

COMPOSITIONS THAT ARE PALINDROMIC MODULO m

MATTHEW JUST

ABSTRACT. In recent work, G. E. Andrews and G. Simay prove a surprising relation involving parity palindromic compositions, and ask whether a combinatorial proof can be found. We extend their results to a more general class of compositions that are palindromic modulo m , that includes the parity palindromic case when $m = 2$. We provide combinatorial proofs for the cases $m = 2$ and $m = 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $\sum \sigma_i = n$. The sequence σ is called a *composition* of n of length k . The numbers σ_i are called the *parts* of the composition. If $\sigma_i = \sigma_{k-i+1}$ for all i , then σ is called a *palindromic* composition. If instead σ satisfies the weaker condition that $\sigma_i \equiv \sigma_{k-i+1}$ modulo m for some $m \geq 1$ and all i , then σ is said to be *palindromic modulo m* . Let $pc(n, m)$ be the number of compositions of n that are palindromic modulo m .

Andrews and Simay [2] have shown that $pc(1, 2) = 1$, and

$$pc(2n, 2) = pc(2n + 1, 2) = 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$$

for $n > 1$. Our main theorem generalizes their result by giving an ordinary generating function for $pc(n, m)$ for all m . Of particular interest is that $pc(1, 3) = 1$, and

$$pc(n, 3) = 2 \cdot f(n - 1)$$

for $n > 1$, where $f(n)$ is the n th Fibonacci number (here $f(1) = f(2) = 1$). We then give a combinatorial proof of the above identities for $pc(n, 2)$ and $pc(n, 3)$, and conclude with some general properties and asymptotic analysis of $pc(n, m)$ for larger m .

Theorem 1. *We have*

$$F_m(q) := \sum_{n \geq 1} pc(n, m)q^n = \frac{q + 2q^2 - q^{m+1}}{1 - 2q^2 - q^m}.$$

Remark. Though we mean this to be a formal definition, it can be verified that the series converges for all $|q| < 1/2$. Indeed,

$$F_m(q) \leq F_1(q) = \frac{q}{1 - 2q}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Notice that to form a composition that is palindromic modulo m , we need to form a sequence of pairs of positive integers whose difference is a multiple of m . Furthermore, there may or may not be a central part that will

always be congruent to itself. If $G_m(q)$ is the ordinary generating function for pairs of positive integers whose difference is a multiple of m , then

$$F_m(q) = \frac{G_m(q)}{1 - G_m(q)} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - q} + \frac{q}{1 - q}.$$

Now for the function $G_m(q)$, either the pair of positive integers is the same, or we must choose to add a multiple of m to either the first or second number. Therefore

$$G_m(q) = \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2} + \frac{2q^2}{1 - q^2} \cdot \frac{q^m}{1 - q^m}.$$

Simplifying gives the result. \square

When $m = 1$, every composition will be palindromic modulo m . Therefore $pc(n, 1) = 2^{n-1}$, as is evident from $F_1(q)$. We next consider the case when $m = 2$.

2. THE CASE $m = 2$

When $m = 2$, Theorem 1 gives

$$F_2(q) = \frac{2q^3(1+q)}{1-3q^2},$$

and after expanding we see that $p(1, 2) = 1$, and $p(2n, 2) = p(2n + 1, 2) = 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$. Our goal in this section will be to give a combinatorial proof of this formula.

For $n \geq 1$, we start by considering the 3^{n-1} elements of the set $\{0, 1, 2\}^{n-1}$. Our goal will be to embed two disjoint copies of this set into the set of compositions of $2n$ that are palindromic modulo 2, and show that every composition of $2n$ that is palindromic modulo 2 has a preimage. We then give a bijection between compositions of $2n$ that are palindromic modulo 2 and compositions of $2n + 1$ that are palindromic modulo 2.

Combinatorial proof that $pc(2n, 2) = 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$. For $n \geq 1$, let

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{n-1}.$$

As an example, we take $a = (0, 1, 2, 2, 1) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^5$. We will first use the sequence of a_i s to construct a sequence of triples (b_j, c_j, d_j) , where each triple will become a pair of parts $\{\sigma_j, \sigma_{k-j+1}\}$ or the central part $\sigma_{(k+1)/2}$ in the resulting composition having length k .

Initialize the process with the triple $(b_1, c_1, d_1) = (1, 0, 0)$. If $n = 1$, then we are done. Now if $a_1 = 0$, we create a new triple $(b_2, c_2, d_2) = (1, 0, 0)$. If $a_1 = 1$, then we increase b_1 by one. If $a_1 = 2$, then we increase c_1 by one. In our example of $(0, 1, 2, 2, 1)$, we see that $a_1 = 0$, so after the first step we have the following list of triples

$$(1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0).$$

Now for $i > 1$, we define the instructions given by each a_i recursively. We assume that at this step we have a total of j triples, for some $j \geq 1$.

- (1) If $a_i = 0$, then we create the new triple $(b_{j+1}, c_{j+1}, d_{j+1}) = (1, 0, 0)$.
- (2) If $a_i = 2$, then increase c_j by one.
- (3) If $a_i = 1$ and $a_{i-1} \neq 2$, then increase b_j by one.
- (4) If $a_i = 1$ and $a_{i-1} = 2$, then set $d_j = 1$ and create the new triple $(b_{j+1}, c_{j+1}, d_{j+1}) = (1, 0, 0)$.

For our example $(0, 1, 2, 2, 1)$, we form the list of triples

$$(1, 0, 0), (2, 2, 1), (1, 0, 0).$$

After performing this algorithm on each of the 3^{n-1} sequences in $\{0, 1, 2\}^{n-1}$ gives a set of 3^{n-1} sequences of triples. For each sequence $a \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{n-1}$, we denote by k_a the number triples in the list we have formed. By construction, all of these sequences of k_a triples are distinct.

We create a second set containing 3^{n-1} sequences of triples by setting $d_{k_a} = 1$ for all a . For our example $(0, 1, 2, 2, 1)$, we get a second sequence of triples

$$(1, 0, 0), (2, 2, 1), (1, 0, 1).$$

We now have $2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$ sequences of triples, all of which are distinct.

Next, for each sequence a , taking one of its two associated sequence of triples $(b_1, c_1, d_1), \dots, (b_{k_a}, c_{k_a}, d_{k_a})$, we show how to form a unique composition σ of $2n$ that is palindromic modulo 2. Start with the triple $(b_{k_a}, c_{k_a}, d_{k_a})$.

- (1) If $d_{k_a} = 0$ and $c_{k_a} = 0$, then set $\sigma_{k_a} = 2b_{k_a}$.
- (2) If $d_{k_a} = 0$ and $c_{k_a} > 0$, then set $\sigma_{k_a} = b_{k_a} + 2c_{k_a}$ and $\sigma_{k_a+1} = b_{k_a}$.
- (3) If $d_{k_a} = 1$, then set $\sigma_{k_a} = b_{k_a}$ and $\sigma_{k_a+1} = b_{k_a} + 2c_{k_a}$.

These cases will determine whether the length of the composition is even or odd. If $d_{k_a} = 0$ and $c_{k_a} = 0$, then the length of σ will be $2k_a - 1$. Otherwise, the length of σ will be $2k_a$. For convenience we refer to the length of σ as k in either case. For our example $(0, 1, 2, 2, 1)$, we have initialized the two compositions

$$(0, 0, 2, 0, 0) \text{ and } (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0).$$

Now if $k_a = 1$ we are done. Assuming $k_a > 1$, for each $1 \leq j < k_a$ we consider the triple (b_j, c_j, d_j) . If $d_j = 0$, then set $\sigma_j = b_j + 2c_j$ and $\sigma_{k-j+1} = b_j$. If $d_j = 1$, then set $\sigma_j = b_j$ and $\sigma_{k-j+1} = b_j + 2c_j$. Also notice if $d_j = 1$, then by construction $c_j > 0$, so that $b_j \neq b_j + 2c_j$. This ensures that each sequence of triples will be associated to a unique composition. Also notice that the sum of the parts in σ equals $2 \sum b_j + 2 \sum c_j = 2n$, and that $|\sigma_j - \sigma_{k-j+1}|$ is even for all j . Thus σ is a composition of $2n$ that is palindromic modulo 2. Showing that every composition of $2n$ that is palindromic modulo 2 can be formed from one of the described sequences of triples is done by reversing the described algorithm. Returning to our example sequence $(0, 1, 2, 2, 1)$, we have form the two compositions

$$(1, 2, 2, 6, 1) \text{ and } (1, 2, 1, 1, 6, 1),$$

each of which is a composition of 12 that is palindromic modulo 2. \square

Combinatorial proof that $pc(2n, 2) = pc(2n + 1, 2)$. We split the proof into two cases. Starting with a composition σ of $2n$ that is palindromic modulo 2, suppose the length of σ is $2k + 1$. Then adding one to σ_{k+1} gives a composition of $2n + 1$ that is still palindromic modulo 2. Now if the length of σ is $2k$, form a composition σ' of $2n + 1$ of length $2k + 1$ by setting

$$\sigma'_j = \begin{cases} \sigma_j & 1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 & j = k + 1 \\ \sigma_{j-1} & k + 2 \leq j \leq 2k + 1. \end{cases}$$

We note that σ' is still palindromic modulo 2. It is straightforward to verify that this map is a bijection. For our examples of $(1, 2, 2, 6, 1)$ and $(1, 2, 1, 1, 6, 1)$ that

are compositions of 12, we form the two compositions of 13

$$(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 6, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad (1, 2, 3, 6, 1),$$

each of which is palindromic modulo 2. \square

3. THE CASE $m = 3$

When $m = 3$, Theorem 1 gives

$$F_3(q) = \frac{q + 2q^2 - q^4}{1 - 2q^2 - q^3} = q + \frac{2q^2}{1 - q - q^2},$$

and expanding this function shows $pc(1, 3) = 1$ and $pc(n, 3) = 2 \cdot f(n-1)$ for $n > 1$. Our goal of this section is to give a combinatorial proof of this formula.

It is well known [1] that $f(n+1)$ is equal to the number of compositions of n with parts that are equal to one or two. For $n > 1$, our goal will be to embed two disjoint copies of the compositions of $n-2$ with parts equal to one or two into the compositions of n that are palindromic modulo 3. Then we will show each composition of n that is palindromic modulo 3 has a preimage.

Combinatorial proof that $pc(n, 3) = 2 \cdot f(n-1)$. For $n > 1$, let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$ be a composition of $n-2$, where each $\sigma_i \in \{1, 2\}$. Form two distinct compositions of n by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma' &= (1, 1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k), \text{ and} \\ \sigma'' &= (2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k). \end{aligned}$$

Doing this for each composition gives us a set of $2 \cdot f(n-1)$ compositions of n with parts equal to one or two, the set of which we will denote by A_n . For each of these compositions, we will form a unique composition of n that is palindromic modulo 3.

Let $+$ denote sequence concatenation, as in $(1, 2) + (3, 4) = (1, 2, 3, 4)$. Now for any composition $a \in A_n$, we can decompose a in the following way:

$$a = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_s,$$

where the substrings $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^s$ are determined by the following rules. We will write k_i to be the length of each substring a_i .

- (1) If a contains no twos, then $a_1 = a$.
- (2) Suppose a begins with a two, and this is the only two in a . Then $a_1 = (2)$.
- (3) Suppose a begins with the substring $(2, 2)$ or $(2, 1, 1)$. Then $a_1 = (2)$.
- (4) Suppose a begins with the substring $(1, 1)$ or $(2, 1, 2)$. Then a_1 terminates with the first two such that
 - (a) it is the final two in a ;
 - (b) it is immediately followed by the substring (2) or $(1, 1)$.

For $i > 1$, the substring a_i is determined recursively using these 4 rules, after first deleting the substrings a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1} from a . The resulting string cannot begin with the substring $(1, 2)$, so it still makes sense to apply these rules. To aid the reader, Table 2 shows the decomposition of the compositions in the set A_8 . As an example, take the composition $a = (1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1)$ in A_{16} . By applying rule (4) we have $a_1 = (1, 1, 1, 2)$, and we now consider the string $(2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1)$. By applying rule (3) we have $a_2 = (2)$, and we now consider the string $(1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1)$. By applying rule (4) we have $a_3 = (1, 1, 2, 1, 2)$, and we

now consider the string $(1, 1)$. By applying rule (1) we have $a_4 = (1, 1)$, and are now done. Thus

$$(1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1) = (1, 1, 1, 2) + (2) + (1, 1, 2, 1, 2) + (1, 1).$$

Let B_n be the set of compositions of n that are palindromic modulo 3. For each composition $a = a_1 + \dots + a_s \in A_n$, we will show how to construct a unique $b \in B_n$. If a_s contains a two, the length of b will be $2s$; if a_s does not contain a two, the length of b will be $2s - 1$. Either way, we will denote k_b to be the length of b and write $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k_b})$ (recall we have also set k_i to be the length of a_i).

Now assume a_i has o_i ones, and t_i twos. If $t_i = 0$ (which can only be the case for a_s), then set $b_s = k_s$. For what follows we will assume $t_i > 0$. Note that $k_i = o_i + t_i$, and

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^s (o_i + 2 \cdot t_i).$$

We will now form the triple (c_i, d_i, e_i) using the following rules.

Let o'_i be the number of ones in a_i preceding the first two. Then if

(1) o'_i is even (possibly zero), set

$$c_i = \frac{o'_i}{2} + 1, \quad d_i = 3 \cdot (t_i - 1), \quad \text{and} \quad e_i = 0.$$

(2) o'_i is odd, set

$$c_i = \frac{o'_i - 1}{2}, \quad d_i = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad e_i = 3 \cdot t_i.$$

By construction this sequence of triples is unique to the composition $a \in A_n$ we began with. We show how our example composition with decomposition $a_1 = (1, 1, 1, 2)$, $a_2 = (2)$, $a_3 = (1, 1, 2, 1, 2)$, and $a_4 = (1, 1)$ maps to three triples (the final substring a_4 contains no twos, and therefore we set $b_4 = k_4 = 2$).

a_i	o_i	o'_i	t_i	c_i	d_i	e_i
$(1, 1, 1, 2)$	3	3	1	1	0	3
(2)	0	0	1	1	0	0
$(1, 1, 2, 1, 2)$	3	2	2	2	3	0

Therefore, we have the triples

$$(1, 0, 3), \quad (1, 0, 0), \quad \text{and} \quad (2, 3, 0).$$

We now form b by setting $b_i = c_i + d_i$ and $b_{k_b - i + 1} = c_i + e_i$, adjusting slightly for the case when a_s has no twos (recall that we just set $b_s = k_s$ in this case). The composition is uniquely determined from the triples, and by construction d_i and e_i are both multiples of 3. Furthermore,

$$b_i + b_{k_b - i + 1} = 2c_i + d_i + e_i = o'_i + 3t_i - 1 = o_i + 2t_i.$$

To see why the last equality holds, consider first the case when $t_i = 1$. Then $o'_i = o_i$, and $3t_i - 1 = 2t_i$. Now if $t_i > 1$, we can pair each two in a_i with a one immediately preceding it. However, we have used a one counted by o'_i in this pairing, so we must subtract one. Therefore, we have embedded A_n into B_n . For our example

$$a = (1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1) = (1, 1, 1, 2) + (2) + (1, 1, 2, 1, 2) + (1, 1),$$

we have the triples $(1, 0, 3)$, $(1, 0, 0)$, and $(2, 3, 0)$, and we obtain the composition

$$b = (1, 1, 5, 2, 2, 2, 1, 4),$$

which is a composition of 16 that is palindromic modulo 3 ($b \in B_{16}$). It is straightforward to construct a composition $a \in A_n$ from a composition $b \in B_n$ by reversing this construction, which proves the result. \square

4. THE CASE $m > 3$

If $m > 3$, the formula for $pc(n, m)$ can be deduced from Theorem 1; we only give a detailed study for $m = 2$ and $m = 3$ based on the elegance of the formulae. For $m = 4$, Theorem 1 gives

$$F_4(q) = \frac{q + 2q^2 - q^5}{1 - 2q^2 - q^4},$$

and after expanding we see $pc(1, 4) = 1$, and for $n \geq 1$

$$pc(2n, 4) = pc(2n + 1, 4) = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})^n - (1 - \sqrt{2})^n}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

The familiar reader will recognize these numbers as twice the Pell numbers [9]. While the correct context for a combinatorial proof is not immediately clear, we pose the following question.

Question 1. *Is there a combinatorial proof of the formula for $pc(n, 4)$?*

We also include some properties of $pc(n, m)$ that we observed for general m .

Proposition 1. *If m is even, then for $n \geq 1$ we have $pc(2n, m) = pc(2n + 1, m)$.*

Proof. The proof of this is identical in spirit to the combinatorial proof that $pc(2n, 2) = pc(2n + 1, 2)$ in Section 2. \square

Proposition 2. *If $n > 1$, then $pc(n, m)$ is even for all m .*

Proof. A quick, one line proof of this fact comes from the identity

$$F_m(q) - q = \frac{2q^2(1+q)}{1 - 2q^2 - q^m}.$$

This can also be seen combinatorially by pairing up compositions of n that are palindromic modulo 2. Let a be a composition of $n > 1$ of length s that is palindromic modulo m . If $a_i \neq a_{s-i+1}$ for some i , then we can pair this composition with the composition formed by switching a_i and a_{s-i+1} .

Now assume $a_i = a_{s-i+1}$ for all i (i.e. a is a palindrome). If s is odd, then $a_{(s+1)/2}$ is even, and we can pair this composition with the composition of n of length $s + 1$ formed by removing $a_{(s+1)/2}$ and appending $a_{(s+1)/2}/2$ to the beginning and the end. \square

Proposition 3. *If $2n \geq m$, then $p(2n, m) = p(2n + 1, m) = 2^n$.*

Proof. The condition that $2n \geq m$ requires all compositions of $2n$ and $2n + 1$ that are palindromic modulo m to be palindromes. Let $pc(n, \infty)$ denote the number of palindromic compositions of n . Then it is well known [6] that

$$pc(n, \infty) = 2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 4. *Let α_m be the unique positive root of $1 - 2q^2 - q^m = 0$, and set*

$$c_m = \lim_{q \rightarrow \alpha_m} (1 - \alpha_m^{-1}q) \cdot F_m(q) \quad \text{and} \quad d_m = \lim_{q \rightarrow \alpha_m} (1 + \alpha_m^{-1}q) \cdot F_m(q).$$

If m is even, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m^n \cdot pc(n, m) = c_m + d_m \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m^n \cdot pc(n, m) = c_m - d_m.$$

If m is odd, $d_m = 0$, and thus $pc(n, m) \sim c_m \alpha_m^{-n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. This is a routine analysis of the rational function $F_m(q)$. For convenience, we set $\phi_m(q) = 1 - 2q^2 - q^m$. The asymptotics of $pc(n, m)$ are determined by the poles of $F_m(q)$, which are precisely the zeros of $\phi_m(q)$. We will assume $m > 4$, as the result can be verified directly for $m \leq 4$.

First note that $\phi_m(q)$ has exactly one positive zero, α_m , since $\phi'_m(q) < 0$ for all $q > 0$, $\phi_m(0) > 0$, and $\phi_m(1) < 0$. When m is even, $\phi_m(q)$ is an even function and thus $-\alpha_m$ is also a zero. When m is odd, $\phi_m(q)$ has a unique zero in the interval $(-1, -\alpha_m)$, since $\phi'_m(q) > 0$ on $(-1, 0)$, $\phi_m(-1) < 0$, and $\phi_m(\alpha_m) > 0$. Our next goal is to show that these are the only two zeros inside the disc $|q| < 0.9$.

Note that $q^2(2 + q^{m-2})$ has one zero (with multiplicity equal to 2) inside the disc $|q| < 0.9$. Therefore, if we can show

$$|q^2(2 + q^{m-2})| > 1$$

for all q with $|q| = 0.9$, we can apply Rouché's theorem to conclude that $\phi_m(q)$ has exactly two zeros inside the disc $|q| < 0.9$. For $m > 4$ we have $|q^{m-2}| \leq |q^3|$, so that $|2 + q^{m-2}| \geq 2 - |q^3| = 1.279$ and

$$|q^2(2 + q^{m-2})| > 0.81 \cdot 1.279 = 1.03599 > 1.$$

We can now perform a partial fraction decomposition $F_m(q)$,

$$F_m(q) = \frac{c_m}{1 - \alpha_m^{-1}q} + \frac{d_m}{1 + \alpha_m^{-1}q} + G(q),$$

where $G(q)$ is a rational function with no poles in the disc $|q| < 0.9$. Therefore,

$$pc(n, m) = (c_m + (-1)^n d_m) \alpha_m^{-n} + o(\alpha_m^{-n})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, noting that $d_m = 0$ if m is odd. The result follows. \square

The following table shows the values of α_m , c_m , and d_m for various m .

m	α_m^{-1}	c_m	d_m
1	2	$\frac{1}{2} = 0.5$	0
2	$\sqrt{3} \approx 1.73$	$\frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{9} \approx 0.53$	$\frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{9} \approx 0.14$
3	$\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \approx 1.61$	$\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{5} = 0.55$	0
4	≈ 1.55	≈ 0.58	≈ 0.13
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
∞	$\sqrt{2} \approx 1.41$	$\frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{4} \approx 0.85$	$\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{4} \approx 0.14$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author was partially supported by the Research and Training Group grant DMS-1344994 funded by the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Alladi and V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., Compositions with ones and twos, *Fibonacci Quarterly*, **13** (1975), 233-239.
- [2] G. E. Andrews and G. Simay, Parity Palindrome Compositions, to appear in *Integers*.
- [3] S. Eger, Restricted weighted integer compositions and extended binomial coefficients, *Journal of Integer Sequences*, **16** (2013).
- [4] S. Heubach and T. Mansour, Compositions of n with parts in a set, *Congressus Numerantium*. **168** (2004), 33–51.
- [5] S. Heubach and T. Mansour, *Combinatorics of Compositions and Words*, CRC Press, 2010.
- [6] V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. and M. Bicknell, Palindromic compositions, *Fibonacci Quart.*, **13**(4) (1975), 350-356.
- [7] G. E. Andrews, M. Just, and G. Simay, Anti-palindromic compositions, to appear in *Fibonacci Quarterly*.
- [8] OEIS Foundation Inc. (2021), The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <http://oeis.org/A000045>.
- [9] OEIS Foundation Inc. (2021), The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <http://oeis.org/A000129>.

$a \in \{0, 1, 2\}^3$	Sequence of triples	Composition of 8	Composition of 9
(0,0,0)	(1,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,0,0)	(1,1,1,2,1,1,1)	(1,1,1,3,1,1,1)
	(1,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,0,1)	(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)	(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)
(0,0,1)	(1,0,0),(1,0,0),(2,0,0)	(1,1,4,1,1)	(1,1,5,1,1)
	(1,0,0),(1,0,0),(2,0,1)	(1,1,2,2,1,1)	(1,1,2,1,2,1,1)
(0,0,2)	(1,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,1,0)	(1,1,3,1,1,1)	(1,1,3,1,1,1,1)
	(1,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,1,1)	(1,1,1,3,1,1)	(1,1,1,1,3,1,1)
(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(2,0,0),(1,0,0)	(1,2,2,2,1)	(1,2,3,2,1)
	(1,0,0),(2,0,0),(1,0,1)	(1,2,1,1,2,1)	(1,2,1,1,1,2,1)
(0,1,1)	(1,0,0),(3,0,0)	(1,6,1)	(1,7,1)
	(1,0,0),(3,0,1)	(1,3,3,1)	(1,3,1,3,1)
(0,1,2)	(1,0,0),(2,1,0)	(1,4,2,1)	(1,4,1,2,1)
	(1,0,0),(2,1,1)	(1,2,4,1)	(1,2,1,4,1)
(0,2,0)	(1,0,0),(1,1,0),(1,0,0)	(1,3,2,1,1)	(1,3,3,1,1)
	(1,0,0),(1,1,0),(1,0,1)	(1,3,1,1,1,1)	(1,3,1,1,1,1,1)
(0,2,1)	(1,0,0),(1,1,1),(1,0,0)	(1,1,2,3,1)	(1,1,3,3,1)
	(1,0,0),(1,1,1),(1,0,1)	(1,1,1,1,3,1)	(1,1,1,1,1,3,1)
(0,2,2)	(1,0,0),(1,2,0)	(1,5,1,1)	(1,5,1,1,1)
	(1,0,0),(1,2,1)	(1,1,5,1)	(1,1,1,5,1)
(1,0,0)	(2,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,0,0)	(2,1,2,1,2)	(2,1,3,1,2)
	(2,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,0,1)	(2,1,1,1,1,2)	(2,1,1,1,1,1,2)
(1,0,1)	(2,0,0),(2,0,0)	(2,4,2)	(2,5,2)
	(2,0,0),(2,0,1)	(2,2,2,2)	(2,2,1,2,2)
(1,0,2)	(2,0,0),(1,1,0)	(2,3,1,2)	(2,3,1,1,2)
	(2,0,0),(1,1,1,)	(2,1,3,2)	(2,1,1,3,2)
(1,1,0)	(3,0,0),(1,0,0)	(3,2,3)	(3,3,3)
	(3,0,0),(1,0,1)	(3,1,1,3)	(3,1,1,1,3)
(1,1,1)	(4,0,0)	(8)	(9)
	(4,0,1)	(4,4)	(4,1,4)
(1,1,2)	(3,1,0)	(5,3)	(5,1,3)
	(3,1,1)	(3,5)	(3,1,5)
(1,2,0)	(2,1,0),(1,0,0)	(4,2,2)	(4,3,2)
	(2,1,0),(1,0,1)	(4,1,1,2)	(4,1,1,1,2)
(1,2,1)	(2,1,1),(1,0,0)	(2,2,4)	(2,3,4)
	(2,1,1),(1,0,1)	(2,1,1,4)	(2,1,1,1,4)
(1,2,2)	(2,2,0)	(6,2)	(6,1,2)
	(2,2,1)	(2,6)	(2,1,6)
(2,0,0)	(1,1,0),(1,0,0),(1,0,0)	(3,1,2,1,1)	(3,1,3,1,1)
	(1,1,0),(1,0,0),(1,0,1)	(3,1,1,1,1,1)	(3,1,1,1,1,1,1)
(2,0,1)	(1,1,0),(2,0,0)	(3,4,1)	(3,5,1)
	(1,1,0),(2,0,1)	(3,2,2,1)	(3,2,1,2,1)
(2,0,2)	(1,1,0),(1,1,0)	(3,3,1,1)	(3,3,1,1,1)
	(1,1,0),(1,1,1)	(3,1,3,1)	(3,1,1,3,1)
(2,1,0)	(1,1,1),(1,0,0),(1,0,0)	(1,1,2,1,3)	(1,1,3,1,3)
	(1,1,1),(1,0,0),(1,0,1)	(1,1,1,1,1,3)	(1,1,1,1,1,1,3)
(2,1,1)	(1,1,1),(2,0,0)	(1,4,3)	(1,5,3)
	(1,1,1),(2,0,1)	(1,2,2,3)	(1,2,1,2,3)
(2,1,2)	(1,1,1),(1,1,0)	(1,3,1,3)	(1,3,1,1,3)
	(1,1,1),(1,1,1)	(1,1,3,3)	(1,1,1,3,3)
(2,2,0)	(1,2,0),(1,0,0)	(5,2,1)	(5,3,1)
	(1,2,0),(1,0,1)	(5,1,1,1)	(5,1,1,1,1)
(2,2,1)	(1,2,1),(1,0,0)	(1,2,5)	(1,3,5)
	(1,2,1),(1,0,1)	(1,1,1,5)	(1,1,1,1,5)
(2,2,2)	(1,3,0)	(7,1)	(7,1,1)
	(1,3,1)	(1,7)	(1,1,7)

TABLE 1. The sequences in $\{0, 1, 2\}^{n-1}$ ($n = 4$), mapped to two sequences of triples, mapped to a composition of $2n = 8$ and a composition of $2n + 1 = 9$, each of which is palindromic modulo 2.

$a \in A_8$	$a = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_s$	$b \in B_8$
(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	(8)
(1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (1, 1, 1, 1)$	(2, 4, 2)
(1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (1, 1, 1)$	(1, 3, 4)
(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (1, 1)$	(3, 2, 3)
(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (1)$	(2, 1, 5)
(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$	(4, 4)
(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (2)$ $a_3 = (1, 1)$	(2, 1, 2, 1, 2)
(1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 2, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (1)$	(5, 1, 2)
(1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (1, 1, 2)$	(2, 2, 2, 2)
(1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (2)$ $a_3 = (1)$	(1, 1, 1, 4)
(1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2)$	(1, 7)
(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (2)$	(3, 1, 1, 3)
(1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (1, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (2)$ $a_3 = (2)$	(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)
(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (2)$ $a_2 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	(1, 6, 1)
(2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (2)$ $a_2 = (2)$ $a_3 = (1, 1, 1, 1)$	(1, 1, 4, 1, 1)
(2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (2, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (1, 1, 1)$	(4, 3, 1)
(2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (2)$ $a_2 = (1, 1, 2)$ $a_3 = (1, 1)$	(1, 2, 2, 2, 1)
(2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (2)$ $a_2 = (1, 1, 1, 2)$ $a_3 = (1)$	(1, 1, 1, 4, 1)
(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)	$a_1 = (2)$ $a_2 = (1, 1, 1, 2)$	(1, 3, 3, 1)
(2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (2)$ $a_2 = (2)$ $a_3 = (2)$ $a_4 = (1, 1)$	(1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
(2, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (2)$ $a_2 = (2, 1, 2)$ $a_3 = (1)$	(1, 4, 1, 1, 1)
(2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (2)$ $a_2 = (2)$ $a_3 = (1, 1, 2)$	(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1)
(2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (2, 1, 2)$ $a_2 = (2)$ $a_3 = (1)$	(4, 1, 1, 1, 1)
(2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 2)	$a_1 = (2, 1, 2, 1, 2)$	(7, 1)
(2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (2)$ $a_2 = (1, 1, 2)$ $a_3 = (2)$	(1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1)
(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)	$a_1 = (2)$ $a_2 = (2)$ $a_3 = (2)$ $a_4 = (2)$	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)

TABLE 2. The decomposition of each composition $a \in A_8$, and the resulting composition $b \in B_8$.