

GROUPS WITH CONTEXT-FREE DIOPHANTINE PROBLEM

VLADIMIR YANKOVSKIY

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics of Moscow State University, Moscow 119991 Russia,
Leninskie Gory, MSU. Moscow Center for Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, Russia
e-mail address: vladimir_yankovskiy@mail.ru

ABSTRACT. We find algebraic conditions on a group equivalent to the position of its Diophantine problem in the Chomsky Hierarchy. In particular, we prove that a finitely generated group has a context-free Diophantine problem if and only if it is finite.

MSC 2020: 03D40, 20F10, 20F70

1. INTRODUCTION

The main result of this article is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that G is a finitely generated group, and A is a finite symmetric generating set for G . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- *The n -ary Diophantine problem is context-free for some $n \geq 1$;*
- *The n -ary Diophantine problem is context-free for all $n \geq 1$;*
- *G is finite.*

Similar results are proved in Section 3 for groups with regular Diophantine problems (they are exactly all finite groups) and for groups with recursively enumerable Diophantine problems (they are exactly all finitely generated subgroups of finitely presented groups).

The Diophantine problem is a generalization of the classical word problem, first introduced by Dehn in [5]. Classification of groups with recursively enumerable word problem was obtained by Higman in [11], classification of groups with regular word problem was obtained by Anisimov in [1] and classification of groups with context-free word problem was obtained by Muller and Schupp in [12]. Those results will be formulated in Section 2.

Equations over groups have previously been studied from a language-theoretic point of view. Ciobanu, Diekert and Elder proved that the full sets of solutions to systems of equations in a free group form EDT0L languages, and that their specification can be computed in $\text{NSPACE}(n \log n)$ [3]. This was followed by Diekert, Jež and Kufleitner for right-angled Artin groups [7], Diekert and Elder for virtually free groups [6], Ciobanu and Elder for hyperbolic

Key words and phrases: groups, Diophantine problem, context-free.

The work of the author was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project no. 19-01-00591, and the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation as part of the program of the Moscow Center for Fundamental and Applied Mathematics under the agreement 075-15-2019-1621.

groups [4], and Evetts and Levine for virtually abelian groups [8]; in each case the language of solutions is shown to be EDTOL and specifications can be computed with various space bounds. It was also recently shown by Ferens and Jež [9] that full sets of solutions to systems of unary equations (equations with only one variable) in free groups form regular languages, and that their specification can be computed in cubic time.

However, our approach to studying languages associated with equations differs from this previous work, where the languages consist of tuples of words that represent values that can be assigned to variables in an equation or system of equations which solve those equations. On the contrary, here we consider the language consisting of the actual equations themselves which have solutions.

This article is organised as follows. Section 2 deals with the definition of polynomials on groups and with language theoretic properties of the word problem. Section 3 deals with the definition of the Diophantine problem and with the necessary and sufficient conditions for it to be regular and recursively enumerable. Sections 4 and 5 contain Lemmas used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 6 we prove Theorem 1.1.

2. POLYNOMIALS IN GROUPS

Definition 2.1. Suppose that G is a finitely generated group, A is a finite symmetric generating set for G , and $\{x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}\}$ is a set of *formal variables* and their inverses. An n -ary polynomial over (G, A) is a word from $P_n(G, A) := (A \cup \{x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}\})^*$.

Now we define an additional structure on the polynomials:

Definition 2.2. Suppose that G is a finitely generated group, and A is a finite symmetric generating set for G . Then the *interpretation of n -ary polynomials over (G, A)* is the function $i : P_n(G, A) \rightarrow G^{G^n}$, defined recursively by:

$$\begin{aligned} i(\Lambda)(g_1, \dots, g_n) &= e \quad \text{and, for all } \alpha \in P_n(G, A), \\ i(a\alpha)(g_1, \dots, g_n) &= ai(\alpha)(g_1, \dots, g_n), \\ i(x_k\alpha)(g_1, \dots, g_n) &= g_k i(\alpha)(g_1, \dots, g_n), \\ i(x_k^{-1}\alpha)(g_1, \dots, g_n) &= g_k^{-1} i(\alpha)(g_1, \dots, g_n). \end{aligned}$$

Here and thereafter Λ stands for the empty word and e stands for the identity of G .

Definition 2.3. Suppose that G is a finitely generated group and A is a finite symmetric generating set for G . The *word problem in (G, A)* is the formal language $Id(G, A) = \{\alpha \in P_0(G, A) \mid i(\alpha) = e\}$.

Theorem 2.4. [1] *Id(G, A) is regular if and only if G is finite.*

Theorem 2.5. [12] *Id(G, A) is context-free if and only if G is virtually free.*

Theorem 2.6. [11] *Id(G, A) is recursively enumerable if and only if G is a subgroup of a finitely presented group.*

3. DIOPHANTINE PROBLEM

Definition 3.1. We call $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$ a solution of the n -ary polynomial $\alpha \in P_n(G, A)$ if $i(\alpha)(g_1, \dots, g_n) = e$.

Definition 3.2. The n -ary Diophantine problem over (G, A) is the language $Eq_n(G, A)$ of all polynomials from $P_n(G, A)$ that have a solution.

It is not hard to see that $Eq_0(G, A) = Id(G, A)$. From this, we can derive the following necessary and sufficient conditions for its regularity and recursive enumerability.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that G is a finitely generated group and A is a finite symmetric generating set for G . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- $Eq_n(G, A)$ is regular for some $n \geq 1$;
- $Eq_n(G, A)$ is regular for all $n \geq 1$;
- G is finite.

Proof. If $Eq_n(G, A)$ is regular for all $n \geq 1$, then $Eq_n(G, A)$ is regular for some $n \geq 1$.

If $Eq_n(G, A)$ is regular for some $n \geq 1$, then $Eq_n(G, A) \cap A^* = Id(G, A)$ is also regular. Thus G is finite by Theorem 2.4.

Suppose that G is finite. Then to conclude the proof we build a deterministic finite automaton that recognizes $Eq_n(G, A)$ for any n . In our deterministic finite automaton, the set of states is the set of all functions from G^n to G (their number is equal to $|G|^{|n|G|}$). The transition function $\psi: G^{G^n} \times P_n(G, A) \rightarrow G^{G^n}$ is defined by the formula

$$\psi(f, a)(g_1, \dots, g_n) = \begin{cases} f(g)a & \text{if } a \in A \\ f(g)g_j & \text{if } a = x_j \\ f(g)g_j^{-1} & \text{if } a = x_j^{-1} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $f \in G^{G^n}$, $g \in G$, $\alpha \in P_n(G, A)$ and $j \leq n$.

The initial state is the constant function on G^n with value e . The set of terminal states is $\{\alpha \in P_n(G, A) \mid \exists g_1, \dots, g_n \in G \text{ such that } i(\alpha)(g_1, \dots, g_n) = e\}$. \square

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that G is a finitely generated group and A is a finite symmetric generating set for G . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- $Eq_n(G, A)$ is recursively enumerable for some $n \geq 1$;
- $Eq_n(G, A)$ is recursively enumerable for all $n \geq 1$;
- G is a subgroup of a finitely presented group.

Proof. If $Eq_n(G, A)$ is recursively enumerable for all $n \geq 1$, then $Eq_n(G, A)$ is recursively enumerable for some $n \geq 1$. If $Eq_n(G, A)$ is recursively enumerable for $n \geq 1$, then $Eq_n(G, A) \cap A^* = Id(G, A)$ is also recursively enumerable. That means G is a subgroup of a finitely presented group by Theorem 2.6. Suppose that G is a subgroup of a finitely presented group. That means its word problem is recursively enumerable by Theorem 4. Then the words from $Eq_n(G, A)$ are recognized by the following algorithm:

Suppose that w is our word. On the m -th step, for each tuple of words $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in A^*$ of length m or less, we run the first m steps of the $Id(G, A)$ recognition algorithm for

$$w[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n].$$

If the $Id(G, A)$ recognition algorithm terminates within m steps for at least one of the tuples, then $w \in Eq_n(G, A)$. Otherwise we launch step $m + 1$. \square

To find the necessary and sufficient conditions for $Eq_n(G, A)$ being context-free we first need to pay attention to certain facts that we mention in the next two Sections.

4. CONTEXT-FREE SETS OF RATIONAL NUMBERS

Definition 4.1. A set of positive rational numbers Q is *context-free* if the language $\{a^m b^n \mid \frac{m}{n} \in Q\}$ is context-free.

Lemma 4.2. [2]. *If a language L is context-free, then there exists an integer $p \geq 1$, called the pumping length, such that any word $s \in L$ of length at least p can be written as $s = uvwx$, where $|vx| \geq 1$, $|vwx| \leq p$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} uv^n wx^n y \in L$.*

Lemma 4.3. *Any context-free set of rational numbers has finitely many isolated points.*

Proof. Suppose that $Q \subset \mathbb{Q}_+$ is a context-free set with infinitely many isolated points. Then $L = \{a^m b^n \mid \frac{m}{n} \in Q\}$ is context-free. Suppose that p is its pumping length, $\frac{M}{N} \in Q$ is an isolated element of Q such that $\frac{M}{N}$ is irreducible and $M + N > p$ (such an element exists by the Pigeonhole Principle, because Q is infinite and there are only finitely many positive rational numbers $\frac{M}{N}$, such that $M + N \leq p$),

$$\varepsilon := \inf \left\{ \left| q - \frac{M}{N} \right| \mid q \in Q \setminus \left\{ \frac{M}{N} \right\} \right\} > 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$n := \left\lceil \frac{(M + N)^2}{\varepsilon N^2} \right\rceil + 1, \quad (4.2)$$

$$w := a^{nM} b^{nN}. \quad (4.3)$$

Then by Lemma 4.2 $w = uvxyz$, where $|vxy| \leq p$, $|vy| \geq 1$, and $uv^t xy^t z \in L$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that α is the number of instances of a in vy and β is the number of instances of b in vy . If v or y contains both a and b , then $uv^2 xy^2 z$ contains ba and therefore does not belong to L . So 3 variants are possible:

- If $\beta = 0$ then for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ $a^{nM+(t-1)\alpha} b^{nN} \in L$ by the Lemma 4.2. That means $\frac{nM+(t-1)\alpha}{nN} \in Q$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Take $t = 2$. Then $\frac{nM+\alpha}{nN} \in Q$. But $|\frac{nM+\alpha}{nN} - \frac{M}{N}| = \frac{\alpha}{nN} \leq \frac{M+N}{nN} < \varepsilon$, because $\alpha \leq p \leq M + N$. Contradiction.
- If $\alpha = 0$ then for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ $a^{nM} b^{nN+(t-1)\beta} \in L$ by the Lemma 4.2. That means $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}$ $\frac{nM}{nN+(t-1)\beta} \in Q$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $t = 2$. Then $\frac{nM}{nN+\beta} \in Q$. But $|\frac{nM}{nN+\beta} - \frac{M}{N}| = \frac{(M+N)M}{((n+1)N+M)N} \leq \frac{M^2}{nN^3} < \varepsilon$ because $\alpha \leq p \leq M + N$. Contradiction.
- If $v = a^\alpha$ and $y = b^\beta$ then $a^{nM+(t-1)\alpha} b^{nN+(t-1)\beta} \in L$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ by the Lemma 4.2. That means $\frac{nM+(t-1)\alpha}{nN+(t-1)\beta} \in Q$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $t = 2$. Then $\frac{nM+\alpha}{nN+\beta} \in Q$. But $|\frac{nM+\alpha}{nN+\beta} - \frac{M}{N}| = \frac{|\alpha N - \beta M|}{N(nN+\beta)} < \varepsilon$. It is possible only when $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{M}{N}$. And from that follows $p < M + N \leq \alpha + \beta = |vy| \leq |vxy| \leq p$. Contradiction.

These cases complete the proof that L is not context-free. \square

5. COMMENSURATION OF GROUP ELEMENTS

Definition 5.1. Suppose G is a group. Then $a, b \in G$ are *commensurate* if there exist $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a^n = b^m$.

It is not hard to see that commensuration is an equivalence relation. We denote by $CC(a)$ the commensuration class of a .

Theorem 5.2. [10]. *Commensuration classes of hyperbolic groups are of the following types:*

- set of all finite order elements;
- set of all infinite order elements of a maximal virtually cyclic subgroup.

6. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.1

Proof. If $Eq_n(G, A)$ is context-free for all $n \geq 1$, it is context-free for some $n \geq 1$.

If G is finite then by Theorem 2.5 $Eq_n(G, A)$ is context-free for all $n \geq 1$.

If $Eq_n(G, A)$ is context-free for some $n \geq 1$, then $Eq_n(G, a) \cap P_1(G, A) = Eq_1(G, A)$ is also context-free. Suppose now that the language $Eq_1(G, A)$ is context-free and G is infinite. Then the language $Id(G, A) = Eq_1(G, A) \cap A^*$ is also context-free as intersection of a context-free language with a regular one. That means G is virtually-free (and therefore hyperbolic) by the Theorem 5.2. Suppose that a is an infinite-order element of G and suppose that $H := \langle CC_G(a) \rangle$. Then H is an infinite cyclic group. Suppose that c is a generator of a finite-index cyclic normal subgroup of H . Now $w \in P_0(G, A)$, $i(w) = c$. Consider the language $Eq_1(G, A) \cap \{w\}^* \{x\}^*$. It is context-free as it is the intersection of a context-free language with a regular one. However $Eq(G, A) \cap \{w\}^* \{x\}^* = \{w^n x^m \mid \exists b \in H b^m c^n = e\} = \{w^n x^m \mid \frac{n}{m} \in \{\frac{n}{k} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \exists b \in H b^k = c^n\}\}$. But $\{\frac{n}{k} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \exists b \in H b^k = c^n\}$ is discrete as $\langle c \rangle$ has finite index in H . This contradicts Lemma 4.3. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank my supervisor Anton Klyachko for providing valuable advice regarding this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anatoly Anisimov. Über gruppen-sprachen. *Kibernetika*, 4:18–24, 1971.
- [2] Yehoshua Bar-Hillel, Micha Perles, and Eli Shamir. On formal properties of simple phrase-structure grammars. *Zeitschrift für Phonetik, Sprachwissenschaft, und Kommunikationsforschung*, 14:143–172, 1961.
- [3] Laura Ciobanu, Volker Diekert, and Murray Elder. Solution sets for equations over free groups are EDT0L languages. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 26(5):843–886, 2016.
- [4] Laura Ciobanu and Murray Elder. Solutions sets to systems of equations in hyperbolic groups are EDT0L in PSPACE. In *46th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming*, volume 132 of *LIPICs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform.*, pages Art. No. 110, 15. Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2019.
- [5] Max Dehn. Über unendliche diskontinuierliche gruppen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 71:116–144, 1911.
- [6] Volker Diekert and Murray Elder. Solutions to twisted word equations and equations in virtually free groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 30(4):731–819, 2020.

- [7] Volker Diekert, Artur Jež, and Manfred Kufleitner. Solutions of word equations over partially commutative structures. In *43rd International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming*, volume 55 of *LIPICs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform.*, pages Art. No. 127, 14. Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2016.
- [8] Alex Evetts and Alex Levine. Equations in virtually abelian groups: languages and growth. *CoRR*, abs/2009.03968, 2020.
- [9] Robert Ferens and Artur Jež. Solving one variable word equations in the free group in cubic time. In Markus Bläser and Benjamin Monmege, editors, *38th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, STACS 2021, March 16-19, 2021, Saarbrücken, Germany (Virtual Conference)*, volume 183 of *LIPICs*, pages 30:1–30:17. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2021.
- [10] Mikhael Gromov. Hyperbolic groups. *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, 8:75–263, 1987.
- [11] Graham Higman. Subgroups of finitely presented groups. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 262:455–475, 1961.
- [12] David E. Muller and Paul E. Schupp. Groups, the theory of ends, and context-free languages. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 26(3):295–310, 1983.