

On the Universal Coefficient Formula and Derivative $\varprojlim^{(i)}$ Functor

Anzor Beridze

School of Mathematics

Kutaisi International University

Youth Avenue, 5th Lane, Kutaisi, 4600 Georgia

E-mail: anzor.beridze@kiu.edu.ge

Leonard Mdzinarishvili

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Informatics and Control Systems

Georgian Technical University

77, Kostava St., Tbilisi, Georgia

E-mail: l.mdzinarishvili@gtu.ge

Abstract

From the beginning of 1960, there were many approaches to define exact homology theories using the methods of homological algebra (using an injective resolution) [Bor-Mo], [Mas₄], [Mas₂], [Kuz], [Sk]. These approaches gave us the unique homology theory on the category of compact Hausdorff spaces [Kuz], [Sk]. Our aim is to develop a method of homological algebra which gives opportunity to define on the category of general topological spaces a unique exact homology theory, generated by the given cochain complex. If H^* is the cohomology of the cochain complex $C^* = \text{Hom}(C_*; G)$, then the cohomology H^* is said to be generated by chain complex C_* . If a chain complex C_* is free, then there is a Universal Coefficient Formula of a cohomology theory [Eil-St], [Mas₁], [Sp]. In the paper [Mdz₃], using this formula and derivatives of inverse limit, a long exact sequence is written, which shows a relation of a cohomology of direct limit

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 55N10

Key words and phrases: Universal Coefficient Formula; inverse limit; derivative limit; tautness of homology.

of chain complexes and inverse limit of cohomology groups of corresponding cochain complexes. The result for non-free chain complexes is extended in the paper [Mdz-Sp]. On the other hand, to define an exact homology (Steenrod) theory [St], [Ed-Ha₁], [Ed-Ha₂], it is much convenient to obtain a chain complex from a cochain complex [Mas₃], [Mas₄], [Mil], [St]. In this case, a homology H_* is generated by cochain complex C^* and if the corresponding cochain complex is free, then there is an analogous Universal Coefficient Formula of a cohomology theory (not standard Universal Coefficient Formula of a homology theory) [Ber], [Ber-Mdz₁], [Ber-Mdz₂], [Bor-Mo], [Mas₄], [Mil], [Sk]. In this paper the result is extended for non-free cochain complexes and using of it, the relation of homology groups of the direct limit of cochain complexes and the inverse limit of homology groups of corresponding chain complexes is studied. As a corollary, the tautness property of a homology theory is obtained. Moreover, for the defined exact homology theory the continuous property (see Definition 1 [Mdz₁]) is obtained on the category of compact pairs.

1 Introduction

Let C_* be a chain complex and $0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\alpha} G' \xrightarrow{\beta} G'' \rightarrow 0$ be an injective resolution of a R -module G over a principal ideal domain R . Let $\beta^\# : \text{Hom}(C_*; G') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C_*; G'')$ be the cochain map induced by $\beta : G' \rightarrow G''$ and $C^*(\beta^\#) = \{C^n(\beta_\#), \delta\}$ be the cone of the cochain map $\beta^\#$, i.e.,

$$(1.1) \quad C^n(\beta^\#) \simeq \text{Hom}(C_n; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C_{n-1}; G''),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \delta(\varphi', \varphi'') = (\varphi' \circ \partial, \beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'' \circ \partial), \quad \forall (\varphi', \varphi'') \in C^n(\beta^\#).$$

It is natural that the cochain complex $C^*(\beta^\#) = \text{Hom}(C_*; \beta^\#)$ is defined by the chain complex C_* and R -module G . Consequently, let $\bar{H}^*(C_*; G)$ be a cohomology of the cochain complex $C^*(\beta^\#)$. In the papers [Mdz₃] (in the case of free chain complexes) and [Mdz-Sp] (in the general case) it is shown that for each direct system $\mathbf{C}_* = \{C_*^\gamma\}$ of chain complexes there is a natural exact sequence:

$$(1.3) \quad \dots \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(3)} \bar{H}_\gamma^{n-2} \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_\gamma^{n-1} \rightarrow \bar{H}^n \left(\varinjlim C_*^\gamma; G \right) \rightarrow \varprojlim \bar{H}_\gamma^n \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \bar{H}_\gamma^{n-1} \rightarrow \dots$$

where $\bar{H}_\gamma^* = \bar{H}^*(C_*^\gamma; G) = H^*(C_*^\gamma(\beta_\#))$. Note that, using the methods developed in [Mdz₁] and [Mdz₄], it is possible to show that if for a cohomology H^* there exists a type (2.10) natural sequence, then there is an isomorphism $\bar{H}^n(\varinjlim C_*^\gamma; G) \simeq H^n(\varinjlim C_*^\gamma; G)$. Therefore, it uniquely defines a cohomology \bar{H}^* generated by the chain complex C_* . On the other hand, our aim is

to develop tools which uniquely define a homology theory generated by the given cochain complex. Therefore, we will consider the dual case.

Let C^* be a cochain complex and $\beta_{\#} : \text{Hom}(C^*; G') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^*; G'')$ be the chain map induced by $\beta : G' \rightarrow G''$. Consider the cone $C_*(\beta_{\#}) = \{C_n(\beta_{\#}), \partial\} = \{\text{Hom}(C^*, \beta_{\#}), \partial\}$ of the chain map $\beta_{\#}$, i.e.,

$$(1.4) \quad C_n(\beta_{\#}) \simeq \text{Hom}(C^n; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}; G''),$$

$$(1.5) \quad \partial(\varphi', \varphi'') = (\varphi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'' \circ \delta), \quad \forall(\varphi', \varphi'') \in C_n(\beta_{\#}).$$

Consequently, the homology group \bar{H}_n is denoted by $\bar{H}_n = \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) = H_n(C_*(\beta_{\#}))$ and is called a homology with coefficient in G generated by the cochain complex C^* . Note that if $f : C^* \rightarrow C'^*$ is a cochain map, then it induces the chain map $\bar{f} : C'_*(\beta_{\#}) \rightarrow C_*(\beta_{\#})$. In particular, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the homomorphism $\bar{f}_n : C'_n(\beta_{\#}) \rightarrow C_n(\beta_{\#})$ is defined by the formula $\bar{f}_n(\varphi', \varphi'') = (\varphi' \circ f_n, \varphi'' \circ f_{n+1})$. Consequently, it induces a homomorphism of homology groups $\bar{f} : \bar{H}_n(C'^*; G) \rightarrow \bar{H}_n(C^*; G)$. Therefore, \bar{H}_n is a naturally defined functor.

Let $\mathbf{C}^* = \{C_{\gamma}^*\}$ be a direct system of cochain complexes. Consider the corresponding inverse systems $\mathbf{C}_* = \{C_{\gamma}^*(\beta_{\#})\}$ and $\mathbf{H}_* = \{\bar{H}_{\gamma}^*(C_{\gamma}^*; G)\}$. In this paper we have shown that there is a natural exact sequence:

$$(1.6) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(3)} \bar{H}_{n+2}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \bar{H}_n(\varinjlim C_{\gamma}^*; G) \rightarrow \varprojlim \bar{H}_n^{\gamma} \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where $\bar{H}_{\gamma}^{\gamma} = \bar{H}_{\gamma}^*(C_{\gamma}^*; G) = H_{\gamma}^*(C_{\gamma}^*(\beta_{\#}))$. On the other hand, to obtain sequence (1.6), we have shown that for each cochain complex (it is not necessary to be free) C^* and a R -module G over a principal ideal domain R , there exists a short exact sequence (Universal Coefficient Formula):

$$(1.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) \rightarrow \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) \rightarrow 0.$$

At the end we have formulated and studied the tautness property for a homology theory \bar{H}_* generated by the Alexander-Spanier cochains \bar{C}^* on the category of paracompact Hausdorff spaces.

2 Universal Coefficient Formula

In this section we will prove the Universal Coefficient Formula for a homology theory \bar{H}_* generated by the given cochain complex C^* .

Theorem 2.1 (Universal Coefficient Formula). *For each cochain complex C^* and R -module G over a fixed principal ideal domain R , there exists a*

short exact sequence:

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) \xrightarrow{\bar{\chi}} \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}} \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We will define a homomorphism $\xi : \bar{Z}_n \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$, which induces an epimorphism $\bar{\xi} : \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$. On the other hand, we will define a homomorphism $\chi : \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'') \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n(C^*; G)$ such that χ induces a monomorphism $\bar{\chi} : \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n(C^*; G)$ and the short sequence (2.1) is exact.

a. There is a homomorphism $\xi : \bar{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$. Let $(\varphi', \varphi'') \in \bar{Z}_n$ be a cycle, i.e., $\varphi' : C^n \rightarrow G'$ and $\varphi'' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ are homomorphisms such that $\partial(\varphi', \varphi'') = (\varphi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'' \circ \delta) = (0, 0) = 0$ and therefore, the following diagram is commutative:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} C^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & C^n & \xrightarrow{\delta} & C^{n+1} \\ & \searrow 0 & \downarrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \varphi'' \\ & & G' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G'' \end{array}$$

where 0 is the zero map. Consider the groups of coboundaries B^n and cocycles Z^n . Let $i : B^n \rightarrow Z^n$ and $j : Z^n \rightarrow C^n$ be natural monomorphisms and $\delta' : C^{n-1} \rightarrow B^n$ be an epimorphism induced by $\delta : C^{n-1} \rightarrow C^n$. Therefore, we have the following sequence:

$$(2.3) \quad C^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\delta'} B^n \xrightarrow{i} Z^n \xrightarrow{j} C^n \xrightarrow{\delta} C^{n+1},$$

where $j \circ i \circ \delta' = \delta$ and consequently $\delta \circ j = 0$.

Since $(\varphi', \varphi'') \in \bar{Z}_n$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} Z^n & \xrightarrow{j} & C^n & \xrightarrow{\delta} & C^{n+1} & & \\ & & \downarrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \varphi'' & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G'' \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Hence, $\varphi'' \circ \delta \circ j = \beta \circ \varphi' \circ j$, and by the equality $\delta \circ j = 0$, we obtain that $\beta \circ \varphi' \circ j = 0$. So, $\text{Im}(\varphi' \circ j) \subset \text{Ker} \beta = \text{Im} \alpha$. Therefore, there is a uniquely defined map $\varphi : Z^n \rightarrow G$ such that $\varphi' \circ j = \alpha \circ \varphi$ (see the diagram (2.5)).

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} Z^n & \xrightarrow{j} & C^n & \xrightarrow{\delta} & C^{n+1} & & \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \varphi'' & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G'' \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By the commutative diagram (2.2), we have $\varphi' \circ \delta = \varphi' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta' = 0$. Hence, $\alpha \circ \varphi \circ i \circ \delta' = \varphi' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta' = \varphi' \circ \delta = 0$ (see the diagram (2.6)). α is a monomorphism and so $\varphi \circ i \circ \delta' = 0$. On the other hand, δ' is an epimorphism. Consequently, we have $\varphi \circ i = 0$. Therefore, the homomorphism $\varphi : Z^n \rightarrow G$ induces a homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : H^n(C^*) \rightarrow G$ which belongs to $\text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$. Hence, the following diagram is commutative:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} C^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & B^n & \xrightarrow{i} & Z^n & \xrightarrow{j} & C^n & \xrightarrow{\delta} & C^{n+1} \\ & & \searrow \text{0} & & \downarrow \varphi & \swarrow \mathcal{P} & \downarrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \varphi'' \\ & & & & H^n & & G' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G'' \longrightarrow 0, \\ & & & & \swarrow \bar{\varphi} & \searrow \alpha & & & \end{array}$$

where $H^n = H^n(C^*)$. Let $\xi : \bar{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n; G)$ be the homomorphism defined by

$$(2.7) \quad \xi(\varphi', \varphi'') = \bar{\varphi}, \quad \forall (\varphi', \varphi'') \in \bar{Z}_n.$$

b. $\xi : \bar{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$ is an epimorphism.

Let $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$ be a homomorphism and $\varphi = \bar{\varphi} \circ p : Z^n \rightarrow G$ is the composition, where $p : Z^n \rightarrow H^n(C^*)$ is a projection. Let $\varphi' : C^n \rightarrow G'$ be an extension of $\alpha \circ \varphi : Z^n \rightarrow G'$. In this case $\varphi' \circ j = \alpha \circ \varphi$ and so $\beta \circ \varphi' \circ j = \beta \circ \alpha \circ \varphi = 0$. Therefore, $\beta \circ \varphi' : C^n \rightarrow G''$ vanishes on the subgroup Z^n and so it induces a homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}'' : C^n/Z^n \simeq B^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$, which can be extended to a homomorphism $\varphi'' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$. Since $\tilde{\varphi}'' \circ \delta' = \beta \circ \varphi'$, there is $\partial(\varphi', \varphi'') = (\varphi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'' \circ \delta) = (\varphi' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta', \beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta') = (\alpha \circ \varphi \circ i \circ \delta', \beta \circ \varphi' - \tilde{\varphi}'' \circ \delta') = (\alpha \circ \bar{\varphi} \circ p \circ i \circ \delta', \beta \circ \varphi' - \beta \circ \varphi') = (0, 0) = 0$. Hence, $(\varphi', \varphi'') \in \bar{Z}_n$ and $\bar{\xi}(\varphi', \varphi'') = \bar{\varphi}$ (see the diagram (2.8)).

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} C^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & B^n & \xrightarrow{i} & Z^n & \xrightarrow{j} & C^n & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & C^n/Z^n \simeq B^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{j \circ i} & C^{n+1} \\ & & & & \downarrow \varphi & \swarrow \mathcal{P} & \downarrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi}'' & & \downarrow \varphi'' \\ & & & & H^n & & G' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G'' & & \longrightarrow 0. \\ & & & & \swarrow \bar{\varphi} & \searrow \alpha & & & \swarrow \tilde{\varphi}'' & \searrow \varphi'' & \end{array}$$

c. $\xi : \bar{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$ induces a homomorphism $\bar{\xi} : \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$. We have to show that the homomorphism $\xi : \bar{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$ vanishes on the subgroup \bar{B}_n . Indeed, let $(\psi', \psi'') \in C_{n+1}(\beta_{\#})$ be an element. For $\partial(\psi', \psi'') = (\psi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \psi' - \psi'' \circ \delta) = (\varphi', \varphi'') \in \bar{B}_n \subset \bar{Z}_n$ we have $\varphi' \circ j = 0$. Indeed, $\varphi' \circ j = \psi' \circ \delta \circ j = 0$. Therefore, by the construction ξ , the homomorphism $\varphi : Z^n \rightarrow G$ corresponding to the pair (φ', φ'') satisfies the equation $\alpha \circ \varphi = \varphi' \circ j = 0$ and so $\varphi = 0$, because α is

To define χ , consider an element $\bar{\varphi}'' \in \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'')$. Let $\varphi'' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ be an extension of the composition $\bar{\varphi}'' \circ p : Z^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$, where $p : Z^{n+1} \rightarrow H^{n+1}(C^*)$ is a natural projection. In this case, $\varphi'' \circ \delta = \varphi'' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta' = \bar{\varphi}'' \circ p \circ i \circ \delta' = 0$ and so, if we take $\varphi' = 0$, then the following diagram is commutative:

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} C^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & C^n & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & B^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i} & Z^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{j} & C^{n+1} \\ & \searrow 0 & \downarrow \varphi' = 0 & & & & \begin{array}{c} p \downarrow \\ H^{n+1} \end{array} & & \downarrow \varphi'' \\ & & G' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & & & & & G'' \end{array} .$$

Therefore, $(0, \varphi'') \in \bar{Z}_n$ and so, we can define χ in the following way:

$$(2.18) \quad \chi(\bar{\varphi}'') = (0, -\varphi'') + \bar{B}_n, \quad \forall \bar{\varphi}'' \in \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'').$$

Let check that χ is well defined. Consider two different extensions φ_1'' and φ_2'' of the map $\bar{\varphi}'' \circ p : Z^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ and show that $(0, -\varphi_1'') + \bar{B}_n = (0, -\varphi_2'') + \bar{B}_n$. For this, we have to show that $(0, \varphi_2'' - \varphi_1'') \in \bar{B}_n$. Indeed, by the definition of φ_1'' and φ_2'' , it is clear that $(\varphi_1'' - \varphi_2'') \circ j = \varphi_1'' \circ j - \varphi_2'' \circ j = \bar{\varphi}'' \circ p - \bar{\varphi}'' \circ p = 0$ and so, $\varphi_1'' - \varphi_2''$ induces a homomorphism $\psi : C^{n+1}/Z^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$. On the other hand, $C^{n+1}/Z^{n+1} \simeq B^{n+2}$ and so, we have an extension $\psi'' : C^{n+2} \rightarrow G''$ of ψ (see the diagram (2.19)).

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} Z^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{j} & C^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & C^{n+1}/Z^{n+1} \simeq B^{n+2} & \xrightarrow{j \circ i} & C^{n+2} \\ & \searrow 0 & \downarrow \varphi_1'' - \varphi_2'' & \psi & \psi'' & & \downarrow \psi'' \\ & & G'' & & & & G'' \end{array}$$

In this case, it is easy to see that

$$(2.20) \quad \partial(0, \psi'') = (0, -\psi'' \circ \delta) = (0, -\psi'' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta') = (0, -\psi \circ \delta') = (0, \varphi_2'' - \varphi_1'').$$

Therefore, it remains to show that $\text{Im} \chi \simeq \text{Ker} \bar{\xi}$ and $\text{Im} \beta_* = \text{Ker} \chi$.

d₁. $\text{Im} \chi \simeq \text{Ker} \bar{\xi}$. Let $\bar{\varphi}'' \in \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'')$ be an element, then $\bar{\xi}(\chi(\bar{\varphi}'')) = \bar{\xi}((0, -\varphi'') + \bar{B}_n) = \bar{\varphi}$. On the other hand, by construction of ξ and the fact that the first coordinate of the pair $(0, -\varphi'')$ is zero, it is easy to check that $\bar{\varphi} = 0$. Therefore, $\text{Im} \chi \subset \text{Ker} \bar{\xi}$. Now consider an element $\bar{h} \in \text{Ker} \bar{\xi}$ and any of its representatives $(\varphi', \varphi'') \in \bar{Z}_n$. In this case, by the definition of $\bar{\xi}$, there exists $\varphi : Z^n \rightarrow G$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & Z^n & \xrightarrow{j} & C^n & \xrightarrow{\delta} & C^{n+1} \\ & & \downarrow \bar{p} & & \downarrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \varphi'' \\ & & \varphi \downarrow & \swarrow \bar{\varphi} H^n & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G'' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} .$$

Moreover, $\bar{h} \in \text{Ker}\bar{\xi}$ means that the homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : H^n \rightarrow G$ induced by $\varphi : Z^n \rightarrow G$ is zero. Therefore, $\varphi = 0$ and so $\varphi' \circ j = \alpha \circ \varphi = 0$. Consequently, $\varphi' : C^n \rightarrow G'$ induces a homomorphism $\tilde{\psi}' : C^n/Z^n \simeq B^{n+1} \rightarrow G'$. Let $\psi' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G'$ be an extension of $\tilde{\psi}' \circ p : Z^{n+1} \rightarrow G'$ and $\psi'' = \beta \circ \psi'$ (see the diagram (2.22)). In this case, the homomorphism $\psi = \psi'' - \varphi'' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ vanishes on the B^{n+1} . Indeed, $\psi \circ j \circ i \circ \delta' = \psi'' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta' - \varphi'' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta' = \beta \circ \tilde{\psi}' \circ \delta' - \beta \circ \varphi' = \beta \circ \varphi' - \beta \circ \varphi' = 0$. On the other hand, δ' is an epimorphism and so $\psi \circ j \circ i = 0$. Therefore, $\psi \circ j : Z^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ induces a homomorphism $\bar{\psi} : H^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ (see the diagram (2.22)).

(2.22)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
Z^n & \xrightarrow{j} & C^n & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & B^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i} & Z^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{p} & H^{n+1} & & \\
\downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi' & \nearrow \tilde{\psi}' & & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow \bar{\psi} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G' & & C^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\psi''} & G'' & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Our aim is to show that $\chi(\bar{\psi}) = \bar{h} = (\varphi', \varphi'') + \bar{B}_n$. Indeed, by the definition of χ , it is easy to see that $\chi(\bar{\psi}) = (0, -\psi) + \bar{B}_n$. Therefore, we have to show that $(\varphi', \varphi'') - (0, -\psi) = (\varphi', \varphi'' + \psi) = (\varphi', \psi'') \in \bar{B}_n$. Indeed,

$$(2.23) \quad \partial(\psi', 0) = (\psi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \psi') = (\psi' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta', \beta \circ \psi') = (\tilde{\psi}' \circ \delta', \psi'') = (\varphi', \psi'').$$

d₂. $\text{Im}\beta_* \simeq \text{Ker}\chi$. Let $\bar{\varphi}' \in \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G')$ be an element and $\varphi'' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ be an extension of the composition $\beta \circ \bar{\varphi}' \circ p : Z^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$. In this case we have $\partial(0, -\varphi'') = (0, \varphi'' \circ \delta) = (0, \varphi'' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta') = (0, \beta \circ \bar{\varphi}' \circ p \circ i \circ \delta') = (0, 0) = 0$ (see the diagram (2.25)). Therefore, $(0, -\varphi'') \in \bar{Z}_n$ and so we have

$$(2.24) \quad (\chi \circ \beta_*)(\bar{\varphi}') = \chi(\beta_*(\bar{\varphi}')) = \chi(\beta \circ \bar{\varphi}') = (0, -\varphi'') + \bar{B}_n.$$

Our aim is to show that $(0, -\varphi'') \in \bar{B}_n$. Indeed, let $\varphi' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G'$ be an extension of the composition $\bar{\varphi}' \circ p : Z^{n+1} \rightarrow G'$. In this case $(\beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'') \circ j = \beta \circ \varphi' \circ j - \varphi'' \circ j = \beta \circ \bar{\varphi}' \circ p - \beta \circ \bar{\varphi}' \circ p = 0$ and so $\beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ induces a homomorphism $\tilde{\psi}'' : C^{n+1}/Z^{n+1} \simeq B^{n+2} \rightarrow G''$ such that $\beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'' = \tilde{\psi}'' \circ \delta'$. Let $\psi'' : C^{n+2} \rightarrow G''$ be an extension of a homomorphism $\tilde{\psi}'' : B^{n+2} \rightarrow G''$ (see the diagram (2.25)).

(2.25)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
C^n & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & B^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i} & Z^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{j} & C^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & C^{n+1}/Z^{n+1} \simeq B^{n+2} & \xrightarrow{j \circ i} & C^{n+2} \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow \bar{\varphi}' & & \downarrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \tilde{\psi}'' & & \downarrow \psi'' \\
H^{n+1} & & & & & & & & & & G''
\end{array}$$

In this case, we have

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial(-\varphi', -\psi'') &= (-\varphi' \circ \delta, -\beta \circ \varphi' + \psi'' \circ \delta) = (-\varphi' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta', -\beta \circ \varphi' + \tilde{\psi}'' \circ \delta') = \\ &= (-\bar{\varphi}' \circ p \circ i \circ \delta', -\beta \circ \varphi' + (\beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'')) = (0, -\varphi''). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(0, -\varphi'') \in \bar{B}_n$ and so, $\chi \circ \beta_* = 0$. Hence, $Im\beta_* \subset Ker\chi$. Now consider an element $\bar{\varphi}'' \in Ker\chi$. Let $\varphi'' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ be an extension of the composition $\bar{\varphi}'' \circ p : Z^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ (see the diagram (2.28)). Then, by $\chi(\bar{\varphi}'') = (0, -\varphi'') + \bar{B}_n = 0$, there exists $(\psi', \psi'') \in C_{n+1}(\beta_{\#})$ such that

$$(2.27) \quad \partial(\psi', \psi'') = (\psi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \psi' - \psi'' \circ \delta) = (0, -\varphi'').$$

Therefore, $\psi' \circ \delta = \psi' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta' = 0$. Since $\delta' : C^n \rightarrow B^{n+1}$ is an epimorphism, we have $\psi' \circ j \circ i = 0$ and so $\psi' \circ j : Z^{n+1} \rightarrow G'$ induces a homomorphism $\bar{\psi}' : H^{n+1}(C^*) \rightarrow G'$. On the other hand, by $\beta \circ \psi' - \psi'' \circ \delta = -\varphi''$, we have $-\varphi'' \circ j = \beta \circ \psi' \circ j - \psi'' \circ \delta \circ j = \beta \circ \psi' \circ j$ (see the diagram (2.28)). Therefore, $\beta_*(\bar{\psi}') = \bar{\varphi}''$ and so, $Ker\chi \subset Im\beta_*$:

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & C^{n+2} \\ \downarrow \psi' & \swarrow j & \downarrow \psi'' \\ G' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G'' \\ & \uparrow \bar{\psi}' & \\ & H^{n+1} & \\ & \downarrow p & \\ & Z^{n+1} & \end{array}$$

□

Since for each injective abelian group G , a group of extensions $Ext(-; G)$ is trivial, by the exact sequence (2.1) we obtain the following corollary (cf. Lemma VII.4.4 [Mas₁])

Corollary 2.2. *If G is an injective, then there is an isomorphism*

$$(2.29) \quad \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) \simeq \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G).$$

Let $C_* = \text{Hom}(C^*; G)$ be a chain complex, where $C_n = \text{Hom}(C^n; G)$ and ∂ are defined by $\partial(\varphi) = \varphi \circ \delta$, for $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(C^n; G)$. In this case, there is a map $\alpha_* : \text{Hom}(C^*; G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^*; \beta_{\#})$ defined by:

$$(2.30) \quad \alpha_*(\varphi) = (\alpha \circ \varphi, 0), \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{Hom}(C^n; G).$$

Let $H_n(C^*; G)$ be a homology group of chain complex $\text{Hom}(C^*; G)$.

Theorem 2.3. *If a cochain complex C^* is free, then the homomorphism $\alpha_* : \text{Hom}(C^*; G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^*; \beta_{\#})$ induces an isomorphism*

$$(2.31) \quad \bar{\alpha}_* : H_n(C^*; G) \rightarrow \bar{H}_n(C^*; G).$$

Proof. Since C^* is a free cochain complex, there is a short exact sequence:

$$(2.32) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\chi}} H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G)) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\xi}} \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let review how the morphisms $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ are defined according to W. Massey's [Mas₁] approach. Note that Massey has considered a free chain complex case and consequently, he has obtained Universal Coefficient Formula for cohomology theory and not homology theory.

a. For each $\bar{\varphi} \in H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G))$ element let $\tilde{\xi}(\bar{\varphi}) : H^n(C^*) \longrightarrow G$ be homomorphism given by

$$(2.33) \quad \tilde{\xi}(\bar{\varphi})(\bar{c}) = \langle \varphi, c \rangle = \varphi(c), \quad \forall c \in \bar{c}, \bar{c} \in H^n(C^*),$$

where φ is a representative of $\bar{\varphi}$ [Mas₄].

b. To define the homomorphism $\tilde{\chi} : \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) \longrightarrow H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G))$, we need to use the isomorphism (2.11). Consequently, the homomorphism $\tilde{\chi}$ is the homomorphism induced by $\chi_0 : \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'') \longrightarrow H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G))$, where χ_0 is defined in the following way. Let $\bar{\varphi}'' \in \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'')$ be any element. Since G'' is an injective, there is an extension $\varphi'' : C^{n+1} \longrightarrow G''$ of the composition $\bar{\varphi}'' \circ p : Z^{n+1} \longrightarrow G''$. In this case $\partial(\varphi'') = \varphi'' \circ \delta = \varphi'' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta' = \bar{\varphi}'' \circ p \circ i \circ \delta' = 0$ (see diagram (2.34)).

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & C^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{j} & C^n & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & B^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i} & Z^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{j} & C^{n+1} & & \\ & & \swarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi' & & \swarrow \psi' & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \varphi'' & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G'' & \longrightarrow & 0 & & & & \\ & & & & & & \swarrow \bar{\varphi}'' & & & & & & \end{array}$$

Therefore, it defines homology class $[\varphi''] \in H_{n+1}(\text{Hom}(C^*; G''))$. Let $E : H_{n+1}(\text{Hom}(C^*; G)) \longrightarrow H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G))$ be a boundary homomorphism induced by the following exact sequence:

$$(2.35) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(C^*; G) \xrightarrow{\alpha\#} \text{Hom}(C^*; G') \xrightarrow{\beta\#} \text{Hom}(C^*; G'') \longrightarrow 0.$$

Define a homomorphism χ_0 by the formula

$$(2.36) \quad \chi_0([\bar{\varphi}'']) = E([\varphi'']), \quad \forall \bar{\varphi}'' \in \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'').$$

Note that the homomorphism $\chi_0 : \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) \longrightarrow H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G))$ is a composition of the isomorphism $\text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_{n+1}(\text{Hom}(C^*; G))$ and the homomorphism $E : H_{n+1}(\text{Hom}(C^*; G)) \longrightarrow H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G))$. To write the explicit formula for χ_0 , consider such a map $\psi' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G'$ that $\beta \circ \psi' = \varphi''$ (this is possible, because the cochain complex C^* is free). Let $\varphi' = \psi' \circ \delta : C^n \rightarrow G'$, then we have $\beta \circ \varphi' = \varphi'' \circ \delta = 0$. Therefore, $\varphi' \in \text{Ker} \beta = \text{Im} \alpha$ and so, there exists a unique map $\varphi : C^n \rightarrow G$ such that

$\alpha \circ \varphi = \varphi'$. In this case, we have $\alpha \circ \varphi \circ \delta = \varphi' \circ \delta = \psi' \circ \delta \circ \delta = 0$ and so, $\varphi \circ \delta = 0$ because α is a monomorphism. On the other hand, $\partial(\varphi) = \varphi \circ \delta = 0$. Consequently, φ defines a homology class $[\varphi] \in H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G))$ (see diagram (2.34)). Finally, by the formula (2.36) we have

$$(2.37) \quad \chi_0(\bar{\varphi}'') = E([\varphi'']) = [\varphi], \quad \forall \bar{\varphi}'' \in \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'').$$

In this case, the sequence (2.32) is induced by the following sequence

$$(2.38) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G') & \xrightarrow{\beta_*} & \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'') & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & & & \\ & & & & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}} & \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Therefore, by (2.12) and (2.38), it is sufficient to show that the following diagram is commutative:

$$(2.39) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G') & \xrightarrow{\beta_*} & \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'') & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G)) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}} & \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow \bar{\alpha}_* & & \downarrow 1 & & \\ \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G') & \xrightarrow{\beta_*} & \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'') & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \bar{H}_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; \beta_{\#})) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}} & \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Indeed, let $\bar{\varphi}'' \in \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G'')$ be an element and $\varphi'' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ be an extension of the composition $\bar{\varphi}'' \circ p : Z^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$. Then, by definition of χ_0 and method of snake lemma, we must take an element $\varphi' \in \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}; G')$, such that $\beta_{\#}(\varphi') = \varphi''$. Note that this is possible because of exactness of the sequence (2.35). Then, there is a cycle $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(C^n; G)$, such that $\alpha_{\#}(\varphi) = \partial(\varphi')$. Let $[\varphi] = \varphi + B_n$ be the corresponding element in the homology group $H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G))$, then $\chi_0(\bar{\varphi}'') = [\varphi]$. By the definition of the map $\tilde{\alpha} : H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G)) \rightarrow \bar{H}_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; \beta_{\#}))$, we have

$$(2.40) \quad (\tilde{\alpha}_* \circ \chi_0)(\bar{\varphi}'') = \tilde{\alpha}_*(\chi_0(\bar{\varphi}'')) = \tilde{\alpha}_*([\varphi]) = (\alpha \circ \varphi, 0) + \bar{B}_n.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $\chi : \text{Hom}(G^{n+1}(C^*); G'') \rightarrow \bar{H}_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; \beta_{\#}))$, we have

$$(2.41) \quad \chi(\bar{\varphi}'') = (0, -\varphi'') + \bar{B}_n, \quad \forall \bar{\varphi}'' \in \text{Hom}(H^{n+1}; G'').$$

Therefore, we have to show that $(\alpha \circ \varphi, 0) - (0, -\varphi'') = (\alpha \circ \varphi, \varphi'') \in \bar{B}_n$. Indeed, by the equality $\alpha_{\#}(\varphi) = \partial(\varphi')$ and $\beta_{\#}(\varphi') = \varphi''$, we have $\alpha \circ \varphi = \varphi' \circ \delta$ and $\beta \circ \varphi' = \varphi''$. Therefore,

$$(2.42) \quad \partial(\varphi', 0) = (\varphi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \varphi') = (\alpha \circ \varphi, \varphi'').$$

By (2.40), (2.41), and (2.42), we obtain that $\tilde{\alpha}_* \circ \chi_0 = \chi$. So, it remains to show that $\bar{\xi} \circ \tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\xi}$.

Let $[\varphi] \in H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G))$ be an element and φ is its representative. Then, by the definitions of $\bar{\xi}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_*$ we have

$$(2.43) \quad (\bar{\xi} \circ \tilde{\alpha}_*)([\varphi]) = \bar{\xi}(\tilde{\alpha}_*([\varphi])) = \bar{\xi}(\alpha \circ \varphi, 0) = (\varphi) + \bar{B}_n.$$

Therefore, if we take an element $\bar{c} \in H^n(C^*)$ and any of its representatives $c \in \bar{c}$, then by (2.43) we have

$$(2.44) \quad (\bar{\xi} \circ \tilde{\alpha})([\varphi])(\bar{c}) = (\varphi + \bar{B}_n)(\bar{c}) = \varphi(c).$$

Therefore, by (2.33), (2.43) and (2.44), we obtain that

$$(2.45) \quad \bar{\xi} \circ \tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\xi}.$$

□

Note that by the commutative diagram (2.39), we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$(2.46) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\chi}} & H_n(\text{Hom}(C^*; G)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\xi}} & \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha}_* & & \downarrow 1 & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\chi}} & \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}} & \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Therefore, if a cochain complex C^* is free, then the classical Universal Coefficient Formula is isomorphic to the Universal Coefficient Formula defined in this paper.

3 Some property of Hom and inverse limit functors

As we have seen in the previous section, there exists an epimorphism $\xi : \bar{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$ which induces a homomorphism:

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{\xi} : \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$$

and the following diagram is commutative:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{Z}_n & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) \\ & \searrow \bar{p} & \nearrow \bar{\xi} \\ & & \bar{H}_n(C^*; G). \end{array}$$

To investigate $\text{Ker}\xi$ we construct a homomorphism

$$(3.3) \quad \omega : \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}; G'') \longrightarrow \text{Ker}\xi$$

by $\omega(\psi', \psi'') = (\psi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \psi' - \psi'' \circ q)$, where $q : C^{n+1} \rightarrow C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}$ is the quotient map. Let show that $\omega(\psi', \psi'') \in \text{Ker}\xi$. Indeed, $\partial\omega(\psi', \psi'') = \partial(\psi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \psi' - \psi'' \circ q) = (\psi \circ \delta \circ \delta, \beta \circ \psi' \circ \delta - (\beta \circ \psi' - \psi'' \circ q) \circ \delta) = (0, \beta \circ \psi' \circ \delta - \beta \circ \psi' \circ \delta + \psi'' \circ q \circ \delta) = (0, \psi'' \circ q \circ \delta) = (0, 0)$, because $q \circ \delta = 0$. Hence, $\omega(\psi', \psi'') \in \bar{Z}_n$. By the definition of $\xi : \bar{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G)$, there exists a uniquely defined map $\varphi : Z^n \rightarrow G$ such that $\alpha \circ \varphi = \psi' \circ \delta \circ j$. On the other hand, $\delta \circ j = 0$ and so, $\alpha \circ \varphi = 0$, which induces a homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : H^n(C^*) \rightarrow G$. Note that α is a monomorphism and $\alpha \circ \varphi = 0$ implies that $\varphi = 0$ and consequently $\omega(\psi', \psi'') = (\psi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \psi' - \psi'' \circ q) = \bar{\varphi} = 0$. Therefore, we obtain that $\omega(\psi', \psi'') \in \text{Ker}\xi$.

Lemma 3.1. *For each integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists the following short exact sequence*

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}; G') \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}; G'') \xrightarrow{\omega} \text{Ker}\xi \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\sigma : \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}; G') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}; G'')$ is defined by the formula

$$(3.5) \quad \sigma(\varphi) = (\varphi \circ q, \beta \circ \varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}; G').$$

Proof. a. ω is an epimorphism. If $(\varphi', \varphi'') \in \text{Ker}\xi$, then $\xi(\varphi', \varphi'') = \bar{\varphi} = 0$ and so $\varphi : Z^n \rightarrow G$ is zero as well. On the other hand, $\alpha \circ \varphi = \varphi' \circ j = 0$. Therefore, there is a unique homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}' : B^{n+1} \rightarrow G'$, such that $\varphi' = \tilde{\varphi}' \circ \delta'$. Let $\psi' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G'$ be an extension of the map $\tilde{\varphi}' : B^{n+1} \rightarrow G'$ (see the diagram (3.6)).

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} Z^n & \xrightarrow{j} & C^n & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & B^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{j \circ i} & C^{n+1} \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \varphi' \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{\varphi}' & \nearrow \psi' & & \downarrow \varphi'' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G'' \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

If we consider the map $\beta \circ \psi' - \varphi'' : C^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$, then by $(\varphi', \varphi'') \in \bar{Z}_n$, we have $(\beta \circ \psi' - \varphi'') \circ \delta = \beta \circ \psi' \circ \delta - \varphi'' \circ \delta = \beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'' \circ \delta = 0$. Since $(\beta \circ \psi' - \varphi'') \circ \delta = (\beta \circ \psi' - \varphi'') \circ j \circ i \circ \delta' = 0$ and δ' is an epimorphism, there is $(\beta \circ \psi' - \varphi'') \circ j \circ i = 0$. Therefore, there is a homomorphism $\psi'' : C^{n+1}/B^{n+1} \rightarrow G''$ such that $\beta \circ \psi' - \varphi'' = \psi'' \circ q$ and so $\varphi'' = \beta \circ \psi' - \psi'' \circ q$ (see the diagram (3.7)).

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} B^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{j \circ i} & C^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{q} & C^{n+1}/B^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta \circ \psi' - \varphi'' & \swarrow \psi'' & & & \\ & & G'' & & & & \end{array}$$

Hence, $(\psi', \psi'') \in \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}; G'')$ and $\omega(\psi', \psi'') = (\psi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \psi' - \psi'' \circ q) = (\varphi', \varphi'')$. So, ω is an epimorphism.

b. There is an equality $\text{Im}\sigma = \text{Ker}\omega$. By the definition, we have $(\omega \circ \sigma)(\varphi) = \omega(\sigma(\varphi)) = \omega(\varphi \circ q, \beta \circ \varphi) = (\varphi \circ q \circ \delta, \beta \circ \varphi \circ q - \beta \circ \varphi \circ q) = (0, 0) = 0$, because $q \circ \delta = 0$. Therefore, $\text{Im}\sigma \subset \text{Ker}\omega$. On the other hand, if $(\psi', \psi'') \in \text{Ker}\omega$, then $\omega(\psi', \psi'') = (\psi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \psi' - \psi'' \circ q) = 0$ and so, $\psi' \circ \delta = 0$ and $\beta \circ \psi' = \psi'' \circ q$. On the other hand, $\psi' \circ \delta = \psi' \circ j \circ i \circ \delta' = 0$. Therefore, we have $\psi' \circ j \circ i = 0$, because δ' is an epimorphism. So, there is a unique homomorphism $\varphi : C^{n+1}/B^{n+1} \rightarrow G'$ such that $\psi' = \varphi \circ q$. In this case, $\beta \circ \varphi \circ q = \beta \circ \psi' = \psi'' \circ q$ and since q is an epimorphism, $\beta \circ \varphi = \psi''$. Therefore, $\sigma(\varphi) = (\psi', \psi'')$ and so, $\text{Ker}\omega \subset \text{Im}\sigma$.

c. σ is a monomorphism. If $\sigma(\varphi) = (\varphi \circ q, \beta \circ \varphi) = 0$, i.e. $\varphi \circ q = 0$ and since q is an epimorphism, we have $\varphi = 0$.

□

Let $\mathbf{C}^* = \{C_\gamma^*\}$ be a direct system of cochain complexes. Consider the corresponding inverse system $\mathbf{C}_* = \{C_\gamma^*(\beta^\#)\} = \{\text{Hom}(C_\gamma^*; \beta^\#)\}$ of chain complexes.

Lemma 3.2. *For each direct system $\mathbf{C}^* = \{C_\gamma^*\}$ of cochain complexes, there is an isomorphism*

$$(3.8) \quad \text{Hom}(\varinjlim C_\gamma^*; \beta^\#) \simeq \varprojlim \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^*; \beta^\#).$$

Proof. Consider a chain complex

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Hom}(\varinjlim C_\gamma^*; \beta^\#) = \{\text{Hom}(\varinjlim C_\gamma^n; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(\varinjlim C_\gamma^{n+1}; G''), \partial\},$$

where $\partial(\varphi', \varphi'') = (\varphi' \circ \delta, \beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'' \circ \delta)$. Note that $\delta = \varinjlim \delta_\gamma : \varinjlim C_\gamma^{n-1} \rightarrow \varinjlim C_\gamma^n$, where $\delta_\gamma : C_\gamma^{n-1} \rightarrow C_\gamma^n$ is the coboundary map of the cochain complex C_γ^* . Since for any G there is an isomorphism $\text{Hom}(\varinjlim C_\gamma^*; G) \simeq \varprojlim \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^*; G)$, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \text{Hom}(\varinjlim C_\gamma^n; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(\varinjlim C_\gamma^{n+1}; G'') \simeq \varprojlim \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^n; G') \oplus \varprojlim \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}; G'').$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *If $f^\# : C^* \rightarrow C'^*$ is a homomorphism of cochain complexes, then there is a commutative diagram:*

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C'^{n+1}/B'^{n+1}; G') & \xrightarrow{\tau'} & \text{Hom}(C'^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C'^{n+1}/B'^{n+1}; G'') & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & \text{Ker} \xi' \rightarrow 0 \\ & \tilde{f}_\# \downarrow & (f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#) \downarrow & & \tilde{f} \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}; G') & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}; G'') & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \text{Ker} \xi \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Proof. Note that homomorphisms $\tilde{f}_\# : \text{Hom}(C'^{n+1}/B'^{n+1}; G') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}; G')$ and $(f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#) : \text{Hom}(C'^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C'^{n+1}/B'^{n+1}; G'') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C^{n+1}/B^{n+1}; G'')$ are naturally defined by $\tilde{f}_\#(\varphi') = \varphi' \circ \tilde{f}_{n+1}$ and $(f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#)(\varphi', \varphi'') = (\varphi' \circ f_{n+1}, \varphi'' \circ \tilde{f}_{n+1})$, where $\tilde{f}_{n+1} : C'^{n+1}/B'^{n+1} \rightarrow C'^{n+1}/B'^{n+1}$ is induced by $f_{n+1} : C'^{n+1} \rightarrow C'^{n+1}$.

a. $\tau \circ \tilde{f}_\# = (f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#) \circ \tau'$. By the definition, we have $(\tau \circ \tilde{f}_\#)(\varphi') = \tau(\tilde{f}_\#(\varphi')) = \tau(\varphi' \circ \tilde{f}_{n+1}) = (\varphi' \circ \tilde{f}_{n+1} \circ q, \beta \circ \varphi' \circ \tilde{f}_{n+1})$ and $((f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#) \circ \tau')(\varphi') = (f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#)(\tau'(\varphi')) = (f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#)(\varphi' \circ q', \beta \circ \varphi') = (\varphi' \circ q' \circ f_{n+1}, \beta \circ \varphi' \circ \tilde{f}_{n+1})$. Since $\tilde{f}_{n+1} \circ q = q' \circ f_{n+1}$, we have $\varphi' \circ \tilde{f}_{n+1} \circ q = \varphi' \circ q' \circ f_{n+1}$. Hence, $\tau \circ \tilde{f}_\# = (f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#) \circ \tau'$.

b. $\mu \circ (f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#) = \tilde{f} \circ \mu'$. By the definition, we have $(\mu \circ (f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#))(\varphi', \varphi'') = \mu((f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#)(\varphi', \varphi'')) = \mu(\varphi' \circ f_{n+1}, \varphi'' \circ \tilde{f}_{n+1}) = (\varphi' \circ f_{n+1} \circ \delta, \beta \circ \varphi' \circ f_{n+1} - \varphi'' \circ \tilde{f}_{n+1} \circ q)$ and $(\tilde{f} \circ \mu')(\varphi', \varphi'') = \tilde{f}(\mu'(\varphi', \varphi'')) = \tilde{f}(\varphi' \circ \delta', \beta \circ \varphi' - \varphi'' \circ q') = (\varphi' \circ \delta' \circ f_n, \beta \circ \varphi' \circ f_{n+1} - \varphi'' \circ q' \circ f_{n+1})$. Since $\tilde{f}_{n+1} \circ q = q' \circ f_{n+1}$ and $\delta' \circ f_n = f_{n+1} \circ \delta$, there are equalities $\varphi' \circ f_{n+1} \circ \delta = \varphi' \circ \delta' \circ f_n$ and $\varphi'' \circ \tilde{f}_{n+1} \circ q = \varphi'' \circ q' \circ f_{n+1}$. Hence, $\mu \circ (f_\#, \tilde{f}_\#) = \tilde{f} \circ \mu'$. \square

Let $\{\text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G'')\}$ be an inverse system generated by the direct system $\{C_\gamma^{n+1}\}$. It is clear that for each γ there is an exact sequence

$$(3.12) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}; G') \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G'') \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G'') \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, by the main property of the derived functors $\varprojlim^{(i)}$ there is a long exact sequence:

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdots \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}; G') \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}} \varprojlim^{(i)} (\text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G'')) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} \\ \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G'') \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

On the other hand, since for each injective abelian groups G , $\varprojlim^{(i)} \{\text{Hom}(C^{n+1}; G)\} = 0$, $i \geq 1$ (see Lemma 1.3 [Hub-Mei]), we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.4. *For each injective abelian groups G' and G'' , there is the following equality*

$$(3.14) \quad \varprojlim^{(i)} (\text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G'')) = 0, \quad i \geq 1.$$

Using the obtained result, we will prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *For each integer $i \geq 1$, there is an equality*

$$(3.15) \quad \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Ker} \xi_\gamma = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, for each ξ_γ , there is a short exact sequence

$$(3.16) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G') \xrightarrow{\sigma_\gamma} \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G'') \xrightarrow{\omega_\gamma} \text{Ker} \xi_\gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

By the main property of a derived functor $\varprojlim^{(i)}$, there is a long exact sequence

$$(3.17) \quad \dots \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G') \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(i)} (\text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G'')) \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Ker} \xi_\gamma \rightarrow \dots$$

By Lemma 1.3 [Hub-Mei] for each $i \geq 0$, there is an equality $\varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G') = 0$ and by Corollary 2, for each $i \geq 1$ we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad \varprojlim^{(i)} (\text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}; G') \oplus \text{Hom}(C_\gamma^{n+1}/B_\gamma^{n+1}; G'')) = 0.$$

Hence, by the long exact sequence (3.17) we obtain that $\varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Ker} \xi_\gamma = 0$, $i \geq 1$. \square

Corollary 3.6. *For each integer $i \geq 1$, there is an isomorphism*

$$(3.19) \quad \varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{Z}_n^\gamma \simeq \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G).$$

Proof. By **a.** of Theorem 1, there is an epimorphism $\xi_\gamma : \bar{Z}_n^\gamma \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G)$.

Therefore, the following sequence is exact

$$(3.20) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker} \xi_\gamma \longrightarrow \bar{Z}_n^\gamma \xrightarrow{\xi_\gamma} \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, it induces the following long exact sequence

$$(3.21) \quad \dots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Ker} \xi_\gamma \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{Z}_n^\gamma \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(i+1)} \text{Ker} \xi_\gamma \longrightarrow \dots$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4, $\varprojlim^{(i)} \{\text{Ker} \xi_\gamma\} = 0$, $i \geq 1$. Therefore, for $i \geq 1$, we have an isomorphism $\varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{Z}_n^\gamma \simeq \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G)$. \square

Note that for each γ , there is a natural commutative triangle

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{Z}_n^\gamma & \xrightarrow{\xi_\gamma} & \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) \\ & \searrow \bar{p}_\gamma & \nearrow \bar{\xi}_\gamma \\ & \bar{H}_n(C_\gamma^*; G) & \end{array}$$

Therefore, if we take $\varprojlim^{(i)}$ of this diagram, then by Corollary 2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.7. *For each integer $i \geq 1$, $\varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{Z}_n^\gamma$ is a direct summand of $\varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{H}_n(C_\gamma^*; G)$ and the projection of $\varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{H}_n(C_\gamma^*; G)$ onto $\varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{Z}_n^\gamma$ is natural.*

Finally, we obtain the following important property of $\varprojlim^{(i)}$ functor.

Theorem 3.8. *For each integer $i \geq 0$, there is a short exact sequence:*

$$(3.23) \quad 0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C_\gamma^*); G) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{H}_n(C_\gamma^*; G) \xrightarrow{\xi_\gamma} \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) \rightarrow 0,$$

and this sequence splits naturally for $i \geq 1$.

Proof. Using the commutative diagram (3.22), for each γ we have a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker} \xi_\gamma & \longrightarrow & \bar{Z}_n^\gamma & \xrightarrow{\xi_\gamma} & \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \bar{p}_\gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C_\gamma^*); G) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n(C_\gamma^*; G) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}_\gamma} & \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

This induces the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Ker} \xi_\gamma & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{Z}_n^\gamma & \xrightarrow{\varprojlim^{(i)} \xi_\gamma} & \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(i+1)} \text{Ker} \xi_\gamma & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{p}_\gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C_\gamma^*); G) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{H}_n(C_\gamma^*; G) & \xrightarrow{\varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{\xi}_\gamma} & \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(i+1)} \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C_\gamma^*); G) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

By Lemma 4, $\varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Ker} \xi_\gamma = 0$, for $i \geq 1$, and so the beginning of the diagram (3.25) of the following form:

(3.26)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim Ker \xi_\gamma & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim \bar{Z}_n^\gamma & \xrightarrow{\varprojlim \xi_\gamma} & \varprojlim \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \varprojlim^{(i)} \bar{p}_\gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C_\gamma^*); G) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim \bar{H}_n(C_\gamma^*; G) & \xrightarrow{\varprojlim \bar{\xi}_\gamma} & \varprojlim \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) \rightarrow \dots
\end{array}$$

Therefore, the following sequence is exact:

(3.27)

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C_\gamma^*); G) \longrightarrow \varprojlim \bar{H}_n(C_\gamma^*; G) \xrightarrow{\xi_\gamma} \varprojlim \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) \longrightarrow 0$$

and the $\varprojlim^{(1)} \{\text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C_\gamma^*); G)\} \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \{\bar{H}_n(C_\gamma^*; G)\}$ is a monomorphism. Therefore, we obtain the result for $i = 0$. On the other hand, for $i \geq 1$, the result follows from the commutativity of the diagram (3.25) and Corollary 2 and 3. \square

4 The main theorem

Here we have formulated the main result of the paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{C}^* = \{C_\gamma^*\}$ be a direct system of cochain complexes. Then, there is a natural exact sequence:*

(4.1)

$$\dots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(3)} \bar{H}_{n+2}^\gamma \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^\gamma \rightarrow \bar{H}_n \left(\varinjlim C_\gamma^*; G \right) \longrightarrow \varprojlim \bar{H}_n^\gamma \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^\gamma \longrightarrow \dots$$

where $\bar{H}_*^\gamma = \bar{H}_*(C_\gamma^*; G)$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.2 of [Hub-Mei], for the inverse system $\{H_\gamma^{n+1}\}$ we have an exact sequence:

(4.2)

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\varinjlim H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \rightarrow \varprojlim \text{Ext}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \varprojlim^{(i)} \text{Ext}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \simeq \varprojlim^{(i+2)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G), \text{ for } i \geq 1.$$

Since cohomology commutes with direct limits, we have $H^*(\varinjlim C_\gamma^*; G) \simeq \varinjlim H^*(C_\gamma^*; G)$. Therefore, if $C^* \simeq \varinjlim C_\gamma^*$, then $H^{n+1}(C^*) \simeq \varinjlim H_\gamma^{n+1}$, where $H_\gamma^{n+1} = H^{n+1}(C_\gamma^*; G)$. So, we obtain an exact sequence:

(4.4)

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) \rightarrow \varprojlim \text{Ext}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \rightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that, if $i_\gamma : C_\gamma^* \rightarrow C^*$ is a natural map, then it induces $\pi_\gamma : \bar{H}_*(C; G) \rightarrow \bar{H}_*(C_\gamma^*; G)$ map. On the other hand, by Theorem 1, the following diagram is commutative:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \tilde{\pi}_\gamma \downarrow & & \pi_\gamma \downarrow & & \bar{\pi}_\gamma \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C_\gamma^*); G) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n(C_\gamma^*; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H^n(C_\gamma^*); G) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The diagram (4.5) generates the following diagram:

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim \text{Ext}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim \bar{H}_n^\gamma & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^n; G) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Therefore, we have $\text{Ker} \tilde{\pi} \simeq \text{Ker} \pi$ and $\text{Coker} \tilde{\pi} \simeq \text{Coker} \pi$ and so, the following diagram is commutative:

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \text{Ker} \tilde{\pi} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Ker} \pi & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C); G) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n(C; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H^n(C); G) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim \text{Ext}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim \bar{H}_n^\gamma & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^n; G) \rightarrow 0 . \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \text{Coker} \tilde{\pi} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Coker} \pi & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Using the exact sequence (4.4) and diagram (4.7), we obtain a four-term exact sequence:

$$(4.8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n(C; G) \longrightarrow \varprojlim \bar{H}_n^\gamma \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using the exact sequence (4.8), Theorem 3 and isomorphism (4.3), we obtain the following diagram, which contains the long exact sequence of the

theorem:

(4.9)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & \vdots & & & \\
& & & \downarrow & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(3)} \text{Ext}(H_\gamma^{n+3}; G) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(3)} \bar{H}_{n+2}^\gamma & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(3)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+2}; G) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \\
0 & \longleftarrow & \varprojlim^{(1)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) & \longleftarrow & \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^\gamma & \longleftarrow & \varprojlim^{(1)} \text{Ext}(H_\gamma^{n+2}; G) \longleftarrow 0 \\
& & \simeq \downarrow & & \swarrow \text{---} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(1)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n(C; G) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim \bar{H}_n^\gamma \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \longrightarrow 0. \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \\
0 & \longleftarrow & \varprojlim^{(2)} \text{Ext}(H_\gamma^{n+2}; G) & \longleftarrow & \varprojlim^{(2)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^\gamma & \longleftarrow & \varprojlim^{(2)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+1}; G) \longleftarrow 0 \\
& & \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(4)} \text{Hom}(H_\gamma^{n+2}; G) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(4)} \bar{H}_{n+2}^\gamma & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^{(4)} \text{Ext}(H_\gamma^{n+3}; G) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \\
& & & & \vdots & &
\end{array}$$

□

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathbf{C}^* = \{C_\gamma^*\}$ be a direct system of cochain complexes. Then, for each injective abelian group G , there is an isomorphism*

$$(4.10) \quad \bar{H}_n \left(\varinjlim C_\gamma^*; G \right) \simeq \varprojlim \bar{H}_*(C_\gamma^*; G).$$

5 Applications

1. Let $C_c^*(X, G)$ be the cochain complex of Massey [Mas₂]. It is known that for each locally compact Hausdorff space X and each integer n the cochain group $C_c^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with integer coefficient is a free abelian group (theorem 4.1 [Mas₂]). Using the cochain complex $C_c^*(X, G)$, Massey defined an exact homology H_*^M , the so called Massey homology on the category of locally compact spaces and proper maps as a homology of the chain complex $C_*(X, G) = \text{Hom}(C_c^*(X), G)$. Consequently, for the given category the Universal Coefficient Formula is obtained (see theorem 4.1, corollary 4.18 [Mas₂] and theorem of universal coefficient 4.1 [Mac]):

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(H_c^{n+1}(X), G) \longrightarrow H_n^M(X, G) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H_c^n(X), G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $C_c^* = C_c^*(X; G)$ be the cochain complex of Massey. Consider the chain complex $\bar{C}_*^M(X; G) = \text{Hom}(C^*(X); \beta_\#)$. Let $\bar{H}_*^M(X; G)$ be homology of the

chain complex $\bar{C}_*^M(X; G)$. In this case, by Theorem 1 we will obtain the Universal Coefficient Formula:

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(H_c^{n+1}(X), G) \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n^M(X, G) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H_c^n(X), G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that by Theorem 2, for the category of locally compact spaces the homologies $\bar{H}_n^M(X, G)$ and $H_n^M(X, G)$ are isomorphic.

Note that for the Massey homology theory $\bar{H}_*^M(-; G)$ our construction gives the following result:

Corollary 5.1. *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, then*

a) if $\{N_\alpha\}$ is the system of closed neighborhoods N_α of closed subspace A of X , directed by inclusion, then it induces the following exact sequence:

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k+1)} \bar{H}_{n+k+1}^M(N_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(3)} \bar{H}_{n+2}^M(N_\alpha) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^M(N_\alpha) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n^M(A; G) \xrightarrow{i_*} \varprojlim \bar{H}_n^M(N_\alpha) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^M(N_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k)} \bar{H}_{n+k}^M(N_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

b) if $\{U_\alpha\}$ is the system of open subspaces of X , such that \bar{U}_α is compact and $X = \bigcup U_\alpha$ directed by inclusion, then it induces the following exact sequence:

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k+1)} \bar{H}_{n+k+1}^M(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(3)} \bar{H}_{n+2}^M(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^M(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n^M(A; G) \xrightarrow{i_*} \varprojlim \bar{H}_n^M(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^M(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k)} \bar{H}_{n+k}^M(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Note that the formula (??) is a generalization of Theorem 1.4.2 of [Mas₂].

2. Let G be an R -module over a principal ideal domain R and let X be a topological space. Denote by $\bar{C}^*(X; G)$ the cochain complex of Alexander-Spanier [Sp] and by $\bar{H}^*(X; G)$ the Alexander-Spanier cohomology. let A be a subspace of a topological space X and $\{U_\alpha\}$ be the family of all neighborhoods of A in X directed downward by inclusion. Hence, $\{\bar{H}^n(U_\alpha; G)\}$ is a direct system. The restriction maps $\bar{H}^n(U_\alpha; G) \longrightarrow \bar{H}^n(A; G)$ define a natural homomorphism

$$(5.5) \quad i : \varprojlim \bar{H}^n(U_\alpha; G) \longrightarrow \bar{H}^n(A; G).$$

By Theorem 6.6.2 [Sp], if A is a closed subspace of a paracompact Hausdorff space X , then (5.5) is an isomorphism. In this case, A is called a taut subspace relative to the Alexander-Spanier cohomology theory. In the case of homology theory, we have a natural homomorphism

$$(5.6) \quad i : H_n(A; G) \longrightarrow \varprojlim H_n(U_\alpha; G).$$

The question whether the homomorphism (5.6) is an isomorphism or not was open.

Let $\bar{C}^* = \bar{C}^*(X; G)$ be the cochain complex of Alexander-Spanier. Consider the chain complex $\bar{C}_*(X; G) = \text{Hom}(\bar{C}^*(X); \beta_{\#})$. Let $\bar{H}_*(X; G)$ be the homology of the chain complex $\bar{C}_*(X; G)$. In this case, we will say that the homology $\bar{H}_*(X; G)$ is generated by the Alexander-Spanier cochains $\bar{C}^*(X; G)$. By Theorem 4 we have the long exact sequence, which contains the homomorphisms (5.6).

Corollary 5.2. *If A is a closed subspace of a paracompact Hausdorff space X and $\{U_\alpha\}$ is the family of all neighborhoods of A in X , then there is a long exact sequence:*

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k+1)} \bar{H}_{n+k+1}(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(3)} \bar{H}_{n+2}(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n(A; G) \xrightarrow{i^*} \varprojlim \bar{H}_n(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \bar{H}_{n+1}(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k)} \bar{H}_{n+k}(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

3. It is clear that there is a natural inclusion $i^\# : C_c^*(X; G) \rightarrow \bar{C}_*(X; G)$ from the Massey cochain complex to the Alexander-Spanier cochain complex, which induces the corresponding homomorphism $i^* : \bar{H}_*(-; G) \rightarrow \bar{H}_*^M(-; G)$, where $\bar{H}_*(-; G)$ and $\bar{H}_*^M(-; G)$ are homologies generated by the Alexander-Spanier and the Massey cochains, respectively. Therefore, $\bar{H}_*(-; G)$ and $\bar{H}_*^M(-; G)$ are homologies of the chain complexes $\bar{C}_*(-; G) = \text{Hom}(\bar{C}^*(-); \beta_{\#})$ and $\bar{C}_*^M(-; G) = \text{Hom}(C_c^*(-); \beta_{\#})$. On the other hand, on the category of compact Hausdorff spaces, the Alexander-Spanier and the Massey cohomology are isomorphic and by the Universal Coefficient Formula, we will obtain that for each compact Hausdorff space there is an isomorphism:

$$(5.8) \quad i^* : \bar{H}_*(X; G) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bar{H}_*^M(X; G).$$

On the other hand, since on the category of compact metric spaces the Steenrod homology H_*^{St} [St], [Ed-Ha₁], [Ed-Ha₂] and the Massey homology are isomorphic, using the isomorphism (5.8), we will obtain that

$$(5.9) \quad \bar{H}_*(X; G) \simeq H_*^{St}(X; G).$$

The same way, on the category of compact Hausdorff spaces, the Milnor homology H_*^{Mil} and the Massey homology are isomorphic and consequently, we have

$$(5.10) \quad \bar{H}_*(X; G) \simeq H_*^{Mil}(X; G).$$

If $H_*^{BM}(-; G)$ is the Borel-Moore homology with coefficient in G , then by Theorem 3 [Kuz], we have the isomorphism

$$(5.11) \quad \bar{H}_*(X; G) \simeq H_*^{BM}(X; G).$$

4. Let K_C be the category of compact pairs (X, A) and continuous maps and H_* be an exact homology theory. Let $\{(X_\alpha, A_\alpha)\}$ be an inverse system of compact pairs (X_α, A_α) and $(X, A) = \varprojlim (X_\alpha, A_\alpha)$. The inverse system $\{(X_\alpha, A_\alpha)\}$ generates an inverse system $\{H_*(X_\alpha, A_\alpha)\}$ and the projection $\pi_\alpha : (X, A) \rightarrow (X_\alpha, A_\alpha)$ induces the homomorphism $\pi_{\alpha,*} : H_*(X, A) \rightarrow H_*(X_\alpha, A_\alpha)$, which induces the homomorphism

$$(5.12) \quad \pi_* : H_*(X, A) \rightarrow \varprojlim H_*(X_\alpha, A_\alpha).$$

Definition 5.3. An exact homology theory H_* is said to be a continuous on the category K_C , if for each inverse system $\{(X_\alpha, A_\alpha)\}$ of the given category, there is an infinite exact sequence:

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k+1)} \bar{H}_{n++k+1}^M(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(3)} \bar{H}_{n+2}^M(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^M(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n^M(X, A; G) \xrightarrow{i_*} \varprojlim \bar{H}_n^M(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^M(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k)} \bar{H}_{n+k}^M(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.4. A direct system $\mathbf{C}^* = \{C_\alpha^*\}$ of the cochain complexes C_α^* is said to be associated with a cochain complex C^* , if there is a homomorphism $\mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow C^*$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the induced homomorphism

$$(5.14) \quad \varinjlim H^*(C_\alpha^*) \rightarrow H^*(C^*)$$

is an isomorphism.

Lemma 5.5. *If a direct system $\mathbf{C}^* = \{C_\alpha^*\}$ of the cochain complexes C_α^* is associated with a cochain complex C^* , then there is an infinite exact sequence:*

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k+1)} \bar{H}_{n++k+1}^M(C_\alpha^*; G) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(3)} \bar{H}_{n+2}^M(C_\alpha^*; G) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^M(C_\alpha^*; G) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n^M(C^*; G) \xrightarrow{i_*} \varprojlim \bar{H}_n^M(C_\alpha^*; G) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^M(C_\alpha^*; G) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k)} \bar{H}_{n+k}^M(C_\alpha^*; G) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{H}_*(C^*) = H_*((\text{Hom}(C^*; \beta_\#))$ and $\bar{H}_*(C_\alpha^*) = H_*((\text{Hom}(C_\alpha^*; \beta_\#))$.

Proof. By theorem 4, There is a natural exact sequence:

$$(5.16) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(3)} \bar{H}_{n+2}^\alpha \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^\alpha \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n \left(\varinjlim C_\alpha^*; G \right) \longrightarrow \varprojlim \bar{H}_n^\alpha \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \bar{H}_{n+1}^\alpha \longrightarrow \cdots ,$$

where $\bar{H}_*^\alpha = \bar{H}_*(C_\alpha^*; G)$. Since the direct system $\mathbf{C}^* = \{C_\alpha^*\}$ of cochain complexes C_α^* is associated with a cochain complex C^* , there is an isomorphism

$$(5.17) \quad \bar{H}_*(\varinjlim C_\alpha^*; G) \simeq \varinjlim \bar{H}^*(C_\alpha^*; G) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bar{H}_*(C^*; G).$$

On the other hand, by Universal Coefficient Formula, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(C^*); G) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n(C^*; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H^n(C^*); G) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \bar{\pi}_n & & \downarrow \simeq \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(\varinjlim C_\alpha^*); G) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n(\varinjlim C_\alpha^*; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H^n(\varinjlim C_\alpha^*); G) \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Hence, the homomorphism $\bar{\pi}_n$ is an isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the exact sequence (5.16) and the isomorphism $\bar{\pi}_n$, we obtain an infinite exact sequence (5.15). \square

Corollary 5.6. *Let $\{(X_\alpha, A_\alpha)\}$ be an inverse system of pairs of compact spaces (X_α, A_α) and $(X, A) = \varprojlim (X_\alpha, A_\alpha)$. If \bar{H}_* is the homology theory generated by the Alexander-Spanier cochains, then there is an infinite exact sequence:*

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots &\longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k+1)} \bar{H}_{n+k+1}(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(3)} \bar{H}_{n+2}(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow \bar{H}_n(X, A; G) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \varprojlim \bar{H}_n(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2)} \bar{H}_{n+1}(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(2k)} \bar{H}_{n+k}(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.7. *Let $\{(X_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an inverse sequence of compact metric spaces (X_i, A_i) and $(X, A) = \varprojlim (X_i, A_i)$. If \bar{H}_* is the homology theory generated by the Alexander-Spanier cochains, then there is an exact sequence:*

$$(5.20) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}(X_i, A_i) \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n(X, A; G) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \varprojlim \bar{H}_n(X_i, A_i) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Corollary 5.8. *If \bar{H}_* is the homology theory generated by the Alexander-Spanier cochains, then there is an exact sequence:*

$$(5.21) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim^{(1)} \bar{H}_{n+1}(K_\alpha, L_\alpha) \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n(X, A; G) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \varprojlim \bar{H}_n(K_\alpha, L_\alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $(X, A) = \varprojlim (K_\alpha, L_\alpha)$ and (K_α, L_α) are finite polyhedral pairs.

5. Let $C_s^*(X; G)$ be the singular cochain complex of topological spaces X and $\bar{C}_*^s(X; G) = \text{Hom}(C_s^*(X); \beta_\#)$. Let $\bar{H}_*^s(X; G)$ be the homology of the obtained chain complex $\bar{C}_*^s(X; G)$. Therefore, $\bar{H}_*^s(-; G)$ is the homology generated by the singular cochain complex $C_s^*(-; G)$. It is known that

there is a natural homomorphism $j^\# : \bar{C}^*(X; G) \rightarrow C_s^*(X; G)$ from the Alexander-Sapner cochain complex to the singular cochain complex, which induces the isomorphism $j^* : \bar{H}^*(X; G) \rightarrow \bar{H}_s^*(X; G)$ on the category of manifolds. Therefore, by the Universal Coefficient Formula, we will obtain that if X is manifold, then there is an isomorphism:

$$(5.22) \quad j_* : \bar{H}_*^s(X; G) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bar{H}_*(X; G).$$

References

- [Ber-Mdz₁] *A. Beridze, L. Mdzinarishvili*, On the axiomatic systems of singular cohomology theory. *Topology Appl.* 275 (2020)
- [Ber-Mdz₂] *A. Beridze, L. Mdzinarishvili*, On the axiomatic systems of Steenrod homology theory of compact spaces. *Topology Appl.* 249 (2018), 73–82
- [Ber] *N. Berikashvili*, Axiomatics of the Steenrod-Sitnikov homology theory on the category of compact Hausdorff spaces. (Russian) *Topology (Moscow, 1979)*. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* 154 (1983), 24–37.
- [Bor-Mo] *A. Borel, J. C. Moore*, Homology theory for locally compact spaces. *Michigan Math. J.* 7 (1960), 137–159
- [Ed-Ha₁] *D. A. Edwards and H. M. Hastings*, Čech theory: its past, present, and future. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 10 (1980), no. 3, 429–468
- [Ed-Ha₂] *D. A. Edwards and H. M. Hastings*, Čech and Steenrod homotopy theories with applications to geometric topology. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 542. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976
- [Eil-St] *S. Eilenberg, N. Steenrod*, *Foundations of algebraic topology*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1952.
- [Hub-Mei] *M. Huber, W. Meier*, Cohomology theories and infinite CW-complexes. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 1978. V. 53, no. 2. P. 239–257.
- [Kuz] *Kuzminov, V. I.* Equivalence of homology theories on categories of bicomplexa. (Russian) *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* 21 (1980), no. 1, 125–129, 237.
- [Mac] *Mac Lane S.*, *Homology*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. 114. New York: Academic Press, Inc., Publishers; Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1963.

- [Mas₁] *W. S. Massey*, Singular homology theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 70. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980
- [Mas₂] *W. S. Massey*, Homology and Cohomology Theory. An Approach Based on Alexander-Spanier Cochains. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 46. New York-Basel: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1978.
- [Mas₃] *W. S. Massey*, How to give an exposition of the Čech-Alexander-Spanier type homology theory. Amer. Math. Monthly 85 (1978), no. 2, 75–83
- [Mas₄] *W. S. Massey*, Notes on homology and cohomology theory, Yale University, 1964 (mimeographed)
- [Mdz₁] *L. Mdzinarishvili*, On the Continuity Property of the Exact Homology Theories, Top. Proc. 56 (2020) pp. 237-247
- [Mdz₂] *L. Mdzinarishvili*, The uniqueness theorem for cohomologies on the category of polyhedral pairs, Trans. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. 2018. V. 172, no. 2. P. 265–275.
- [Mdz₃] *L. Mdzinarishvili*, Universelle Koeffizientenfolgen für den \varprojlim -Funktork und Anwendungen. (German) Manuscripta Math. 48 (1984), no. 1-3, 255–273.
- [Mdz₄] *L. Mdzinarishvili*, On homology extensions. Glas. Mat. Ser. III 21(41) (1986), no. 2, 455–482.
- [Mdz-Sp] *L. Mdzinarishvili, E. Spanier*, Inverse limits and cohomology. Glas. Mat. Ser. III 28(48) (1993), no. 1, 167–176.
- [Mel] *S. Melikhov*, Algebraic topology of Polish spaces. II: Axiomatic homology, arXiv:1808.10243
- [Mil] *J. Milnor*, On the Steenrod homology theory, Mimeographed Note, Princeton, 1960, in: Novikov Conjectures, Index Theorems and Rigidity, vol. 1, in: Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser., vol. 226, Oberwolfach, 1993, pp. 79–96.
- [Sk] *E. G. Skljarenko*, On the homology theory associated with Aleksandrov-Čech cohomology. (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 34 (1979), no. 6(210), 90–118.

- [Sp] *E. H. Spanier*, Algebraic Topology. Corrected reprint of the 1966 original. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [St] *N. E. Steenrod*, Regular cycles of compact metric spaces, Ann. of Math. (2). 1940. V. 41. P. 833–851.