

B_{n-1} -BUNDLES ON THE FLAG VARIETY, I

MARK COLARUSSO AND SAM EVENS

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the orbits of a Borel subgroup B_{n-1} of $G_{n-1} = GL(n-1)$ (respectively $SO(n-1)$) acting on the flag variety \mathcal{B}_n of $G = GL(n)$ (resp. $SO(n)$). The group B_{n-1} acts on \mathcal{B}_n with finitely many orbits, and we use the known description of G_{n-1} -orbits on \mathcal{B} to study these orbits. In particular, we show that a B_{n-1} -orbit is a fibre bundle over a B_{n-1} -orbit in a generalized flag variety of G_{n-1} with fibre a B_{m-1} -orbit on \mathcal{B}_m for some $m < n$. We further use this fibre bundle structure to study the orbits inductively and describe the monoid action on the fibre bundle. In a sequel to this paper, we use these results to give a complete combinatorial model for these orbits and show how to understand the closure relations on these orbits in terms of the monoid action.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider certain solvable subgroups acting on the flag variety with finitely many orbits. The subgroups we consider arise naturally in the complex Gelfand-Zeitlin integrable system and in the theory of Gelfand-Zeitlin modules. The goal of this paper, and its sequel, is to provide a combinatorial description of the orbits and in particular to understand monoidal actions on the orbits. We then hope to use these results to understand representations of the Lie algebra realized via the Beilinson-Bernstein correspondence using local systems on these orbits.

In more detail, let $G_n = GL(n)$ or $SO(n)$, and embed G_{n-1} as a symmetric subgroup of G_n , up to center in the $GL(n)$ -case. We note that the pairs (G_n, G_{n-1}) are (up to center and isogeny) the multiplicity free symmetric pairs. We let B_{n-1} denote a Borel subgroup of G_{n-1} and recall that B_{n-1} acts on the flag variety of Borel subgroups \mathcal{B}_n of G_n with finitely many orbits. Our main result is that B_{n-1} -orbits on \mathcal{B}_n fibre over B_{n-1} -orbits on a generalized flag variety of G_{n-1} with fibre given by B_{m-1} -orbits on \mathcal{B}_m for some $m < n$. More precisely, we construct a class of parabolic subgroups of G_n , which we call *special parabolic subgroups*.

Theorem 1.1. *For each B_{n-1} -orbit Q on \mathcal{B}_n , there is a special parabolic R of G_n so that $R \cap G_{n-1}$ is a parabolic subgroup of G_{n-1} , and*

- (1) *there is a fibre bundle $Q \rightarrow Q_1$, where Q_1 is a B_{n-1} -orbit on $G_{n-1}/R \cap G_{n-1}$;*
- (2) *the fibre of $Q \rightarrow Q_1$ may be identified with a B_{m-1} -orbit Q_2 on \mathcal{B}_m .*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M15, 14L30, 20G20.

Key words and phrases. K -orbits on flag variety, algebraic group actions.

If we denote the above B_{n-1} -orbit by $Q = \mathcal{O}(Q_1, Q_2)$, then $\mathcal{O}(Q_1, Q_2) = \mathcal{O}(Q'_1, Q'_2)$ if and only if $Q_i = Q'_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Our theorem allows for an inductive description of B_{n-1} -orbits on the flag variety \mathcal{B}_n in terms of special parabolics, Weyl group data, and data derived inductively from the same problem for a smaller index. The special parabolic subalgebras are in one-to-one correspondence with G_{n-1} -orbits on \mathcal{B}_n . For the closed G_{n-1} -orbits on \mathcal{B}_n , the corresponding special parabolics are the representatives of these orbits given in Part (2) of Propositions 2.8, 2.9, and 2.10. The special parabolics corresponding to G_{n-1} -orbits that are not closed are given in the orthogonal cases by taking parabolics containing the upper triangular matrices and corresponding to the choice of simple roots $\{\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ where $i = 1, \dots, l$ for type B and $i = 1, \dots, l - 1$ for type D using the usual ordering of simple roots (see (3.4) and (3.7)). In the general linear case the special parabolics are given by choosing a consecutive subset of simple roots and a corresponding element of the Weyl group (see (3.3)).

In a sequel to this paper, we use our results to give a combinatorial description of the B_{n-1} -orbits on \mathcal{B}_n . Further, we use the action of a monoid using both simple roots of G_{n-1} and of G_n to show that every B_{n-1} -orbit arises from a zero dimensional B_{n-1} -orbit using the monoid action. Richardson and Springer [RS90] have pointed out the seminal role of verifying this result in the description of the orbit closure relation. As a consequence of work of Richardson and Springer [RS90], we use this result to determine the closure relation between B_{n-1} -orbits in terms of the monoid action. An essential step in this process is to describe instances when this monoid action may be described efficiently using the fibre bundle description of the orbits of Theorem 1.1 (see Theorems 4.7, 4.11, and 4.12).

In the $GL(n)$ -case, Hashimoto [Has04] describes the B_{n-1} -orbits on \mathcal{B}_n , and discusses part of the monoid action that we consider in this paper. Our work advances on [Has04] in that our approach also describes orbits both in general linear and orthogonal cases in an essentially uniform manner, elucidates the bundle structure, and gives a complete description of the closure relation. While Hashimoto's approach is based on analyzing orbits of a Borel subgroup, our approach is based more on studying the orbits of G_{n-1} on the flag manifold. It would also be interesting to relate our results to the work of Gandini and Pezzini [GP18], who study the general case of orbits of solvable subgroups of G with finitely many orbits on the flag variety of G . In particular, it would be of interest to understand some of the invariants they consider in their more general context in our situation.

In Section 2 of this paper, we introduce notation and recall standard facts about orbits and embeddings of G_{n-1} in G_n for later use. In Section 3, we establish the fibre bundle structure for B_{n-1} -orbits and use it to give an inductive method to describe B_{n-1} -orbits on \mathcal{B}_n . In Section 4, we discuss the monoid action and compute it in cases where the role of the bundle structure is salient. The remaining cases are treated in the sequel to this paper using a more explicit combinatorial description of the orbits.

We would like to thank Friedrich Knop, Jacopo Gandini, and Guido Pezzini for useful discussions which aided in the development of our results. During the preparation of this paper, the first author was supported in part by the National Security Agency grant H98230-16-1-0002 and the second author was supported in part by the Simons Foundation grant 359424.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We discuss some notation and standard results that will be used throughout the paper.

2.1. Notation. By convention, all algebraic groups and Lie algebras discussed in this paper have complex coefficients. If an algebraic group M is defined, we denote by the corresponding Gothic letter \mathfrak{m} its Lie algebra, and if a Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{m} of the Lie algebra of a complex Lie group G is given, we let M denote the connected subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{m} . For an algebraic group M and $g \in M$, we denote by $\text{Ad}(g)$ both the adjoint action of M on its Lie algebra, and the conjugation action of M on itself. As a convention, we let $\mathcal{B}\mathfrak{m}$ denote the flag variety of Borel subalgebras of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{m} , and let $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{g}_n$ for $\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(n)$. For (V, β) a vector space with symmetric bilinear form β and subspace U , we let $U^\perp := \{v \in V : \beta(u, v) = 0 \forall u \in U\}$. Throughout the paper, we let ι denote a fixed choice of $\sqrt{-1}$.

2.2. Realizations. We use the conventions for realizing $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ from Chapters 1 and 2 of [GW98] (see also [CE19]). More explicitly, we let $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ be the symmetry Lie algebra of the non-degenerate, symmetric bilinear form β on \mathbb{C}^n given by $\beta(x, y) = x^T J y$, where J is the automorphism of \mathbb{C}^n such that $J(e_i) = e_{n+1-i}$ for the standard basis e_1, \dots, e_n of \mathbb{C}^n and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Note that $\beta(e_j, e_{n+1-j}) = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. We let $SO(n)$ be the connected algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$. Then the subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_n consisting of diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is a Cartan subalgebra, and the subalgebra \mathfrak{b}_+ consisting of upper triangular matrices in $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is a Borel subalgebra, and we refer to these as the standard Cartan and Borel subalgebras. We refer also to \mathfrak{h}_n and \mathfrak{b}_+ to denote the diagonal and upper triangular matrices in $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(n)$, any parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{b}_+$ we refer to as a standard parabolic subalgebra. We also let $\Phi = \Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_n)$ denote the roots of \mathfrak{g} with respect to the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_n . If $\alpha \in \Phi$, we let \mathfrak{g}_α denote the root space corresponding to the root α .

It will be convenient to relabel the standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of \mathbb{C}^n depending on whether n is even or odd. If $n = 2l$ is even, then we let $e_{-i} := e_{2l+1-i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$. Note that for $j, k \in \{-l, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, l\}$, we have $\beta(e_j, e_k) = \delta_{j, -k}$. If $n = 2l + 1$, then we let $e_0 := e_{l+1}$ and $e_{-i} := e_{2l+2-i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$. For $j, k \in \{-l, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, l\}$, we have $\beta(e_j, e_k) = \delta_{j, -k}$.

We recall the real rank one subalgebras for $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(n)$. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$, let $t = \text{diag}[1, \dots, 1, -1] \in GL(n)$, and let $\theta(x) = txt^{-1}$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then the fixed set $\mathfrak{g}^\theta = \mathfrak{gl}(n-1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1)$, regarded as block matrices, and $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{gl}(n-1) \oplus 0$.

For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l + 1)$, let t be an element of the Cartan subgroup with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_{2l+1} with the property that $\text{Ad}(t)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}} = \text{id}$ for $i = 1, \dots, l - 1$ and $\text{Ad}(t)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_l}} = -\text{id}$. Consider the involution $\theta_{2l+1} := \text{Ad}(t)$. Then $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{g}^{\theta_{2l+1}} \cong \mathfrak{so}(2l)$, realized as block matrices with the $l + 1$ st rows and columns equal to 0 (see [Kna02], p. 700). Note that $\mathfrak{h}_{2l+1} = \mathfrak{h}_{2l} \subset \mathfrak{k}$. For later use, let σ_{2l+1} be the diagonal matrix with respect to the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_l, e_0, e_{-l}, \dots, e_{-1}\}$ such that $\sigma_{2l+1} \cdot e_j = e_j$ for $j \neq 0$ and $\sigma_{2l+1} \cdot e_0 = -e_0$ and note that $\theta = \theta_{2l+1} = \text{Ad}(\sigma_{2l+1})$.

In the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l)$, consider the involutive linear map $\sigma_{2l} : \mathbb{C}^{2l} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2l}$ such that $\sigma_{2l}(e_l) = e_{-l}$ and $\sigma_{2l}(e_i) = e_i$ for $i \neq l$ or $-l$. Define an involution θ of \mathfrak{g} by $\theta = \text{Ad}(\sigma_{2l})$. Then $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{g}^{\theta_{2l}} \cong \mathfrak{so}(2l - 1)$, and θ_{2l} is the involution induced by the diagram automorphism interchanging the simple roots α_{l-1} and α_l (see [Kna02], p. 703). If we realize \mathfrak{h}_{2l} as diagonal matrices of the form $x = \text{diag}[x_1, \dots, x_l, -x_l, \dots, -x_1]$ and define for $i = 1, \dots, l$, $\epsilon_i \in \mathfrak{h}_{2l}^*$ by $\epsilon_i(x) = x_i$, then the induced action of θ_{2l} is via $\theta_{2l}(\epsilon_l) = -\epsilon_l$ and $\theta_{2l}(\epsilon_i) = \epsilon_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, l - 1$. We will omit the subscripts $2l + 1$ and $2l$ from θ when \mathfrak{g} is understood.

We also denote the involution of the corresponding classical group G by θ . For $G = GL(n)$, $G^\theta \cong GL(n - 1) \times GL(1)$ is connected, while for $G = SO(n)$, the group $G^\theta \cong S(O(n - 1) \times O(1))$ is disconnected. We let $K := (G^\theta)^0$ be the identity component of G^θ . Then $K \cong SO(n - 1)$, and K has Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}^\theta$.

Remark 2.1. For $SO(n)$, note that we can realize the subgroups $SO(n - 1)$ and $SO(n - 2)$ as follows. For n either odd or even, note that the -1 eigenspace $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{-\sigma_n}$ is one dimensional and is nondegenerate for the restriction of β . If $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{-\sigma_n} = \mathbb{C}v_n$, then since the perpendicular of an $SO(n - 1)$ -stable subspace is $SO(n - 1)$ -stable, it follows that

$$(2.1) \quad SO(n - 1) = \{g \in SO(n) : g \cdot v_n = v_n\}.$$

In the case $n = 2l$, let $V_2 = \mathbb{C}e_l + \mathbb{C}e_{-l}$. Then

$$(2.2) \quad SO(2l - 2) = \{g \in SO(2l) : g \cdot v = v \ \forall v \in V_2\}.$$

Here $SO(2l - 2)$ is realized as determinant one orthogonal transformations of the orthogonal complement V_2^\perp to V_2 . In the case $n = 2l + 1$, one similarly observes that

$$(2.3) \quad SO(2l - 1) = \{g \in SO(2l + 1) : g \cdot e_0 = e_0, \ g \cdot (e_l - e_{-l}) = e_l - e_{-l}\}$$

For later use, we also note that if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ are the simple roots for $SO(2l + 1)$, then the simple roots for $SO(2l)$ are given by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}, \alpha_{l-1} + 2\alpha_l$. We may take as root vectors for the last two simple roots of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2l)$ the vectors $e_{\alpha_{l-1}} = E_{l-1,l} - E_{-l,-(l-1)}$ and $e_{\alpha_l} = E_{l-1,-l} - E_{l,-(l-1)}$ and then their sum $e_{\alpha_{l-1}} + e_{\alpha_l}$ is the root vector for for $\mathfrak{so}(2l - 1)$ for the simple root α_{l-1} .

2.3. Description of K -orbits on \mathcal{B}_n in the multiplicity free setting. Note that the cases $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}) = (\mathfrak{gl}(n), \mathfrak{gl}(n - 1))$ or $(\mathfrak{so}(n), \mathfrak{so}(n - 1))$ are up to center essentially the only situations where each irreducible representation of \mathfrak{g} has multiplicity free branching law when regarded as a representation of a symmetric subalgebra \mathfrak{k} . By abuse of notation, we use \mathfrak{k} to denote \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} and K to denote G_{n-1} . This property is well-known to be equivalent

to the property that a Borel subgroup B_K of K has finitely many orbits on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, the variety of Borel subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} (see for example, Proposition 3.1.3 of [CE19]).

Notation 2.2. *For a group A acting on a variety X , we let $A \backslash X$ denote the collection of A -orbits on X*

We will need to use an explicit description of $K \backslash \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. We present this mostly without proof, and note that it follows from explicit study of the Richardson-Springer discussion of orbits in [RS90], and is worked out explicitly for $GL(n)$ in Section 4.4 of [CE14] (see especially Example 4.16, and Examples 4.30 to 4.32) and for $SO(n)$ in section 2.7 of [CE].

We first recall the monoidal action. Suppose M is a subgroup of G acting with finitely many orbits on the flag variety $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Borel subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , the Lie algebra of connected reductive group G . For a simple root α for G , consider the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $p : \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{g}}$, where $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{g}}$ consists of the parabolic subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} with Lie algebra G -conjugate to $\mathfrak{b}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, where \mathfrak{b}_0 is a Borel subalgebra for which α is a simple root. We call the parabolic subalgebras in $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{g}}$ simple parabolics of type α . Then if $Q = M \cdot \mathfrak{b}$ is a M -orbit in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $p^{-1}p(Q)$ contains a unique open M -orbit, and we call this orbit $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q$ and note that if $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q \neq Q$, then $\dim(m(s_{\alpha}) * Q) = \dim(Q) + 1$. We let $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha} = p(\mathfrak{b})$ and let P_{α} denote the corresponding parabolic subgroup of G with unipotent radical U_{α} and if T is a maximal torus of the group B with Lie algebra \mathfrak{b} , let L_{α} be the Levi subgroup of P_{α} containing T and let Z_{α} be the center of L_{α} . We denote by M_{α} the image of $M \cap P_{\alpha}$ in the group $S_{\alpha} := P_{\alpha}/Z_{\alpha}U_{\alpha}$ locally isomorphic to $SL(2)$. Then one of the following occurs.

- (1) Complex Case: M_{α} contains a maximal unipotent subgroup of S_{α} and is contained in a Borel subgroup of S_{α} . In this case, $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q$ meets $p^{-1}p(\mathfrak{b})$ in a M_{α} -orbit isomorphic to \mathbb{C} and the complement is a point;
- (2) Noncompact/Real Case: M_{α} is locally isomorphic to a maximal torus of S_{α} . In this case, $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q$ meets $p^{-1}p(\mathfrak{b})$ in a M_{α} -orbit isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^{\times} , and if M_{α} is a torus, the complement is a union of two M_{α} -orbits, and otherwise, the complement is a single M_{α} -orbit;
- (3) Compact Case: $M_{\alpha} = S_{\alpha}$. In this case, $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q = Q$ and $p^{-1}p(\mathfrak{b}) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is a single M_{α} -orbit.

Definition 2.3. (1) *In the complex case above, we say that the simple root α is complex stable for Q if $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q \neq Q$ and complex unstable for Q if $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q = Q$;*
 (2) *In the noncompact/real case above, we say that the simple root α is noncompact for Q if $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q \neq Q$ and real for Q if $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q = Q$;*
 (3) *In the compact case above, we say the simple root α is compact for Q , and note that in this case $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q = Q$.*

The type of a simple root α for Q depends only on Q and not on the choice of \mathfrak{b} . We introduce some notation needed to describe K -orbits on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Remark 2.4. *When M is the fixed point set of an involution G , then our description of roots by type coincides with the usual definition from real groups [RS90].*

Notation 2.5. For each simple root α , choose root vectors $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $f_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, and $h_\alpha = [e_\alpha, f_\alpha]$ so that $e_\alpha, f_\alpha, h_\alpha$ spans a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. Consider the unique Lie algebra homomorphism $\phi_\alpha : \mathfrak{sl}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that:

$$(2.4) \quad \phi_\alpha : \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow e_\alpha, \quad \phi_\alpha : \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow f_\alpha, \quad \phi_\alpha : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow h_\alpha$$

Let $\phi_\alpha : SL(2) \rightarrow G$ be the induced Lie group homomorphism, and let

$$(2.5) \quad u_\alpha = \phi_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

In the remainder of this section, we consider the cases where $K = G_{n-1}$ as above, and consider the monoid action relative to the K -action on \mathcal{B}_n . We now introduce some notation which will be used throughout the paper.

Notation 2.6. Let

$$\mathcal{F} = (V_0 = \{0\} \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_i \subset \cdots \subset V_n = \mathbb{C}^n)$$

be a full flag in \mathbb{C}^n , with $\dim V_i = i$ and $V_i = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$, with each $v_j \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We will denote this flag \mathcal{F} by

$$\mathcal{F} = (v_1 \subset v_2 \subset \cdots \subset v_i \subset v_{i+1} \subset \cdots \subset v_n).$$

We will also make use of the following notation for partial flags in \mathbb{C}^n . Let

$$\mathcal{P} = (V_0 = \{0\} \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_i \subset \cdots \subset V_k = \mathbb{C}^n)$$

denote a k -step partial flag with $\dim V_j = i_j$ and $V_j = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{i_j}\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then we denote \mathcal{P} as

$$\mathcal{P} = (\{v_1, \dots, v_{i_1}\} \subset \{v_{i_1+1}, \dots, v_{i_2}\} \subset \cdots \subset \{v_{i_{k-1}+1}, \dots, v_{i_k}\}).$$

Notation 2.7. Let T be the maximal torus of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} , and let W be the Weyl group with respect to T . For an element $w \in W$, let $\dot{w} \in N_G(T)$ be a representative of w . If $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie subalgebra which is normalized by T , then $\text{Ad}(\dot{w})\mathfrak{m}$ is independent of the choice of representative of w , and we denote it by $w(\mathfrak{m})$. Similarly, we denote by $w(M)$ the corresponding group.

Proposition 2.8. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{gl}(n-1)$.

- (1) The number of K -orbits on \mathcal{B} is $\binom{n+1}{2}$.
- (2) For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let w_i be the cycle $(n, n-1, \dots, i)$ in the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_n , and let $\mathfrak{b}_i := w_i(\mathfrak{b}_+)$. The distinct closed K -orbits on \mathcal{B} are the K -orbits $Q_i = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_i$ so there are exactly n closed K -orbits. Further, the Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b}_i is the stabilizer of the flag:

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{F}_i := (e_1 \subset \cdots \subset e_{i-1} \subset \underbrace{e_n}_i \subset e_i \subset \cdots \subset e_{n-1}).$$

(3) *The non-closed K -orbits are of the form:*

$$(2.7) \quad Q_{i,j} = m(s_{\alpha_{j-1}}) \dots m(s_{\alpha_i}) * Q_i$$

with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. The codimension of $Q_{i,j}$ is $n - 1 - (j - i)$. Further, the Borel subalgebra:

$$(2.8) \quad \mathfrak{b}_{i,j} := \text{Ad}(\hat{v})(\mathfrak{b}_+) \in Q_{i,j}, \quad \hat{v} := \dot{w}_i \cdot u_{\alpha_i} \cdot \dot{s}_{\alpha_{i+1}} \dots \dot{s}_{\alpha_{j-1}},$$

and $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}$ is the stabilizer of the flag:

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{F}_{i,j} := (e_1 \subset \dots \subset e_{i-1} \subset \underbrace{e_i + e_n}_i \subset e_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset e_{j-1} \subset \underbrace{e_n}_j \subset e_j \subset \dots \subset e_{n-1}).$$


In particular, the unique open orbit is $Q_{1,n}$, and it contains the Borel subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{b}_{1,n} = \text{Ad}(w_1)\text{Ad}(u_{\alpha_1})s_{\alpha_2} \dots s_{\alpha_{n-1}}(\mathfrak{b}_+)$$

which stabilizes the flag:

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{F}_{1,n} := (e_1 + e_n \subset e_2 \subset \dots \subset e_{n-1} \subset e_n).$$

The following diagram indicates the K -orbits on \mathcal{B}_4 , together with the order relations given by closure. For general n , the diagram has the same shape, with n closed orbits, $n - 1$ orbits one line below, and so forth, until we have one orbit on the last line.



We now classify the orbits of $K = SO(n - 1)$ on the flag variety for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n)$. When $n = 2l + 1$ is odd, there is a bijection between $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}$ and the set of all maximal isotropic flags in \mathbb{C}^n , i.e., partial flags of the form

$$\mathcal{IF} = (V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_i \subset \dots \subset V_l),$$

with $\dim(V_i) = i$ and $B(u, w) = 0$ for all $u, w \in V_i$. When $n = 2l$ is even, the space of maximal isotropic flags in \mathbb{C}^n consists of two $SO(2n)$ -orbits. To distinguish them, we choose a maximal isotropic flag $U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_l$, where U_i is the span of the standard basis e_1, \dots, e_i from Section 2.2. Then we identify $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}$ with the maximal isotropic flags $V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_l$ such that $\dim(V_l \cap U_l) \equiv l \pmod{2}$. As before, if V_i is the span of vectors $\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$, we write the above maximal isotropic flag as

$$\mathcal{IF} = (v_1 \subset v_2 \subset \dots \subset v_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}).$$

We consider the type B and D cases separately, and use the enumeration of simple roots in [GW98].

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l + 1)$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}(2l)$.*

- (1) *There are exactly $l + 2$ K -orbits on the flag variety \mathcal{B} of \mathfrak{g} .*
- (2) *We let $\mathfrak{b}_- := s_{\alpha_l}(\mathfrak{b}_+)$. Exactly two K -orbits on \mathcal{B} are closed, and they are $Q_+ := K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_+$ and $Q_- := K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_-$. Further, $m(s_{\alpha_l}) * Q_+ = m(s_{\alpha_l}) * Q_- = K \cdot \text{Ad}(u_{\alpha_l})\mathfrak{b}_+$.*
- (3) *The non-closed orbits are of the form*

$$Q_i := m(s_{\alpha_{i+1}}) * m(s_{\alpha_{i+2}}) \cdots m(s_{\alpha_{l-1}}) * m(s_{\alpha_l}) * Q_+$$

for $i = 0, \dots, l - 1$. Moreover, the codimension of Q_i in \mathcal{B} is i . Further,

$$(2.11) \quad \mathfrak{b}_i = \text{Ad}(\hat{v})(\mathfrak{b}_+) \in Q_i, \quad \hat{v} := u_{\alpha_l} \dot{s}_{\alpha_{l-1}} \dot{s}_{\alpha_{l-2}} \cdots \dot{s}_{\alpha_{i+1}}.$$

In particular, the unique open K -orbit contains the Borel subalgebra

$$(2.12) \quad \mathfrak{b}_0 = \text{Ad}(u_{\alpha_l}) s_{\alpha_{l-1}} s_{\alpha_{l-2}} \cdots s_{\alpha_1}(\mathfrak{b}_+).$$

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l)$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}(2l - 1)$.*

- (1) *There are exactly l K -orbits in the flag variety \mathcal{B} of \mathfrak{g} .*
- (2) *The orbit $Q_+ := K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_+$ is the only closed K -orbit.*
- (3) *Let*

$$Q_i := m(s_{\alpha_i}) \cdots m(s_{\alpha_{l-1}}) * Q_+$$

and let

$$(2.13) \quad \mathfrak{b}_i = \text{Ad}(\hat{v})(\mathfrak{b}_+), \quad \hat{v} := \dot{s}_{\alpha_{l-1}} \dot{s}_{\alpha_{l-2}} \cdots \dot{s}_{\alpha_i}(\mathfrak{b}_+) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, l - 1.$$

Then $Q_i = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_i$ has codimension $i - 1$ in \mathcal{B} . The distinct K -orbits are Q_+, Q_{l-1}, \dots, Q_1 . In particular, the unique open orbit is Q_1 and contains the Borel subalgebra

$$(2.14) \quad \mathfrak{b}_1 = s_{\alpha_{l-1}} s_{\alpha_{l-2}} \cdots s_{\alpha_1}(\mathfrak{b}_+).$$

Remark 2.11. *In case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(2l + 1)$, the Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b}_+ corresponds to the maximal isotropic flag $(e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \cdots \subset e_l)$. By Proposition 2.10, the Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b}_1 in the open K -orbit in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{so}(2l)}$ corresponds to the maximal isotropic flag $(e_l \subset e_1 \subset \cdots \subset e_{l-1})$. Similarly, by Proposition 2.9, it follows that the Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b}_0 in the open K -orbit in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{so}(2l+1)}$ corresponds to the maximal isotropic flag $(u_{\alpha_l}(e_l) \subset e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \cdots \subset e_{l-1})$. We may choose our root vectors so that $\mathbb{C} \cdot u_{\alpha_l}(e_l) = \mathbb{C} \cdot (e_l + i\sqrt{2}e_0 + e_{-l})$. We consider the α_l root vector $e = E_{l,0} - E_{0,-l}$ and take f to be the transpose of e , and let $x = [e, f]$, and note that $\{e, x, f\}$ generates the three dimensional subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_{\alpha_l} := \phi_{\alpha_l}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$. Let V_3 be the span of e_l, e_0 , and e_{-l} , and note that V_3 is a representation of \mathfrak{s}_{α_l} and is isomorphic to the adjoint representation via an isomorphism mapping $e_{-l} \rightarrow f$, $e_0 \rightarrow -x$, and $e_l \rightarrow e$. A brief computation using the composed isomorphism $\mathfrak{sl}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(3) \rightarrow V_3$ above then gives the above formula for $u_{\alpha_l}(e_l)$.*

3. STRUCTURE OF B_{n-1} -ORBITS

Recall that $G = GL(n)$ or $SO(n)$ and $K = G_{n-1}$ from Section 2.2. We show that B_{n-1} -orbits on the flag variety \mathcal{B} of G have a useful bundle structure and describe the data required to classify orbits.

3.1. Fibre Bundle Structure. Let $Q_K = K \cdot \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a K -orbit. Let R be a parabolic subgroup of G containing B and consider the projection $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} := G/R$. Then the morphism

$$(3.1) \quad \phi : K \times_{K \cap R} R/B \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\pi(Q_K), \quad \phi(k, \mathfrak{b}_1) = \text{Ad}(k) \cdot \mathfrak{b}_1,$$

is an isomorphism, and the preimage $\phi^{-1}(Q_K)$ is $K \times_{K \cap R} (K \cap R) \cdot \mathfrak{b}$. This realizes both $\pi^{-1}\pi(Q_K)$ and Q_K as bundles over $K/K \cap R$. Let $R = L \cdot U$ be a Levi decomposition of the parabolic R . Recall that the morphism $R \cdot \mathfrak{b} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ given by $\mathfrak{b}_1 \mapsto \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{l}$ is an isomorphism, where $\mathfrak{b}_1 \in R \cdot \mathfrak{b} \cong \mathcal{B}_R$.

We now assume that R is θ -stable. Then by Theorem 2 of [BH00], there is a θ -stable Levi subgroup L of R , $K \cap R$ is a parabolic subgroup of K , and $K \cap R = (K \cap L) \cdot (K \cap U)$ is a Levi decomposition of $K \cap R$. The group $K \cap R$ then acts on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ through its quotient $K \cap L$, and it follows that we can identify Q_K with $K \times_{K \cap R} (K \cap L) \cdot (\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{l})$. Since $K \cap L$ is a symmetric subgroup of L , $K \cap L$ acts with finitely many orbits on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$.

We now prove our basic result about K -orbits on \mathcal{B} for the multiplicity free pairs (G, K) .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $Q_K = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}$ be a K -orbit on \mathcal{B} . Then there exists a θ -stable parabolic subgroup $R \supset B$ with θ -stable Levi decomposition $R = L \cdot U$ such that the pair $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k})$ is of the same type as $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ up to abelian factors in the center of \mathfrak{l} and the $K \cap L$ -orbit $(K \cap L) \cdot (\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{l})$ is open in the flag variety $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$. Thus,*

$$(3.2) \quad Q_K \cong K \times_{K \cap R} (K \cap L) \cdot (\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{l}).$$

is a K -homogenous fibre bundle over the partial flag variety $K/K \cap R$ with fibre the open $K \cap L$ -orbit on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$.

Proof. If Q_K is closed, then B is θ -stable by Corollary 6.6 of [Spr85], and then \mathfrak{l} is abelian so that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}} = \{\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{l}\}$ is a point, and the assertion follows.

When Q_K is not closed, we consider the different types A , B , and D separately. In type A , by Proposition 2.8, the orbit $Q_K = Q_{i,j} = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{i,j}$ for some i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}$ is the Borel subalgebra in Equation (2.8). Let $P_{i,j}$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of $GL(n)$ which is the stabilizer of the flag

$$\mathcal{P}_{i,j} := (e_1 \subset \cdots \subset e_{i-1} \subset \{e_i, \dots, e_j\} \subset e_{j+1} \subset \cdots \subset e_n)$$

and corresponds to the choice of simple roots $\{\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}\}$. Recall the cycle $w_i = (n, n-1, \dots, i)$ from Proposition 2.8(2) and let $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}_{i,j} := w_i(\mathfrak{p}_{i,j})$. Then $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j}$ is the Lie algebra stabilizer of the partial flag $w_i(\mathcal{P}_{i,j})$ which is

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{R}_{i,j} := (e_1 \subset \cdots \subset e_{i-1} \subset \{e_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_{j-1}, e_n\} \subset e_j \subset e_{j+1} \subset \cdots \subset e_{n-1}).$$

By Proposition 2.8(3), $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}$ is the stabilizer of the full flag in Equation (2.9). It follows that $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}$ also stabilizes the partial flag $\mathcal{R}_{i,j}$ in (3.3), so that $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}$ is in the parabolic $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j}$. Since the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_n is contained in $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j}$ is θ -stable. Further, $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j}$ has Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$, where $\mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{gl}(1)^{i-1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(j-i+1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1)^{n-j}$. It follows from (3.3) that $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ is a standard parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{k} with Levi factor $\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k} \cong \mathfrak{gl}(1)^{i-1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(j-i) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1)^{n-j}$.

To prove the Theorem when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$, it remains to show that $(K \cap L) \cdot (\mathfrak{b}_{i,j} \cap \mathfrak{l})$ is open in the flag variety of \mathfrak{l} . In the Levi decomposition of $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j}$ above, $\mathfrak{gl}(j-i+1)$ is identified with endomorphisms of the span of $\{e_i, \dots, e_{j-1}, e_n\}$. Observe that $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j} \cap \mathfrak{gl}(j-i+1)$ is the stabilizer of the flag

$$(e_i + e_n \subset e_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset e_{j-1} \subset e_n)$$

in $\mathfrak{gl}(j-i+1)$. By part (3) of Proposition 2.8, the point $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j} \cap \mathfrak{gl}(j-i+1)$ is in the open $K \cap GL(j-i+1)$ -orbit on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{gl}(j-i+1)}$. Hence, $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j} \cap \mathfrak{l}$ is in the open $K \cap L$ -orbit on the flag variety $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ of \mathfrak{l} .

For the type B case, the relevant symmetric pair is $(\mathfrak{so}(2l+1), \mathfrak{so}(2l))$. Recall from Proposition 2.9 that since Q_K is not closed, $Q_K = Q_i$ with $i < l$ where $Q_i = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_i = \text{Ad}(u_{\alpha_i})s_{\alpha_{i-1}} \dots s_{\alpha_{i+1}}(\mathfrak{b}_+)$. Let $\mathfrak{r}_i \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the standard parabolic subalgebra generated by \mathfrak{b}_+ and the negative simple root spaces $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_{i-1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_{i+1}}$. Thus,

$$(3.4) \quad \mathfrak{r}_i = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \text{ with } \mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{so}(2(l-i)+1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1)^i.$$

Note that \mathfrak{r}_i is θ -stable and that $\theta|_{[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]} = \theta_{2(l-i)+1}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{r}_i \cap \mathfrak{k}$ is a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{k} with Levi factor:

$$(3.5) \quad \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k} \cong \mathfrak{so}(2(l-i)) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1)^i,$$

so that $K \cap L \cong SO(2(l-1)) \times GL(1)^i$. To see that $\mathfrak{b}_i \subset \mathfrak{r}_i$, note that we can choose the representative \dot{s}_{α_j} of s_{α_j} so that $\dot{s}_{\alpha_j} \in L$ for $j = i+1, \dots, l$, and $u_{\alpha_i} \in L$ by Equation (2.5). Thus, the element

$$(3.6) \quad v := u_{\alpha_i} \dot{s}_{\alpha_{i-1}} \dots \dot{s}_{\alpha_{i+1}} \in L \subset R.$$

Hence, $\mathfrak{b}_i = \text{Ad}(v)\mathfrak{b}_+ \subset \text{Ad}(v)\mathfrak{r}_i = \mathfrak{r}_i$.

It remains to show that $(K \cap L) \cdot (\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{l})$ can be identified with the open $K \cap L$ -orbit in the flag variety $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$. Note that $\mathfrak{b}_+ \cap \mathfrak{l}$ can be identified with the standard Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{+, \mathfrak{l}}$ of upper triangular matrices in \mathfrak{l} . Since the element v in Equation (3.6) is in L , we have:

$$\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{l} = (\text{Ad}(v)\mathfrak{b}_+) \cap \mathfrak{l} = \text{Ad}(v)(\mathfrak{b}_+ \cap \mathfrak{l}) = \text{Ad}(v)\mathfrak{b}_{+, \mathfrak{l}}.$$

It follows from Equations (2.12) and (3.6) that $\text{Ad}(v)\mathfrak{b}_{+, \mathfrak{l}} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a representative of the open $K \cap L$ -orbit on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$.

The type D case is similar to type B. In this setting, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l)$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}(2l-1)$, and since Q_K is a K -orbit that is not closed, then by Proposition 2.10, $Q_K = Q_i$ has codimension $i-1 < l-1$. By part (3) of Proposition 2.10, we can take $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_i =$

$s_{\alpha_{l-1}}s_{\alpha_{l-2}}\dots s_{\alpha_i}(\mathfrak{b}_+)$. Let $\mathfrak{r}_i \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the standard parabolic subalgebra generated by \mathfrak{b}_+ and the negative simple root spaces $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_l}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_{l-1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}$. Then \mathfrak{r}_i has the Levi decomposition:

$$(3.7) \quad \mathfrak{r}_i = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \text{ with } \mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{so}(2(l-i)+2) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1)^{i-1}.$$

We claim that \mathfrak{r}_i is θ -stable. Indeed, we saw in Section 2.2 that $\theta(\epsilon_l) = -\epsilon_l$ and $\theta(\epsilon_k) = \epsilon_k$ for $k \neq l$. It follows that $\theta(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, l-2$ and that α_{l-1} and α_l are complex θ -stable with $\theta(\alpha_{l-1}) = \alpha_l$. It then follows easily that \mathfrak{r}_i has Levi decomposition (3.7) and that $\theta|_{\mathfrak{l}_{ss}} = \theta_{2(l-i)+2}$, whence $\mathfrak{l}^\theta = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{so}(2(l-i)+1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1)^{i-1}$, and $(L^\theta)^0 = K \cap L \cong SO(2(l-i)+1) \times GL(1)^{i-1}$. The remainder of the proof proceeds as in the previous case.

Q.E.D.

Remark 3.2. *In Proposition 12 of the paper [BH00], Brion and Helminck prove a closely related result which in the multiplicity free case, describes K -orbits on \mathcal{B} as bundles over a closed K -orbit with fibre an open orbit in a smaller flag variety. In the orthogonal cases, our result coincides with the result of [BH00], but in the general linear case, our bundle is in many cases different from theirs.*

Remark 3.3. *We call a parabolic of \mathfrak{g} a special parabolic subalgebra if it is one of the representatives of the closed K -orbits given in Part (2) of Propositions 2.8, 2.9, and 2.10 or is one of the parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j} \supset \mathfrak{b}_{i,j}$ and $\mathfrak{r}_i \supset \mathfrak{b}_i$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.1. We also call the corresponding parabolic subgroups the special parabolic subgroups of G . Note that for \mathfrak{r} a special parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , the standard Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b}_{n-1} of \mathfrak{k} is in \mathfrak{r} . Hence, $\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ is a standard parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{k} .*

Remark 3.4. *Theorem 3.1 fails for other real rank one symmetric pairs. For example, if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(6)$, and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{sp}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(2)$, then there exists a K -orbit $Q_K = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}$ where the minimal θ -stable parabolic subalgebra containing \mathfrak{b} is all of \mathfrak{g} . However, Q_K is not open.*

For θ -stable parabolics R , $K \cap R$ is a parabolic of K and we let $W_K^{K \cap R} \subset W_K$ be the representatives of shortest length for the cosets in $W_K/W_{K \cap R}$. Now we use the fibre bundle structure of a K -orbit Q_K given in (3.2) to describe the B_{n-1} -orbits Q contained in Q_K as fibre bundles.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $Q_K = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}$ be a K -orbit in \mathcal{B} . Let $\mathfrak{r} \supset \mathfrak{b}$ be a special parabolic subalgebra, and let $R \subset G$ be the corresponding parabolic subgroup with θ -stable Levi decomposition $R = LU$. Suppose Q is a B_{n-1} -orbit contained in Q_K . Then Q fibres over a B_{n-1} -orbit in the partial flag variety $K/K \cap R$ of R with fibre isomorphic to a $B_{n-1} \cap L$ -orbit contained in the open $K \cap L$ -orbit of the flag variety $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ of \mathfrak{l} . More precisely,*

$$(3.8) \quad Q \cong B_{n-1} \times_{B_{n-1} \cap w(R)} (B_{n-1} \cap w(L)) \cdot \text{Ad}(w)\mathfrak{b},$$

where $w \in W_K^{K \cap R}$,

$$(3.9) \quad B_{n-1} \cap w(L) = w(B_{n-1} \cap L)$$

is a Borel subgroup of $K \cap w(L)$, and $\text{Ad}(\dot{w})\mathfrak{b}$ is contained in the open $K \cap w(L)$ -orbit in the flag variety $\mathcal{B}_{w(R)} \cong \mathcal{B}_{w(L)}$ of R .

Further, we have a one-to-one correspondence:

$$(3.10) \quad B_{n-1} \backslash \mathcal{B} \leftrightarrow \coprod_{R \text{ special}} \left(B_{n-1} \backslash K/K \cap R \times B_{n-1} \cap L \backslash \tilde{Q}_{K \cap L} \right),$$

where $\tilde{Q}_{K \cap L}$ is the open $K \cap L$ -orbit on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{l}}$ (see Notation 2.2).

Proof. Consider the fibre bundle $Q_K = K \times_{K \cap R} (K \cap L) \cdot \mathfrak{b}$ in Equation (3.2). Let $\pi|_{Q_K} : Q_K \rightarrow K/K \cap R = Q_{K, \mathfrak{r}}$ be the canonical projection. Let $Q = B_{n-1} \cdot \mathfrak{b}'$ be a B_{n-1} -orbit in Q_K . Its image under π is a B_{n-1} -orbit in the partial flag variety $K/K \cap R$. Since $W_K^{K \cap R}$ indexes B_{n-1} -orbits in $K/K \cap R$, then $\pi(Q) = B_{n-1} \cdot w(\mathfrak{r})$ for some $w \in W_K^{K \cap R}$, and we may assume that $\mathfrak{b}' = w(\mathfrak{b})$ by replacing \mathfrak{b} by a $K \cap L$ -conjugate. Then the fibre bundle structure from Equation (3.1) identifies Q as

$$(3.11) \quad Q \cong B_{n-1} \times_{B_{n-1} \cap w(R)} (B_{n-1} \cap w(R)) \cdot \text{Ad}(\dot{w})(\mathfrak{b}).$$

Now the group $B_{n-1} \cap w(R)$ acts on $w(R)/w(B) \cong \mathcal{B}_{w(\mathfrak{l})}$ through its image in the quotient $w(R)/w(U)$. Denote this image by $\hat{B}_{w(L)}$. We claim that

$$(3.12) \quad \hat{B}_{w(L)} = B_{n-1} \cap w(L) = w(L \cap B_{n-1}),$$

so that $\hat{B}_{w(L)}$ is a Borel subgroup in $K \cap w(L)$. To verify the claim, first consider $w(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathfrak{b}_{n-1}$. By Remark 3.3, the special parabolic \mathfrak{r} contains \mathfrak{b}_{n-1} , so \mathfrak{b}_{n-1} is a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{k}$. Let $\Phi_{\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k}}^+$ be the roots appearing in the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{n-1} \cap \mathfrak{l}$. Since $w \in W_K^{K \cap R}$, standard results imply that $w(\Phi_{\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k}}^+) \subset \Phi_{\mathfrak{b}_{n-1}}^+$. Thus $w(\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{b}_{n-1}) \subset w(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathfrak{b}_{n-1}$. It follows that $w(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathfrak{b}_{n-1} = w(\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{b}_{n-1})$, so that $w(\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{b}_{n-1})$ is a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ with corresponding Borel subgroup $w(L \cap B_{n-1}) = w(L) \cap B_{n-1}$, yielding the second equality in Equation (3.12). To get the first equality of Equation (3.12), we consider the Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}_{w(L)} = \text{Lie}(\hat{B}_{w(L)})$. Since $\mathfrak{h}_n \cap \mathfrak{k} \subset w(\mathfrak{r}) \cap \mathfrak{k}$, we have the decomposition

$$w(\mathfrak{r}) \cap \mathfrak{b}_{n-1} = w(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathfrak{b}_{n-1} \oplus w(\mathfrak{u}) \cap \mathfrak{b}_{n-1}.$$

Thus, $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}_{w(L)} = w(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathfrak{b}_{n-1}$ and Equation (3.12) follows. Equation (3.8) now follows from (3.11). Note that the orbit $(B_{n-1} \cap w(L)) \cdot \text{Ad}(\dot{w})\mathfrak{b}$ in the fibre of the bundle in (3.8) is isomorphic via translation by \dot{w}^{-1} to an orbit of the standard Borel subgroup $B_{n-1} \cap L$ of $L \cap K$ contained in the open $K \cap L$ -orbit of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$.

The one-to-one correspondence in Equation (3.10) follows easily.

Q.E.D.

Notation 3.6. We use the notation of Theorem 3.5. Given a B_{n-1} -orbit $Q_{\mathfrak{r}}$ in $K/K \cap R$ and a $B_{n-1} \cap L$ -orbit $Q_{\mathfrak{l}}$ in the open $K \cap L$ -orbit on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$, we let $\mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}})$ be the corresponding B_{n-1} -orbit on \mathcal{B} from this theorem. Theorem 3.5 implies that for any K -orbit Q_K the B_{n-1} -orbits contained in Q_K are of the form $\mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}})$ as above.

Remark 3.7. Let $\mathfrak{b}_{\hat{v}}$ be one of the representatives for $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}, \mathfrak{b}_i, \mathfrak{b}_+,$ or \mathfrak{b}_- for K -orbits on \mathcal{B} discussed in Propositions 2.8, 2.9, and 2.10. Let Q be a B_{n-1} -orbit in one of the above K -orbits Q_K and let $\mathfrak{b}_{\hat{v}} = \text{Ad}(\hat{v})\mathfrak{b}_+$. Then

$$(3.13) \quad Q = B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(\hat{w})\text{Ad}(\ell)\mathfrak{b}_{\hat{v}} = B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(\hat{w}\ell\hat{v})\mathfrak{b}_+$$

for a representative \hat{w} of unique $w \in W_K^{K \cap R}$ and for $\ell \in K \cap L$ such that $\text{Ad}(\ell)(\mathfrak{b}_{\hat{v}} \cap \mathfrak{l})$ is a representative of the $B_{n-1} \cap L$ -orbit $Q_{\mathfrak{l}}$ contained in the open $K \cap L$ -orbit on the flag variety $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ of \mathfrak{l} . In the notation given above in 3.6, the orbit $Q_{\mathfrak{r}} = B_{n-1} \cdot w(\mathfrak{r})$, and $Q_{\mathfrak{l}} = (B_{n-1} \cap L) \cdot \text{Ad}(\ell)(\mathfrak{b}_{\hat{v}} \cap \mathfrak{l})$.

By Equation (3.10), the problem of describing the B_{n-1} -orbits on G/B reduces to the description of B_{n-1} -orbits on partial flag varieties $K/(K \cap R)$ via $W_{R \cap K}^K$ and the problem of determining B_{n-1} -orbits in the open K -orbit in \mathcal{B} . We now address this second issue.

3.2. B_{n-1} -orbits in the open K -orbit. Recall the pair (G, K) with $G = G_n$ and $K = G_{n-1}$ from Section 2.2.

Theorem 3.8. Let \tilde{Q}_K be the open K -orbit on \mathcal{B}_n . There are bijections

$$(3.14) \quad \{B_{n-1} - \text{orbits on } \tilde{Q}_K\} \longleftrightarrow B_{n-1} \backslash K / B_{n-2} \longleftrightarrow \{B_{n-2} - \text{orbits on } \mathcal{B}_{n-1}\},$$

where the last equivalence is induced by the self-map $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ of K .

Proof. To prove the first equivalence, it suffices to find a point \mathfrak{b}_y in \tilde{Q}_K with stabilizer B_{n-2} in K . The second equivalence is then clear. We prove the first equivalence by considering the three cases separately.

When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$, by Proposition 2.8, the open K -orbit $Q_{1,n}$ on \mathcal{B}_n is the orbit through the flag $(e_1 + e_n \subset e_2 \subset \cdots \subset e_{n-1} \subset e_n)$. Thus, $Q_{1,n} = GL(n-1) \cdot \mathcal{F}_y$, where $\mathcal{F}_y := (e_{n-1} + e_n \subset e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \cdots \subset e_{n-2} \subset e_n)$. Suppose that $g \in GL(n-1)$ fixes \mathcal{F}_y . For $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, let U_j be the span of e_1, \dots, e_j and note that $GL(n-1) := \{g \in GL(n) : g \cdot e_n = e_n, \text{ and } g \cdot U_{n-1} = U_{n-1}\}$. Since g fixes the line $\mathbb{C}(e_{n-1} + e_n)$ in the flag and $g \cdot e_n = e_n$, we see that $g \cdot e_{n-1} = e_{n-1}$. Since $g \in GL(n-1)$, then $g \cdot e_j \in U_{n-1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-2$. But also $g \cdot e_j \in \mathbb{C}(e_{n-1} + e_n) + U_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-2$. Thus, for $j = 1, \dots, n-2$, the vector $g \cdot e_j \in U_{n-1} \cap (\mathbb{C}(e_{n-1} + e_n) + U_j) = U_j$. Hence, g stabilizes U_{n-2} , so $g \in GL(n-2)$, and also g stabilizes the standard flag $(e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \cdots \subset e_{n-2})$ in U_{n-2} . Thus, $g \in B_{n-2}$. Since B_{n-2} fixes \mathcal{F}_y , this proves the Theorem in case of $GL(n)$.

Next, consider the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l)$ and recall the basis $e_1, \dots, e_l, e_{-l}, \dots, e_{-1}$. By Remark 2.11, the open $SO(2l-1)$ -orbit on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{so}(2l)}$ is identified with the $SO(2l-1)$ -orbit through the maximal isotropic flag $\mathcal{IF}_y := (e_l \subset e_1 \subset \cdots \subset e_{l-1})$. Let $g \in SO(2l-1)_y$, the stabilizer of \mathcal{IF}_y . Since $g \in SO(2l-1)$, then g commutes with the element σ_{2l} on \mathbb{C}^{2l} (see Section 2.2). Since g stabilizes the flag \mathcal{IF}_y , we see that $g \cdot e_l = ae_l$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Since g commutes with σ_{2l} , it follows that $g \cdot e_{-l} = ae_{-l}$, and since $\beta(e_l, e_{-l}) = 1$, the scalar $a = \pm 1$. But $g \in SO(2l-1)$, so by Equation (2.1), g fixes the vector $e_l - e_{-l}$. Thus, $a = 1$ and by Equation (2.2), $g \in SO(2l-2)$, so that $SO(2l-1)_y = SO(2l-2)_y$.

But B_{2l-2} is clearly in $SO(2l-2)_y$ so since $SO(2l-2)_y$ is solvable and B_{2l-2} is a Borel subgroup of $SO(2l-2)$, it follows that $B_{2l-2} = SO(2l-2)_y = SO(2l-1)_y$, proving the required assertion.

Now let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l+1)$ and recall the basis $e_1, \dots, e_l, e_0, e_{-l}, \dots, e_{-1}$ from Section 2.2. By Remark 2.11, the open orbit is the $SO(2l)$ -orbit through the point in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{so}(2l+1)}$ corresponding to the maximal isotropic flag $(e_l + \sqrt{2}ie_0 + e_{-l} \subset e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \dots \subset e_{l-1})$. We can choose an element s of $SO(2l)$ in the diagonal torus that $s \cdot (e_l + \sqrt{2}ie_0 + e_{-l}) = ie_l + \sqrt{2}ie_0 - ie_{-l}$, so that the open orbit is the $SO(2l)$ -orbit through the point y in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{so}(2l+1)}$ corresponding to the maximal isotropic flag $\mathcal{IF}_y = (ie_l + \sqrt{2}ie_0 - ie_{-l} \subset e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \dots \subset e_{l-1})$. Note that by a small calculation using the root vectors in \mathfrak{b}_{2l-1} , we see that B_{2l-1} is contained in the stabilizer $SO(2l)_y$. Now let $g \in SO(2l)_y$. Since $g \in SO(2l)$, then $g \cdot e_0 = e_0$. Since g stabilizes the line in the flag, we see that $g \cdot (e_l - e_{-l}) = e_l - e_{-l}$, so that $g \in SO(2l-1)$ by Equation (2.3). As in the preceding paragraph, it follows that $B_{2l-1} = SO(2l-1)_y$.

Q.E.D.

Remark 3.9. *For later use, we make explicit the correspondence between B_{n-1} -orbits on the open K -orbit \tilde{Q}_K in \mathcal{B}_n and B_{n-2} -orbits on \mathcal{B}_{n-1} given in Equation (3.14). Let $Q = B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(k)\tilde{\mathfrak{b}} \subset \tilde{Q}_K = K \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ is the stabilizer of the (isotropic) flag \mathcal{F}_y (\mathcal{IF}_y) given in the proof of Theorem 3.8. Denote the corresponding B_{n-2} -orbit in \mathcal{B}_{n-1} by Q^{op} . Note that $Q^{op} = B_{n-2} \cdot \text{Ad}(k^{-1}) \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{n-1}$. We can realize this correspondence geometrically as follows. Let $q : K \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_K \cong K/B_{n-2}$ and $\pi : K \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ be the natural projections, and let $\psi : K \rightarrow K$ be the inversion map, i.e., $\psi(k) = k^{-1}$. Then*

$$(3.15) \quad Q^{op} = \pi(\psi(q^{-1}(Q))).$$

More generally, if $\mathcal{Y} \subset \tilde{Q}_K$ is any B_{n-1} -stable subvariety, it corresponds to a B_{n-2} -stable subvariety of \mathcal{B}_{n-1} :

$$(3.16) \quad \mathcal{Y}^{op} = \pi(\psi(q^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}))).$$

Using (3.16), we note that

$$(3.17) \quad \dim \mathcal{Y}^{op} = \dim \mathcal{Y} + \dim \mathfrak{b}_{n-2} - \dim \mathfrak{b}_{n-1}.$$

Note the easily verified numerical identity

$$(3.18) \quad \dim \mathfrak{b}_{n-1} - \dim \mathfrak{b}_{n-2} = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{k}).$$

Equation (3.17) then becomes

$$(3.19) \quad \dim \mathcal{Y}^{op} = \dim \mathcal{Y} - \text{rank}(\mathfrak{k}).$$

4. THE MONOID ACTION FOR B_{n-1} -ORBITS ON \mathcal{B}_n

In this section, we use Theorems 3.5 and Theorem 3.8 to describe several monoid actions for the B_{n-1} -orbits on $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}$. One of the major points is to compute the monoid action

on an B_{n-1} -orbit $\mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{k}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}})$ in \mathcal{B}_n in terms of other monoidal actions. In later work, we apply this action to the study the order relation on B_{n-1} -orbits on \mathcal{B}_n .

4.1. Left and Right Monoid Actions. Recall Notation 2.2 and before let $K = G_{n-1}$. Let $K_{\Delta} \subset G \times K$ be the diagonal copy of K in the product $G \times K$. Note that there is a canonical bijection

$$\chi : B_{n-1} \backslash \mathcal{B} \rightarrow K_{\Delta} \backslash \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}_K,$$

given by $\chi(Q) = K_{\Delta} \cdot (Q, eB_{n-1})$ and we let Q_{Δ} denote $\chi(Q)$. Further, $Q_{\Delta} \cong K \times_{B_{n-1}} Q$, and it follows that the map $Q \mapsto Q_{\Delta}$ preserves topological properties like closure relations and open sets, and $\dim(Q_{\Delta}) = \dim(Q) + \dim(\mathcal{B}_{n-1})$.

In particular, K_{Δ} has finitely many orbits on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$, and hence the set $K_{\Delta} \backslash \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ has 2 different monoid actions, coming from the monoid actions for the two different kinds of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{k}$ via the generalities from Section 2.3. We decompose the simple roots $\Pi_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{k}} = \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}} \cup \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Notation 4.1. For a simple root $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$, we call the monoid action $Q_{\Delta} \rightarrow m(s_{\alpha}) * Q_{\Delta}$ a left monoid action. If $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$, we call the monoid action $Q_{\Delta} \rightarrow m(s_{\alpha}) * Q_{\Delta}$ a right monoid action. We use the equivalence between $B_{n-1} \backslash \mathcal{B}$ and $K_{\Delta} \backslash \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ to similarly define left and right monoid actions $m(s_{\alpha})$ on $B_{n-1} \backslash \mathcal{B}$.

In more detail, for $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$, the left action uses the projection $p_{\alpha} : G/B_n \times K/B_{n-1} \rightarrow G/B_n \times K/P_{\alpha}$ where P_{α} is the parabolic of K with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b}_{n-1} + \mathfrak{k}_{-\alpha}$. Thus, if $Q_{\Delta} = K_{\Delta} \cdot (Q, eB_{n-1})$, then

$$p_{\alpha}^{-1} p_{\alpha}(Q_{\Delta}) = K_{\Delta} \cdot (Q, P_{\alpha}/B_{n-1}) = K_{\Delta} \cdot (P_{\alpha} \cdot Q, eB_{n-1}).$$

It follows that for $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$, and $Q = B_{n-1}xB_n/B_n$.

$$(4.1) \quad m(s_{\alpha}) * Q \text{ is the unique open } B_{n-1} \text{ - orbit in } P_{\alpha} \cdot Q = P_{\alpha}xB_n/B_n.$$

Similar analysis shows that if $Q = B_{n-1}xB_n/B_n$ and $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$, then

$$(4.2) \quad m(s_{\alpha}) * Q \text{ is the unique open } B_{n-1} \text{ - orbit in } B_{n-1}xP_{\alpha}/B_n,$$

where P_{α} here is the parabolic of G whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{b}_n + \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$.

For a useful generalization of the right monoid action, we recall the notion of strongly orthogonal roots.

Definition 4.2. Two nonproportional roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ are said to be strongly orthogonal if $\alpha \pm \beta$ is not a root.

Recall that by elementary arguments, strongly orthogonal roots are necessarily orthogonal and the corresponding $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ subalgebras, \mathfrak{s}_{α} and \mathfrak{s}_{β} , commute. We also make use of the following notation.

Notation 4.3. Let $S \subset \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a subset of the simple roots of \mathfrak{g} , and let $\mathfrak{m}_S \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the standard Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} given by the subset S . We denote the corresponding standard parabolic subalgebra by $\mathfrak{p}_S \supset \mathfrak{b}_+$ and its Levi decomposition by $\mathfrak{p}_S = \mathfrak{m}_S \oplus \mathfrak{u}_S$, and if S is understood, we let $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_S$ and $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}_S$. Let P_S, M_S, U_S be the corresponding connected subgroups of G .

Remark 4.4. Suppose $S \subset \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a subset of simple roots, let $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a simple root which is strongly orthogonal to the roots in S , and let $S' = S \cup \{\alpha\}$. The projection $G/P_S \mapsto G/P_{S'}$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. Hence, if H is a subgroup of G acting on G/P_S with finitely many orbits, there is a right monoid action $m(s_\alpha)$ on $H \backslash G/P_S$.

Remark 4.5. There are additional monoid actions we will use. Let $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$, and consider the finite set $B_{n-1} \backslash K/P$ where P is a standard parabolic subgroup of K . As above, there is a bijection

$$\chi : B_{n-1} \backslash K/P \rightarrow K_\Delta \backslash K/B_{n-1} \times K/P$$

given by $\chi(Q) = K_\Delta \cdot (eB_{n-1}, Q) =: Q_\Delta^{n-1}$. This bijection satisfies similar properties as the above bijection also denoted χ .

(1) It follows that if $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a simple root of $\Pi_{\mathfrak{k} \times \mathfrak{k}}$ with root space in the first factor, then there is another left monoid action $m(s_\alpha)$ on $K \backslash K/B_{n-1} \times K/P$ and hence by the equivalence of orbits induced by this version of χ , there is a left monoid action $m(s_\alpha)$ on $B_{n-1} \backslash K/P$. Similar analysis to the previous left monoid action verifies the following assertion. For $Q \in B_{n-1} \backslash K/P$,

$$(4.3) \quad m(s_\alpha) * Q \text{ is the unique open orbit in } P_\alpha \cdot Q.$$

(2) There is also a right monoid action in the strongly orthogonal setting. Again, we let $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$. The standard parabolic $P \subset K$ is $P = P_S$ for $S \subset \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$. Suppose α is strongly orthogonal to the roots of S . Then if the root space of $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k} \times \mathfrak{k}}$ is in the second factor, it follows from Remark 4.4 that there is a right monoid action $m(s_\alpha)$ on $K_\Delta \backslash K/B_{n-1} \times K/P$ and hence on $B_{n-1} \backslash K/P$ via the bijection χ . It can be shown that if $Q = B_{n-1} \cdot xP_S/P_S$, then

$$(4.4) \quad m(s_\alpha) * Q \text{ is the unique open orbit in } B_{n-1}xP_{S'}/P_S,$$

where $P_{S'}$ is the standard parabolic subgroup of K corresponding to the subset of simple roots $S' = S \cup \{\alpha\}$.

Remark 4.6. We will abuse notation by using the same symbol $m(s_\alpha)$ to denote both the left monoid action by a root $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$ on $B_{n-1} \backslash \mathcal{B}$ and for the left monoid action on $B_{n-1} \backslash K/P$. This may require some parsing on the part of the reader. For example, if we consider a B_{n-1} -orbit $\mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}})$ on \mathcal{B} , then we will prove that in certain circumstances, $m(s_\alpha) * \mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}}) = \mathcal{O}(m(s_\alpha) * Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}})$. In this equality, on the left hand side of the equality, the monoid action is the left monoid action on $B_{n-1} \backslash \mathcal{B}$ and on the right hand side of the equality, the monoid action is the left monoid action on $B_{n-1} \backslash K/P$.

4.2. Computation of Monoid Actions. It follows from definitions that if $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $Q \subset Q_K$, then $m(s_\alpha) * Q \subset Q_K$. We now examine the effect of the left monoid action on the fibre bundle structure of $Q = \mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}}) \subset Q_K$ after first recalling some notation from Remark 3.7. Suppose $Q_K = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}$, where $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_{\hat{v}} = \text{Ad}(\hat{v})\mathfrak{b}_+ \in \mathcal{B}$ is the representative given in Propositions 2.8, 2.9, and 2.10 respectively. Let $\mathfrak{r} \supset \mathfrak{b}$ be the special parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} associated to the K -orbit Q_K , and let $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ be its Levi decomposition. Then $Q = B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(\dot{w})\text{Ad}(\ell)\mathfrak{b}$, where $w \in W_K^{K \cap R}$ and $\ell \in K \cap L$ (see Equation (3.13)). Further $Q_{\mathfrak{r}} = B_{n-1} \cdot w(\mathfrak{r})$ and $Q_{\mathfrak{l}} = (B_{n-1} \cap L) \cdot \text{Ad}(\ell)(\mathfrak{b}_{\hat{v}} \cap \mathfrak{l})$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let α be a root in $\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$. Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{r}} : G/B_+ \rightarrow G/R$ be the projection. Then*

$$(4.5) \quad \pi_{\mathfrak{r}}(m(s_\alpha) * \mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}})) = m(s_\alpha) * Q_{\mathfrak{r}},$$

where the monoid action on $B_{n-1} \backslash K/K \cap R$ in the right hand side is given in Remark 4.5.

Suppose also that $\alpha \in w(\Phi_{\mathfrak{l}})$. Then α is a simple root of $\mathfrak{k} \cap w(\mathfrak{l})$ with respect to the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{n-1} \cap w(\mathfrak{l})$ of $\mathfrak{k} \cap w(\mathfrak{l})$, and

$$(4.6) \quad m(s_\alpha) * \mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}}) = \mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, m(s_{w^{-1}(\alpha)}) * Q_{\mathfrak{l}}).$$

Suppose $\alpha \notin w(\Phi_{\mathfrak{l}})$. Then

$$(4.7) \quad m(s_\alpha) * \mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}}) = \mathcal{O}(m(s_\alpha) * Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}}).$$

Proof. By Equation (4.1), $m(s_\alpha) * Q$ is the open B_{n-1} -orbit in the variety $\mathcal{Y} := P_\alpha \dot{w} \ell B/B$. By equivariance of $\pi_{\mathfrak{r}}$, its restriction $\pi_{\mathfrak{r}} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow P_\alpha \dot{w} R/R$ is open since quotient morphisms are open (Section 12.2 of [Hum75]). Thus, $m(s_\alpha) * Q$ projects to the open B_{n-1} -orbit in $P_\alpha \dot{w} R/R$. Under the identification $Q_{K, \mathfrak{r}} \cong K/K \cap R$, the latter orbit is identified with the open B_{n-1} -orbit in $P_\alpha \dot{w}(K \cap R)/(K \cap R)$. But this orbit is exactly $m(s_\alpha) * Q_{\mathfrak{r}}$ by Equation (4.3). Equation (4.5) follows.

Note that the P_α -orbit \mathcal{Y} fibres over $P_\alpha/(P_\alpha \cap w(R))$ via the identification

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{Y} \cong P_\alpha \times_{P_\alpha \cap w(R)} (P_\alpha \cap w(L)) \text{Ad}(\dot{w} \ell) \mathfrak{b}.$$

Indeed, the identification $\mathcal{Y} \cong P_\alpha \times_{P_\alpha \cap w(R)} (P_\alpha \cap w(L)) \text{Ad}(\dot{w} \ell) \mathfrak{b}$ is formal, and since the diagonal torus of K normalizes P_α , $w(L)$, and $w(U)$, it follows that the action of $P_\alpha \cap w(R)$ on $\text{Ad}(\dot{w} \ell) \mathfrak{b}$ is through $P_\alpha \cap w(L)$. Now suppose that α is a root of $w(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathfrak{k}$. It follows that $P_\alpha w R/R = B_{n-1} w R/R$, whence $m(s_\alpha) * Q_{\mathfrak{r}} = Q_{\mathfrak{r}}$. Further the fibre bundle in Equation (4.8) may be written as

$$(4.9) \quad \mathcal{Y} \cong B_{n-1} \times_{B_{n-1} \cap w(R)} (P_\alpha \cap w(L)) \text{Ad}(\dot{w} \ell) (\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{l}).$$

We observed in Equation (3.9) that $B_{n-1} \cap w(L)$ is a Borel subgroup of the Levi subgroup $K \cap w(L)$ of K . Since $P_\alpha \cap w(L) \supset B_{n-1} \cap w(L)$ and $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$, it immediately follows that $P_\alpha \cap w(L)$ is a parabolic subgroup of $K \cap w(L)$ and α is a simple root with respect to the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{n-1} \cap w(\mathfrak{l})$ of $\mathfrak{k} \cap w(\mathfrak{l})$.

Equation (4.9) implies that

$$(4.10) \quad m(s_\alpha) * Q \cong B_{n-1} \times_{B_{n-1} \cap w(R)} \mathcal{O},$$

where \mathcal{O} is the open $B_{n-1} \cap w(R)$ -orbit in the variety $(P_\alpha \cap w(L))\text{Ad}(\dot{w}\ell)(\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{l}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{w(\mathfrak{l})}$. Now $B_{n-1} \cap w(R)$ acts on $\mathcal{B}_{w(\mathfrak{l})}$ through its image in the quotient $w(R)/w(U) \cong \mathcal{B}_{w(\mathfrak{l})}$. In the proof of Theorem 3.5, we observed that this image is the Borel subgroup $B_{n-1} \cap w(L)$ of $w(L) \cap K$ (see Equation (3.12)). Equation (4.6) now follows by translating by \dot{w}^{-1} , as is prescribed in the last comments of the proof of Theorem 3.5, and from Equations (3.9) and (4.1).

We now suppose that α is not a root of $\mathfrak{k} \cap w(\mathfrak{l})$. Then $P_\alpha \cap w(L) = B_{n-1} \cap w(L)$. Thus, we can rewrite Equation (4.8) as

$$\mathcal{Y} \cong P_\alpha \times_{P_\alpha \cap \text{Ad}(\dot{w})R} (B_{n-1} \cap w(L))\text{Ad}(\dot{w}\ell)\mathfrak{b} = P_\alpha \times_{P_\alpha \cap w(R)} \text{Ad}(\dot{w})Q_{\mathfrak{l}},$$

where the last equality uses the definition of $Q_{\mathfrak{l}}$. Therefore, the B_{n-1} -orbits in \mathcal{Y} are in one-to-one correspondence with the B_{n-1} -orbits on the base $P_\alpha wR/R \cong P_\alpha w(K \cap R)/(K \cap R)$. Thus,

$$m(s_\alpha) * Q = \pi_{\mathfrak{r}}|_{\mathfrak{y}}^{-1}(m(s_\alpha) * Q_{\mathfrak{r}}) = \mathcal{O}(m(s_\alpha) * Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}}),$$

yielding (4.7).

Q.E.D.

To understand how the bundle structure of $Q \subset Q_K$ behaves with respect to the right monoid action by roots $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$, we need some preparation.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Suppose that $Q \subset Q_K$. Then*

$$(4.11) \quad m(s_\alpha) * Q \subset m(s_\alpha) * Q_K$$

*In particular, if $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K \neq Q_K$, then $m(s_\alpha) * Q \neq Q$.*

Proof. Let $Q = B_{n-1}xB_n/B_n$. It follows from definitions that $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K$ is the unique open K -orbit in the variety KxP_α/B_n . Since $B_{n-1}xP_\alpha/B_n \subset KxP_\alpha/B_n$, the subvariety $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K \cap B_{n-1}xP_\alpha/B_n$ is non-empty and open in $B_{n-1}xP_\alpha/B_n$. Since $B_{n-1}xP_\alpha/B_n$ is irreducible, it follows from Equation (4.2) that $m(s_\alpha) * Q \cap m(s_\alpha) * Q_K \neq \emptyset$. This implies equation (4.11).

Q.E.D.

By Proposition 4.8, if $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K = Q_K$, then $m(s_\alpha) * Q \subset Q_K$. For such α , we study the monoid action by $m(s_\alpha)$ in terms of the fibre bundle description of the orbit $\mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}})$ of Q . But first we need to determine the roots $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for which $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K = Q_K$. The following results are well-known and partly appear in tables on page 92 of [Col85]. For the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$, proofs are given in Examples 4.16 and 4.30 of [CE14] and for the type B and D cases, see Propositions 2.23 and 2.24 of [CE].

Proposition 4.9. (1) *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$. First, consider the case when $Q_K = Q_i$ is a closed K -orbit as in Part (2) of Proposition 2.8. Then the roots α_{i-1} and α_i are non-compact for Q_K and all other simple roots are compact. Next, consider the case when $Q_K = Q_{i,j}$ as in Part (3) of Proposition 2.8. Then the roots α_{i-1} and α_j*

are complex stable for Q_K . All other simple roots α_k with $k \neq i, j-1$ are compact for Q_K . If $j = i+1$, then the root α_i is real for Q_K . If $j > i+1$, the roots α_i and α_{j-1} are complex unstable.

- (2) Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l+1)$. If Q_K is one of the two closed K -orbits given in Part (2) of Proposition 2.9, then the root α_l is non-compact for Q_K , and all other simple roots are compact. Consider the orbit $Q_K = Q_i$ as in Part (3) of Proposition 2.9. Then the root α_i is complex stable for Q_K . The root α_{i+1} is complex unstable for Q_K unless $i = l-1$ in which case it is real. All other simple roots are compact for Q_K .
- (3) Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l)$. In the case $Q_K = Q_+$ is the unique closed orbit, then the roots α_{l-1} and α_l are complex stable for Q_+ and all other simple roots are compact. In the case $Q_K = Q_i$ as in Part (3) of Proposition 2.10, then the roots α_{i-1} and α_i are complex for Q_i with α_{i-1} stable and α_i unstable. All other simple roots are compact for Q_i , unless $i = l-1$ in which case α_l is also complex unstable.

We use Proposition 4.9 to study the relation between roots $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q_K = Q_K$ and the special parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ constructed in Theorem 3.1. Let $\tilde{w} \in W$ be given as follows:

$$(4.12) \quad \tilde{w} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w_i = (n, n-1, \dots, i+1, i) \text{ if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n), & \text{and } Q_K = Q_{i,j} \text{ or } Q_i \\ \text{id if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n), & \text{and } Q_K = Q_i \text{ or } Q_+ \\ s_{\alpha_i} \text{ if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l+1), & \text{and } Q_K = Q_- \end{array} \right\},$$

and let \tilde{w} be a fixed representative of \tilde{w} . Then it follows from the construction of the special parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{r} in Theorem 3.1 that $\mathfrak{r} = \tilde{w}(\mathfrak{p}_S)$, where \mathfrak{p}_S is a standard parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} defined by the subset $S \subset \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ given by:

$$(4.13) \quad S = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \{\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}\} \text{ for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n), & Q_K = Q_{i,j} \\ \{\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_l\} \text{ for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l), & Q_K = Q_i \\ \{\alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_l\} \text{ for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l+1), & Q_K = Q_i \end{array} \right\},$$

and where $S = \emptyset$ and \mathfrak{r} is a Borel if Q_K is closed. Proposition 4.9 implies the following observation.

Corollary 4.10. *Let $S \subset \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the subset of simple roots given in (4.13). Then*

- (1) *If $\alpha \in S$, $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q_K = Q_K$.*
- (2) *If $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus S$ and $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q_K = Q_K$, then α is compact for Q_K .*

We can now describe the right monoid action on a B_{n-1} -orbit $Q \subset Q_K$ by a simple root $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $m(s_{\alpha}) * Q_K = Q_K$. Recalling notation from Theorem 4.7, we let $Q = \mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}}) = B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(\tilde{w})\text{Ad}(\ell\hat{v})\mathfrak{b}_+$, where $w \in W_K^{K \cap R}$ and $\ell \in K \cap L$, with $Q_{\mathfrak{r}} = B_{n-1} \cdot w(\mathfrak{r})$ and $Q_{\mathfrak{l}} = (B_{n-1} \cap L) \cdot \text{Ad}(\ell)(\mathfrak{b}_{\hat{v}} \cap \mathfrak{l})$, and \hat{v} is given in Propositions 2.8, 2.9, 2.10

Theorem 4.11.

Suppose $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

(1) If $\alpha \in S$, then

$$(4.14) \quad m(s_\alpha) * \mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}}) = \mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, m(s_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}) * Q_{\mathfrak{l}}).$$

(2) If $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus S$ and $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K = Q_K$, then $\tilde{w}(\alpha) \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a standard simple root strongly orthogonal to the roots of S , and

$$(4.15) \quad m(s_\alpha) * \mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}}) = \mathcal{O}(m(s_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}) * Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}}),$$

where $m(s_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}) * Q_{\mathfrak{r}}$ is the right monoid action of the simple root $\tilde{w}(\alpha)$ on the B_{n-1} -orbit $Q_{\mathfrak{r}}$ in the partial flag variety $Q_{K, \mathfrak{r}} = K/K \cap R$ of \mathfrak{k} defined in Part (2) of Remark 4.5.

Proof. First, suppose that $\alpha \in S$. Then by Corollary 4.10, $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K = Q_K$. Recall that by Equation (4.2) $m(s_\alpha) * Q$ is the open B_{n-1} -orbit in the variety $\mathcal{Z} := B_{n-1} \dot{w} \ell \hat{v} P_\alpha / B_+$. Since $\alpha \in S$, $P_\alpha \subset P_S$. Further, the representative $\hat{v} = \dot{w} p$, where \dot{w} is the above representative of \tilde{w} given by (4.12) and $p \in M_S$ (see Equations (2.8), (2.11), and (2.13)). It follows that the special parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{r} = \text{Ad}(\hat{v})\mathfrak{p}_S$. Thus, $\pi_{\mathfrak{r}} : G/B \rightarrow G/R$ maps \mathcal{Z} to $B_{n-1} \cdot w(\mathfrak{r}) = Q_{\mathfrak{r}}$ and hence $\pi_{\mathfrak{r}} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow Q_{\mathfrak{r}}$ is a B_{n-1} -equivariant fibre bundle. We call the fibre F , and using Equation (3.8) and Remark 3.7, we can identify $F = (B_{n-1} \cap w(L)) \cdot \text{Ad}(\dot{w} \ell \hat{v})(P_\alpha \cdot \mathfrak{b}_+)$. Using Equation (3.9), we see F is isomorphic to

$$(4.16) \quad (B_{n-1} \cap L) \cdot \text{Ad}(\ell \hat{v})(P_\alpha \cdot \mathfrak{b}_+) \cong (B_{n-1} \cap L) \ell \hat{v} (P_\alpha \cap M_S) / (B_+ \cap M_S).$$

The open B_{n-1} -orbit in \mathcal{Z} fibres over $Q_{\mathfrak{r}}$ with fibre isomorphic to the open $B_{n-1} \cap L$ -orbit in the variety F . Using the decomposition $\hat{v} = \dot{w} p$, we can rewrite F as

$$(4.17) \quad (B_{n-1} \cap L) \ell \tilde{w} p \tilde{w}^{-1} (P_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)} \cap L) / (\text{Ad}(\tilde{w}) B_+ \cap L).$$

But the open $B_{n-1} \cap L$ -orbit in (4.17) is exactly $m(s_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}) * Q_{\mathfrak{l}}$, using Equation (4.2). Equation (4.14) follows.

Let $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus S$ with $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K = Q_K$. By (4.2), $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K$ is the open B_{n-1} -orbit in the variety

$$(4.18) \quad \mathcal{Y} = B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(\dot{w} \ell \hat{v})(P_\alpha \cdot \mathfrak{b}_+) = B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(\dot{w} \ell)(P_{\text{Ad}(\hat{v})\alpha} \cdot \mathfrak{b}).$$

We begin by analyzing the homogeneous space $P_{\text{Ad}(\hat{v})\alpha} / B$. First, consider the root $\text{Ad}(\hat{v})\alpha$ of $\text{Ad}(\hat{v})\mathfrak{h}_n$. We claim

$$(4.19) \quad \text{Ad}(\hat{v})\alpha = \tilde{w}(\alpha) \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}},$$

where $\tilde{w} \in W$ is given by (4.12). We show (4.19) in the case where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$. If $Q_K = Q_i$ is a closed K -orbit as in Part (2) of Proposition 2.8, then $\hat{v} = w_i = \tilde{w}$. Since $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K = Q_K$, then by Proposition 4.9, $\alpha = \alpha_j$, $j \neq i-1, i$. It is routine to check that $\tilde{w}(\alpha_j) = w_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_{j-1}$ for $i < j \leq n$ and $\tilde{w}(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j$ for $j < i-1$. In either case, $\tilde{w}(\alpha) \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}\}$. Now suppose $Q_K = Q_{i,j}$ is not closed as in Part (3) of Proposition 2.8. Then $\hat{v} = w_i u_{\alpha_{i+1}} s_{\alpha_{i+1}} \dots s_{\alpha_{j-1}}$ by Equation (2.8). Then since $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus S$ with $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K = Q_K$, then it follows from Definition 4.2 and Proposition 4.9 that α is strongly orthogonal to the roots of $S = \{\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}\}$ (see Equation (4.13)). In particular, α is orthogonal to the roots of S , so $\text{Ad}(\hat{v})\alpha = \tilde{w} u_{\alpha_i}(\alpha)$. Further, since α

is strongly orthogonal to α_i , Proposition 6.72 of [Kna02] implies that $u_{\alpha_i}(\alpha) = \alpha$, and we conclude that $\text{Ad}(\hat{v})\alpha = \tilde{w}(\alpha)$. The remainder of the argument proceeds as in the case where $Q_K = Q_i$ is closed, and the claim in (4.19) is established in the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ case. The orthogonal cases are simpler and can be handled in an analogous fashion.

Now it follows from Part (2) of Corollary 4.10 that α is compact for Q_K . It then follows from our discussion in Section 2.3 that the space $P_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}/B$ is a single orbit of a Levi factor of $P_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}$. Let $M_\alpha \subset P_\alpha$ be the H_n -stable Levi factor, so that $M_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)} := \text{Ad}(\tilde{w})M_\alpha \subset K$ is a Levi factor of $P_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}$. Thus, the variety \mathcal{Y} in Equation (4.18) equals $\mathcal{Y} = B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(\hat{w}\ell)(M_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)} \cdot \mathfrak{b})$. Since α is strongly orthogonal to the roots of S , it follows that $\tilde{w}(\alpha)$ is strongly orthogonal to the roots of \mathfrak{l} . Since $L \cap K \subset L$, it follows that the groups $M_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}$ and $L \cap K$ commute. Therefore,

$$(4.20) \quad \pi_{\mathfrak{r}}(\mathcal{Y}) = B_{n-1}wM_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}(K \cap R)/(K \cap R).$$

If $J \subset \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$, we let $S_J \subset K$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of K given by the subset J of $\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$. Now we claim that

$$(4.21) \quad \pi_{\mathfrak{r}}(\mathcal{Y}) = B_{n-1}wS_{\Pi_{\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k}} \cup \tilde{w}(\alpha)}(K \cap R)/(K \cap R),$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n-1}wS_{\Pi_{\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k}} \cup \tilde{w}(\alpha)}(K \cap R)/(K \cap R) &= B_{n-1}wS_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}(K \cap R)/(K \cap R) \\ &= B_{n-1}wM_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}(K \cap R)/(K \cap R) \\ &= \pi_{\mathfrak{r}}(\mathcal{Y}). \end{aligned}$$

Now by Equation (4.4), $m(s_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}) * Q_{\mathfrak{r}}$ is the open B_{n-1} -orbit in the variety $B_{n-1}wS_{\Pi_{\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k}} \cup \tilde{w}(\alpha)}(K \cap R)/(K \cap R) = \pi_{\mathfrak{r}}(\mathcal{Y})$. Since the group $M_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k}$, the variety \mathcal{Y} has the structure of a B_{n-1} -equivariant fibre bundle with smooth base $\pi_{\mathfrak{r}}(\mathcal{Y})$ and fibre $Q_{\mathfrak{l}}$. It follows that $\pi_{\mathfrak{r}}|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is a flat morphism and is therefore open by Exercise III.9.1 of [Har77]. Thus, $\pi_{\mathfrak{r}}(m(s_\alpha) * Q) = m(s_{\tilde{w}(\alpha)}) * Q_{\mathfrak{r}}$ and Equation (4.15) now follows.

Q.E.D.

It follows from Theorems 4.7 and 4.11 that to understand the monoid action on $Q = \mathcal{O}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}, Q_{\mathfrak{l}}) \subset Q_K$ by roots $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}} \cup \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$ such that $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K = Q_K$, it suffices to understand the monoid action on B_{n-1} -orbits in $K/(K \cap R)$ discussed in Remark 4.5 as well as the monoid action on $Q_{\mathfrak{l}}$. The former is well understood, and in the next result, we use the correspondence $Q \mapsto Q^{op}$ in Equation (3.14) to understand the latter. For the statement of the next result, we recall the particular representative $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ of the open K -orbit that is used in the proof of Theorem 3.8 to establish the correspondence in (3.14). We let $\tilde{Q}_K = K \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ where $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ is the Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} which stabilizes the flag $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$:

$$(4.22) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (e_{n-1} + e_n \subset e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \cdots \subset e_{n-2} \subset e_n) & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n), \\ (e_l \subset e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \cdots \subset e_{l-1}) & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l) \\ (ie_l + \sqrt{2}ie_0 - ie_{-l} \subset e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \cdots \subset e_{l-1}) & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2l+1) \end{array} \right\},$$

Theorem 4.12. *Let $Q = B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(k)\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ be a B_{n-1} -orbit in the open K -orbit \tilde{Q}_K on \mathcal{B}_n , and let $Q^{op} = B_{n-2} \cdot \text{Ad}(k^{-1})\mathfrak{b}_{n-1}$ be the corresponding B_{n-2} -orbit on \mathcal{B}_{n-1} as in Remark 3.9.*

Let $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$, then

$$(4.23) \quad (m(s_\alpha) * Q)^{op} = m(s_\alpha) * Q^{op} \subset \mathcal{B}_{n-1},$$

where $m(s_\alpha) * Q$ denotes the left monoid action of s_α on the B_{n-1} -orbit Q in \mathcal{B}_n , and $m(s_\alpha) * Q^{op}$ denotes the right monoid action of s_α on the B_{n-2} -orbit Q^{op} in \mathcal{B}_{n-1} .

Let $\alpha = \alpha_j \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a simple root which is compact for \tilde{Q}_K . Then

$$(4.24) \quad (m(s_{\alpha_j}) * Q)^{op} = m(s_{\alpha_{j-1}}) * Q^{op},$$

where $m(s_{\alpha_j}) * Q$ is the right monoid action of s_{α_j} on Q and $m(s_{\alpha_{j-1}}) * Q^{op}$ is the left monoid action of $s_{\alpha_{j-1}}$ on Q^{op} and $\alpha_{j-1} \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}_{n-2}}$ is a standard simple root.

Moreover, the correspondences in Equations (4.23) and (4.24) preserve root types. That is to say that α is complex stable, complex unstable, non-compact, etc for Q if and only if α is complex stable, unstable, non-compact, etc for Q^{op} in (4.23) and similarly for α_j and α_{j-1} in (4.24).

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$. Then by Equation 4.1, we know $m(s_\alpha) * Q$ is the open B_{n-1} -orbit in the variety $\mathcal{Y}_Q := P_\alpha k B_{n-2} / B_{n-2} \subset \tilde{Q}$. Under the correspondence in Equation (3.16), we have

$$\mathcal{Y}_Q^{op} = B_{n-2} k^{-1} P_\alpha / B_{n-1}.$$

Since $m(s_\alpha) * Q^{op}$ is the open B_{n-2} -orbit in $B_{n-2} k^{-1} P_\alpha / B_{n-1}$, Equation (4.23) now follows from Equation (4.2).

Now we consider the right monoid action. Let $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be compact for the open K -orbit $\tilde{Q}_K = K \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}} = \text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{b}_+$. By Equation (4.2), $m(s_\alpha) * Q$ is the open B_{n-1} -orbit in the variety $B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(kg)(P_\alpha \cdot \mathfrak{b}_+)$. Since α is compact for \tilde{Q}_K , gP_α / B_+ is a single $K \cap \text{Ad}(g)P_\alpha$ -orbit, so that $gP_\alpha / B_+ \cong (K \cap \text{Ad}(g)P_\alpha) / (K \cap \text{Ad}(g)B_+)$. Therefore,

$$(4.25) \quad B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(kg)(P_\alpha \cdot \mathfrak{b}_+) \cong B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(k)((\text{Ad}(g)P_\alpha \cap K) \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{n-2}).$$

Using (3.16) and (4.25), it follows that

$$(4.26) \quad (B_{n-1} \cdot \text{Ad}(kg)(P_\alpha \cdot \mathfrak{b}_+))^{op} = (\text{Ad}(g)P_\alpha \cap K) \cdot \text{Ad}(k^{-1})\mathfrak{b}_{n-1}.$$

We now claim that the group $\text{Ad}(g)P_\alpha \cap K$ in (4.26) is the simple parabolic subgroup of K_{n-2} corresponding to the simple root α_{j-1} . Equation (4.24) now follows from (4.26). We verify the claim in the case where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$. Suppose $\alpha = \alpha_j$ is compact for $\tilde{Q}_K = Q_{1,n}$. By Proposition 4.9, it follows that $j \neq 1, n-1$. The image of the flag from Equation (4.22) is the partial flag $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}_z$ denoted $e_{n-1} + e_n \subset e_1 \subset \cdots \subset e_{j-2} \subset \{e_{j-1}, e_j\} \subset e_{j+1} \subset \cdots \subset e_{n-2} \subset e_n$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.8, we compute the stabilizer $G_{n-1,z}$ of this partial flag in G_{n-1} . For g in $G_{n-1,z}$, since g stabilizes the line spanned by $e_{n-1} + e_n$, we see that $g \cdot e_{n-1} = e_{n-1}$. Recalling the notation setting U_k equal to the span of e_1, \dots, e_k ,

we see as before that $g \cdot U_k \subset U_k + \mathbb{C}(e_{n-1} + e_n)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-2$ and $k \neq j-1$, and for $k = j-1$, $g \cdot U_{j-1} \subset U_j + \mathbb{C}(e_{n-1} + e_n)$. As in Theorem 3.8, since $g \in G_{n-1}$ and hence stabilizes the subspace U_{n-1} , we see that $g \in G_{n-2}$ and the claim follows since the subgroup of G_{n-2} stabilizing the partial flag $(e_1 \subset \dots \subset \{e_{j-1}, e_j\} \subset \dots \subset e_{n-2})$ is the simple parabolic subgroup of G_{n-2} corresponding to α_{j-1} . The proofs of the claim in the orthogonal cases are similar, and are left to the reader.

To see the assertion about root types, consider the variety $\mathcal{Y}_Q = p^{-1}(K \cdot (Q, eB_{n-1}))$, where $p : G/B \times K/B_{n-1} \rightarrow G/P_\alpha \times K/B_{n-1}$ in case $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $p : G/B \times K/B_{n-1} \rightarrow G/B \times K/P_{n-1, \alpha}$ in case $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$. For $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$, then α is complex stable for Q if and only if \mathcal{Y}_Q consists of two double cosets with $K \cdot (Q, eB_{n-1})$ connected and codimension 1 in the closure of the other double coset. This is the same as the condition for α to be complex stable for Q^{op} . The remaining cases are similar.

Q.E.D.

Remark 4.13. *As a consequence of the above results, we have completely understood the monoid action for simple roots of \mathfrak{k} , and for simple roots of \mathfrak{g} in the subset S associated to the orbit Q_K by (4.13), and for simple roots α of \mathfrak{g} not in S when $m(s_\alpha) * Q_K = Q_K$. We will treat the remaining cases in a sequel to this paper.*

REFERENCES

- [BH00] Michel Brion and Aloysius G. Helminck, *On orbit closures of symmetric subgroups in flag varieties*, *Canad. J. Math.* **52** (2000), no. 2, 265–292.
- [CE] Mark Colarusso and Sam Evens, *Eigenvalue coincidences and multiplicity free spherical pairs*, arXiv:1410.3901, October 15, 2014, 36 pages.
- [CE14] Mark Colarusso and Sam Evens, *The Gelfand-Zeitlin integrable system and K -orbits on the flag variety*, *Symmetry: representation theory and its applications*, *Progr. Math.*, vol. 257, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2014, pp. 85–119.
- [CE19] Mark Colarusso and Sam Evens, *The Complex Orthogonal Gelfand–Zeitlin System*, *International Mathematics Research Notices* **2021** (2019), no. 4, 2682–2722.
- [Col85] David H. Collingwood, *Representations of rank one Lie groups*, *Research Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 137, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1985.
- [GP18] Jacopo Gandini and Guido Pezzini, *Orbits of strongly solvable spherical subgroups on the flag variety*, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **47** (2018), no. 3, 357–401.
- [GW98] Roe Goodman and Nolan R. Wallach, *Representations and invariants of the classical groups*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 68, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [Has04] Takashi Hashimoto, *B_{n-1} -orbits on the flag variety GL_n/B_n* , *Geom. Dedicata* **105** (2004), 13–27.
- [Hum75] James E. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 21.
- [Kna02] Anthony W. Knap, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, second ed., *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 140, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.

- [RS90] R. W. Richardson and T. A. Springer, *The Bruhat order on symmetric varieties*, *Geom. Dedicata* **35** (1990), no. 1-3, 389–436.
- [Spr85] T. A. Springer, *Some results on algebraic groups with involutions*, *Algebraic groups and related topics* (Kyoto/Nagoya, 1983), *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, vol. 6, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985, pp. 525–543.

DEPARTMENT OF MATH AND STATS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH ALABAMA, MOBILE, AL, 36608

Email address: mcolarusso@southalabama.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN, 46556

Email address: sevens@nd.edu