac{1}{x}).$$

Let G be of order n . Let $F_0(x) = x^n P_{\mathcal{A}}(G, \frac{1}{x})$ and $F_1(x) = F_0'(x)$ its derivativ.

To simplify notation we set $g = g_{\mathcal{A}}(G)$ and $b_g = \binom{n}{g} - \alpha$

Lemma 4.8. $F_0(x) = F_1(x) \cdot [\frac{1}{n}x + \frac{1}{n}] + R(x)$ with

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R(x) = & \sum_{k=1}^{g-1} \left[\binom{n}{k} - \frac{n-k}{n} \binom{n}{k} - \frac{n-k+1}{n} \binom{n}{k-1} \right] x^{n-k} + \\ & + [b_g - b_g \frac{n-g}{n} - \frac{n-g+1}{n} \binom{n}{g-1}] x^{n-g} + \\ & + \sum_{k=g+1}^d [b_k - b_k \frac{n-k}{n} - b_{k-1} \frac{n-k+1}{n}] x^{n-k} + b_d \frac{n-d}{n} x^{n-d-1} \end{aligned}$$

²The authors say this is stated (imprecisely) in [Bar03, Page 176]. They then give a corrected statement and a complete proof.

The coefficients in Line 4.1 vanish, hence

$$(4.2) \quad R(x) = [b_g - b_g \frac{n-g}{n} - \frac{n-g+1}{n} \binom{n}{g-1}] x^{n-g} + \\ + \sum_{k=g+1}^d [b_k - b_k \frac{n-k}{n} - b_{k-1} \frac{n-k+1}{n}] x^{n-k} + b_d \frac{n-d}{n} x^{n-d-1}$$

The leading term of $R(x)$ has degree $d_2 = n - g \leq n - 2$, but $d_0 = n, d_1 = n - 1$. Furthermore, the coefficient of Line 4.2 can be simplified as follows:

$$[b_g - b_g \frac{n-g}{n} - \frac{n-g+1}{n} \binom{n}{g-1}] = \alpha \left(\frac{n-g}{n} - 1 \right)$$

Conclusion: If $G \notin \mathcal{A}$ then $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ is not real-rooted.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND OPEN PROBLEMS

In Theorem 1.8 we have shown that the the generating function $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ of a co-hereditary graph property \mathcal{A} is unimodal for almost all graphs.

Question 5.1. *Can almost unimodality be improved to unimodality?*

Question 5.2. *Can unimodality be improved to log-concavity?*

It also follows from Theorem 3.7 that for \mathcal{A} hereditary the graph parameter

$$\binom{n}{i} - c_i$$

is unimodal. The sequence $\binom{n}{i}$ is known to be log-concave. However, the difference between a log-concave sequence and a unimodal sequence need not be unimodal, even if they have the same length and mode.

Question 5.3. *What more can we say about c_i if \mathcal{A} is hereditary?*

In particular:

Question 5.4. *Is the independence polynomial unimodal for almost all graphs?*

With Theorem 1.10 we characterized real-rootedness of $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ for hereditary graph properties \mathcal{A} . This leaves several questions open:

Problem 5.1. *Characterize the hereditary graph properties \mathcal{A} such that $P_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ is unimodal, although not necessarily real-rooted.*

An augmented graph property is *downward monotone* if for every $(V(G), E(G), S) \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $V' \subseteq V(G), S' \subseteq V' \cap S$ $(G[V'], S') \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Problem 5.2. *Can Theorem 1.10 be extended (downward monotone) augmented graph properties \mathcal{Q} : $F_{\mathcal{A}}(G; x)$ is real-rooted iff $G \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ for some suitable graph property $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}$?*

Problem 5.3. *Characterize the hereditary graph properties \mathcal{A} such that $F_{\mathcal{Q}}(G; x)$ is unimodal, although not necessarily real-rooted.*

REFERENCES

- [AMSE87] Yousef Alavi, Paresh J Malde, Allen J Schwenk, and Paul Erdős. The vertex independence sequence of a graph is not constrained. *Congressus Numerantium*, 58(15-23):2, 1987.
- [Bar03] Edward J Barbeau. *Polynomials*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2003.
- [BB20] Iain Beaton and Jason I Brown. On the unimodality of domination polynomials. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.11813*, 2020.
- [BBP20] Caroline Barton, Jason I Brown, and David A Pike. Acyclic polynomials of graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.01735*, 2020.
- [Ben14] Ferenc Bencs. Christoffel-darboux type identities for independence polynomial. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.2527*, 2014.
- [BH02] Jason I Brown and Carl A Hickman. On chromatic roots with negative real part. *Ars Combinatoria*, 62:211–221, 2002.
- [Brä15] Petter Brändén. Unimodality, log-concavity, real-rootedness and beyond. *Handbook of enumerative combinatorics*, 87:437, 2015.
- [CS07] Maria Chudnovsky and Paul Seymour. The roots of the independence polynomial of a clawfree graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 97(3):350–357, 2007.
- [Gut16] Ivan Gutman. A survey on the matching polynomial. *Graph Polynomials*, pages 73–95, 2016.
- [HL70] Ole J Heilmann and Elliott H Lieb. Monomers and dimers. *Physical Review Letters*, 24(25):1412, 1970.
- [Huh12] June Huh. Milnor numbers of projective hypersurfaces and the chromatic polynomial of graphs. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 25(3):907–927, 2012.
- [JLR90] S Janson, T Luczak, and A Rucinski. An exponential bound on the nonexistence of a specified subgraph in a random graph. In *Random graphs' 87 (Poznan, 1987)*, pages 73–87. Wiley, Chichester, 1990.
- [JLR11] Svante Janson, Tomasz Luczak, and Andrzej Rucinski. *Random graphs*, volume 45. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [Mak08] Johann A Makowsky. From a zoo to a zoology: Towards a general theory of graph polynomials. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 43(3):542–562, 2008.
- [MRK19] Johann A Makowsky, Elena V Ravve, and Tomer Kotek. A logician’s view of graph polynomials. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 170(9):1030–1069, 2019.
- [Rea68] Ronald C Read. An introduction to chromatic polynomials. *Journal of combinatorial theory*, 4(1):52–71, 1968.
- [Sch81] Allen J Schwenk. On unimodal sequences of graphical invariants. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 30(2):247–250, 1981.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, TECHNION - IIT, HAIFA, ISRAEL
Email address: `janos@cs.technion.ac.il`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION - IIT, HAIFA, ISRAEL
Email address: `vsevolod@campus.technion.ac.il`