

**FRACTIONAL DOUBLE PHASE ROBIN PROBLEM INVOLVING
VARIABLE ORDER-EXPONENTS WITHOUT
AMBROSETTI-RABINOWITZ CONDITION**

RESHMI BISWAS, SABRI BAHROUNI, AND MARCOS L. CARVALHO

ABSTRACT. We consider a fractional double phase Robin problem involving variable order and variable exponents. The nonlinearity f is a Carathéodory function satisfying some hypotheses which do not include the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition. By using a Variational methods, we investigate the multiplicity of solutions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries results	5
2.1. Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces	5
2.2. Variable order fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents	5
3. Functional setting	6
4. Proof of Theorem 1.1	9
4.1. Abstract results	9
4.2. Geometric condition	10
4.3. Cerami condition	11
5. Proof of Theorem 1.2	15
6. Proof of Theorem 1.3	18
7. Proof of Theorem 1.4	19
8. Proof of Theorem 1.5	20
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last few decades, problems involving $p(x)$ -Laplacian, defined as $(-\Delta)_{p(x)} u := (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $p : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is continuous function, have been studied intensively due to its major real world appearances in several mathematical models, for e.g., electrorheological fluid flow, image restorations, etc. (see

Key words and phrases. Variable-order fractional $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian, Double phase problem, Robin boundary condition, variational methods

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35R11, 35S15, 47G20, 47J30.

[1, 14, 42, 49]). Various parametric boundary value problems with variable exponents can be found in the book of Rădulescu-Repovš [39] and also one can refer to the book by Diening et al. [17] for the properties of such operator and associated variable exponent Lebesgue spaces and variable exponent Sobolev spaces.

On the other hand, recently, great attention has been focused on the study of fractional and nonlocal operators of elliptic type, both for pure mathematical research and in view of concrete real-world applications. In most of these applications, a fundamental tool to treat these type of problems is the so-called fractional order Sobolev spaces. The literature on nonlocal operators and on their applications is very interesting and, up to now, quite large. We also refer to the recent monographs [18, 36] for a thorough variational approach of nonlocal problems.

A bridge between fractional order theories and Sobolev spaces with variable settings is first provided in [27]. In that paper, the authors defined the Fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents and introduce the corresponding fractional $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian as

$$(1.1) \quad (-\Delta)_{p(\cdot)}^s u(x) := P.V. \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp(x,y)}} dy, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where P.V. denotes Cauchy's principal value, $p : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with $1 < p(x, y) < \infty$ and $0 < s < 1$, where Ω is a smooth domain. The idea of studying such spaces and the associated operator defined in (1.1) arises from a natural inquisitiveness to see what results can be recovered when the standard local $p(x)$ -Laplace operator is replaced by the fractional $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian. Continuing with this thought and inspired by the vast applications of variable order derivative (see for e.g. [29, 30, 32, 33, 41, 44] and references there in), Biswas and Tiwari [11] introduced the variable order fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponent and corresponding variable-order fractional $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian by imposing variable growth on the fractional order s , given in (1.1), to study some elliptic problems. In fact, results regarding fractional $p(\cdot)$ -Laplace equations and variable-order fractional $p(\cdot)$ -Laplace equations are in progress, for example, we refer to [4, 9, 24, 25] and [6, 12, 47], respectively.

In this paper, we are interested in the following problem:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{p_1, p_2}^s(u) + |u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)-2}u + |u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)-2}u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \mathcal{N}_{p_1, p_2}^s(u) + \beta(x)(|u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)-2}u + |u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)-2}u) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{p_1, p_2}^s(u) &:= (-\Delta)_{p_1(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)}(u) + (-\Delta)_{p_2(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)}(u), \\ \mathcal{N}_{p_1, p_2}^s(u) &:= \mathcal{N}_{p_1(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)}(u) + \mathcal{N}_{p_2(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)}(u) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad (-\Delta)_{p_i(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)} u(x) = P.V. \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_i(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{N+s(x,y)p_i(x,y)}} dy, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{N}_{p_i(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)} u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_i(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{N+s(x,y)p_i(x,y)}} dy \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bar{\Omega}.$$

Here P.V. denotes the Cauchy's principal value, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded smooth domain, s, p_1, p_2 are continuous functions such that $\bar{p}_i(x) := p_i(x, x)$, $i = 1, 2$, $\bar{s}(x) :=$

$s(x, x)$ with appropriate assumptions described later. The variable exponent β verifies the assumption

$$(\beta) \quad \beta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega.$$

The operator, defined in (1.2), is called double phase type operator which has some important applications in biophysics, plasma physics, reaction-diffusion, etc. (see [13, 22, 45], for e.g.). For more details on applications of such operators in constant exponent set up, that is, (p, q) -Laplacian equations, we refer to the survey article [34], see also [8, 40] for the nonconstant case. This present paper generalizes some results contained in [37] and [43] to the case of nonlocal partial differential equations with variable exponents. If p_1 and p_2 are constants, then (1.2) becomes the usual nonlocal constant exponent differential equation discussed in [3, 15]. Several results for (p, q) -Laplacian problems set in bounded domains and in the whole of \mathbb{R}^N can be found in [10, 21, 35] and the references therein. But if either p_1 or p_2 is a non-constant function, then (1.2) has a more complicated structure, due to its non-homogeneity and to the presence of several nonlinear terms, only few recent works deal with these problems. For instance, in [48], the authors generalize the double phase problem involving a local version of the fractional operator with variable exponents, discussed in [16], and studied the problem involving variable order fractional $p(\cdot) \& q(\cdot)$ -Laplacian but with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary datum, that is, $u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$.

Now we consider some notations as follows. For any set \mathcal{D} and any function $\Phi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we fix

$$\Phi^- := \inf_{\mathcal{D}} \Phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^+ := \sup_{\mathcal{D}} \Phi(x).$$

We define the function space

$$C_+(\mathcal{D}) := \{\Phi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is uniformly continuous} : 1 < \Phi^- \leq \Phi^+ < \infty\}.$$

We consider the following hypotheses on the variable order s and on the variable exponents p_1, p_2 :

- (H₁) $s : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (0, 1)$ is a uniformly continuous and symmetric function, i.e., $s(x, y) = s(y, x)$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ with $0 < s^- \leq s^+ < 1$.
- (H₂) $p_i \in C_+(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ are uniformly continuous and symmetric functions, i.e., $p_i(x, y) = p_i(y, x)$, $i = 1, 2$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ with $1 < p_1^- \leq p_1^+ < p_2^- \leq p_2^+ < +\infty$ such that $s^+ p_i^+ < N$.

First we study our problem without assuming the well known Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz (AR, in short) type condition on the nonlinearity f , which is given as

$$(AR) \quad \exists \theta > p_2^+ \text{ s.t. } tf(x, t) > \theta F(x, t), \quad \forall |t| > 0.$$

As known, under (AR), any Palais-Smale sequence of the corresponding energy functional is bounded, which plays an important role of the application of variational methods. In our problem the nonlinearity $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that $f(x, 0) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. The further assumptions on f are given below.

- (f₁) There exists $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $|f(x, t)| \leq a(x) (1 + |t|^{r(x)-1})$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $r \in C_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $p_2^+ < r^- \leq r(x) < \frac{N \bar{p}_2(x)}{N - \bar{s}(x) \bar{p}_2(x)} := p_{2s^*}(x)$.

(f₂) If $F(x, t) := \int_0^t f(x, s)ds$, then $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{p_2^+}} = 0$ uniformly for a.e $x \in \Omega$.

(f₃) $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, t)}{|t|^{p_2^+ - 2}t} = 0$ uniformly for a.e $x \in \Omega$.

(f₄) Let $\mathcal{F}(x, t) = tf(x, t) - p_2^+ F(x, t)$. Then there exists $b \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{F}(x, t) \leq \mathcal{F}(x, \tau) + b(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, all $0 \leq t \leq \tau$ or all $\tau \leq t \leq 0$.

Consider the following function

$$g(x, t) = t|t|^{\frac{p_2^+}{2} - 2} \log(1 + |t|).$$

One can check that g does not satisfy (AR) but it satisfies (f₁)-(f₄). Therefore by dropping (AR) condition, not only we invite complications in the compactness of Palais-Smale sequence but also we include larger class of nonlinearities. To overcome such aforementioned difficulty, we analyze the Cerami condition (see Definition 4.1), which is more appropriate for the set up of our problem. Finally, we are in a position to state the main results of this article.

Theorem 1.1. *Let hypotheses (H₁)-(H₂), (β) and (f₁)-(f₄) hold. Then there exists a non-trivial weak solution of (1.2).*

Next, for the odd nonlinearity $f(x, t)$, we state the existence results of infinitely many solutions using the Fountain theorem and the Dual fountain theorem, respectively.

Theorem 1.2. *Let hypotheses (H₁)-(H₂), (β) and (f₁)-(f₄) hold. Also let $f(x, -t) = -f(x, t)$. Then the problem (1.2) has a sequence of nontrivial weak solutions with unbounded energy.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let hypotheses (H₁)-(H₂), (β) and (f₁)-(f₄) hold. Also let $f(x, -t) = -f(x, t)$. Then the problem (1.2) has a sequence of nontrivial weak solutions with negative critical values converging to zero.*

We prove the next theorem using the symmetric mountain pass theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let hypotheses (H₁)-(H₂), (β) and (f₁)-(f₄) hold. Also let $f(x, -t) = -f(x, t)$. Then the problem (1.2) has a sequence of nontrivial weak solutions with unbounded energy characterized by a minmax argument.*

In the next theorem, we consider the following concave and convex type nonlinearity f :

(f₅) For $\lambda > 0$ and $q, r \in C_+(\Omega)$ with $1 < q^- \leq q^+ < p_1^-$ and $p_2^+ < r^-$

$$f(x, t) = \lambda|t|^{q(x)-2}t + |t|^{r(x)-2}t$$

Theorem 1.5. *Let hypotheses (H₁)-(H₂), (β) and (f₅) hold. Then for all $\lambda > 0$, the problem (1.2) has a sequence of nontrivial weak solutions converging to 0 with negative energy.*

It is noteworthy to mention that we are the first (as per the best of our knowledge) to study the above existence results for the problem (1.2) driven by double phase variable-order fractional $p_1(\cdot)$ & $p_2(\cdot)$ -Laplacian involving Robin boundary condition and non-AR type nonlinearities.

Remark 1.6. Throughout this paper C represents generic positive constant which may vary from line to line.

2. PRELIMINARIES RESULTS

2.1. Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. In this section first we recall some basic properties of the variable exponent Lebesgue spaces, which we will use to prove our main results.

For $q \in C_+(\Omega)$ define the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ as

$$L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) := \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable} : \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{q(x)} dx < +\infty \right\}$$

which is a separable, reflexive, uniformly convex Banach space (see [17, 19]) with respect to the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)} := \inf \left\{ \eta > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\eta} \right|^{q(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Define the modular $\rho_{\Omega}^q : L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\rho_{\Omega}^q(u) := \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx, \text{ for all } u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

Proposition 2.1. ([19]) *Let $u_n, u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, then the following properties hold:*

- (i) $\eta = \|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}$ if and only if $\rho_{\Omega}^q\left(\frac{u}{\eta}\right) = 1$.
- (ii) $\rho_{\Omega}^q(u) > 1$ ($= 1$; < 1) if and only if $\|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)} > 1$ ($= 1$; < 1), respectively.
- (iii) If $\|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)} > 1$, then $\|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p^-} \leq \rho_{\Omega}^q(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p^+}$.
- (iv) If $\|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)} < 1$, then $\|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p^+} \leq \rho_{\Omega}^q(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p^-}$.
- (v) $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = 0 \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_{\Omega}^q(u_n - u) = 0$.

Let q' be the conjugate function of q , that is, $1/q(x) + 1/q'(x) = 1$.

Proposition 2.2. (Hölder inequality) ([19]) *For any $u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{q'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv dx \right| \leq 2 \|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\Omega)}.$$

Lemma 2.3. ([23, Lemma A.1]) *Let $\vartheta_1(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\vartheta_1 \geq 0$, $\vartheta_1 \not\equiv 0$. Let $\vartheta_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function such that $\vartheta_1(x)\vartheta_2(x) \geq 1$ a.e. in Ω . Then for every $u \in L^{\vartheta_1(x)\vartheta_2(x)}(\Omega)$,*

$$\| |u|^{\vartheta_1(\cdot)} \|_{L^{\vartheta_2(x)}(\Omega)} \leq \| u \|_{L^{\vartheta_1(x)\vartheta_2(x)}(\Omega)}^{\vartheta_1^-} + \| u \|_{L^{\vartheta_1(x)\vartheta_2(x)}(\Omega)}^{\vartheta_1^+}.$$

2.2. Variable order fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents.

Next, we define the fractional Sobolev spaces with variable order and variable exponents (see [11]). Define

$$W = W^{s(\cdot, \cdot), \bar{p}(\cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$$

$$:= \left\{ u \in L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{\eta^{p(x,y)} |x - y|^{N+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dx dy < \infty, \text{ for some } \eta > 0 \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_W := \inf \left\{ \eta > 0 : \rho_W \left(\frac{u}{\eta} \right) < 1 \right\},$$

where

$$\rho_W(u) := \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\bar{p}(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{N+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dx dy$$

is a modular on W . Then, $(W, \|\cdot\|_W)$ is a separable reflexive Banach space (see [11, 24]). On W we also make use of the following norm:

$$|u|_W := \|u\|_{L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + [u]_W,$$

where the seminorm $[\cdot]_W$ is defined as follows:

$$[u]_W := \inf \left\{ \eta > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{\eta^{p(x,y)} |x - y|^{N+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dx dy < 1 \right\}.$$

Note that $\|\cdot\|_W$ and $|\cdot|_W$ are equivalent norms on W with the relation

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_W \leq |u|_W \leq 2 \|u\|_W, \quad \text{for all } u \in W.$$

The following embedding result is studied in [11]. We also refer to [24] where the authors proved the same result when $s(x, y) = s$, constant.

Theorem 2.4 (Sub-critical embedding). *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N or $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. Let s and p satisfy (H_1) and (H_2) , respectively and $\gamma \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfy $1 < \gamma(x) < p_s^*(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. In addition, when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, γ is uniformly continuous and $\bar{p}(x) < \gamma(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} (p_s^*(x) - \gamma(x)) > 0$. Then, it holds that*

$$(2.2) \quad W \hookrightarrow L^{\gamma(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

Moreover, the embedding is compact.

Notations:

- $\delta_{\Omega}^p(u) = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{N+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dx dy$.
- For any measurable set \mathcal{S} , $|\mathcal{S}|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set.

3. FUNCTIONAL SETTING

Now, we give the variational framework of problem (1.2). Let s, p satisfy (H_1) , (H_2) , respectively. We set

$$|u|_{X_p} := [u]_{s(\cdot), p(\cdot), \mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathcal{C}\Omega)^2} + \|u\|_{L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} + \left\| \beta^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}(\cdot)}} u \right\|_{L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\mathcal{C}\Omega)},$$

where $\mathcal{C}\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$ and

$$X_{p(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)} := \{u: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \|u\|_{X_p} < \infty\}.$$

By following standard arguments, it can be seen that $X_{p(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)}$ is reflexive Banach space with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_{X_p}$ (see [7, Proposition 3.1]).

Note that the norm $|\cdot|_{X_p}$ is equivalent on $X_{p(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)}$ to the following norm:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{X_p} &= \inf \left\{ \eta \geq 0 \mid \rho_p \left(\frac{u}{\eta} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \eta \geq 0 \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{\eta^{p(x,y)} p(x,y) |x-y|^{N+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{\bar{p}(x)}}{\bar{p}(x) \eta^{\bar{p}(x)}} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x)}{\eta^{\bar{p}(x)} \bar{p}(x)} |u|^{\bar{p}(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the modular $\rho_p: X_{p(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_p(u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{p(x,y) |x-y|^{N+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{\bar{p}(x)}}{\bar{p}(x)} dx \\ &+ \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x)}{\bar{p}(x)} |u|^{\bar{p}(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma will be helpful in later considerations. The proof of this lemma follows using the similar arguments as in [19].

Lemma 3.1. *Let s, p and β satisfy (H_1) , (H_2) and (β) , respectively, and let $u \in X_{p(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)}$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) For $u \neq 0$ we have: $\|u\|_{X_p} = \eta$ if and only if $\rho_p\left(\frac{u}{\eta}\right) = 1$;
- (ii) If $\|u\|_{X_p} < 1$ then $\|u\|_{X_p}^{p^+} \leq \rho_p(u) \leq \|u\|_{X_p}^{p^-}$;
- (iii) If $\|u\|_{X_p} > 1$ then $\|u\|_{X_p}^{p^-} \leq \rho_p(u) \leq \|u\|_{X_p}^{p^+}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N . Let s and p satisfy $(H1)$ and $(H2)$, respectively and (β) hold. Then for any $\gamma \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying $1 < \gamma(x) < p_s^*(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, there exists a constant $C(s, p, N, \gamma, \Omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^{\gamma(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \leq C(s, p, N, \gamma, \Omega) \|u\|_{X_p} \text{ for all } u \in X,$$

moreover this embedding is compact.

Proof. It can easily be seen that $\|u\|_W \leq \|u\|_{X_p}$. Now by applying Theorem 2.4 we get our desired result. \square

In order to deal with fractional $p_1(\cdot)$ & $p_2(\cdot)$ -Laplacian problems, we consider the space

$$X := X_{p_1(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)} \cap X_{p_2(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \|u\|_{X_{p_1}} + \|u\|_{X_{p_2}}.$$

Clearly X is reflexive and separable Banach space with respect to the above norm. It is not difficult to see we can make use of another norm on X equivalent to $|\cdot|_X$ given as

$$\|u\| := \|u\|_X = \inf \left\{ \eta \geq 0 \mid \rho \left(\frac{u}{\eta} \right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

where the modular $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$\rho(u) = \rho_{p_1}(u) + \rho_{p_2}(u)$$

such that ρ_{p_1}, ρ_{p_2} are described as in (3.2).

Lemma 3.3. *Let hypotheses (H_1) - (H_2) and (β) be satisfied and let $u \in X$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) For $u \neq 0$ we have: $\|u\| = \eta$ if and only if $\rho(\frac{u}{\eta}) = 1$;
- (ii) If $\|u\| < 1$ then $\|u\|^{p_2^+} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|^{p_1^-}$;
- (iii) If $\|u\| > 1$ then $\|u\|^{p_1^-} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|^{p_2^+}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N . Let s and p_i satisfy $(H1)$ and $(H2)$, respectively for $i = 1, 2$ and (β) hold. Then for any $\gamma \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying $1 < \gamma(x) < p_{2_s}^*(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, there exists a constant $C(s, p_i, N, \gamma, \Omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^{\gamma(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \leq C(s, p_i, N, \gamma, \Omega) \|u\| \text{ for all } u \in X,$$

moreover this embedding is compact.

Proof. The proof directly follows from the definition of $\|u\|$ and Lemma 3.2. \square

Throughout this article X^* represents the topological dual of X .

Lemma 3.5. *Let hypotheses (H_1) - (H_2) and (β) be satisfied. Then $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho' : X \rightarrow X^*$ have the following properties:*

- (i) The function ρ is of class $C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\rho' : X \rightarrow X^*$ is coercive, that is,

$$\frac{\langle \rho'(u), u \rangle}{\|u\|} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

- (ii) ρ' is strictly monotone operator.
- (iii) ρ' is a mapping of type (S_+) , that is, if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \rho'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$, then $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in X .

Proof. The proof of this result is similar to the proof of [9, Lemma 4.2], just noticing that, the quantities $\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathcal{C}\Omega)^2$ and $\Omega \times \Omega$ play a symmetrical role. \square

As proved in [7, Proposition 3.6], the following integration by parts formula arises naturally for $u \in C^2$ functions:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathcal{C}\Omega)^2} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dx dy \\ & = \int_{\Omega} v(-\Delta)_{p(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)} u dx + \int_{\mathcal{C}\Omega} v \mathcal{N}_{p(\cdot)}^{s(\cdot)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The previous integration by parts formula leads to the following definition:

Definition 3.6. We say that $u \in X$ is a weak solution to (1.2) if for any $v \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}(u, v) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_1(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+s(x,y)p_1(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)-2} uv dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_2(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+s(x,y)p_2(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)-2} uv dx \\
&- \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)v dx + \int_{C\Omega} \beta(x)|u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)-2} uv dx + \int_{C\Omega} \beta(x)|u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)-2} uv dx \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The problem taken into account in the present paper has a variational structure, namely its solutions can be found as critical points of the associated energy functional. Hence, our problem can be studied using all the methods which aim to prove the existence of a critical point for a functional.

The energy functional associated with problem (1.2) is the functional $\mathcal{I}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_1(x,y)}}{p_1(x,y)|x - y|^{N+s(x,y)p_1(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\bar{p}_1(x)} |u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_2(x,y)}}{p_2(x,y)|x - y|^{N+s(x,y)p_2(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\bar{p}_2(x)} |u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx \\
&+ \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x)|u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)}}{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx + \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x)|u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)}}{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

A direct computation from [7, Proposition 3.8] shows that the functional \mathcal{I} is well defined on X and $\mathcal{I} \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'(u), v \rangle = \mathcal{H}(u, v) \quad \text{for any } v \in X.$$

Thus the weak solutions of (1.2) are precisely the critical points of \mathcal{I} .

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

4.1. Abstract results.

Definition 4.1. Let E be a Banach space and E^* be its topological dual. Suppose that $\Phi \in C^1(E)$. We say that Φ satisfies the Cerami condition at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ (the $(C)_c$ -condition for short) if the following is true:

“every sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq E$ such that $\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow c$ and $(1 + \|u_n\|_E)\Phi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in E^* as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ admits a strongly convergent subsequence”.

If this condition holds at every level $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then we say that Φ satisfies the Cerami condition (the C -condition for short).

The $(C)_c$ -condition is weaker than the $(PS)_c$ -condition. However, it was shown in [28] that from $(C)_c$ -condition it can obtain a deformation lemma, which is fundamental in order to get some minimax theorems. Thus we have

Theorem 4.2. *If there exist $e \in E$ and $r > 0$ such that*

$$\|e\| > r, \quad \max(\Phi(0), \Phi(e)) \leq \inf_{\|x\|=r} \Phi(x),$$

and $\Phi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the $(C)_c$ -condition with

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in (0,1)} \Phi(\gamma(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C((0,1), E) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}.$$

Then $c \geq \inf_{\|x\|=r} \Phi(x)$ and c is a critical value of Φ .

4.2. Geometric condition.

Lemma 4.3. *Let (H_1) - (H_2) , (β) and (f_1) - (f_4) hold. Then*

(i) *there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{I}(u) \geq \beta > 0 \quad \text{for any } u \in X \text{ with } \|u\| = \alpha.$$

(ii) *there exists $\varphi \in X$ such that $\mathcal{I}(\varphi) < 0$.*

Proof. (i) For any $\epsilon > 0$, by the assumptions (f_1) - (f_3) , we have

$$(4.1) \quad F(x, t) \leq \epsilon |t|^{p_2^\dagger} + C(\epsilon) |t|^{r(x)}, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, using Theorem 2.4, Lemma 3.3, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.4 for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\| < 1$ (i.e. $\|u\|_{X_{p_i}} < 1, i = 1, 2$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_1(x,y)}}{p_1(x,y) |x-y|^{N+s(x,y)p_1(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\bar{p}_1(x)} |u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_2(x,y)}}{p_2(x,y) |x-y|^{N+s(x,y)p_2(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\bar{p}_2(x)} |u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx \\ &+ \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x) |u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)}}{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx + \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x) |u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)}}{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \rho(u) - \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_2^\dagger} dx - C(\epsilon) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r(x)} dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{p_2^\dagger} - \epsilon \|u\|_{L^{p_2^\dagger}(\Omega)}^{p_2^\dagger} - C(\epsilon) \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{r^-} + \|u\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{r^+} \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{p_2^\dagger} - \epsilon C \|u\|^{p_2^\dagger} - C'(\epsilon) \|u\|^{r^-} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon C \right) \|u\|^{p_2^\dagger} - C'(\epsilon) \|u\|^{r^-}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C'(\epsilon) > 0$ is a constant. Consider

$$0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4C}.$$

Since $p_2^+ < r^-$, we can choose $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ sufficiently small such that for all $u \in X$ with $\|u\| = \alpha$

$$\mathcal{I}(u) \geq \alpha^{p_2^+} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon C \right) - C'(\epsilon) \alpha^{r^-} = R > 0.$$

The proof of (i) is complete.

(ii) It follows from (f_1) and (f_2) that for any positive constant M , there exists a corresponding positive constant C_M such that

$$(4.2) \quad F(x, t) \geq M|t|^{p_2^+} - C_M.$$

Let $e \in X$, $e > 0$ with $\|e\| = 1$ and $\int_{\Omega} |e|^{p_2^+} dx > 0$ and $t > 1$. Then, using Lemma 3.3 and (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(te) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathcal{C}\Omega)^2} t^{p_1(x,y)} \frac{|e(x) - e(y)|^{p_1(x,y)}}{2p_1(x,y)|x-y|^{N+sp_1(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\mathcal{C}\Omega} t^{\bar{p}_1(x)} \frac{\beta(x)|e|^{\bar{p}_1(x)}}{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} t^{\bar{p}_1(x)} \frac{|e|^{\bar{p}_1(x)}}{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathcal{C}\Omega)^2} t^{p_2(x,y)} \frac{|e(x) - e(y)|^{p_2(x,y)}}{2p_2(x,y)|x-y|^{N+sp_2(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\mathcal{C}\Omega} t^{\bar{p}_2(x)} \frac{\beta(x)|e|^{\bar{p}_2(x)}}{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} t^{\bar{p}_2(x)} \frac{|e|^{\bar{p}_2(x)}}{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, te) dx \\ &\leq t^{p_2^+} \rho(e) - t^{p_2^+} M \int_{\Omega} |e|^{p_2^+} dx + |\Omega| C_M \\ &= t^{p_2^+} \left[1 - M \int_{\Omega} |e|^{p_2^+} dx \right] + |\Omega| C_M \end{aligned}$$

We choose M sufficiently large so that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{I}(te) = -\infty.$$

Hence, there exists some $t_0 > 0$ such that $\mathcal{I}(\varphi) < 0$, where $\varphi = t_0 e$. Thus the proof of (ii) is complete. \square

4.3. Cerami condition.

Proposition 4.4. *If hypotheses (H_1) - (H_2) , (β) and (f_1) - (f_4) hold, then the functional \mathcal{I} satisfies the $(C)_c$ -condition for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. In this proof the value of the constant C changes from line to line. We consider a sequence $(u_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset X$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad |\mathcal{I}(u_n)| \leq C \quad \text{for some } C > 0 \quad \text{and for all } n \geq 1,$$

$$(4.4) \quad (1 + \|u_n\|) \mathcal{I}'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } X^* \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

From (4.4), we have

$$(4.5) \quad |\mathcal{H}(u_n, v)| \leq \frac{\epsilon_n \|v\|}{1 + \|u_n\|},$$

for all $v \in X$ with $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$.

In (4.5), we choose $v = u_n \in X$ and obtain for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2}^{p_1}(u_n) - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2}^{p_2}(u_n) - \rho_{\Omega}^{p_1}(u_n) - \rho_{\Omega}^{p_2}(u_n) \\
& - \int_{C\Omega} \beta(x)|u_n|^{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx - \int_{C\Omega} \beta(x)|u_n|^{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n(x))u_n(x) dx \\
(4.6) \quad & \leq \epsilon_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, by (4.3) we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2p_1^+}\delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2}^{p_1}(u_n) + \frac{1}{2p_2^+}\delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2}^{p_2}(u_n) + \frac{1}{p_1^+}\rho_{\Omega}^{p_1}(u_n) + \frac{1}{p_2^+}\rho_{\Omega}^{p_2}(u_n) \\
& + \frac{1}{p_1^+}\int_{C\Omega} \beta(x)|u_n|^{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx + \frac{1}{p_2^+}\int_{C\Omega} \beta(x)|u_n|^{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx \\
(4.7) \quad & - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n(x)) dx \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Adding relations (4.6) and (4.7), we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(x, u_n(x)) dx \leq C \quad \text{for some } C > 0 \quad \text{and all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Claim: The sequence $(u_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset X$ is bounded.

We argue by contradiction. Suppose that the claim is not true. We may assume that

$$(4.9) \quad \|u_n\| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We set $w_n := \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\|w_n\| = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using reflexivity of X and Lemma 3.4, up to a subsequence, still denoted by $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$(4.10) \quad w_n \rightharpoonup w \quad \text{weakly in } X \quad \text{and} \quad w_n \rightarrow w \quad \text{strongly in } L^{\gamma(\cdot)}(\Omega), \quad 1 < \gamma(x) < p_2^*(x).$$

We claim that $w = 0$. Indeed, if not then the set $\widehat{\Omega} := \{x \in \Omega : w(x) \neq 0\}$ has positive Lebesgue measure, i.e., $|\widehat{\Omega}| > 0$. Hence, $|u_n(x)| \rightarrow +\infty$ for a.e. $x \in \widehat{\Omega}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. On account of hypothesis (f_2) , for a.e. $x \in \widehat{\Omega}$ we have

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} = \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{|u_n(x)|^{p_2^+}} |u_n(x)|^{p_2^+} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then by Fatou's lemma, we obtain

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{\widehat{\Omega}} \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} dx \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hypotheses (f_1) - (f_2) imply there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{p_2^+}} \geq 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \quad \text{all } |t| > K.$$

By (f_1) , there exists a positive constant $\widehat{C} > 0$ such that

$$(4.14) \quad |F(x, t)| \leq \widehat{C}, \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [-K, K].$$

Now from (4.13) and (4.14), we get

$$(4.15) \quad F(x, t) > C_0 \text{ for all } (x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $C_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. The above relation implies

$$\frac{F(x, u_n(x)) - C_0}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

that is,

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{|u_n(x)|^{p_2^+}} |w_n(x)|^{p_2^+} - \frac{C_0}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (4.3), (4.9), (4.12), (4.16) and using the fact $\|w_n\| = 1$, Lemma 3.1 and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} +\infty &= \left[\int_{\widehat{\Omega}} \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, u_n(x)) |w_n(x)|^{p_2^+}}{|u_n(x)|^{p_2^+}} dx - \int_{\widehat{\Omega}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{C_0}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} dx \right] \\ &= \int_{\widehat{\Omega}} \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[\frac{F(x, u_n(x)) |w_n(x)|^{p_2^+}}{|u_n(x)|^{p_2^+}} - \frac{C_0}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} \right] dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\widehat{\Omega}} \left[\frac{F(x, u_n(x)) |w_n(x)|^{p_2^+}}{|u_n(x)|^{p_2^+}} - \frac{C_0}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} \right] dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{F(x, u_n(x)) |w_n(x)|^{p_2^+}}{|u_n(x)|^{p_2^+}} - \frac{C_0}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} \right] dx \\ &= \left[\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n(x)) |w_n(x)|^{p_2^+}}{|u_n(x)|^{p_2^+}} dx - \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{C_0}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} dx \right] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} dx \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{1}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+ - p_1(x, y)}} \frac{|w_n(x) - w_n(y)|^{p_1(x, y)}}{p_1(x, y) |x - y|^{N+s(x, y)p_1(x, y)}} dx dy \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{1}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+ - p_2(x, y)}} \frac{|w_n(x) - w_n(y)|^{p_2(x, y)}}{p_2(x, y) |x - y|^{N+s(x, y)p_2(x, y)}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+ - \bar{p}_1(x)}} \frac{|w_n|^{\bar{p}_1(x)}}{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+ - \bar{p}_2(x)}} \frac{|w_n|^{\bar{p}_2(x)}}{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x) |w_n|^{\bar{p}_1(x)}}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+ - \bar{p}_1(x)} \bar{p}_1(x)} dx + \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x) |w_n|^{\bar{p}_2(x)}}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+ - \bar{p}_2(x)} \bar{p}_2(x)} dx - \frac{\mathcal{I}(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}} \right] \\ (4.17) \quad &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(w_n) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we arrive at a contradiction. Hence, $w = 0$. Let $\mu \geq 1$ and set $\kappa := (2\mu)^{\frac{1}{p_2^+}} \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Evidently, from (4.10) we have

$$w_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^{\gamma(\cdot)}(\Omega), \quad 1 < \gamma(x) < p_{2_s}^*(x)$$

which combining with (f_1) - (f_3) and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem yields that

$$(4.18) \quad \int_{\Omega} F(x, \kappa w_n) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We can find $t_n \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$(4.19) \quad \mathcal{I}(t_n u_n) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathcal{I}(t u_n).$$

Because of (4.9), for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(4.20) \quad 0 < \frac{(2\mu)^{\frac{1}{p_2^-}}}{\|u_n\|} \leq 1.$$

Using (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20) and recalling that $\|w_n\| = 1$, for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(t_n u_n) &\geq \mathcal{I}\left(\kappa \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}\right) = \mathcal{I}(\kappa w_n) \\ &\geq (\kappa)^{p_2^-} \frac{1}{2} \rho(w_n) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, \kappa w_n) dx \\ &= 2\mu \cdot \frac{1}{2} \rho(w_n) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, \kappa w_n) dx \\ &= \mu + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$(4.21) \quad \mathcal{I}(t_n u_n) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

From the assumption $f(x, 0) = 0$ and (4.3) we know that

$$(4.22) \quad \mathcal{I}(0) = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{I}(u_n) \leq C \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (4.21) and (4.22), we can infer that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large,

$$(4.23) \quad t_n \in (0, 1).$$

From (4.19) and (4.23), we can see that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large,

$$(4.24) \quad 0 = t_n \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{I}(t u_n)|_{t=t_n} = \langle \mathcal{I}'(t_n u_n), t_n u_n \rangle,$$

so,

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2}^{p_1} (t_n u_n) + \rho_{\Omega}^{p_1} (t_n u_n) + \int_{C\Omega} \beta(x) |t_n u_n|^{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2}^{p_2} (t_n u_n) + \rho_{\Omega}^{p_2} (t_n u_n) + \int_{C\Omega} \beta(x) |t_n u_n|^{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, t_n u_n) t_n u_n dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From hypothesis (f_4) , we obtain for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{F}(x, t_n u_n) \leq \mathcal{F}(x, u_n) + b(x) \text{ for a.e } x \in \Omega,$$

that is,

$$(4.26) \quad f(x, t_n u_n)(t_n u_n) \leq \mathcal{F}(x, u_n) + b(x) + p_2^+ F(x, t_n u_n) \text{ for a.e } x \in \Omega.$$

Combining (4.25) and (4.26), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2}^{p_1} (t_n u_n) + \rho_{\Omega}^{p_1} (t_n u_n) + \int_{C\Omega} \beta(x) |t_n u_n|^{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2}^{p_2} (t_n u_n) + \rho_{\Omega}^{p_2} (t_n u_n) + \int_{C\Omega} \beta(x) |t_n u_n|^{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx - p_2^+ \int_{\Omega} F(x, t_n u_n) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(x, u_n) dx + \|b\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence by (4.8), we get

$$(4.27) \quad p_2^+ \mathcal{I}(t_n u_n) \leq C \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We compare (4.21) and (4.27) and arrive at a contradiction. Thus the claim follows.

On account of this claim, we may assume that

$$(4.28) \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } X \text{ and } u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^{\gamma(\cdot)}(\Omega), \quad 1 < \gamma(x) < p_{2_s}^*(x).$$

We show in what follows that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } X.$$

Using (4.28), we have

$$(4.29) \quad o_n(1) = \langle \mathcal{I}'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} \langle \rho'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)(u_n - u) dx.$$

Now by (f_1) , Hölder inequality, (4.28), boundedness of $(u_n)_n$ and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)(u_n - u) dx \right| \\ & \leq \|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n - u| \cdot 1 dx + \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{r(x)-1} |v_n - v_0| dx \right] \\ & \leq \|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left[\|u_n - u\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)} (1 + |\Omega|)^{r^+} + \|v_n - v_0\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \| |u_n|^{r(\cdot)-1} \|_{L^{r'(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \right] \\ & \leq C \left[\|u_n - u\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)} + \|u_n - u\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \left(\|u_n\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{r^+-1} + \|u_n\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{r^--1} \right) \right] \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Hence, combining (4.29) and (4.30) and using the (S_+) property of ρ' (see Lemma 3.5), we have $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in X as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, which shows that the $(C)_c$ -condition is satisfied. The proof is now complete. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

To prove the Theorem 1.2 we need the Fountain theorem of Bartsch [5, Theorem 2.5]; (see also [46, Theorem 3.6]). We recall next lemma from [20].

Lemma 5.1. *Let E be a reflexive and separable Banach space. Then there are $\{e_n\} \subset E$ and $\{g_n^*\} \subset E^*$ such that*

$$E = \overline{\text{span}\{e_n : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}}, \quad E^* = \overline{\text{span}\{g_n^* : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}},$$

and

$$\langle g_i^*, e_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Let us denote

$$(5.1) \quad E_n = \text{span}\{e_n\}, \quad X_k = \bigoplus_{n=1}^k E_n \quad \text{and} \quad Y_k = \overline{\bigoplus_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n}.$$

Now we recall the following Fountain theorem from [2]:

Theorem 5.2 (Fountain theorem). *Assume that $\Phi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Cerami condition $(C)_c$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Phi(-u) = \Phi(u)$. If for each sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\varrho_k > \delta_k > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_1) \quad & b_k := \inf\{\Phi(u) : u \in Y_k, \|u\|_E = \delta_k\} \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty, \\ (\mathcal{A}_2) \quad & a_k := \max\{\Phi(u) : u \in X_k, \|u\|_E = \varrho_k\} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then Φ has a sequence of critical points $(u_k)_k$ such that $\Phi(u_k) \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Define X_k and Y_k as in (5.1) for the reflexive, separable Banach space X . Now \mathcal{I} is even and satisfies Cerami condition $(C)_c$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Lemma 4.4). So now we will show that the conditions (\mathcal{A}_1) - (\mathcal{A}_2) hold for our problem.

(\mathcal{A}_1) : For large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$(5.2) \quad \alpha_k = \sup_{u \in Y_k, \|u\|=1} \|u\|_{L^{\gamma(\cdot)}(\Omega)},$$

where $\gamma \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ such that for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, $1 < \gamma(x) < p_s^*(x)$. So,

$$(5.3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha_k = 0.$$

Supposing to the contrary, there exist $\epsilon' > 0, k_0 \geq 0$ and a sequence $(u_k)_k$ in Y_k such that

$$\|u_k\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_k\|_{L^{\gamma(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \geq \epsilon'$$

for all $k \geq k_0$. Since $(u_k)_k$ is bounded in X , there exists $u_0 \in E$ such that up to a subsequence, still denoted by $(u_k)_k$, we have $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in E as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and

$$\langle g_j^*, u_0 \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle g_j^*, u_k \rangle = 0$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Thus we have $u = 0$. In addition, using Theorem 3.4 we obtain

$$\epsilon' \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_k\|_{L^{\gamma(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \|u_0\|_{L^{\gamma(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence, (5.3) holds true. Let $u \in Y_k$ with $\|u\| > 1$. Note that (5.3) implies $\alpha_k < 1$ for large $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus using Lemma 2.3, Lemma

3.3 and (5.2) and (4.1) with $\epsilon = 1$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2}\rho(u) - \left[\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_2^+} dx - C(1) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r(x)} dx \right] \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{p_1^-} - \|u\|_{L^{p_2^+}(\Omega)}^{p_2^+} - C(1) \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{r^-} + \|u\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{r^+} \right\} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{p_1^-} - \alpha_k^{p_2^+} C_1 \|u\|^{p_2^+} - C_2 \{ \alpha_k^{r^-} \|u\|^{r^-} + \alpha_k^{r^+} \|u\|^{r^+} \} \\
(5.4) \quad &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{p_1^-} - C\alpha_k \|u\|^{r^+},
\end{aligned}$$

where C, C_1, C_2 are some positive constants.

Define the function $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = \frac{1}{2} t^{p_1^-} - C\alpha_k t^{r^+}.$$

Then it can be derived by a simple computation that G attains its maximum at

$$\delta_k = \left(\frac{p_1^-}{2r^+ C\alpha_k} \right)^{1/(r^+ - p_1^-)}$$

and the maximum value of \mathcal{G} is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}(\delta_k) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_1^-}{2r^+ C\alpha_k} \right)^{p_1^-/(r^+ - p_1^-)} - C\alpha_k \left(\frac{p_1^-}{2r^+ C\alpha_k} \right)^{r^+/(r^+ - p_1^-)} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{r^+/(r^+ - p_1^-)} \left(\frac{1}{C\alpha_k} \right)^{p_1^-/(r^+ - p_1^-)} \left(\frac{p_1^-}{r^+} \right)^{\theta p_1^-/(r^+ - p_1^-)} \left(1 - \frac{p_1^-}{r^+} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $p_1^- < r^+$ and $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{G}(\delta_k) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Again, (5.3) infers $\delta_k \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus for $u \in Y_k$ with $\|u\| = \delta_k$, taking into account (5.4) and (5.5), it follows that as $k \rightarrow +\infty$

$$b_k = \inf_{u \in Y_k, \|u\| = \delta_k} \mathcal{I}(u) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

(\mathcal{A}_2): Let us assume that the assertion (\mathcal{A}_2) does not hold for some k . So there exists a sequence $(u_n)_n \subset X_k$ such that

$$(5.6) \quad \|u_n\| \rightarrow +\infty \text{ and } \mathcal{I}(u_n) \geq 0.$$

Let us take $w_n := \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$, then $w_n \in X$ and $\|w_n\| = 1$. Since X_k is of finite dimension, there exists $w \in X_k \setminus \{0\}$ such that up to a subsequence, still denoted by $(w_n)_n$, $w_n \rightarrow w$ strongly and $w_n(x) \rightarrow w(x)$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. If $w(x) \neq 0$ then $|u_n(x)| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Similar to (4.11), it follows that for each $x \in \Omega$,

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{|u_n(x)|^{p_2^+}} |w_n(x)|^{p_2^+} \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, using (5.6) and applying Fatou's lemma, we have

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{1}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n)}{|u_n(x)|^{p_2^+}} |w_n(x)|^{p_2^+} dx \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as}$$

Since $\|u_n\| > 1$ for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, from Proposition 3.3 and (5.8), we obtain as $n \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(u_n) &\leq \rho(u_n) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx \\ &\leq \|u_n\|^{p_2^+} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|u_n\|^{p_2^+}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx\right) \|u_n\|^{p_2^+} \rightarrow -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (5.6). Therefore, for sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can get $\varrho_k > \delta_k > 0$ such that (\mathcal{A}_2) holds for $u \in X_k$ with $\|u\| = \varrho_k$. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

For proving Theorem 1.3, we first recall the Dual fountain theorem due to Bartsch and Willem (see [46, Theorem 3.18]). Considering Lemma 5.1 and using the reflexivity and separability of the Banach space X we can define X_k and Y_k appropriately.

Definition 6.1. For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that \mathcal{I} satisfies the $(C)_c^*$ condition (with respect to Y_k) if any sequence $(u_k)_k$ in X with $u_k \in Y_k$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}(u_k) \rightarrow c \text{ and } \|\mathcal{I}'_{|_{Y_k}}(u_k)\|_{E^*} (1 + \|u_k\|) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty$$

contains a subsequence converging to a critical point of \mathcal{I} , where X^* is the dual of X .

Theorem 6.2 (Dual fountain Theorem). *Let $\Phi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy $\Phi(-u) = \Phi(u)$. If for each $k \geq k_0$ there exist $\varrho_k > \delta_k > 0$ such that*

- (\mathcal{B}_1) $a_k = \inf\{\Phi(u) : u \in Z_k, \|u\|_E = \varrho_k\} \geq 0$;
- (\mathcal{B}_2) $b_k = \sup\{\Phi(u) : u \in Y_k, \|u\|_E = \delta_k\} < 0$;
- (\mathcal{B}_3) $d_k = \inf\{\Phi(u) : u \in Z_k, \|u\|_E \leq \varrho_k\} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$;
- (\mathcal{B}_4) Φ satisfies the $(C)_c^*$ condition for every $c \in [d_{k_0}, 0[$.

Then Φ has a sequence of negative critical values converging to 0.

Remark 6.3. Note that, in [46], assuming that the energy functional associated to the problem satisfies $(PS)_c^*$ condition the Dual fountain theorem is obtained using Deformation theorem which is still valid under Cerami condition. Therefore, like many critical point theorems the Dual fountain theorem holds under $(C)_c^*$ condition.

Next lemma is due to [26, Lemma 3.2]

Lemma 6.4. *Suppose that the hypotheses in Theorem 1.3 hold, then \mathcal{I} satisfies the $(C)_c^*$ condition.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For the reflexive, separable Banach space X , define X_k and Y_k as in (5.1). From the assumptions we have that \mathcal{I} is even and by Lemma 6.4 we get that \mathcal{I} satisfies Cerami condition $(C)_c^*$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus for proving Theorem 1.3 we are only left with verifying the conditions (\mathcal{B}_1) - (\mathcal{B}_3) .

(\mathcal{B}_1) : For all $u \in Y_k$ with $\|u\| < 1$, arguing similarly as we did for obtaining (5.4), we can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} [\rho_{p_1}(u) + \rho_{p_2}(u)] - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \rho(u) - \left[\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_2^+} dx - C(1) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r(x)} dx \right] \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{p_2^+} - \|u\|_{L^{p_2^+}(\Omega)}^{p_2^+} - C(1) \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{r^-} + \|u\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{r^+} \right\} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{p_2^+} - \alpha_k^{p_2^+} C_1 \|u\|^{p_2^+} - C_2 \{ \alpha_k^{r^-} \|u\|^{r^-} + \alpha_k^{r^+} \|u\|^{r^+} \} \\
(6.1) \quad &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{p_2^+} - C_4 \alpha_k \|u\|,
\end{aligned}$$

Let us choose $\varrho_k = (C_4 \alpha_k / 2)^{1/(p_2^+ - 1)}$. Since $p_2^+ > 1$, (5.2) infers that

$$(6.2) \quad \varrho_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus for $u \in Y_k$ with $\|u\| = \varrho_k$ and for sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, from (6.1) we have $\mathcal{I}(u) \geq 0$.

(\mathcal{B}_2): Suppose assertion (\mathcal{B}_2) does not hold true for some given $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a sequence $(v_n)_n$ in X_k such that

$$(6.3) \quad \|v_n\| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \mathcal{I}(v_n) \geq 0.$$

Now arguing in a similar way as in the proof of assertion (\mathcal{A}_2) of Theorem 5.2, we obtain (5.7) and (5.8) which combining with Lemma 3.3 imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(v_n) &\leq \rho(v_n) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, v_n) dx \\
&\leq \|v_n\|^{p_2^+} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, v_n) dx \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|v_n\|^{p_2^+}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, v_n) dx \right) \|v_n\|^{p_2^+} \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as}
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to (6.3). So, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$ we have $1 > \varrho_k > \delta_k > 0$ such that for $u \in X_k$ with $\|u\| = \delta_k$ the condition (\mathcal{B}_2) holds true.

(\mathcal{B}_3): Since $X_k \cap Y_k \neq \emptyset$, we get that $d_k \leq b_k < 0$. Now for $u \in Y_k$ with $\|u\| \leq \varrho_k$ by (6.1), we get

$$\mathcal{I}(u) \geq -C_4 \alpha_k \|u\| \geq -C_4 \alpha_k \varrho_k.$$

Therefore, combining (5.2) and (6.2), we obtain

$$d_k \geq -C_4 \alpha_k \varrho_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since $d_k < 0$, it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_k = 0$. Thus the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

First we state the following \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetric version of mountain pass theorem due to [38, Theorem 9.12]. Here again we want to mention that in [38] this theorem is proved using (PS)-condition, which can also be proved using C -condition.

Theorem 7.1. (*Symmetric Mountain pass Theorem*): Let E be a real infinite dimensional Banach space and $\Phi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ be an even functional satisfying the $(C)_c$ condition. Also let Φ satisfy the following:

(\mathcal{D}_1) $\Phi(0) = 0$ and there exist two constants $\nu, \mu > 0$ such that $\Phi(u) \geq \mu$ for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|_E = \nu$.

(\mathcal{D}_2) for all finite dimensional subspaces $\widehat{E} \subset E$ there exists $\overline{R} = \overline{R}(\widehat{E}) > 0$ such that $\Phi(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in \widehat{E} \setminus B_{\overline{R}}(\widehat{E})$, where $B_{\overline{R}}(\widehat{E}) = \{u \in \widehat{E} : \|u\|_E \leq \overline{R}\}$.

Then Φ poses an unbounded sequence of critical values characterized by a minimax argument.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. From the hypotheses of the theorem it follows that \mathcal{I} is even and we have $\mathcal{I}(0) = 0$. Now we will prove that \mathcal{I} satisfies the assertions in Theorem 7.1.

(\mathcal{D}_1) : It follows from Lemma 4.3(i).

(\mathcal{D}_2) : To show this, first claim that for any finite dimensional subspace Y of X there exists $\overline{R}_0 = \overline{R}_0(Y)$ such that $\mathcal{I}(u) < 0$ for all $u \in E \setminus B_{\overline{R}_0}(Y)$, where $B_{\overline{R}_0}(Y) = \{u \in X : \|u\| \leq \overline{R}_0\}$. Fix $u \in X$, $\|u\| = 1$. For $t > 1$ using (4.2) and Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}(tu) &\leq \rho(tu) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \\
 &\leq t^{p_2^+} \rho(u) - t^{p_2^+} M \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_2^+} dx + |\Omega| C_M \\
 (7.1) \quad &= t^{p_2^+} \left[1 - M \|u\|_{L^{p_2^+}(\Omega)}^{p_2^+} \right] + |\Omega| C_M.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since Y is finite dimensional, all norms are equivalent on Y , which infers that there exists some constant $C(Y) > 0$ such that $C(Y)\|u\| \leq \|u\|_{L^{p_2^+}(\Omega)}$.

Therefore, from (7.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}(tu) &\leq t^{p_2^+} \left[1 - M(C(Y))^{p_2^+} \|u\|^{p_2^+} \right] + |\Omega| C_M \\
 &= t^{p_2^+} \left[1 - M(C(Y))^{p_2^+} \right] + |\Omega| C_M.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by choosing M sufficiently large such that $M > \frac{1}{(C(Y))^{p_2^+}}$, from the last relation we yields that

$$\mathcal{I}(u) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, there exists $\overline{R}_0 > 0$ large enough such that $\mathcal{I}(u) < 0$ for all $u \in X$ with $\|u\| = \overline{R}$ and $\overline{R} \geq \overline{R}_0$. Therefore, \mathcal{I} verifies (\mathcal{D}_2).

□

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

First, we recall a new variant of Clark's theorem (see [31, Theorem 1.1]).

Theorem 8.1. *Let E be a Banach space, $\Phi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$. Let Φ be even and $\Phi(0) = 0$. Also assume Φ satisfies the (PS)-condition and bounded from below. If for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a k -dimensional subspace E^k of E and $\beta_k > 0$ such that $\sup_{E^k \cap B_{\beta_k}} \Phi(u) < 0$, where $B_{\beta_k} = \{u \in E : \|u\|_E = \beta_k\}$, then at least one of the following conclusions holds:*

- (\mathcal{M}_1) *There exists a sequence of critical points $(u_k)_k$ satisfying $\Phi(u_k) < 0$ for all k and $\|u_k\|_E \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.*
- (\mathcal{M}_2) *There exists $l > 0$ such that for any $0 < b < l$ there exists a critical point u such that $\|u\|_E = b$ and $\Phi(u) = 0$.*

The corresponding energy functional is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_1(x,y)}}{p_1(x,y)|x-y|^{N+s(x,y)p_1(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\bar{p}_1(x)} |u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_2(x,y)}}{p_2(x,y)|x-y|^{N+s(x,y)p_2(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\bar{p}_2(x)} |u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx \\ &+ \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x)|u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)}}{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx + \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x)|u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)}}{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx \\ &- \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{r(x)}}{r(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will prove the following lemma:

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose the hypotheses in Theorem 1.5 hold. Then \mathcal{I} satisfies $(PS)_c$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let $(v_n)_n$ be a sequence in X such that

$$(8.1) \quad \mathcal{I}(v_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}'(v_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } X^* \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$(8.2) \quad \langle \mathcal{I}'(v_n), v_n - v_0 \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, we have that $(v_n)_n$ is bounded in X . If not, then $v_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Using (8.1) and (8.2) and (f₅), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (8.3) \quad 1 + C + \|v_n\| &\geq \mathcal{I}(v_n) - \frac{1}{q^-} \langle \mathcal{I}'(v_n), v_n \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\rho(v_n) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, v_n) dx - \frac{1}{q^-} \rho(v_n) + \frac{1}{q^-} \int_{\Omega} f(x, v_n) v_n dx \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{q^-}\right) \|v_n\|^{p^-} + \left(\frac{1}{q^-} - \frac{1}{r^-}\right) \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{r(x)} dx \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^-}\right) \|v_n\|^{p^-}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to the fact that $v_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Now, since X is reflexive, up to a subsequence, still denoted by $(v_n)_n$, we have $v_n \rightharpoonup v_0$ weakly as

$n \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ by Theorem 3.4, arguing similar as in (4.30), we obtain

$$(8.4) \quad v_n \rightarrow v_0 \text{ strongly in } L^\gamma(\Omega), \quad 1 < \gamma(x) < p_s^*(x) \quad \text{and} \quad v_n(x) \rightarrow v_0(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

By (f₅), Hölder inequality, (8.4), boundedness of $(v_n)_n$ and Lemma 2.3, arguing in a similar fashion as (4.30), we obtain

$$(8.5) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, v_n)(v_n - v_0) dx \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, combining (8.2) and (8.5) and using the (S_+) property of ρ' , we have $v_n \rightarrow v_0$ strongly in X as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5: From the hypotheses we have that \mathcal{I} is even and $\mathcal{I}(0) = 0$. Also Lemma 8.2 ensures that \mathcal{I} satisfies (PS) -condition. But note that, \mathcal{I} is not bounded from below on X . Hence, we will use a truncation technique. For that choose $h \in C^\infty([0, \infty), [0, 1])$ such that

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in [0, l_0] \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [l_1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

where $l_0 < l_1$ and set $\Psi(u) := h(\|u\|)$. Now we define the truncated functional \mathcal{J} as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_1(x,y)}}{p_1(x,y)|x-y|^{N+s(x,y)p_1(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\bar{p}_1(x)} |u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (C\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_2(x,y)}}{p_2(x,y)|x-y|^{N+s(x,y)p_2(x,y)}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\bar{p}_2(x)} |u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx \\ &+ \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x)|u|^{\bar{p}_1(x)}}{\bar{p}_1(x)} dx + \int_{C\Omega} \frac{\beta(x)|u|^{\bar{p}_2(x)}}{\bar{p}_2(x)} dx \\ &- \Psi(u) \left(\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{r(x)}}{r(x)} dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{J} \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{J}(0) = 0$. Also \mathcal{J} is even. Moreover from Lemma 8.2, it can be shown that \mathcal{J} satisfies (PS) -condition. Now we will show \mathcal{J} is bounded from below. For $\|u\| > 1$, using Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\mathcal{J}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \rho(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{p_1^-} \rightarrow +\infty$$

as $\|u\| \rightarrow +\infty$, that is $\mathcal{J}(u)$ is coercive and hence bounded below on X . Next, we claim that \mathcal{J} verifies the assertion (\mathcal{M}_1) of Theorem 8.1. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < R_k < l_0 < 1$ let us set

$$\mathcal{B}_{R_k} = \{u \in X : \|u\| = R_k\}.$$

Also consider the k -dimensional subspace X^k of X . Then for $u \in X^k \cap \mathcal{B}_{R_k}$ there are some constants $K_1, K_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{J}(u) &\leq \rho(u) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \\
 &\leq \|u\|^{p_1^-} - \frac{\lambda}{q^+} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx - \frac{1}{r^+} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r(x)} dx \\
 (8.6) \quad &\leq \|u\|^{p_1^-} - K_1^{q^+} \frac{\lambda}{q^+} \|u\|^{q^+},
 \end{aligned}$$

since $X^k \cap \mathcal{B}_{R_k}$ being of finite dimension all norms on it are equivalent. Now by letting $R_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ from (8.6), we get $\sup_{X^k \cap \mathcal{B}_{R_k}} \mathcal{J}(u) < 0$ since $p_1^- < q^+$. Furthermore, for a given $u \in X$ from (8.6) it follows that $\mathcal{J}(tu) < 0$ for $t \rightarrow 0^+$, that is $\mathcal{J}(u) \neq 0$. Thus \mathcal{J} does not satisfy (\mathcal{M}_2) . Therefore, by appealing Theorem 8.1, there exists a sequence of critical points $(v_k)_k$ of \mathcal{J} in X such that $\|v_k\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. So, for $l_0 > 0$ there exists $\hat{k}_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq \hat{k}_0$ we have $\|u\| < l_0$ which infers that $\mathcal{J}(u_k) = \mathcal{I}(u_k)$ for all $k > \hat{k}_0$. Since the critical values of \mathcal{I} are the solutions to (1.2), the theorem follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Regularity results for stationary electrorheological fluids, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **164** (2002), 213-259. [2](#)
- [2] C.O. Alves, On superlinear $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations in \mathbb{R}^N , *Nonlinear Anal.*, **73** (2010), 2566-2579. [16](#)
- [3] V. Ambrosio and V. Rădulescu, Fractional double-phase patterns: concentration and multiplicity of solutions, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **142** (2020), 101-145. [3](#)
- [4] A. Bahrouni, Comparison and sub-supersolution principles for the fractional $p(x)$ -Laplacian, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **458** (2018), 1363-1372. [2](#)
- [5] T. Bartsch, Infinitely many solutions of a symmetric Dirichlet problem, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **20**(10) (1993), 1205-1216. [15](#)
- [6] S. Bahrouni and H. Ounaies, Strauss and Lions type theorems for the fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponent and applications to nonlocal Kirchhoff-Choquard problem, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, **18**(46) (2021), doi:10.1007/s00009-020-01661-w. [2](#)
- [7] A. Bahrouni, V. Rădulescu, and P. Winkert, Robin fractional problems with symmetric variable growth, *J. Math. Phys.*, **61** (2020), 101503. [6](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- [8] A. Bahrouni, V. Rădulescu, and D. Repovš, Double phase transonic flow problems with variable growth: nonlinear patterns and stationary waves, *Nonlinearity*, **32**(7) (2019), 2481-2495. [3](#)
- [9] A. Bahrouni and V. D. Rădulescu, On a new fractional Sobolev space and applications to nonlocal variational problems with variable exponent, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, **11**(3) (2018), 379. [2](#), [8](#)
- [10] G. Bonanno, G. Molica Bisci, and V. Rădulescu, Quasilinear elliptic non-homogeneous Dirichlet problems through Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **75**(12) (2012), 4441-4456. [3](#)
- [11] R. Biswas and S. Tiwari, Variable order nonlocal Choquard problem with variable exponents, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, (2020), 1-23, doi:10.1080/17476933.2020.1751136. [2](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [12] R. Biswas and S. Tiwari, On a class of Kirchhoff-Choquard equations involving variable-order fractional $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian and without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, in press. [2](#)
- [13] L. Cherfilis and Y. Ilyasov, On the stationary solutions of generalized reaction diffusion equations with $p&q$ -Laplacian, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.*, **4** (2005), 922. [3](#)
- [14] Y. Chen, S. Levine, and M. Rao, Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **66**(4) (2006), 1383-1406. [2](#)
- [15] C. Chen and J. Bao, Existence, nonexistence, and multiplicity of solutions for the fractional $p&q$ -Laplacian equation in R^N , *Bound. Value Probl.*, **16**(153) (2016). [3](#)

- [16] N. T. Chung, H. Q. Toan, On a class of fractional Laplacian problems with variable exponents and indefinite weights, *Collect. Math.*, **71** (2020), 223–237. [3](#)
- [17] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hasto, and M. Ruzicka, Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2011. [2](#), [5](#)
- [18] S. Dipierro, M. Medina, and E. Valdinoci, Fractional elliptic problems with critical growth in the whole of \mathbb{R}^n , Lecture Notes, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, vol. 15, Pisa, 2017. [2](#)
- [19] X. Fan and D. Zhao, On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **263**(2) (2001), 424–446. [5](#), [7](#)
- [20] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, and V. Zizler, Banach Space Theory: The basis for linear and nonlinear analysis, Springer, New York, 2011. [15](#)
- [21] G. M. Figueiredo, Existence of positive solutions for a class of p, q elliptic problems with critical growth on \mathbb{R}^N , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **378** (2011), 507–518. [3](#)
- [22] P. C. Fife, Mathematical aspects of reacting and diffusing systems, Lecture notes in Biomath, vol. 28, Springer, Berlin, 1979. [3](#)
- [23] J. Giacomoni, S. Tiwari, and G. Warnault, Quasilinear parabolic problem with $p(x)$ -Laplacian: existence, uniqueness of weak solutions and stabilization, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, **23**(3) (2016), 24. [5](#)
- [24] K. Ho and Y. H. Kim, A-priori bounds and multiplicity of solutions for nonlinear elliptic problems involving the fractional $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **188** (2019), 179–201. [2](#), [6](#)
- [25] K. Ho and Y. H. Kim, The concentration-compactness principles for $W^{s,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and application, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, **10**(1), 816–848. [2](#)
- [26] E. J. Hurtado, O. H. Miyagaki, and R. S. Rodrigues, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of elliptic equations without Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz type conditions, *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, **30** (2018), 405–432. [18](#)
- [27] U. Kaufmann, J. D. Rossi, and R. E. Vidal, Fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents and fractional $p(x)$ -Laplacians, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **76** (2017), 1–10. [2](#)
- [28] N. C. Kourogenis and N. S. Papageorgiou, Nonsmooth critical point theory and nonlinear elliptic equations at resonance, *Kodai Math. J.*, **23** (2000), 108–135. [10](#)
- [29] K. Kikuchi and A. Negoro, On Markov processes generated by pseudodifferential operator of variable order, *Osaka J. Math.*, **34** (1997), 319–335. [2](#)
- [30] H. G. Leopold, Embedding of function spaces of variable order of differentiation, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **49** (1999), 633–644. [2](#)
- [31] Z. Liu and Z.-Q. Wang, On Clark’s theorem and its applications to partially sublinear problems, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **32** (2015), 1015–1037. [20](#)
- [32] C. F. Lorenzo and T. T. Hartley, Initialized fractional calculus, *Int. J. Appl. Math.*, **3** (2000), 249–265. [2](#)
- [33] C. F. Lorenzo and T. T. Hartley, Variable order and distributed order fractional operators, *Nonlinear Dynam.*, **29** (2002), 57–98. [2](#)
- [34] S. Marano and S. Mosconi, Some recent results on the Dirichlet problem for (p, q) -Laplacian equation, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, **11** (2018), 279–291. [3](#)
- [35] G. Molica Bisci and V. Rădulescu, Ground state solutions of scalar field fractional Schrödinger equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, **54**(3) (2015), 2985–3008. [3](#)
- [36] G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu, and R. Servadei, Variational methods for nonlocal fractional problems, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, vol. 162, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. [2](#)
- [37] N. S. Papageorgiou, V. D. Rădulescu, and D. D. Repovš, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for double-phase Robin problems, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, (2020), doi:10.1112/blms.12347. [3](#)
- [38] P. H. Rabinowitz, Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations, CBMS regional conference series in Mathematics, vol. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1986. [19](#)
- [39] V. Rădulescu, D. Repovš, Partial differential equations with variable exponents, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2015. [2](#)
- [40] V. Rădulescu, Isotropic and anisotropic double-phase problems: old and new, *Opuscula Math.*, **39**(2) (2019), 259–279. [3](#)
- [41] M. D. Ruiz-Medina, V. V. Anh, and J. M. Angulo, Fractional generalized random fields of variable order, *Stoch. Anal. Appl.*, **22** (2004), 775–799. [2](#)

- [42] M. Ružička, Electrorheological fluids: Modeling and mathematical theory, Lecture notes in Mathematics, vol. 1748, Springer, Berlin, 2000 [2](#)
- [43] X. Shi, V. D. Rădulescu, D. D. Repovš, and Q. Zhang, Multiple solutions of double phase variational problems with variable exponent, *Adv. Calc. Var.*, doi:10.1515/acv-2018-0003. [3](#)
- [44] H. Sun, W. Chen, H. Wei, and Y. Q. Chen, A comparative study of constant-order and variable-order fractional models in characterizing memory property of systems, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.*, **193** (2011), 185-192.
- [45] H. Wilhelmsson, Explosive instabilities of reaction-diffusion equations, *Phys. Rev. A*, **36** (1987), 965966. [2](#)
- [46] M. Willem, Minimax theorems, vol. 24, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996. [3](#)
- [47] J. Zuo and A. Fiscella, A critical Kirchhoff type problem driven by a $p(\cdot)$ -fractional Laplace operator with variable $s(\cdot)$ -order, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, (2020), doi:10.1002/mma.6813. [15](#), [18](#)
- [48] J. Zuo, A. Fiscella, and A. Bahrouni, Existence and multiplicity results for $p(\cdot)$ & $q(\cdot)$ fractional Choquard problems with variable order, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, (2020), doi:10.1080/17476933.2020.1835878. [2](#)
- [49] V. V. Zhikov, Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory, *Math. USSR. Izv.*, **29**(1) (1987), 33-36. [3](#)

[2](#)

(R. Biswas) MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GUWAHATI, GUWAHATI, ASSAM 781039, INDIA

(S. Bahrouni) MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MONASTIR, 5019 MONASTIR, TUNISIA

(M. L. Carvalho) MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE GOIÁS, BRAZIL

Email address, R. Biswas: b.reshmi@iitg.ac.in

Email address, S. Bahrouni: sabri.bahrouni@fsm.rnu.tn

Email address, M. L. Carvalho: marcos_leandro_carvalho@ufg.br