

POINTED HOPF ACTIONS ON QUANTUM GENERALIZED WEYL ALGEBRAS

JASON GADDIS AND ROBERT WON

ABSTRACT. We study actions of pointed Hopf algebras in the \mathbb{Z} -graded setting. Our main result classifies inner-faithful actions of generalized Taft algebras on quantum generalized Weyl algebras which respect the \mathbb{Z} -grading. We also show that generically the invariant rings of Taft actions on quantum generalized Weyl algebras are commutative Kleinian singularities.

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this work is to study Hopf actions in the setting of \mathbb{Z} -graded algebras. The Weyl algebra is an example of such an algebra, but the Weyl algebra has no finite quantum symmetry [9]. Instead, we study generalized Weyl algebras (GWAs), specifically quantum GWAs. By work of Suárez-Alvarez and Vivas, the automorphism groups of quantum GWAs are well-understood [17]. Here we study actions of (generalized) Taft algebras on quantum GWAs that respect their \mathbb{Z} -grading.

Actions of Taft algebras on various families of algebras have been considered in a variety of works. Actions on finite-dimensional algebras with a single generator were studied by Montgomery and Schneider [15]. These results were recently generalized by Cline [7]. Furthermore, Centrone and Yasumura studied actions on general finite-dimensional algebras [3], while Bahturin and Montgomery classified actions on matrix algebras [2]. Kinser and Walton considered Taft algebra actions on path algebras of quivers [12]. In [11], the present authors along with Yee classified actions on quantum planes and quantum Weyl algebras. The first author along with Cline considered more general actions on quantum affine spaces, quantum matrices, and quantized Weyl algebras [8]. More generally, Chen, Wang, and Zhang studied actions of nonsemisimple Hopf algebras on Artin–Schelter regular algebras in characteristic p [6].

This work provides a unique perspective on Taft algebra actions. In particular, we obtain actions on quantum planes $\mathbb{k}_q[u, v]$ that respect the grading $\deg(u) = 1$ and $\deg(v) = -1$, and these actions are distinct from those obtained in [11]. Our primary goal is to classify actions by generalized Taft algebras on quantum GWAs. In some sense, this is a natural extension of work on Hopf actions in the setting of Artin–Schelter regular algebras [5, 13]. By a result of Liu [14], these GWAs are twisted Calabi–Yau, and so this work may be seen as an extension of the study of quantum symmetries to the \mathbb{Z} -graded setting.

In Section 2, we provide background information on (pointed) Hopf algebras and (quantum) generalized Weyl algebras. Since the GWAs A we consider have base ring $A_0 = \mathbb{k}[t]$ (the commutative polynomial ring

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16T05, Secondary 16W50.

Key words and phrases. Generalized Taft algebra, generalized Weyl algebra, Hopf algebra actions.

in one variable), in Section 3 we consider generalized Taft actions on $\mathbb{k}[t]$. We provide a full classification of inner-faithful actions in this setting without any assumptions on linearity of the action (Proposition 3.4). Our main results, Theorems 4.18 and 4.22, fully classify inner-faithful actions of generalized Taft algebras on quantum GWAs at a root of unity $q \neq \pm 1$ which preserve the \mathbb{Z} -grading. Section 4 is devoted to proving this classification. In Section 5 we compute the invariants for Taft actions on quantum GWAs under Taft algebra actions and show that generically the invariant rings are (commutative) Kleinian singularities (Theorem 5.1).

2. BACKGROUND

Throughout, all algebras are associative \mathbb{k} -algebras over a base field \mathbb{k} of characteristic zero.

2.1. Hopf algebras. A Hopf algebra is a bialgebra with antipode. Given a Hopf algebra H , we denote the comultiplication, counit, and antipode by Δ , ϵ , and S , respectively. An element $g \in H$ is *grouplike* if $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$. Given grouplikes $g, h \in H$, an element $x \in H$ is (g, h) -*skew-primitive* provided $\Delta(x) = g \otimes x + x \otimes h$. For any (g, h) -skew-primitive element x , $\epsilon(x) = 0$ and $S(x) = -g^{-1}xh^{-1}$.

An algebra A is a *left H -module algebra* if A is a left H -module with action $h \otimes a \mapsto h(a)$ such that $h(1_A) = \epsilon(h)1_A$ and $h.(ab) = \sum h_1(a)h_2(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $h \in H$. Equivalently, A is an algebra object in the monoidal category of left H -modules.

We say that a Hopf algebra H is *pointed* if every simple H -comodule is one-dimensional. A partial but extensive classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras has been obtained by Andruskiewitsch and Schneider [1]. Amongst the most fundamental examples of pointed Hopf algebras are the Taft algebras.

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{k}$, let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > 1$ and $m \mid n$, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$ be a primitive m^{th} root of unity. The algebra

$$T_n(\lambda, m, \theta) := \mathbb{k}\langle x, g \mid g^n = 1, x^m = \theta(g^m - 1), gx = \lambda xg \rangle$$

is a *generalized Taft algebra* [16]. It is a Hopf algebra in which g is grouplike and x is $(g, 1)$ -skew primitive. When $m = n$ and $\gamma = 0$, we say that $T_n(\lambda) = T_n(\lambda, n, 0)$ is a *Taft algebra*. We will focus on the coradically graded generalized Taft algebra $T_n(\lambda, m, 0)$.

Let H be a Hopf algebra and M an H -module. We say M is an *inner-faithful H -module* (or that the action is inner-faithful) if $IM \neq 0$ for every Hopf ideal I of H . If A is an H -module algebra, then we say that A is inner-faithful if it is inner-faithful as an H -module. By [7, Corollary 3.7], a $T = T_n(\lambda, m, 0)$ -module M is inner-faithful if and only if $\langle g \rangle$ acts faithfully and $x(M) \neq 0$. If $m = n$, so T is a Taft algebra, then $x(M) = 0$ whenever $\langle g \rangle$ does not act faithfully (see [12, Lemma 2.5], [2, Corollary 3.2]). Thus, in this case, the faithfulness condition on $\langle g \rangle$ is superfluous.

2.2. Generalized Weyl algebras.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a \mathbb{k} -algebra, let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p)$ be a p -tuple of commuting automorphisms of R , and let $h = (h_1, \dots, h_p)$ be a p -tuple of central regular elements in R such that $\sigma_i(h_j) = h_j$ for all distinct

$i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. With this data, we define the generalized Weyl algebra (GWA) of rank p as the \mathbb{k} -algebra generated over R as an algebra by $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_p)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_p)$ with relations

$$\begin{aligned} u_i r &= \sigma_i(r) x_i & v_i r &= \sigma_i^{-1}(r) y_i & \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, p\} \\ u_i v_i &= \sigma_i(h_i) & v_i u_i &= h_i & \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, p\} \\ [u_i, u_j] &= [v_i, v_j] = [u_i, v_j] = 0 & & & \text{for all distinct } i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote this algebra by $R(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma, h)$.

A rank p GWA $R(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma, h)$ is naturally \mathbb{Z}^p -graded by setting $\deg(r) = \mathbf{0}$ for all $r \in R$, $\deg(u_i) = \mathbf{e}_i$, and $\deg(v_i) = -\mathbf{e}_i$.

Definition 2.2. We say the GWA $R(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma, h)$ is a quantum GWA if $R = \mathbb{k}[t]$ and there exist scalars $q = (q_1, \dots, q_p) \in (\mathbb{k} \setminus \{0, 1\})^p$ such that $\sigma_i(t) = q_i t$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Let $\text{ord}(q_i)$ denote the order of q_i in the multiplicative group \mathbb{k}^\times .

We use the notation $R(u, v, \sigma, h)$ for a GWA of rank one. Our primary focus will be on quantum GWAs of rank one; later we extend these results to higher rank quantum GWAs. The *quantum planes* are quantum GWAs over $\mathbb{k}[t]$ with $h = t$. The *quantum Weyl algebras* are quantum GWAs over $\mathbb{k}[t]$ with $h = t - 1$. In [11], Yee and the authors classified linear actions of Taft algebras on quantum planes and quantum Weyl algebras. This was extended to linear actions of certain generalized Taft algebras by Cline and the first author [8].

In this work, we consider actions of a different type. In particular, we consider actions that respect the \mathbb{Z} -grading on quantum GWAs. More specifically, if A is a quantum GWA, then we consider actions by generalized Taft algebras T such that A_0 and $A_{-i} \oplus A_i$ are T -modules for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.3. Automorphisms of quantum GWAs. We recall the description of the automorphism group of a quantum GWA given by Suárez-Alvarez and Vivas [17].

Let $A = \mathbb{k}[t](u, v, \sigma, h)$ be a quantum GWA and assume that $h = \sum h_i t^i$ is not a unit. Let $\text{supp}(h) = \{i \mid h_i \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell = \gcd\{i - j \mid h_i h_j \neq 0\}$. If h is a monomial, set $C_\ell = \mathbb{k}^\times$ and otherwise let C_ℓ be the subgroup of \mathbb{k}^\times consisting of ℓ^{th} roots of unity. If $(\gamma, \mu) \in C_\ell \times \mathbb{k}^\times$ and $i_0 \in \text{supp}(h)$, then there is an automorphism $\eta_{\gamma, \mu}$ of A such that

$$\eta_{\gamma, \mu}(t) = \gamma t, \quad \eta_{\gamma, \mu}(v) = \mu v, \quad \eta(u) = \mu^{-1} \gamma^{i_0} u. \quad (2.3)$$

The definition of $\eta_{\gamma, \mu}$ is independent of the choice of i_0 (see [10, Remark 2.4]), hence we typically take $i_0 = \deg_t(h)$.

Let G be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A)$ generated by $\{\eta_{\gamma, \mu} \mid (\gamma, \mu) \in C_\ell \times \mathbb{k}^\times\}$. When $q \neq -1$, then $\text{Aut}(A) = G$ [17, Theorem B]. If $q = -1$, then there is an order 2 automorphism Ω defined by

$$\Omega(t) = -t, \quad \Omega(v) = u, \quad \Omega(u) = v.$$

Then there is a short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

In this case, every automorphism of A is either some $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$ or else $\Omega \circ \eta_{\gamma,\mu}$ [10, Proposition 2.7]. Work in [4] classifies the automorphism groups for certain higher rank quantum GWAs.

3. GENERALIZED TAFT ACTIONS ON $\mathbb{k}[t]$

Before we can study generalized Taft actions on quantum GWAs, we first consider actions on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[t]$. Throughout this section, let m and n be positive integers such that $m > 1$ and $m \mid n$. Let λ be a primitive m^{th} root of unity. Set

$$T = T_n(\lambda, m, 0) = \mathbb{k}\langle x, g \mid g^n = 1, x^m, gx = \lambda xg \rangle.$$

For $\gamma \in \mathbb{k} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define the k^{th} γ -number to be

$$[k]_\gamma = \frac{1 - \gamma^k}{1 - \gamma} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \gamma^j.$$

Observe that if γ is an s^{th} root of unity, and $k \equiv m \pmod{s}$, then $[k]_\gamma = [m]_\gamma$. For $f \in \mathbb{k}[t]$, let $\delta_\gamma(f)$ denote the γ -derivative of f , defined by $\delta_\gamma(t^k) = [k]_\gamma t^{k-1}$ and extended linearly. The γ -derivative satisfies a γ -analogue of the Leibniz rule, namely

$$\delta_\gamma(f(t)g(t)) = \delta_\gamma(f(t))g(t) + f(\gamma t)\delta_\gamma(g(t)) = \delta_\gamma(f(t))g(\gamma t) + f(t)\delta_\gamma(g(t)).$$

We remark that δ_γ is nilpotent of index s . If $k < s$, then $\delta_\gamma^{\text{ord}(\gamma)}(t^k) = 0$ and if $k \geq s$ then because $[s]_\gamma = 0$ we have

$$\delta_\gamma^{\text{ord}(\gamma)}(t^k) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{\text{ord}(\gamma)-1} [i]_\gamma \right) t^{k-\text{ord}(\gamma)} = 0.$$

In order to classify T actions on $\mathbb{k}[t]$, we first need two technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $\mathbb{k}[t]$ is a left T -module algebra and suppose that $g(t) = \gamma t$ for some primitive s^{th} root of unity $\gamma \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. Write $x(t) = \phi \in \mathbb{k}[t]$ where $\phi = \sum_{i=0}^d \phi_i t^i$ with $\phi_d \neq 0$. If $\gamma = 1$, then $\phi = 0$. In general,*

$$x(t^k) = t^{k-1} \phi \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \gamma^j = [k]_\gamma \phi t^{k-1} = \phi \delta_\gamma(t^k). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. We first consider the case when $\gamma = 1$. Since $\mathbb{k}[t]$ is a T -module algebra, then

$$0 = (gx - \lambda xg)(t) = (1 - \lambda)\phi,$$

and since $\lambda \neq 1$, we have $\phi = 0$.

In general, when $\gamma \neq 1$, we have

$$x(t^2) = g(t)x(t) + x(t)t = \gamma t\phi + \phi t = (\gamma + 1)\phi t.$$

Then (3.2) follows by induction. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\gamma \neq 1$ be a primitive s^{th} root of unity and let $\phi \in \mathbb{k}[t]$ be a polynomial of degree d . Suppose that $\mathbb{k}[t]$ is a left T -module algebra where $g(t) = \gamma t$ and $x(t) = \phi$. Let $f \in \mathbb{k}[t]$ be a polynomial of degree $k \geq 1$, all of whose nonzero terms occur in degrees which are equivalent to k modulo s . Then*

$$x(f) = [k]_{\gamma} \phi \tilde{f}$$

where \tilde{f} is a polynomial of degree $k - 1$ all of whose nonzero terms occur in degrees which are equivalent to $k - 1$ modulo s .

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.1. □

Suppose $\mathbb{k}[t]$ is a T -module algebra. Since $g \in T$ is grouplike then it acts as an automorphism on $\mathbb{k}[t]$. That is, $g(t) = \gamma t + \kappa$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{k}^{\times}$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{k}$. If $\gamma \neq 1$, then after replacing t by $t + \frac{\kappa}{\gamma - 1}$, we have $g(t) = \gamma t$. Since g has finite order, then necessarily either g is the identity or else $\gamma \neq 1$. Hence, in the next result we make the assumption that g acts by scalar multiplication on t . However, we do not make any assumption about the action of x . The next result fully classifies inner-faithful generalized Taft actions on $\mathbb{k}[t]$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $T = T_n(\lambda, m, 0)$ be a generalized Taft algebra. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{k} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{k}[t]$ be a nonzero polynomial of degree d . If $\mathbb{k}[t]$ is a T -module algebra with $g(t) = \gamma t$ and $x(t) = \phi$, then*

- (1) γ is a root of unity of order m ,
- (2) $\lambda = \gamma^{d-1}$ and so $\gcd(d - 1, m) = 1$, and
- (3) $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq \{d, d - m, d - 2m, \dots\}$.

Furthermore, the action is inner-faithful if and only if $m = n$.

Conversely, setting $g(t) = \gamma t$ and $x(t) = \phi$ subject to (1)–(3) and extending naturally via the coproduct defines an action which makes $\mathbb{k}[t]$ a T -module algebra.

Proof. Suppose first that $\mathbb{k}[t]$ is a T -module algebra with $g(t) = \gamma t$ and $x(t) = \phi$. Since $g^n = 1$, we have $g^n(t) = \gamma^n t = t$ and hence γ is a root of unity of order $s \mid n$. Furthermore we have

$$0 = (gx - \lambda xg)(t) = g(\phi(t)) - \lambda x(\gamma t) = \phi(\gamma t) - \lambda \gamma \phi(t).$$

Writing $\phi = \sum_{i=0}^d \phi_i t^i$, we must have $\sum_{i=0}^d (\gamma^i - \lambda \gamma) \phi_i t^i = 0$. Therefore,

$$\lambda = \gamma^{i-1} \quad \text{for all } i \in \text{supp}(\phi). \tag{3.5}$$

In particular, $\lambda = \gamma^{d-1}$. Hence, $\text{ord}(\lambda) = m$ must divide s and so $\gcd(d - 1, s) = s/m$.

If $d \equiv 0 \pmod{s}$, then by (3.5), $\lambda = \gamma^{-1}$ and so γ is a root of unity of order m . Otherwise, $d > 0$. By Lemma 3.3 and induction, we compute that

$$x^m(t) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} [1 + i(d-1)]_{\gamma} \right) f \tag{3.6}$$

for some $f \in \mathbb{k}[t]$, $f \neq 0$. Since $x^m(t) = 0$, then there exists some $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ such that $[1+i(d-1)]_\gamma = 0$. This happens if and only if $1 = \gamma^{1+i(d-1)} = \gamma\lambda^i$. Therefore, $s \mid m$ and since $m \mid s$, we conclude that $m = s$. The action is inner-faithful if and only if g acts faithfully and $x(A) \neq 0$. This happens if and only if $s = m = n$.

Conversely, suppose that conditions (1)–(3) hold. We will show that $\mathbb{k}[t]$ admits the structure of a T -module algebra where $g(t) = \gamma t$ and $x(t) = \phi$, with the action extended to all of $\mathbb{k}[t]$ naturally via the coproduct of T . It suffices to show that $g^n(t) = t$, $(gx - \lambda xg)(t) = 0$, and $x^m(t) = 0$.

Since γ is a root of order m and $m \mid n$, it is clear that $g^n(t) = \gamma^n t = t$. Since we are extending the action to all of $\mathbb{k}[t]$ via the coproduct of T , then as above,

$$(gx - \lambda xg)(t) = \phi(\gamma t) - \lambda \gamma \phi(t)$$

and this is 0 by conditions (2) and (3).

Finally, if $d \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, then $x(t) = \phi$ has degree d and so by the same computation as in Lemma 3.3, $x^2(t) = 0$. Since $m > 1$, we have $x^m(t) = 0$. Otherwise, $d > 0$ and so by the same computation as in Lemma 3.3, we have (3.6) for some $f \in \mathbb{k}[t]$. Since $\gcd(d-1, m) = 1$, one of the m factors in the product is equal to 0, and hence $x^m(t) = 0$. \square

4. GENERALIZED TAFT ACTIONS ON QUANTUM GENERALIZED WEYL ALGEBRAS

Fix a quantum GWA $A = \mathbb{k}[t](u, v, \sigma, h)$ where $\sigma(t) = qt$ for some root of unity $q \neq 1$ and a generalized Taft algebra $T = T_n(\lambda, m, 0)$. We restrict our attention to actions of T on A that are \mathbb{Z} -graded in the sense that $T(A_0) \subset A_0$ and $T(A_{-i} \oplus A_i) \subset A_{-i} \oplus A_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, these actions extend the actions of T on $\mathbb{k}[t]$ studied above. This is also a natural restriction because it generalizes group actions that are \mathbb{Z} -graded up to a group automorphism of \mathbb{Z} . By the classification of Suárez-Alvarez and Vivas [17, Proposition 2.7], every automorphism of A is in fact \mathbb{Z} -graded in this sense.

Since $g \in T$ is grouplike, then it acts on A as an automorphism of the form $\eta_{\gamma, \mu}$ as in equation (2.3) or, in the case $q = -1$, as either $\eta_{\gamma, \mu}$ or $\Omega \circ \eta_{\gamma, \mu}$. To be \mathbb{Z} -graded, considering the action of x on A , we must have $x(t) \in A_0$ and $x(u), x(v) \in A_{-1} \oplus A_1$. We summarize our standing hypotheses as follows.

Hypothesis 4.1. *Let $h = \sum_{i=0}^D h_i t^i \in \mathbb{k}[t]$ be a polynomial of degree $D > 0$, let $q \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ be a root of unity of order $\text{ord}(q) > 1$, and let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{k}[t])$ be defined by $\sigma(t) = qt$. We consider the rank one quantum GWA*

$$A = \mathbb{k}[t](u, v, \sigma, h) = \frac{\mathbb{k}[t]\langle u, v \rangle}{\left(\begin{array}{ll} ut = qtu & vu = h = \sum_{i=0}^D h_i t^i \\ vt = q^{-1}tv & uv = \sigma(h) = \sum_{i=0}^D h_i q^i t^i \end{array} \right)}.$$

Let m and n be positive integers such that $m > 1$ and $m \mid n$, and let λ be a primitive m^{th} root of unity. Let $T = T_n(\lambda, m, 0)$ be a generalized Taft algebra and assume that A is a \mathbb{Z} -graded T -module algebra in the sense that $T(A_0) \subset A_0$ and $T(A_{-i} \oplus A_i) \subset A_{-i} \oplus A_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. That is:

- for some $\mu \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ and γ an s^{th} root of unity, g acts as an automorphism of the form $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$ as in equation (2.3) or, in the case $q = -1$, as either $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$ or $\Omega \circ \eta_{\gamma,\mu}$, and
- x acts on A via

$$x(t) = \phi(t) = \sum_{i=0}^d \phi_i t^i, \quad x(u) = \alpha_{11}u + \alpha_{21}v, \quad x(v) = \alpha_{12}u + \alpha_{22}v, \quad (4.2)$$

where for each $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\phi_i \in \mathbb{k}$ with $\phi_d \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{k}[t]$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

For most of this section we make no restriction on q but assume that g acts as an automorphism $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$ as in equation (2.3). If $q \neq -1$, then this is automatic. For the case $q = -1$, we consider the case where g acts as $\Omega \circ \eta_{\gamma,\mu}$ in Theorem 4.22.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume Hypothesis 4.1 with g acting as an automorphism of the form $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$. Then $\alpha_{12} = \alpha_{21} = 0$. Moreover, if $\gamma = 1$, then $x(t) = 0$ and hence the action of T of A is not inner-faithful.*

Proof. Since T acts on A , then the action of x necessarily preserves the relations of A . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = x(vu - h) &= (\mu\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{11}) + \alpha_{22})h + \mu\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{21})v^2 + \alpha_{12}u^2 - x(h) \\ 0 = x(uv - \sigma(h)) &= (\mu^{-1}\gamma^D\sigma(\alpha_{22}) + \alpha_{11})\sigma(h) + \alpha_{21}v^2 \\ &\quad + \mu^{-1}\gamma^D\sigma(\alpha_{12})u^2 - x(\sigma(h)). \end{aligned}$$

That $\alpha_{12} = \alpha_{21} = 0$ now follows from the \mathbb{Z} -grading on A .

Suppose $\gamma = 1$. Then $x(t) = 0$ by Lemma 3.1. Now $x(u) = \alpha_{11}u$ and so $x^m(u) = \alpha_{11}^m u$. Thus, $x^m(u) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha_{11} = 0$. Similarly, $x^m(v) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha_{22} = 0$. In this situation, the action of T is not inner-faithful. \square

Suppose that T and A satisfy Hypothesis 4.1. If g acts as an automorphism $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$, then in light of Lemma 4.3, the following equations are satisfied:

$$0 = x(vu - h) = (\mu\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{11}) + \alpha_{22})h - x(h) \quad (4.4)$$

$$0 = x(uv - \sigma(h)) = (\mu^{-1}\gamma^D\sigma(\alpha_{22}) + \alpha_{11})\sigma(h) - x(\sigma(h)) \quad (4.5)$$

$$0 = (gx - \lambda xg)(u) = g(\alpha_{11}u) - \lambda x(\mu^{-1}\gamma^D u) = \mu^{-1}\gamma^D(g(\alpha_{11}) - \lambda\alpha_{11})u \quad (4.6)$$

$$0 = (gx - \lambda xg)(v) = g(\alpha_{22}v) - \lambda x(\mu v) = \mu(g(\alpha_{22}) - \lambda\alpha_{22})v \quad (4.7)$$

$$0 = (gx - \lambda xg)(t) = g(\phi(t)) - \lambda x(\gamma t) = \phi(\gamma t) - \lambda\gamma\phi(t) \quad (4.8)$$

$$0 = x(ut - qt) = (\mu^{-1}\gamma^D\phi(qt) - q\phi(t))u + \alpha_{11}q(1 - \gamma)tu \quad (4.9)$$

$$0 = x(vt - q^{-1}tv) = (\mu\phi(q^{-1}t) - q^{-1}\phi(t))v + \alpha_{22}q^{-1}(1 - \gamma)tv \quad (4.10)$$

$$0 = x^n(t) = x^n(u) = x^n(v). \quad (4.11)$$

Since we are primarily interested in inner-faithful actions, henceforth, we will assume $\gamma \neq 1$.

Lemma 4.12. *Assume Hypothesis 4.1 with g acting as $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$ and with $\gamma \neq 1$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) μ is a root of unity and $\text{ord}(\mu) \mid n$,
- (2) $m = s$,
- (3) $\text{supp}(\phi)$ is a single equivalence class modulo m ,
- (4) $\lambda = \gamma^{d-1}$ and so $\text{gcd}(d-1, m) = 1$,
- (5) $\text{supp}(h)$ is a single equivalence class modulo m .

Proof. The automorphism $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$ has order dividing n if and only if $\text{ord}(\gamma) \mid n$ and $\text{ord}(\mu) \mid n$, which gives statement (1). Under Hypothesis 4.1, $A_0 = \mathbb{k}[t]$ is a T -module algebra with $g(t) = \gamma t$ and $x(t) = \phi$. Hence, Proposition 3.4 yields statements (2)–(4).

If h is a monomial, then (5) is trivial. Otherwise, recall that $\ell = \text{gcd}\{i-j \mid h_i h_j \neq 0\}$, and for $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$ to be an automorphism of A , we must have $\gamma^\ell = 1$. Therefore ℓ must be a multiple of m and so the support of h is also a single equivalence class modulo m . \square

In the remainder of this section, we abuse derivative notation and write

$$\phi^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-k} \phi_{i+k} t^i \quad \text{and} \quad h^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{D-k} h_{i+k} t^i.$$

Lemma 4.13. *Assume Hypothesis 4.1 with g acting as $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$. For all $f \in A_0 = \mathbb{k}[t]$, $x(f) = \phi \delta_\gamma(f)$. In particular, $x(h) = [D]_\gamma \phi h^{(1)}$ and $x(\phi) = [d]_\gamma \phi \phi^{(1)}$.*

Proof. The first statement follows directly from the linearity of δ_γ and Lemma 3.1. For the second statement, we need only recall from Lemma 4.12 that the support of h and ϕ consist of a single equivalence class modulo $\text{ord}(\gamma)$ and so $\delta_\gamma(h) = [D]_\gamma h^{(1)}$ and $\delta_\gamma(\phi) = [d]_\gamma \phi^{(1)}$. \square

Next, we determine the parameters α_{11} and α_{22} from equation (4.2).

Lemma 4.14. *Assume Hypothesis 4.1 with g acting as $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$ and with $\gamma \neq 1$. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *We have*

$$\alpha_{22} = \frac{1 - \mu q^{1-d}}{1 - \gamma} \phi^{(1)} \tag{4.15}$$

$$\alpha_{11} = \frac{1 - \mu^{-1} \gamma^D q^{d-1}}{1 - \gamma} \phi^{(1)}. \tag{4.16}$$

Hence, for $i = 1, 2$, α_{ii} is supported on a single equivalence class modulo m and if $\alpha_{ii} \neq 0$, then that equivalence class is $d-1$.

- (2) *If $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$ or $\alpha_{22} \neq 0$, then $t \mid \phi$.*
- (3) *If the support of α_{11} is 0 modulo m , then $x^m(u) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha_{11} = 0$. If the support of α_{11} is not 0 modulo m , then $x^m(u) = 0$ if and only if μq^{1-d} is an m^{th} root of unity (not necessarily primitive).*

(4) If the support of α_{22} is 0 modulo m , then $x^n(v) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha_{22} = 0$. If the support of α_{22} is not 0 modulo m , then $x^n(v) = 0$ if and only if μq^{1-d} is an m^{th} root of unity (not necessarily primitive).

Proof. Throughout we prove the statements for v and α_{22} . The statements for u and α_{11} follow similarly.

As mentioned after Lemma 4.3, under these hypotheses, the T -action on A satisfies equations (4.4)–(4.11).

By (4.10) and Lemma 4.12,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{22}q^{-1}(1-\gamma)t &= q^{-1}\phi(t) - \mu\phi(q^{-1}t) \\ &= q^{-1}\sum_{i=0}^d\phi_it^i - \mu\sum_{i=0}^d\phi_i(q^{-1}t)^i \\ &= q^{-1}\sum_{i=0}^d(1-\mu q^{1-i})\phi_it^i.\end{aligned}$$

So, either $\alpha_{22} = 0$, in which case $\mu = q^{i-1}$ for all $i \in \text{supp}(\phi)$, or else $t \mid \phi$ and

$$\alpha_{22} = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{(1 - \mu q^{1-i})}{1 - \gamma} \phi_i t^{i-1}.$$

This proves (2). Since the support of ϕ is a single equivalence class modulo $\text{ord}(\gamma)$, then it follows from the above computation that the support of α_{22} is also. Note $\text{ord}(\gamma) = m$ by Lemma 4.12 (2).

First, suppose that the support of α_{22} is 0 modulo m . Then $\delta_\gamma(\alpha_{22}) = 0$. By an induction argument, for each $k \geq 1$, $x^k(v) = \alpha_{22}^k v$ and so the first part of (4) follows.

Now suppose that the support of α_{22} is not 0 modulo m . Set $\beta_0 = 1$ and for $k > 0$, let

$$\beta_k = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{(1 - \gamma^{(k-1)(d-1)} \mu q^{1-i})}{1 - \gamma} \phi_i t^{i-1},$$

so that $\beta_1 = \alpha_{22}$. We claim that

$$x(\beta_k \cdots \beta_1 \beta_0 v) = \beta_{k+1} \cdots \beta_1 \beta_0 v. \quad (4.17)$$

Clearly this is true for $k = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}x(\beta_{k+1} \cdots \beta_1 v) &= g(\beta_{k+1})x(\beta_k \cdots \beta_1 v) + x(\beta_{k+1})\beta_k \cdots \beta_1 v \\ &= (\gamma^{d-1}\beta_{k+1})(\beta_k \cdots \beta_1 v) + ([d-1]_\gamma \phi \beta_{k+1}^{(1)})\beta_k \cdots \beta_1 v \\ &= (\gamma^{d-1}\beta_{k+1} + [d-1]_\gamma \phi^{(1)})\beta_k \cdots \beta_1 v \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\gamma^{d-1}(1 - \gamma^{k(d-1)} \mu q^{1-i}) + (1 - \gamma^{d-1})}{1 - \gamma} \phi_i t^{i-1} \right) \beta_k \cdots \beta_1 v \\ &= \beta_{k+2} \cdots \beta_1 v.\end{aligned}$$

Now (4.17) implies that $x^m(v) = \beta_m \cdots \beta_1 v$.

Hence, $x^m(v) = 0$ if and only if $\beta_k = 0$ for some $k = 1, \dots, m$. Moreover, $\beta_k = 0$ if and only if

$$\gamma^{(k-1)(d-1)} = \mu^{-1}q^{i-1}$$

for all $i \in \text{supp}(\phi)$. This implies that $\mu^{-1}q^{i-1} = \mu^{-1}q^{d-1}$ for all $i \in \text{supp}(\phi)$, which reduces to $q^d = q^i$. Hence we obtain (4.15), completing our proof of (1).

Finally, recall from Lemma 4.12 (4) that γ^{d-1} is a primitive m^{th} root of unity and so the $\gamma^{(k-1)(d-1)}$ range over the m^{th} roots of unity (other than 1) exactly once. It follows that $x^m(v) = 0$ if and only if μq^{d-1} is an m^{th} root of unity. Since $\text{ord}(\gamma) = m$, then this reduces to $x^m(v) = 0$ if and only if μq^{1-d} is an m^{th} root of unity which completes our proof of (4). \square

We are now ready to state our main theorem.

Theorem 4.18. *Fix a GWA $A = \mathbb{k}[t](u, v, \sigma, h)$ with defining polynomial h of degree $D > 0$, and defining automorphism $\sigma(t) = qt$ where $q \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ is a root of unity, $q \neq 1$. Let $T = T_n(\lambda, m, 0)$ be a generalized Taft algebra.*

Suppose that A is an inner-faithful \mathbb{Z} -graded T -module algebra where g acts as an automorphism $\eta_{\gamma, \mu}$ as in equation (2.3) with $\gamma \neq 1$, and $x(t) = \phi(t) \in \mathbb{k}[t]$. Then

- (1) *the automorphism $\eta_{\gamma, \mu}$ has order n ,*
- (2) *$\text{supp}(h)$ is a single equivalence class modulo m ,*
- (3) *$\phi(t)$ is a nonzero polynomial of degree d whose support is a single equivalence class modulo m ,*
- (4) *$\text{ord}(\gamma) = m$ and $\lambda = \gamma^{d-1}$,*
- (5) *μq^{1-d} is an m^{th} root of unity,*
- (6) *$x(u) = \frac{1 - \mu^{-1}\gamma^D q^{d-1}}{1 - \gamma} \phi^{(1)}u =: \alpha_{11}u$, and*
- (7) *$x(v) = \frac{1 - \mu q^{1-d}}{1 - \gamma} \phi^{(1)}v =: \alpha_{22}v$.*

Conversely, suppose that $\eta_{\gamma, \mu} \in \text{Aut}(A)$ with $\gamma \neq 1$, $\phi(t) \in \mathbb{k}[t]$, and the parameters h and q satisfy conditions (1)–(5). Let g act on A via $\eta_{\gamma, \mu}$. Define an action of x on A by setting $x(t) = \phi(t)$, $x(u)$ to be as in (6), $x(v)$ to be as in (7), and extending to all of A via the coproduct in T . Then this gives A the structure of an inner-faithful \mathbb{Z} -graded T -module algebra.

Proof. Suppose A is an inner-faithful \mathbb{Z} -graded T -module where g acts as an automorphism $\eta_{\gamma, \mu}$ with $\gamma \neq 1$. Since the T -action is \mathbb{Z} -graded, we must have $x(t) = \phi(t)$ for some $\phi(t) \in \mathbb{k}[t]$. Then conditions (1)–(7) follow from Lemmas 4.12 and 4.14.

Conversely, suppose that $\eta_{\gamma, \mu} \in \text{Aut}(A)$ with $\gamma \neq 1$, $\phi(t) \in \mathbb{k}[t]$, and the parameters h and q satisfy conditions (1)–(5). We will show that A is a \mathbb{Z} -graded T -module algebra where g acts via $\eta_{\gamma, \mu}$ and x acts via $x(t) = \phi(t)$, $x(u)$ is as in (6) and $x(v)$ is as in (7) (and the action of x is extended to all of A via the coproduct).

Since we are assuming that g acts via an automorphism of order n , it suffices to show that equations (4.4)–(4.11) hold for the T -action on A .

By Lemma 4.13 and conditions (6) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{11}) + \alpha_{22})h &= \left(\mu\sigma^{-1} \left(\frac{1 - \mu^{-1}\gamma^D q^{d-1}}{1 - \gamma} \phi^{(1)} \right) + \left(\frac{1 - \mu q^{1-d}}{1 - \gamma} \phi^{(1)} \right) \right) h \\ &= (1 - \gamma)^{-1} (\mu q^{1-d} (1 - \mu^{-1}\gamma^D q^{d-1}) + (1 - \mu q^{1-d})) \phi^{(1)} h \\ &= (1 - \gamma)^{-1} (1 - \gamma^D) \phi^{(1)} h \\ &= [D]_\gamma \phi^{(1)} h = x(h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (4.4) is satisfied. One checks similarly that (4.5) is satisfied.

By conditions (4) and (6), $\alpha_{11} \in \mathbb{k}[t]$ is a polynomial of degree $d - 1$ whose support is a single equivalence class modulo m . Hence, by condition (3), we have $g(\alpha_{11}) = \lambda\alpha_{11}$ and so equation (4.6) is satisfied. A similar argument for α_{22} shows that equation (4.7) is satisfied.

By conditions (4) and (3), we have $\phi(\gamma t) = \gamma^d \phi(t) = \lambda\gamma \phi(t)$ and so equation (4.8) is satisfied. The proof of Lemma 4.14 shows that if conditions (6) and (7) hold, then equations (4.9) and (4.10) are satisfied. Finally, by condition (3) and Lemma 3.3, we have $x^m(t) = 0$. By conditions (6) and (7) and Lemma 4.14, $x^m(u) = x^m(v) = 0$. Hence, equation (4.11) is satisfied.

By [7, Corollary 3.7], the T -action on A is inner-faithful if and only if g acts as an automorphism of order n and x does not act identically as 0 on A . Hence, by conditions (1) and (3), A is an inner-faithful T -module. \square

Remark 4.19. *If $m = n$ in Theorem 4.18, then $T_n(\lambda, m, 0)$ is the Taft algebra $T_n(\lambda)$. Then $\text{ord}(\mu) \mid n$ and so Theorem 4.18 (5) reduces to the condition that q^{1-d} is an n^{th} root of unity.*

Let A be a rank p quantum GWA. We denote by A_i the rank one quantum GWA subalgebra of A generated by x_i, y_i, t . In the following corollary we show that if a generalized Taft algebra T on each A_i , we can construct an action of T on A .

Corollary 4.20. *Let A be a quantum GWA of rank p and let $T = T_n(\lambda, m, 0)$ be a generalized Taft algebra. Suppose each A_i is an inner-faithful \mathbb{Z} -graded T -module with g acting on each A_i via an automorphism of the form $\eta_{\gamma_i, \mu_i} \in \text{Aut}(A_i)$ with $\gamma_i \neq 1$. Then T acts on A . That is, A is an inner-faithful \mathbb{Z}^p -graded T -module algebra.*

Proof. By the hypotheses, g acts as some η_{γ_i, μ_i} on A_i , with $\gamma_i, \mu_i \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.18. Thus,

$$(gx - \lambda xg)(t) = (gx - \lambda xg)(u_i) = (gx - \lambda xg)(v_i) = 0$$

and $x^m(t) = x^m(u_i) = x^m(v_i) = 0$ for each i . Moreover, $g(r) = x(r) = 0$ for each defining relation r of A_i . It remains only to check that g and x satisfy the commutation relations between the A_i .

Choose i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq p$. To simplify the exposition, we take $i = 1$ and $j = 2$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} g(u_1) &= \mu_1^{-1} \gamma_1^{D_1} u_1, & g(v_1) &= \mu_1 v_1, & x(u_1) &= \alpha_{11} u_1, & x(v_1) &= \alpha_{22} v_1, \\ g(u_2) &= \mu_2^{-1} \gamma_2^{D_2} u_2, & g(v_2) &= \mu_2 v_2, & x(u_2) &= \beta_{11} u_2, & x(v_2) &= \beta_{22} v_2, \end{aligned}$$

where α_{ii}, β_{ii} satisfy the appropriate conditions of Theorem 4.18. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x(v_1 v_2 - v_2 v_1) &= ((\mu_1 v_1)(\beta_{22} v_2) + (\alpha_{22} v_1) v_2) - ((\mu_2 v_2)(\alpha_{22} v_1) + (\beta_{22} v_2) v_1) \\ &= ((\mu_1 q^{1-d} - 1) \beta_{22} + (1 - \mu_2 q^{1-d}) \alpha_{22}) v_1 v_2 = 0, \\ x(u_1 u_2 - u_2 u_1) &= ((\mu_1^{-1} \gamma_1^{D_1} u_1)(\beta_{11} u_2) + (\alpha_{11} u_1) u_2) \\ &\quad - ((\mu_2^{-1} \gamma_2^{D_2} u_2)(\alpha_{11} u_1) + (\beta_{11} u_2) u_1) \\ &= ((\mu_1^{-1} \gamma_1^{D_1} q^{d-1} - 1) \beta_{11} + (1 - \mu_2^{-1} \gamma_2^{D_2} q^{d-1}) \alpha_{11}) u_1 u_2 = 0, \\ x(u_1 v_2 - v_2 u_1) &= ((\mu_1^{-1} \gamma_1^D u_1)(\beta_{22} v_2) + (\alpha_{11} u_1) v_2) - ((\mu_2 v_2)(\alpha_{11} u_1) + (\beta_{22} v_2) u_1) \\ &= ((\mu_1^{-1} q^{d-1} \gamma_1^D - 1) \beta_{22} + (1 - q^{1-d} \mu_2) \alpha_{11}) u_1 v_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that T acts on A preserving the \mathbb{Z}^p grading. □

We end this section by specializing to the case $q = -1$ and considering actions in which g acts as some $\Omega \circ \eta_{\gamma, \mu}$. That is

$$g(t) = -\gamma t, \quad g(v) = \mu v, \quad g(u) = \mu^{-1} \gamma^D v. \tag{4.21}$$

Theorem 4.22. *Fix a GWA $A = \mathbb{k}[t](u, v, \sigma, h)$ with defining polynomial h of degree $D > 0$, and defining automorphism $\sigma(t) = -t$. Let $T = T_n(\lambda, m, 0)$ be a generalized Taft algebra. Suppose that A is an inner-faithful \mathbb{Z} -graded T -module algebra where g acts as an automorphism $\Omega \circ \eta_{\gamma, \mu}$. Suppose that the action of x is given by (4.2). Then*

- (1) $\lambda = \gamma = -1$,
- (2) $\phi = 0$,
- (3) $\sigma(\alpha_{11}) = \pm \alpha_{11}$, $\alpha_{22} = -\alpha_{11}$, $\alpha_{12} = -\mu \sigma(\alpha_{11})$, $\alpha_{21} = \mu^{-1} \sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{11})$, and
- (4) $\sigma(h) = \gamma^D h$.

Conversely, defining an action of g as in (4.21) and an action of x by (4.2) subject to conditions (1)–(4) and extending naturally via the coproduct on T defines an action which makes A an inner-faithful \mathbb{Z} -graded T -module algebra.

Proof. Suppose that A is an inner-faithful \mathbb{Z} -graded T -module algebra where g acts as an automorphism $\Omega \circ \eta_{\gamma, \mu}$. Since A is a T -module algebra, the action of x must preserve the relations of A so

$$0 = x(vu - h) = (\mu\sigma(\alpha_{11}) + \alpha_{12})u^2 + \mu\sigma(\alpha_{21})\sigma(h) + \alpha_{22}h - x(h), \quad (4.23)$$

$$0 = x(uv - \sigma(h)) = (\mu^{-1}\gamma^D\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{22}) + \alpha_{21})v^2 + \mu^{-1}\gamma^D\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{12})h \\ + \alpha_{11}\sigma(h) - x(\sigma(h)). \quad (4.24)$$

By the \mathbb{Z} -grading on A , we see that $\alpha_{12} = -\mu\sigma(\alpha_{11})$ and $\alpha_{21} = -\mu^{-1}\gamma^D\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{22})$. Further, since the relations of T must act as 0 on A , we have

$$0 = (gx - \lambda xg)(u) = -\gamma^D(\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{22}) - \lambda\sigma(\alpha_{11}))u + \mu^{-1}\gamma^D(\alpha_{11} - \lambda\alpha_{22})v \quad (4.25)$$

$$0 = (gx - \lambda xg)(v) = -\gamma^D(\sigma(\alpha_{11}) - \lambda\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{22}))v + \mu(\alpha_{22} - \lambda\alpha_{11})u \quad (4.26)$$

$$0 = (gx - \lambda xg)(t) = g(\phi) - \lambda x(-\gamma t) = \phi(-\gamma t) + \lambda\gamma\phi(t). \quad (4.27)$$

By (4.25) and (4.26), $\alpha_{11} = \lambda\alpha_{22} = \lambda^2\alpha_{11}$, so either $\lambda = \pm 1$ or else $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j . By Hypothesis 4.1, $\lambda \neq 1$.

Further we have

$$0 = x(ut + tu) = (\mu^{-1}\gamma^D)v\phi + \phi u - (1 + \gamma)t(\alpha_{11}u + \alpha_{21}v) \quad (4.28)$$

$$0 = x(vt + tv) = \mu u\phi + \phi v - (1 + \gamma)t(\alpha_{12}u + \alpha_{22}v). \quad (4.29)$$

By (4.25), (4.28), and (4.29),

$$\phi = (1 + \gamma)t\alpha_{11} = -(1 + \gamma)t\alpha_{22} = -\phi.$$

Thus, $\phi = 0$ and $\gamma = -1$. Note this implies that $g(t) = t$.

By (4.24),

$$0 = \mu^{-1}\gamma^D\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{12})h + \alpha_{11}\sigma(h) = \alpha_{11}(\sigma(h) - \gamma^D h),$$

so $\sigma(h) = \gamma^D h$.

Now we need

$$0 = x^2(u) = (\alpha_{11}^2 + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{12})u + \alpha_{21}(\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22})v = (\alpha_{11}^2 + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{12})u$$

$$0 = x^2(v) = \alpha_{12}(\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22})u + (\alpha_{22}^2 + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{12})v = (\alpha_{22}^2 + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{12})v.$$

This gives

$$0 = \alpha_{11}^2 + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{12} = \alpha_{11}^2 + (-\mu^{-1}\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{22})(-\mu\sigma(\alpha_{11}))) = \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(\alpha_{11})^2 - \alpha_{11}^2),$$

so $\sigma(\alpha_{11}) = \pm\alpha_{11}$.

Conversely, let A be a quantum GWA with $q = -1$, let $T = T_2(-1, 2, 0)$ be the Sweedler Hopf algebra. Suppose g acts on A as an automorphism $\Omega \circ \eta_{-1, \mu}$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. Suppose $x(t) = 0$, $x(u) = \alpha_{11}u + \alpha_{21}v$, and $x(v) = \alpha_{12}u + \alpha_{22}v$ with the $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{k}[t]$ satisfying (3). Finally, suppose $\sigma(h) = (-1)^D h$ where $D = \deg_i(h)$.

We extend the action naturally via the coproduct on T . It is then easy to check that (4.23)–(4.29) are satisfied. Thus, this defines an action of T on A . \square

5. FIXED RINGS BY TAFT ACTIONS

For a Hopf algebra H and an H -module algebra A , the *fixed ring of A by H* is

$$A^H = \{a \in A \mid h.a = \epsilon(h)a \text{ for all } h \in H\}.$$

This generalizes the usual definition of the fixed ring of a group action. In this section we consider fixed rings of quantum GWAs by Taft algebra actions.

Let A be a quantum GWA and set $\eta = \eta_{\gamma, \mu}$. Suppose $\gcd(\text{ord}(\gamma), \text{ord}(\mu)) = 1$ and $\text{ord}(\gamma) \mid D$. Then $A^{(\eta)}$ is again a quantum GWA generated by $t^{\text{ord}(\gamma)}$, $x^{\text{ord}(\mu)}$, and $y^{\text{ord}(\mu)}$ [10, Corollary 3.5]. However, if $T = T_n(\lambda)$ is a Taft algebra and A an inner-faithful \mathbb{Z} -graded T -module algebra such that g acts as some $\eta_{\gamma, \mu}$ with $\gamma \neq 1$, then $\gcd(\text{ord}(\gamma), \text{ord}(\mu)) \neq 1$ in general (in fact this holds if and only if $\mu = 1$). Hence, the above method will not apply when computing $A^T = (A^{(g)})^{(x)}$.

Theorem 5.1. *Fix a GWA $A = \mathbb{k}[t](u, v, \sigma, h)$ with defining polynomial h of degree $D > 0$ and defining automorphism $\sigma(t) = qt$ where $q \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ is a root of unity, $q \neq 1$. Let $T = T_n(\lambda)$ be a Taft algebra. Suppose that A is an inner-faithful \mathbb{Z} -graded T -module algebra where g acts as $\eta_{\gamma, \mu} \in \text{Aut}(A)$ with $\gamma \neq 1$. Then*

- (1) *For all k , $(A^T)_k = (A_k)^T$. In particular, $(A^T)_0 = (A_0)^T = \mathbb{k}[t^n]$.*
- (2) *Suppose $k > 0$ and $x(v) \neq 0$. If $q^k \neq 1$, then $(A^T)_{-k} = 0$. If $q^k = 1$, then $f(t)v^k \in (A^T)_{-k}$ if and only if $\text{supp}(f(t)) \subset \{a \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gamma^a \mu^k = 1\}$.*
- (3) *Suppose $k > 0$ and $x(u) \neq 0$. If $q^k \neq 1$, then $(A^T)_k = 0$. If $q^k = 1$, then $g(t)u^k \in (A^T)_k$ if and only if $\text{supp}(g(t)) \subset \{b \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gamma^{b+kD} \mu^{-k} = 1\}$.*
- (4) *A^T is a (commutative) Kleinian singularity.*

Proof. (1) By the definition of $\eta_{\gamma, \mu}$ and Lemma 4.3, the actions of both g and x respect the grading on A and hence $(A^T)_k = (A_k)^T$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $(A_0)^{(g)} = \mathbb{k}[t^n]$ and $x(t^n) = 0$ by (3.2). Thus, $(A_0)^T = \mathbb{k}[t^n]$.

(2) By Theorem 4.18, $x(v) = \alpha_{22}v$ where $\alpha_{22} = \frac{1 - \mu q^{1-d}}{1 - \gamma} \phi^{(1)}$. Thus,

$$x(v^2) = \left(\frac{1 - \mu q^{1-d}}{1 - \gamma} \right) \left(\mu \phi^{(1)}(q^{-1}t) + \phi^{(1)}(t) \right) v^2.$$

But q is an n^{th} root of unity and $\text{supp}(\phi)$ (and hence $\text{supp}(\phi^{(1)})$) is a single equivalence class mod n . It follows that $\phi^{(1)}(q^{-1}t) = q^{1-d} \phi^{(1)}(t)$. Set $\omega = \mu q^{1-d}$. Then $x(v^2) = \alpha_{22}[2]_\omega v^2$. An induction argument then shows that $x(v^k) = \alpha_{22}[k]_\omega v^k$.

Suppose $t^a v^k \in A^T$. Then $t^a v^k = g(t^a v^k) = \gamma^a \mu^k t^a v^k$. Thus, $\gamma^a \mu^k = 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t^a v^k) &= g(t^a) x(v^k) + x(t^a) v^k \\ &= \gamma^a t^a (\alpha_{22} [k]_{\omega} v^k) + \phi[a]_{\gamma} t^{a-1} v^k \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma^a - \gamma^a \mu^k q^{k(1-d)}}{1 - \gamma} + [a]_{\gamma} \right) \phi^{(1)} t^a v^k \\ &= \left(\frac{1 - q^{k(1-d)}}{1 - \gamma} \right) \phi^{(1)} t^a v^k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $x(t^a v^k) = 0$ if and only if $q^{k(1-d)} = 1$. Since $\gcd(d-1, n) = 1$, then this is equivalent to $q^k = 1$.

By linearity, it follows that $f(t)v^k \in A^T$ then $\text{supp}(f(t))$ is a single equivalence class modulo n .

(3) This is similar to (2).

(4) First consider the case $\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{22} \neq 0$. Let k be the minimal positive integer such that $q^k = 1$. Choose $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ minimal such that $\gamma^a \mu^k = 1$ and $\gamma^{b+kD} \mu^{-k} = 1$. By (1)-(3), A^T is generated as an algebra by $T = t^n$, $V = t^a v^k$, and $U = t^b u^k$. Clearly U and V commute with T since $q^n = 1$. Moreover, induction shows that

$$UV = t^{a+b} \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma^i(h) =: H \quad \text{and} \quad VU = t^{a+b} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \sigma^{-i}(h).$$

Since

$$\sigma^{-i}(h(t)) = h(q^{-i}t) = q^{-Di} h(t) = q^{D(k-i)} h(t) = h(q^{k-i}t) = \sigma^{k-i}(h(t)), \quad (5.2)$$

then it follows that $UV = VU$. Hence, $A^T \cong \mathbb{k}[U, V, T]/(UV - H)$.

Now suppose $\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{22} = 0$. Let $t^a v^k \in A^T$, then

$$0 = x(t^a v^k) = g(t^a) x(v^k) + x(t^a) v^k = [a]_{\gamma} t^a v^k,$$

so $n \mid a$. Assuming this, we have $g(t^a v^k) = \gamma^a \mu^k t^a v^k = \mu^k t^a v^k$, so $\text{ord}(\mu) \mid k$. It follows that A^T is generated by $T = t^n$, $V = v^k$, and $U = u^k$, where $k = \text{ord}(\mu)$. As above, U and V commute with T since $q^n = 1$. The argument in (5.2) shows that $UV = VU$. Hence, $A^T \cong \mathbb{k}[U, V, T]/(UV - H)$ as before. \square

The main result of Theorem 5.1 is consistent with several other related results in the literature. The fixed ring of a quantum plane or quantum Weyl algebra by a Taft algebra acting linearly and inner-faithfully was shown to be a commutative polynomial ring [11, Lemma 2.1]. Similar results exist in the case of generalized Taft actions [8, Proposition 5.6].

However, in the setting of Theorem 4.22 (i.e., when g acts via some $\Omega \circ \eta_{\gamma, \mu}$), the fixed ring is not always commutative. In the notation of that theorem, take D to be even and $\sigma(\alpha_{11}) = \alpha_{11}$. By [10, Lemma 3.7], $A^{(g)}$ is generated by $T = t$ and $W = \mu u + v$. In fact, $A^{(g)} \cong \mathbb{k}_{-1}[W, T]$, the (-1) -skew polynomial ring in two variables. Now $x(T) = 0$ and one checks that $x(W) = 0$ so in fact $A^T \cong \mathbb{k}_{-1}[W, T]$. In light of this, we ask the following question.

Question 5.3. *Under what conditions is the fixed ring of a twisted Calabi–Yau algebra by a Taft action commutative?*

REFERENCES

1. Nicolás Andruskiewitsch and Hans-Jürgen Schneider, *On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 1, 375–417. MR 2630042
2. Yuri Bahturin and Susan Montgomery, *Group gradings and actions of pointed Hopf algebras*, to appear in Journal of Algebra and its Applications, arXiv:2006.14777 (2020).
3. Lucio Centrone and Felipe Yasumura, *Actions of Taft’s algebras on finite dimensional algebras*, J. Algebra **560** (2020), 725–744. MR 4111735
4. Kenneth Chan, Jason Gaddis, Robert Won, and James J Zhang, *Reflexive hull discriminants and applications*, preprint (2020).
5. Kenneth Chan, Ellen Kirkman, Chelsea Walton, and James J. Zhang, *Quantum binary polyhedral groups and their actions on quantum planes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **719** (2016), 211–252. MR 3552496
6. Hui-Xiang Chen, Ding-Guo Wang, and James J Zhang, *Examples of non-semisimple Hopf algebra actions on Artin-Schelter regular algebras*, arXiv:2008.01289 (2020).
7. Zachary Cline, *On actions of Drinfel’d doubles on finite dimensional algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **223** (2019), no. 8, 3635–3664. MR 3926231
8. Zachary Cline and Jason Gaddis, *Actions of quantum linear spaces on quantum algebras*, J. Algebra **556** (2020), 246–286. MR 4082335
9. Juan Cuadra, Pavel Etingof, and Chelsea Walton, *Finite dimensional Hopf actions on Weyl algebras*, Adv. Math. **302** (2016), 25–39. MR 3545923
10. Jason Gaddis and Phuong Ho, *Fixed rings of quantum generalized Weyl algebras*, Comm. Algebra **48** (2020), no. 9, 4051–4064.
11. Jason Gaddis, Robert Won, and Daniel Yee, *Discriminants of Taft Algebra Smash Products and Applications*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **22** (2019), no. 4, 785–799. MR 3985140
12. Ryan Kinser and Chelsea Walton, *Actions of some pointed Hopf algebras on path algebras of quivers*, Algebra Number Theory **10** (2016), no. 1, 117–154. MR 3474843
13. Ellen Kirkman, Robert Won, and James J Zhang, *Degree bounds for Hopf actions on Artin-Schelter regular algebras*, arXiv:2008.05047 (2020).
14. Liyu Liu, *Homological smoothness and deformations of generalized Weyl algebras*, Israel J. Math. **209** (2015), no. 2, 949–992. MR 3430265
15. S. Montgomery and H.-J. Schneider, *Skew derivations of finite-dimensional algebras and actions of the double of the Taft Hopf algebra*, Tsukuba J. Math. **25** (2001), no. 2, 337–358. MR 1869767
16. David E. Radford, *Finite-dimensional simple-pointed Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **211** (1999), no. 2, 686–710. MR 1666665
17. Mariano Suárez-Alvarez and Quimey Vivas, *Automorphisms and isomorphisms of quantum generalized Weyl algebras*, J. Algebra **424** (2015), 540–552. MR 3293233

(GADDIS) MIAMI UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 301 S. PATTERSON AVE., OXFORD, OHIO 45056

Email address: gaddisj@miamioh.edu

(WON) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 354350, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195, USA

Email address: robwon@math.washington.edu