

Some Results on k -Turán-good Graphs

Bingchen Qian^a, Chengfei Xie^b and Gennian Ge^{b,*}

^a School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, Zhejiang, China

^b School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing, 100048, China

December 31, 2021

Abstract

For a graph H and a k -chromatic graph F , if the Turán graph $T_{k-1}(n)$ has the maximum number of copies of H among all n -vertex F -free graphs (for n large enough), then H is called F -Turán-good, or k -Turán-good for short if F is K_k . In this paper, we construct some new classes of k -Turán-good graphs and prove that P_4 and P_5 are k -Turán-good for $k \geq 4$.

Keywords: Paths, Turán graph, Turán-good.

AMS subject classifications: 05C35, 05C38.

1 Introduction

For a fixed graph F , we say that a graph G is F -free if it contains no copy of F as a subgraph. One of the most studied area of extremal combinatorics is Turán theory, which seeks to determine $ex(n, F)$, the largest number of edges in an F -free graph on n vertices. A cornerstone of extremal graph theory is Turán's theorem [14], which determines the maximum number of edges in an n -vertex K_k -free graph. The extremal construction is a complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph on n vertices such that each class has cardinality either $\lceil \frac{n}{k-1} \rceil$ or $\lfloor \frac{n}{k-1} \rfloor$. Such a graph is called a Turán graph and is denoted by $T_{k-1}(n)$.

Alon and Shikhelman [1] initialed the systematic study of the generalized Turán problem $ex(n, H, F)$, the largest number of copies of H in F -free graphs on n vertices. For several

*Corresponding author. Email address: gnge@zju.edu.cn. Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11971325, National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant Nos. 2020YFA0712100 and 2018YFA0704703, and Beijing Scholars Program.

further recent results see, e.g., [6, 7, 8, 10, 12]. One difficulty to determine $ex(n, H, F)$ exactly is that there are few F -free graphs that are good candidates for being extremal constructions for maximizing copies of a graph H . An exception is the Turán graph. In [9], Gerbner and Palmer examined when the Turán graph is the extremal construction for these generalized Turán problems.

Fix graphs H and G , and denote the number of copies of H in G by $\mathcal{N}(H, G)$. Then $ex(n, H, F) = \max\{\mathcal{N}(H, G) : G \text{ is an } n\text{-vertex } F\text{-free graph}\}$. In what follows, K_n is the complete graph on n vertices. C_n denotes the cycle on n vertices, P_n denotes the path on n vertices (with $n - 1$ edges) and M_l denotes the matching with l edges (thus $2l$ vertices). If $X \subseteq V(G)$, $G[X]$ denotes the subgraph of G induced on X . We say that an edge e of a graph G is color-critical if deleting e from G decreases the chromatic number of the graph.

Definition 1.1 ([9]). *Fix a k -chromatic graph F and a graph H that does not contain F as a subgraph. We say that H is F -Turán-good if $ex(n, H, F) = \mathcal{N}(H, T_{k-1}(n))$ for every n large enough. If $F = K_k$, we use the brief term k -Turán-good.*

So Turán's theorem states that K_2 is k -Turán-good for every $k > 2$. An early result of Zykov [15] (see also Erdős [2]) showed that K_r is k -Turán-good for any positive integer $r \leq k - 1$.

Theorem 1.2 ([15]). *The Turán graph $T_{k-1}(n)$ is the unique n -vertex K_k -free graph with the maximum number of copies of K_r . Thus*

$$ex(n, K_r, K_k) = \mathcal{N}(K_r, T_{k-1}(n)) \leq \binom{k-1}{r} \left\lceil \frac{n}{k-1} \right\rceil^r.$$

Theorem 1.2 was generalized by Ma and Qiu [13] to graphs with a color-critical edge.

Theorem 1.3 ([13]). *Let F be a graph with a color-critical edge and chromatic number more than r . Then K_r is F -Turán-good.*

Győri, Pach and Somonovits [11] showed that a bipartite graph H on $m \geq 3$ vertices containing $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ independent edges is 3-Turán-good. This implies that path P_l with l vertices, the even cycle C_{2l} and the Turán graph $T_2(m)$ are all 3-Turán-good. They also gave the following general theorem.

Theorem 1.4 ([11]). *Let $r \geq 3$, and let H be a $(k-1)$ -partite graph with $m > k-1$ vertices, containing $\lfloor m/(k-1) \rfloor$ vertex disjoint copies of K_{k-1} . Suppose further that for any two vertices u and v in the same component of H , there is a sequence A_1, \dots, A_s of $(k-1)$ -cliques in H such that $u \in A_1, v \in A_s$, and for any $i < s$, A_i and A_{i+1} share $k-2$ vertices. Then H is k -Turán-good.*

Corollary 1.5 ([11]). *Paths, even cycles and $K_{2,3}$ are 3-Turán-good and $T_{k-1}(m)$ is k -Turán-good.*

Recently, Gerbner and Palmer [9] obtained a similar result, which gives a new class of k -Turán-good graphs.

Theorem 1.6 ([9]). *Let H be a k -Turán-good graph. Let H' be any graph constructed from H in the following way. Choose a complete subgraph of H with vertex set X , add a vertex-disjoint copy of K_{k-1} to H and join the vertices in X to the vertices of K_{k-1} by edges arbitrarily. Then H' is k -Turán-good.*

And, Gerbner [4] also gave the following result.

Theorem 1.7 ([4]). *M_l is F -Turán-good for every F with a color-critical edge.*

For more results about k -Turán-good graphs, we refer the readers to [4], [9], and their references.

Furthermore, Gerbner and Palmer raised the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1.8 ([9]). *For every pair of integers l and k , the path P_l is k -Turán-good.*

Conjecture 1.9 ([9]). *The path P_k and the even cycle C_{2k} are C_{2l+1} -Turán-good.*

In the same paper, they made some progress, i.e., they proved that Conjecture 1.8 holds for $l = 3$ and $k \geq 3$, and it holds asymptotically. The authors also showed that Conjecture 1.9 holds for P_3 when $l \geq 1$ and for P_4 and C_4 when $l = 2$. They also obtained that if P_{2k} is k -Turán-good, then C_{2k} is k -Turán-good where F is a 3-chromatic graph. Recently, Gerbner [5] has solved Conjecture 1.9.

In [4], Gerbner proved the following case of Conjecture 1.8.

Proposition 1.10 ([4]). *P_4 is 4-Turán-good.*

In this paper, we give some new k -Turán-good graphs. And we also prove that P_4 and P_5 are k -Turán-good for $k \geq 4$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct some new kinds of k -Turán-good graphs. In Section 3, we prove that P_4 is k -Turán-good. In Section 4, we prove that P_5 is k -Turán-good. The last section contains some concluding remarks.

2 Some new k -Turán-good graphs

For three graphs G, G_1, G_2 , define $S_{G_1, G_2}(G) = \{(H_1, H_2) : H_1 \text{ and } H_2 \text{ are disjoint subgraphs of } G, H_1 \text{ is a copy of } G_1, \text{ and } H_2 \text{ is a copy of } G_2\}$. We also write $S_{l, k}(G)$ for short if G_1 is K_l and G_2 is K_k .

Choose two vertex-disjoint complete graphs K_l and K_k , and join the vertices in K_l to the vertices of K_k by edges arbitrarily. Denote the resulting graph by H . Let G be another

graph. For every $(H_1, H_2) \in S_{l,k}(G)$, let $f_G(H, (H_1, H_2))$ be the number of copies of H in $G[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)]$ such that H_1 corresponds to the K_l part of H and H_2 corresponds to the K_k part of H . Then

$$\mathcal{N}(H, G) = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{(H_1, H_2) \in S_{l,k}(G)} f_G(H, (H_1, H_2)),$$

where a is the number of times a copy of H is counted, and depends only on H .

For example, given a K_2 and a K_1 , if we join one vertex of K_2 and the vertex of K_1 , then we get a path P_3 . Denote this path by H . Let G be a K_3 , and $V(G) = \{a, b, c\}$. Then $f_G(H, (G[a, b], G[c])) = 2$, and

$$\mathcal{N}(H, G) = \frac{1}{2}(2 + 2 + 2) = 3.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Choose two vertex-disjoint complete graphs K_l and K_1 , and join the vertices in K_l to the vertex of K_1 by edges arbitrarily. Denote the resulting graph by H , and assume that H is not a copy of K_{l+1} . Then H is k -Turán-good for $k \geq l + 1$.*

Proof. Let G be a K_k -free graph with n vertices having maximum number of copies of H . We write $S = S_{l,1}(G)$ and $T = S_{l,1}(T_{k-1}(n))$ for simplicity. Let $s = |S|$ and $t = |T|$. Then $s = \mathcal{N}(K_l, G)(n-l)$ and $t = \mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n))(n-l)$. Since G is K_k -free, $\mathcal{N}(K_l, G) \leq \mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n))$. And hence $s \leq t$.

Let $S = S_1 \cup S_2$, where $S_1 = \{(H_1, H_2) : H_1 \text{ and } H_2 \text{ are disjoint subgraphs of } G, H_1 \text{ is a copy of } K_l, H_2 \text{ is a copy of } K_1, \text{ and } G[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)] \text{ is a copy of } K_{l+1}\}$ and $S_2 = \{(H_1, H_2) : H_1 \text{ and } H_2 \text{ are disjoint subgraphs of } G, H_1 \text{ is a copy of } K_l, H_2 \text{ is a copy of } K_1, \text{ and } G[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)] \text{ is not a copy of } K_{l+1}\}$. Let $s_1 = |S_1|$ and $s_2 = |S_2|$. Then $s = s_1 + s_2$. Define T_1, T_2, t_1 , and t_2 similarly.

Note that $s_1 = \mathcal{N}(K_{l+1}, G)(l+1)$, and $t_1 = \mathcal{N}(K_{l+1}, T_{k-1}(n))(l+1)$. So $t_1 \geq s_1$. Thus there is an injection $\phi_1 : S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ such that if $\phi_1(H_1, H_2) = (H'_1, H'_2)$, then $G[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)]$ is isomorphic to a subgraph of $T_{k-1}(n)[V(H'_1) \cup V(H'_2)]$ (indeed, both of them are K_{l+1}).

Now consider S_2 and T_2 . For every $(H_1, H_2) \in S_2$, since H_1 is a copy of K_l but $G[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)]$ is not a copy of K_{l+1} , it implies that in G , the vertex in H_2 is not adjacent to at least one vertex in H_1 . On the other hand, in $T_{k-1}(n)$, for every $(H'_1, H'_2) \in T_2$, the vertex in H'_2 is not adjacent to exactly one vertex in H'_1 . Note that

$$|S_2| = s_2 = s - s_1 \leq t - s_1 = (t_1 - s_1) + t_2 = |(T_1 \setminus \phi_1(S_1)) \cup T_2|.$$

So there is an injection $\phi_2 : S_2 \rightarrow (T_1 \setminus \phi_1(S_1)) \cup T_2$ such that if $\phi_2(H_1, H_2) = (H'_1, H'_2)$, then $G[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)]$ is isomorphic to a subgraph of $T_{k-1}(n)[V(H'_1) \cup V(H'_2)]$.

Combining ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , we find an injection ϕ from S to T , such that if $\phi(H_1, H_2) = (H'_1, H'_2)$, then $G[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)]$ is isomorphic to a subgraph of $T_{k-1}(n)[V(H'_1) \cup V(H'_2)]$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(H, G) &= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{(H_1, H_2) \in S} f_G(H, (H_1, H_2)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \sum_{\phi(H_1, H_2) \in \phi(S)} f_{T_{k-1}(n)}(H, \phi(H_1, H_2)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \sum_{(H'_1, H'_2) \in T} f_{T_{k-1}(n)}(H, (H'_1, H'_2)) \\ &= \mathcal{N}(H, T_{k-1}(n)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

By the above proposition, we can get the following corollary, which was proved in [9].

Corollary 2.2. P_3 is k -Turán-good for $k \geq 3$.

In order to prove that P_5 is k -Turán-good later, we need the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. For any positive integers l, m, k with $2 \leq l \leq m < k$, let H be the graph such that $V(H) = V(K_l) \cup V(K_m)$ and $E(H) = E(K_l) \cup E(K_m)$. Then H is k -Turán-good.

The proof is similar to that for proving M_l is k -Turán-good in [4]. For the sake of completeness, we include it here.

Proof. Let G be an n -vertex K_k -free graph with the largest number of copies of H , and let n be sufficiently large with respect to k .

Case 1. G has chromatic number at least k . We claim that

$$\mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n))\mathcal{N}(K_m, T_{k-1}(n-l)) - \mathcal{N}(H, G) = \Omega(n^{l+m-1}), \quad (1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n))\mathcal{N}(K_m, T_{k-1}(n-l)) - \mathcal{N}(H, T_{k-1}(n)) = O(n^{l+m-2}). \quad (2)$$

This shows that $\mathcal{N}(H, G) < \mathcal{N}(H, T_{k-1}(n))$ for large n , a contradiction.

To prove (1), we need a theorem of Erdős and Simonovits [3], which states that for every n -vertex K_k -free graph with chromatic number at least k , there is a vertex v of degree at most $(1 - \frac{1}{k-7/3})n$. Then $\mathcal{N}(K_l, G)$ is equal to the sum of the number of K_l in G that contain v as a vertex and the number of K_l in G that do not contain v as a vertex, where the second term is at most $\mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n-1))$. As for the number of K_l in G that contain v as a vertex, let G_1

be the subgraph of G induced by the neighbors of v . Then G_1 is K_{k-1} -free, and by Theorem 1.2, $\mathcal{N}(K_{l-1}, G_1) \leq \binom{k-2}{l-1} \left(\lceil \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{k-7/3})n}{k-2} \rceil \right)^{l-1}$. So

$$\mathcal{N}(K_l, G) \leq \binom{k-2}{l-1} \left(\lceil \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{k-7/3})n}{k-2} \rceil \right)^{l-1} + \mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n-1)).$$

On the other hand, we can delete one vertex from $T_{k-1}(n)$ to obtain $T_{k-1}(n-1)$, and

$$\mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n)) \geq \binom{k-2}{l-1} \left(\lfloor \frac{n}{k-1} \rfloor \right)^{l-1} + \mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n-1)).$$

Since $\frac{(1 - \frac{1}{k-7/3})}{k-2} < \frac{1}{k-1}$, it implies that $\mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n)) - \mathcal{N}(K_l, G) \geq \Theta(n^{l-1})$, and we write $\mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n)) - \mathcal{N}(K_l, G) = \Omega(n^{l-1})$.

Let G_2 be any resulting graph after deleting a copy of K_l from G . Then G_2 is an $(n-l)$ -vertex K_k -free graph. So $\mathcal{N}(K_m, G_2) \leq \mathcal{N}(K_m, T_{k-1}(n-l))$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n))\mathcal{N}(K_m, T_{k-1}(n-l)) - \mathcal{N}(H, G) \\ & \geq \mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n))\mathcal{N}(K_m, T_{k-1}(n-l)) - \mathcal{N}(K_l, G)\mathcal{N}(K_m, T_{k-1}(n-l)) \\ & = \Omega(n^{l+m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1).

Now let us prove (2). We need to count the number of copies of H in $T_{k-1}(n)$. We first pick a copy of K_l in $T_{k-1}(n)$, and there are $\mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n)) = \Theta(n^l)$ ways. After deleting the vertices of the picked K_l , the remaining graph G_3 is a complete $(k-1)$ -partite graph on $(n-l)$ vertices. If $(k-1) \mid n$, then the size of every part is either $n/(k-1)$ or $n/(k-1) - 1$; if $(k-1) \nmid n$, then the size of every part is one of $\{\lceil n/k \rceil, \lceil n/k \rceil - 1, \lfloor n/k \rfloor - 1\}$. In the first case, G_3 is $T_{k-1}(n-l)$. In the second case, we can obtain G_3 from the $T_{k-1}(n-l)$ by moving a constant c (indeed, $c \leq l$) number of vertices from some parts to other parts. In a word, we can always obtain G_3 from the $T_{k-1}(n-l)$ by moving a constant c number of vertices from some parts to other parts. And it is easy to verify that each such move decreases the number of copies of K_m by $O(n^{m-2})$. Thus $\mathcal{N}(K_m, G_3) = \mathcal{N}(K_m, T_{k-1}(n-l)) - O(n^{m-2})$. Hence

$$\mathcal{N}(H, T_{k-1}(n)) = \mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n))(\mathcal{N}(K_m, T_{k-1}(n-l)) - O(n^{m-2})).$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\mathcal{N}(K_l, T_{k-1}(n))\mathcal{N}(K_m, T_{k-1}(n-l)) - \mathcal{N}(H, T_{k-1}(n)) = O(n^{l+m-2}).$$

This proves (2).

Case 2. If $\chi(G) \leq k - 1$, then we can assume that G is a complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph, as adding edges will neither decrease the number of copies of H nor make a K_k . Suppose $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}$. We now show that making the graph more balanced does not decrease the number of copies of H . In other words, in order to maximize $\mathcal{N}(H, G)$, $||V_i| - |V_j||$ should be 0 or 1, for every i, j . If so, the Turán graph $T_{k-1}(n)$ will have the maximum number of copies of H , and it will complete the proof.

To derive a contradiction, and without loss of generality, assume that $V_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_a\}$ has size a and $V_2 = \{v, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_b\}$ has size $b + 1$, such that $b - a \geq 1$. Let G' be another complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph with parts $V_1 \cup \{v\}, V_2 \setminus \{v\}, V_3, V_4, \dots, V_{k-1}$. So G' can be obtained from G by moving v from V_2 to V_1 . It suffices to show that $\mathcal{N}(H, G) \leq \mathcal{N}(H, G')$.

We say a copy of H in G is of *type I* if for some i , both v and u_i are in its K_l part, and a copy of H in G is of *type II* if for some i , both v and u_i are in its K_m part. Similarly, we say a copy of H in G' is of *type III* if for some i , both v and w_i are in its K_l part, and a copy of H in G' is of *type IV* if for some i , both v and w_i are in its K_m part. So by moving v from V_2 part to V_1 part, we lose H of *type I* and *type II*, and at the same time, we gain H of *type III* and *type IV*. We claim that the number of *type I* H s is less than or equal to the number of *type III* H s. If the claim is true, then similarly, the number of *type II* H s is less than or equal to the number of *type IV* H s. And this means that $\mathcal{N}(H, G) \leq \mathcal{N}(H, G')$.

Let A_λ ($1 \leq \lambda \leq a$) be the number of copies of H of *type I* such that v and u_λ are in the K_l part. Let $M = \mathcal{N}(K_{l-2}, G[V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}])$ be the number of copies of K_{l-2} in $G[V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}]$, and let $K_{l-2}^{(i)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq M$) be the copies of K_{l-2} in $G[V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}]$. Then

$$A_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^M \mathcal{N}\left(K_m, G[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus (\{v, u_\lambda\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))]\right),$$

and the number of *type I* H s in G is $\sum_{\lambda=1}^a A_\lambda$.

Let B_μ ($1 \leq \mu \leq b$) be the number of copies of H of *type III* such that u and w_μ are in the K_l part. Recall that $G[V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}] = G'[V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}]$. Then

$$B_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^M \mathcal{N}\left(K_m, G'[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus (\{v, w_\mu\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))]\right),$$

and the number of *type III* H s in G' is $\sum_{\mu=1}^b B_\mu$.

Fix λ, μ and i . Consider $\mathcal{N}\left(K_m, G[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus (\{v, u_\lambda\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))]\right)$ and $\mathcal{N}\left(K_m, G'[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus (\{v, w_\mu\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))]\right)$. Note that $G'[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus$

$(\{v, w_\mu\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))$ is more balanced than $G[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus (\{v, u_\lambda\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))]$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N} \left(K_m, G[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus (\{v, u_\lambda\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))] \right) \\ & \leq \mathcal{N} \left(K_m, G'[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus (\{v, w_\mu\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))] \right). \end{aligned}$$

To be precise, one can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N} \left(K_m, G'[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus (\{v, w_\mu\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))] \right) \\ = & \mathcal{N} \left(K_m, G'[(V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus V(K_{l-2}^{(i)})] \right) \\ & + (a + b - 1) \mathcal{N} \left(K_{m-1}, G'[(V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus V(K_{l-2}^{(i)})] \right) \\ & + a(b - 1) \mathcal{N} \left(K_{m-2}, G'[(V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus V(K_{l-2}^{(i)})] \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N} \left(K_m, G[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus (\{v, w_\mu\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))] \right) \\ = & \mathcal{N} \left(K_m, G[(V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus V(K_{l-2}^{(i)})] \right) \\ & + (a + b - 1) \mathcal{N} \left(K_{m-1}, G[(V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus V(K_{l-2}^{(i)})] \right) \\ & + (a - 1)b \mathcal{N} \left(K_{m-2}, G[(V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus V(K_{l-2}^{(i)})] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $b \geq a + 1$, it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N} \left(K_m, G[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus (\{v, u_\lambda\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))] \right) \\ & \leq \mathcal{N} \left(K_m, G'[(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}) \setminus (\{v, w_\mu\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))] \right). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality holds for every λ, μ and i . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda=1}^a A_\lambda &= \sum_{\lambda=1}^a \sum_{i=1}^M \mathcal{N}(K_m, G[V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1} \setminus (\{v, u_\lambda\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))]) \\ &\leq \sum_{\mu=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^M \mathcal{N}(K_m, G'[V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1} \setminus (\{v, w_\mu\} \cup V(K_{l-2}^{(i)}))]) \\ &= \sum_{\mu=1}^b B_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the number of *type I* H s in G is less than or equal to the number of *type III* H s in G' , as claimed. \square

Remark 2.4. Combining this proposition with Proposition 2.1, it implies that the conclusion holds for every l, m and k such that $1 \leq l \leq m < k$.

This proposition can be extended to a finite number of cliques, i.e. for $1 \leq l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_m < k$, if a graph H satisfies that $V(H) = V(K_{l_1}) \cup V(K_{l_2}) \cup \dots \cup V(K_{l_m})$ and $E(H) = E(K_{l_1}) \cup E(K_{l_2}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{l_m})$, then H is k -Turán-good.

Corollary 2.5. \mathcal{K} is k -Turán-good for $k \geq 4$.

Now, we can prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. Choose two vertex-disjoint complete graphs K_{k-2} and K_2 , and join the vertices in K_{k-2} to the vertices of K_2 by edges arbitrarily. Denote the resulting graph by H , and assume that H is not a copy of K_k . Then H is k -Turán-good.

Proof. Let G be a K_k -free graph with n vertices having maximum number of H . We write $S = S_{k-2,2}(G)$ and $T = S_{k-2,2}(T_{k-1}(n))$ for simplicity. Let $s = |S|$ and $t = |T|$. Proposition 2.3 shows that $s \leq t$.

Let $S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$, where $S_1 = \{(H_1, H_2) : H_1 \text{ (with vertex set } \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-2}\}) \text{ and } H_2 \text{ (with vertex set } \{b_1, b_2\}) \text{ are disjoint subgraphs in } G, G[V(H_1) \cup \{b_1\}] \text{ is a copy of } K_{k-1}, \text{ and } H_2 \text{ is a copy of } K_2\}$, $S_2 = \{(H_1, H_2) : H_1 \text{ (with vertex set } \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-2}\}) \text{ and } H_2 \text{ (with vertex set } \{b_1, b_2\}) \text{ are disjoint subgraphs in } G, H_1 \text{ is a copy of } K_{k-2}, H_2 \text{ is a copy of } K_2, G[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-3}, b_1, b_2] \text{ is a copy of } K_{k-1}, G[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-2}, b_1] \text{ is not a copy of } K_{k-1}, \text{ and } G[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-2}, b_2] \text{ is not a copy of } K_{k-1}\}$, and $S_3 = \{(H_1, H_2) : H_1 \text{ and } H_2 \text{ are disjoint subgraphs in } G, H_1 \text{ is a copy of } K_{k-2}, H_2 \text{ is a copy of } K_2, \text{ and } G[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)] \text{ contains no } K_{k-1}\}$. Let $s_1 = |S_1|$, $s_2 = |S_2|$, and $s_3 = |S_3|$. Then $s = s_1 + s_2 + s_3$.

Let $T = T_1 \cup T_2$, where $T_1 = \{(H_1, H_2) : H_1 \text{ (with vertex set } \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-2}\}) \text{ and } H_2 \text{ (with vertex set } \{b_1, b_2\}) \text{ are disjoint subgraphs in } T_{k-1}(n), T_{k-1}(n)[V(H_1) \cup \{b_1\}] \text{ is a copy of } K_{k-1}, \text{ and } H_2 \text{ is a copy of } K_2\}$, and $T_2 = \{(H_1, H_2) : H_1 \text{ and } H_2 \text{ are disjoint subgraphs in } T_{k-1}(n), H_1 \text{ is a } K_{k-2}, H_2 \text{ is a } K_2, \text{ and } T_{k-1}(n)[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)] \text{ contains no } K_{k-1}\}$. Let $t_1 = |T_1|$ and $t_2 = |T_2|$. Then $t = t_1 + t_2$.

Let $K^{(i)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) be the different copies of K_{k-1} in G . For every i , define $\alpha_j^{(i)} = |\{v \in V(G) \setminus V(K^{(i)}) : v \text{ is adjacent to exactly } j \text{ vertices of } K^{(i)}\}|$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \alpha_j^{(i)} \leq n - (k-1)$ holds for every i . It is also easy to verify that

$$s_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} j \alpha_j^{(i)},$$

and

$$s_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{k-3}^{(i)}.$$

Thus

$$s_1 + s_2 \leq (k-2) \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \alpha_j^{(i)} \leq (k-2)m(n - (k-1)).$$

Note that m is the total number of copies of K_{k-1} in G , i.e. $m = \mathcal{N}(K_{k-1}, G)$. So

$$s_1 + s_2 \leq (k-2)\mathcal{N}(K_{k-1}, G)(n - (k-1)) \leq (k-2)\mathcal{N}(K_{k-1}, T_{k-1}(n))(n - (k-1)) = t_1.$$

Thus there is an injection $\phi_1 : S_1 \cup S_2 \rightarrow T_1$ such that if $\phi_1(H_1, H_2) = (H'_1, H'_2)$, then $G[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)]$ is isomorphic to a subgraph of $T_{k-1}(n)[V(H'_1) \cup V(H'_2)]$ (indeed, the graph $T_{k-1}(n)[V(H'_1) \cup V(H'_2)]$ has $\binom{k}{2} - 1$ edges, which is the largest k -vertex K_k -free graph).

Now consider S_3 and T_2 . For every $(H_1, H_2) \in S_3$, let $V(H_1) = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-2}\}$ and $V(H_2) = \{b_1, b_2\}$. Since H_1 is a copy of K_{k-2} , H_2 is a copy of K_2 , and $G[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-2}, b_1, b_2]$ contains no K_{k-1} , it implies that there are distinct a_{i_1} and a_{i_2} , such that b_1 is not adjacent to a_{i_1} and b_2 is not adjacent to a_{i_2} , in G . On the other hand, for every $(H'_1, H'_2) \in T_2$, let $V(H'_1) = \{a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_{k-2}\}$ and $V(H'_2) = \{b'_1, b'_2\}$, b'_1 is not adjacent to exactly one of a'_i ($1 \leq i \leq l$), and b'_2 is not adjacent to exactly another one of a'_i ($1 \leq i \leq l$), in $T_{k-1}(n)$. Note that

$$|S_3| = s_3 = s - s_1 - s_2 \leq t - s_1 - s_2 = (t_1 - s_1 - s_2) + t_2 = |(T_1 \setminus \phi_1(S_1 \cup S_2)) \cup T_2|.$$

So there is an injection $\phi_2 : S_3 \rightarrow (T_1 \setminus \phi_1(S_1 \cup S_2)) \cup T_2$ such that if $\phi_2(H_1, H_2) = (H'_1, H'_2)$, then $G[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)]$ is isomorphic to a subgraph of $T_{k-1}(n)[V(H'_1) \cup V(H'_2)]$.

Combining ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , we find an injection ϕ from S to T , such that if $\phi(H_1, H_2) = (H'_1, H'_2)$, then $G[V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)]$ is isomorphic to a subgraph of $T_{k-1}(n)[V(H'_1) \cup V(H'_2)]$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(H, G) &= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{(H_1, H_2) \in S} f_G(H, (H_1, H_2)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \sum_{\phi(H_1, H_2) \in \phi(S)} f_{T_{k-1}(n)}(H, \phi(H_1, H_2)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \sum_{(H'_1, H'_2) \in T} f_{T_{k-1}(n)}(H, (H'_1, H'_2)) \\ &= \mathcal{N}(H, T_{k-1}(n)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

By the above proposition, we can get the following corollary which has been proved in [4].

Corollary 2.7. P_4 is 4-Turán-good.

Also, we have the following corollary which will be used later.

Corollary 2.8. \heartsuit is 5-Turán-good.

3 P_4 is k -Turán-good

In this section, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. P_4 is k -Turán-good for $k \geq 5$.

Proof. Given an integer $k \geq 5$, let G be an n -vertex graph which is K_k -free. The graphs in the first row of Table 1 are all possible induced 4-vertex subgraphs which contain at least one P_4 . We assume that the number of them in G are as that in the second row. And by an easy counting, we get all the values of the table.

Table 1: Induced 4-vertex subgraphs containing P_4

Induced 4-vertex subgraphs containing P_4	\sqsubset	\square	\mathfrak{N}	\mathfrak{M}	\mathfrak{X}
Number of each subgraph in G	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5
Number of M_2 in each subgraph	1	2	1	2	3
Number of \mathfrak{V} in each subgraph	0	0	1	2	4
Number of K_4 in each subgraph	0	0	0	0	1
Number of P_4 in each subgraph	1	4	3	6	12

Since K_3 is k -Turán-good by Theorem 1.2, it follows directly that \mathfrak{V} is also k -Turán-good. Because of M_2 , \mathfrak{V} and K_4 are k -Turán-good, we have

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4 + 3x_5 & \leq \mathcal{N}(M_2, G) \leq \mathcal{N}(M_2, T_{k-1}(n)), \\ x_3 + 2x_4 + 4x_5 & \leq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{V}, G) \leq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{V}, T_{k-1}(n)), \\ x_5 & \leq \mathcal{N}(K_4, G) \leq \mathcal{N}(K_4, T_{k-1}(n)). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(P_4, G) &= x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 + 6x_4 + 12x_5 \\ &\leq 2(x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4 + 3x_5) + (x_3 + 2x_4 + 4x_5) + 2x_5 \\ &\leq 2\mathcal{N}(M_2, T_{k-1}(n)) + \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{V}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 2\mathcal{N}(K_4, T_{k-1}(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Now we only need to show that $2\mathcal{N}(M_2, T_{k-1}(n)) + \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{V}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 2\mathcal{N}(K_4, T_{k-1}(n)) = \mathcal{N}(P_4, T_{k-1}(n))$. Indeed, by easy counting, we have the following

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(P_4, T_{k-1}(n)) &= 12\mathcal{N}(K_4, T_{k-1}(n)) + 6\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 4\mathcal{N}(K_{2,2}, T_{k-1}(n)), \\ \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{V}, T_{k-1}(n)) &= 4\mathcal{N}(K_4, T_{k-1}(n)) + 2\mathcal{N}(K_{2,2}, T_{k-1}(n)), \\ \mathcal{N}(M_2, T_{k-1}(n)) &= 3\mathcal{N}(K_4, T_{k-1}(n)) + 2\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 2\mathcal{N}(K_{2,2}, T_{k-1}(n)). \end{cases}$$

With the above three equalities, it can be derived that

$$2\mathcal{N}(M_2, T_{k-1}(n)) + \mathcal{N}(\nabla, T_{k-1}(n)) + 2\mathcal{N}(K_4, T_{k-1}(n)) = \mathcal{N}(P_4, T_{k-1}(n)).$$

This completes the proof. □

















4 P_5 is k -Turán-good

In this section, we will prove that P_5 is k -Turán-good for all $k \geq 4$. The case $k = 4$ is much easier, so we prove it first.

Theorem 4.1. *P_5 is 4-Turán-good.*




Proof. Let G be an n -vertex graph which is K_4 -free. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, in Table 2, we list all possible induced 5-vertex subgraphs containing at least one P_5 , and assume that each has x_i copies in G for $1 \leq i \leq 13$.

Table 2: Induced 5-vertex subgraphs of K_4 -free graphs containing P_5

Induced 5-vertex subgraphs containing P_5													
Number of each subgraph in G	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7	x_8	x_9	x_{10}	x_{11}	x_{12}	x_{13}
Number of  in each subgraph	3	5	4	4	4	5	3	6	7	8	6	6	10
Number of  in each subgraph	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	0	4
Number of  in each subgraph	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Number of P_5 in each subgraph	1	5	2	2	2	4	1	7	9	12	6	6	24

Now we have that

$$\mathcal{N}(P_5, G) = 1x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 + 2x_4 + 2x_5 + 4x_6 + 1x_7 + 7x_8 + 9x_9 + 12x_{10} + 6x_{11} + 6x_{12} + 24x_{13}.$$

By Theorem 1.6, we know that  and  are 4-Turán-good. And since M_2 is 4-Turán-good, so is . Therefore

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 3x_1 + 5x_2 + 4x_3 + 4x_4 + 4x_5 + 5x_6 + \\ 3x_7 + 6x_8 + 7x_9 + 8x_{10} + 6x_{11} + 6x_{12} + 10x_{13} \\ 1x_3 + 1x_6 + 1x_8 + 2x_9 + 2x_{10} + 4x_{13} \\ 1x_9 + 2x_{13} \end{array} \leq \mathcal{N}(\text{subgraph}, G) \leq \mathcal{N}(\text{subgraph}, T_3(n)), \right.$$

So we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(P_5, G) &\leq \mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, G) + 3\mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, G) + \mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, G) \\ &\leq \mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, T_3(n)) + 3\mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, T_3(n)) + \mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, T_3(n)).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(P_5, T_3(n)) &= 6\mathcal{N}(K_{2,3}, T_3(n)) + 6\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,3}, T_3(n)) + 24\mathcal{N}(K_{1,2,2}, T_3(n)), \\ \mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, T_3(n)) &= 6\mathcal{N}(K_{2,3}, T_3(n)) + 6\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,3}, T_3(n)) + 10\mathcal{N}(K_{1,2,2}, T_3(n)), \\ \mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, T_3(n)) &= 4\mathcal{N}(K_{1,2,2}, T_3(n)), \\ \mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, T_3(n)) &= 2\mathcal{N}(K_{1,2,2}, T_3(n)), \end{cases}$$

it is easy to derive that

$$\mathcal{N}(P_5, T_3(n)) = \mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, T_3(n)) + 3\mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, T_3(n)) + \mathcal{N}(\text{⚡}, T_3(n)).$$

Thus, we have that $\mathcal{N}(P_5, G) \leq \mathcal{N}(P_5, T_3(n))$, which means that P_5 is 4-Turán-good. \square

To prove that P_5 is k -Turán-good, we need the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. ⚡ is k -Turán-good for $k \geq 4$.

Proof. The cases that ⚡ is 4-Turán-good and 5-Turán-good follow from Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 2.8, respectively.

Now we assume that $k \geq 6$. Let G be an n -vertex K_k -free graph with maximal number of copies of ⚡ . As before, we list all the parameters in Table 3.

Table 3: Induced 5-vertex subgraphs containing ⚡

Induced 5-vertex subgraphs containing ⚡	⚡	⚡	⚡	⚡	⚡	⚡	⚡
Number of each subgraph in G	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7
Number of ⚡ in each subgraph	2	2	0	4	3	6	10
Number of ⚡ in each subgraph	0	0	0	0	1	2	5
Number of K_5 in each subgraph	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Number of ⚡ in each subgraph	1	1	0	2	2	6	15

Fix $k \geq 6$. Corollary 2.5 says that \curvearrowright is k -Turán-good. \curvearrowleft is k -Turán-good by Proposition 2.1 and K_5 is k -Turán-good by Theorem 1.2. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, G) &\leq x_1 + x_2 + 2x_4 + 2x_5 + 6x_6 + 15x_7 \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, G) + 3\mathcal{N}(\curvearrowleft, G) + 5\mathcal{N}(K_5, G)}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, T_{k-1}(n)) + 3\mathcal{N}(\curvearrowleft, T_{k-1}(n)) + 5\mathcal{N}(K_5, T_{k-1}(n))}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, T_{k-1}(n)) &= 2\mathcal{N}(K_{1,2,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 6\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,1,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 15\mathcal{N}(K_5, T_{k-1}(n)), \\ \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowleft, T_{k-1}(n)) &= 4\mathcal{N}(K_{1,2,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 6\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,1,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 10\mathcal{N}(K_5, T_{k-1}(n)), \\ \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowleft, T_{k-1}(n)) &= 2\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,1,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 5\mathcal{N}(K_5, T_{k-1}(n)), \end{cases}$$

it is easy to check that

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, T_{k-1}(n)) + 3\mathcal{N}(\curvearrowleft, T_{k-1}(n)) + 5\mathcal{N}(K_5, T_{k-1}(n))}{2} = \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, T_{k-1}(n)).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. P_5 is k -Turán-good for any $k \geq 5$.

Proof. We first prove that P_5 is k -Turán-good for $k \geq 6$. Let G be an n -vertex K_k -free graph containing the most number of copies of P_5 . We obtain Table 4 by counting.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(P_5, G) &= 1x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 + 2x_4 + 2x_5 + 4x_6 + 1x_7 + 7x_8 + 9x_9 \\ &\quad + 12x_{10} + 6x_{11} + 6x_{12} + 24x_{13} + 6x_{14} + 18x_{15} + 36x_{16} + 60x_{17}. \end{aligned}$$

Since \curvearrowright , \curvearrowleft and \curvearrowright are k -Turán-good, we get the following inequalities.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 3x_1 + 5x_2 + 4x_3 + 4x_4 + 4x_5 + 5x_6 + 3x_7 + 6x_8 + 7x_9 \\ \quad + 8x_{10} + 6x_{11} + 6x_{12} + 10x_{13} + 6x_{14} + 9x_{15} + 12x_{16} + 15x_{17} \\ 1x_3 + 1x_6 + 1x_8 + 2x_9 + 2x_{10} + 4x_{13} + 1x_{14} + 3x_{15} + 6x_{16} + 10x_{17} \\ \quad 1x_9 + 2x_{13} + 2x_{15} + 6x_{16} + 15x_{17} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \leq \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, G) \leq \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, T_{k-1}(n)), \\ \leq \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowleft, G) \leq \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowleft, T_{k-1}(n)), \\ \leq \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, G) \leq \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, T_{k-1}(n)). \end{array}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(P_5, G) &\leq \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, G) + 3\mathcal{N}(\curvearrowleft, G) + \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, G) \\ &\leq \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, T_{k-1}(n)) + 3\mathcal{N}(\curvearrowleft, T_{k-1}(n)) + \mathcal{N}(\curvearrowright, T_{k-1}(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Table 4: Induced 5-vertex subgraphs containing P_5

Induced 5-vertex subgraphs containing P_5																	
Number of each subgraph in G	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7	x_8	x_9	x_{10}	x_{11}	x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{14}	x_{15}	x_{16}	x_{17}
Number of in each subgraph	3	5	4	4	4	5	3	6	7	8	6	6	10	6	9	12	15
Number of in each subgraph	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	0	4	1	3	6	10
Number of in each subgraph	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	6	15
Number of P_5 in each subgraph	1	5	2	2	2	4	1	7	9	12	6	6	24	6	18	36	60

Since

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(P_5, T_{k-1}(n)) = 60\mathcal{N}(K_5, T_{k-1}(n)) + 36\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,1,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) \\ \quad + 24\mathcal{N}(K_{1,2,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 6\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,3}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 6\mathcal{N}(K_{2,3}, T_{k-1}(n)), \\ \mathcal{N}(\text{P5 with 1 edge}, T_{k-1}(n)) = 15\mathcal{N}(K_5, T_{k-1}(n)) + 12\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,1,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) \\ \quad + 10\mathcal{N}(K_{1,2,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 6\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,3}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 6\mathcal{N}(K_{2,3}, T_{k-1}(n)), \\ \mathcal{N}(\text{P5 with 2 edges}, T_{k-1}(n)) = 10\mathcal{N}(K_5, T_{k-1}(n)) + 6\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,1,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 4\mathcal{N}(K_{1,2,2}, T_{k-1}(n)), \\ \mathcal{N}(\text{P5 with 3 edges}, T_{k-1}(n)) = 15\mathcal{N}(K_5, T_{k-1}(n)) + 6\mathcal{N}(K_{1,1,1,2}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 2\mathcal{N}(K_{1,2,2}, T_{k-1}(n)), \end{cases}$$

it is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{N}(P_5, T_{k-1}(n)) = \mathcal{N}(\text{P5 with 1 edge}, T_{k-1}(n)) + 3\mathcal{N}(\text{P5 with 2 edges}, T_{k-1}(n)) + \mathcal{N}(\text{P5 with 3 edges}, T_{k-1}(n)),$$

which completes the proof.

When $k = 5$, nothing changes except $x_{17} = 0$ in Table 4 and $\mathcal{N}(K_5, T_4(n)) = 0$, the result that P_5 is 5-Turán-good follows by the same analysis. □

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we construct some new kinds of k -Turán-good graphs. Based on the fact that some certain small graphs are k -Turán-good, we can prove that P_4 and P_5 are k -Turán-good. This may also work for P_l when $l \geq 6$, but the number of l -vertex graphs containing at least one P_l is too large to handle. Some new ideas to attack the problem are expected.

References

- [1] N. Alon and C. Shikhelman. Many T copies in H -free graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 121:146–172, 2016.
- [2] P. Erdős. On the number of complete subgraphs contained in certain graphs. *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.*, 7:459–464, 1962.
- [3] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. On a valence problem in extremal graph theory. *Discrete Math.*, 5:323–334, 1973.
- [4] D. Gerbner. Generalized Turán problems for small graphs. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2006.16150, June 2020.
- [5] D. Gerbner. On Turán-good graphs. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2012.12646, Dec. 2020.
- [6] D. Gerbner, E. Győri, A. Methuku, and M. Vizer. Generalized Turán problems for even cycles. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 145:169–213, 2020.
- [7] D. Gerbner, A. Methuku, and M. Vizer. Generalized Turán problems for disjoint copies of graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 342(11):3130–3141, 2019.
- [8] D. Gerbner and C. Palmer. Counting copies of a fixed subgraph in F -free graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 82:103001, 15, 2019.
- [9] D. Gerbner and C. Palmer. Some exact results for generalized Turán problems. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2006.03756, June 2020.
- [10] L. Gishboliner and A. Shapira. A generalized Turán problem and its applications. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (11):3417–3452, 2020.
- [11] E. Győri, J. Pach, and M. Simonovits. On the maximal number of certain subgraphs in K_r -free graphs. *Graphs Combin.*, 7(1):31–37, 1991.
- [12] E. Győri, N. Salia, C. Tompkins, and O. Zamora. The maximum number of P_ℓ copies in P_k -free graphs. *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.)*, 88(3):773–778, 2019.
- [13] J. Ma and Y. Qiu. Some sharp results on the generalized Turán numbers. *European J. Combin.*, 84:103026, 16, 2020.
- [14] P. Turán. On an external problem in graph theory. *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*, 48:436–452, 1941.
- [15] A. A. Zykov. On some properties of linear complexes. *Mat. Sbornik N.S.*, 24(66):163–188, 1949.