

Estimation of parameters of the logistic exponential distribution under progressive type-I hybrid censored sample

Subhankar Dutta* and Suchandan Kayal†

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Rourkela, Rourkela-769008, India

Abstract

The paper addresses the problem of estimation of the model parameters of the logistic exponential distribution based on progressive type-I hybrid censored sample. The maximum likelihood estimates are obtained and computed numerically using Newton-Raphson method. Further, the Bayes estimates are derived under squared error, LINEX and generalized entropy loss functions. Two types (independent and bivariate) of prior distributions are considered for the purpose of Bayesian estimation. It is seen that the Bayes estimates are not of explicit forms. Thus, Lindley's approximation technique is employed to get approximate Bayes estimates. Interval estimates of the parameters based on normal approximate of the maximum likelihood estimates and normal approximation of the log-transformed maximum likelihood estimates are constructed. The highest posterior density credible intervals are obtained by using the importance sampling method. Furthermore, numerical computations are reported to review some of the results obtained in the paper. A real life dataset is considered for the purpose of illustrations.

Keywords: Newton-Raphson method; Bayes estimates; Independent priors; Bivariate prior; Lindley's approximation technique; Highest posterior density credible interval; Importance sampling method; Mean squared error; Coverage probability.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 62N02; 62F10; 62F15

1 Introduction

Censoring was introduced in practice to save time and reduce the number of failed units associated with a life-testing experiment. The concept of censoring is very common in reliability and survival studies. The type-I and type-II censoring schemes are two fundamental

*Email address: subhankar.dta@gmail.com

†Email address (corresponding author): kayals@nitrrkl.ac.in, suchandan.kayal@gmail.com

censoring schemes among all the schemes, developed so far. Suppose that n units are placed to conduct a life-testing experiment. In type-I censoring scheme, it is assumed that the experiment runs up to a prefixed time, say T . Here, the random number of failures, say $m < n$ in the time interval $[0, T]$ is observed. For the case of the type-II censoring scheme, the experiment continues till a predefined number of failures, say m . Thus, clearly the time, say T at which the experiment stops is random. Epstein (1954) introduced a censoring scheme, which is a mixture of type-I and type-II schemes. This is dubbed as the hybrid censoring scheme in the literature. The main drawback of the hybrid censoring scheme is that it does not have flexibility of removing experimental units before the experiment terminates. However, the progressive type-II censoring scheme has such flexibility. Due to this virtue, the progressive type-II censoring design has been widely utilized to analyse lifetime data. But, these days, the components of a system are highly reliable because of advanced technology. As a result, in the progressive type-II censoring scheme, the duration of the total experiment may be very large. To overcome this difficulty, Kundu and Joarder (2006) introduced a general censoring scheme, known as the progressive type-I hybrid censoring scheme. Note that the progressive type-I hybrid censoring scheme is a mixture of the hybrid and progressive type-II censoring schemes. The progressive type-I hybrid censoring scheme is described briefly in the following.

Suppose an experiment with n identical components has started to work. The random lifetimes of the n components are denoted by X_1, \dots, X_n . Let an integer $m (< n)$ and the time point T are fixed when the experiment starts. At the time of first failure, say $X_{1:m:n}$, R_1 number of live units are randomly taken out. At the time of second failure, say $X_{2:m:n}$, R_2 number of units are randomly removed. Similarly, for the third failure, fourth failure and so on. Now, assume that the m th failure occurs at time $X_{m:m:n}$, which is less than T . Then, the experiment stops at $X_{m:m:n}$. Other ways, let the m th failure occurs after the time point T . The j ($0 \leq j < m$) number of failures occur before T . Then, at T , the remaining units $R_j^* = n - R_1 - R_2 - \dots - R_j - j$ are removed and the experiment terminates. These two cases are named as Case-A and Case-B. This censoring scheme is known as the progressive type-I hybrid censoring scheme. For details on this censoring design, one may refer to Kundu and Joarder (2006). Thus, for the progressive type-I hybrid censored sample, one has either Case-A or Case-B, where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case-A} & : \{X_{1:m:n}, \dots, X_{m:m:n}\}, \quad \text{if } X_{m:m:n} < T; \\ \text{Case-B} & : \{X_{1:m:n}, \dots, X_{j:m:n}\}, \quad \text{if } X_{j:m:n} < T < X_{j+1:m:n}. \end{aligned}$$

For Case-B, it is noted that $X_{j+1:m:n}$ is not observed. However, the meaning of $X_{j:m:n} < T < X_{j+1:m:n}$ is that the j th failure occurs before T , and no failure occurs between the points $X_{j:m:n}$ and T . That is, $X_{j+1:m:n}, \dots, X_{m:m:n}$ are not observed. Due to the importance of progressive type-I hybrid censoring scheme, many authors have studied estimation of the parameters of various lifetime distributions based on this scheme. Lin et al. (2009) obtained some useful classical estimates of the unknown parameters of Weibull distribution under progressive type-I hybrid censoring scheme. They obtained maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) and approximate MLEs of the unknown parameters, and then compared the performance of proposed estimates using numerical simulations. Hemmati and Khorram (2013)

obtained various estimates for the log-normal distribution under progressive type-I hybrid censored data. Sultana et al. (2019) studied estimation of parameters of the generalized half-normal distribution under progressive type-I hybrid censored sample. They obtained maximum likelihood and Bayes estimates. Further, they computed Bayes estimates based on different approximation techniques. Gamchi et al. (2019) considered classical and Bayesian inference of the Burr type-III distribution based on progressive type-I hybrid censored data. The authors proposed various estimates. One may refer to Kayal et al. (2019), Goyal et al. (2020) and Sultana et al. (2020) and the references therein for some more references in this topic.

Let X be a random variable following logistic exponential distribution (see Lan and Leemis (2008)) with probability density function (PDF) f and cumulative distribution function (CDF) F , where

$$f(x; \alpha, \lambda) = \frac{\alpha \lambda e^{\lambda x} (e^{\lambda x} - 1)^{\alpha-1}}{[1 + (e^{\lambda x} - 1)^\alpha]^2}; \quad x > 0, \quad \alpha, \lambda > 0 \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$F(x; \alpha, \lambda) = 1 - [1 + (e^{\lambda x} - 1)^\alpha]^{-1}; \quad x > 0, \quad \alpha, \lambda > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

For convenience, henceforth, $f(x)$ and $F(x)$ are used to denote the probability density function (PDF) and cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the logistic exponential distribution instead of $f(x; \alpha, \lambda)$ and $F(x; \alpha, \lambda)$, respectively. The logistic exponential distribution is a generalization of the exponential distribution. Lan and Leemis (2008) pointed out that the shape of the hazard rate function of the logistic exponential distribution is constant, increasing, decreasing, bathtub and upside-down bathtub. Due to various shapes of the hazard rate function, the logistic exponential distribution is very flexible to use in different areas of research such as clinical, reliability and survival studies. Because of the importance of the logistic exponential distribution as well as the progressive type-I hybrid censoring scheme, it is always of interest to study the problem of estimation of the unknown model parameters of the logistic exponential distribution when progressive type-I hybrid censored samples are available.

The paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, the MLEs of the parameters are computed using Newton-Raphson iterative method. To get rough idea on the existence and uniqueness of the MLEs, the plots of the profile log-likelihood function of the parameters are presented. The Bayes estimates are obtained using three loss functions. Two different types of the prior distributions are considered in this purpose. The form of the Bayes estimates are not of closed form. Thus, Lindley's approximation method is employed in Section 4. Further, importance sampling method is employed in order to construct highest posterior density (HPD) credible interval estimates. In Section 5, two methods are used to compute asymptotic confidence intervals of the parameters. A simulation study is conducted in Section 6 to observe the comparative performance of the proposed estimates. Section 7, deals with a real life dataset. Based on the dataset, the introduced estimates are evaluated. Finally, in Section 8, some concluding remarks are added.

2 Maximum likelihood estimation

This section focuses on the maximum likelihood estimation of the unknown model parameters α and λ of the logistic exponential distribution with CDF (1.2) based on the progressive type-I hybrid censored sample. Given the observed data, the likelihood function of α and λ can be written as follows:

Case-A :

$$L(\alpha, \lambda) \propto \prod_{i=1}^m f(x_{i:m:n}) [1 - F(x_{i:m:n})]^{R_i}, \quad (2.1)$$

Case-B :

$$L(\alpha, \lambda) \propto \prod_{i=1}^j f(x_{i:m:n}) [1 - F(x_{i:m:n})]^{R_i} [1 - F(T)]^{R_j^*}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $m \leq n$ is a prefixed integer and $R_j^* = n - R_1 - R_2 - \dots - R_j - j$. Henceforth, for notational convenience, x_i is used for $x_{i:m:n}$. The Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) can be combined as

$$L(\alpha, \lambda) \propto \prod_{i=1}^D f(x_i) [1 - F(x_i)]^{R_i} [1 - F(T)]^{R_D^*}, \quad (2.3)$$

where for Case-A, $D = m$, $R_D^* = 0$, and for Case-B, $D = j$, $R_D^* = n - R_1 - R_2 - \dots - R_D - D$. Now, using (1.1) and (1.2), the likelihood function in (2.3) becomes

$$L(\alpha, \lambda) \propto \alpha^D \lambda^D e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^D x_i} \prod_{i=1}^D (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} [1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha]^{-(R_i+2)} [1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]^{-R_D^*}. \quad (2.4)$$

Thus, the log-likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} \log L(\alpha, \lambda) &= D \log \alpha + D \log \lambda + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^D x_i + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^D \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^D (R_i + 2) \log[1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha] - R_D^* \log[1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Now, differentiating (2.5) with respect to α and λ , and equating to zero, the likelihood equations are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \alpha} &= \frac{D}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^D \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{(R_i + 2)(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)}{[1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha]} \\ &\quad - \frac{R_D^*(e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha \log(e^{\lambda T} - 1)}{[1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \lambda} &= \frac{D}{\lambda} + \sum_{i=1}^D x_i + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{x_i e^{\lambda x_i}}{(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)} - \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{(R_i + 2)\alpha x_i (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} e^{\lambda x_i}}{[1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha]} \\ &\quad - \frac{R_D^* \alpha T (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^{\alpha-1} e^{\lambda T}}{[1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The MLEs of α and λ are the simultaneous solutions of the equations given by (2.6) and (2.7). It is not easy to get solution of these equations in explicit form. So, Newton-Raphson iterative method is utilized to compute the MLEs of α and λ , which are respectively denoted by $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\lambda}$. It is worth pointing that in statistical inference, it is always of interest to study




Figure 1: Profile log-likelihood function of (a) α and (b) λ , for the real dataset in Section 7.

the existence and uniqueness of the MLEs of the parameters. In order to achieve this, one requires to show two conditions proposed by Mäkeläinen et al. (1981). These are difficult to establish due to the complicated nature of the expressions of the second order partial derivatives of the log-likelihood function. However, to have rough idea on the existence and uniqueness of the MLEs of α and λ for the logistic exponential distribution under progressive type-I hybrid censored sample, the profile log-likelihood function for the parameters are presented in Figure 1. These plots show that the MLEs may exist uniquely.

3 Bayesian estimation

This section deals with the derivation of the Bayes estimates of the parameters α and λ of the logistic exponential distribution with respect to three loss functions when progressively type-I hybrid censored sample is available. The loss functions are squared error, LINEX and generalized entropy. Among these, the squared error loss function is symmetric and other

two are asymmetric. Let δ be an estimator of the parameter η . Then, the squared error loss function is given by

$$L_{SQ}(\eta, \delta) = (\delta - \eta)^2. \quad (3.1)$$

The squared error loss function assigns equal weight in underestimation as well as overestimation. Sometimes, it is also called balanced loss function. There are various situations, where symmetric loss function is not an appropriate tool to use. For example, when estimating lifetime of a satellite, overestimation is more serious than underestimation. Further, for estimating water level of a river in rainy season, underestimation is more serious than overestimation. In these situations, the following loss functions, known as the LINEX loss (see Varian (1975)) and generalized entropy loss (see Calabria and Pulcini (1994)) are useful, which are respectively given by

$$L_{LI}(\eta, \delta) = e^{p(\delta-\eta)} - p(\delta - \eta) - 1, \quad p \neq 0 \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$L_{GE}(\eta, \delta) = \left(\frac{\delta}{\eta}\right)^q - q \log\left(\frac{\delta}{\eta}\right) - 1, \quad q \neq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Under the loss functions given by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), the Bayes estimators can be written in terms of the conditional expectation as

$$\hat{\eta}_{SQ} = E_{\eta}(\eta|\underline{x}) \quad (3.4)$$

$$\hat{\eta}_{LI} = -p^{-1} \log[E_{\eta}(e^{-p\eta}|\underline{x})], \quad p \neq 0 \quad (3.5)$$

$$\hat{\eta}_{GE} = [E_{\eta}(\eta^{-q}|\underline{x})]^{-\frac{1}{q}}, \quad q \neq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

In Bayesian estimation, prior distribution has an important role. According to Arnold and Press (1983) there is no way, in which someone can say which prior is better than other one. They additionally stated that it is all the more regularly the case that somebody chooses to confine consideration regarding a given adaptable group of priors, and then picks one from that family which appears to be the best match with their own convictions. Here, the Bayesian estimation has been studied under two kinds of priors.

3.1 Independent priors

The prior distributions for the model parameters α and γ are considered to be gamma distributions. Let $\alpha \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b)$ and $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(c, d)$, where the PDFs of α and λ are respectively given by

$$\pi_1(\alpha) \propto \alpha^{a-1} e^{-b\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2(\lambda) \propto \lambda^{c-1} e^{-d\lambda}; \quad \alpha, \lambda > 0, \quad a, b, c, d > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

The joint prior distribution of α and λ is

$$\pi_3(\alpha, \lambda) \propto \alpha^{a-1} e^{-b\alpha} \lambda^{c-1} e^{-d\lambda}; \quad \alpha, \lambda > 0, \quad a, b, c, d > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

After some calculations, the posterior PDF of α and λ given $\underline{X} = \underline{x}$ is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\alpha, \lambda | \underline{x}) &= k_1^{-1} \alpha^{D+a-1} \lambda^{D+c-1} e^{-b\alpha} e^{-\lambda(d-\sum_{i=1}^D x_i)} \prod_{i=1}^D (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} [1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha]^{-(R_i+2)} \\ &\quad \times [1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]^{-R_D^*}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \alpha^{D+a-1} \lambda^{D+c-1} e^{-b\alpha} e^{-\lambda(d-\sum_{i=1}^D x_i)} \prod_{i=1}^D (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} [1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha]^{-(R_i+2)} \\ &\quad \times [1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]^{-R_D^*} d\alpha d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider a function of the parameters α and λ , say $\phi(\alpha, \lambda)$. Then, from (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), the forms of the Bayes estimates of $\phi(\alpha, \lambda)$ with respect to the squared error, LINEX and generalized entropy loss functions are given by

$$\hat{\phi}_{SQ} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \phi(\alpha, \lambda) \pi(\alpha, \lambda | \underline{x}) d\alpha d\lambda \quad (3.10)$$

$$\hat{\phi}_{LI} = -\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \log \left[\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \phi(\alpha, \lambda) \pi(\alpha, \lambda | \underline{x}) d\alpha d\lambda \right], \quad p \neq 0 \quad (3.11)$$

$$\hat{\phi}_{GE} = \left[\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\phi(\alpha, \lambda))^{-q} \pi(\alpha, \lambda | \underline{x}) d\alpha d\lambda \right]^{-\frac{1}{q}}, \quad q \neq 0, \quad (3.12)$$

respectively. Note that (3.12) reduces to (3.10), for $q = -1$. Next, Bayes estimates of the parameters α and λ are obtained. To derive the Bayes estimates of α and λ with respect to the loss functions given by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), one needs to replace $\phi(\alpha, \lambda)$ by α and λ , respectively in (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12). In the following, the form of the Bayes estimates of α with respect to the loss functions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) are respectively obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_{SQ} &= k_1^{-1} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \alpha^{D+a+c} \lambda^{D+c-1} e^{-b\alpha} e^{-\lambda(d\alpha-\sum_{i=1}^D x_i)} \prod_{i=1}^D [1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha]^{-(R_i+2)} \\ &\quad \times (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} [1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]^{-R_D^*} d\alpha d\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_{LI} &= -\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \log \left[k_1^{-1} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \alpha^{D+a+c-1} \lambda^{D+c-1} e^{-\alpha(b+m)} e^{-\lambda(d\alpha-\sum_{i=1}^D x_i)} \prod_{i=1}^D (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times [1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha]^{-(R_i+2)} [1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]^{-R_D^*} d\alpha d\lambda \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{GE} = \left[k_1^{-1} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \alpha^{D+a+c-q-1} \lambda^{D+c-1} e^{-b\alpha} e^{-\lambda(d\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^D x_i)} \prod_{i=1}^D [1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha]^{-(R_i+2)} \right. \\ \left. \times (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} [1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]^{-R_D^*} d\alpha d\lambda \right]^{-\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (3.15)$$

In analogy to $\widehat{\alpha}_{SQ}$, $\widehat{\alpha}_{LI}$ and $\widehat{\alpha}_{GE}$, the Bayes estimates of λ under the loss functions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), respectively denoted by $\widehat{\lambda}_{SQ}$, $\widehat{\lambda}_{LI}$ and $\widehat{\lambda}_{GE}$ can be obtained. These are omitted for the sake of conciseness.

3.2 Bivariate prior

In this subsection, let us consider a bivariate prior for α and λ , which is given by

$$\pi^*(\alpha, \lambda) = \pi_1^*(\alpha|\lambda)\pi_2(\lambda); \quad \alpha > 0, \lambda > 0. \quad (3.16)$$

where $\pi_2(\lambda)$ is given by (3.7) and

$$\pi_1^*(\alpha|\lambda) \propto \frac{1}{\lambda}; \quad \alpha > 0, \lambda > 0. \quad (3.17)$$

The prior $\pi_1^*(\alpha|\lambda)$ is known as the noninformative prior of α when λ is fixed. Thus, from (3.16), the bivariate prior distribution of α and λ is given by

$$\pi^*(\alpha, \lambda) \propto \lambda^{(c-2)} e^{-d\lambda}; \quad \alpha, \lambda > 0, \quad c, d > 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Similar to the preceding subsection, the posterior PDF of α and λ given the data \underline{x} is obtained as

$$\pi_2^*(\alpha, \lambda|\underline{x}) = k_2^{-1} \alpha^D \lambda^{D+c-2} e^{-\lambda(d - \sum_{i=1}^D x_i)} \prod_{i=1}^D (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} [1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha]^{-(R_i+2)} \\ \times [1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]^{-R_D^*}, \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$k_2 = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \alpha^D \lambda^{D+c-2} e^{-\lambda(d - \sum_{i=1}^D x_i)} \prod_{i=1}^D (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} [1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha]^{-(R_i+2)} \\ \times [1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]^{-R_D^*} d\alpha d\lambda.$$

Based on the posterior density function given by (3.19), the Bayes estimates of the parameters α and λ are obtained with respect to the loss functions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3). The expressions are omitted for the sake of brevity. Considering the bivariate prior distributions, the Bayes estimates of α under the loss functions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) are denoted by $\widehat{\alpha}_{dSQ}$, $\widehat{\alpha}_{dLI}$ and $\widehat{\alpha}_{dGE}$, respectively. Further, the Bayes estimates of λ under the loss functions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) are denoted by $\widehat{\lambda}_{dSQ}$, $\widehat{\lambda}_{dLI}$ and $\widehat{\lambda}_{dGE}$, respectively.

4 Approximation techniques

There are various techniques in the literature which have been used to compute approximate Bayes estimates. In this section, two approaches are employed for the evaluation of the Bayes estimates obtained in the preceding section. First, consider Lindley's method, which expresses how to approximate a ratio of two particular form of integrations. For details, please refer to Lindley (1980).

4.1 Lindley's approximation method

This subsection deals with the computation of the Bayes estimates of α and λ of logistic exponential distribution under the loss functions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) when progressively type-I hybrid censored sample is available. First, let us write the Bayes estimate of α with respect to the LINEX loss function. Please refer to Appendix A for some idea on Lindley's approximation method. In this case, for $p \neq 0$, one has

$$\phi(\alpha, \lambda) = e^{-p\alpha}, \quad v_1 = -pe^{-p\alpha}, \quad v_{11} = p^2e^{-p\alpha}, \quad v_2 = v_{12} = v_{21} = v_{22} = 0.$$

Thus, using (A.1), the approximate Bayes estimate of α under LINEX loss function is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_{LI} \approx & - \left(\frac{1}{p} \right) \log \left[e^{-p\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \{ p^2 e^{-p\alpha} - p e^{-p\alpha} (l_{30}\tau_{11}^2 + l_{03}\tau_{21}\tau_{22} + 3l_{21}\tau_{11}\tau_{12} \right. \\ & \left. + l_{12}(\tau_{11}\tau_{22} + 2\tau_{21}^2) + 2P_1\tau_{11} + 2P_2\tau_{21}) \} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where the other unknown terms in (4.20) are given in Appendix A. Next, consider the general entropy loss function given by (3.3). Under this loss function,

$$\phi(\alpha, \lambda) = \alpha^{-q}, \quad v_1 = -q\alpha^{-(q+1)}, \quad v_2 = q(q+1)\alpha^{-(q+2)}, \quad v_2 = v_{12} = v_{21} = v_{22} = 0.$$

Therefore, under the general entropy loss function, the approximate Bayes estimate of α can be obtained, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_{GE} \approx & \left[\alpha^{-q} + 0.5 \{ q(q+1)\alpha^{-(q+2)} - q\alpha^{-(q+1)} (l_{30}\tau_{11}^2 + l_{03}\tau_{21}\tau_{22} + 3l_{21}\tau_{11}\tau_{12} \right. \\ & \left. + l_{12}(\tau_{11}\tau_{22} + 2\tau_{21}^2) + 2P_1\tau_{11} + 2P_2\tau_{21}) \} \right]^{-\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Note that the Bayes estimate of α with respect to the squared error loss function (denoted by $\hat{\alpha}_{SQ}$) can be computed from (4.21) after taking $q = -1$. The Bayes estimates of λ with respect to the LINEX, generalized entropy and squared error loss functions can be derived similarly, and therefore the expressions are omitted. The Bayes estimates of λ with respect to the loss functions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) using Lindley's approximation technique are denoted by $\hat{\lambda}_{SQ}$, $\hat{\lambda}_{LI}$ and $\hat{\lambda}_{GE}$, respectively. Further, when the bivariate prior distribution for α and λ (see Subsection 3.2) is considered, the approximate Bayes estimates for α and λ can be obtained similar to the case of independent priors. Some changes in computing P_1 and P_2 are required in this purpose.

4.2 Importance sampling method

In this subsection, importance sampling method is used to evaluate the Bayes estimates of the parameters α and λ with respect to the squared error, LINEX and generalized entropy loss functions. The main advantage of this method over the Lindley's approximation method is that this method can be used to construct HPD credible intervals. In this method, one needs to rewrite the posterior PDF given by Equation (3.9) as

$$\pi(\alpha, \lambda | \underline{x}) \propto G_\lambda \left(D + c, d - \sum_{i=1}^D x_i \right) G_{\alpha|\lambda} \left(D + a, b - \sum_{i=1}^D \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) \right) h(\alpha, \lambda), \quad (4.22)$$

where $G(a_1, a_2)$ represents gamma distribution with parameters a_1 and a_2 , and

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha, \lambda) = & \left[b - \sum_{i=1}^D \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) \right]^{-(D+a)} \left(d - \sum_{i=1}^D x_i \right)^{-(D+c)} [1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha]^{-R_D^*} \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^D \frac{[1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha]^{-(R_i+2)}}{e^{\lambda x_i} - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Further, in Eq. (4.22), $G_\lambda \left(D + c, d - \sum_{i=1}^D x_i \right)$ and $G_{\alpha|\lambda} \left(D + a, b - \sum_{i=1}^D \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) \right)$ mean

$$\lambda \sim G \left(D + c, d - \sum_{i=1}^D x_i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha | \lambda \sim G \left(D + a, b - \sum_{i=1}^D \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) \right).$$

Now, the algorithm comprising of the following steps can be used to obtain Bayes estimates of $\phi(\alpha, \lambda)$ with respect to the loss functions given by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3).

Algorithm-1

Step-1: Generate λ from $G \left(D + c, d - \sum_{i=1}^D x_i \right)$ distribution.

Step-2: For the given value of λ in Step-1, generate α from $G \left(D + a, b - \sum_{i=1}^D \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) \right)$ distribution.

Step-3: Repeat Step-1 and Step-2, for N times to obtain $(\alpha_1, \lambda_1), \dots, (\alpha_N, \lambda_N)$.

Based on the values as in Step-3, the Bayes estimates of a parametric function $\phi(\alpha, \lambda)$ under LINEX and generalized entropy loss functions are obtained as

$$\tilde{\phi}_{LI} = - \left(\frac{1}{p} \right) \log \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N e^{-p\phi(\alpha_i, \lambda_i)} h(\alpha_i, \lambda_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^N h(\alpha_i, \lambda_i)} \right] \quad (4.24)$$

and

$$\tilde{\phi}_{GE} = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \phi^{-q}(\alpha_i, \lambda_i) h(\alpha_i, \lambda_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^N h(\alpha_i, \lambda_i)} \right]^{-\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (4.25)$$

respectively. The Bayes estimate with respect to the squared error loss function, denoted by $\tilde{\phi}_{SQ}$ can be obtained from (4.25) when $q = -1$. Further, the Bayes estimates of α with respect to the loss functions (3.2) and (3.3) can be respectively obtained from (4.24) and (4.25) after substituting α_i in place of $\phi(\alpha_i, \lambda_i)$. Similarly, the Bayes estimates of λ can be evaluated. The Bayes estimates of α (respectively λ) with respect to the loss functions given by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) obtained using importance sampling method are denoted by $\tilde{\alpha}_{SQ}$ (respectively $\tilde{\lambda}_{SQ}$), $\tilde{\alpha}_{LI}$ (respectively $\tilde{\lambda}_{LI}$) and $\tilde{\alpha}_{GE}$ (respectively $\tilde{\lambda}_{GE}$), respectively. It is known that the credible intervals are constructed based on the posterior distribution. Further, if the credible interval satisfies the condition of HPD interval, then it is called as the HPD credible interval. In this paper, the $100(1 - \beta)\%$ HPD credible intervals of the parameters α and λ are constructed numerically based on the generated posterior samples. In this context, the idea proposed by Chen and Shao (1999) has been used.

5 Interval estimation

In this section, two methods are employed to construct confidence intervals of α and λ . Using the asymptotic normality property of the MLEs $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\lambda}$, the $100(1 - \beta)\%$ confidence intervals of the unknown model parameters α and λ can be constructed. In doing so, the variances of $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\lambda}$ are required, which can be obtained from the inverse of the observed Fisher information matrix. Note that under some regularity conditions, the MLEs $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\lambda})$ follow approximately bivariate normal distribution with mean vector (α, λ) and variance-covariance matrix $I^{-1}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\lambda})$. That is,

$$(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\lambda}) \sim N((\alpha, \lambda), \hat{I}^{-1}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\lambda})),$$

where $\hat{I}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\lambda})$ is the observed Fisher information matrix given by

$$\hat{I}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\lambda}) = \begin{bmatrix} -l_{20} & -l_{11} \\ -l_{11} & -l_{02} \end{bmatrix}_{(\alpha, \lambda) = (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\lambda})}. \quad (5.1)$$

The elements of the observed Fisher information matrix given by (5.1) are provided in Appendix A. Note that the variances of $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\lambda}$, respectively denoted by $Var(\hat{\alpha})$ and $Var(\hat{\lambda})$ can be obtained from the main diagonal entries of the matrix $\hat{I}^{-1}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\lambda})$. Thus, the $100(1 - \beta)\%$ approximate confidence intervals for α and λ are constructed as

$$\left(\hat{\alpha} \pm z_{\frac{\beta}{2}} \sqrt{Var(\hat{\alpha})} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\hat{\lambda} \pm z_{\frac{\beta}{2}} \sqrt{Var(\hat{\lambda})} \right),$$

where $z_{\beta/2}$ is the percentile of the standard normal distribution with right-tail probability $\beta/2$. In some cases, the $100(1 - \beta)\%$ confidence interval has negative lower bound when the parameter takes positive value. This is a drawback of the normal approximation of the MLEs. To overcome this drawback, Meeker and Escobar (2014) introduced log-transformed MLE-based confidence intervals. According to these authors, the confidence interval obtained based on logarithm transformed MLEs has better coverage probability. Based on the log-transformed MLEs, the $100(1 - \beta)\%$ confidence intervals of α and λ are respectively given by

$$\left(\hat{\alpha} \exp \left\{ \pm \frac{z_{\beta/2} \sqrt{\tau_{11}}}{\hat{\alpha}} \right\} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\hat{\lambda} \exp \left\{ \pm \frac{z_{\beta/2} \sqrt{\tau_{22}}}{\hat{\lambda}} \right\} \right).$$

6 A simulation study

In this section, a Monte Carlo simulation is performed to investigate the performance of the proposed estimates of parameters of the logistic exponential distribution under progressive type-I hybrid censored sample. The comparative performance of the estimates is studied in terms of their average and mean squared error (MSE) values. In doing so, 2000 progressive type-I hybrid censored samples are generated using various censoring schemes. Several combinations of (n, m) values are considered. The values of T are taken as 0.5 and 0.65. The R 3.4.0 software is used for the purpose of simulation. Two different (total) sample sizes $n = 35$ and 40 are considered here. The numerical study includes the following steps:

- (i) Consider the true values of the model parameters as $\alpha = 1.5$ and $\lambda = 0.75$.
- (ii) Generate progressive type-I hybrid censored sample of size n and m number of failures. When $n = 35$, three values of m are considered such that the percentage of failure information ($\frac{m}{n} * 100\%$) are approximately 29%, 43% and 71%. Again, the percentage of failure is considered as 25%, 50% and 75% when the total sample size $n = 40$.
- (iii) In the tables, (0*3) and (1*5) represent (0, 0, 0) and (1, 1, 1, 1, 1), respectively. For each censored schemes, in Tables 1, 2, 5 and 6, the first row represents the average values of the MLEs and Bayes estimates under univariate priors. The MSEs are provided in the second row. The third and fourth rows are respectively for the average and MSE values of the Bayes estimates under bivariate priors. To compute the MSE of the maximum likelihood and Bayes estimates, the following formula is used:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \left[\theta_k^{(i)} - \theta_k \right]^2; \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $\theta_1 = \alpha$, $\theta_2 = \lambda$ and $M = 2000$.

- (iv) Based on 2000 progressive type-I hybrid censored samples, compute the average values of the MLEs of α and λ . The Bayes estimates of α and λ with respect to symmetric

loss function (squared error loss) and asymmetric loss functions (LINEX loss and generalized entropy loss) are computed. In this purpose, $a = 3$, $b = 2$, $c = 3$, $d = 4$ are considered.

- (v) In Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4, to find Bayes estimates under LINEX and generalized entropy loss functions, three choices of p and q are considered. These are $p = (-0.05, 0.5, 1)$ and $q = (-0.5, -0.25, 0.25)$.
- (vi) In Tables 3 and 7, the average lengths of the 90% and 95% confidence intervals for α and λ are calculated using normal approximation to MLEs (NA) and normal approximation to the log-transform MLEs (NL) methods. The average lengths of the HPD credible intervals of the parameters are also provided.

From the tabulated values, the following points are noticed.

- (i) In Tables 1 and 5, the average and MSE values are reported for the parameter α for $T = 0.5$ and 0.65 , respectively. It is observed that under same censoring schemes the MSE values become smaller when T increases. For fixed n , when m increases, then MSE values decrease. For the parameter α , most of the time the Bayes estimates under generalized entropy loss function perform better than other estimates.
- (ii) In Tables 2 and 6, the average and MSE values are presented for the parameter λ when $T = 0.5$ and 0.65 , respectively. Similar observations as in the case of the estimates of the parameter α are noticed.
- (iii) In Tables 1, 2, 5 and 6, it is seen that the average values of the Bayes estimates under LINEX loss and generalized entropy loss functions decrease when the values of p and q increase. Further, the Bayes estimates under squared error loss function are almost equal to that under LINEX loss function when p is nearly 0.
- (iv) In Tables 3 and 7, the confidence interval estimates are provided for the parameters α and λ , respectively. It is observed that interval lengths decrease when T increases. For each censoring schemes, the lengths of the NA-based confidence intervals are smaller than NL-based confidence intervals. The HPD credible interval lengths are smaller than other simulated interval lengths.

In this part of the section, the coverage probabilities of the unknown parameters are discussed. To this aim, the asymptotic pivotal quantities are defined as

$$Q_1 = \frac{\hat{\alpha} - \alpha}{\hat{\lambda}\sqrt{\tau_{11}}}, \quad Q_2 = \frac{\hat{\alpha} - \alpha}{\lambda\sqrt{\tau_{11}}}, \quad Q_3 = \frac{\hat{\lambda} - \lambda}{\hat{\lambda}\sqrt{\tau_{22}}}.$$

By using Monte Carlo simulation, the coverage probabilities

$$P(-1.65 \leq Q_i \leq 1.65) \quad \text{and} \quad P(-1.96 \leq Q_i \leq 1.96)$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are computed, which are approximately 90% and 95%, respectively. In Tables 4 and 8, the simulated coverage probabilities are presented for different censoring schemes. From these tables, it is observed that the coverage probabilities increase when m and T both increase. It is further seen that coverage probabilities under Q_2 are much better than other two pivotal quantities.

Table 1: Average values and MSEs of the estimates of α for $T = 0.5$.

(n,m)	scheme	prior	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\alpha}_{LI}$			$\hat{\alpha}_{GE}$			$\hat{\alpha}_{SQ}$
				$p = -0.05$	$p = 0.5$	$p = 1$	$q = -0.5$	$q = -0.25$	$q = 0.25$	
(35,10)	(0*9,25)	U	1.771619	1.652421	1.591323	1.546754	1.614254	1.599343	1.572183	1.646427
			0.073776	0.023232	0.008339	0.002186	0.013054	0.009869	0.005210	0.021441
		B	1.662605	1.598138	1.548260	1.621872	1.605445	1.574819	1.656455	0.024478
	0.026440		0.009631	0.0023290	0.014852	0.011118	0.005597			
	(0*5,5*5)	U	1.755488	1.578983	1.533541	1.502111	1.550221	1.539328	1.519986	1.574396
			0.065274	0.006238	0.001125	0.000445	0.002522	0.001547	0.000399	0.005535
		B	1.609190	1.536798	1.478549	1.571560	1.537360	1.503243	1.593726	0.008785
	0.011922		0.001354	0.000460	0.005120	0.001395	0.000011			
	(5*5,0*5)	U	1.815058	1.637626	1.590575	1.558134	1.608592	1.597617	1.578055	1.632873
			0.099262	0.018941	0.008204	0.003379	0.011792	0.009529	0.006092	0.017655
		B	1.692690	1.627522	1.575453	1.653611	1.637681	1.607398	1.686568	0.034807
	0.037129		0.016261	0.005690	0.023596	0.018956	0.011534			
(25,0*9)	U	1.705954	1.520137	1.476363	1.446427	1.491789	1.481120	1.462359	1.515694	
		0.042417	0.000405	0.000558	0.002870	0.000067	0.000356	0.001417	0.000246	
	B	1.643128	1.575045	1.523950	1.599971	1.582810	1.552510	1.636552	0.018646	
0.020485		0.005631	0.000573	0.009994	0.006857	0.002757				
(35,15)	(0*14,20)	U	1.692854	1.489757	1.432195	1.394377	1.452081	1.438135	1.414133	1.483845
			0.037192	0.000105	0.004597	0.011156	0.002296	0.003827	0.007373	0.000261
		B	1.654617	1.594337	1.547952	1.616521	1.601222	1.572762	1.648842	0.022153
	0.023906		0.008899	0.002299	0.013577	0.010245	0.005294			
	(0*11,5*4)	U	1.720407	1.515420	1.460664	1.424673	1.480033	1.466939	1.444323	1.509792
			0.048579	0.000238	0.001547	0.005674	0.000398	0.0010930	0.003099	0.000096
		B	1.652227	1.595911	1.551615	1.616488	1.601974	1.574741	1.646917	0.021584
	0.023173		0.009198	0.002664	0.013569	0.010398	0.005586			
	(5*4,0*11)	U	1.768181	1.563571	1.513551	1.480486	1.531948	1.520226	1.499818	1.558434
			0.071921	0.004041	0.000183	0.000381	0.001021	0.000409	0.000003	0.003414
		B	1.692836	1.621890	1.566216	1.648336	1.630235	1.596254	1.686130	0.034644
	0.037185		0.014857	0.004384	0.022003	0.016961	0.009264			
(20,0*14)	U	1.711001	1.560953	1.494817	1.448675	1.518232	1.501868	1.472823	1.554349	
		0.044521	0.003715	0.000026	0.002634	0.000332	0.000034	0.000738	0.002953	
	B	1.673311	1.617668	1.569930	1.637470	1.622236	1.592586	1.662838	0.026516	
0.030036		0.013845	0.004890	0.018898	0.014941	0.008572				
(35,25)	(0*24,10)	U	1.721768	1.526516	1.476479	1.442949	1.494265	1.445148	1.423157	1.487370
			0.049181	0.000703	0.000553	0.003254	0.000033	0.003008	0.005904	0.000159
		B	1.632083	1.575166	1.530225	1.593323	1.580653	1.552716	1.626688	0.016049
	0.017445		0.005649	0.001444	0.008709	0.006504	0.002778			
	(0*20,2*5)	U	1.692317	1.486031	1.433151	1.424814	1.474809	1.462871	1.441940	1.501585
			0.036985	0.000195	0.004468	0.005652	0.000634	0.001378	0.003370	0.000025
		B	1.641037	1.583336	1.538010	1.604181	1.589221	1.561179	1.635556	0.018375
	0.019891		0.006944	0.000972	0.010853	0.007958	0.003742			
	(2*5,0*20)	U	1.717205	1.548423	1.504107	1.473088	1.519921	1.509062	1.489735	1.543970
			0.047177	0.002344	0.000017	0.000724	0.000396	0.000082	0.000105	0.001933
		B	1.634657	1.576830	1.531183	1.597735	1.582447	1.554087	1.629177	0.016152
	0.018132		0.005856	0.000972	0.009552	0.006797	0.002925			
(10,0*24)	U	1.678180	1.520925	1.472859	1.438844	1.489468	1.477395	1.455875	1.516124	
		0.031748	0.000437	0.000736	0.003740	0.000110	0.000510	0.001946	0.000259	
	B	1.631481	1.584887	1.547215	1.601521	1.589215	1.565864	1.627094	0.016152	
0.017287		0.007205	0.002229	0.010306	0.007959	0.004338				

Table 1: Continued

(n,m)	scheme	prior	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\alpha}_{LI}$			$\hat{\alpha}_{GE}$			$\hat{\alpha}_{SQ}$
				$p = -0.05$	$p = 0.5$	$p = 1$	$q = -0.5$	$q = -0.25$	$q = 0.25$	
(40,10)	(0*9,30)	U	1.710466	1.541018	1.496512	1.465394	1.512302	1.501366	1.481926	1.536544
		B	0.044295	0.001682	0.000013	0.001197	0.000151	0.000015	0.000327	0.001335
				1.647404	1.581534	1.531235	1.605595	1.588833	1.557778	1.641086
	(0*5,6*5)	U	1.758593	1.568412	1.525236	1.495877	1.541091	1.530841	1.512775	1.564020
		B	0.066870	0.004680	0.000636	0.000017	0.001688	0.000951	0.000163	0.004098
				1.700668	1.638719	1.589525	1.662018	1.646258	1.616498	1.694822
	(6*5,0*5)	U	1.807899	1.589734	1.541614	1.510388	1.559788	1.548796	1.529740	1.584751
		B	0.094802	0.008052	0.001731	0.000107	0.003574	0.002381	0.000884	0.007182
				1.754271	1.716852	1.684597	1.731330	1.721686	1.702814	1.750840
	(30,0*9)	U	1.677087	1.609199	1.539409	1.486064	1.563971	1.545777	1.512163	1.602519
		B	0.031359	0.011924	0.001553	0.000194	0.004092	0.002095	0.000147	0.010510
				1.661899	1.607821	1.571682	1.626976	1.612196	1.583526	1.656497
(40,20)	(0*19,20)	U	1.671588	1.504242	1.460297	1.429463	1.475384	1.464372	1.444833	1.499831
		B	0.029442	0.000019	0.001576	0.004975	0.000605	0.001269	0.003043	0.000002
				1.596693	1.546118	1.505826	1.563671	1.550172	1.524772	1.591907
	(0*10,2*10)	U	1.675703	1.491840	1.441120	1.406661	1.458492	1.445946	1.424013	1.486694
		B	0.030871	0.000066	0.003466	0.008721	0.001722	0.002921	0.005774	0.000177
				1.608879	1.561750	1.524114	1.578332	1.565853	1.542323	1.604417
	(2*10,0*10)	U	1.680253	1.551898	1.510194	1.479317	1.524767	1.514128	1.494743	1.547804
		B	0.032491	0.002693	0.000104	0.000427	0.000614	0.000199	0.000028	0.002285
				1.639801	1.588931	1.548434	1.607295	1.594033	1.569015	1.634989
	(20,0*19)	I	1.683461	1.555318	1.508496	1.474052	1.524877	1.512961	1.491314	1.550717
		B	0.033658	0.003060	0.000072	0.000673	0.000618	0.000167	0.000075	0.002572
				1.625319	1.585424	1.552415	1.599511	1.588801	1.568274	1.621593
(40,30)	(0*29,10)	U	1.655863	1.492664	1.445555	1.412431	1.461489	1.449567	1.428431	1.487944
		B	0.024293	0.000054	0.002964	0.007668	0.001483	0.002543	0.005122	0.000145
				1.611730	1.563415	1.524225	1.580294	1.567336	1.542744	1.607191
	(20*0,1*10)	U	1.686905	1.536708	1.491995	1.459925	1.507610	1.496377	1.476206	1.532262
		B	0.034933	0.001347	0.000064	0.001605	0.000058	0.000014	0.000566	0.001041
				1.600942	1.550708	1.511551	1.568424	1.555294	1.530799	1.596139
	(1*10,0*20)	U	1.660849	1.545809	1.510560	1.483800	1.522731	1.513578	1.496721	1.542378
		B	0.025872	0.002098	0.000111	0.000262	0.000516	0.000184	0.000012	0.001795
				1.628323	1.574672	1.531298	1.593634	1.579344	1.552251	1.623286
	(10,0*29)	U	1.667034	1.533096	1.488167	1.455271	1.503642	1.492143	1.471326	1.528669
		B	0.027902	0.001095	0.000140	0.002001	0.000013	0.000062	0.000822	0.000821
				1.588786	1.549420	1.516958	1.562875	1.552121	1.531573	1.585103
			0.007882	0.002442	0.000287	0.003953	0.002716	0.000996	0.007242	

Table 2: Average values and MSEs of the estimates of λ for $T = 0.5$.

(n,m)	scheme	prior	$\hat{\lambda}$	$\hat{\lambda}_{LI}$			$\hat{\lambda}_{GE}$			$\hat{\lambda}_{SQ}$
				$p = -0.05$	$p = 0.5$	$p = 1$	$q = -0.5$	$q = -0.25$	$q = 0.25$	
(35,10)	(0*9,25)	U	0.797018	0.754931	0.745785	0.737947	0.743763	0.738885	0.729774	0.754079
		B	0.000022	0.000024	0.000018	0.000145	0.000038	0.000123	0.000409	0.000016
				0.697543	0.690076	0.684072	0.688570	0.684913	0.678515	0.696827
	(0*5,5*5)	U	0.811228	0.732895	0.725589	0.719587	0.724225	0.720601	0.714086	0.732202
		B	0.003749	0.000293	0.000595	0.000924	0.000664	0.000864	0.001289	0.000316
				0.733996	0.726534	0.719758	0.725085	0.721336	0.713014	0.733289
	(5*5,0*5)	U	0.851289	0.753085	0.742969	0.734896	0.741641	0.736990	0.728842	0.752112
		B	0.000102	0.000009	0.000049	0.000228	0.000069	0.000169	0.000447	0.000004
				0.731963	0.722988	0.716162	0.722125	0.718326	0.711988	0.731082
	(25,0*9)	U	0.793357	0.791262	0.777013	0.764357	0.773680	0.765723	0.750462	0.789961
		B	0.001879	0.170255	0.072974	0.020613	0.056077	0.024723	0.000021	0.001596
				0.652103	0.642994	0.625706	0.652905	0.640005	0.621142	0.651154
(35,15)	(0*14,20)	U	0.771336	0.668039	0.660304	0.654174	0.658611	0.654842	0.648394	0.667293
		B	0.000455	0.006717	0.008045	0.009182	0.008351	0.009054	0.010323	0.006840
				0.684055	0.676235	0.669897	0.674424	0.670470	0.663523	0.683308
	(0*11,5*4)	U	0.773861	0.687476	0.679994	0.673917	0.678275	0.674486	0.667807	0.686762
		B	0.000569	0.003903	0.004900	0.005788	0.005144	0.005702	0.006755	0.003999
				0.690132	0.682719	0.676632	0.680924	0.677087	0.670248	0.689428
	(5*4,0*11)	I	0.858391	0.764134	0.755307	0.748219	0.754210	0.750149	0.742972	0.763287
		B	0.000117	0.000199	0.000028	0.000031	0.000017	0.000002	0.000049	0.000176
				0.674075	0.664013	0.656444	0.662552	0.658198	0.651148	0.673083
	(20,0*14)	U	0.780281	0.698090	0.681021	0.667209	0.677118	0.668521	0.653616	0.696464
		B	0.000916	0.002694	0.004758	0.006854	0.005311	0.006638	0.009289	0.002866
				0.672759	0.661646	0.653186	0.659821	0.654867	0.646754	0.671669
(35,25)	(0*24,10)	U	0.813386	0.7196612	0.712468	0.706686	0.711219	0.727422	0.719884	0.740615
		B	0.004017	0.000920	0.001408	0.001876	0.001503	0.000509	0.000906	0.000088
				0.681410	0.673870	0.667711	0.672010	0.668118	0.661227	0.680692
	(0*20,2*5)	U	0.810219	0.740019	0.731615	0.714779	0.719476	0.715809	0.709255	0.727589
		B	0.003626	0.000099	0.000337	0.001240	0.000931	0.001168	0.001660	0.000502
				0.684416	0.677006	0.670965	0.675233	0.671437	0.667724	0.683710
	(2*5,0*20)	U	0.821242	0.734396	0.726881	0.720781	0.725607	0.721978	0.715525	0.733679
		B	0.005075	0.000243	0.000534	0.000853	0.000594	0.000785	0.001188	0.000266
				0.682937	0.674695	0.668082	0.672889	0.668809	0.661717	0.682146
	(10,0*24)	U	0.812556	0.729638	0.720263	0.712620	0.718535	0.713931	0.705741	0.728745
		B	0.003913	0.000414	0.000884	0.001397	0.000990	0.001301	0.001958	0.000451
				0.698614	0.691289	0.685239	0.689435	0.685723	0.678879	0.697920
			0.002640	0.003446	0.004193	0.003668	0.004131	0.005058	0.002712	

Table 2: Continued

(n,m)	scheme	Prior	$\hat{\lambda}$	$\hat{\lambda}_{LI}$			$\hat{\lambda}_{GE}$			$\hat{\lambda}_{SQ}$
				$p = -0.05$	$p = 0.5$	$p = 1$	$q = -0.5$	$q = -0.25$	$q = 0.25$	
(40,10)	(0*9,30)	U	0.845521 0.009124	0.785725 0.001276	0.778613 0.000818	0.772627 0.000512	0.777522 0.000757	0.774004 0.000576	0.767494 0.000306	0.785057 0.001229
		B		0.712985 0.001370	0.705478 0.001982	0.699449 0.002555	0.704117 0.002105	0.700503 0.002449	0.694165 0.003117	0.712264 0.001424
	(0*5,6*5)	U	0.866151 0.013491	0.773211 0.000538	0.766208 0.000262	0.760573 0.000111	0.765411 0.000237	0.762212 0.000149	0.756526 0.000042	0.772539 0.000508
		B		0.747083 0.000008	0.740197 0.000096	0.734518 0.000239	0.739002 0.000120	0.735609 0.002071	0.729471 0.000626	0.746430 0.000012
	(6*5,0*5)	U	0.910257 0.025682	0.814200 0.004121	0.805374 0.003066	0.798305 0.002333	0.804760 0.002998	0.800897 0.002590	0.794022 0.001937	0.813352 0.004013
		B		0.749210 0.000001	0.740485 0.000090	0.734170 0.000250	0.739734 0.000105	0.735889 0.000199	0.729141 0.000435	0.748405 0.000002
	(30,0*9)	U	0.855981 0.011232	0.737669 0.000152	0.714021 0.001294	0.695884 0.002928	0.711113 0.001512	0.700754 0.002425	0.683581 0.004411	0.735368 0.000214
		B		0.723034 0.000727	0.715329 0.001202	0.711609 0.001473	0.717185 0.001076	0.716185 0.001143	0.716335 0.001133	0.722150 0.000775
(40,20)	(0*19,20)	U	0.807366 0.003291	0.732083 0.000321	0.725048 0.000622	0.7192451 0.000945	0.723690 0.000692	0.720167 0.000890	0.713807 0.001309	0.731416 0.000345
		B		0.734382 0.000243	0.727547 0.000504	0.721973 0.000560	0.726240 0.000480	0.722853 0.000736	0.716716 0.001107	0.733645 0.000205
	(0*10,2*10)	I	0.811323 0.003761	0.745353 0.002158	0.737745 0.015018	0.731394 0.034614	0.736274 0.018836	0.732415 0.030921	0.725367 0.060677	0.744636 0.002877
		B		0.736329 0.000186	0.729378 0.000425	0.723641 0.000694	0.728070 0.000480	0.724601 0.000645	0.718331 0.001002	0.735670 0.000205
	(2*10,0*10)	U	0.828051 0.006092	0.768328 0.033592	0.759282 0.000086	0.751685 0.000284	0.757697 0.005924	0.753148 0.000992	0.744785 0.002719	0.767478 0.000305
		B		0.739901 0.000101	0.732397 0.000243	0.726318 0.000560	0.731193 0.000353	0.727603 0.000501	0.721224 0.000828	0.739183 0.000117
	(20,0*19)	U	0.807743 0.003334	0.755645 0.003186	0.743051 0.000048	0.732431 0.030866	0.740487 0.009049	0.7339757 0.025677	0.722043 0.078154	0.754465 0.001995
		B		0.739457 0.000111	0.731582 0.000339	0.725206 0.000614	0.730316 0.000387	0.726550 0.000549	0.719867 0.000907	0.738704 0.000127
(40,30)	(0*29,10)	U	0.806883 0.003235	0.730199 0.039205	0.723064 0.072334	0.717189 0.107655	0.721687 0.080162	0.718121 0.101625	0.711698 0.146699	0.729522 0.000419
		B		0.751015 0.000001	0.744227 0.000033	0.738640 0.000129	0.743099 0.000047	0.739781 0.000104	0.733784 0.000262	0.751371 0.000002
	(20*0,1*10)	U	0.811869 0.003827	0.739195 0.000116	0.732045 0.000322	0.726127 0.000569	0.730694 0.000372	0.727112 0.000523	0.720619 0.000863	0.738518 0.000131
		B		0.727560 0.000503	0.720432 0.000874	0.714624 0.001251	0.719135 0.000952	0.715644 0.001180	0.709421 0.001646	0.726881 0.000534
	(1*10,0*20)	U	0.810024 0.003602	0.746077 0.000015	0.738953 0.000122	0.732989 0.000289	0.73756 0.000154	0.733928 0.000258	0.727272 0.000516	0.745406 0.000021
		B		0.731106 0.000356	0.723787 0.000687	0.717795 0.001037	0.722437 0.000759	0.718827 0.000971	0.712358 0.001416	0.730409 0.000383
	(10,0*29)	I	0.813438 0.004024	0.746205 0.001440	0.737162 0.016479	0.729638 0.041458	0.735438 0.021203	0.730876 0.000365	0.722583 0.075167	0.745351 0.000022
		B		0.735040 0.000223	0.727743 0.000495	0.721737 0.000798	0.726380 0.000557	0.722753 0.000742	0.716218 0.001141	0.734347 0.000245

Table 3: Average lengths of the interval estimates of α and λ for $T = 0.5$.

(n,m)	scheme	90% confidence interval			95% confidence interval		
		NA	NL	HPD	NA	NL	HPD
(35,10)	(0*9,25)	1.662900	1.724621	1.347014	1.975324	2.079246	1.532994
		0.624599	0.640706	0.531508	0.741948	0.769030	0.632295
	(0*5,5*5)	1.528562	1.577310	1.125026	1.815746	1.897750	1.131955
		0.607505	0.621800	0.577517	0.721642	0.745673	0.674827
	(5*5,0*5)	1.553188	1.601013	1.163564	1.844999	1.925646	1.290128
		0.726851	0.749132	0.697847	0.863410	0.900899	0.821357
(25,0*9)	1.519845	1.570609	1.163126	1.805392	1.890829	1.298437	
		0.752925	0.781501	0.715040	0.894385	0.942504	0.851844
(35,15)	(0*14,20)	1.668365	1.736048	1.31918	1.981816	2.095825	1.497293
		0.636133	0.6545915	0.608156	0.7556489	0.7866983	0.712385
	(0*11,5*4)	1.705169	1.775827	0.959026	2.025534	2.144567	1.062896
		0.626288	0.643520	0.500201	0.743954	0.772936	0.597847
	(5*4,0*11)	1.640762	1.700266	1.075443	1.949026	2.049206	1.201778
		0.682176	0.700271	0.653973	0.810343	0.840771	0.765516
(20,0*14)	1.766647	1.846175	1.254274	2.098562	2.232597	1.385436	
		0.884193	0.932265	0.744514	1.050314	1.131424	0.873779
(35,25)	(0*24,10)	1.708772	1.780958	1.296620	2.029814	2.151433	1.399677
		0.625575	0.641205	0.517554	0.743107	0.769387	0.609452
	(0*20,2*5)	1.584549	1.643226	1.242404	1.882253	1.981050	1.446924
		0.617701	0.632767	0.518356	0.733754	0.759083	0.625169
	(2*5,0*20)	1.571370	1.627798	1.354149	1.866598	1.961595	1.532948
		0.661035	0.679511	0.573648	0.785222	0.816305	0.672999
(10,0*24)	1.536179	1.590377	1.379903	1.824794	1.916031	1.569639	
		0.680936	0.701037	0.601988	0.808870	0.842684	0.717719
(40,10)	(0*9,30)	1.503518	1.552392	1.323993	1.732248	1.785997	1.498628
		0.574461	0.585573	0.543190	0.682389	0.701061	0.6284428
	(0*5,6*5)	1.518605	1.566231	1.478622	1.803919	1.884054	1.752855
		0.621611	0.635037	0.593233	0.738399	0.760963	0.661112
	(6*5,0*5)	1.639116	1.695835	1.461939	1.947071	2.042544	1.653235
		0.685168	0.701458	0.657897	0.813896	0.841281	0.801696
(30,0*9)	1.720554	1.797007	1.680008	2.043809	2.172652	1.980084	
		0.855615	0.897024	0.800181	1.016368	1.086185	0.990415
(40,20)	(0*19,20)	1.491926	1.541941	1.450229	1.772228	1.856404	1.712500
		0.593455	0.606905	0.573032	0.704952	0.727561	0.673965
	(0*10,2*10)	1.615110	1.678358	1.527493	1.918555	2.025075	1.853912
		0.599880	0.613638	0.566066	0.712585	0.735711	0.630888
	(2*10,0*10)	1.400833	1.441757	1.375785	1.664020	1.732860	1.625065
		0.639620	0.655641	0.617997	0.759791	0.786727	0.710105
(20,0*19)	1.477324	1.525186	1.457825	1.754882	1.835424	1.717736	
		0.737058	0.762897	0.700185	0.875536	0.919031	0.819991
(40,30)	(0*29,10)	1.531566	1.586747	1.323700	1.819314	1.912214	1.774169
		0.599093	0.612949	0.485393	0.711650	0.734941	0.665450
	(20*0,1*10)	1.476999	1.524632	1.426656	1.754496	1.834652	1.700332
		0.593539	0.606846	0.550496	0.705053	0.727418	0.653898
	(1*10,0*20)	1.279943	1.311853	1.233060	1.520417	1.574067	1.474245
		0.580307	0.592797	0.542255	0.689335	0.710325	0.628923
(10,0*29)	1.457166	1.504002	1.341740	1.730937	1.809749	1.684616	
		0.648755	0.666087	0.596387	0.770642	0.799787	0.695473

Table 4: Coverage probabilities for the pivotal quantities under MLEs for $T = 0.5$.

(n,m)	scheme	90% confidence interval			95% confidence interval		
		Q_1	Q_2	Q_3	Q_1	Q_2	Q_3
(35,10)	(0*9,25)	0.738	0.759	0.695	0.805	0.813	0.772
	(0*5,5*5)	0.712	0.714	0.665	0.781	0.788	0.751
	(0*5,5*5)	0.663	0.670	0.639	0.734	0.737	0.742
	(25,0*9)	0.622	0.656	0.601	0.677	0.733	0.696
(35,15)	(0*14,20)	0.753	0.780	0.776	0.808	0.844	0.836
	(0*11,5*4)	0.746	0.783	0.745	0.798	0.829	0.805
	(5*4,0*11)	0.699	0.726	0.675	0.767	0.785	0.780
	(20,0*14)	0.706	0.753	0.768	0.754	0.813	0.804
(35,25)	(0*24,10)	0.772	0.799	0.756	0.818	0.853	0.830
	(0*20,2*5)	0.775	0.815	0.772	0.834	0.863	0.833
	(2*5,0*20)	0.695	0.742	0.725	0.755	0.812	0.801
	(10,0*24)	0.704	0.738	0.729	0.757	0.821	0.804
(40,10)	(0*9,30)	0.738	0.743	0.646	0.797	0.796	0.738
	(0*5,6*5)	0.735	0.736	0.685	0.799	0.799	0.776
	(6*5,0*5)	0.724	0.730	0.621	0.780	0.773	0.736
	(30,0*9)	0.676	0.740	0.776	0.735	0.802	0.804
(40,20)	(0*19,20)	0.755	0.783	0.754	0.826	0.845	0.819
	(0*10,2*10)	0.786	0.818	0.757	0.840	0.874	0.826
	(2*10,0*10)	0.711	0.730	0.745	0.795	0.800	0.802
	(20,0*19)	0.668	0.708	0.725	0.728	0.777	0.785
(40,30)	(0*29,10)	0.765	0.807	0.754	0.825	0.862	0.825
	(0*20,1*10)	0.743	0.765	0.753	0.799	0.822	0.832
	(1*10,0*20)	0.679	0.701	0.709	0.748	0.777	0.788
	(10,0*29)	0.717	0.754	0.727	0.780	0.815	0.810

Table 5: Average and MSE values of the estimates of α for $T = 0.65$.

(n,m)	scheme	prior	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\alpha}_{LI}$			$\hat{\alpha}_{GE}$			$\hat{\alpha}_{SQ}$
				$p = -0.05$	$p = 0.5$	$p = 1$	$q = -0.5$	$q = -0.25$	$q = 0.25$	
(35,10)	(0*9,25)	U	1.755758	1.577079	1.533046	1.502635	1.549213	1.538673	1.519967	1.572629
			0.065412	0.005941	0.001092	0.000069	0.002421	0.001495	0.000398	0.005275
		B	1.675713	1.613192	1.565564	1.636644	1.621037	1.592086	1.669702	1.669702
	0.030875		0.012812	0.004298	0.018671	0.014649	0.008479	0.028798	0.028798	
	(0*5,5*5)	U	1.752999	1.524370	1.476346	1.445685	1.493755	1.482615	1.463567	1.519365
			0.064008	0.000593	0.000559	0.002950	0.000039	0.000302	0.001327	0.000375
		B	1.562626	1.483886	1.432783	1.512362	1.493740	1.461657	1.554554	1.554554
	0.003922		0.000259	0.004518	0.000152	0.000039	0.001470	0.002976	0.002976	
	(5*5,0*5)	U	1.732273	1.562288	1.514638	1.481471	1.531825	1.520241	1.499651	1.557494
			0.053950	0.003879	0.000214	0.000343	0.001012	0.000409	0.000012	0.003305
		B	1.659551	1.590802	1.538459	1.616133	1.598743	1.566543	1.652955	1.652955
	0.025456		0.008245	0.001479	0.013486	0.009750	0.004427	0.023395	0.023395	
(25,0*9)	U	1.628299	1.501656	1.463726	1.435444	1.476372	1.466420	1.448294	1.497938	
		0.016460	0.000002	0.001315	0.004167	0.000558	0.001127	0.002673	0.000005	
	B	1.585389	1.543663	1.509558	1.557914	1.546554	1.524940	1.587412	1.587412	
0.007291		0.001906	0.000091	0.003354	0.002167	0.000622	0.007640	0.007640		
(35,15)	(0*14,20)	U	1.639232	1.478803	1.433274	1.401089	1.448455	1.436819	1.416164	1.474250
			0.0193855	0.000449	0.004452	0.009783	0.002656	0.003991	0.007028	0.000663
		B	1.606425	1.554223	1.511731	1.572225	1.558116	1.531263	1.601537	1.601537
	0.011326		0.002940	0.000137	0.005216	0.003377	0.000977	0.010309	0.010309	
	(0*11,5*4)	U	1.644062	1.501181	1.456620	1.424348	1.471611	1.460131	1.439495	1.496769
			0.020753	0.000002	0.001881	0.005723	0.000805	0.001589	0.003660	0.000011
		B	1.612526	1.558042	1.513773	1.576945	1.562230	1.534300	1.607425	1.607425
	0.012662		0.003368	0.000189	0.005920	0.003872	0.001176	0.011540	0.011540	
	(5*4,0*11)	U	1.638290	1.520098	1.475159	1.441610	1.490287	1.478521	1.457069	1.515708
			0.019124	0.000404	0.000617	0.003409	0.000095	0.000461	0.001843	0.000246
		B	1.572027	1.515842	1.471989	1.534992	1.519976	1.492020	1.566671	1.566671
	0.005871		0.000250	0.000784	0.001224	0.000399	0.000063	0.004445	0.004445	
(20,0*14)	U	1.622487	1.489554	1.449535	1.420012	1.462771	1.452280	1.433282	1.485617	
		0.015003	0.000109	0.002546	0.006398	0.001386	0.002277	0.004451	0.000206	
	B	1.600837	1.550345	1.508651	1.567575	1.553706	1.527208	1.596137	1.596137	
0.010168		0.002534	0.00074	0.004566	0.002884	0.000740	0.009242	0.009242		
(35,25)	(0*24,10)	U	1.616442	1.481292	1.440702	1.410863	1.454035	1.443376	1.424117	1.477294
			0.013558	0.000349	0.003516	0.007945	0.002112	0.003206	0.005758	0.051558
		B	1.571527	1.527415	1.491560	1.542282	1.530208	1.507311	1.567380	1.567380
	0.005116		0.000751	0.000071	0.001787	0.000912	0.000053	0.004540	0.004540	
	(0*20,2*5)	U	1.636754	1.498211	1.455208	1.423829	1.469597	1.458446	1.438342	1.493965
			0.018701	0.000003	1.455208	0.005802	0.000924	0.001726	0.003801	0.000036
		B	1.576959	1.517040	1.470185	1.537454	1.521401	1.491495	1.571261	1.571261
	0.005922		0.000290	0.000888	0.001402	0.000458	0.000072	0.005078	0.005078	
	(2*5,0*20)	U	1.628495	1.490792	1.448680	1.417882	1.462664	1.451692	1.431901	1.486636
			0.016510	0.000084	0.002633	0.006743	0.001393	0.002333	0.004637	0.000178
		B	1.561880	1.512938	1.476078	1.530151	1.517240	1.493081	1.557317	1.557317
	0.003829		0.000167	0.000572	0.000909	0.000297	0.000047	0.003285	0.003285	
(10,0*24)	U	1.629139	1.504233	1.462157	1.430884	1.476182	1.465146	1.445078	1.500110	
		0.016676	0.000015	0.001432	0.004777	0.000567	0.001214	0.003016	0.000001	
	B	1.595649	1.551545	1.515252	1.566659	1.554623	1.531651	1.591526	1.591526	
0.009148		0.002656	0.000252	0.004443	0.002983	0.001001	0.008377	0.008377		

Table 5: Continued

(n,m)	scheme	prior	$\hat{\lambda}$	$\hat{\lambda}_{LI}$			$\hat{\lambda}_{GE}$			$\hat{\lambda}_{SQ}$
				$p = -0.05$	$p = 0.5$	$p = 1$	$q = -0.5$	$q = -0.25$	$q = 0.25$	
(40,10)	(0*9,30)	U	1.783662	1.522876	1.474542	1.445300	1.492383	1.481579	1.463504	1.517733
			0.080463	0.000523	0.000648	0.002992	0.000016	0.000339	0.001331	0.000314
		B	1.592267	1.488595	1.423320	1.526622	1.502484	1.461368	1.581592	1.581592
	0.008513		0.000130	0.005879	0.000708	0.000006	0.001492	0.006657	0.006657	
	(0*5,6*5)	U	1.741182	1.582725	1.543786	1.515969	1.558012	1.548519	1.531426	1.578838
			0.058168	0.006843	0.001917	0.000255	0.003365	0.002354	0.000987	0.006215
		B	1.631037	1.578185	1.538771	1.597656	1.584495	1.560334	1.625886	1.625886
	0.017171		0.006112	0.001503	0.009536	0.007139	0.003640	0.015847	0.015847	
	(6*5,0*5)	U	1.705975	1.523356	1.479326	1.449086	1.494851	1.484097	1.465149	1.518896
			0.042425	0.000545	0.000427	0.002592	0.000027	0.000253	0.001214	0.000357
		B	1.644855	1.593627	1.553132	1.612252	1.599009	1.574101	1.639990	1.639990
	0.020982		0.008766	0.002823	0.012601	0.009802	0.005490	0.019597	0.019597	
(30,0*9)	U	1.602302	1.551268	1.510596	1.477597	1.524090	1.512884	1.491663	1.547435	
		0.010465	0.002628	0.000112	0.000501	0.000580	0.000166	0.000069	0.002250	
	B	1.561916	1.517697	1.481585	1.532407	1.520184	1.496969	1.557769	1.557769	
0.003833		0.000313	0.000339	0.001050	0.000407	0.000009	0.003337	0.003337		
(40,20)	(0*19,20)	U	1.601344	1.501141	1.469574	1.445053	1.479908	1.471376	1.455548	1.498096
			0.010270	0.000001	0.000925	0.003019	0.000403	0.000819	0.001975	0.000004
		B	1.561225	1.522100	1.489905	1.535122	1.524285	1.503623	1.557560	1.557560
	0.003748		0.000488	0.000102	0.001233	0.000589	0.000013	0.003313	0.003313	
	(0*10,2*10)	U	1.625690	1.493949	1.455570	1.427154	1.468315	1.458261	1.440016	1.490177
			0.015797	0.000037	0.001974	0.005306	0.003598	0.001003	0.003598	0.000096
		B	1.588263	1.543684	1.507181	1.558887	1.546716	1.523545	1.584057	1.584057
	0.007790		0.001908	0.000051	0.003467	0.002182	0.000554	0.007065	0.007065	
	(2*10,0*10)	U	1.651626	1.531612	1.494623	1.466779	1.507262	1.497638	1.479998	1.528001
			0.022990	0.000998	0.000028	0.001103	0.000052	0.000006	0.000401	0.000784
		B	1.623051	1.577896	1.540599	1.593733	1.581549	1.558230	1.618840	1.618840
	0.015141		0.006067	0.001648	0.008785	0.006650	0.003390	0.014122	0.014122	
(20,0*19)	U	1.601475	1.487853	1.450399	1.421998	1.462592	1.452554	1.434155	1.484209	
		0.010297	0.000147	0.002460	0.006084	0.001399	0.002251	0.433555	0.000249	
	B	1.575060	1.526404	1.486324	1.542634	1.529123	1.503362	1.570523	1.570523	
0.005634		0.000697	0.000187	0.001817	0.000848	0.000011	0.004973	0.004973		
(40,30)	(0*29,10)	U	1.606988	1.506737	1.473131	1.447098	1.484189	1.475139	1.458367	1.503494
			0.011446	0.000048	0.000721	0.002798	0.000249	0.000618	0.001733	0.000012
		B	1.518607	1.463861	1.422096	1.481819	1.467073	1.439981	1.513331	1.513331
	0.000346		0.001306	0.006069	0.000330	0.001084	0.003602	0.000177	0.000177	
	(0*20,1*10)	U	1.603118	1.503302	1.470399	1.444875	1.481186	1.472304	1.455834	1.500127
			0.01063	0.000011	0.000876	0.003038	0.000353	0.000767	0.001950	0.000001
		B	1.525595	1.478375	1.441517	1.493889	1.481053	1.457202	1.521078	1.521078
	0.000655		0.000467	0.003420	0.000037	0.000358	0.001831	0.000444	0.000444	
	(1*10,0*20)	U	1.608010	1.492791	1.456661	1.429271	1.468504	1.45886	1.441177	1.489274
			0.011666	0.000052	0.001878	0.005002	0.000991	0.001692	0.003460	0.000115
		B	1.598569	1.563835	1.534117	1.575617	1.565914	1.547406	1.595368	1.595368
	0.009715		0.004081	0.001163	0.005717	0.004344	0.002247	0.009095	0.009095	
(10,0*29)	U	1.629264	1.522736	1.484699	1.455628	1.497459	1.487380	1.468798	1.519049	
		0.016709	0.000516	0.000234	0.001968	0.000006	0.000159	0.000973	0.000362	
	B	1.585081	1.542997	1.508664	1.557376	1.545932	1.524175	1.581128	1.581128	
0.007328		0.001848	0.000075	0.003292	0.002109	0.000584	0.006581	0.006581		

Table 6: Average values and MSEs of the estimates of λ for $T = 0.65$.

(n,m)	scheme	prior	$\hat{\lambda}$	$\hat{\lambda}_{LI}$			$\hat{\lambda}_{GE}$			$\hat{\lambda}_{SQ}$	
				$p = -0.05$	$p = 0.5$	$p = 1$	$q = -0.5$	$q = -0.25$	$q = 0.25$		
(35,10)	(0*9,25)	U	0.860680	0.771796	0.764204	0.758060	0.763270	0.759752	0.753473	0.771070	
		B	0.012250	0.000475	0.000201	0.000064	0.000176	0.000095	0.000012	0.000443	
	(0*5,5*5)	U	0.861994	0.744883	0.737664	0.732075	0.736941	0.733815	0.728484	0.744179	
		B	0.012542	0.000026	0.000152	0.000321	0.000170	0.000261	0.000462	0.000038	
	(5*5,0*5)	U	0.884170	0.788182	0.777970	0.769796	0.776977	0.772398	0.764302	0.787202	
		B	0.0180016	0.001457	0.000782	0.000391	0.000727	0.000501	0.000204	0.001383	
	(25,0*9)	U	0.825252	0.763243	0.753483	0.745317	0.751746	0.746845	0.737877	0.762324	
		B	0.005662	0.000175	0.000012	0.000022	0.000003	0.000010	0.000147	0.000152	
	(35,15)	(0*14,20)	U	0.804117	0.769715	0.763910	0.758868	0.762669	0.759550	0.753616	0.769177
			B	0.002928	0.000388	0.000193	0.000078	0.000160	0.000091	0.000013	0.000367
		(0*11,5*4)	U	0.809133	0.768474	0.762674	0.757674	0.761484	0.758413	0.752607	0.767935
			B	0.003496	0.000341	0.000160	0.000058	0.000131	0.000070	0.000006	0.000321
(5*4,0*11)		U	0.848083	0.805848	0.794363	0.784552	0.792578	0.786803	0.776018	0.804778	
		B	0.009620	0.003119	0.001968	0.001193	0.001812	0.001354	0.000676	0.003001	
(20,0*14)		U	0.814967	0.786515	0.776604	0.767989	0.774619	0.769351	0.759369	0.785599	
		B	0.004221	0.001333	0.000707	0.000323	0.000606	0.000374	0.000087	0.001267	
(35,25)		(0*24,10)	U	0.797752	0.775094	0.769079	0.763788	0.767723	0.764418	0.758061	0.774540
			B	0.002280	0.000629	0.000364	0.000190	0.000314	0.000207	0.000064	0.000602
		(0*20,2*5)	U	0.794162	0.755609	0.749896	0.744957	0.748604	0.745517	0.739674	0.755079
			B	0.001950	0.000031	0.000001	0.000025	0.000002	0.000020	0.000106	0.000025
	(2*5,0*20)	U	0.804638	0.775287	0.767916	0.761492	0.766337	0.762362	0.754792	0.774605	
		B	0.002985	0.000639	0.000320	0.000132	0.000266	0.000152	0.000023	0.000605	
	(10,0*24)	U	0.822506	0.758019	0.750716	0.744607	0.749404	0.745733	0.739000	0.757331	
		B	0.005257	0.000064	0.000001	0.000003	0.000001	0.000018	0.000121	0.000054	
				0.748778	0.742433	0.737185	0.741327	0.738189	0.732492	0.748177	
				0.000001	0.000057	0.000164	0.000075	0.000139	0.000306	0.000003	

Table 6: Continued

(n,m)	scheme	prior	$\hat{\lambda}$	$\hat{\lambda}_{LI}$			$\hat{\lambda}_{GE}$			$\hat{\lambda}_{SQ}$
				$p = -0.05$	$p = 0.5$	$p = 1$	$q = -0.5$	$q = -0.25$	$q = 0.25$	
(40,10)	(0*9,30)	U	0.888034 0.019053	0.758097 0.000065	0.751840 0.000004	0.747124 0.000008	0.751483 0.000002	0.748947 0.000001	0.744720 0.000028	0.757480 0.000059
		B		0.714972 0.001226	0.707528 0.001803	0.702401 0.002265	0.707480 0.001807	0.704895 0.002034	0.701062 0.002394	0.714280 0.001275
	(0*5,6*5)	U	0.865658 0.013376	0.779677 0.000881	0.772274 0.000496	0.766257 0.000264	0.771393 0.000457	0.767960 0.000322	0.761801 0.000139	0.778971 0.000839
		B		0.742058 0.000063	0.734893 0.000228	0.729409 0.000423	0.734248 0.000248	0.731211 0.000353	0.726094 0.000571	0.741356 0.000074
	(6*5,0*5)	U	0.875462 0.015740	0.763454 0.000181	0.754660 0.000022	0.747782 0.000005	0.753824 0.000014	0.748986 0.000001	0.743359 0.000044	0.762601 0.000158
		B		0.755482 0.000030	0.747370 0.000007	0.741110 0.000079	0.746663 0.000011	0.743198 0.000046	0.737298 0.000161	0.754690 0.000021
	(30,0*9)	U	0.842494 0.008555	0.775709 0.000660	0.759647 0.000093	0.746392 0.000013	0.757141 0.000051	0.749331 0.000001	0.735301 0.000216	0.774192 0.000585
		B		0.703136 0.002196	0.693815 0.003156	0.686851 0.003987	0.692832 0.003268	0.688945 0.003727	0.682632 0.004538	0.702214 0.002283
(40,20)	(0*19,20)	U	0.799606 0.002461	0.752972 0.000009	0.747990 0.000004	0.743720 0.000034	0.746915 0.000010	0.744269 0.000032	0.739297 0.000115	0.752507 0.000006
		B		0.743537 0.000041	0.738617 0.000129	0.734449 0.000241	0.737577 0.000154	0.735004 0.000224	0.730224 0.000391	0.743064 0.000048
	(0*10,2*10)	U	0.808564 0.003429	0.758745 0.007647	0.753450 0.000012	0.748931 0.000001	0.752377 0.000006	0.749607 0.000002	0.744421 0.000031	0.758250 0.000068
		B		0.752230 0.000005	0.747325 0.000007	0.743172 0.000046	0.746347 0.000013	0.743808 0.000038	0.739088 0.000119	0.751770 0.000003
	(2*10,0*10)	U	0.818756 0.004727	0.768632 0.000347	0.761870 0.000141	0.756110 0.000037	0.760587 0.000112	0.757095 0.000050	0.750575 0.000001	0.768001 0.000324
		B		0.751029 0.000001	0.744813 0.000026	0.739630 0.000107	0.751443 0.000002	0.740561 0.000089	0.734852 0.000229	0.743681 0.000039
	(20,0*19)	U	0.801110 0.002612	0.728378 0.000467	0.720629 0.000862	0.714219 0.001280	0.719055 0.000957	0.715131 0.001215	0.708040 0.001760	0.727644 0.000499
		B		0.752000 0.000004	0.744230 0.000033	0.737612 0.000153	0.742572 0.000055	0.738481 0.000132	0.730866 0.000366	0.751274 0.000001
(40,30)	(0*29,10)	U	0.791742 0.001742	0.752920 0.000009	0.747830 0.000005	0.743427 0.000043	0.746662 0.000011	0.743903 0.000037	0.738675 0.000128	0.752448 0.000006
		B		0.708652 0.001709	0.703169 0.002193	0.698694 0.002632	0.702046 0.002221	0.699307 0.002569	0.694423 0.003088	0.708130 0.001753
	(0*20,1*10)	U	0.798258 0.002328	0.760374 0.000107	0.755271 0.000028	0.750853 0.000001	0.754145 0.000018	0.751396 0.000002	0.746178 0.000015	0.759900 0.000098
		B		0.713702 0.001317	0.708305 0.001738	0.703867 0.002125	0.707178 0.001833	0.704452 0.002074	0.699550 0.002545	0.713189 0.001355
	(1*10,0*20)	U	0.805599 0.003091	0.756230 0.000039	0.750269 0.000001	0.745182 0.000023	0.749035 0.000001	0.745907 0.000016	0.740056 0.000099	0.755673 0.000032
		B		0.754104 0.000016	0.748490 0.000002	0.743710 0.000039	0.747333 0.000007	0.744396 0.000031	0.738911 0.000122	0.753579 0.000012
	(10,0*29)	U	0.799599 0.002460	0.755754 0.000033	0.749508 0.000001	0.744144 0.000034	0.748152 0.000003	0.744825 0.000027	0.738572 0.000131	0.755173 0.000027
		B		0.728802 0.000449	0.722917 0.000733	0.718029 0.001022	0.721714 0.000801	0.718718 0.000978	0.713272 0.001348	0.728245 0.000473

Table 7: Average lengths of the interval estimates of α and λ for $T = 0.65$.

(n,m)	scheme	90% confidence interval			95% confidence interval		
		NA	NL	HPD	NA	NL	HPD
(35,10)	(0*9,25)	1.511626	1.558747	1.454540	1.795629	1.874913	1.769558
		0.634094	0.648532	0.619148	0.753227	0.777496	0.729197
	(0*5,5*5)	1.657463	1.719895	1.610198	1.968865	2.073993	1.936552
		0.675029	0.692410	0.660681	0.801852	0.831078	0.744792
	(5*5,0*5)	1.549786	1.601992	1.588753	1.840958	1.928823	1.757324
(25,0*9)	0.726049	0.746621	0.680476	0.862458	0.897060	0.848878	
	1.339220	1.377287	1.309316	1.590831	1.654859	1.547971	
		0.664750	0.682869	0.647127	0.789643	0.820113	0.735169
(35,15)	(0*14,20)	1.504370	1.557721	1.414510	1.787009	1.876822	1.746296
		0.497177	0.505134	0.414510	0.590586	0.603950	0.546005
	(0*11,5*4)	1.464152	1.513019	1.373593	1.739235	1.821478	1.655850
		0.502811	0.510941	0.486327	0.597279	0.610932	0.566976
	(5*4,0*11)	1.436714	1.483197	1.355396	1.706643	1.784864	1.666280
(20,0*14)	0.696719	0.716478	0.633861	0.827618	0.860851	0.798131	
	1.380959	1.423022	1.343415	1.640412	1.711179	1.608477	
		0.638713	0.655186	0.613885	0.758714	0.786412	0.719818
(35,25)	(0*24,10)	1.392959	1.436461	1.291460	1.654666	1.727862	1.612285
		0.496879	0.504951	0.477010	0.590233	0.603787	0.561773
	(0*20,2*5)	1.434666	1.481037	1.412044	1.704209	1.782242	1.650602
		0.497247	0.505409	0.468921	0.590669	0.604378	0.553102
	(2*5,0*20)	1.419961	1.465373	1.397091	1.686741	1.763157	1.628170
0.553324		0.564292	0.529671	0.657282	0.675710	0.633503	
(10,0*24)	1.401969	1.445631	1.330690	1.665369	1.738833	1.615282	
	0.587330	0.599888	0.542329	0.697677	0.718781	0.680043	
(40,10)	(0*9,30)	1.725610	1.793698	1.632209	2.049816	2.164492	1.985787
		0.672530	0.688718	0.632945	0.798884	0.826097	0.740191
	(0*5,6*5)	1.401979	1.440160	1.347604	1.665381	1.729592	1.602339
		0.623021	0.636555	0.587576	0.740074	0.762819	0.726511
	(6*5,0*5)	1.518159	1.568752	1.459005	1.803388	1.888537	1.747780
0.712804		0.732657	0.682750	0.846725	0.880115	0.768387	
(30,0*9)	1.302959	1.339157	1.255089	1.547758	1.608637	1.470576	
	0.842233	0.877745	0.804793	1.000471	1.060301	0.939509	
(40,20)	(0*19,20)	1.198213	1.226362	1.138907	1.423332	1.470649	1.405985
		0.475450	0.482485	0.459066	0.564776	0.576590	0.594159
	(0*10,2*10)	1.353430	1.392856	1.301444	1.607711	1.674031	1.586978
		0.492425	0.500071	0.482310	0.584942	0.597781	0.554856
	(2*10,0*10)	1.315115	1.350133	1.218898	1.562197	1.621085	1.506921
0.550090		0.560495	0.524489	0.653440	0.670921	0.622460	
(20,0*19)	1.314422	1.351628	1.241843	1.561374	1.623953	1.513557	
	0.614041	0.629183	0.588718	0.729406	0.754864	0.685917	
(40,30)	(0*29,10)	1.233753	1.264277	1.212832	1.465549	1.516868	1.412703
		0.471489	0.478487	0.458205	0.560072	0.571822	0.544493
	(0*20,1*10)	1.221089	1.250823	1.208826	1.450506	1.500493	1.426049
		0.471077	0.477943	0.451937	0.559583	0.571111	0.522580
	(1*10,0*20)	1.294778	1.330041	1.231115	1.538040	1.597343	1.504068
0.518700		0.527707	0.507350	0.616153	0.631281	0.572084	
(10,0*29)	1.315101	1.351095	1.271489	1.562181	1.622714	1.520261	
	0.523748	0.533162	0.577396	0.622150	0.637963	0.595866	

Table 8: Coverage probabilities for the pivotal quantities under MLEs for $T = 0.65$.

(n,m)	scheme	90% confidence interval			95% confidence interval		
		Q_1	Q_2	Q_3	Q_1	Q_2	Q_3
(35,10)	(0*9,25)	0.758	0.758	0.757	0.821	0.804	0.836
	(0*5,5*5)	0.785	0.780	0.789	0.845	0.835	0.859
	(5*5,0*5)	0.735	0.743	0.788	0.802	0.805	0.836
	(25,0*9)	0.643	0.675	0.636	0.714	0.757	0.712
(35,15)	(0*14,20)	0.796	0.809	0.745	0.848	0.863	0.813
	(0*11,5*4)	0.799	0.809	0.755	0.851	0.870	0.825
	(5*4,0*11)	0.730	0.751	0.772	0.796	0.816	0.836
	(20,0*14)	0.684	0.723	0.708	0.752	0.796	
(35,25)	(0*24,10)	0.797	0.822	0.787	0.853	0.874	0.841
	(0*20,2*5)	0.775	0.801	0.770	0.834	0.861	0.835
	(2*5,0*20)	0.759	0.789	0.764	0.817	0.855	0.825
	(10,0*24)	0.736	0.765	0.779	0.792	0.832	0.834
(40,10)	(0*9,30)	0.817	0.785	0.779	0.873	0.833	0.856
	(0*5,6*5)	0.743	0.728	0.750	0.801	0.787	0.826
	(6*5,0*5)	0.762	0.756	0.769	0.818	0.825	0.841
	(30,0*9)	0.633	0.660	0.748	0.692	0.743	0.799
(40,20)	(0*19,20)	0.756	0.773	0.796	0.824	0.841	0.862
	(0*10,2*10)	0.789	0.805	0.772	0.843	0.859	0.832
	(2*10,0*10)	0.758	0.768	0.747	0.816	0.829	0.832
	(20,0*19)	0.729	0.753	0.748	0.783	0.831	0.810
(40,30)	(0*29,10)	0.752	0.783	0.767	0.818	0.842	0.838
	(0*20,1*10)	0.753	0.781	0.772	0.823	0.852	0.839
	(1*10,0*20)	0.771	0.794	0.772	0.826	0.859	0.838
	(10,0*29)	0.734	0.767	0.772	0.811	0.832	0.838

7 Real data analysis

In this section, a real dataset given by Bjerkedal (1960) is considered and analysed. The dataset describes the survival times (days) of 72 guinea pigs which are infected with virulent tubercle bacilli. The data set is given below.

12, 15, 22, 24, 24, 32, 32, 33, 34, 38, 38, 43, 44, 48, 52, 53, 54, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 58, 59, 60, 60, 60, 60, 61, 62, 63, 65, 65, 67, 68, 70, 70, 72, 73, 75,76,76, 81, 83, 84, 85, 87, 91, 95, 96, 98, 99, 109, 110, 121,127,129, 131,143, 146, 146, 175, 175, 211, 233, 258, 258, 263, 297, 341, 341, 376

For this purpose of goodness of fit test, negative log-likelihood criterion, Alkaiques-information criterion (AIC), AICc, and Bayesian information criterion(BIC) are considered. The values of these test statistics are presented in Table 9, which suggests that the logistic exponential distribution provides a best fit to the data comparison to the exponential distribution (ED), Weibull distribution (WD), inverse exponential distribution (IED), inverse Weibull distribution (IWD), gamma distribution and Burr distribution. For the purpose of goodness-of-fit test, we consider different plots in Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4 and Figure 5 to show that the logistic exponential distribution fits the real dataset well. In Figure 2, $Q-Q$ plots are used to compare the given dataset to the theoretical model. Here, $Q-Q$ plot represents the points $(F^{-1}(i/(n+1); \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\lambda}), x_{(i)})$, where $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $x_{(i)}$ represents the ordered values of given real data. In Figure 3, empirical cumulative distribution function (ECDF) plot has been made which represents the cumulative distribution function for observed data and it is compared with theoretical CDFs. In Figure 5, $P-P$ plot represents the points $(F(x_i; \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\lambda}), F_n(x_{(i)}))$, where $F_n(x_{(i)}) = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n I(X \leq x_{(i)})$ is the empirical distribution function and I is the indicator function.

Table 9: The MLEs, standard errors (SEs) and statistics for goodness-of-fit

Model	$\hat{\alpha}(\text{SE})$	$\hat{\lambda}(\text{SE})$	$-\log L$	AIC	AICC	BIC
LED	1.680051 (0.171128)	0.008596 (0.000695)	393.1994	790.3988	790.5727	790.1135
ED	—	0.010018 (0.001168)	403.4421	808.8842	808.9699	808.7415
IED	—	60.09751 (7.082558)	402.6718	807.3436	807.4007	807.2009
WD	1.392761 (0.118424)	110.529489(9.933974)	397.1477	798.2954	798.4693	798.0101
IWD	1.415144 (0.117427)	284.285192 (125.980402)	395.6491	795.2982	795.4721	795.0128
Gamma	2.081241 (0.321162)	0.020854 (0.003628)	394.2476	792.4952	792.6691	792.2098
Burr	4.027607 (17.711090)	0.057153 (0.251267)	490.5494	985.0988	985.2727	984.8135

Table 10: Average values of the estimates and MSEs of α and λ for the real dataset

(n,m)	T	Prior	scheme	MLE	LLF			GELF		SELF	
					$p = -0.05$	$p = 0.5$	$p = 1$	$q = -0.5$	$q = -0.25$		$q = 0.25$
(72,30)	45	U	(0*16,3*14)	2.062302	1.867879	1.829817	1.803970	1.846568	1.838636	1.824331	1.863998
				0.008738	0.008049	0.008049	0.008048	0.008009	0.007991	0.007957	0.008049
	B	2.022519	1.971592	1.930370	1.994908	1.983801	1.962406	2.017736			
		0.008232	0.008231	0.008231	0.008185	0.008163	0.008121	0.008232			
	U	(0*9,2*21)	2.062302	1.867879	1.829817	1.803970	1.846568	1.838636	1.824331	1.863998	
			0.008738	0.008049	0.008049	0.008048	0.008009	0.007991	0.007957	0.008049	
B	2.069587	2.021563	1.982229	2.044012	2.033711	2.013767	2.065096				
	0.008475	0.008474	0.008474	0.008433	0.008413	0.008375	0.008475				
(72,30)	60	U	(0*16,3*14)	2.312608	1.568385	1.594149	1.626408	1.585623	1.594064	1.611439	1.569860
				0.009401	0.007610	0.007610	0.007611	0.007645	0.007663	0.007697	0.007610
	B	2.043068	1.946628	1.891724	1.992034	1.974113	1.943123	2.032706			
		0.008349	0.008348	0.008348	0.008326	0.008317	0.008300	0.008349			
	U	(0*9,2*21)	2.482657	1.152951	1.346757	1.507824	1.298995	1.346415	1.425006	1.178845	
			0.009984	0.007131	0.007133	0.007135	0.007281	0.007347	0.007465	0.007131	
B	1.899871	1.834741	1.820673	1.865143	1.856047	1.844050	1.890934				
	0.008268	0.008268	0.008268	0.008283	0.008292	0.008310	0.008268				

Table 11: Average lengths of the interval estimates of α and λ for the real dataset

(n,m)	T	scheme	90% confidence interval			95% confidence interval		
			NA	NL	HPD	NA	NL	HPD
(72,30)	45	(0*16,3*14)	1.449476	1.479495	1.425826	1.721801	1.772246	1.686591
			0.004608	0.004662	0.004472	0.005474	0.005563	0.005348
	(0*9,2*21)	1.402861	1.429036	1.293271	1.666428	1.710404	1.590752	
		0.004378	0.004422	0.004169	0.005201	0.005274	0.005027	
(72,30)	60	(0*29,42)	1.249023	1.273158	1.206673	1.483688	1.524239	1.430438
			0.004277	0.004333	0.004144	0.005080	0.005175	0.004844
	(0*9,2*21)	2.74877	2.891338	2.369878	3.265205	3.505682	3.002334	
		0.005259	0.005320	0.004869	0.006247	0.006349	0.005064	

Normal Q-Q Plot




Figure 2: Q-Q plot of the real dataset.






Figure 3: The ECDF and CDF comparison for various distributions fitted to the assumed real dataset.

8 Conclusion


In this article, statistical inference for the two parameter logistic exponential distribution under progressive type-I hybrid censoring scheme has been discussed. The MLEs are obtained. The forms of the MLEs can not be expressed explicitly. Thus, Newton-Raphson




(a)




(b)




(c)



(d)




(e)




(f)


Figure 4: Histogram with density plots for various distributions fitted to given real dataset.




(a)




(b)




(c)



(d)



(e)



(f)

Figure 5: P-P plots for various distributions fitted to given real dataset.

iterative method is employed to compute the MLEs of the parameters. In Bayesian study, independent and bivariate priors are considered. Three loss functions are utilized. The Bayes estimates are difficult to get in closed forms. Rather, they are of the form of the ratio of two integrals. To evaluate approximate Bayes estimates, Lindley's approximation technique is applied. The HPD credible intervals are obtained by using importance sampling method. In addition to the HPD credible intervals, two methods are employed to compute the asymptotic confidence intervals of the model parameters. Monte Carlo simulation study is performed to compare the performance of the proposed estimates. From the numerical study, it is observed that the Bayes estimates with respect to the independent prior distributions perform better than the other estimates. Further, a real dataset is considered for illustrative purposes.

Acknowledgement: The author S. Dutta, thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (C.S.I.R. Grant No. 09/983(0038)/2019-EMR-I), India, for the financial assistantship received to carry out this research work. Both the authors thanks the research facilities received from the Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Rourkela, India.

References

- Arnold, B. C. and Press, S. J. (1983). Bayesian inference for Pareto populations, *Journal of Econometrics*. **21**(3), 287–306.
- Bjerkedal, T. (1960). Acquisition of resistance in guinea pigs infected with different doses of virulent tubercle bacilli., *American Journal of Hygiene*. **72**(1), 130–48.
- Calabria, R. and Pulcini, G. (1994). Bayes 2-sample prediction for the inverse Weibull distribution, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*. **23**(6), 1811–1824.
- Chen, M. H. and Shao, Q. M. (1999). Monte Carlo estimation of Bayesian credible and HPD intervals, *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*. **8**(1), 69–92.
- Epstein, B. (1954). Truncated life tests in the exponential case, *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*. **25**(3), 555–564.
- Gamchi, F. V., Alma, Ö. G. and Belaghi, R. A. (2019). Classical and Bayesian inference for Burr type-III distribution based on progressive type-II hybrid censored data, *Mathematical Sciences*. **13**(2), 79–95.
- Goyal, T., Rai, P. K. and Maurya, S. K. (2020). Bayesian estimation for GDUS exponential distribution under type-I progressive hybrid censoring, *Annals of Data Science*. **7**(2), 307–345.

- Hemmati, F. and Khorram, E. (2013). Statistical analysis of the log-normal distribution under type-II progressive hybrid censoring schemes, *Communications in Statistics-simulation and Computation*. **42**(1), 52–75.
- Kayal, T., Tripathi, Y. M., Kundu, D. and Rastogi, M. (2019). Statistical inference of Chen distribution based on type-I progressive hybrid censored samples, *Statistics, Optimization & Information Computing*. .
- Kundu, D. and Joarder, A. (2006). Analysis of Type-II progressively hybrid censored data, *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*. **50**(10), 2509–2528.
- Lan, Y. and Leemis, L. M. (2008). The logistic–exponential survival distribution, *Naval Research Logistics*. **55**(3), 252–264.
- Lin, C.-T., Ng, H. K. T. and Chan, P. S. (2009). Statistical inference of type-II progressively hybrid censored data with Weibull lifetimes, *Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods*. **38**(10), 1710–1729.
- Lindley, D. V. (1980). Approximate Bayesian methods, *Trabajos de estadística y de investigación operativa*. **31**(1), 223–245.
- Mäkeläinen, T., Schmidt, K. and Styan, G. P. (1981). On the existence and uniqueness of the maximum likelihood estimate of a vector-valued parameter in fixed-size samples, *The Annals of Statistics*. **9**(4), 758–767.
- Meeker, W. Q. and Escobar, L. A. (2014). *Statistical methods for reliability data*, John Wiley & Sons.
- Sultana, F., Tripathi, Y. and Kumar Rastogi, M. (2019). Parameter estimation and prediction for the generalized half normal distribution under progressive hybrid censoring, *Journal of The Iranian Statistical Society*. **18**(1), 191–236.
- Sultana, F., Tripathi, Y. M., Wu, S. J. and Sen, T. (2020). Inference for Kumaraswamy distribution based on type-I progressive hybrid censoring, *Annals of Data Science*. pp. 1–25.
- Varian, H. R. (1975). A Bayesian approach to real estate assessment, *In Studies in Bayesian econometrics and statistics in honor of Leonard J. Savage, eds Fienberg Stephen E., and A. Zellner*. pp. 195–208.

A Appendix

In this part of the paper, the Lindley’s approximation method is described. However, one may refer to Lindley (1980) for further elaboration. Consider an arbitrary function of α and λ , say $\phi(\alpha, \lambda)$. The forms of the Bayes estimators (see Eqs. (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6)) involve

expectations with respect to the posterior probability density function. The posterior mean of $\phi(\alpha, \lambda)$ is given by

$$E(\phi(\alpha, \lambda)|\underline{x}) = \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \phi(\alpha, \lambda) e^{l(\alpha, \lambda|\underline{x})+P(\alpha, \lambda)} d\alpha d\lambda}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{l(\alpha, \lambda|\underline{x})+P(\alpha, \lambda)} d\alpha d\lambda}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $l(\alpha, \lambda|\underline{x})$ is the log-likelihood function and $P(\alpha, \lambda)$ is the logarithm of joint prior distribution of α and λ . Using the method due to Lindley (1980), (A.1) can be approximated as

$$E(\phi(\alpha, \lambda)|\underline{x}) \approx \phi(\alpha, \lambda) + \frac{1}{2} (A + l_{30}B_{12} + l_{03}B_{21} + l_{21}C_{12} + l_{12}C_{21} + 2P_1A_{12} + 2P_2A_{21}), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $A = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 v_{ij}\tau_{ij}$, $\theta_1 = \alpha$, $\theta_2 = \lambda$, $v_i = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta_i}$, $v_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j}$, $P_i = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta_i}$, $A_{ij} = v_i\tau_{ii} + v_j\tau_{ji}$, $B_{ij} = (v_i\tau_{ii} + v_j\tau_{ij})\tau_{ii}$, $C_{ij} = 3v_i\tau_{ii}\tau_{ij} + v_j(\tau_{ii}\tau_{jj} + 2\tau_{ij}^2)$, $l_{ij} = \frac{\partial^{i+j} l}{\partial \theta_1^i \partial \theta_2^j}$, $P = \log \pi_3(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ and τ_{ij} is the (i, j) th element of $\left[-\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \theta_1^i \partial \theta_2^j}\right]^{-1}$, where $i, j = 1, 2$. For the present problem, the loglikelihood function can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} l &= D \log \alpha + D \log \lambda + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^D x_i + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^D \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^D (R_i + 2) \log U \\ &\quad - R_D^* \log V, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where $U \equiv U(\alpha, \lambda) = 1 + (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha$ and $V \equiv V(\alpha, \lambda) = 1 + (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha$. Next, the required partial derivatives of l with respect to α and λ are presented.

$$\begin{aligned}
l_{10} &= \frac{\partial l}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{D}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^D \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^D (R_i + 2) \frac{U_\alpha}{U} - R_D^* \frac{V_\alpha}{V} \\
l_{01} &= \frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{D}{\lambda} + \sum_{i=1}^D x_i + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{x_i e^{\lambda x_i}}{(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)} - \sum_{i=1}^D (R_i + 2) \frac{U_\lambda}{U} - R_D^* \frac{V_\lambda}{V} \\
l_{20} &= \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \alpha^2} = -\frac{D}{\alpha^2} - \sum_{i=1}^D (R_i + 2) \left[\frac{UU_{\alpha\alpha} - U_\alpha^2}{U^2} \right] - R_D^* \left[\frac{VV_{\alpha\alpha} - V_\alpha^2}{V^2} \right] \\
l_{02} &= \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \lambda^2} = -\frac{D}{\lambda^2} - (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{x_i^2 e^{\lambda x_i}}{(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^2} - \sum_{i=1}^D (R_i + 2) \left[\frac{UU_{\lambda\lambda} - U_\lambda^2}{U^2} \right] \\
&\quad - R_D^* \left[\frac{VV_{\lambda\lambda} - V_\lambda^2}{V^2} \right] \\
l_{11} &= \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \alpha \partial \lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{x_i e^{\lambda x_i}}{(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)} - \sum_{i=1}^D (R_i + 2) \left[\frac{UU_{\alpha\lambda} - U_\alpha U_\lambda}{U^2} \right] - R_D^* \left[\frac{VV_{\alpha\lambda} - V_\alpha V_\lambda}{V^2} \right] \\
l_{30} &= \frac{\partial^3 l}{\partial \alpha^3} = \frac{2D}{\alpha^3} - \sum_{i=1}^D (R_i + 2) \left[\frac{U^2 U_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} - 3UU_\alpha U_{\alpha\alpha} + 2U_\alpha^3}{U^3} \right] \\
&\quad - R_D^* \left[\frac{V^2 V_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} - 3VV_\alpha V_{\alpha\alpha} + 2V_\alpha^3}{V^3} \right] \\
l_{03} &= \frac{\partial^3 l}{\partial \lambda^3} = (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^D x_i^3 \left[\frac{e^{\lambda x_i} (1 + e^{\lambda x_i})}{(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^3} \right] - \sum_{i=1}^D (R_i + 2) \left[\frac{U^2 U_{\lambda\lambda\lambda} - 3UU_\lambda U_{\lambda\lambda} + 2U_\lambda^3}{U^3} \right] \\
&\quad - R_D^* \left[\frac{V^2 V_{\lambda\lambda\lambda} - 3VV_\lambda V_{\lambda\lambda} + 2V_\lambda^3}{V^3} \right] + \frac{2D}{\lambda^3} \\
l_{21} &= \frac{\partial^3 l}{\partial \alpha^2 \partial \lambda} = -\sum_{i=1}^D (R_i + 2) \left[\frac{U(UU_{\alpha\alpha\lambda} - U_\lambda U_{\alpha\alpha} - 2U_\alpha U_{\alpha\lambda}) + 2U_\alpha^2 U_\lambda}{U^3} \right] \\
&\quad - R_D^* \left[\frac{V(VV_{\alpha\alpha\lambda} - V_\lambda V_{\alpha\alpha} - 2V_\alpha V_{\alpha\lambda}) + 2V_\alpha^2 V_\lambda}{V^3} \right] \\
l_{12} &= \frac{\partial^3 l}{\partial \alpha \partial \lambda^2} = -\sum_{i=1}^D (R_i + 2) \left[\frac{U(UU_{\alpha\lambda\lambda} - U_\alpha U_{\lambda\lambda} - 2U_\lambda U_{\alpha\lambda}) + 2U_\alpha U_\lambda^2}{U^3} \right] \\
&\quad - R_D^* \left[\frac{V(VV_{\alpha\lambda\lambda} - V_\alpha V_{\lambda\lambda} - 2V_\lambda V_{\alpha\lambda}) + 2V_\alpha V_\lambda^2}{V^3} \right] - \sum_{i=1}^D x_i^2 \left[\frac{e^{\lambda x_i}}{(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
U_\alpha &= (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) \\
V_\alpha &= (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha \log(e^{\lambda T} - 1) \\
U_\lambda &= \alpha x_i e^{\lambda x_i} (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} \\
V_\lambda &= \alpha T e^{\lambda T} (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^{\alpha-1} \\
U_{\alpha\lambda} &= x_i e^{\lambda x_i} (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} [\alpha \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) + 1] \\
V_{\alpha\lambda} &= T e^{\lambda T} (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^{\alpha-1} [\alpha \log(e^{\lambda T} - 1) + 1] \\
U_{\alpha\alpha} &= (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha [\log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)]^2 \\
V_{\alpha\alpha} &= (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha [\log(e^{\lambda T} - 1)]^2 \\
U_{\lambda\lambda} &= \alpha x_i^2 e^{\lambda x_i} (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-2} (\alpha e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) \\
V_{\lambda\lambda} &= \alpha T^2 e^{\lambda T} (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^{\alpha-2} (\alpha e^{\lambda T} - 1) \\
U_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} &= (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^\alpha [\log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)]^3 \\
V_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} &= (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^\alpha [\log(e^{\lambda T} - 1)]^3 \\
U_{\lambda\lambda\lambda} &= \alpha x_i^3 e^{\lambda x_i} (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-3} [\alpha^2 e^{2\lambda x_i} + (1 - 3\alpha)e^{\lambda x_i} + 1] \\
V_{\lambda\lambda\lambda} &= \alpha T^3 e^{\lambda T} (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^{\alpha-3} [\alpha^2 e^{2\lambda T} + (1 - 3\alpha)e^{\lambda T} + 1] \\
U_{\alpha\alpha\lambda} &= x_i e^{\lambda x_i} (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-1} \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) [\alpha \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) + 2] \\
V_{\alpha\alpha\lambda} &= T e^{\lambda T} (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^{\alpha-1} \log(e^{\lambda T} - 1) [\alpha \log(e^{\lambda T} - 1) + 2] \\
U_{\alpha\lambda\lambda} &= x_i^2 e^{\lambda x_i} (e^{\lambda x_i} - 1)^{\alpha-2} [\alpha (\alpha e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) \log(e^{\lambda x_i} - 1) + 2\alpha e^{\lambda x_i} - 1] \\
V_{\alpha\lambda\lambda} &= T^2 e^{\lambda T} (e^{\lambda T} - 1)^{\alpha-2} [\alpha (\alpha e^{\lambda T} - 1) \log(e^{\lambda T} - 1) + 2\alpha e^{\lambda T} - 1].
\end{aligned}$$