

DARBOUX-JOUANOLOU INTEGRABILITY OF POLYNOMIAL DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

EDILENO DE ALMEIDA SANTOS AND SERGIO RODRIGUES

ABSTRACT. We prove a Darboux-Jouanolou type theorem on the algebraic integrability of polynomial differential r -forms over arbitrary fields ($r \geq 1$). Also we investigate the Darboux's method for producing integrating factors. A general algebraic version of Poincaré's Lemma on fields of any characteristic is formulated and proved.

We would like to thanks J. V. Pereira for suggest us the reference [1] (where appears the Cartier Operator); in this paper, our exposition was heavily influenced by his excellent monograph [17], where the Darboux-Jouanolou theory is appreciated on \mathbb{C}^2 .

1. INTRODUCTION

In his seminar memoir [4], G. Darboux (1878) showed the fascinating relationships between integrability and the existence of algebraic solutions for a planar polynomial differential system. The classical approach of Darboux shows that, for a planar polynomial vector field of degree d , on \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{C}^2 , from $\binom{d+1}{2} + 1$ invariant algebraic curves we can deduce an analytic (possibly multivaluated) first integral. This method was studied by H. Poincaré (1891) in [18], where he observes the difficulty to obtain algorithmically that invariant curves.

A general result of J.-P. Jouanolou (1979) in [9] shows that if K is a field of characteristic 0 and ω is a polynomial 1-form of degree d on K^n admitting at least $\binom{d-1+n}{n} \cdot \binom{n}{2} + 2$ irreducible invariant algebraic hypersurfaces, then ω has a rational first integral, computed in terms of the invariant hypersurfaces.

The *Darboux Integration Method* have been successfully used in Physics (see [8], [13], [14], [23], [25]). For example, C. G. Hewitt (1991) in [8] study some new solutions to the Einstein field equations.

For holomorphic foliations on compact complex manifolds, E. Ghys (2000) in [5] gives an extended version of Jouanolou's Theorem and B. Scárdua (2011) showed in [20] a local Darboux-Jouanolou type theorem for germs of integrable 1-forms on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Over fields of characteristic zero, a Darbou-Jouanolou type theorem is proved in [3] for polynomial differential r -forms, $r \geq 1$.

In positive characteristic, M. Brunella and M. Nicollau (1999) proved in [2] that if ω is a rational 1-form on a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field K of positive characteristic $p > 0$ with infinitely many invariant hypersurfaces, then ω admits a rational first integral.

In sharp contrast with characteristic 0 case, where a theorem of Jouanolou says that a generic vector field on the complex plane does not admit any invariant

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F75.

Key words and phrases. Positive Characteristic, Vector Fields, Codes.

algebraic curve, J. V. Pereira (2001) shows in [16] that a generic vector field on an affine space of positive characteristic admits an invariant algebraic hypersurface (the generic condition is that the divergent of the vector field must be zero).

Our goal in this paper is to extend results of [3] (r -forms over characteristic 0, $r > 0$) and [19] (1-forms over arbitrary fields) giving a general account of Darboux-Jouanolou integrability for polynomial r -forms on K^n ($r \geq 1$), where K is an arbitrary field. We obtain a general version of *Darboux-Jouanolou Criterion* ([9], Théorème 3.3, p. 102): for each polynomial r -form ω of degree d on K^n , with K of characteristic $p \geq 0$, we define a natural number $N_K(n, d, r)$ (see Definition 2.3) that depends only on n, r, d and p , and we prove the following theorem.

Theorem A (Theorem 5.3). *Let $\omega \in \Omega_d^r(K^n)$ be a polynomial r -form of degree d over an arbitrary field K and $N = N_K(n, d-1, r+1)$. If ω has $N+r+1$ invariant hypersurfaces, then ω admits a rational first integral.*

The number $N_K(n, d, r)$ has the property that in characteristic 0 holds $N_K(n, d, r) = \binom{d+n}{n} \cdot \binom{n}{r}$ but in characteristic $p > 0$ we have $N_K(n, d, r) \leq \binom{d+n}{n} \cdot \binom{n}{r}$.

Another approach is to look at *integrating factors*, that is, a function f for a given r -form ω such that $d(f\omega) = 0$. In this direction we obtain the result below.

Theorem B (Theorem 6.6). *Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K[z]/K}^r$ be a polynomial r -form of degree d and $N = N_K(n, d-1, r+1)$. If ω admits $N+r$ invariant polynomials, then ω has a rational first integral or a rational integration factor.*

Finally, we give an alternative definition of "closedness" for r -forms ("p-closedness", see Definition 7.1), in such a way that it is possible to obtain the following algebraic general version of Poincaré's Lemma below. In fact, we will see that this can be also easily deduced from the inverse of Cartier operator.

Theorem C (Theorem 7.5). *Let K be a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$ and R be $K[z]$ or $K(z)$. An r -form $\omega \in \Omega_{R/K}^r$ is exact if and only if ω is p -closed.*

2. DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

We remember first some definitions and results from reference [19], where the reader can obtain more information about vector fields and differential forms over arbitrary fields.

Definition 2.1. Let K be a field of arbitrary characteristic p ($p = 0$ or p is a prime integer). The *field of differential constants* is the sub-field of $K(z) = K(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ given by

$$K(z^p) = K(z_1^p, \dots, z_n^p) = \{f : f \in K(z), df = 0\}$$

Note that in characteristic 0 we have $K(z^p) = K(z^0) = K$. Otherwise, in prime characteristic $p > 0$ we obtain $K(z^p)$ as the K -vector subspace of $K(z)$ generated by $\{g^p : g \in K(z)\}$. The elements of $K(z^p)$ are called *∂ -constants*. If $K[z] = K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, then $K[z^p] := K(z_1^p, \dots, z_n^p) = \{f : f \in K[z], df = 0\}$.

The ring of polynomials $K[z]$ is a $K[z^p]$ -algebra and $K[z^p] - K^*$ is a K -submodule of the module $K[z]$. To make a $K(z^p)$ -algebra from $K[z]$ we define

$$\mathcal{M} := K[z] \otimes_{K[z^p]} K(z^p) \cong \frac{K[z]}{(K[z^p] - K^*)} \otimes_{K[z^p]} K(z^p) \cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq p-1} K(z^p) \cdot z_1^{i_1} \dots z_n^{i_n}$$

and \mathcal{M} is also a finite dimensional $K(z^p)$ -vector space.

Proposition 2.2 (see also [1], 1.1.1 Lemma, p. 3). *Let K be an arbitrary field. Then, as $K(z^p)$ -vector spaces, $K(z)$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{M} , and hence $K(z)$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $K(z^p)$, and $\dim_{K(z^p)}(K(z)) = p^n$.*

Proof. A base for $K(z)$ over $K(z^p)$ is given by the monomials $z_1^{i_1} \dots z_n^{i_n}$, where $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq p-1$. In fact, if $f = \frac{P}{Q} \in K(z)$, we can write

$$f = \frac{P}{Q} = \left(\frac{1}{Q} \right)^p \cdot Q^{p-1} P$$

and the polynomial $Q^{p-1} P$ can be expressed as a $K(z^p)$ -linear combination of the monomials $z_1^{i_1} \dots z_n^{i_n}$. \square

In fact, $K(z)$ is also a finitely generated $K(z^p)$ -algebra, whose generators are z_1, \dots, z_n and

$$K(z) \simeq_{K(z^p)} K(z^p)[z_1, \dots, z_n]/I_p$$

where, writing \bar{z}_i^p for the generators of $K(z^p)$, $I_p \subset K(z^p)[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ is the ideal generated by the elements $z_i^p - \bar{z}_i^p$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Define

$$\mathcal{M}_d := \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq p-1 \\ 0 \leq i_1 + \dots + i_n \leq d}} K(z^p) \cdot z_1^{i_1} \dots z_n^{i_n}$$

We can then write a decomposition by p -degree (as $K(z^p)$ -modules):

$$K(z^p) = \mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{M}_{n(p-1)} = K(z)$$

Let A be a ring and let B be an A -algebra. We denote by $\Omega_{B/A}^1$ the *module of relative differential 1-forms of B over A* (see [12], page 210). We can easily deduce the isomorphisms $\Omega_{K[z]/K}^1 \simeq \Omega_{K[z]/K[z^p]}^1$ as $K[z^p]$ -modules and $\Omega_{K(z)/K}^1 \simeq \Omega_{K(z)/K(z^p)}^1$ as $K(z^p)$ -modules.

Definition 2.3. We define the exterior algebras $\Omega_{K[z]/K}^r := \Omega_{K[z]/K}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Omega_{K[z]/K}^1$ and $\Omega_{K(z)/K}^r := \Omega_{K(z)/K}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Omega_{K(z)/K}^1$ (r times) and we indicate by $\Omega_d^r(K^n) \subset \Omega_{K[z]/K}^r$ the K -vector subspace of polynomial differentials r -forms of degree $\leq d$. Finally

$$\widehat{\Omega}_d^r(K^n) := \Omega_0^r(K^n) \otimes_K \mathcal{M}_{s(d)}$$

where $s(d) = \min\{d, n(p-1)\}$, and

$$N_K(n, d, r) = N(p, n, d, r) := \dim_{K(z^p)}(\widehat{\Omega}_d^r(K^n)) \leq \min\left\{ \binom{n}{r} \cdot p^n, \dim_K(\Omega_d^r(K^n)) \right\}$$

These two modules of r -forms have natural decomposition by degree:

$$\Omega_0^r(K^n) \subset \Omega_1^r(K^n) \subset \dots \subset \Omega_d^r(K^n) \subset \dots$$

$$\Omega_{K[z]/K}^r = \bigcup_{d=0}^{\infty} \Omega_d^r(K^n)$$

and

$$\widehat{\Omega}_0^r(K^n) \subset \widehat{\Omega}_1^r(K^n) \subset \dots \subset \widehat{\Omega}_{n(p-1)}^r(K^n)$$

$$\Omega_{K(z)/K}^r = \bigcup_{d=0}^{n(p-1)} \widehat{\Omega}_d^r(K^n)$$

For $d \geq n(p-1)$ we obtain $\widehat{\Omega}_d^r(K^n) = \widehat{\Omega}_{n(p-1)}^r(K^n)$.

For every $d \geq 0$ we have a natural inclusion $\Omega_d^r(K^n) \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_d^r(K^n)$, and we can write the commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{d} & K[z] & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_d^1(K^n) & \xrightarrow{d} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_0^r(K^n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{d} & K(z) & \xrightarrow{d} & \widehat{\Omega}_d^1(K^n) & \xrightarrow{d} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \widehat{\Omega}_0^r(K^n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

For every $r > 0$ there exists an inclusion homomorphism of K -vector spaces (in fact also of $K[z^p]$ -modules)

$$\Omega_{K[z^p]/K}^r = \bigcup_{d=0}^{\infty} \Omega_d^r(K^n) \rightarrow \Omega_{K(z^p)/K}^r = \bigcup_{d=0}^{n(p-1)} \widehat{\Omega}_d^r(K^n)$$

compatible with the d operator and then there exists a natural inclusion homomorphism between the *anti-commutative graded algebras*

$$\Omega_{K[z^p]/K}^* = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{K[z^p]/K}^r \rightarrow \Omega_{K(z^p)/K}^* = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{K(z^p)/K}^r$$

3. LOGARITHMIC 1-FORMS AND RESIDUES

Definition 3.1. Let F_1, \dots, F_m be a collection of irreducible polynomials and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in K(z^p)$ be ∂ -constants. The linear combination

$$\eta = \lambda_1 \frac{dF_1}{F_1} + \dots + \lambda_m \frac{dF_m}{F_m}$$

will be called a ∂ -logarithmic 1-form (or simply a *logarithmic 1-form*).

Note that every ∂ -logarithmic 1-form η is *closed*, that is, $d\eta = 0$.

Remember also the *Hilbert's Nullstellensatz*:

Theorem 3.2 ([11], Theorem 1.5, p. 380). *Let I be an ideal of $K[z]$ and let $V(I) = \{z \in (K^a)^n : f(z) = 0, \forall f \in I\}$ be the algebraic variety associated to I , where K^a is the algebraic closure of K . Let P be a polynomial in $K[z]$ such that $P(c) = 0$ for every zero $(c) = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in V(I)$. Then there is an integer $m > 0$ such that $P^m \in I$.*

Using the above theorem, Jouanolou proved the following important lemma ([9], Lemme 3.3.2, p. 102) in the case of the characteristic of the field K is 0.

Lemma 3.3. *If \mathcal{S} is a finite representative system of primes in $K[z] = K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ (that is, \mathcal{S} is a finite collection of distinct irreducible polynomials), then the K -linear map*

$$\begin{aligned} K^{(\mathcal{S})} &\longrightarrow \Omega_{K(z)/K}^1 \\ (\lambda_j)_{F_j \in \mathcal{S}} &\longmapsto \sum_{F_j \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda_j \frac{dF_j}{F_j} \end{aligned}$$

is injective.

The following lemma is a residue formula over positive characteristic $p > 0$.

Lemma 3.4 ([19], Lemma 5.2). *Let $G \in K[x]$, $G(0) \neq 0$, be a polynomial function in one variable, where K is a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$. If $\alpha \in K(x^p)$, then*

$$\text{Res}(\alpha \cdot \frac{dG}{G}, 0) = 0$$

The following lemma is the Jouanolou's Lemma for arbitrary fields.

Lemma 3.5 ([19], Lemma 5.3). *If \mathcal{S} is a finite representative system of non- ∂ -constant primes in $K[z] - K[z^p]$ (that is, \mathcal{S} is a finite collection of distinct non- ∂ -constant irreducible polynomials), then the $K(z^p)$ -linear map*

$$\begin{aligned} K(z^p)^{(\mathcal{S})} &\longrightarrow \Omega_{K(z)/K}^1 \\ (\lambda_j)_{F_j \in \mathcal{S}} &\longmapsto \sum_{F_j \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda_j \frac{dF_j}{F_j} \end{aligned}$$

is injective.

Definition 3.6 (Following [6]). Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K(z)/K}^r$ be a rational r -form. We define the space of *tangent 1-forms* of ω by

$$\mathcal{E}^*(\omega) = \{\eta \in \Omega_{K(z)/K}^1 : \omega \wedge \eta = 0\}$$

Lemma 3.7 ([3], Lemma 2.1). *Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K(z)/K}^r$. If there are r elements $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \in \mathcal{E}^*(\omega)$ linearly independent over $K(z)$, then there is $h \in K(z)$ such that*

$$\omega = h \cdot \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r$$

Proof. We can complete $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r\}$ to make a $K(z)$ -basis $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, \eta_{r+1}, \dots, \eta_M\}$ for $\Omega^r(K^n)$, where $M = \dim_{K(z)} \Omega^r(K^n)$. So we can write

$$\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq M} h_{i_1 \dots i_r} \cdot \eta_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_{i_r}$$

Since $\omega \wedge \eta_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, we have $\omega = h_{1 \dots r} \cdot \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r$. □

4. DARBOUX METHOD

Definition 4.1. Let $F \in K[z]$ and $V = \{F = 0\}$ be an algebraic hypersurface in $(K^a)^n$ and $\omega \in \Omega_d^r(K^n)$ be a polynomial r -form of degree d . We say that F is *invariant* by ω if $dF \neq 0$ and F divides $\omega \wedge dF$, that is, $\omega \wedge dF = F \cdot \Theta_F$, $\Theta_F \in \Omega_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n)$. By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz this is equivalent to say that $(\omega \wedge dF)|_V = 0$.

A rational function f is said to be a *rational first integral* for ω if $df \neq 0$ and $\omega \wedge df = 0$, that is, df is tangent to ω .

The following proposition is similar to Proposition 6.1 of [19].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K[z]/K}^r$ be an r -form, where K is an arbitrary field. If there are invariant irreducible polynomials F_1, \dots, F_m in $K[z]$ and ∂ -constants $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ in $K(z^p)$ such that*

$$\lambda_1 \Theta_{F_1} + \dots + \lambda_m \Theta_{F_m} = 0$$

then there is a logarithmic 1-form $\eta \neq 0$ such that $\omega \wedge \eta = 0$.

Proof. We can consider the logarithmic 1-form

$$\eta = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \cdot \frac{dF_i}{F_i}$$

Therefore

$$\omega \wedge \eta = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \omega \wedge \frac{dF_i}{F_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \Theta_{F_i} = 0$$

□

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\omega \in \Omega_d^r(K^n)$ be a polynomial r -form of degree d and $m = N_K(n, d-1, r+1) + 1 = \dim_{K(z^p)}(\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n)) + 1$. If ω has F_1, \dots, F_m irreducible invariant polynomials, then there is a logarithmic 1-form $\eta \neq 0$ such that $\omega \wedge \eta = 0$.*

Proof. The m associated cofactors $\Theta_{F_1}, \dots, \Theta_{F_m}$ are elements of $\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n) = \Omega_0^{r+1}(K^n) \otimes_K \mathcal{M}_{s(d)}$ and since $m = \dim_{K(z^p)}(\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n)) + 1$ then there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in K(z^p)$ such that

$$\lambda_1 \Theta_{F_1} + \dots + \lambda_m \Theta_{F_m} = 0$$

By Proposition 4.2, the logarithmic 1-form $\eta := \lambda_1 \frac{dF_1}{F_1} + \dots + \lambda_m \frac{dF_m}{F_m}$ satisfies

$$\omega \wedge \eta = \lambda_1 \Theta_{F_1} + \dots + \lambda_m \Theta_{F_m} = 0$$

□

Example 4.4. Consider the 2-form $\omega = \alpha xdy \wedge dz + \beta ydz \wedge dx + \gamma zdx \wedge dy$, where α, β, γ are ∂ -constants in $K(z^p)^*$. We can easily see that $F = x, G = y$ and $H = z$ are invariant. The associated cofactors are $\Theta_x = \omega \wedge \frac{dx}{x} = \alpha dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$, $\Theta_y = \omega \wedge \frac{dy}{y} = \beta dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$ and $\Theta_z = \omega \wedge \frac{dz}{z} = \gamma dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$. If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are ∂ -constants not all zero satisfying $\alpha \cdot \lambda_1 + \beta \cdot \lambda_2 + \gamma \cdot \lambda_3 = 0$ then

$$\lambda_1 \Theta_x + \lambda_2 \Theta_y + \lambda_3 \Theta_z = 0$$

and the logarithmic 1-form $\eta = \lambda_1 \frac{dx}{x} + \lambda_2 \frac{dy}{y} + \lambda_3 \frac{dz}{z}$ is tangent to ω .

Definition 4.5. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K(z)/z}^r$ be a rational r -form. The p -degree of ω is the smaller ϵ such that $\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_\epsilon^r(K^n)$.

Remark 4.6. In Corollary 4.3 it is not possible to exchange $\widehat{\Omega}_d^r(K^n) = \widehat{\Omega}_{s(d)}^r(K^n)$ by $\widehat{\Omega}_\epsilon^r(K^n)$ where ϵ is the p -degree of ω because in general we have $\epsilon < s(d) = \min\{d, p(n-1)\}$ and the proof of the corollary fails.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K[z]/K}^r$ be a polynomial r -form. If there are invariant irreducible polynomials F_1, \dots, F_m in $K[z]$ and constants $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$ in \mathbb{Z} (or in the prime sub-field \mathbb{Z}_p of K if $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$) such that*

$$\delta_1 \Theta_{F_1} + \dots + \delta_m \Theta_{F_m} = 0$$

then ω has a rational (resp. polynomial) first integral.

Proof. If we take the 1-form $\eta = \delta_1 \frac{dF_1}{F_1} + \dots + \delta_m \frac{dF_m}{F_m}$, then

$$\omega \wedge \eta = \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i \omega \wedge \frac{dF_i}{F_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i \Theta_{F_i} = 0$$

Since $dF_i^{\delta_i} = \delta_i F_i^{\delta_i} \frac{dF_i}{F_i}$, then the rational function (or polynomial) $f = F_1^{\delta_1} \dots F_m^{\delta_m}$ is such that $df = f\eta$ and then

$$\omega \wedge df = f\omega \wedge \eta = 0$$

that is, f is a first integral for ω . \square

5. DARBOUX-JOUANOLOU CRITERION

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K(z)/K}^r$ be a rational r -form and let $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \in \mathcal{E}^*(\omega)$ be $K(z^p)$ -linearly independent closed 1-forms. If $\eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r = 0$, then ω has a rational first integral.*

Proof. Since $\eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r = 0$, then η_1, \dots, η_r are linearly dependent over $K(z)$. Let m be the largest positive integer such that η_1, \dots, η_m are linearly independent over $K(z)$. Then

$$\eta_{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i \cdot \eta_i$$

with $f_1, \dots, f_m \in K(z)$.

The 1-forms $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m, \eta_{m+1}$ are $K(z^p)$ -linearly independent, hence there exists $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $df_{j_0} \neq 0$, that is, $f_{j_0} \in K(z) - K(z^p)$

Since η_i is closed for $i = 1, \dots, m+1$, then

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^m df_i \wedge \eta_i$$

Multiplying the above expression by $\eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\eta_{j_0}} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_m$, we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^m df_i \wedge \eta_i \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\eta_{j_0}} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_m = (-1)^{j_0+1} df_{j_0} \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_m$$

Since η_1, \dots, η_m are $K(z)$ -linearly independent, then there exist $g_1, \dots, g_m \in K(z)$ such that $df_{j_0} = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \cdot \eta_i$ and, by hypothesis, for each $j = 1, \dots, m$, $\omega \wedge \eta_j = 0$, therefore

$$\omega \wedge df_{j_0} = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \cdot \omega \wedge \eta_i = 0,$$

that is, f_{j_0} is a rational first integral for ω . \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K(z)/K}^r$ be a rational r -form, with $1 \leq r < n$, and let $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, \eta_{r+1} \in \mathcal{E}^*(\omega)$ be $K(z^p)$ -linearly independent logarithmic 1-forms. If $\eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r \neq 0$ and $\eta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_{r+1} \neq 0$, then ω has a rational first integral.*

Proof. By hypotheses $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r\}$ and $\{\eta_2, \dots, \eta_{r+1}\}$ are two sets of $K(z)$ -linearly independent logarithmic 1-forms in $\mathcal{E}^*(\omega)$. Writing $\tau_1 = \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r$ and $\tau_2 = \eta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_{r+1}$, we have distinct polar sets $|\tau_1|_\infty \neq |\tau_2|_\infty$ and

$$\tau_1 - \tau_2 = (\eta_1 + (-1)^r \eta_{r+1}) \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r$$

By Lemma 3.7 there exist rational functions h_1 and h_2 such that $\tau_i = h_i \cdot \omega$, $i = 1, 2$. Hence, writing $f = \frac{h_1}{h_2}$, we have $\tau_1 = f \cdot \tau_2$, that is,

$$0 = \tau_1 - f \cdot \tau_2 = (\eta_1 + (-1)^r f \cdot \eta_{r+1}) \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r$$

Moreover, using that $d\tau_1 = d\tau_2 = 0$, we have

$$\omega \wedge df = \frac{\tau_2 \wedge df}{h_2} = \frac{(-1)^r d\tau_1}{h_2} = 0$$

Therefore, if $f \in K(z) - K(z^p)$ then f is a rational first integral for ω .

Suppose now that $f \in K(z^p)$. Then the 1-forms $\mu := \eta_1 + (-1)^r f \cdot \eta_{r+1}, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_r \in \mathcal{E}^*(\omega)$ are $K(z^p)$ -linearly independent logarithmic 1-forms and then we conclude the existence of rational first integral for ω by Lemma 5.1. \square

Theorem 5.3 (Theorem A). *Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K[z]/K}^r$ be a polynomial r -form of degree d and $N = \dim_{K(z^p)}(\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n))$. If ω admits*

$$N + r + 1$$

invariant polynomials, then ω has a rational first integral.

Proof. Suppose that the polynomial r -form ω admits $N + r + 1$ invariant irreducible polynomials F_1, \dots, F_{N+r+1} . Then, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + r + 1\}$, there exists $\Theta_{F_i} \in \Omega_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n)$ such that

$$\omega \wedge dF_i = F_i \cdot \Theta_{F_i}$$

Since $\dim_{K(z^p)}(\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n)) = N$, for each $s = 1, \dots, r + 1$, we can choose $\lambda_s^s, \dots, \lambda_{s+N}^s \in K(z^p)$ such that $\lambda_s^s \neq 0$ and

$$\sum_{j=s}^{s+N} \lambda_j^s \cdot \Theta_{F_j} = 0$$

For each $s = 1, \dots, r + 1$, we can define the logarithmic 1-form

$$\eta_s = \sum_{j=s}^{s+N} \lambda_j^s \cdot \frac{dF_j}{F_j}$$

and then $\omega \wedge \eta_s = 0$.

Define

$$\tau_1 = \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r$$

and

$$\tau_2 = \eta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_{r+1}$$

By Lemma 3.5, $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r\}$ and $\{\eta_2, \dots, \eta_{r+1}\}$ are two sets of logarithmic 1-forms linearly independent over $K(z^p)$. Then:

- (i) if $\tau_1 = 0$ or $\tau_2 = 0$, we obtain a rational first integral for ω by Lemma 5.1;
- (ii) if $\tau_1 \neq 0$ and $\tau_2 \neq 0$, we obtain a rational first integral for ω by Lemma 5.2. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let X be a polynomial vector field of degree d on K^n and N be the dimension of the $K(z^p)$ -vector space $\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^n(K^n)$. If X admits*

$$N + n$$

invariant irreducible polynomials, then X has a rational first integral.

Proof. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i \frac{\partial}{\partial_i}$ be a polynomial vector field of degree d . We can associate to this vector field the $(n-1)$ -form

$$\omega_X = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} P_i dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dz_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n = i_X(dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n),$$

that is, ω_X is the *rotational* of X . Then F is X -invariant if and only if F is ω -invariant and, by Theorem 5.3, ω_X has a rational first integral and hence X has also a rational first integral. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let $f = \frac{P}{Q} \in K(z)$ be a rational function such that $df \neq 0$. Let $H \in K[z]$ be a polynomial. Then there is $\lambda \in K[P^p]$ such that $P + \lambda Q$ has a non- ∂ -constant factor G with $MDC(H, G) = 1$.*

Proof. If $\lambda = (HP)^p$, then

$$P + \lambda Q = P + H^p P^p Q = P(1 + H^p P^{p-1} Q)$$

Hence, if $G = 1 + H^p P^{p-1} Q$, then $MDC(H, G) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} dG &= d(1 + H^p P^{p-1} Q) = H^p d(P^{p-1} Q) = H^p (P^{p-1} dQ + (p-1) P^{p-2} Q dP) = \\ &= H^p (P^{p-1} - P^{p-2} Q dP) = H^p P^{p-2} (P dQ - Q dP) = -H^p P^{p-2} Q^2 df \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 5.6. *Let $f = \frac{P}{Q} \in K(z)$ be a rational function such that $df \neq 0$. Then there are infinitely many non- ∂ -constant irreducible factors of polynomials $P + \lambda Q \in K[P^p]$, $\lambda \in K[z^p]$.*

Proof. Let H_1, \dots, H_m be non- ∂ -constant irreducible factors of $P + \lambda_1 Q, \dots, P + \lambda_m Q$, respectively. Define $H = H_1 \cdot \dots \cdot H_m$. By the above lemma, there is $\lambda \in K[z^p]$ such that $P + \lambda Q$ has a non- ∂ -constant factor G such that $MDC(H, G) = 1$. Therefore there is a non- ∂ -constant irreducible factor H_{m+1} of G . This proves the lemma. \square

Corollary 5.7. *Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K[z]/K}^r$ be a polynomial r -form over a field K . Then ω has rational first integral if and only if it has an infinite number of invariant polynomials.*

Proof. Suppose that $f = \frac{P}{Q}$ is a rational first integral for ω . We have $\omega \wedge d(\frac{P}{Q}) = 0$ and in particular

$$\omega \wedge (QdP - PdQ) = 0$$

which imply $Q\omega \wedge \frac{dP}{P} = \omega \wedge dQ$ and $P\omega \wedge \frac{dQ}{Q} = \omega \wedge dP$. Since P and Q are co-primes, $\omega \wedge \frac{dP}{P}$ and $\omega \wedge \frac{dQ}{Q}$ are polynomial $(r+1)$ -forms.

Consider $F_\lambda = P - \lambda Q$, where $\lambda \in K[z^p]$. Then

$$\omega \wedge dF_\lambda = \omega \wedge (dP - \lambda dQ) = \omega \wedge dP - \lambda \omega \wedge dQ = \omega \wedge dP - \lambda Q \omega \wedge \frac{dP}{P}$$

In this way

$$\omega \wedge dF_\lambda = F_\lambda \cdot \omega \wedge \frac{dP}{P} = F_\lambda \cdot \omega \wedge \frac{dQ}{Q}$$

Therefore $\omega \wedge \frac{dF_\lambda}{F_\lambda}$ is a polynomial $(r+1)$ -form, that is, the non ∂ -constants irreducible factors of F_λ are invariant.

Reciprocally we can apply Theorem 5.3. □

6. INTEGRATING FACTORS AND DARBOUX METHOD

Definition 6.1. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K[z]/K}^r$ be a polynomial r -form. A rational function f is said to be an *integration factor* of ω if $f\omega$ is closed, that is, $d(f\omega) = 0$.

The relationship between closed and exact forms over fields of characteristic $p > 0$ will be discussed in the next section.

Proposition 6.2. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K[z]/K}^r$ be a polynomial r -form. If there are invariant irreducible polynomials F_1, \dots, F_m in $K[z]$ and ∂ -constants $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ in $K(z^p) - \{0\}$ such that

$$\lambda_1 \Theta_{F_1} + \lambda_2 \Theta_{F_2} + \dots + \lambda_m \Theta_{F_m} = d\omega$$

then there is a logarithmic 1-form $\eta \neq 0$ such that $\omega \wedge \eta = \omega$.

Proof. Consider the logarithmic 1-form

$$\eta = \lambda_1 \frac{dF_1}{F_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{dF_2}{F_2} + \dots + \lambda_m \frac{dF_m}{F_m} \neq 0$$

Then

$$\omega \wedge \eta = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \omega \wedge \frac{dF_i}{F_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \Theta_{F_i} = d\omega$$

□

Proposition 6.3. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K[z]/K}^r$ be a polynomial r -form. If there are invariant irreducible polynomials F_1, \dots, F_m in $K[z]$ and constants $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$ in \mathbb{Q} (or in the prime sub-field \mathbb{Z}_p of K if $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$) such that

$$\delta_1 \Theta_{F_1} + \delta_2 \Theta_{F_2} + \dots + \delta_m \Theta_{F_m} = d\omega$$

then ω has a rational (respectively polynomial) integration factor.

Proof. As in the previous proposition, define the logarithmic 1-form

$$\eta = \delta_1 \frac{dF_1}{F_1} + \delta_2 \frac{dF_2}{F_2} + \dots + \delta_m \frac{dF_m}{F_m} \neq 0$$

Up to multiplying $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$ by -1 if necessary, we can suppose that

$$\omega \wedge \eta = \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i \omega \wedge \frac{dF_i}{F_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i \Theta_{F_i} = \sigma d\omega$$

where $\sigma = (-1)^{r+1} = \pm 1$, and hence $(-1)^r \omega \wedge \eta = -d\omega$.

Define the rational (respectively polynomial) function

$$f = F_1^{\delta_1} F_2^{\delta_2} \dots F_m^{\delta_m}$$

By differentiation we have $df = f\eta$, and then

$$d(f\omega) = df \wedge \omega + f d\omega = (-1)^r \omega \wedge df + f d\omega = (-1)^r f \omega \wedge \eta + f d\omega = -f d\omega + f d\omega = 0$$

□

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\omega \in \Omega_d^r(K^n)$ be a rational r -form of degree d . If ω has $N = N_K(n, d-1, r+1) = \dim_{K(z^p)}(\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n))$ invariant irreducible polynomials F_1, F_2, \dots, F_N , then there is a logarithmic 1-form $\eta \neq 0$ such that $\omega \wedge \eta = 0$ or $\omega \wedge \eta = d\omega$. If $K = \mathbb{Q}$ or $K = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $p > 0$, then ω has a rational first integral or a rational integration factor.*

Proof. The cofactors associated to the invariant polynomials are differential $(r+1)$ -forms of degree less than or equal to $d-1$. By the natural inclusion $\Omega_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n) \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n)$ and since we have $N = \dim_{K(z^p)}(\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n))$ cofactors associated to the polynomials F_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, then that cofactors are linearly dependent or form a base to the $K(z^p)$ -vector space $\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n)$. Hence there are two possibilities:

Case 1. *The cofactors associated to the polynomials F_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, are $K(z^p)$ -linearly dependent.* Then there are ∂ -constants $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ in K such that

$$\lambda_1 \Theta_{F_1} + \lambda_2 \Theta_{F_2} + \dots + \lambda_N \Theta_{F_N} = 0$$

hence for the logarithmic 1-form

$$\eta = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \frac{dF_i}{F_i}$$

as in Proposition 4.7, $\omega \wedge \eta = 0$.

Case 2. *The cofactors associated to the polynomials F_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, form a base to the $K(z^p)$ -vector space $\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n)$.* Then, as in Proposition 6.3, the $(r+1)$ -form $d\omega$ can be written as a $K(z^p)$ -linear combination of the cofactors Θ_{F_i} and we obtain a logarithmic 1-form η such that $\omega \wedge \eta = d\omega$. □

Example 6.5 (Lotka-Volterra Equation). Consider the 1-form

$$\omega = y(\gamma - \delta x)dx + x(\alpha - \beta y)dy$$

We can easily see that the polynomials $F = x$ and $G = y$ are invariant by ω , and their cofactors are

$$\Theta_x = \omega \wedge \frac{dx}{x} = (\beta y - \alpha)dx \wedge dy$$

and

$$\Theta_y = \omega \wedge \frac{dy}{y} = (\gamma y - \delta)dx \wedge dy$$

Taking the differential of ω , we have

$$d\omega = [(\alpha - \beta y) - (\gamma - \delta x)]dx \wedge dy = -\Theta_x - \Theta_y$$

and therefore

$$d\omega = \omega \wedge \left(-\frac{dx}{x} - \frac{dy}{y}\right)$$

and then $(xy)^{-1}$ is a rational integration factor for ω .

Theorem 6.6 (Theorem B). *Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K[z]/K}^r$ be a polynomial r -form of degree d and $N = N_K(n, d-1, r+1) = \dim_{K(z^p)}(\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n))$. If ω admits*

$$N + r$$

invariant polynomials, then ω has a rational first integral or a rational integration factor.

Proof. Suppose that the polynomial r -form ω admits $N + r$ invariant irreducible polynomials F_1, \dots, F_{N+r} . Then, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + r\}$, there exists $\Theta_{F_i} \in \Omega_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n)$ such that

$$\omega \wedge dF_i = F_i \cdot \Theta_{F_i}$$

Since $\dim_{K(z^p)}(\widehat{\Omega}_{d-1}^{r+1}(K^n)) = N$, for each $s = 1, \dots, r$, we can choose $\lambda_s^s, \dots, \lambda_{s+N}^s \in K[z^p]$ such that $\lambda_s^s \neq 0$ and

$$\sum_{j=s}^{s+N} \lambda_j^s \cdot \Theta_{F_j} = 0$$

For each $s = 1, \dots, r$, we can define the logarithmic 1-form

$$\eta_s = \sum_{j=s}^{s+N} \lambda_j^s \cdot \frac{dF_j}{F_j}$$

and then $\omega \wedge \eta_s = 0$. Define

$$\tau = \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r$$

By Lemma 3.5, η_1, \dots, η_r are linearly independent over $K(z^p)$ and:

- (i) if $\tau = 0$ then, by Lemma 5.1, we obtain a rational first integral for ω ;
- (ii) if $\tau \neq 0$ then, by Lemma 3.7, there is a rational function h such that $\omega = h \cdot \tau$; therefore, since τ is closed, with $f = \frac{1}{h}$, we have

$$d(f \cdot \omega) = d\tau = 0,$$

that is, f is an integration factor for ω . □

Remark 6.7. If $\omega \in \Omega_d^r(K^n)$ has a rational first integral, then ω admits infinitely many invariant polynomials, so ω also has a rational integration factor.

7. THE POINCARÉ LEMMA

If K is of characteristic 0 (for example $K = \mathbb{R}$ or $K = \mathbb{C}$) it is well known that an r -form is closed if and only if it is exact, that is, $d\omega = 0$ if and only if $\omega = d\eta$ (Poincaré's Lemma). If K is of characteristic $p > 0$, then there exist closed forms that are not exact: for example, $\eta = z_i^{p-1} dz_i \in \Omega_{K(z^p)/K}^1$ is closed but it is not exact because the "integration $\int z_i^{p-1} dz_i$ " cannot give a polynomial result. In this section we will develop a similar result of Poincaré Lemma over fields of arbitrary characteristic.

The d operator on r -forms over R , where $R = K[z]$ or $R = K(z)$, gives us a chain sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{d} R \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{R/K}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{R/K}^n \longrightarrow 0$$

If K has characteristic 0, this sequence is proved to be exact (see [7], *Proposition (7.1) (Poincaré lemma)*, page 53), that is, an r -form is exact if and only if it is closed. In order to give a positive characteristic version of this important theorem, we need more than simply the closedness of forms. The following definition will provide a necessary and sufficient condition to exactness.

Definition 7.1. Let K be a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$. An r -form $\omega = \sum_I a_I dz_I \in \Omega_{R/K}^r$ is p -closed if ω is closed (that is, $d\omega = 0$) and for every multi-index $I = (i_1 < \dots < i_r)$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^r}{\partial z_I}\right)^{p-1}(a_I) = \frac{\partial^{r(p-1)}}{\partial z_I^{p-1}}(a_I) = \frac{\partial^{r(p-1)}}{\partial z_{i_1}^{p-1} \dots \partial z_{i_r}^{p-1}}(a_I) = 0$$

When $r = 0$, a 0-form is a rational function and $\omega \in R$ is p -closed only in the case $\omega = 0$.

If $\eta = \sum_I b_I dz_I \in \Omega_{R/K}^r$, we write

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}(\eta) := \sum \frac{\partial b_I}{\partial z_i} dz_I$$

Definition 7.2. Let K be a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$. A p -decomposable r -form $\omega \in \Omega_{R/K}^r$ is defined inductively by the following conditions.

- (1) If $r = 0$, that is, ω is a rational function, then we must have $\omega \in K(z^p)$.
- (2) If $r > 0$, then, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, we require that $d\omega = 0$ and

$$\omega = dz_i \wedge (z_i^{p-1} \omega_i + \eta_i) + \tau_i$$

where ω_i is p -decomposable, $(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i})^{p-1}(\eta_i) = 0$ and τ_i is free of dz_i .

Theorem 7.3. An r -form $\omega \in \Omega_{R/K}^r$ is p -closed if and only if it is p -decomposable.

Proof. First we prove that if ω is p -closed, then ω is p -decomposable. We proceed by induction on r . If $r = 0$, there is nothing to do. Suppose the result true for r -forms, $r \geq 0$. A p -closed $(r+1)$ -form ω has the decomposition

$$\omega = dz_i \wedge (z_i^{p-1} \omega_i + \eta_i) + \tau_i$$

where $(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i})^{p-1}(\omega_i) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i})^{p-1}(\eta_i) = 0$ and τ_i is free of dz_i . Since $d\omega = 0$, we have

$$0 = d\omega = (-1)^r dz_i \wedge (z_i^{p-1} d\omega_i + d\eta_i) + d\tau_i$$

and then

$$z_i^{p-1} dz_i \wedge d\omega_i = -dz_i \wedge d\eta_i + (-1)^{r+1} d\tau_i$$

If $d\omega_i \neq 0$, then also $dz_i \wedge d\omega_i \neq 0$ and $(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i})^{p-1}(z_i^{p-1} dz_i \wedge d\omega_i) = dz_i \wedge d\omega_i \neq 0$, but

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)^{p-1}(-dz_i \wedge d\eta_i + (-1)^{r+1} d\tau_i) = 0$$

In this way, $d\omega_i = 0$. Finally, we can write $\omega_i = \sum_J a_J dz_J$, $\eta_i = \sum_J b_J dz_J$ and $\omega = \sum_I a_I dz_I = dz_i \wedge (z_i^{p-1} \sum_J a_J dz_J + \sum_J b_J dz_J) + \tau_i$, such that if the index i is in the multi-index I , then

$$a_I = z_i^{p-1} a_J + b_J$$

and

$$0 = \left(\frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial z_I}\right)^{p-1}(a_I) = \left(\frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial z_I}\right)^{p-1}(z_i^{p-1} a_J) + \left(\frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial z_I}\right)^{p-1}(b_J) = \left(\frac{\partial^r}{\partial z_J}\right)^{p-1}(a_J)$$

Hence ω_i is p -closed and, by induction hypotheses, it is p -decomposable. Therefore ω is p -decomposable.

Reciprocally, suppose that ω is p -decomposable. We also proceed by induction on r . If $r = 0$, the result is trivial. Now suppose that every p -decomposable r -form is p -closed, where $r \geq 0$ is fixed. If ω is a p -decomposable $(r+1)$ -form, we can write

$$\omega = dz_i \wedge (z_i^{p-1} \omega_i + \eta_i) + \tau_i$$

where ω_i is p -decomposable, $(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i})^{p-1}(\eta_i) = 0$ and τ_i is free of dz_i . By induction hypothesis, ω_i is p -closed. Hence, writing again $\omega_i = \sum_J a_J dz_J$, $\eta_i = \sum_J b_J dz_J$ and $\omega = \sum_I a_I dz_I = dz_i \wedge (z_i^{p-1} \sum_J a_J dz_J + \sum_J b_J dz_J) + \tau_i$, such that if the index i is in the multi-index I , then

$$a_I = z_i^{p-1} a_J + b_J$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial z_I}\right)^{p-1} (a_I) = \left(\frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial z_I}\right)^{p-1} (z_i^{p-1} a_J) + \left(\frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial z_I}\right)^{p-1} (b_J) = \left(\frac{\partial^r}{\partial z_J}\right)^{p-1} (a_J) = 0$$

hence ω is also p -closed. \square

Remark 7.4. The set of p -closed r -forms is a $K(z^p)$ -vector subspace of $\Omega_{K(z)/K}^r$.

A sketch of proof of the following theorem in the case $r = 1$ can be found in [21]. In order to obtain a general statement, we introduce the above definition, which generalizes inductively the definition given in [21].

Theorem 7.5 (Theorem C). *Let K be a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$ and R be $K[z]$ or $K(z)$. An r -form $\omega \in \Omega_{R/K}^r$ is exact if and only if ω is p -closed.*

Proof. Since for every $\omega \in \Omega_{K(z)/K}^r$, there is a ∂ -constant $\lambda \in K[z^p]$ such that $\lambda \cdot \omega \in K[z]$, we can suppose $R = K[z]$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose first that ω is exact, that is, $\omega = d\eta$. If $\eta = \sum_J b_J dz_J$ then

$$\omega = \sum a_I dz_I$$

and each $a_I = \frac{\partial b_J}{\partial z_i}$ for some index i in the multi-index I and some multi-index J .

This imply that $\left(\frac{\partial^{|I|}}{\partial z_I}\right)^{p-1} (a_I) = 0$. Therefore ω is p -closed.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that ω is p -closed. We proceed by induction on n and r . For $r = 0$ we have that $\omega = 0 \in R$ and there is nothing to prove. Suppose the property is true to r -forms, where $r \geq 0$. We now prove by induction on n that every $(r+1)$ -form is exact if and only if is p -closed. The case $n = 0$ is trivial. Suppose the result true for $n - 1 \geq 0$ and let ω be a $(r+1)$ -form over K^n . Since ω is p -closed, we can write

$$\omega = dz_1 \wedge (z_1^{p-1} \omega_1 + \eta_1) + \tau_1 = z_1^{p-1} dz_1 \wedge \omega_1 + dz_1 \wedge \eta_1 + \tau_1$$

where ω_1 is p -closed, $(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1})^{p-1} \eta_1 = 0$ and τ_1 is free of dz_1 .

By induction hypothesis, ω_1 is exact, that is, $\omega_1 = d\alpha$. Then $z_1^{p-1} dz_1 \wedge \omega_1 = d(-z_1^{p-1} dz_1 \wedge \alpha)$ is also exact and hence $z_1^{p-1} dz_1 \wedge \omega_1$ is p -closed, by the first part of the proof. In this way, we have that $\omega - z_1^{p-1} dz_1 \wedge \omega_1$ is p -closed and then we can suppose $\omega_1 = 0$. We define

$$\theta = \int \eta_1 dz_1$$

(in the obvious algebraic "integration" sense, which is possible because $(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1})^{p-1}\eta_1 = 0$). Then $\theta \in \Omega_{R/K}^{r-1}$ and

$$d\theta = dz_1 \wedge \eta_1 + \theta_1$$

where θ_1 does not involve dz_1 .

Replacing ω by $\omega - d\theta = \tau_1 - \theta_1$, we reduce to the case where ω is free of dz_1 and, as ω is exact, we can see it in $\Omega_{K(z_1^p)[z_2, \dots, z_n]/K(z^p)}^{r+1}$. By our induction hypothesis (over n) the $(r+1)$ -form ω is p -closed as an element of $\Omega_{K(z_1^p)[z_2, \dots, z_n]/K(z^p)}^{r+1}$, and hence it is also p -closed as an element of $\Omega_{K[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]/K}^{r+1}$. \square

In the following corollary we use the standard multi-index notation.

Corollary 7.6. *Let $\omega = \sum_{I=(i_1 < \dots < i_r)} a_I(z) dz_I \in \Omega_{R/K}^r$ be a closed r -form on K^n . If for each multi-index $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ there is an index $s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i_s}} \right)^{p-1} (a_I) = 0,$$

then ω is exact.

Proof. The enunciated property imply immediately the p -closedness condition. \square

Remark 7.7. The converse of the corollary is not true. In fact, if

$$\omega = (x^{p-1} + y^{p-1}) dx \wedge dy$$

then

$$\omega = d(xy^{p-1} dy - x^{p-1} y dx)$$

but

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{p-1} (x^{p-1} + y^{p-1}) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{p-1} (x^{p-1} + y^{p-1}) = 1$$

8. THE INVERSE OF CARTIER OPERATOR

In this section we follows closely the book [1].

Let A be a K -algebra (in general, $A = K[z]$ or $A = K(z)$). We have the *derivation operator*

$$d : \Omega_{A/K}^* \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^*$$

$$d(a_1 da_2 \wedge \dots \wedge da_r) = da_1 \wedge da_2 \wedge \dots \wedge da_r$$

In particular, we have the derivation $d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^1$. We define the *ring of ∂ -constants*

$$A_0 := \{a \in A; d(a) = 0\}$$

Then obviously $K \subset A_0$. If p is the characteristic of K , then

$$A^p := \{a^p; a \in A\} \subset A_0$$

(where if $p = 0$, then $A^p = K$.)

The d -operator is A_0 -linear and satisfies $d^2 = 0$, hence we obtain a complex $(\Omega_{A/K}^*, d)$, called the *De Rham complex* of A (over K).

Lemma 8.1 ([1], 1.3.2 Lemma, p. 23). *Let A be an algebra over an algebraically closed field K . The space $Z_A^* := \{\alpha \in \Omega_{A/K}; d\alpha = 0\}$ is a graded A^p -subalgebra of Ω_A^* , and the space $B_A^* := \{d\alpha; \alpha \in \Omega_{A/K}^{*-1}\}$ is a graded ideal of Z_A^* . Hence, the quotient space*

$$H_A^* := Z_A^*/B_A^*$$

is a graded-commutative A^p -algebra. If also A is a localization of a finitely generated algebra, then the A -module $\Omega_{A/K}^$ is finitely generated, and the A^p -modules Z_A^* , B_A^* and H_A^* are finitely generated as well.*

Consider the map $\gamma : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^1$, $\gamma(a) = a^{p-1}da$.

Lemma 8.2 ([1], 1.3.3 Lemma, p. 24). *With the above notations, for all $a, b \in A$, we have:*

- (1) $\gamma(ab) = a^p\gamma(b) + b^p\gamma(a)$.
- (2) $d\gamma(a) = 0$.
- (3) $\gamma(a+b) - \gamma(a) - \gamma(b) \in B_A^1$.

Now consider the composition

$$A \xrightarrow{\gamma} Z_A^1 \longrightarrow Z_A^1/B_A^1 = H_A^1$$

which is a K -derivation and A acts on itself by multiplication, and on H_A^1 via the Frobenius endomorphism $F : A \rightarrow A^p$, $F(a) = a^p$. This derivation will be also denoted by γ . The universal property of Kähler differentials yields an A -algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma : \Omega_{A/K}^* &\rightarrow H_A^* \\ a_1 da_2 \wedge \dots \wedge da_r &\mapsto a_1^p a_2^{p-1} \dots a_r^{p-1} da_2 \wedge \dots \wedge da_r \quad \text{mod } B_A^* \end{aligned}$$

where A acts on H_A^* via the Frobenius endomorphism.

Theorem 8.3 ([1], 1.3.4 Theorem, p. 24). *If K is algebraically closed and A is a regular ring, then $\gamma : \Omega_{A/K}^* \rightarrow H_A^*$ is an isomorphism.*

The inverse of the isomorphism γ on the above theorem is called the *Cartier Operator*. In particular, suppose K algebraically closed and $A = K(z) = K(z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Then

$$\gamma(a(z)dz_I) = a(z)^p \cdot z_I^{p-1} dz_I \quad \text{mod } B_A^{|I|}$$

and every closed r -form ω can be write as

$$\omega = \sum_I a_I dz_I = \sum_I b_I^p z_I^{p-1} dz_I + d\eta = \sum_I \left(b_I^p z_I^{p-1} + \frac{\partial c_I}{\partial z_{i_I}} \right) dz_I$$

hence $a_I = b_I^p z_I^{p-1} + \frac{\partial c_I}{\partial z_{i_I}}$, so that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^{|I|}}{\partial z_I} \right)^{p-1} (a_I) = b_I^p$$

This imply that $\omega = d\eta$ if and only if ω is p -closed.

In the general case where K is not necessarily algebraically closed, we can consider the algebraic closure \bar{K} of K and then we obtain an inclusion $K(z) \hookrightarrow \bar{K}(z)$, which enable us to make the same argument to conclude the p -closedness condition for exatness. Also the K -linear transformation

$$\gamma_0 : \Omega_{K(z)/K}^* \rightarrow H_{K(z)}^*$$

$$a(z)dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_r} \mapsto a(z^p)z_{i_1}^{p-1} \dots z_{i_r}^{p-1} dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_r} \pmod{B_{K(z)}^r}$$

where $a(z^p) := a(z_1^p, \dots, z_n^p)$, is an isomorphism of $K(z)$ -vector spaces, if $K(z)$ acts on $H_{K(z)}^*$ via $F_0 : K(z) \rightarrow K(z^p)$, $a(z) \mapsto a(z^p)$.

REFERENCES

1. M. BRION, S. KUMAR, *Frobenius Splitting Methods in Geometry and Representation Theory*. Progress in Mathematics **231**, Birkhäuser, (2005).
2. M. BRUNELLA, M. NICOLAU, *Sur les Hypersurfaces Solutions des Équations de Pfaff*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **329**, 793-795, (1999).
3. M. CORRÊA JR., L. G. MAZA, M. G. SOARES, *Algebraic Integrability of Polynomial Differential r -forms*. Journey of Pure and Applied Algebra, **215**, 2290-2294, (2011).
4. G. DARBOUX, *Memoire sur les équations différentielles algébriques du premier ordre et du premier degré (Mélanges)*. Bull. Sci. Math., **2**, 60-96, 123-144, 151-200, (1878).
5. E. GHYS, *À propos d'un théorème de J.-P. Jouanolou concernant les feuilles fermées des feuilletages holomorphes*. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **49**, 175–180, (2000).
6. G. GODBILLON, *Géométrie Différentielle et Mécanique Analytique*. Herman, Paris, (1969).
7. R. HARTSHORNE, *On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties*. Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., **45**, 5–99, (1975).
8. C.G. HEWITT, *Algebraic invariant curves in cosmological dynamical systems and exact solutions*. Gen. Relativity Gravitation, **23 (12)**, 1363–1383, (1991).
9. J-P. JOAUNOLOU, *Équations de Pfaff Algébriques*. Lecture Notes in Math., **708**, Springer, (1979)
10. J-P. JOAUNOLOU, *Hypersurfaces Solutions d'une Équation de Pfaff Analytique*. Mathematische Annalen, **232**, 239-246, (1978)
11. S. LANG, *Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **211**, 3rd ed., Springer, (2002).
12. Q. LIU, *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*. Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics **6**, Oxford University Press, (2002).
13. J. LLIBRE, C. VALLS, *Integrability of the Bianchi IX system*. J. Math. Phys., **46** (072901), 1–13, (2005).
14. J. LLIBRE, C. VALLS, *On the integrability of the Einstein–Yang–Mills equations*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **336**, 1203–1230, (2007).
15. Y. MIYAOKA, T. PETERNELL, *Geometry of Higher Dimensional Algebraic Varieties*. DMV Seminar **26**, Birkhauser, (1997).
16. J.V. PEREIRA, *Hypersurfaces Invariant by Positive Characteristic Vector Fields*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **171**, 295-301, (2001).
17. J.V. PEREIRA, *Integrabilidade de Equações Diferenciais no Plano Complexo*. IMPA, (2001).
18. H. POINCARÉ, *Sur l'intégration algébrique des équations différentielles du premier ordre et du premier degré*. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **11**, 161-191, (1891).
19. E. A. SANTOS, S. RODRIGUES, *Darboux-Jouanolou Integrability Over Arbitrary Fields*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **224**, (2020).
20. B. SCÁRDUA, *Differential Algebra and Liouvillian first integrals of foliations*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **215**, 764-788, (2011).
21. M. E. SWEEDLER, *Positive characteristic calculus and icebergs*. In: Chudnovsky D.V., Chudnovsky G.V., Cohn H., Nathanson M.B. (eds) Number Theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1383**, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1989).
22. L. W. TU, *An Introduction to Manifolds*. Universitext, 2nd ed., Springer, (2010).
23. C. VALLS, *Rikitake system: Analytic and Darbouxian integrals*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **135**, 1309–1326, (2005).
24. F. W. WARNER, *Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds and Lie Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **94**, 2nd ed., Springer, (1996).
25. X. ZHANG, *Exponential factors and Darbouxian first integrals of the Lorenz system*. J. Math. Phys., **43**, 4987–5001, (2002).

INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIA, ENGENHARIA E TECNOLOGIA (ICET) - UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DOS VALES DO JEQUITINHONHA E MUCURI (UFVJM), CAMPUS DO MUCURI, TEÓFILO OTONI - MG, BRAZIL

Email address: `edileno.santos@ufvjm.edu.br`

FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS EXATAS E TECNOLOGIA (FACET) - UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA GRANDE DOURADOS (UFGD), RODOVIA DOURADOS - ITAHUM, KM 12 - CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA, DOURADOS - MS, BRAZIL

Email address: `sergiorodrigues@ufgd.edu.br`