

The model theory of residue rings of models of Peano Arithmetic: The prime power case

P. D'Aquino* and A. Macintyre†

November 8, 2021

Abstract

In [25] the second author gave a systematic analysis of definability and decidability for rings $\mathcal{M}/p\mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{M} is a model of Peano Arithmetic and p is a prime in \mathcal{M} . In the present paper we extend those results to the more difficult case of $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{M} is a model of Peano Arithmetic, p is a prime in \mathcal{M} , and $k > 1$. In [25] work of Ax on finite fields was used, here we use in addition work of Ax on ultraproduct of p -adics.

1 Introduction

1.1

Boris Zilber asked the second author the following question:

If \mathcal{M} is a nonstandard model of full Arithmetic, and n is a nonstandard element of \mathcal{M} congruent to 1 modulo all standard integers m , does the ring $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ interpret Arithmetic?

The problem arose in work of Zilber on commutator relations in quantum mechanics, see [29].

Although until recently little attention had been given to rings $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$, one would naturally expect that $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ is much simpler than \mathcal{M} , for example being

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università della Campania “L. Vanvitelli”, viale Lincoln, 5, 81100 Caserta, Italy, e-mail: paola.daquino@unicampania.it

†Partially supported by a Leverhulme Emeritus Fellowship.
School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, King’s Buidings, Peter Guthrie Tait Road Edinburgh EH9 3FD, UK, e-mail: a.macintyre@qmul.ac.uk

Keywords: models of Peano Arithmetic, ultraproducts, local rings, valuations and generalizations

internally finite in \mathcal{M} , and thus \mathcal{M} should not be interpretable in $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$, so Zilber's Problem should have a negative answer.

This is in fact how things turn out. From the outset of our work we looked at much more general problems connected to definability in the rings $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$, to emphasize the vast gulf between the residue rings $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ and \mathcal{M} . We chose to work with models \mathcal{M} of Peano Arithmetic, where one inevitably encounters Gödelian phenomena, and contrast \mathcal{M} with the ring $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ where one is in a strongly non-Gödelian setting, mainly because of Ax's work from [5], already exploited by Macintyre in [25] to give a detailed analysis of the case when n is prime.

After a lecture of Macintyre in 2015 Tom Scanlon pointed out that mere non-interpretability can easily be obtained via the interpretation of $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ as a non-standard initial segment $[0, n - 1]$, using definable pigeon-hole principle imposed by \mathcal{M} on $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$. This is certainly the first completely clear solution to Zilber's Problem. But it seems to have no implications for getting close to exact bounds for complexity of definitions in the $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$.

1.2

Our work on the $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ has three clearly defined stages, of increasing difficulty.

Stage 1. n prime. Then if n is a standard prime p , $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$, while if n is a nonstandard prime then $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ is a pseudofinite field (in Ax's sense [5]) of characteristic 0. See [25] for this case, and the cited properties. Pseudofinite fields of characteristic 0 are models of the theory of all finite prime fields, and this theory *PrimeFin* has a nice set of first-order axioms which we now sketch briefly.

A field K is a model of *PrimeFin* if

1) K is perfect

2) K has exactly one extension of each finite degree n

3_d) if Γ is an absolutely irreducible plane curve of degree d and $|K| > (d - 1)^4$

then Γ has a point in K

4_d) if $|K| \leq (d - 1)^4$ then $|K| = p$ for some prime $p \leq (d - 1)^4$

for each $0 < d \in \mathbb{N}$.

The theory is decidable, and all models are pseudofinite, in the sense weaker than Ax's, namely that each model is elementarily equivalent to an ultraproduct, possibly principal, of finite fields. Finally, each model of the theory is elementarily equivalent to some $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathbb{Z}$.

Noninterpretability of \mathcal{M} in any model of the theory can be seen via Hrushovski's result that pseudofinite fields are simple see [22].

Stage 2. $n = p^k$, p prime, and $k > 1$. This is covered in the present paper, and is indispensable for Stage 3.

Stage 3. n arbitrary. This will be considered in the last paper of the series. We use the factorization theory in \mathcal{M} of n as *an internal finite product* of prime powers, and an internal representation of $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ as $\prod_{p|n} \mathcal{M}/p^{v_p(n)}\mathcal{M}$ (see [11], [7]). This gets combined with an *internalized Feferman-Vaught Theorem* (see [21]), and the work of Stage 2, to get analogues of the main results of Stage 1 and 2, and thereby give a thorough analysis in all cases of the definability theory and axiomatizability of the $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$, with spin-offs on pseudofiniteness.

It is notable in Stage 2 that the $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ are Henselian local rings, and models of a natural set of axioms involving TOAGS (see [12]), truncated ordered abelian groups.

We make heavy use of many classical results on Henselian valuation rings, and *truncate* them to get results for our $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$. This is not routine. Though we know a huge amount about the logic of Henselian fields we know rather little about the logic of general Henselian local rings (even familiar finite local rings [16]).

2 Algebraic properties of $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$

2.1 \mathcal{M} standard

Already for \mathcal{M} standard there are nontrivial issues of decidability (and definability). Let $n = p^k$, where p is a prime and $k \geq 1$. It is well-known that $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ is an henselian local ring [26]. The ideals in the ring of p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p are generated by powers of p . Moreover, $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic in a natural way to $\mathbb{Z}_p/p^k\mathbb{Z}_p$. For any fixed prime p there is an existential formula in the language of rings defining \mathbb{Z}_p in \mathbb{Q}_p . A uniform definition of \mathbb{Z}_p in \mathbb{Q}_p without reference to the prime needs an existential-universal formula, see [10]. Ax in [5] proved that the theory of the class of valued fields \mathbb{Q}_p as p varies among the primes is decidable. So, the theory of the class of all $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ as p varies over all primes and k over all positive integers, is also decidable.

Remark 1. Whether or not \mathcal{M} is standard, if n is divisible by more than one prime, $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ is not local. If n is divisible by finitely many primes then $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ is semilocal. In general for \mathcal{M} nonstandard, $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ is *nonstandard semilocal* if n is divisible by infinitely many primes (and there are always such n when \mathcal{M} is nonstandard).

Remark 2. We note that for any \mathcal{M} , and prime p , standard or nonstandard, \mathcal{M} is not Henselian with respect to the p -adic valuation v_p on \mathcal{M} . First, suppose $p \neq 2$. If v_p is Henselian then $1 + p$ is a square, so $1 + p = y^2$ for some $y \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence, $(y - 1)(y + 1) = p$, and so either

- a) $y - 1 = 1$ and $y + 1 = p$
- b) $y - 1 = -1$ and $y + 1 = -p$
- c) $y - 1 = p$ and $y + 1 = 1$
- d) $y - 1 = -p$ and $y + 1 = -1$

a) implies $p = 3$. b) and c) are impossible. d) implies $p = 3$.

So suppose $p = 3$. Then, if Hensel's lemma holds, $1 + 2 \cdot 3 = 7$ is a square. Clearly, PA implies 7 is not a square.

Finally, assume $p = 2$. If Hensel's lemma holds then $1 + 8 \cdot h$ is a square for all h , so 17 is a square, impossible in PA .

2.2 \mathcal{M} nonstandard

Each of the rings $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ can be interpreted in \mathcal{M} in a uniform way for each prime p and each positive integer k , as can each of the natural maps $\mathbb{Z}/p^{k+1}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$. But it not possible to interpret \mathbb{Z}_p in \mathcal{M} as the inverse limit of the $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$'s with the associated maps.

Los' theorem implies that if D is a nonprincipal ultrafilter on the set of primes then the ultraproduct $\prod_D \mathbb{Z}_p$ is an Henselian valuation ring, whose maximal ideal is $\prod_D \mu_p$ where μ_p is the maximal ideal of \mathbb{Z}_p . Also the value group of the ultraproduct of the local domains is the ultraproduct of the value groups of the \mathbb{Z}_p 's, and so an ultrapower of \mathbb{Z} . Ax's results in [5] are needed to prove that the residue field $\prod_D \mathbb{Z}_p / \prod_D \mu_p = \prod_D \mathbb{Z}_p / \mu_p$ is a pseudofinite field.

We will first analyze the basic algebraic properties of each of the quotients $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$, and we will show that also for \mathcal{M} nonstandard $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is a local Henselian ring. We will then appeal to classical results of model theory of Henselian fields (see [20], [6]) to understand the theory of the class of all $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ for p prime and k positive in \mathcal{M} . We will make use also of some constructions and ideas in [15].

The valuation v_p induces a "valuation" (which we will denote by v) on the quotient ring $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$. The residue field of $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is either \mathbb{F}_p if p is standard, or a characteristic 0 pseudofinite field if p is nonstandard.

Theorem 2.1. *For general prime p and $k > 0$, $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is a local Henselian ring, and the unique maximal ideal is principal.*

Proof: The units in $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ are $m + (p^k)$ where m is prime to p . Clearly, the non units form an ideal, and this is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ and is generated by $p + (p^k)$.

Let $f(x)$ be a monic polynomial over \mathcal{M} , and $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $v_p(f(\alpha)) > 0$ and $v_p(f'(\alpha)) = 0$. We want to show that there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $f(\beta) = 0$ and $v_p(\alpha - \beta) > 0$. We use inside \mathcal{M} the standard approximation procedure. The informal recursion (as it would be done in \mathbb{N}) puts $\beta_0 = \alpha$, and $\beta_1 = \beta_0 + \epsilon_1$, where ϵ_1 should be chosen judiciously from \mathcal{M} . So, $f(\beta_1) = f(\beta_0 + \epsilon_1) = f(\beta_0) + f'(\beta_0)\epsilon_1 + o(\epsilon_1^2)$. Choose $\epsilon_1 = -\frac{f(\beta_0)}{f'(\beta_0)}$ (an infinitesimal). Hence, $v_p(f(\beta_1)) = v_p(f(\beta_0 + \epsilon_1)) \geq (v_p(f(\beta_0)))^2 > 0$, and $v_p(f'(\beta_1)) = v_p(f'(\beta_0) + \epsilon_1 f''(\beta_0) + o(\epsilon_1^2)) = 0$. We iterate this procedure which can be coded in \mathcal{M} , and we get a sequence β_j 's of elements of \mathcal{M} , such that $v_p(f(\beta_j)) > 0$, $v_p(f'(\beta_j)) = 0$, $v_p(f(\beta_j)) < v_p(f(\beta_{j+1}))$, and $v_p(\beta_0 - \beta_j) > 0$. If $v_p(f(\beta_m)) \geq k$ for some m , then β_m is a solution of f in $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$. By the Pigeonhole Principle this always happens since otherwise we would have a definable injective map from \mathcal{M} into an initial segment $[0, p^k)$, clearly a contradiction.

Note that the condition that the unique maximal ideal is principal is elementary. In general, in a local ring the unique maximal ideal need not be principal.

3 Truncations

The principal ideals of $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ are generated by p^j for $0 < j \leq k$, and are linearly ordered by the divisibility condition with minimum (0) and maximum (p).

On the ring $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ there is a *truncated valuation* v with values in $[0, k]$, defined by

$$v(m + (p^k)) = \begin{cases} v(m) & \text{if } v(m) < k \\ k & \text{if } v(m) \geq k \end{cases}$$

satisfying

1. $v(x + y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y))$
2. $v(xy) = \min(k, v(x) + v(y))$
3. $v(1) = 0$
4. $v(0) = k$

We can construe v as a map to a “truncated ordered abelian group”, henceforward called TOAG. In [12] axioms which are true in initial segments of ordered abelian groups are identified. We list them here. Some are obvious, while others need some calculations. In [12] it is also shown that models of these axioms can be realized as initial segments of ordered abelian groups. Notice that in [12] it is not specified if the order is discrete. We are mostly interested in discrete orders and some extra axioms will be added later. The language contains two binary operations $+$ and $\dot{+}$, a binary relation \leq , and two constants 0 and τ .

Axioms:

1. $+$ is commutative
2. $x + 0 = x$ and $x + \tau = \tau$
3. $x \leq y$ and $x_1 \leq y_1$ imply $x + x_1 \leq y + y_1$
4. $+$ is associative
5. $x + y = x + z < \tau$ implies $y = z$ (*cancellation rule*)
6. If $x \leq y < \tau$ then there is a unique z with $x + z = y$
7. there are z such that $z < \tau$ and $x + z = \tau$, and $\tau \dot{-} x = \min\{z : x + z = \tau\}$
8. $\tau \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} x) = x$
9. If $0 \leq x, y < \tau$ and $x + y = \tau$ then $y \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} x) = x \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} y)$
10. If $x + (y + z) = \tau$ and $y + x < \tau$ then $x \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} (y + z)) = z \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} (x + y))$
11. If $y + z < \tau$, $x + (y + z) = \tau$, $x + y = \tau$, and $z + (y \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} x)) < \tau$
12. If $y + x = \tau$ and $y + z < \tau$ then $z + (y \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} x)) < \tau$
13. If $y + z = y + x = \tau$ and $z + (y \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} x)) < \tau$ then $x + (y \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} z)) = x \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} y) + z$
14. If $y + z = y + x = \tau$ and $x + (y \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} z)) = \tau$ then $z + (y \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} x)) = \tau$
15. If $y + z = y + x = x + (y \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} z)) = \tau$ then $(y \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} x)) \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} z) = (y \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} z)) \dot{-} (\tau \dot{-} x)$.

A proof of the following fundamental result is in [12].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $[0, \tau]$ be a TOAG with $+$, \div and \leq . Then there is an ordered abelian group $(\Gamma, \oplus, \leq_\Gamma)$ with P the semigroup of non-negative elements, and an element τ_Γ in P such that $([0, \tau], +, \leq)$ is isomorphic to $([0, \tau_\Gamma], \oplus, \leq_\Gamma)$, where the structure on $[0, \tau_\Gamma]$ is the one induced by Γ .*

Our primary interest in this paper is in models of Presburger, and extra conditions are needed for characterizing the TOAGs which are initial segments of models of Presburger. We expand the language for TOAG with an extra constant symbol 1. The extra axioms are also sufficient as shown in the following theorem in [12].

Theorem 3.2. *A TOAG $[0, \tau]$ with least positive element 1 is a Presburger TOAG if and only if it satisfies the following conditions*

1. $[0, \tau]$ is discretely ordered and every positive element is a successor;
2. for every positive integer n and each $x \in [0, \tau]$ there is a $y \in [0, \tau]$ and an integer $m < n$ such that $x = \underbrace{(y + \dots + y)}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{(1 + \dots + 1)}_{m \text{ times}}$.

Let *PresTOAG* be the set of axioms in the language containing $+$, \div , \leq , 0 and τ for Presburger TOAGs together with all the *remainders axioms* as above.

Theorem 3.3. 1. *PresTOAG is model complete.*

2. *PresTOAG is not complete. The complete extensions are in natural correspondence with $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, where to $(f(p))_{f(p) \in \mathbb{Z}_p}$ corresponds*

$$\text{PresTOAG} + \tau \equiv f(p) \pmod{p^k}$$

for every $k \geq 1$.

3. *The theory of models of PresTOAG has quantifier-elimination in the language augmented by the relations \equiv_n (divisibility by n) for each $n \geq 2$.*

Proof: 1. Let S_1, S_2 be models of *PresTOAG* and assume there is a monomorphism $S_1 \hookrightarrow S_2$. Since τ is in the language, S_1 and S_2 have the same top element. Let S_2 be an initial segment of a model S of Presburger. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in S_1$. We claim the type of $\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \rangle$ in S_1 is the same as the type of $\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \rangle$ in S_2 . By the elimination of quantifiers for Presburger Arithmetic the type of $\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \rangle$ over any S is determined by order and congruence conditions in terms of τ and 1, and so it is the same in both S_1 and S_2 .

2. Clearly the conditions got from $f \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ are consistent. The completeness comes easily from Theorem 3.2 and the elimination of quantifiers for Presburger Arithmetic.

3. This follows from elimination of quantifiers for Presburger Arithmetic.

4 Henselian local rings

4.1

In Section 3 we considered a valuation v on the Henselian local ring $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ with values onto the Presburger TOAG $[0, k]$. In addition, the residue field of the local ring is either \mathbb{F}_p if p is standard, and otherwise a characteristic 0 pseudofinite field. The union of these alternatives says exactly that the residue field is a model of the theory *PrimeFin*. Moreover, $v(p) = 1$ if p is standard. A natural problem is whether a Henselian local ring with these properties is a quotient of the valuation ring of a Henselian field with the same residue field, and value group a model of Presburger Arithmetic, and in addition $v(p) = 1$ if p is standard.

The first order theory of a Henselian valued field (K, v) , in the language of valued fields, is well understood in the particular cases when the value group is a model of Presburger and the residue field is either a characteristic 0 pseudofinite field or the residue field is \mathbb{F}_p and $v(p) = 1$ (i.e. K is unramified).

In these cases, $Th(K, v)$ is completely determined by the theory of the residue field and the theory of the value group. As a consequence, one gets that $Th(K, v)$ is decidable if and only if the theory of the residue field and the theory of the value group are decidable.

Theorem 4.1. *For any $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ there is a ring R such that*

- 1) *R is a Henselian valuation domain of characteristic 0 and unramified, and the value group Γ of R is a \mathbb{Z} -group (i.e. a model of Presburger), with initial segment $[0, k]$.*
- 2) *$\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to the quotient ring R/I for some principal ideal I of R .*
- 3) *the residue field of R is naturally isomorphic to the residue field of $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof: Using the MacDowell-Specker Theorem (see [24]), choose \mathcal{N} a proper elementary end extension of \mathcal{M} . Let $d = p^\delta$ for some $\delta \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\delta > \mathcal{M}$. By Theorem 2.1 the ring $\mathcal{N}/p^\delta\mathcal{N}$ is a Henselian local ring with respect to the valuation induced by the p -adic valuation on \mathcal{N} . The set $\Delta = \{x \in \mathcal{N} : v_p(x) > v_p(a) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a non-principal prime ideal of \mathcal{N} and contains p^δ . Hence, \mathcal{N}/Δ is a domain, a local ring with value group \mathcal{M} , and residue field either \mathbb{F}_p or a characteristic 0 pseudofinite field. Moreover, \mathcal{N}/Δ is also Henselian since it is a homomorphic image of $\mathcal{N}/p^\delta\mathcal{N}$ which is a Henselian ring. Let $R = \mathcal{N}/\Delta$ then $R/p^k R \cong \mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ (here we use that \mathcal{N} is an end extension of \mathcal{M}). \square

Notice that the maximal ideal of R is principal due to the fact that divisibility is a linear order on valuation domains, and the value group is discrete. As already noticed the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is principal generated by $p + (p^k)$.

So far we have identified the $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ as Henselian local rings, with two distinct cases (see [2], [3], [4]):

Case 1. p standard, and $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ isomorphic to some $S/\alpha S$, where $S \equiv \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $\alpha \in S$. In particular, $v_p(p) = 1$.

Case 2. p nonstandard, and $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ isomorphic to some $S/\alpha S$, with S elementarily equivalent to a ring of power series with exponents in a \mathbb{Z} -group and coefficients from a pseudofinite field of characteristic 0, with $\alpha \in S$.

In both cases we have the valuation onto a *PresTOAG*, and we can link up to the results of [16] where we began to analyze the set of axioms for such rings. Moreover, a trivial compactness argument shows that any local ring modelling those axioms is elementarily equivalent to some $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M} \models PA$, see below.

We note one important point. The rings $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ have the special property of recursive saturation, see [25], so we cannot replace elementary equivalence by isomorphism in the preceding paragraph. However, there are standard resplesdency arguments which give the converse when the ring $S/\alpha S$ is countable recursively saturated.

4.2

Note that we still have not proved the converse that any $S/\alpha S$ as in Case 1 and 2 above is elementarily equivalent to some $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$.

Now, we do this and in addition we obtain decidability results.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose S is as in Cases 1 and Case 2 above, and α is a non-unit and $\alpha \neq 0$. Then $S/\alpha S$ is elementarily equivalent to an ultraproduct of $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$, for p prime and $k > 0$.*

Proof: By Ax-Kochen-Ershov, S is elementarily equivalent to an ultraproduct of \mathbb{Z}_p 's. So, $S/\alpha S$ is elementarily equivalent to an ultraproduct of $\mathbb{Z}_p/\alpha_p\mathbb{Z}_p$, where α_p is a non-zero, nonunit of \mathbb{Z}_p , and each $\mathbb{Z}_p/\alpha_p\mathbb{Z}_p$ is isomorphic to some $\mathbb{Z}/p^h\mathbb{Z}$. So, $S/\alpha S$ is elementarily equivalent to an ultraproduct of various $\mathbb{Z}/p^h\mathbb{Z}$, so it is isomorphic to some $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ where \mathcal{M} is an ultrapower of \mathbb{Z} and p is a prime in \mathcal{M} and $k \in \mathcal{M}$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *The elementary theories of the $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ are exactly the elementary theories of the $S/\alpha S$ where S is as in Case 1 and 2.*

Proof: By Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2. □

Ax's decidability results for the class of all \mathbb{Q}_p gives (via interpretability) decidability of the class of all $S/\alpha S$, and so of the class of all $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$.

We summarize what we have proved so far.

Theorem 4.4. 1. $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ are pseudofinite (or finite).

2. The theory of the $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is the theory of the $\mathbb{Z}/p^h\mathbb{Z}$.

3. The theory of the $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is decidable.

Proof: 1) and 2) follow from Theorem 4.2. The theory of $\mathbb{Z}/p^h\mathbb{Z}$ for a fixed prime p and $h > 0$ is decidable by [5].

5 Axioms, definitions and model-completeness

Let $R = \mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$.

If $k = 1$ then R is a model of the theory of finite prime fields (see [25], [16]). The theory *PrimeFin* of finite prime fields (and thus the theory of the R 's) has uniform quantifier elimination in the definitional extension of ring theory got by adding primitives $Sol_n(y_0, \dots, y_n)$ expressing $\exists t(y_0 + \dots + y_n t^n = 0)$, see [1], and [16] for rings arising from models of *PA*. Models R_1 and R_2 are elementarily equivalent if and only if they have the same characteristic and agree on all $Sol_n(\ell_0, \dots, \ell_n)$ for $\ell_0, \dots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, see [5].

Some $Th(R)$ are model-complete, some not. The model-complete ones are those where R is either \mathbb{F}_p or characteristic 0, and for each m the unique extension of dimension m is got by adjoining an algebraic number, see [18]. One gets an abundance of model-complete examples from Jarden's result in [23] that $\{\sigma \in Gal(\mathbb{Q}^{alg}) : Fix(\sigma) \text{ is pseudofinite}\}$ has measure 1. An example of a non model-complete R is one where $\mathbb{Q}^{alg} \subseteq R$ (see [5]).

In the case of $k > 1$ we need to elaborate the discussion in Section 4. There we showed that $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to some $S/\alpha S$ where $\alpha \in S$, S is an unramified Henselian domain, with a value group a \mathbb{Z} -group, residue field a model of the theory of finite prime fields. Conversely, any such $S/\alpha S$ is elementarily equivalent to some $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ for some \mathcal{M} model of *PA*.

So we have to investigate axioms, elementary invariants and model-completeness for all R of the form $S/\alpha S$, where S and α are as before. Each is valued in a Presburger TOAG. Moreover, the TOAG is interpretable (in \mathcal{L}_{rings}) in R , by taking

the underlying set Γ of the TOAG, to the set of principal ideals of R , linearly ordered by reverse inclusion, so (1) is the least element and (0) is the top element (obviously, we get a linear order since S is a valuation domain). We get a \oplus on Γ via $(\alpha) \oplus (\beta) = (\alpha\beta)$, making Γ into a TOAG with (1) as 0-element, and (0) as ∞ -element. The *valuation* maps α to (α) . In this way we get a Presburger TOAG. This is a crucial axiom about R . The 1 of the Presburger TOAG is (p) (the maximal ideal). In [16] we show that the elementary type of the TOAG is given by the *Presburger type* of the penultimate element of Γ (in our case $(k-1)$). Moreover, any Presburger TOAG is model-complete.

The maximal ideal μ of R is of course definable as the set of nonunits and the residue field of R is interpretable. Naturally, we seek “truncations” of the Ax-Kochen-Ershov theorem. On the basis of what we have done above we get the following two basic theorems.

Theorem 5.1. *The theory of the class of $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ (p prime and $k \geq 1$) is axiomatized by the following conditions*

1. *Henselian local ring, valued in a Presburger TOAG, with residue field a model of the theory of finite prime fields;*
2. *If the characteristic of the residue field is a prime p then the valuation of p is the least positive element of the Presburger TOAG.*

Theorem 5.2. *The elementary theory of an individual $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is uniquely determined by the Presburger type of the penultimate element of the TOAG, and by the elementary theory of the residue field. Given a Presburger type and a residue field, there is an $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ with the corresponding invariants.*

Remark 5.3. 1. *In the above we work with the ring language, since the TOAG condition comes from a condition on principal ideals.*

2. *Obviously there are decidability results parallel to the above (of the form, for example, if the residue field is decidable, and the Presburger type of the penultimate element of the TOAG is computable then $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is decidable).*

5.1 Quantifier elimination

The analysis of formulas in the $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$, rather than the mere sentences of the preceding subsection, is quite tricky. It involves a Denef-Pas analysis as in [16] for sentences, but with some complications, and we give only a sketch of the argument.

By the preceding we are dealing (as far as definability is concerned) with rings $S/\alpha S$ with S a Henselian valuation domain with Presburger value group, with residue field k a model of the theory of finite prime field, and unramified. We note the substantial result in [10] that S is uniformly $\exists\forall$ -definable in the language of rings in its field of fractions K .

It is convenient to fix in S an element t with $v(t) = 1$, i.e. the least positive element of the value group. We take $t = p$ if k has characteristic p , and t arbitrary otherwise (note then that the type of t has many possibilities). We can assume K is \aleph_1 -saturated and so has an angular component map $ac : K \rightarrow k$. This will not be definable in general unless we have some saturation. See [27], and [16] for the PA context for the details. Note that our $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ are recursively saturated, and so if \mathcal{M} is countable we have an ac .

We now work in the 3-sorted formalism $\mathcal{L}_{Denef-Pas}$, with sorts of K , k , and Γ (field, residue field, and value group with added ∞). We have the usual field formalism on each of K , k , and on Γ the usual $+$, $-$, $<$, ∞ . In addition we have two trans-sort primitives, ac and v , the former from K to k , and the latter from K to $\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$. K has characteristic 0, K has constant t as above with $t = p$ if k has characteristic p , and $v(t) = 1$.

Our purpose is to analyze the structure of the sets

$$\{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in (S/\alpha S)^n : S/\alpha S \models \psi(y_1, \dots, y_n)\}$$

for a ring formula ψ .

For convenience in applying Denef-Pas, we work in K rather than in S , but we exploit the uniform definability of S in K . So, we consider variables x_1, \dots, x_n, α and the formula expressing (in K) x_1, \dots, x_n, α are in S , and

$$S/\alpha S \models \psi(x_1 + \alpha S, \dots, x_n + \alpha S). \tag{1}$$

This is a first order condition. Thus by Denef-Pas it is uniformly, except for finitely many p (characteristic of k), equivalent to a formula $\Theta(x_1, \dots, x_n, \alpha)$ in \mathcal{L}_{DP} with no bounded K variables (but do not forget the constant t !). The basic formulas out of which Θ is built are:

- algebraic equations in $x_1 + \alpha S, \dots, x_n + \alpha S, t$ over K ;
- residue field formulas in $ac(\tau_\ell)$ for various polynomials τ_ℓ over \mathbb{Z} in $x_1 + \alpha S, \dots, x_n + \alpha S, t$.
- Presburger formulas in $v(\mu_m)$ for various polynomials μ_m in $x_1 + \alpha S, \dots, x_n + \alpha S, t$.

In fact, Θ can be taken as a Boolean combination of these basic formulas, as can be seen by inspection of the proof of Denef-Pas.

For the finitely many exceptional standard primes p_1, \dots, p_n (which can be found effectively from ψ , by [5]), S is elementarily equivalent to one of $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}$ (the analogous easier argument for sentences is sketched in [16]). By using Macintyre's quantifier elimination for each of $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}$, and changing the ac if need be, one easily gets the power conditions $P_\ell(x)$ to have the required Denef-Pas form. By using that $p = 0$ in k captures the characteristic p condition, one can combine these finitely many analyses with the one that works except for p_1, \dots, p_n to get the Denef-Pas result for all ψ , giving a new Θ that works always, independent of p .

Now we can do further elimination simplification in the other sorts. For the Presburger sort we simply have the classical elimination down to order and congruence conditions, provided we have a constant for the least positive element (and above we have stipulated that $v(t) = 1 =$ least positive element). So Presburger quantifiers get eliminated. This leaves the issue of quantification over k . Recall that k ranges over models of the theory of finite prime fields. By [5] and [1] one easily sees that (uniformly) k has quantifier elimination down to the solvability predicates Sol_n .

Now recall that we seek elimination results in the $S/\alpha S$, where the above takes place in K . However, k depends only on S and not on α , and the Presburger conditions have the same value in $S/\alpha S$ as in S , for x_1, \dots, x_n proper dividing α , so in fact we have proved (recall our starting point (1)):

Theorem 5.4. *Assume the previous conditions on S , and adjoin constant t with $v(t) = 1$, and $t = p$ if k has characteristic p . Then uniformly in S for any $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in $\mathcal{L}_{ring,t}$, the language of rings with t , there is another such formula $\psi^+(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ such that if S is \aleph_1 -saturated S has an angular component ac such that for all $\alpha \in S$, $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in S$ properly dividing α*

$$S/\alpha S \models \psi(\beta_1 + \alpha S, \dots, \beta_n + \alpha S) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad S \models \psi^+(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \alpha)$$

where $\psi^+(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ is a Boolean combination of three kinds of sorted formulas (where now the sorts are local ring, residue ring, TOAG):

1. polynomial equations over $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ in $\beta_1 + \alpha S, \dots, \beta_n + \alpha S$
2. solvability conditions over \mathbb{Z} in $\beta_1 + \alpha S, \dots, \beta_n + \alpha S$ and $ac(\beta_1), \dots, ac(\beta_n)$, $ac(t) = 1$ (i.e. using the predicates Sol_n)
3. Presburger conditions over monomials in $v(\beta_1), \dots, v(\beta_n)$ in the Presburger TOAG $[0, v(t/\alpha)]$.

Proof. This is sketched above, and is the obvious “truncated” analogue of Denef-Pas. Note that [12] contains the background for 3. □

We do not attempt to go any deeper to minimize the role of the ac . This may be worthwhile, but it is not needed for our last topic below.

5.2 Elementary embeddings and model-completeness

We work with rings $R \equiv S/\alpha S$, where S is as above. The TOAG valuation is algebraically interpretable in R . From Section 4, the elementary type of R is determined by the elementary type of the residue field, and the Presburger type of the penultimate element of the TOAG. For $R_1 \equiv R_2$ as rings, and an embedding $f : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$, we want to find out when f is elementary. There is no loss of generality in analyzing the case when f is a ring inclusion. It has no chance of being elementary if the least positive element of the TOAG of R_1 is not the least positive element of the TOAG of R_2 . So we work in $\mathcal{L}_{ring,t}$, the language of rings with t , with corresponding assumptions on R_1 and R_2 . Thus there is a natural inclusion of residue fields $k_1 \rightarrow k_2$, provided each R_i satisfies that t generates the maximal ideal. This we now assume (recall that the corresponding maximal ideals μ_1 and μ_2 are both generated by t). Note one cost of adjoining t is that our work has to take some account of part of “the type of t ”. We indicate, as we go along, what is involved.

Our purpose is to show that if the embedding $k_1 \rightarrow k_2$ is elementary then so is $R_1 \rightarrow R_2$. Our convention about t ensures that the induced map on TOAGs is elementary.

Now, neither R_1 nor R_2 need have an ac , as required for the Denef-Pas analysis, and we have to resort to “tricks of the saturation trade” to reduce to the case when R_2 has an ac which restricts to R_1 . If there is any counterexample to our claim that if $k_1 \rightarrow k_2$ is elementary then so is $R_1 \rightarrow R_2$, we select such a counterexample (witnessed by a particular residue-field formula), and by a standard compactness/saturation argument produce a counterexample with stronger properties, namely those given in the next two paragraphs.

We are assuming $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ (and in fact a local extension because of the t -convention), $R_1 \cong S/\alpha S$, $R_1 \equiv R_2$ in the rings language, S is \aleph_1 -saturated, and $k_1 \preceq k_2$ (note that since $ac(t)$ can be chosen as 1, we need only consider $k_1 \preceq k_2$ in the ring language), and some formula $W(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ witnessing that $R_1 \not\equiv R_2$ (in $\mathcal{L}_{ring,t}$), i.e. there are some c_1, \dots, c_n in R_1 so that $R_1 \models W(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ and $R_2 \models \neg W(c_1, \dots, c_n)$.

Then we have to work (quite hard) to get an ac on R_2 restricting to one on R_1 . This involves modifying R_2 in general. First get ac on R_1 using the \aleph_1 -saturation of R_1 , recall that S is \aleph_1 -saturated and by [8] has a normalized cross-section and thus an ac (appropriately normalized) which truncates to R_1 .

Now go from R_2 to an $|R_2|^+$ -saturated elementary extension $\overline{R_2}$. This by an obvious adaptation of Cherlin's argument in [8] give an \overline{ac} on $\overline{R_2}$ extending the ac on R_1 . Now use $R_1 \rightarrow \overline{R_2}$, which still satisfies the original condition that (R_1, R_2) did. By Theorem 5.4 the truncated Denef-Pas version gives us $R_1 \preceq \overline{R_2}$ since $k_1 \preceq \overline{k_2}$, where $\overline{k_2}$ is the residue field of $\overline{R_2}$, and all polynomial equations

$$f(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n, ac(\eta_1), \dots, ac(\eta_n)) = 0,$$

with $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in R_1$ maintain their truth value between R_1 and $\overline{R_2}$ (trivially). But since $R_1 \preceq \overline{R_2}$ and $R_2 \preceq \overline{R_2}$ we must have $R_1 \preceq R_2$.

So we have now the analogue of the result due to Ziegler [28] in generality.

Theorem 5.5. *Assume $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ with the t condition to guarantee $k_1 \subseteq k_2$. Then $R_1 \preceq R_2$ if and only if $k_1 \preceq k_2$.*

Finally this gives us a model-completeness result.

Theorem 5.6. *The theory of R in the t -formalism is model-complete if and only if the theory of the residue field k is.*

6 Neostability and interpretability

If p is standard, the preceding shows that each $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is interpretable in an ultrapower of \mathbb{Z}_p . By [17] the ultrapower is NIP , and so does not interpret even $I\Delta_0$ (which has IP), a much weaker system than Peano Arithmetic see [11].

When p is nonstandard, $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ interprets $\mathcal{M}/p\mathcal{M}$ which has IP (see [19]), so $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ has IP . However, $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ lives in the NTP_2 environment of neostability [9], since $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$ is interpretable (by the preceding) in the ring of power series in $\mathcal{M}/p\mathcal{M}$ with value group a model of Presburger. By [9] this ring of power series has NTP_2 .

However, any model \mathcal{M} of $I\Delta_0$ has TP_2 , as we see by the following construction. Let a_{nm} be p_n^m for n, m positive standard integers, and p_n a prime in \mathcal{M} . Consider the formula (of ring theory) $\varphi(x, y)$ saying that y is a power of a prime p , and $v_p(x) = v_p(y)$. From [11] this is given in \mathcal{M} by a Δ_0 -formula. Now,

1. the set $\{\varphi(x, a_{nm}) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is inconsistent, for fixed n ;
2. for any $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ the set $\{\varphi(x, a_{nf(n)}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is consistent.
 1. This is clear, since the type forces $v_{p_n}(x) = m$, for all m .
 2. One shows that for each \bar{n} , the set $\{\varphi(x, a_{nf(n)}) : n \leq \bar{n}\}$ is realized in \mathcal{M} , in fact by the element $b = p_0^{f(0)} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{\bar{n}}^{f(\bar{n})}$.

Since TP_2 is preserved by interpretation, we have

Theorem 6.1. *For each $k \geq 1$ no model of $I\Delta_0$ is interpretable in any $\mathcal{M}/p^k\mathcal{M}$.*

Concluding remarks. In the sequel to this paper we consider $\mathcal{M}/n\mathcal{M}$ for general n . The case when n has only finitely many prime divisors is no harder than what we did above. But the general case is much harder.

References

- [1] A. Adler and C. Kiefe, Pseudofinite fields, procyclic fields and model-completions, in Pacific Journal of Mathematics, vol. 62, (2), (1976), pp. 305-309.
- [2] J. Ax and S. Kochen, Diophantine problems over local fields, I. American Journal of Mathematics 87, (1965), pp. 605-630.
- [3] J. Ax and S. Kochen, Diophantine problems over local fields II, American Journal of Mathematics 87, (1965), pp. 631-648.
- [4] J. Ax and S. Kochen, Diophantine problems over local fields. III, Decidable fields. Annals of Mathematics 83 (2), (1966), pp. 437-456.
- [5] J. Ax, The elementary theory of finite fields, Annals of Mathematics 88, (1968), 239-271.
- [6] S.A. Basarab, Relative elimination of quantifiers for Henselian valued fields, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 53, (1991), pp. 51-74.
- [7] A. Berarducci and P. D'Aquino, Δ_0 -complexity of the relation $y = \prod_{i \leq n} F(i)$, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 75, (1995), pp. 49-56.
- [8] G. Cherlin, Model Theoretic Algebra - Selected Topics. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 521, Springer, (1976).
- [9] A. Chernikov, I. Kaplan and P. Simon, Groups and fields with NTP_2 , in Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 143, (1), (2015), pp. 395-406
- [10] R. Cluckers, J. Derakhshan, E. Leenknegt, A. Macintyre, Uniformly defining valuation rings in Henselian valued fields with finite or pseudo-finite residue fields, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 164, (2013), pp. 1236-1246.
- [11] P. D'Aquino, Local behaviour of the Chebyshev Theorem in Models of $I\Delta_0$, Journal of Symbolic Logic 57, (1992), pp. 12-27

- [12] P. D'Aquino, J. Derakhshan and A. Macintyre, Truncations of ordered abelian groups, to appear in *Algebra Universalis*.
- [13] P. D'Aquino and A. Macintyre, Non standard finite fields over $\Delta_0 + \Omega_1$, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 117, (2000), pp. 311-333.
- [14] P. D'Aquino and A. Macintyre, Quadratic forms in models of $\Delta_0 + \Omega_1$, Part II: Local equivalence, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 162, (2011), pp. 447-456.
- [15] P. D'Aquino and A. Macintyre, Primes in Models of $I\Delta_0 + \Omega_1$: Density in Henselizations, in *Studies in Weak Arithmetics* (ed. P. Cégielski et al.), CSLI Publications, (2013), pp. 85-91.
- [16] P. D'Aquino and A. Macintyre, Model theory of some local rings, *IfCoLog Journal of Logics and their Applications*, vol. 4, No. 4, (2017), pp 885-899.
- [17] F. Delon, Types sur $\mathbb{C}((X))$, *Study Group on Stable Theories, Second year: 1978/79, Secretariat Math.*, 1981.
- [18] J. Derakhshan and A. Macintyre, Model-completeness for finitely ramified henselian fields valued in a \mathbb{Z} -group, submitted (2020)
- [19] J.-L. Duret, Les corps faiblement algébriquement clos non séparablement clos ont la propriété d'indépendance, in *Model Theory of Algebra and Arithmetic*, Pacholski et al. (Eds), Springer Lecture Notes, vol. 834, (1989), pp. 135-157.
- [20] Yu.L. Ershov, On the elementary theory of maximal normed fields, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, vol. 6, (1965), pp. 1390-1393 (In Russian).
- [21] S. Feferman and R. Vaught, The first order properties of algebraic systems, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 47, (1959), pp. 57-103.
- [22] E. Hrushovski, Pseudo-finite fields and related structures, in *Model Theory and Applications*, *Quaderni di Matematica* vol. 11, Aracne, (2002), pp. 151-212.
- [23] M. Jarden, Rational points on algebraic varieties over large number fields, *Bulletin of American Mathematical Society*, 75 (1969), pp. 603–606.
- [24] R. Kossak and J. Schmerl, *The Structure of Models of Peano Arithmetic*, Oxford University Press, (2006).
- [25] A. Macintyre, Residue fields of models of P, in *Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science VI* (L. E. Cohen et al., eds.), Hannover 1979, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1982), pp. 193-206.

- [26] M. Nagata, Some studies on semi-local rings, Nagoya Mathematical Journal 3, (1951), pp. 23-30.
- [27] J. Pas, Uniform p -adic cell decomposition and local zeta functions, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik vol. 399, (1989), 137–172.
- [28] M. Ziegler, Die elementare Theorie der henselschen Körper, Inaugural Dissertation Köln, 1972.
- [29] B. Zilber, The semantics of the canonical commutation relation, arXiv:1604.07745, 2016.