

ORBITS OF SYLOW SUBGROUPS OF FINITE PERMUTATION GROUPS

JOHN BAMBERG, ALEXANDER BORS, ALICE DEVILLERS, MICHAEL GIUDICI,
CHERYL E. PRAEGER, AND GORDON F. ROYLE

ABSTRACT. We say that a finite group G acting on a set Ω has *Property $(*)_p$* for a prime p if P_ω is a Sylow p -subgroup of G_ω for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and Sylow p -subgroups P of G . Property $(*)_p$ arose in the recent work of Tornier (2018) on local Sylow p -subgroups of Burger-Mozes groups, and he determined the values of p for which the alternating group A_n and symmetric group S_n acting on n points has Property $(*)_p$. In this paper, we extend this result to finite 2-transitive groups and we give a structural characterisation result for the finite primitive groups that satisfy Property $(*)_p$ for an allowable prime p .

1. INTRODUCTION

Given $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ with $|\Omega| = n$, the *Burger-Mozes group* $U(G)$ [3] is the group of all automorphisms of the n -regular tree T_n such that, for all vertices v , the stabiliser of v induces the group G on the set of all neighbours of v . Tornier [17] introduced the following property when studying local Sylow p -subgroups of Burger-Mozes groups. We use $\text{Syl}_p(G)$ to denote the set of all Sylow p -subgroups of a group G and for a group G acting on a set Ω we use G_ω to denote the stabiliser in G of the point $\omega \in \Omega$.

Definition 1.1. Let G be a finite group acting on a set Ω with $|\Omega| = n$, let p be a prime and let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. We say that G has Property $(*)_p$ if $P_\omega \in \text{Syl}_p(G_\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Note that since G acts transitively by conjugation on the set of Sylow p -subgroups of G , Property $(*)_p$ does not depend on the choice of P . From the definition it is immediate that any *semiregular* permutation group, (i.e., one where $G_\omega = 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$) has Property $(*)_p$.

Tornier's motivation for studying Property $(*)_p$ was as follows: Let T be a finite subtree of T_n and for any $H \leq \text{Aut}(T_n)$ let $H_{(T)}$ denote the pointwise stabiliser of T in H . Then Tornier [17, Proposition 10] showed that for $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, the group $U(P)_{(T)}$ is a local Sylow p -subgroup of $U(G)_{(T)}$ if and only if G has Property $(*)_p$. Tornier [17, Proposition 11] showed that if G is the alternating group A_n or symmetric group S_n acting on n points then G has Property $(*)_p$, with p dividing $|G|$, if and only if $n_{pp} > n$, where n_p is the highest power of p that divides n . Moreover, if either P has the same orbits as G or $|\Omega| = p^n$, then $(*)_p$ holds [17, Propositions 12 and 13].

The purpose of this paper is to undertake a thorough investigation of permutation groups with Property $(*)_p$. In Section 2 we start by showing that a permutation group has Property $(*)_p$ if and only if its induced action on each orbit has Property $(*)_p$, thereby reducing the problem to transitive groups. In the remainder of Section 2 we derive some basic structural results leading up to the fact that a transitive permutation group of degree n has Property $(*)_p$ if and only if it has a Sylow p -subgroup all of whose orbits are the same size. In this case, the common size of the orbits is the highest power of p dividing n , that is, n_p . This also allows us to deduce that if $pn_p > n$ then G has Property $(*)_p$ (Lemma 2.4(5)).

A transitive group can either be imprimitive or primitive, and so we consider each case in turn. In Section 3 we consider imprimitive groups, where we prove the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let G act transitively on a set Ω with system of imprimitivity \mathcal{B} . Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$, let G_B^B be the permutation group induced on B by the setwise stabiliser G_B and let $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ be the permutation group induced by G on \mathcal{B} .*

- (1) If G_B^B and G^B have Property $(*)_p$ then G has Property $(*)_p$.
- (2) If G has Property $(*)_p$ then G_B^B has Property $(*)_p$.
- (3) If G has Property $(*)_p$ then G^B does not necessarily have Property $(*)_p$.

Then we turn to primitive groups, and in Section 4 and Section 5 we develop, and then prove, the following structural characterisation of primitive groups with Property $(*)_p$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a primitive permutation group on Ω and suppose that G has Property $(*)_p$ for some prime p dividing $|\Omega|$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) G is an almost simple group;
- (2) G is of Affine type and $|\Omega| = p^k$;
- (3) $\Omega = \Delta^k$ for some $k \geq 2$ and $G \leq H \text{ wr } K$ where H is an almost simple group acting primitively on Δ with Property $(*)_p$ and $K \leq S_k$. Moreover, either p is coprime to $|K|$, or p divides $|K|$ and $|\Delta|$ is a power of p .

Moreover, any primitive group in cases (2) and (3) has Property $(*)_p$.

Not all almost simple groups satisfy Property $(*)_p$ and indeed examples for which $pn_p < n$ and p divides $|G_\omega|$ seem rare. Indeed, apart from examples from one infinite family satisfying Property $(*)_2$, there are only 6 such examples of degree at most 4095. See Subsection 4.5. This suggests the following problem:

Problem 1.4. Determine all the almost simple primitive permutation groups G of degree n that have Property $(*)_p$ for some prime p dividing n and for which $pn_p < n$ and p divides $|G_\omega|$.

As a contribution towards Problem 1.4, we determine all the 2-transitive permutation groups with Property $(*)_p$. This generalises Tornier's result for A_n and S_n , while all 2-transitive groups with Property $(*)_p$ and $n_p = p$ have already been determined by the fourth author [15, Theorem].

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a 2-transitive permutation group of degree n on a set Ω with $\omega \in \Omega$, and let p a prime dividing n . Then G has Property $(*)_p$ if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (a) $pn_p > n$;
- (b) p does not divide $|G_\omega|$;
- (c) $G = A_5$ with $n = 6$ and $p = 2$;
- (d) $G = M_{11}$ with $n = 12$ and $p = 3$;
- (e) $G = \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(8)$ with $n = 28$ and $p = 2$;

We note that in case (e) the subgroup $\text{PSL}_2(8)$ of G also has Property $(*)_p$. However, $\text{PSL}_2(8)$ is not 2-transitive of degree 28, and so does not appear as a possibility.

We finish the paper in Section 6 with a survey of the permutation groups of degree at most 39 that have Property $(*)_2$ or $(*)_3$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Our first observation (Lemma 2.1) shows that, in order to study groups with Property $(*)_p$, it is sufficient to restrict our attention to transitive group actions. The proof of Lemma 2.1 follows immediately from Definition 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G act on a set Ω . Then G has Property $(*)_p$ if and only if G acts on each of its orbits with Property $(*)_p$.*

The following is a generalisation of a result of Wielandt [18, Theorem 3.4'] to arbitrary group actions.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a group acting transitively on a set Ω of size n and let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Then the minimum length of a P -orbit is n_p .*

Proof. Let $\omega \in \Omega$ and let $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(G_\omega)$. Then by Sylow's Theorems, there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P' of G such that $Q \leq P'$. Then $Q = P' \cap G_\omega = P'_\omega$ and so P' has an orbit of length $|P' : Q| = |G|_p / |G_\omega|_p = n_p |G_\omega|_p / |G_\omega|_p = n_p$. Since all Sylow p -subgroups are conjugate

in G it follows that P has an orbit of length n_p . Moreover, if P has a shorter orbit ω^P then $|P_\omega| = |P|/|\omega^P| > |P|/n_p = |Q|$, contradicting $|Q|$ being the order of a Sylow p -subgroup of a point stabiliser. \square

This leads to the following easy test for Property $(*)_p$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G act transitively on Ω , let p be a prime, and let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Then Property $(*)_p$ holds if and only if all orbits of P on Ω have the same length, and that length is n_p .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, P has an orbit of length n_p . Also if $|\omega^P| = n_p$ then $|P_\omega| = |P|/n_p = |G|_p/n_p = |G_\omega|_p$. Hence all orbits of P on Ω have the same length if and only if P_ω has order $|G_\omega|_p$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, that is, if and only if $P_\omega \in \text{Syl}_p(G_\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. \square

It is easy to see from Lemma 2.3 combined with Lemma 2.1 that, if G acts on Ω with Property $(*)_p$, then the permutation group $G^\Omega \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ induced by G also has Property $(*)_p$. We collect some other easy observations in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G act transitively on a set Ω of size n , let $\omega \in \Omega$ and let p be a prime.*

- (1) *If p does not divide $|G_\omega|$ then G has Property $(*)_p$.*
- (2) *If G acts faithfully on Ω with Property $(*)_p$ such that p divides $|G|$, then p divides n .*
- (3) *If $|\Omega| = p^k$ then G has Property $(*)_p$ but not Property $(*)_r$ for any prime $r \neq p$ which divides $|G^\Omega|$, where G^Ω is the permutation group on Ω induced by G .*
- (4) *If $pn_p > n$ then G has Property $(*)_p$.*
- (5) *If H is a transitive subgroup of G and G has Property $(*)_p$ then H has Property $(*)_p$.*

Proof.

- (1) If p does not divide $|G_\omega|$ for some ω then p does not divide $|G_\omega|$ for all ω . Since P_ω is a p -subgroup of G_ω it follows that $P_\omega = 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and is a Sylow p -subgroup of G_ω .
- (2) Suppose that G has Property $(*)_p$ with p coprime to n . Then, by Lemma 2.3, all orbits of a Sylow p -subgroup of G have length $n_p = 1$. Since G acts faithfully on Ω it follows that a Sylow p -subgroup of G is trivial and so p does not divide $|G|$.
- (3) By Lemma 2.2 a Sylow p -subgroup is transitive and so Property $(*)_p$ holds while the rest of the assertion follows from part (2).
- (4) By Lemma 2.2, P has an orbit of length n_p and all orbits of P have length at least n_p . Since orbits of P have length a power of p and $pn_p > n$ it follows that all orbits of P have length n_p and so by Lemma 2.3 we have that G has Property $(*)_p$.
- (5) Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$. Then by Lemma 2.2, all orbits of P have size at least n_p . However, since G has Property $(*)_p$ and P is contained in a Sylow p -subgroup of G , Lemma 2.3 implies that every orbit of P has size at most n_p . Thus all orbits of P have length n_p and the result follows from applying Lemma 2.3 again. \square

3. IMPRIMITIVE GROUPS

In this section, we investigate imprimitive permutation groups with Property $(*)_p$. Suppose that G is an imprimitive group with a non-trivial system of imprimitivity \mathcal{B} and that $B \in \mathcal{B}$. As in Theorem 1.2, we let G_B^B denote the permutation group induced on a block B by the setwise stabiliser G_B , and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ denote the permutation group induced by G on \mathcal{B} . Then $G \leq G_B^B$ wr $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ and the assumption that \mathcal{B} is non-trivial ensures that both G_B^B and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ have strictly lower degree than G . Next we consider how Property $(*)_p$ interacts with these three groups.

Lemma 3.1. *Let H act transitively on Ω and K act transitively on Δ . Then H wr K acting imprimitively on $\Omega \times \Delta$ has Property $(*)_p$ if and only if both H and K have Property $(*)_p$.*

Proof. Let $G = H$ wr $K = H^k \rtimes K$ where $k = |\Delta|$ and let $\Sigma = \Omega \times \Delta$. Then $R = P^k \rtimes Q$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , where P is a Sylow p -subgroup of H and Q is a Sylow p -subgroup of K . Let $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\delta \in \Delta$. Then $G_{(\omega,\delta)} = (H_\omega \times H^{k-1}) \rtimes K_\delta$ and $R_{(\omega,\delta)} = (P_\omega \times P^{k-1}) \rtimes Q_\delta$.

If H and K both have Property $(*)_p$, then $|P_\omega|$ does not depend on the choice of ω and $|Q_\delta|$ does not depend on the choice of δ . Thus $|R_{(\omega,\delta)}|$ is constant and so Lemma 2.3 implies that G has Property $(*)_p$.

Conversely, suppose that G has Property $(*)_p$. Then by Lemma 2.3, $|R_{(\omega,\delta)}|$ does not depend on (ω, δ) and $|(\omega, \delta)^R| = |\Sigma|_p = |\Omega|_p |\Delta|_p$. Now $|(\omega, \delta)^R| = |\omega^P| |\delta^Q|$. By Lemma 2.2 we have that $|\omega^P| \geq |\Omega|_p$ and $|\delta^Q| \geq |\Delta|_p$. Thus, as $|(\omega, \delta)^R| = |\Omega|_p |\Delta|_p$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\delta \in \Delta$ it follows that $|\omega^P| = |\Omega|_p$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $|\delta^Q| = |\Delta|_p$ for all $\delta \in \Delta$. Hence both H and K have Property $(*)_p$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be an imprimitive group with system of imprimitivity \mathcal{B} . Let B be a block of \mathcal{B} . Let $H = G_B^B$ be the permutation group induced on B by the setwise stabiliser G_B , and let $K = G^B$ be the group induced by G on B . If H and K have Property $(*)_p$, then G also has Property $(*)_p$.*

Proof. The wreath product $H \text{ wr } K$ has Property $(*)_p$ by Lemma 3.1. Since G is a subgroup of $H \text{ wr } K$, the statement follows immediately from Lemma 2.4(5). \square

We have the following partial converse to Corollary 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that G acts transitively on Ω with Property $(*)_p$, that it is imprimitive with system of imprimitivity \mathcal{B} , and that $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then G_B^B has Property $(*)_p$.*

Proof. Suppose that G has Property $(*)_p$ and let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G_B)$. By Sylow's Theorems there exists a Sylow p -subgroup Q of G that contains P . Thus $Q_B = P$. Suppose first that $Q = P$. Since $Q_\omega \in \text{Syl}_p(G_\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $(G_B)_\omega = G_\omega$, then $Q_\omega \in \text{Syl}_p((G_B)_\omega)$ for all $\omega \in B$. Hence G_B^B has Property $(*)_p$. Thus from now on suppose that $P < Q$. Let $\alpha \in B$. Then Q acts imprimitively on α^Q with block $\alpha^Q \cap B = \alpha^P$. Suppose that $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ and there exists $q \in Q$ such that $B^q = B_1$. Then $\alpha^q \in B_1$ and so $B_1 \cap \alpha^Q \neq \emptyset$. Hence $|\alpha^Q| = |\alpha^P| |B^Q|$. Since G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω , Lemma 2.3 implies that $|\alpha^Q|$ is independent of the choice of $\alpha \in B$ and hence so is $|\alpha^P|$. Thus by Lemma 2.3, G_B has Property $(*)_p$ on B . \square

We note that the full converse of Corollary 3.2 does not hold. For example, let $G = A_5$ acting on 20 points. Then G has Property $(*)_2$, by Lemma 2.4(1), and G acts imprimitively with a system of imprimitivity \mathcal{B} consisting of 10 blocks of size 2. However, G^B does not have Property $(*)_2$, by Lemma 2.3, since a Sylow 2-subgroup of G has orbit lengths 2, 2, 2, 4 in \mathcal{B} . We generalise this example below. Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 then imply Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.4. *Let p be a prime, and let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ be a transitive permutation group of degree $d = kp + f$ with $0 < f < p$. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and let $\text{fix}_X(P)$ be the set of fixed points of P . Assume that $|\text{fix}_X(P)| = f$ and P acts semiregularly on $X \setminus \text{fix}_X(P)$. Let Y be an orbit of G on the set of elements of X^p with pairwise distinct entries. Then the natural action G induces on Y is faithful and imprimitive with Property $(*)_p$. Take \mathcal{B} to be the partition of Y where two p -tuples lie in the same block if they correspond to the same unordered p -set. If the blocks in this partition have size a multiple of p , then G^B does not have Property $(*)_p$.*

Proof. Since P is a p -group, all its orbits on X have size a power of p , so P fixes at least f points. We assume P fixes exactly f points. By definition G acts transitively on Y , and it is clear that \mathcal{B} is a G -invariant partition of Y . Since G is transitive on X , every element of X occurs as the first element of a p -tuple in Y , so the subgroup of G fixing each p -tuple in Y fixes X pointwise. Thus G acts faithfully on Y . To show that G acts on Y with Property $(*)_p$, we need to show that all P -orbits on Y have the same size. Consider the p -tuple $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) \in Y$. Since $|\text{fix}_X(P)| = f < p$, there is an index i such that $x_i \notin \text{fix}_X(P)$. Since P acts semiregularly on $X \setminus \text{fix}_X(P)$, x_i^P has size $|P|$, and hence $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)^P$ also has size $|P|$. Therefore G on Y has Property $(*)_p$ and $|P| = |Y|_p$ by Lemma 2.3.

Let K be the kernel of the action of G on \mathcal{B} , so that the induced group $G^B \cong G/K$. Now G^B is the natural action of G on an orbit of unordered p -sets of X (the subsets that correspond

to p -tuples in Y). Let $Q = P^{\mathcal{B}} = PK/K$, so $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(G^{\mathcal{B}})$. Then $Q \cong P/(P \cap K)$, and in particular $|Q| \leq |P|$.

Now assume each block of \mathcal{B} has size ℓp for some integer $\ell \geq 1$. Note that $|\mathcal{B}| = |Y|/(\ell p)$, so $|\mathcal{B}|_p = |Y|_p/(p\ell) \leq |Y|_p/p = |P|/p$. If $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ had Property $(*)_p$, then by Lemma 2.3 all Q -orbits on \mathcal{B} would have size $|\mathcal{B}|_p \leq |P|/p$. We will display an orbit of size $|P|$, yielding a contradiction (and also implying $P \cap K = 1$). Since G is transitive on X and since $f > 0$, Y contains a p -tuple $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)$ with $x_p \in \text{fix}_X(P)$. Further, since $f < p$, not all the x_i are in $\text{fix}_X(P)$. Consider the corresponding p -set $\hat{x} := \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p\}$ where (without loss of generality) x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-a} are not fixed by P and x_{p-a+1}, \dots, x_p lie in $\text{fix}_X(P)$, so $0 < a \leq f < p$. Let $g \in P$ fix \hat{x} setwise. Then g fixes each of the points x_{p-a+1}, \dots, x_p of $\text{fix}_X(P)$, and also fixes $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-a}\}$ setwise. Since g is a p -element and $0 < p - a < p$ it follows that g fixes each x_i for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Thus g fixes the p -tuple $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p) \in Y$. We have already shown that each P -orbit in Y has size $|P|$, and so we conclude that $g = 1$. Thus the only element of P fixing \hat{x} setwise is the identity, so the P -orbit in \mathcal{B} containing \hat{x} has size $|P|$. This completes the proof. \square

If we take $G = A_5$ acting on a set X of size 5, then a Sylow 2-subgroup P is isomorphic to the Klein four-group, fixes one point x , and is semiregular on $X \setminus \{x\}$. Since G is 2-transitive on X , G has a single orbit Y on the set of ordered pairs with distinct entries from X . Thus the blocks in \mathcal{B} have size 2. All the conditions in Lemma 3.4 hold, and this yields the example with A_5 of degree 20 mentioned above.

Corollary 3.5. *Let p be a prime. There are infinitely many imprimitive groups G that have Property $(*)_p$ for which the induced action on some G -invariant block system does not have Property $(*)_p$.*

Proof. There are infinitely many p -powers q , and for each q we will construct an example. Take $G = \text{PGL}_2(q)$, in its natural action of degree $d = q + 1$ on $\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$. The subgroup P of translations (with unique fixed point ∞) is a Sylow p -subgroup of G and is semiregular on \mathbb{F}_q . Let Y be the orbit under G of the p -tuple $x = (0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1)$ (corresponding to the subfield \mathbb{F}_p of \mathbb{F}_q). By Lemma 3.4, G has a natural faithful imprimitive action on Y which has Property $(*)_p$. Take \mathcal{B} to be the partition of Y where two p -tuples lie in the same block if they correspond to the same unordered p -set. The size of a block of \mathcal{B} is $|G_{\{0,1,2,\dots,p-1\}}|/|G_{(0,1,2,\dots,p-1)}|$. Now $G_{\{0,1,2,\dots,p-1\}}$ contains the group of translations by elements of \mathbb{F}_p , and hence is transitive on $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$. Thus the size of a block is divisible by p , and hence $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ does not have Property $(*)_p$ by Lemma 3.4. \square

Note these are not the only examples. We display here a second family satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 3.4 (this family has only $p-2$ examples for the prime p). Take $n = p + f$ where p is a prime and $1 < f < p$. Let $G = A_n$ acting naturally on X of size n and let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Then $|\text{fix}_X(P)| = f$, and P is cyclic of order p and hence semiregular on $X \setminus \text{fix}_X(P)$. Now G is transitive on the set Y of p -tuples of distinct points; for any such p -tuple there are $p!$ tuples in Y corresponding to the same underlying subset β , and G_β is transitive on these $p!$ tuples since $n \geq p + 2$. Thus the action of G on Y is imprimitive with a system of imprimitivity \mathcal{B} consisting of $\binom{n}{p}$ blocks of size $p!$, so all the conditions of Lemma 3.4 hold and it follows that G^Y has Property $(*)_p$, but $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ does not have Property $(*)_p$.

We also note that the examples produced by Lemma 3.4 have $G \cong G^{\mathcal{B}}$. There are also examples of groups G having Property $(*)_p$ such that $G \not\cong G^{\mathcal{B}}$ and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ does not have Property $(*)_p$. For example, let $G = D_{12}$ acting regularly on itself. Then G has Property $(*)_2$. Since G has a subgroup of order 4, this action has a system of imprimitivity \mathcal{B} with blocks of size 4. Then $G^{\mathcal{B}} = S_3$, which does not have Property $(*)_2$ by Lemma 2.4(2).

4. PRIMITIVE GROUPS

By the O’Nan-Scott Theorem, see for example [13], the finite primitive permutation groups can be categorised into five types: (i) Affine type, (ii) Almost simple type, (iii) Diagonal type,

(iv) Product action type, and (v) Twisted wreath type. For more information about these types see [5] or [6]. We discuss the last three types in the next three sections.

4.1. Diagonal type groups. We show that primitive groups of Diagonal type do not have Property $(*)_p$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a primitive group of Diagonal type acting primitively on a set Ω and let p be a prime dividing $|\Omega|$. Then G does not have Property $(*)_p$.*

Proof. Suppose that G has Property $(*)_p$ and let $N = \text{soc}(G)$. Then $N = T^k$ for some finite nonabelian simple group T and integer $k \geq 2$. Let $D = \{(t, t, \dots, t) \mid t \in T\} \leq N$. Then we may assume that Ω is the set of right cosets of D in N . Letting $[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{k-1}]$ denote the coset $D(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{k-1}, 1)$ we have that Ω can be identified with $\{[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{k-1}] \mid t_1, \dots, t_{k-1} \in T\}$.

Let p be a prime dividing $|\Omega| = |T|^{k-1}$ and so p divides $|T|$. Let $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(T)$. Then $\widehat{Q} = Q^k$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of N and by Sylow's Theorems, is contained in a Sylow p -subgroup P of G . Now $\widehat{Q} = Q_1 \times Q_2$ where $Q_1 = Q^{k-1} \times 1$ and $Q_2 = 1^{k-1} \times Q$. For each $(g_1, \dots, g_{k-1}, 1) \in Q_1$ and $t \in T$ we have that $[t, 1, \dots, 1]^{(g_1, \dots, g_{k-1}, 1)} = [tg_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1}]$. Thus $[t, 1, \dots, 1]^{Q_1} = \{[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}] \mid x_1 \in tQ, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1} \in Q\}$, which has size $|Q_1| = n_p$. By Lemma 2.4(5), N has Property $(*)_p$ and so it follows from Lemma 2.3 that all orbits of \widehat{Q} have size n_p , and hence that $[t, 1, \dots, 1]^{\widehat{Q}} = [t, 1, \dots, 1]^{Q_1}$. In particular, $[t, 1, \dots, 1]^{Q_2} \subseteq [t, 1, \dots, 1]^{Q_1}$. Now $[t, 1, \dots, 1]^{(1, 1, \dots, 1, g)} = [g^{-1}t, g^{-1}, \dots, g^{-1}]$ and so $[t, 1, \dots, 1]^{Q_2} = \{[x_1, x_2, x_2, \dots, x_2] \mid x_1 = x_2t, x_2 \in Q\}$. Thus $Qt = tQ$ for all $t \in T$ and so $Q \trianglelefteq T$, a contradiction. \square

4.2. Product action. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and let $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta)$ for a finite set Δ . Then $\text{Sym}(\Delta)$ wr $S_k = \text{Sym}(\Delta)^k \rtimes S_k$ acts on $\Omega = \Delta^k$ such that for each $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k) \in \Omega$ we have

$$(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k)^{(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)} = (\delta_1^{h_1}, \delta_2^{h_2}, \dots, \delta_k^{h_k}) \quad \text{for all } h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k \in \text{Sym}(\Delta)$$

and

$$(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k)^\sigma = (\delta_{1\sigma^{-1}}, \delta_{2\sigma^{-1}}, \dots, \delta_{k\sigma^{-1}}) \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in S_k.$$

Note that any $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta)$ wr S_k acts on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and the permutation group $G^{\{1, 2, \dots, k\}}$ induced is the projection of G onto S_k . We denote the stabiliser in G of 1 in this action by G_1 . Note that we have a homomorphism from G_1 onto a subgroup of $\text{Sym}(\Delta)$ given by

$$(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)\sigma \mapsto h_1$$

and so the group G_1 acts naturally on Δ .

We begin with a result about the full wreath product.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that p is a prime, k is a positive integer such that $k \geq 2$, and $K \leq S_k$ such that $|K|$ is coprime to p . Suppose also that H acts transitively on a set Δ , and let $G = H$ wr K in product action on $\Omega = \Delta^k$. Then G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω if and only if H has Property $(*)_p$ on Δ .*

Proof. Since p does not divide $|K|$, the group $R = P^k$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , where P is a Sylow p -subgroup of H . Let $\omega = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) \in \Omega$. Then $R_\omega = P_{\delta_1} \times \dots \times P_{\delta_k}$. Suppose first that H has Property $(*)_p$. Then Lemma 2.3 implies that $|R_{\delta_i}|$ does not depend on the choice of δ_i and so $|R_\omega|$ does not depend on ω . Lemma 2.3 then implies that G has Property $(*)_p$. Suppose conversely that G has Property $(*)_p$. By Lemma 2.3, $|R : R_\omega| = |\Delta|_p^k$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.2 we have that $|P : P_{\delta_i}| \geq |\Delta|_p$ for all $\delta_i \in \Delta$. Thus $|P : P_{\delta_i}| = |\Delta|_p$ for all $\delta_i \in \Delta$ and so again by Lemma 2.3, H has Property $(*)_p$. \square

Throughout the remainder of this section we make the following assumption on the group G .

Hypothesis 4.3. Let p be a prime, k be a positive integer such that $k \geq 2$ and suppose that $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta)$ wr S_k acts transitively on $\Omega = \Delta^k$ in product action. Let $K = G^{\{1, 2, \dots, k\}}$ and $H = G_1^\Delta$. Suppose that H has a transitive subgroup N such that $N^k \leq G \leq H$ wr K .

We first give a necessary condition for a group G in product action to have Property $(*)_p$.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that Hypothesis 4.3 holds. If G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω then H has Property $(*)_p$ on Δ .*

Proof. Suppose that G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω and that H does not have Property $(*)_p$ on Δ . Then by Lemma 2.4(5), N^k has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω and by Lemma 4.2, N has Property $(*)_p$ on Δ (take $K = 1$). Let Q be a Sylow p -subgroup of N and let $R = Q^k$. Then by Lemma 2.3, all orbits of Q on Δ have size $|\Delta|_p$ and all orbits of R on Ω have size $|\Omega|_p$. Note that $R \leq G_1$.

Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of H . Since $G_1^\Delta = H$, there exists a Sylow p -subgroup \hat{P} of G_1 that contains R and such that $\hat{P}^\Delta = P$. Since G has Property $(*)_p$ and G_1 is transitive on Ω , Lemma 2.4(5) implies that G_1 has Property $(*)_p$ and so by Lemma 2.3 all orbits of \hat{P} have size $|\Omega|_p$.

As H does not have Property $(*)_p$ on Δ , there exists $\delta \in \Delta$ such that $|\delta^P| > |\Delta|_p$. Let $\omega = (\delta, \dots, \delta)$. Then $\omega^R = \delta^Q \times \delta^Q \times \dots \times \delta^Q$ has size $|\Omega|_p$. However, $\delta^Q \subset \delta^P$ and so $\omega^R \subset \omega^{\hat{P}}$ as $\hat{P} \leq G_1$ and induce P on the first factor. This contradicts the fact that G_1 has Property $(*)_p$ and so H must have Property $(*)_p$. \square

For sufficient conditions we need to split our analysis according to whether or not p divides $|K|$.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that Hypothesis 4.3 holds and that $|K|$ is coprime to p . Then G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω if and only if H has Property $(*)_p$ on Δ .*

Proof. Suppose first that H has Property $(*)_p$. Then by Lemma 4.2, H wr K has Property $(*)_p$ and so by Lemma 2.4(5) so does G .

Conversely, suppose that G has Property $(*)_p$. We assume that H does not have Property $(*)_p$ on Δ and seek a contradiction. By Lemma 2.4(5), N^k has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω and by Lemma 4.2, N has Property $(*)_p$ on Δ (take $K = 1$). Let Q be a Sylow p -subgroup of N and let $R = Q^k$. Then by Lemma 2.3, all orbits of Q on Δ have size $|\Delta|_p$ and all orbits of R on Ω have size $|\Omega|_p$. Note that $R \leq G_1$.

Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of H . Since $G_1^\Delta = H$, there exists a Sylow p -subgroup \hat{P} of G_1 that contains R and such that $\hat{P}^\Delta = P$. Since G has Property $(*)_p$ and G_1 is transitive on Ω , Lemma 2.4(5) implies that G_1 has Property $(*)_p$ and so by Lemma 2.3 all orbits of \hat{P} have size $|\Omega|_p$.

As H does not have Property $(*)_p$ on Δ , there exists $\delta \in \Delta$ such that $|\delta^P| > |\Delta|_p$. Let $\omega = (\delta, \dots, \delta)$. Then $\omega^R = \delta^Q \times \delta^Q \times \dots \times \delta^Q$ has size $|\Omega|_p$. However, $\delta^Q \subset \delta^P$ and so $\omega^R \subset \omega^{\hat{P}}$ as $\hat{P} \leq G_1$ and induce P on the first factor. This contradicts the fact that G_1 has Property $(*)_p$ and so H must have Property $(*)_p$. \square

Finally, we deal with the case where p divides the order of K .

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that Hypothesis 4.3 holds and that p divides $|K|$. Then G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω if and only if $|\Delta|$ is a power of p .*

We recall from Lemma 2.4(3) that, if $|\Delta|$ is a power of p , then H has Property $(*)_p$ on Δ .

Proof. If $|\Delta|$ is a power of p then so is $|\Omega|$. Hence as G acts transitively on Ω it follows from Lemma 2.4(3) that G has Property $(*)_p$.

Suppose conversely that G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω . Then by Lemma 2.4(5) the transitive subgroup N^k has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω and hence by Lemma 4.2 the group N has Property $(*)_p$ on Δ . Let Q be a Sylow p -subgroup of N . Then by Lemma 2.3 all orbits of Q on Δ have size $|\Delta|_p$ and all orbits of $Q^k \in \text{Syl}(N^k)$ on Ω have size $|\Omega|_p = |\Delta|_p^k$. Let R be a Sylow p -subgroup of G containing Q^k . We may assume that $R \leq P$ wr S where P is a Sylow p -subgroup of H containing Q and S is a Sylow p -subgroup of K . As G has Property $(*)_p$ all orbits of R have size $|\Omega|_p = |\Delta|_p^k$. Choose $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta$ such that $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2$, and consider $\omega' := (\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_2) \in \Omega$. Then $|(\omega')^R| = |\Delta|_p^k = |(\omega')^{Q^k}|$, and hence Q^k acts transitively on $(\omega')^R$. Hence $R = Q^k R_{\omega'}$ and so $R_{\omega'}^{\{1,2,\dots,k\}} = R^{\{1,2,\dots,k\}} \leq S$. Since p divides $|K|$ we have that $R^{\{1,2,\dots,k\}} \neq 1$ and so we may

assume that there exists $g = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)\sigma \in R_{\omega'}$ such that $1^\sigma = 2$ and each $h_i \in P$. By the definition of the action, the image $(\omega')^g$ has second entry $\delta_1^{h_1}$, and since g fixes ω' it follows that $\delta_1^{h_1} = \delta_2$. Since δ_1, δ_2 were arbitrary distinct points of Δ , we conclude that P acts transitively on Δ and so $|\Delta|$ is a power of p . \square

Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 can be combined to get the following result.

Theorem 4.7. *Let G be a group satisfying Hypothesis 4.3.*

- (a) *If p does not divide $|K|$, then G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω if and only if H has Property $(*)_p$ on Δ .*
- (b) *If p divides $|K|$, then G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω if and only if $|\Delta|$ is a power of p .*

4.3. Twisted wreath type groups. We show that primitive groups of Twisted wreath type do not have Property $(*)_p$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let G be a primitive group of Twisted wreath type acting on Ω and let p be a prime dividing $|\Omega|$. Then G does not have Property $(*)_p$.*

Proof. Suppose that G is primitive of twisted wreath type with socle $N = T^k$. Then N acts regularly on Ω . Thus we can identify Ω with N and we can identify G as the twisted wreath product $T \text{ twr}_\varphi P$ for some homomorphism $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T)$ where Q is a subgroup of P of index k . We follow the treatment in [16, Section 6] and let $\omega \in \Omega$ correspond to 1_N . Then $G_\omega = P$. By [16, Lemma 6.4] we have that $T^k \leq G \leq H \text{ wr } S_k$ where $H = G_1^\Delta \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta)$ where $\Delta = T$. Now $N \trianglelefteq G_1$ and so H contains T as a regular normal subgroup. Now $(G_1)_\omega = Q$ and $((G_1)_\omega)^\Delta = Q\varphi$. Thus $H \leq T \rtimes \text{Aut}(T)$. By [16, Corollary 6.3] we have that $\text{Inn}(T) \leq \varphi(Q)$ and so $H = G_1^\Delta$ is a diagonal group with socle T^2 acting on Δ . By Lemma 4.1, $T \times T$ does not have Property $(*)_p$ on Δ and so by Lemma 2.4(5), H does not have Property $(*)_p$ on Δ . Hence Lemma 4.4 implies that G does not have Property $(*)_p$ on Ω . \square

The following example shows that we cannot replace the primitive assumption in Lemma 4.8 with quasiprimitive.

Example 4.9. Let T be a finite nonabelian simple group with order divisible by a prime p and let $\Delta = T$. Then T acts regularly on Δ with Property $(*)_p$. Let k be a positive integer coprime to p and let $G = T \text{ wr } C_k$ acting in product action on $\Omega = T^k$. Then by Lemma 4.2, G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω . Note that $N = T^k$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G and acts regularly on Ω . Thus G is quasiprimitive of Twisted wreath type [16, p156].

4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let G be a primitive permutation group on Ω and suppose that G has Property $(*)_p$ for some prime p dividing $|\Omega|$. By the O’Nan-Scott Theorem G has exactly one of the following five types: (i) Affine type, (ii) Almost simple type, (iii) Diagonal type, (iv) Product action type, and (v) Twisted wreath type.

By Lemma 4.1, G is not of Diagonal type and by Lemma 4.8, G is not of Twisted wreath type. Moreover, if G is of Almost simple type then case (1) of the theorem holds.

If G is of Affine type then $G \leq \text{AGL}(d, p)$ and $|\Omega| = p^d$. Thus by Lemma 2.4(3), G has Property $(*)_p$ but does not have Property $(*)_r$ for any $r \neq p$ and r dividing $|G|$. Hence case (2) of the theorem holds.

It remains to consider the case where G is of Product action type. Then following the description in Case III(b) of [13] we have that $\Omega = \Delta^k$ and there is a primitive group $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta)$ of Almost simple or Diagonal type and with socle N , such that $N^k \leq G \leq H \text{ wr } K$ for some $K \leq S_k$. Since N is transitive on Δ it follows that G satisfies Hypothesis 4.3. Hence by Lemma 4.4, H has Property $(*)_p$ on Δ . Thus by Lemma 4.1 H is of Almost simple type. Moreover, by Lemma 4.6, if p divides $|K|$ then $|\Delta|$ is a power of p . Thus case (3) of the theorem holds. Moreover, by Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 any group of satisfying these conditions has Property $(*)_p$.

Degree	G	p	Rank
6	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(5)$	2	2
12	M_{11}	3	2
36	$\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$	3	4
36	$\mathrm{PFU}_3(3)$	3	3
112	$\mathrm{PSU}_4(3)$	2	3
135	$\mathrm{PSp}_6(2)$	3	4

TABLE 1. Some primitive groups G of degree less than 4095 and with property $(*)_p$.

4.5. Almost-simple primitive groups of low degree. Note that $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ acts on the non-singular conic of the Desarguesian projective plane of order q . This is the natural 2-transitive action of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$, but for $q \neq 7, 9$, it also has a (unique) primitive action of degree $\binom{q}{2}$, which is the action on the external lines to the nonsingular conic. In this action, for q even, the point stabiliser is isomorphic to the dihedral group $D_{2(q+1)}$ of order $2(q+1)$. (Note: there are $q+1$ tangents to the conic, $\binom{q+1}{2}$ secants, and $q^2 + q + 1$ lines in total.)

Lemma 4.10. *The action of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$, q even, on $n = \binom{q}{2}$ points has Property $(*)_2$. Moreover, $2n_2 < n$ for $q \geq 4$ and the stabiliser of a point has even order.*

Proof. The stabiliser in $G := \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ of an external line is isomorphic to the dihedral group $D_{2(q+1)}$. Let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . Then P has order q . Indeed, P fixes a unique point X of the conic, and the stabiliser of X in G is of the form $P \rtimes C_{q-1}$. Let ℓ be an external line. We claim that $|G_X \cap G_\ell| = 2$, and hence $|P \cap G_\ell| = 2$. Let t_X be the tangent line at X . Since q is even, t_X passes through the nucleus N of the conic. So $G_X \cap G_\ell$ fixes X , N , and the point $Y := t_X \cap \ell$. Moreover, $G_X \cap G_\ell$ fixes every point of t_X (because it fixes three points of t_X and preserves cross-ratio). Hence a nontrivial element of $G_X \cap G_\ell$ is the unique elation with axis t_X and centre Y . Therefore, the intersection of P with the stabiliser of any external line has order 2, and so every P -orbit on external lines has size $q/2 = n_2$. Since $n = n_2(q-1)$ and the stabiliser of a point is a dihedral group, the result follows. \square

We remark that $\mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_2(q)$, where $q = 2^f$, acting on $\binom{q}{2}$ points, satisfies $(*)_2$ when f is odd as a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_2(q)$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$.

By a straight-forward computation in GAP [8], we have enumerated the primitive groups of Almost Simple type with Property $(*)_p$ of degree n at most 4095 such that $pn_p < n$ and p divides the order of the stabiliser of a point. All such groups apart from when the socle is $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$, q even, acting in degree $\binom{q}{2}$, are listed in Table 1. It is curious that the largest degree example known that does not have a socle isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ has degree 135.

Question 4.11. Are there any Almost simple type primitive permutation groups of degree $n \geq 135$ with Property $(*)_p$ such that $pn_p < n$ and p divides the order of the stabiliser of a point, other than those of degree $\binom{q}{2}$ and with socle $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$?

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

We note the following classic result which, together with Tornier's result [17] for the alternating and symmetric groups, deals completely with the case where $n_p = p$.

Theorem 5.1. [15, Theorem] *Let p be a prime and n a positive integer with $n_p = p$. Suppose that G is a 2-transitive permutation group on n points that does not contain A_n and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If G has Property $(*)_p$ then one of the following holds:*

- (a) p does not divide $|G_\omega|$;
- (b) $|P| = 4$ and $G = A_5$ with $n = 6$;
- (c) $|P| = 9$ and $G = M_{11}$ with $n = 12$;

We need the following three preliminary results. The first concerns primitive prime divisors and can be found in [10, Lemma 2.5] (for $p = 2$) and [14, Lemma 4.1(iii)].

Lemma 5.2. *Let q be a prime power and let p be a prime. Suppose that p divides $q^m - 1$, and let $e = o(q \bmod p)$, the least positive integer such that p divides $q^e - 1$. Then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ and $m = eb$, for some b . Moreover,*

- (a) *if p is odd then $(q^m - 1)_p = (q^e - 1)_p \cdot b_p$; and*
- (b) *if $p = 2$ then $e = 1$ and $(q^m - 1)_2 = (q^2 - 1)_2 \cdot (m/2)_2$ if m is even, and $(q - 1)_2$ if m is odd.*

The second is a technical result about semilinear groups.

Lemma 5.3. *Let p and r be distinct primes, f a positive integer divisible by p and $d \geq 2$ a positive integer coprime to p such that p divides $r^{df} - 1$. Let $\Sigma = \text{GF}(r^{df}) \setminus \{0\}$ and let $X = \langle \widehat{\xi}, \phi \rangle$ be a Sylow p -subgroup of $\Gamma\text{L}_1(r^{df})$ where $\widehat{\xi} : x \mapsto \xi x$ for some $\xi \in \text{GF}(r^{df})$ of order $(r^{df} - 1)_p$ and $\phi : x \mapsto x^{r^{df/fp}}$. Let $Y = \langle \widehat{\xi} \rangle$ and $\sigma = \phi^{fp/p}$. Then*

- (a) *p divides $r^{df/p} - 1$.*
- (b) *The group X has exactly p subgroups of order p that intersect trivially with Y , and each is conjugate to $\langle \sigma \rangle$.*
- (c) *$|C_Y(\sigma)| = (r^{df} - 1)_p/p$.*
- (d) *σ fixes at most $r^{df/p} - 1$ one-dimensional $\text{GF}(r^f)$ -subspaces of $\text{GF}(r^{df})$.*
- (e) *Suppose that $P \leq X$ with $Y < P$ and P acts on a set Ω with all orbits having size $(r^{df} - 1)_p$ and Y acting semiregularly. Then $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle \leq P$ and σ has $|\Omega|/p$ fixed points.*

Proof. Let $e = o(r \bmod p)$. Then by Lemma 5.2, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ and e divides df . Hence e divides df/p and so p divides $r^{df/p} - 1$.

The subgroups of X of order p that intersect trivially with Y have the form $\langle \widehat{\xi}^j \sigma \rangle$ for some integer j . Since $\sigma^{-1} \widehat{\xi} \sigma = \widehat{\xi}^{r^{df/p}}$ we have that

$$\langle \widehat{\xi}^j \sigma \rangle^p = \widehat{\xi}^{j(1+x+x^2+\dots+x^{p-1})} = \widehat{\xi}^{j(x^p-1)/(x-1)}$$

where $x = r^{df(p-1)/p}$. By Fermat's Little Theorem, $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and so p divides $x^p - 1$ precisely when p divides $x - 1$. Moreover, in this case Lemma 5.2 implies that $((x^p - 1)/(x - 1))_p = p$. Thus if $\widehat{\xi}^j \sigma$ has order p then $(r^{df} - 1)_p/p$ divides j and so there are at most p subgroups of order p of the form $\langle \widehat{\xi}^j \sigma \rangle$ in X . Moreover, by Lemma 5.2 and part (a), $(r^{df} - 1)_p = (r^{df/p} - 1)_p p$ and so $\xi^p \in \text{GF}(r^{df/p})$, which is centralised by σ . Since $|\xi|$ does not divide $r^{df/p} - 1$ it follows that $\xi \notin \text{GF}(r^{df/p})$. Thus $C_X(\sigma) = \langle \widehat{\xi}^p, \phi \rangle$ and so $\langle \sigma \rangle$ has p conjugates in X . Hence all subgroups of X of order p and that intersect trivially with Y are conjugate in X and parts (b) and (c) hold.

If σ fixes the $\text{GF}(r^f)$ -subspace spanned by η then $\eta^{r^{df/p}} = \lambda \eta$ for some $\lambda \in \text{GF}(r^f)$. Hence $\eta^{(r^{df/p}-1)(r^f-1)} = 1$ and so there are at most $(r^{df/p} - 1)(r^f - 1)$ such elements η . As each such one-dimensional subspace contains $r^f - 1$ nonzero elements it follows that there are at most $r^{df/p} - 1$ one-dimensional $\text{GF}(r^f)$ -subspaces fixed by σ . Thus (d) holds.

Suppose now that $P \leq X$ with $Y < P$ and P acts on a set Ω with all orbits having size $(r^{df} - 1)_p$ and Y acting semiregularly. Since $|Y| = (r^{df} - 1)_p$, this means that Y is transitive (regular) on each of the P -orbits in Ω . Then for all $\omega \in \Omega$ we have that $|P_\omega| = |P|/(r^{df} - 1)_p = |P : Y| \geq p$. Hence P_ω contains a subgroup of order p that intersects trivially with Y and so by part (b) we may assume that $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle \leq P$ and for each orbit O of Y there exists $\omega \in O$ such that $\langle \sigma \rangle \leq P_\omega$. Moreover, the elements of O can be identified with the elements of Y such that ω corresponds to 1 and σ acts on O as an automorphism of Y . Hence by (b), σ fixes $(r^{df} - 1)_p/p$ elements of O and so fixes $|\Omega|/p$ elements of Ω as in part (e). \square

The third preliminary result is the following number theoretic lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose p and r are prime numbers, with p odd, and let f be an integer at least 3, such that p divides f and $r^f + 1$. Then*

$$\frac{r^f + 1}{p} \geq r^{2f/p} - 1,$$

with equality if and only if $(r, p, f) = (2, 3, 3)$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on f . If $f = 3$, then $p = 3$ and, for all p [rimes $r \geq 2$,

$$\frac{r^f + 1}{p} = \frac{r^3 + 1}{3} \geq r^2 - 1 = r^{2f/p} - 1.$$

Suppose now that the result holds for some $f \geq 3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r^{f+1} + 1}{p} &= r \cdot \frac{r^f + 1}{p} + \frac{1}{p}(1 - r) \\ &\geq r \cdot (r^{2f/p} - 1) + \frac{1}{p}(1 - r) \\ &= r^{2(f+1)/p} - 1 + r^{2f/p}(r - r^{2/p}) + (1 - r)(1 + 1/p). \end{aligned}$$

We will show that

$$r^{2f/p}(r - r^{2/p}) + (1 - r)(1 + 1/p) \geq 0.$$

By elementary calculus, the function $x^2(x - x^{2/3}) - \frac{4}{3}(x - 1)$ is positive except for values of x in the interval $[1, \varepsilon]$ where $\varepsilon \approx 1.823$. So, since $r \geq 2$, we have $r^2(r - r^{2/3}) \geq \frac{4}{3}(r - 1)$ and hence

$$r^{2f/p}(r - r^{2/p}) \geq \frac{4}{3}(r - 1) \geq (r - 1)(1 + 1/p).$$

Therefore, $r^{2f/p}(r - r^{2/p}) + (1 - r)(1 + 1/p) \geq 0$ and the inequality holds for $f + 1$, and hence, by induction, for all $f \geq 3$.

Finally, we consider when equality is attained: $\frac{r^f + 1}{p} = r^{2f/p} - 1$. Let $q_0 := r^{f/p}$. Then $q_0^2 - 1$ divides $q_0^p + 1$ and hence $q_0 = 2$. So $r = 2$ and $f = p$. Therefore, $2^p + 1 = 3p$. By Fermat's little theorem $2^p \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ and so $0 \equiv 3p \pmod{p} \equiv 2^p + 1 \pmod{p} \equiv 2 + 1 \pmod{p}$. Hence $p = 3$. \square

We now prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let p be a prime such that G is a 2-transitive group with Property $(*)_p$ of degree n . By Burnside's Theorem [6, Theorem 4.1B], G is either affine or almost simple. If G is affine then $n = r^k$ for some prime r , and by Lemma 2.4(3) G has Property $(*)_p$ if and only if $p = r$. Thus $pn_p > n$ and so (a) holds. Hence it remains to consider the case where G is almost simple. The almost simple 2-transitive permutation groups are listed in [5, Table 7.4]. If $\text{soc}(G) = A_n$ then by Tornier [17] we have that $pn_p > n$ and again case (a) holds. Also, if $n_p = p$ then one of (b), (c) or (d) holds by Theorem 5.1, so we also assume that $n_p \geq p^2$. We now work through the remaining possibilities for $\text{soc}(G)$.

(1) $\text{soc}(G) = \text{PSL}_d(q)$ WITH $n = \frac{q^d - 1}{q - 1}$ AND $d \geq 2$:

Suppose first that $\text{soc}(G) = \text{PSL}_d(q)$ and let $T = \text{soc}(G)$. By Lemma 2.4(5), T also has Property $(*)_p$, so we will assume to start with that $G = T$. If $pn_p > n$ then case (a) holds (for both G and T), so we may assume that $n = n_p c$ with $c > p$ (since $c \neq p$ by the definition of n_p). (We will try not to use case (b) since it may hold for T but not for G .) In particular $n_p \geq p$ and p divides $(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$. Let $e = o(q \pmod{p})$, the least positive integer such that p divides $q^e - 1$. Let $(q^e - 1)_p = p^a$. By Lemma 5.2, $d = eb$, for some b , and if p is odd then $(q^d - 1)_p = p^a \cdot b_p$, while if $p = 2$ then $(q^d - 1)_p$ is given by Lemma 5.2(b). Note that, if $e > 1$ then p is odd, for if $p = 2$ divides $q^d - 1$ then q is odd and so $e = 1$.

- CASE BOTH $b, e \geq 2$. As we just noted, p is odd, and since in this case p does not divide $q - 1$, we will argue in $\text{GL}_d(q)$ acting on the set of 1-spaces of the underlying vector space $V = \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Then P preserves a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^b U_i$ with $\dim(U_i) = e$ for each i , and P contains $\prod_{i=1}^b P_i$ with $P_i = C_{p^a}$ a Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{GL}(U_i)$. Now P_i acts semiregularly on the nonzero vectors of U_i . Choose a nonzero $u_i \in U_i$ for each i , and set $u = \sum_{i=1}^b u_i$. Then the P -orbit on

1-spaces containing $\langle u \rangle$ has size at least p^{ab} . On the other hand $n_p = (q^d - 1)_p$ (since $e \geq 2$), and this equals $p^a \cdot b_p$ which is strictly less than p^{ab} since p is odd, a contradiction to Lemma 2.3.

- CASE $b \geq 2$ BUT $e = 1$. Here $d = b$ and the pre-image \tilde{P} of P in $\mathrm{SL}_d(q)$ has order $p^{a(d-1)}$ and acts on the set of 1-spaces of V with kernel of order $\gcd(d, (q-1)_p) = \gcd(d, p^a)$. There is a \tilde{P} -invariant decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d U_i$ with this time each $\dim(U_i) = 1$. Choosing u_i and u as in the previous case we obtain an orbit of \tilde{P} on nonzero vectors of size at least $p^{a(d-1)}$, and hence a P -orbit on 1-spaces of length at least $p^{a(d-1)}/\gcd(d, p^a) \geq p^{a(d-2)}$. This time $n_p = (q^d - 1)_p / (q - 1)_p = (q^d - 1)_p \cdot p^{-a}$ (since $e = 1$). Since $n_p > 1$ it follows from Lemma 5.2 that $n_p = d_p$ if p is odd, while if $p = 2$ then d is even and $n_2 = (q + 1)_2 \cdot (d/2)_2$. Suppose first that either p is odd, or $p = 2$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then $n_p = d_p$. If $d \geq 4$ or $d = 3$ and $p \geq 3$ then $p^{a(d-2)} > d \geq d_p = n_p$, which is a contradiction to Lemma 2.3. If $d = 3$ and $p = 2$ then $p^{a(d-1)}/\gcd(d, p^a) = 2^{2a} > d_2 = 1$, another contradiction. Similarly, if $d = 2$ and p is odd then $p^{a(d-1)}/\gcd(d, p^a) = p^a > d_p = 1$ while if $d = 2$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ then $p^{a(d-1)}/\gcd(d, p^a) = 2^{a-1} \geq 4 > d_2 = 2$ and we again get a contradiction. When $d = p = 2$ and $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ we have that $n_2 = 2$, which contradicts the fact that $n_p \geq p^2$.

It remains to consider the case where d is even, $p = 2$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Hence $n_2 = (q + 1)_2 \cdot (d/2)_2 = \frac{1}{2}x \cdot (d/2)_2$, where $x = (q^2 - 1)_2$. For this case we observe that \tilde{P} contains a subgroup Q of index 2 in $\prod_{i=1}^{d/2} Q_i$ with each $Q_i \cong C_x$, and Q leaves invariant a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d/2} W_i$ with each W_i of dimension 2. The usual argument produces a Q -orbit on 1-spaces of size at least $\frac{1}{4}x^{d/2}$. This is strictly greater than n_2 if $d > 2$, contradicting Lemma 2.3, so we are left with $d = 2$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. In this last case $q = r^f$ for some odd prime r , and f must also be odd. Hence $|\mathrm{Out}(T)| = 2f$. If $|G/T|$ is odd, then $|G|_2 = n_2$ so $|G_\omega|$ is odd and case (b) holds. So we may assume that G contains $\mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$ and hence that $|P| \geq (q^2 - 1)_2 = 2n_2$. By Lemma 2.4(5), $H = \mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$ has Property $(*)_2$ also. As we are assuming that $n > n_2$ we have that $n = q + 1$ is not a power of 2. Now a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$ is a dihedral subgroup of $D_{2(q+1)}$, and has at least one orbit of each length n_2 and $2n_2$, contradicting Lemma 2.3.

- CASE $b = 1$ AND SO $e = d$. The remaining case is $b = 1, e = d$, so p is a primitive prime divisor of $q^d - 1$. Since p does not divide $q - 1$ we again argue in $\Gamma\mathrm{L}_d(q)$. Let $q = r^f$ with r prime and $f \geq 1$. Then the p -part of $|\mathrm{Out}(T)|$ is f_p . If p does not divide $|G/T|$ then $|G_\omega|$ is not divisible by p and we have case (b). So we may assume that $f_p > 1$ and that p divides $|G/T|$. Moreover, we may assume that $(r, f, d) \neq (2, 3, 2)$ as in this case $n = 9$ and hence $p = 3$ and $n = p^2$, so we are in case (a). Furthermore, since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ we have that p is coprime to d . Thus p, r, f and d satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 5.3 and we consider V as $\mathrm{GF}(q^d)$. Moreover, since G has Property $(*)_p$ we may assume that $P \leq \Gamma\mathrm{L}_1(r^{df})$ and satisfies the hypotheses on the group P in Lemma 5.3(e) with $Y = P \cap \mathrm{GL}_d(q)$. In particular, σ , as defined in the statement of Lemma 5.3, lies in P and has n/p fixed points on Ω . However, by Lemma 5.3(d), σ has at most $r^{df/p} - 1$ fixed points on Ω . If $d = 2$ then $n = r^f + 1$ and so this contradicts Lemma 5.4. Thus $d \geq 3$. However, since $p > d$ it follows that $r^{df/p} - 1 < q$ and since p divides $r^{df/p} - 1$ (by Lemma 5.3(a)) we have that $p < q$. Hence $n = q^{d-1} + q^{d-2} + \dots + q + 1 > pq$, and so $n/p > q > r^{df/p} - 1$. Thus we again get a contradiction to the fact that σ has n/p fixed points.

(2) $\mathrm{soc}(G) = \mathrm{PSU}_3(q)$ WITH $n = q^3 + 1$:

Suppose that $\text{soc}(G) = \text{PSU}_3(q)$ and let $T = \text{soc}(G) = \text{PSU}_3(q)$. Then $G \leq \text{PGU}_3(q)$ with $q = r^f$. Let V be a 3-dimensional vector space over $\text{GF}(q^2)$ equipped with a G -invariant nondegenerate hermitian form B . Moreover, Ω is the set of totally isotropic 1-subspaces of V with respect to the form B . Now $|\text{PSU}_3(q)| = \frac{1}{(3, q+1)} q^3 (q^2 - 1)(q^3 + 1)$ and $|\text{PGU}_3(q)| = q^3 (q^2 - 1)(q^3 + 1) 2f$. Suppose that we are not in case (b) and so p divides $|G_\omega|$. Then p divides $(q^2 - 1) 2f$. Note that if $p = 2$ then q is odd and p divides $q^2 - 1$. Thus we have two cases to consider: p divides $q^2 - 1$ or p divides f .

- CASE p DIVIDES $q^2 - 1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(q^3 + 1, q^2 - 1) &= \gcd((q + 1)(q^2 - q + 1), q^2 - 1) \\ &= \gcd((q + 1)(q^2 - 1) + (q + 1)(-q + 2), q^2 - 1) \\ &= (q + 1) \gcd(-q + 2, q - 1) \\ &= q + 1 \end{aligned}$$

and so p divides $q + 1$. Thus by [4, Lemma A.4] we have

$$n_p = \begin{cases} (q + 1)_p & \text{if } p \neq 3 \\ 3(q + 1)_3 & \text{if } p = 3. \end{cases}$$

Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be an orthonormal basis for V and consider the subgroup X of $\text{PGU}_3(q)$ whose preimage in $\text{GU}_3(q)$ is

$$\tilde{X} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \mid \lambda_i^{q+1} = 1 \right\}.$$

Then $|X| = (q + 1)^2$. Consider the set Σ of 1-dimensional subspaces of V of the form $\langle a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + v_3 \rangle$ for $a_1, a_2 \in \text{GF}(q^2)$. If

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{X}$$

then $\langle a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + v_3 \rangle^g = \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_3^{-1} a_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3^{-1} a_2 v_2 + v_3 \rangle$. Thus g fixes an element of Σ precisely if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, in which case g corresponds to the identity element of X . Hence X acts semiregularly on Σ . Now $B(a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + v_3, a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + v_3) = 1 + a_1^{q+1} + a_2^{q+1}$. Since $-1 - a_2^{q+1} \in \text{GF}(q)$ for all $a_2 \in \text{GF}(q^2)$, it follows that for any a_2 there exists $a_1 \in \text{GF}(q^2)$ such that $a_1^{q+1} = -1 - a_2^{q+1}$ and so Σ contains totally isotropic 1-subspaces. In particular, X has a regular orbit on Ω .

Let Q be the unique Sylow p -subgroup of $X \cap T$ and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G containing Q . Note that the preimage of $X \cap T$ in \tilde{X} consists of all elements of \tilde{X} such that $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = 1$. Suppose first that $p \neq 3$. Then $|Q| = (q + 1)_p^2$. Since X has a regular orbit on Ω it follows that P has an orbit of size at least $((q + 1)_p)^2 > n_p = (q + 1)_p$, contradicting Property $(*)_p$. Thus $p = 3$. Then $|Q| = (q + 1)_3^2/3$. Let $\sigma \in \text{PSU}_3(q)$ be the element of order 3 whose preimage in $\text{SU}_3(q)$ cyclically permutes v_1, v_2 and v_3 . Then σ normalises X and Q . In particular, $\hat{Q} = \langle Q, \sigma \rangle$ is a 3-subgroup of T of order $(q + 1)_3^2$, and we may assume that $\hat{Q} \leq P$. As discussed in the previous paragraph, there exists $a_1 \in \text{GF}(q^2)$ such that $a_1^{q+1} = -2$ and so $\omega = \langle a_1 v_1 + v_2 + v_3 \rangle$ is a totally singular subspace in Σ . Now if $\langle b_1 v_1 + b_2 v_2 + v_3 \rangle \in \omega^Q$ then $b_2^{q+1} = 1$. However, $\omega^\sigma = \langle v_1 + a_1 v_2 + v_3 \rangle$ and $a_1^{q+1} = -2 \neq 1$. Hence $\omega^Q \subset \omega^{\hat{Q}}$ and so $|\omega^{\hat{Q}}| = (q + 1)_3^2$. If $(q + 1)_3 > 3$ then it follows that P has an orbit of length greater than $n_3 = 3(q + 1)_3$, contradicting G having Property $(*)_3$. Thus $(q + 1)_3 = 3$. Since 3 divides $|G_\omega|$ it follows that either $\text{PGU}_3(q) \leq G$ or 3 divides f . In the first case, taking Q to be the unique Sylow 3-subgroup of X and $\hat{Q} = \langle Q, \sigma \rangle$, we again see that $|\omega^{\hat{Q}}| = |\hat{Q}| = (q + 1)_3^2 3 > n_3$, contradicting Property $(*)_3$. Finally, if 3 divides f and $q + 1 = r^f + 1$, note that 3

does not divide $r^f - 1$ and so does not divide $r - 1$. Hence 3 divides $r + 1$ and so by [4, Lemma A.4], $(q + 1)_3 = (r + 1)_3 f_3 > 3$, contradicting our assumption that $(q + 1)_3 = 3$.

- CASE p DIVIDES f BUT NOT $q^2 - 1$. Recall that p divides $n = q^3 + 1$. Also, in this case $p \neq 3$ as $(3, q) = 1$ and so 3 divides either $q - 1$ or $q + 1$. In particular, a Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{PGU}_3(q)$ is contained in $\text{PSU}_3(q)$. Moreover, as p divides $|G_\omega|$ we have that p divides $|G : \text{PSU}_3(q)|$. Let $V = \text{GF}(q^6)$, treated as a vector space over $\text{GF}(q^2)$. Then the map $B(v, w) = \text{Tr}_{q^6 \rightarrow q^2}(vw^{q^3})$ is a nondegenerate hermitian form on V and the totally singular vectors are all the elements $v \in \text{GF}(q^6)$ such that $\text{Tr}_{q^6 \rightarrow q^2}(v^{q^3+1}) = 0$. Letting $d = 6$ we have that p, r, f and d satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 5.3. Moreover, as G satisfies Property $(*)_p$ we have that P is a subgroup of $\Gamma\text{L}_1(r^{6f})$ and satisfies the hypotheses of part Lemma 5.3(e) with Y being the Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{PSU}_3(q)$. In particular, σ , as defined in the statement of Lemma 5.3, lies in P and has at most n/p fixed points on Ω . However, $p < q$ and so $n/p = (q^3 + 1)/p > q$ while by Lemma 5.3(d) we have that σ fixes at most $r^{3f/p} - 1 < q$ elements of Ω , a contradiction.
- (3) $\text{soc}(G) = \text{Ree}(q)$ WITH $n = q^3 + 1$: Suppose that $\text{soc}(G) = \text{Ree}(q)$ and let $T = \text{Ree}(q)$. In this case we have $q = 3^f$ for some odd integer $f \geq 3$. Now $|T| = q^3(q^3 + 1)(q - 1)$ and $|\text{Out}(T)| = f$. Now $\gcd(q^3 + 1, q - 1) = 2$. Thus if (b) does not hold then there are two cases to consider: $p = 2$, or p divides f and $|G : T|$ is divisible by p . Note that $p \neq 3$. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G .

- CASE $p = 2$: Note that since $q = 3^f$ for f odd, [4, Lemma A.4] implies that $(q^3 + 1)_2 = (q + 1)_2 = 4$ and $(q - 1)_2 = 2$. Thus $P \leq T$ and $|P| = 8$. Now P is elementary abelian, all involutions in G are conjugate and the centraliser of an involution in T is $C_2 \times \text{PSL}_2(q)$, see [12]. Thus T and hence G contains $q^2(q^2 - q + 1)$ involutions. Moreover, given a point $\omega \in \Omega$, we have that $T_\omega = [q^3] : C_{q-1}$ where $[q^3]$ denotes a subgroup of order q^3 . Since q^2 does not divide the centraliser of an involution, it follows that T_ω and hence G_ω contains q^2 involutions. Hence double counting shows that each involution in G fixes $q + 1$ points. Let O be an orbit of P of length 4. Then there is a unique involution in P that fixes O pointwise, and the remaining involutions are derangements on O . Since P contains 7 involutions it follows that P has at most $7(q + 1)/4$ orbits of size 4. However, for $q > 3$ we see that $n/4 > 7(q + 1)/4$ and so by Lemma 2.3, G does not have Property $(*)_2$, a contradiction. It is interesting to note that in the excluded case when $q = 3$ we have that $G = \text{Ree}(3) = \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(8)$ in its action on 28 points. Here $n/4 = 7$ and P indeed has 7 orbits of length 4 and G has Property $(*)_2$, as in part (e).
- CASE p DIVIDES f : In this case we have $p \geq 5$ and p is a primitive prime divisor of $q^2 - 1$ or $q^6 - 1$. In both cases, $|\text{Aut}(T)|_p = n_p f_p$ and a Sylow p -subgroup of T acts semiregularly on Ω . Now $\text{Ree}(q) \leq \text{GL}_6(q)$ and Ω can be identified with a set of 1-dimensional subspaces of $V = \text{GF}(q)^6$ of size $q^3 + 1$. Suppose first that p is a primitive prime divisor of $q^6 - 1$. Then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and so $p \geq 7$. Letting $d = 6$ and $r = 3$ we have that p, r, f and d satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 5.3. As G satisfies Property $(*)_p$ we have that P is a subgroup of $\Gamma\text{L}_1(r^{6f})$ that satisfies the hypotheses of part Lemma 5.3(e) with Y being the Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Ree}(q)$. In particular, σ , as defined in the statement of Lemma 5.3 lies in P and has at most n/p fixed points on Ω . However, $p < q$ and so $n/p = (q^3 + 1)/p > q$ while by Lemma 5.3(d) we have that σ fixes at most $r^{3f/p} - 1 < q$ elements of Ω , a contradiction. Thus p is a primitive prime divisor of $q^2 - 1$. By [12], T has a maximal subgroup $H = C_2 \times \text{PSL}_2(q)$ which contains a Sylow p -subgroup of T . Moreover, as the centraliser of a field automorphism of order p is $\text{Ree}(3^{f/p})$, field automorphisms of $\text{Ree}(q)$ of order a power of p normalising H induce field automorphisms on $\text{PSL}_2(q)$. Hence letting $d = 2$ and $r = 3$, we can

view P as a subgroup of $\Gamma L_1(r^{2f})$ that satisfies the hypotheses of part Lemma 5.3(e) with Y being a Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Ree}(q)$ contained in H . Hence σ , as defined in the statement of Lemma 5.3, lies in P and has at most n/p fixed points on Ω . Note that $n/p = (q^3 + 1)/p > q$ as $p < q$. By [9, Proposition 4.91(d)], subgroups of $\text{Aut}(T)$ of order p and which intersect T trivially are all conjugate. Hence the number of fixed points of σ on Ω is the same as the number of fixed points as the element σ in the previous paragraph. However, this number is at most $r^{3f/p} - 1 < q$, yielding a final contradiction.

- (4) $\text{soc}(G) = \text{Sz}(q)$ WITH $n = q^2 + 1$: Suppose that $\text{soc}(G) = \text{Sz}(q)$ and let $T = \text{Sz}(q)$. In this case we have $q = 2^f$ for some odd integer $f \geq 3$. If p divides n then p divides $q^4 - 1$. Hence p is a primitive prime divisor of $q - 1$, $q^2 - 1$ or $q^4 - 1$. Since q is even, it is not possible for p to divide $q^2 + 1$ and either $q - 1$ or $q^2 - 1$. Hence p is a primitive prime divisor of $q^4 - 1$. Now $|G_\omega|$ divides $q^2(q - 1)f$. Thus either (b) holds, or p divides f and $|G : T|$ is divisible by p . We consider the latter case. Letting $d = 4$ and $r = 2$ then d, r, f and p satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 5.3. Now $\text{Sz}(q) \leq \text{Sp}_4(q)$ and Ω can be identified with a set of 1-dimensional subspaces of $V = \text{GF}(q)^4$ of size $q^2 + 1$. As G satisfies Property $(*)_p$ we have that P is a subgroup of $\Gamma L_1(r^{4f})$ that satisfies the hypotheses of part Lemma 5.3(e) with Y being the Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Sz}(q)$. In particular, σ , as defined in the statement of Lemma 5.3, lies in P and has at most n/p fixed points on Ω . However, $p < q$ and so $n/p = (q^2 + 1)/p > q$ while by Lemma 5.3(d) we have that σ fixes at most $r^{2f/p} - 1 < q$ elements of Ω , a contradiction.
- (5) $\text{soc}(G) = \text{Sp}_{2d}(2)$ WITH $n = 2^{2d-1} \pm 2^{d-1}$ AND $d \geq 3$: Suppose that $\text{soc}(G) = \text{Sp}_{2d}(2)$. Then $G = \text{soc}(G)$. In this case, for $\epsilon \in \{\pm\}$ there are sets Ω^ϵ with $|\Omega^\epsilon| = 2^{2d-1} + \epsilon 2^{d-1}$ such that G acts 2-transitively on each Ω^ϵ .

Let V be a $2d$ -dimensional vector space over $\text{GF}(2)$ and let B be a nondegenerate alternating form on V preserved by G . We say that a quadratic form $Q : V \rightarrow \text{GF}(2)$ polarises to B if $B(v, w) = Q(v) + Q(w) - Q(v + w)$ for all $v, w \in V$. Then G acts on the set of all such quadratic forms via $Q^g(v) = Q(v^{g^{-1}})$ for all $v \in V, g \in G$. Moreover, G has two orbits, namely Ω^+ and Ω^- where Ω^+ is the set of all hyperbolic quadratic forms polarising to B and Ω^- is the set of all elliptic quadratic forms polarising to B . In particular, if $Q \in \Omega^+$ then $G_Q \cong \text{GO}_{2d}^+(2)$ while if $Q \in \Omega^-$ then $G_Q \cong \text{GO}_{2d}^-(2)$.

Let $g \in G$ and let $Q \in \Omega^+ \cup \Omega^-$ such that $Q^g = Q$. Then following [7] and noting that since $x^2 = x$ for all $x \in \text{GF}(2)$, the elements of $\Omega^+ \cup \Omega^-$ are precisely the quadratic forms Q_a , for $a \in V$ given by

$$Q_a(v) = Q(v) + B(a, v).$$

Moreover, $(Q_a)^g(v) = Q_a(v^{g^{-1}}) = Q(v^{g^{-1}}) + B(a, v^{g^{-1}}) = Q(v) + B(a^g, v)$ as g preserves Q and B . Now $\text{GO}_{2d}^\epsilon(2)$ has two orbits on the nonzero vectors of V and these have size $2^{d-1}(2^d - \epsilon)$ (the nonsingular vectors) and $(2^d - \epsilon)(2^{d-1} + \epsilon)$ (the singular vectors). Suppose that $\text{GO}_{2d}^\epsilon(2)$ fixes Q . Then the action of $\text{GO}_{2d}^\epsilon(2)$ on V is equivalent to the action of $\text{GO}_{2d}^\epsilon(2)$ on $\Omega^+ \cup \Omega^-$. Thus the quadratic forms Q_a lying in the same G -orbit as Q are those of the form Q_a such that $Q(a) = 0$. In particular, if $H \leq \text{GO}_{2d}^\epsilon(2) \leq G$, then the orbits of H on the set of singular vectors of Q correspond to the orbits of H on $\Omega^\epsilon \setminus \{Q\}$. Let $e_1, f_1 \in V$ such that $Q(e_1) = Q(f_1) = 0$ and $B(e_1, f_1) = 1$. By [11, 4.1.20], $\text{GO}^\epsilon(2)_{e_1} = \widehat{P} \rtimes \text{GO}_{2d-2}^\epsilon(2)$ where $|\widehat{P}| = 2^{2d-2}$ and acts trivially on $\langle e_1, f_1 \rangle^\perp$. Thus \widehat{P}_{f_1} acts trivially on V and so $|f_1^{\widehat{P}}| = |\widehat{P}| = 2^{2d-2}$. Since $Q(f_1) = 0$ we have that $Q_{f_1} \in \Omega^\epsilon$ and so \widehat{P} has an orbit of length $2^{2d-2} > n_2 = 2^d$ on Ω^ϵ . (Recall that $d \geq 3$.) This contradicts Lemma 2.2 and so $p \neq 2$.

To deal with the p odd case we need to set up some more notation. Let $V = V_1 \perp V_2 \perp \dots \perp V_k$ be an orthogonal decomposition of V such that $B_i = B|_{V_i \times V_i}$ is a nondegenerate alternating form. Let $Q_i : V_i \rightarrow \text{GF}(2)$ be a nondegenerate quadratic form on V_i that polarises to B_i such that $\text{sign}(Q_i) = \epsilon_i$. For each $v \in V$ write $v = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_k$

where each $v_i \in V_i$ and define $(Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_k)(v) = Q_1(v_1) + Q_2(v_2) + \cdots + Q_k(v_k)$. Then $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_k$ is a nondegenerate quadratic form that polarises to B and $Q \in \Omega^\epsilon$ for $\epsilon = \prod_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i$. Moreover, for $g_i \in \text{Sp}(V_i)$ we have that $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k) \in G$ and $Q^g = Q_1^{g_1} \oplus Q_2^{g_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_k^{g_k} \in \Omega^\epsilon$. See [1] for more details.

Suppose first that G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω^+ with p odd. Since $n = 2^{d-1}(2^d + 1)$ we have that p divides $2^d + 1$ and hence divides $2^{2d} - 1$. Let $e = o(2 \pmod{p})$ and let $(q^e - 1)_p = p^a$. Then by Lemma 5.2, $2d = eb$ and $(q^{2d} - 1)_p = p^a b_p$. If $e = 2d$ then $n_p = |G_p|$ and so case (b) holds. Thus suppose that $e < 2d$. If e divides d then p divides $2^d - 1$, which is coprime to n . Thus e is even. Let $V = V_1 \perp V_2 \perp \cdots \perp V_b$ where each V_i is a nondegenerate subspace of dimension e and let B_i be the restriction of B to $V_i \times V_i$. Then $\text{Sp}(V_i)$ acts transitively on the set Ω_i^+ of $2^{e/2-1}(2^{e/2} + 1)$ hyperbolic quadratic forms on V_i that polarise to B_i . Let $P_i \in \text{Syl}_p(\text{Sp}(V_i))$. By Lemma 2.2 there exists a hyperbolic quadratic form $Q_i \in \Omega_i^+$ such that $|Q_i^{P_i}| = p^a$. Then $Q := Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_b \in \Omega^+$. Moreover, letting $\hat{P} = \prod_{i=1}^b P_i$ we see that $|Q^{\hat{P}}| = p^{ab} > n_p = p^a b_p$. Thus G has a Sylow p -subgroup with an orbit of length greater than n_p , contradicting Lemma 2.3. Thus G does not have Property $(*)_p$ on Ω^+ .

Next suppose that G has Property $(*)_p$ on Ω^- with p odd. Then $n = 2^{d-1}(2^d - 1)$ and so p divides $2^d - 1$. Let $e = o(2 \pmod{p})$ and let $(q^e - 1)_p = p^a$. Then by Lemma 5.2, $d = eb$ and $(q^d - 1)_p = p^a b_p$. Recall that $\dim(V) = 2d = 2eb$ in this case. Suppose first that $e = d$ and d is odd. Then $n_p = |G|_p$ and so case (b) holds. Next suppose that e is even. Let $V = V_1 \perp V_2 \perp \cdots \perp V_{2b}$ where each V_i is a nondegenerate subspace of dimension e and let B_i be the restriction of B to $V_i \times V_i$. For $i = 1, \dots, 2b - 1$, $\text{Sp}(V_i)$ acts transitively on the set Ω_i^+ of $2^{e/2-1}(2^{e/2} + 1)$ hyperbolic quadratic forms on V_i that polarise to B_i . Note that $(2^{e/2} + 1)_p = p^a$. Let $P_i \in \text{Syl}_p(\text{Sp}(V_i))$. Then by Lemma 2.2 there exists a hyperbolic quadratic form $Q_i \in \Omega_i^+$ such that $|Q_i^{P_i}| = p^a$. Finally, note that $\text{Sp}(V_{2b})$ acts transitively on the set Ω_{2b}^- of $2^{e/2-1}(2^{e/2} - 1)$ hyperbolic quadratic forms on V_{2b} that polarise to B_{2b} . Let $P_{2b} \in \text{Syl}_p(\text{Sp}(V_{2b}))$ and choose $Q_{2b} \in \Omega_{2b}^-$ such that $|Q_{2b}^{P_{2b}}| \geq p$. Then $Q := Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_{2b} \in \Omega^-$. Moreover, letting $\hat{P} = \prod_{i=1}^{2b} P_i$ we see that $|Q^{\hat{P}}| \geq p^{a(2b-1)} p > n_p = p^a b_p$. Thus G has a Sylow p -subgroup with an orbit of length greater than n_p , contradicting Lemma 2.3. Next suppose that $e < d$ and e is odd. Note that $b \geq 2$. In this case, let $V = V_1 \perp V_2 \perp \cdots \perp V_b$ where each V_i is a nondegenerate subspace of dimension $2e$ and let B_i be the restriction of B to $V_i \times V_i$. For $i = 1, \dots, b - 1$, $\text{Sp}(V_i)$ acts transitively on the set Ω_i^+ of $2^{e-1}(2^e - 1)$ elliptic quadratic forms on V_i that polarise to B_i . Let $P_i \in \text{Syl}_p(\text{Sp}(V_i))$. Then by Lemma 2.2 there exists a hyperbolic quadratic form $Q_i \in \Omega_i^+$ such that $|Q_i^{P_i}| = p^a$. Finally, choose ϵ_b so that $(-1)^{b-1} \epsilon_b = -$. Let $P_b \in \text{Syl}_p(\text{Sp}(V_b))$ and choose $Q_b \in \Omega_b^{\epsilon_b}$ such that $|Q_b^{P_b}| \geq p$. Then $Q := Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_b \in \Omega^-$. Moreover, letting $\hat{P} = \prod_{i=1}^b P_i$ we see that $|Q^{\hat{P}}| \geq p^{a(b-1)} p > n_p = p^a b_p$, since $p \geq 3$. Thus G has a Sylow p -subgroup with an orbit of length greater than n_p , contradicting Lemma 2.3. Thus G does not have Property $(*)_p$ on Ω^- .

(6) OTHERS

The isolated examples of 2-transitive groups were dealt with by computer. First, $\text{PSL}(2, 11)$ on 11 points, M_{11} on 11 points, and M_{23} on 23 points have prime degree and the result follows from Lemma 2.4(3). For the remainder, see Table 2 for details.

6. LOW DEGREE TRANSITIVE GROUPS

This section contains computational results on which transitive groups of low degree have Property $(*)_2$ or Property $(*)_3$. This gives an overview of how the theoretical results above are realized in practice. Table 3 gives summary data for the transitive groups of degrees at most 47. In this table, $t(n)$ denotes the number of transitive groups of degree n . Note that by Lemma 2.4,

n	G	p	Orbit lengths of a Sylow p -subgroup
12	M_{11}	2	$4^1, 8^1$
		3	3^4
12	M_{12}	2	$4^1, 8^1$
		3	$3^1, 9^1$
15	A_7	3	$3^2, 9^1$
22	M_{22}	2	$2^1, 4^1, 16^1$
22	$M_{22} : 2$	2	$2^1, 4^1, 16^1$
24	M_{24}	2	$8^1, 16^1$
		3	$3^2, 9^2$
28	$\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 8)$	2	4^7
176	HS	2	$16^1, 32^1, 64^2$
276	Co_3	2	$4^1, 8^2, 16^2, 32^1, 64^1, 128^1$
		3	$3^2, 27^1, 243^1$

TABLE 2. Sporadic almost simple 2-transitive actions. We only consider the primes p such that $pn_p < n$ in each case.

a transitive group G of odd degree has Property $(*)_2$ precisely when G has odd order. Similarly, a transitive group of degree n with n coprime to 3 has Property $(*)_3$ precisely when the order of G is coprime to 3. Moreover, by Lemma 2.4(4), if $pn_p > n$ then all transitive permutation groups of degree n have Property $(*)_p$.

n	$t(n)$	$(*)_2$	$(*)_3$	n	$t(n)$	$(*)_2$	$(*)_3$
2	1	1	1	3	2	1	2
4	5	5	3	5	5	1	3
6	16	6	16	7	7	2	2
8	50	50	27	9	34	5	34
10	45	5	24	11	8	2	4
12	301	96	243	13	9	2	3
14	63	16	14	15	104	5	66
16	1954	1954	1438	17	10	1	5
18	983	115	983	19	8	3	2
20	1117	116	657	21	164	17	43
22	59	12	32	23	7	2	4
24	25000	7911	22245	25	211	10	119
26	96	12	24	27	2392	231	2392
28	1854	542	461	29	8	2	6
30	5712	131	4116	31	12	4	4
32	2801324	2801324	2737818	33	162	16	100
34	115	7	77	35	407	12	73
36	121279	9612	1113506	37	11	3	3
38	76	21	12	39	306	37	92
40	315842	132071	283122	41	10	2	8
42	9491	703	2335	43	10	4	4
44	2113	872	1540	45	10923	256	7893
46	56	16	36	47	6	2	4

TABLE 3. Counts of low-degree transitive groups with Property $(*)_2$

6.1. Maximal $(*)_2$ groups. As Property $(*)_p$ is closed under taking transitive subgroups of transitive groups, another characterization of the transitive groups with Property $(*)_p$ is to list the *maximal* transitive groups with Property $(*)_p$. Then a transitive group has Property $(*)_p$ if and only if it is a subgroup of one of the listed maximal transitive groups with Property $(*)_p$.

To illustrate this, Table 4 gives the maximal transitive groups with Property $(*)_2$ of degrees $2 \leq n \leq 39$. Most of these groups are wreath products of primitive groups and their structure can be given explicitly. The few exceptions are given by their indices in the lists of transitive groups in MAGMA [2] and GAP [8]. So 20_{89} is the group returned by the expression `TransitiveGroup(20,89)` in either MAGMA or GAP.

We note that for $n = 2^k$, every transitive group has Property $(*)_2$ and hence the unique maximal transitive $(*)_2$ is the symmetric group S_{2^k} . Every transitive group of odd order has odd degree and has Property $(*)_2$. Hence for each odd degree, the table simply lists the maximal transitive groups of odd order.

Degree	Structures of the maximal $(*)_2$ groups
2	2
3	3
4	S_4
5	5
6	3 wr 2, 2 wr 3, PSL(2, 5)
7	7 : 3
8	S_8
9	3 wr 3
10	5 wr 2, 2 wr 5
11	11 : 5
12	PSL(2, 11), 2 wr (3 wr 2), 2 wr PSL(2, 5), 3 wr S_4 , PSL(2, 5) wr 2, S_4 wr 3
13	13 : 3
14	(7 : 3) wr 2, 2 wr (7 : 3)
15	5 wr 3, 3 wr 5
16	S_{16}
17	17
18	3 wr 3 wr 2, 3 wr 2 wr 3, 2 wr 3 wr 3, 3 wr PSL(2, 5), PSL(2, 5) wr 3
19	19 : 9
20	$20_{89} \leq 2$ wr PSL(2, 9), PSL(2, 19), 5 wr S_4 , 2 wr 5 wr 2, S_4 wr 5
21	(7 : 3) wr 3, 3 wr (7 : 3)
22	(11 : 5) wr 2, 2 wr (11 : 5)
23	23 : 11
24	PSL(2, 23), PSL(2, 11) wr 2, 2 wr PSL(2, 11), 2 wr 3 wr S_4 , 2 wr PSL(2, 5) wr 2, 3 wr S_8 , PSL(2, 5) wr S_4 , S_4 wr 3 wr 2, S_4 wr PSL(2, 5), S_8 wr 3
25	$5^2 : 3$, 5 wr 5
26	(13 : 3) wr 2, 2 wr (13 : 3)
27	$3^3 : 13.3$, 3 wr 3 wr 3
28	$28_{120} \leq 2$ wr PSL(2, 13), PFL(2, 8), PSL(2, 27) : 3, (7 : 3) wr S_4 2 wr (7 : 3) wr 2, S_4 wr (7 : 3)
29	29 : 7
30	5 wr 3 wr 2, 5 wr 2 wr 3, 5 wr PSL(2, 5), 3 wr 5 wr 2, 3 wr 2 wr 5, 2 wr 5 wr 3, 2 wr 3 wr 5, PSL(2, 5) wr 5
31	31 : 15
32	S_{32}
33	(11 : 5) wr 3, 3 wr (11 : 5)
34	17 wr 2, 2 wr 17
35	5 wr (7 : 3), (7 : 3) wr 5

Degree	Structures of the maximal $(*)_2$ groups
36	3 wr PSL(2, 11), 3 wr 2 wr 3 wr 2, PSL(2, 11) wr 3, 3 wr 3 wr S_4 , 3 wr 2 wr PSL(2, 5), 2 wr 3 wr 3 wr 2, 3 wr PSL(2, 5) wr 2, 2 wr 3 wr 2 wr 3, 2 wr 3 wr PSL(2, 5), 3 wr S_4 wr 3, 2 wr PSL(2, 5) wr 3, PSL(2, 5) wr 3 wr 2, PSL(2, 5) wr 2 wr 3, PSL(2, 5) wr PSL(2, 5), S_4 wr 3 wr 3
37	37 : 9
38	(19 : 9) wr 2
39	(13 : 3) wr 3, 3 wr (13 : 3)

Table 4: Structure of maximal $(*)_2$ groups

Many of these maximal groups are wreath products involving maximal $(*)_2$ -groups of smaller degrees. By Lemma 3.1, if a_n and b_m have Property $(*)_2$ then a_n wr b_m has Property $(*)_2$ in its imprimitive action of degree ab . However, even if a_n and b_m are maximal $(*)_2$ -groups of degree a and b respectively, it does not necessarily follow that a_n wr b_m is a maximal $(*)_2$ group in S_{ab} . The smallest example where this occurs is $(3 \text{ wr } 2) \text{ wr } 2$ which has Property $(*)_2$, but is not maximal. By associativity of the wreath product $(3 \text{ wr } 2) \text{ wr } 2 = 3 \text{ wr } (2 \text{ wr } 2)$, but $2 \text{ wr } 2$ is not maximal, as it is contained in S_4 .

In some sense the most interesting maximal transitive $(*)_2$ groups are the imprimitive ones that are not wreath products as these are examples where the permutation group induced on blocks does not have Property $(*)_2$. This occurs just twice in the table — the group 20_{89} is a subgroup of the group $2 \text{ wr } \text{PSL}(2, 9)$, and the group 28_{120} is a subgroup of the group $2 \text{ wr } \text{PSL}(2, 13)$, although neither $\text{PSL}(2, 9)$ or $\text{PSL}(2, 13)$ have Property $(*)_2$.

Acknowledgements. The work on this paper began at the 2020 annual research retreat of the Centre for the Mathematics of Symmetry and Computation. The authors thank the participants for the enjoyable and stimulating environment.

REFERENCES

- [1] John Bamberg, Alice Devillers, Mark Ioppolo, Cheryl E. Praeger, Codes and designs in Johnson graphs from symplectic actions on quadratic forms, preprint.
- [2] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon and Catherine Playoust, The Magma algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 24 (1997), 235–265.
- [3] Marc Burger and Shahar Mozes, Groups acting on trees: from local to global structure. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 92 (2000), 113–150.
- [4] Timothy C. Burness and Michael Giudici, Classical groups, derangements and primes, *Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series* 25 Cambridge University Press (2016).
- [5] Peter J. Cameron, Permutation groups, *London Mathematical Society Student Texts* 45 Cambridge University Press (1999).
- [6] John D. Dixon and Brian Mortimer, Permutation groups, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* 163 Springer 1996.
- [7] R.H. Dye, Interrelations of symplectic and orthogonal groups in characteristic two, *J. Algebra* 59 (1979), 202–221.
- [8] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.0*, 2020.
- [9] Daniel Gorenstein, Richard Lyons Ronald Solomon, The classification of the finite simple groups. Number 3. *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, 40 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. 1998
- [10] Simon Guest and Cheryl E. Praeger, Proportions of elements with given 2-part order in finite classical groups of odd characteristic, *J. Algebra* 372 (2012), 637–660.
- [11] Peter Kleidman and Martin Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 129. Cambridge University Press (1990).
- [12] Peter B. Kleidman, The maximal subgroups of the Chevalley groups $G_2(q)$ with q odd, the Ree groups ${}^2G_2(q)$, and their automorphism groups, *J. Algebra* 117 (1988), 30–71.
- [13] Martin W. Liebeck, Cheryl E. Praeger and Jan Saxl, On the O’Nan-Scott theorem of finite primitive permutation groups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 44 (1988), 389–396.
- [14] Alice C. Niemeyer, Tomasz Popiel and Cheryl E. Praeger, Abundant p -singular elements in finite classical groups, *J. Algebra* 408 (2014), 189–204.
- [15] Cheryl E. Praeger, On the Sylow subgroups of a doubly transitive permutation group, *Math. Z.*, 137 (1974), 155–171.

- [16] Cheryl E. Praeger and Csaba Schneider, Permutation groups and cartesian decompositions, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series* **449** Cambridge University Press (2018).
- [17] Stephan Tornier, Prime localizations of Burger–Mozes-type groups, *J. Group Theory* 21 (2018), 229–240.
- [18] H. Wielandt. *Finite Permutation Groups*, Academic Press, New York (1964).

ALL BUT SECOND AUTHOR: CENTRE FOR THE MATHEMATICS OF SYMMETRY AND COMPUTATION, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA, CRAWLEY, WA 6009, AUSTRALIA.

SECOND AUTHOR: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CARLETON UNIVERSITY, 1125 COLONEL BY DRIVE, OTTAWA ON K1S 5B6, CANADA.

Email address: All but second author: `firstname.lastname@uwa.edu.au`

Email address: Second author: `alexanderbors@cunet.carleton.ca`