

A DRINFELD TYPE PRESENTATION OF AFFINE \imath QUANTUM GROUPS II: SPLIT BCFG TYPE

WEINAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Recently, Lu and Wang formulated a Drinfeld type presentation for \imath quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ arising from quantum symmetric pairs of split affine ADE type. In this paper, we generalize their results by establishing a current presentation for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ of arbitrary split affine type.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Drinfeld type presentations for affine \imath quantum groups	8
4. Verification of the relation (3.5)	14
5. Verification of Serre relations	19
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Background.** The affine quantum group, denoted by \mathbf{U} , admits two presentations: the Serre presentation introduced by Drinfeld-Jimbo, and the current presentation, also known as the Drinfeld presentation [Dr88]. The isomorphism between these two presentations was stated by Drinfeld, and a detailed proof was supplied by Beck [Be94] and Damiani [Da12] [Da15]. This current presentation has been shown to be crucial in the representation theory of affine quantum groups; see the survey paper [CH10] for partial references.

Quantum symmetric pairs $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}_\zeta^\imath)$ were introduced by Letzter in [Let99] for finite type and generalized to Kac-Moody type by Kolb [Ko14]. The \imath quantum group \mathbf{U}_ζ^\imath arising from quantum symmetric pairs is a coideal subalgebra of \mathbf{U} associated with an involution on the underlying root system. The *universal \imath quantum group* $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ [LW19] is a coideal subalgebra of Drinfeld double quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$, and \mathbf{U}_ζ^\imath can be recovered from $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ by a central reduction. The version $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ naturally arises in an \imath Hall algebra realization of \imath quantum groups [LW19], and a braid group action on $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ is realized via the reflection functors in this approach [LW21a].

Both affine quantum groups and affine \imath quantum groups are closely related to the quantum integrable systems. The affine quantum groups, which are trigonometric solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE), arise in the quantum inverse scattering

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, 17B67.

Key words and phrases. Affine quantum groups, Drinfeld presentation, \imath Quantum groups, Quantum symmetric pairs .

method [Dr87, §11,13]. The affine \imath quantum groups, as solutions for the reflection equation (=boundary QYBE), appear in the framework of quantum integrable systems with certain boundary conditions. The \imath quantum group of split affine rank one is known as the q -Onsager algebra, which plays a crucial role in the study of the XXZ open spin chain [BB13]. The \imath quantum groups of higher ranks are known as the “generalized q -Onsager algebras”, whose applications in affine Toda field theory are developed [BB10].

According to [BW18], various algebraic, geometric, categorical results for quantum groups are expected to have corresponding analogues for \imath quantum groups. In particular, it is natural to ask whether there is a current presentation for \mathbf{U}_ζ^\imath , analogous to the current presentation for \mathbf{U} . There are several early attempts to construct a current presentation for the q -Onsager algebra in [BS10, BK20].

A major progress toward this question is the recent work by Lu and Wang [LW20b], who formulated a Drinfeld type presentation for $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ of split affine ADE type. In the rank one case, Lu-Wang’s Drinfeld type presentation was built on the construction of root vectors and relations by Baseilhac and Kolb [BK20]. The braid group action on $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ plays an essential role in Lu and Wang’s construction, just as for affine quantum groups [Da93, Be94].

1.2. Main results. The goal of this paper is to generalize results in [LW20b] to arbitrary split (untwisted) affine types, namely, to provide a Drinfeld type presentation for the split \imath quantum group $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath = \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ where \mathfrak{g} is any simple Lie algebra and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the corresponding untwisted affine Lie algebra. Generalizing from split ADE types to split BCFG types requires new ideas, which will be explained below; in the meantime, our proofs for several relations in the general setting also simplify Lu-Wang’s original proofs for split ADE types.

Let us explain our approach in details. Let $(c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_0}$ denote the Cartan matrix for \mathfrak{g} . We start by recalling the Serre presentation of $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ in Definition 2.2, following [LW19] and [LW20a]. Such a presentation is obtained by modifying the Serre presentation for \mathbf{U}_ζ^\imath given in [CLW18, Theorem 3.1] (built on earlier work by Kolb and Letzter for \mathbf{U}_ζ^\imath). The braid group action on $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ (see Lemma 2.7) arises from \imath Hall algebras [CLW21, LW21a, LW21b], and it recovers the braid group action established in [KP11, BK20] for \mathbf{U}_ζ^\imath (who worked with specific parameters).

We shall use similar definitions and notations (3.1)-(3.3) for root vectors in $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ (i.e. generators in the Drinfeld type presentation) as in [LW20b, (3.28)-(3.30)]; that is, we shall use $B_{i,k}$ for the real root vectors, $\acute{\Theta}_{i,m}$ for the imaginary root vectors constructed in [BK20] in the rank one case and adapted to our general case, and $\Theta_{i,m}$ for the alternative imaginary root vectors originated from \imath Hall algebra approach [LRW20]. We shall focus on $\Theta_{i,m}$ instead of $\acute{\Theta}_{i,m}$ throughout this paper.

Let us explain how we formulate the relations (3.4)-(3.11) for $B_{i,k}, \Theta_{i,m}$ which are used in a current presentation for $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$. Relations (3.4)-(3.8) are natural generalizations of [LW20b, (3.33)-(3.37)] to the case when c_{ij} is arbitrary.

The remaining task is to obtain a suitable formulation of the Serre relations (in the current presentation). For $c_{ij} = -1$, there are two (equivalent) formulations of the Serre relations available: one is the general version formulated in [LW20b, (3.32),(3.38)], and the other is the equal-index version (3.9) (which is the special cases of the general version); the latter is much simpler than the general version and is obtained by applying degree shift automorphisms

to the corresponding finite type Serre relation (2.15). As for their generalizations to $c_{ij} < -1$, the general versions of Serre relations are going to be extremely complicated as Lu and Wang's formulation suggests. However, the equal-index versions (3.10)-(3.11) can be obtained relatively easily. Hence, we choose to use relations (3.9)-(3.11) in our current presentation.

There are two supporting examples for the use of this equal-index version of Serre relations. For affine quantum groups, Damiani in [Da12, Theorem 11.18] showed that the Drinfeld presentation ${}^{\text{Dr}}\mathbf{U}$ is equivalent to a reduced current presentation ${}^{\text{Dr}}\mathbf{U}_{\text{red}}$ where Serre relations are replaced by the corresponding equal-index version. (See Proposition 2.1.) Moreover, Lu and Wang showed in [LW20b, §4.7-4.8] that their general Serre relations can be derived from other defining relations and the equal-index Serre relations (3.9). In other words, for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ of split ADE type, if we replace the Serre relation in the current presentation formulated in [LW20b, Definition 3.10] by the equal-index version (3.9) of itself, we obtain an equivalent presentation.

Generalizing these phenomenons, we define an algebra ${}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\text{red}}^i$ in Definition 3.1 with defining relations (3.4)-(3.11). We shall show that ${}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\text{red}}^i$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ in Theorem 3.2. We call ${}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\text{red}}^i$ a reduced Drinfeld type presentation.

In the proof of this isomorphism, most defining relations of ${}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\text{red}}^i$ are verified in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ in a similar way as [LW20b, §4]. A major exception is the relation (3.5) for $i \neq j$, whose original proof for $c_{ij} = -1$ in [LW20b, §4.7-4.8] is no longer effective when $c_{ij} < -1$, since it requires the help of the general Serre relation. In this paper, we provide a new inductive proof of the relation (3.5) for $i \neq j$, which is based on a recursive formula (4.2) uniformly established for arbitrary c_{ij} in §4.1.

Surprisingly, the base case for the induction is much more challenging, and we establish it case by case depending on c_{ij} in §4.2. For $c_{ij} = -1$, the base case is verified using the finite type Serre relation. For $c_{ij} = -2$, the base case is derived from formulas for the braid group action. For $c_{ij} = -3$, it turns out we need both of the finite type Serre relation and formulas of the braid group action to prove the base case.

Two techniques are widely used in our proof of (3.5) and later in the proof of Serre relations, analogous to [Da12]. One is the q -brackets, which allow us to write Serre relations and formulas of the braid group action in compact forms (e.g. (4.10), (4.13) etc.) and then deal with them efficiently. The other one is the degree shift automorphism T_{ω_i} , coming from the braid group action, which sends $B_{i,k}$ to $B_{i,k-1}$ and fixes $B_{j,l}$, for all $j \neq i$. These degree shift automorphisms allow us to recover a general relation from a more basic version and thus minimize the required amount of work.

It is still desirable to have general Serre relations, which we shall provide when $c_{ij} = -2$. We next explain our approach toward it.

For $c_{ij} = -1$, the general Serre relation (3.27) is first formulated in [LW20b, (3.38), (5.6)]. We offer a more direct proof in terms of generating functions in §5.1, compared with [LW20b, §4.7,4.8]. This new proof also offers a method to formulate general Serre relations which admits a natural generalization to cases $c_{ij} < -1$.

For $c_{ij} = -2$, we generalize this method and formulate a general Serre relation (3.28) in terms of generating functions. Details for the proof of (3.28) is included in §5.2-5.3. Such a formulation has several remarkable features. (Similar features also hold for $c_{ij} = -1$.)

- (1) Each component of its RHS is a finite sum, and the constant term is the same as the corresponding finite type Serre relation (2.16). (see Remark 3.7)
- (2) It can be viewed as a deformation of the Serre relation in the original Drinfeld presentation. (see Remark 3.9)

Adding general Serre relations (3.27)-(3.28) to the reduced presentation, a (complete) Drinfeld type presentation for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ of split affine type BCF is formulated and proved in Theorem 3.4.

For $c_{ij} = -3$, while it is still possible to formulate a version of the general Serre relation, computation becomes much more involved and we will skip it. For practical purposes such as developing the representation theory of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$, we do not need this.

1.3. Organization. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we set up notations and review the basic theory of affine quantum groups and affine v quantum groups. In Section 3.1, we formulate a current presentation for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ of arbitrary split affine type in Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. In Section 3.2, we establish a Drinfeld type presentation in terms of generating functions for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ in Theorem 3.4.

In Section 4, we verify the relation (3.5) in the current presentation using an induction. We establish a recursive formula for the induction in Section 4.1 and check base cases in Section 4.2. In Section 5, we verify general Serre relations: the one for the $c_{ij} = -1$ case is verified in Section 5.1, and the one for the $c_{ij} = -2$ case is verified in Section 5.2-5.3.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Ming Lu and his advisor Weiqiang Wang for sharing their work earlier and for many helpful discussions and advices. This work is partially supported by the GRA fellowship of Wang's NSF grant DMS-2001351.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Affine Weyl groups. Set $\mathbb{I}_0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra with Cartan matrix $(c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_0}$. Let d_i be relatively prime positive integers such that $(d_i c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_0}$ is a symmetric matrix.

Let \mathcal{R}_0 denote the root system of \mathfrak{g} . Fix a set of simple roots $\{\alpha_i | i \in \mathbb{I}_0\}$ for \mathcal{R}_0 and denote the corresponding positive system by \mathcal{R}_0^+ . Let $Q = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{I}_0} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ be the root lattice of \mathfrak{g} and P be the dual lattice of Q . The bilinear pairing between P, Q is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : P \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The lattice P is known as the weight lattice of \mathfrak{g} and $P = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{I}_0} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i$, where ω_i are fundamental weights of \mathfrak{g} given by $\langle \omega_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. We identify Q as a sublattice of P via $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = d_i c_{ij}$. Let θ be the highest root for \mathfrak{g} .

Set $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_0 \cup \{0\}$. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the untwisted affine Lie algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} with the affine Cartan matrix $(c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$. Extend Q to the affine root lattice $\tilde{Q} := Q \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_0$. It is known that the element $\delta = \alpha_0 + \theta \in \tilde{Q}$ satisfies $\langle \alpha_i, \delta \rangle = 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{I}$. The root system \mathcal{R} and the set of positive roots \mathcal{R}^+ for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ are defined to be

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{R} = \{\pm(\beta + k\delta) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{R}_0^+, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{m\delta \mid m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\},$$

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{R}^+ = \{k\delta + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{R}_0^+, k \geq 0\} \cup \{k\delta - \beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{R}_0^+, k > 0\} \cup \{m\delta \mid m \geq 1\}.$$

Let s_i be the reflection acting on \tilde{Q} by $s_i(x) = x - \langle x, \alpha_i \rangle \alpha_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}$. The Weyl group W_0 of \mathfrak{g} and the affine Weyl group W of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ are subgroups of $\text{Aut}(\tilde{Q})$ generated by $s_i, i \in \mathbb{I}_0$ and by $s_i, i \in \mathbb{I}$, respectively.

The extended affine Weyl group \widetilde{W} is the semi-direct product $W_0 \ltimes P$. It is known that $W \cong W_0 \ltimes Q$ and thus W is identified with a subgroup of \widetilde{W} . For $\omega \in P$, write ω for the element $(1, \omega) \in \widetilde{W}$. For $s \in W_0$, write s for the element $(s, 0) \in \widetilde{W}$.

There is a \widetilde{W} -action on \widetilde{Q} extending the W_0 -action on \widetilde{Q} such that $\omega(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i - \langle \omega, \alpha_i \rangle \delta$ for $\omega \in P, i \in \mathbb{I}$. We identify P/Q with a finite group Ω of Dynkin diagram automorphism, and thus $\widetilde{W} \cong \Omega \ltimes W$. The length function l on W extends to \widetilde{W} by setting $l(\tau w) = l(w)$ for $\tau \in \Omega, w \in W$.

2.2. Drinfeld presentation for affine quantum groups. Let v be the quantum parameter and $v_i = v^{d_i}$. Define, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$

$$[n]_a = \frac{a^n - a^{-n}}{a - a^{-1}}, \quad [n]_a! = [n]_a [n-1]_a \cdots [1]_a, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix}_a = \frac{[n]_a!}{[s]_a! [n-s]_a!}.$$

Write $[A, B] = AB - BA$ and $[A, B]_a = AB - aBA$.

Let \mathbf{U} be the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group associated to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with Chevalley generators $\{E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1} | i \in \mathbb{I}\}$. Let \mathbf{U}^- be the subalgebra of \mathbf{U} generated by $F_i, i \in \mathbb{I}$.

It was formulated in [Dr88] that \mathbf{U} is isomorphic to ${}^{\text{Dr}}\mathbf{U}$, where ${}^{\text{Dr}}\mathbf{U}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by $x_{ik}^{\pm}, h_{il}, K_i^{\pm 1}, C^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, for $i \in \mathbb{I}_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, subject to the following relations:

$$(2.3) \quad C^{\frac{1}{2}}, C^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ are central,}$$

$$(2.4) \quad [K_i, K_j] = [K_i, h_{jl}] = 0, \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = C^{\frac{1}{2}} C^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 1,$$

$$(2.5) \quad [h_{ik}, h_{jl}] = \delta_{k,-l} \frac{[kc_{ij}]_{v_i} C^k - C^{-k}}{k v_j - v_j^{-1}},$$

$$(2.6) \quad K_i x_{jk}^{\pm} K_i^{-1} = v_i^{\pm c_{ij}} x_{jk}^{\pm},$$

$$(2.7) \quad [h_{ik}, x_{jl}^{\pm}] = \pm \frac{[kc_{ij}]_{v_i} C^{\mp \frac{|k|}{2}} x_{j,k+l}^{\pm},$$

$$(2.8) \quad [x_{ik}^+, x_{jl}^-] = \delta_{ij} (C^{\frac{k-l}{2}} K_i \psi_{i,k+l} - C^{\frac{l-k}{2}} K_i^{-1} \varphi_{i,k+l}),$$

$$(2.9) \quad x_{i,k+1}^{\pm} x_{j,l}^{\pm} - v_i^{\pm c_{ij}} x_{j,l}^{\pm} x_{i,k+1}^{\pm} = v_i^{\pm c_{ij}} x_{i,k}^{\pm} x_{j,l+1}^{\pm} - x_{j,l+1}^{\pm} x_{i,k}^{\pm},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \text{Sym}_{k_1, \dots, k_r} \sum_{t=0}^r (-1)^t \begin{bmatrix} r \\ t \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} x_{i,k_1}^{\pm} \cdots x_{i,k_t}^{\pm} x_{j,l}^{\pm} x_{i,k_{t+1}}^{\pm} \cdots x_{i,k_r}^{\pm} = 0, \text{ for } r = 1 - c_{ij} \ (i \neq j),$$

where $\text{Sym}_{k_1, \dots, k_r}$ denotes the symmetrization with respect to the indices k_1, \dots, k_r , $\psi_{i,k}$ and $\varphi_{i,k}$ are defined by the following functional equations:

$$1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} (v_i - v_i^{-1}) \psi_{i,m} u^m = \exp \left((v_i - v_i^{-1}) \sum_{m \geq 1} h_{i,m} u^m \right),$$

$$1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} (v_i - v_i^{-1}) \varphi_{i,-m} u^{-m} = \exp \left((v_i - v_i^{-1}) \sum_{m \geq 1} h_{i,-m} u^{-m} \right).$$

We refer to [Be94] for a proof of the isomorphism $\phi: {}^{\text{Dr}}\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$.

In [Da12, §11], the general Serre relation (2.10) is proved to be redundant except the case $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_{1-c_{ij}}$. Let ${}^{\text{Dr}}\mathbf{U}_{\text{red}}$ (*red* stands for the reduced presentation) denote the

$\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by $x_{ik}^\pm, h_{il}, K_i^{\pm 1}, C^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ subject to relations (2.3)-(2.9) and

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{t=0}^r (-1)^t \begin{bmatrix} r \\ t \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} (x_{i,k}^\pm)^{r-t} x_{j,l}^\pm (x_{i,k}^\pm)^t = 0, \text{ for } r = 1 - c_{ij}, k, l \in \mathbb{Z} (i \neq j).$$

Proposition 2.1 ([Da12, Theorem 11.18]). *$\text{Dr}\mathbf{U}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Dr}\mathbf{U}_{red}$ by sending generators $x_{ik}^\pm, h_{il}, K_i^{\pm 1}, C^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ to those with the same names.*

Note Damiani's original result is stronger than the one stated in Proposition 2.1, since it also involves a reduction of relations (2.5) and (2.7), but this version is sufficient for our purpose.

Compose the isomorphism in Proposition 2.1 with ϕ , we have an isomorphism

$$(2.12) \quad \phi_{red} : \text{Dr}\mathbf{U}_{red} \longrightarrow \mathbf{U}.$$

2.3. Universal \imath quantum groups of split affine type. We recall the definition of the universal \imath quantum group of split affine type via its Serre presentation following [LW20b, §3.3].

Definition 2.2. *The universal (split) affine \imath quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath := \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by $B_i, \mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}, i \in \mathbb{I}$, subject to*

$$(2.13) \quad \mathbb{K}_i \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} = \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} \mathbb{K}_i = 1, \quad \mathbb{K}_i \text{ is central,}$$

$$(2.14) \quad B_i B_j - B_j B_i = 0, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = 0,$$

$$(2.15) \quad B_i^2 B_j - [2]_{v_i} B_i B_j B_i + B_j B_i^2 = -v_i^{-1} B_j \mathbb{K}_i, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -1,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{r=0}^3 (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} B_i^{3-r} B_j B_i^r = -v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i}^2 (B_i B_j - B_j B_i) \mathbb{K}_i, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -2,$$

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{s=0}^4 (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} B_i^{4-s} B_j B_i^s = -v_i^{-1} (1 + [3]_{v_i}^2) (B_j B_i^2 + B_i^2 B_j) \mathbb{K}_i \\ + v_i^{-1} [4]_{v_i} (1 + [2]_{v_i}^2) B_i B_j B_i \mathbb{K}_i \\ - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i}^2 B_j \mathbb{K}_i^2, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -3.$$

Remark 2.3. For any $\varsigma = (\varsigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^{\mathbb{I}}$, an affine \imath quantum group $\mathbf{U}_\varsigma^\imath$ with parameters is introduced in [Ko14], generalizing G. Letzter's work for finite type. $\mathbf{U}_\varsigma^\imath$ admits a Serre presentation formulated in [Ko14, Theorem 7.1] and also in [CLW18, Theorem 3.1].

The presentation for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ in Definition 2.2 can be obtained by replacing the parameter $-v_i^2 \varsigma_i$ in the Serre presentation of $\mathbf{U}_\varsigma^\imath$ formulated in [CLW18, Theorem 3.1] by a central element \mathbb{K}_i for $i \in \mathbb{I}$. (set $\tau = id$ there for split type) Hence, $\mathbf{U}_\varsigma^\imath$ is related to $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ by a central reduction $\mathbf{U}_\varsigma^\imath := \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath / (\mathbb{K}_i + v_i^2 \varsigma_i | i \in \mathbb{I})$.

Remark 2.4. A Serre presentation for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ is also formulated with generators $B_i, \tilde{k}_i, i \in \mathbb{I}$ in [LW19, Proposition 6.4] for finite ADE type and in [LW20a, Theorem 4.2] for symmetric Kac-Moody type. The central element \mathbb{K}_i is related to \tilde{k}_i by $\mathbb{K}_i = -v_i^2 \tilde{k}_i$. We are following notations in [LW20b] in this paper.

Remark 2.5. $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ has a $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{I}$ -grading by setting

$$\text{wt}(B_i) = \alpha_i, \text{wt}(\mathbb{K}_i) = 2\alpha_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{I}.$$

We say that B_i has weight α_i .

Remark 2.6. $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ has a natural filtered algebra structure by setting

$$\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{v,m} = \mathbb{Q}(v)\text{-span}\{B_{i_1}B_{i_2}\cdots B_{i_r}\mathbb{K}_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{I}, r \leq m, i_k \in \mathbb{I}\}.$$

According to [Let02, Ko14], the associated graded algebra with respect to this filtration is

$$(2.18) \quad \text{gr}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v \cong \mathbf{U}^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}(v)[\mathbb{K}_i^\pm \mid i \in \mathbb{I}], \quad \overline{B}_i \mapsto F_i, \quad \overline{\mathbb{K}}_i \mapsto \mathbb{K}_i \quad (i \in \mathbb{I}).$$

The following formulas for the braid group action on $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ of finite ADE type were obtained in [LW21a]; its generalization to Kac-Moody types is conjectured in [CLW21, Conjecture 6.5] and proved in [LW21b].

Lemma 2.7 ([LW21a, Lemma 5.1], [CLW21, Conjecture 6.5], [LW21b]). *For $i \in \mathbb{I}$, there exists an automorphism T_i of the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ such that $T_i(\mathbb{K}_\mu) = \mathbb{K}_{s_i\mu}$, and*

$$T_i(B_j) = \begin{cases} B_i\mathbb{K}_i^{-1}, & \text{if } j = i, \\ B_j, & \text{if } c_{ij} = 0, \\ B_jB_i - v_iB_iB_j, & \text{if } c_{ij} = -1, \\ [2]_{v_i}^{-1}(B_jB_i^2 - v_i[2]_{v_i}B_iB_jB_i + v^2B_i^2B_j) + B_j\mathbb{K}_i, & \text{if } c_{ij} = -2, \\ [3]_{v_i}^{-1}[2]_{v_i}^{-1}(B_jB_i^3 - v_i[3]_{v_i}B_iB_jB_i^2 + v^2[3]_{v_i}B_i^2B_jB_i \\ - v_i^3B_i^3B_j + v_i^{-1}[B_j, B_i]_{v_i^3}\mathbb{K}_i) + [B_j, B_i]_{v_i}\mathbb{K}_i, & \text{if } c_{ij} = -3. \end{cases}$$

for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{I}$ and $j \in \mathbb{I}$. Moreover, T_i ($i \in \mathbb{I}$) satisfy the braid relations, i.e., $T_iT_j = T_jT_i$ if $c_{ij} = 0$, and $T_iT_jT_i = T_jT_iT_j$ if $c_{ij}c_{ji} = 1$, and $T_iT_jT_iT_j = T_jT_iT_jT_i$ if $c_{ij}c_{ji} = 2$, and $T_iT_jT_iT_jT_iT_j = T_jT_iT_jT_iT_jT_i$ if $c_{ij}c_{ji} = 3$.

Its inverse T_i^{-1} is explicitly given by $T_i^{-1}(\mathbb{K}_\mu) = \mathbb{K}_{s_i\mu}$, and

$$T_i^{-1}(B_j) = \begin{cases} B_i\mathbb{K}_i^{-1}, & \text{if } j = i, \\ B_j, & \text{if } c_{ij} = 0, \\ B_iB_j - v_iB_jB_i, & \text{if } c_{ij} = -1, \\ [2]_{v_i}^{-1}(B_i^2B_j - v_i[2]_{v_i}B_iB_jB_i + v_i^2B_jB_i^2) + B_j\mathbb{K}_i, & \text{if } c_{ij} = -2, \\ [3]_{v_i}^{-1}[2]_{v_i}^{-1}(B_i^3B_j - v_i[3]_{v_i}B_i^2B_jB_i + v^2[3]_{v_i}B_iB_jB_i^2 \\ - v_i^3B_jB_i^3 + v_i^{-1}[B_i, B_j]_{v_i^3}\mathbb{K}_i) + [B_i, B_j]_{v_i}\mathbb{K}_i, & \text{if } c_{ij} = -3. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.8. For specific parameters $\varsigma = (\varsigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$, $\varsigma_i = -v_i^{-2}$, $i \in \mathbb{I}$, a braid group action on \mathbf{U}_ς^v of split finite type is constructed in [KP11, Theorem 3.3]. By taking the central reduction in Remark 2.3, T_i descends to an automorphism of \mathbf{U}_ς^v , which recovers Kolb and Pellegrini's braid group action.

However, for general parameters ς , T_i fails to become an automorphism of \mathbf{U}_ς^v via the central reduction. (A quick way to see this: since $T_i(\mathbb{K}_i) = \mathbb{K}_i^{-1}$, if T_i reduces to an automorphism on \mathbf{U}_ς^v , then the image of \mathbb{K}_i , as a scalar in \mathbf{U}_ς^v , must be ± 1 and thus $\varsigma_i = \pm v_i^{-2}$.)

A natural generalization of Kolb and Pellegrini's braid group action to the split affine rank one case is formulated in [BK20, §2] for equal parameters $\varsigma = (\varsigma_0, \varsigma_1)$, $\varsigma_0 = \varsigma_1$.

For $w \in \widetilde{W}$ with a reduced expression $w = \sigma s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_r}$, $\sigma \in \Omega$, we define $T_w = \sigma T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_r}$, where σ acts on \widetilde{U}^v by $\sigma(B_i) = B_{\sigma i}$, $\sigma(\mathbb{K}_i) = \mathbb{K}_{\sigma i}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{I}$. By Lemma 2.7, T_w is independent of the choice of reduced expressions for w .

The first property for this braid group action can be obtained by adapting [Lus89, §2.7] to our setting.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $x \in P$, $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_0$.*

- (a) *If $s_i x = x s_i$, then $T_i T_x = T_x T_i$.*
- (b) *If $s_i x s_i = \alpha_i^{-1} x = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{I}_0} \omega_k^{a_k}$, then we have $T_i^{-1} T_x T_i^{-1} = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{I}_0} T_{\omega_k}^{a_k}$, in particular, $T_i^{-1} T_{\omega_i} T_i^{-1} = T_{\omega_i}^{-1} \prod_{k \neq i} T_{\omega_k}^{-c_{ik}}$.*
- (c) $T_{\omega_i} T_{\omega_j} = T_{\omega_j} T_{\omega_i}$.

For $i \in \mathbb{I}$, just as in [Be94, §3], let $\omega'_i = \omega_i s_i$ and $\widetilde{U}_{[i]}^v$ be the subalgebra of \widetilde{U}^v generated by $B_i, T_{\omega'_i}(B_i), \mathbb{K}_i, \mathbb{K}_{\delta - \alpha_i}$. Since $l(\omega'_i) = l(\omega_i) - 1$, we have

$$(2.19) \quad T_{\omega_i} = T_{\omega'_i} T_i.$$

The following properties for this braid group action on \widetilde{U}^v are natural generalizations of corresponding results formulated in [LW20b, §3.3].

Lemma 2.10 ([LW20b, Lemma 3.5-3.6 and Proposition 3.9]). *Let $i \in \mathbb{I}$.*

- (a) *We have $T_w(B_i) = B_{wi}$, for any $w \in W$ such that $wi \in \mathbb{I}$.*
- (b) *We have $T_{\omega_j}(x) = x$, for any $j \neq i$ and $x \in \widetilde{U}_{[i]}^v$.*
- (c) *There exists a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{N}_i : \widetilde{U}^v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2) \rightarrow \widetilde{U}_{[i]}^v$, which sends $B_1 \mapsto B_i, B_0 \mapsto T_{\omega'_i}(B_i), \mathbb{K}_1 \mapsto \mathbb{K}_i, \mathbb{K}_0 \mapsto \mathbb{K}_{\delta} \mathbb{K}_i^{-1}$.*

3. DRINFELD TYPE PRESENTATIONS FOR AFFINE v QUANTUM GROUPS

3.1. A reduced Drinfeld type presentation for \widetilde{U}^v of split affine type. New generators $B_{i,k}, \Theta_{i,m}$ are introduced in [LW20b, (3.28)-(3.30)] for \widetilde{U}^v of split affine ADE type. We define elements $B_{i,k}, \Theta_{i,m}$ basically in the same way for \widetilde{U}^v of arbitrary split affine type.

Define a sign function

$$o(\cdot) : \mathbb{I} \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\},$$

such that $o(i)o(j) = -1$ whenever $c_{ij} < 0$.

Define elements $B_{i,k}, \acute{\Theta}_{i,m}, \Theta_{i,m}$ in \widetilde{U}^v for $i \in \mathbb{I}_0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \geq 1$ by

$$(3.1) \quad B_{i,k} = o(i)^k T_{\omega_i}^{-k}(B_i),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \acute{\Theta}_{i,m} = o(i)^m \left(-B_{i,m-1} T_{\omega'_i}(B_i) + v_i^2 T_{\omega'_i}(B_i) B_{i,m-1} \right. \\ \left. + (v_i^2 - 1) \sum_{p=0}^{m-2} B_{i,p} B_{i,m-p-2} \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} \mathbb{K}_{\delta} \right),$$

$$(3.3) \quad \Theta_{i,m} = \acute{\Theta}_{i,m} - \sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} (v_i^2 - 1)v_i^{-2a} \acute{\Theta}_{i,m-2a} \mathbb{K}_{a\delta} - \delta_{m,ev} v_i^{1-m} \mathbb{K}_{\frac{m}{2}\delta}.$$

In particular, $B_{i,0} = B_i$. $B_{i,k}, \Theta_{i,l}$ are homogeneous with respect to $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{I}$ -grading on $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ with weights

$$\text{wt}(B_{i,k}) = \alpha_i + k\delta, \quad \text{wt}(\Theta_{i,l}) = l\delta.$$

Set $\Theta_{i,0} = (v_i - v_i^{-1})^{-1}$, and $\Theta_{i,m} = 0$, for $m < 0$.

With root vectors defined above, a Drinfeld type presentation for the affine ι quantum group of split ADE type is introduced in [LW20b, §3.4]. By replacing v by v_i and adding the equal-index version of Serre relations, a current presentation for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ of arbitrary split affine type is given in Definition 3.1. We call it a reduced Drinfeld type presentation for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$, since it is an analogue of reduced Drinfeld presentation \mathbf{U}_{red} for affine quantum groups.

Definition 3.1. Let $\text{Dr}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^v$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by $\mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}, C^{\pm 1}, H_{i,m}$ and $B_{i,l}$, where $i \in \mathbb{I}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, subject to the following relations, for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{K}_i, C \text{ are central}, \quad [H_{i,m}, H_{j,n}] = 0, \quad \mathbb{K}_i \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} = 1, \quad CC^{-1} = 1,$$

$$(3.5) \quad [H_{i,m}, B_{j,l}] = \frac{[mc_{ij}]_{v_i}}{m} B_{j,l+m} - \frac{[mc_{ij}]_{v_i}}{m} B_{j,l-m} C^m,$$

$$(3.6) \quad [B_{i,k}, B_{j,l+1}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}} - v_i^{-c_{ij}} [B_{i,k+1}, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}} = 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

$$(3.7) \quad [B_{i,k}, B_{i,l+1}]_{v_i^{-2}} - v_i^{-2} [B_{i,k+1}, B_{i,l}]_{v_i^2} = v_i^{-2} \Theta_{i,l-k+1} C^k \mathbb{K}_i - v_i^{-4} \Theta_{i,l-k-1} C^{k+1} \mathbb{K}_i \\ + v_i^{-2} \Theta_{i,k-l+1} C^l \mathbb{K}_i - v_i^{-4} \Theta_{i,k-l-1} C^{l+1} \mathbb{K}_i,$$

$$(3.8) \quad [B_{i,k}, B_{j,l}] = 0, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = 0,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{s=0}^2 (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} B_{i,k}^{2-s} B_{j,l} B_{i,k}^s = -v_i^{-1} B_{j,l} \mathbb{K}_i C^k, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -1,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{s=0}^3 (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} B_{i,k}^{3-s} B_{j,l} B_{i,k}^s = -v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i}^2 (B_{i,k} B_{j,l} - B_{j,l} B_{i,k}) \mathbb{K}_i C^k, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -2,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{s=0}^4 (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} B_{i,k}^{4-s} B_{j,l} B_{i,k}^s = -v_i^{-1} (1 + [3]_{v_i}^2) (B_{j,l} B_{i,k}^2 + B_{i,k}^2 B_{j,l}) \mathbb{K}_i C^k \\ + v_i^{-1} [4]_{v_i} (1 + [2]_{v_i}^2) B_{i,k} B_{j,l} B_{i,k} \mathbb{K}_i C^k - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i}^2 B_{j,l} \mathbb{K}_i^2 C^{2k}, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -3,$$

where $\Theta_{i,m}$ are related to $H_{i,m}$ by the following functional equation:

$$(3.12) \quad 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} (v_i - v_i^{-1}) \Theta_{i,m} u^m = \exp \left((v_i - v_i^{-1}) \sum_{m \geq 1} H_{i,m} u^m \right).$$

Theorem 3.2. There is a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra isomorphism $\Phi_{red} : \text{Dr}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^v \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$, which sends

$$B_{i,k} \mapsto B_{i,k}, \quad H_{i,k} \mapsto H_{i,k}, \quad \Theta_{i,k} \mapsto \Theta_{i,k}, \quad \mathbb{K}_i \mapsto \mathbb{K}_i, \quad C \mapsto \mathbb{K}_\delta,$$

for $i \in \mathbb{I}_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 1$.

Proof. Most defining relations for ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^i$ are verified in $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ in similar ways as [LW20b], except the relation (3.5) for $i \neq j$. We postpone details of the proof of this relation to Section 4.

Relations (3.4)-(3.5) for $i = j$ and the relation (3.7) follow from Lemma 2.10(c) and the rank one computation offered by Lu and Wang; see [LW20b, Theorem 2.16] for a summary.

The relation (3.6) is proved as follows. Since $d_i c_{ij} = d_j c_{ji}$, we have $v_i^{c_{ij}} = v_j^{c_{ji}}$. Then the LHS of the relation (3.6) is symmetric with respect to (i, k) and (j, l) , i.e., we have

$$[B_{i,k}, B_{j,l+1}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}} - v_i^{-c_{ij}} [B_{i,k+1}, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}} = [B_{j,l}, B_{i,k+1}]_{v_j^{-c_{ji}}} - v_j^{-c_{ji}} [B_{j,l+1}, B_{i,k}]_{v_j^{c_{ji}}}.$$

Hence, it suffices to prove the relation (3.6) for $c_{ij} = -1, 0$. For these two cases, (3.6) is verified in the same way as [LW20b, §4.2] with the help of Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10(b).

The verification of the relation (3.5) for $i \neq j$ is given in Section 4, using other defining relations (3.6)-(3.7); note that proofs for these two relations, as provided above, do not need the relation (3.5), and hence we did not run into a circular.

The relation (3.4) for $i \neq j$ is verified using (3.5) and (3.7) in the similar way as [LW20b, §4.5]. Relations (3.8)-(3.11) are obtained by applying $\Gamma_{\omega_i}^{-k} \Gamma_{\omega_j}^{-l}$ to finite type Serre relations (2.14)-(2.17) respectively. Hence, Φ_{red} is a well defined homomorphism.

The surjectivity and injectivity of Φ_{red} follows by similar arguments in [LW20b, proof of Theorem 3.13]. (For surjectivity, one need to replace all ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ and ${}^{\text{Dr}}\mathbf{U}$ in their arguments by ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^i$ and ${}^{\text{Dr}}\mathbf{U}_{red}$ respectively, and follow similar arguments there.) \square

Define generating functions

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{B}_i(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} B_{i,k} z^k, \\ \Theta_i(z) = 1 + \sum_{m > 0} (v_i - v_i^{-1}) \Theta_{i,m} z^m, \\ \mathbf{H}_i(u) = \sum_{m \geq 1} H_{i,m} u^m, \\ \Delta(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C^k z^k. \end{cases}$$

Then (3.5) can be written in terms of generating functions as

$$(3.14) \quad (v_i - v_i^{-1}) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathbf{H}_i(z), \mathbf{B}_j(w) \right] \\ = \left(\frac{1}{1 - v_i^{c_{ij}} z w^{-1}} - \frac{1}{1 - v_i^{-c_{ij}} z w^{-1}} - \frac{1}{1 - v_i^{c_{ij}} z w} + \frac{1}{1 - v_i^{-c_{ij}} z w} \right) \mathbf{B}_j(w),$$

and (3.12) can be written as

$$(3.15) \quad \Theta_i(z) = \exp((v_i - v_i^{-1}) \mathbf{H}_i(z)).$$

Conjugate (3.14) by $\Theta_i(z)$. Since $(v_i - v_i^{-1}) \Theta_i(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathbf{H}_i(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Theta_i(z)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\Theta_i(z) \mathbf{B}_j(w) \Theta_i^{-1}(z)) \\ = \left(\frac{1}{1 - v_i^{c_{ij}} z w^{-1}} - \frac{1}{1 - v_i^{-c_{ij}} z w^{-1}} - \frac{1}{1 - v_i^{c_{ij}} z w C} + \frac{1}{1 - v_i^{-c_{ij}} z w C} \right) \Theta_i(z) \mathbf{B}_j(w) \Theta_i(z)^{-1}.$$

By integrating it with respect to z , we obtain the following equivalent formulation of (3.14)

$$(3.16) \quad \Theta_i(z)\mathbf{B}_j(w) = \left(\frac{1 - v_i^{-c_{ij}}zw^{-1}}{1 - v_i^{c_{ij}}zw^{-1}} \cdot \frac{1 - v_i^{c_{ij}}zwC}{1 - v_i^{-c_{ij}}zwC} \right) \mathbf{B}_j(w)\Theta_i(z).$$

Hence, (3.14) is equivalent to (3.16). Relation (3.16) can be written component-wisely as the following relation,

$$(3.17) \quad [\Theta_{i,k}, B_{j,l}] + [\Theta_{i,k-2}, B_{j,l}]C = v_i^{c_{ij}}[\Theta_{i,k-1}, B_{j,l+1}]_{v_i^{-2c_{ij}}} + v_i^{-c_{ij}}[\Theta_{i,k-1}, B_{j,l-1}]_{v_i^{2c_{ij}}}C.$$

Thus, (3.5) is equivalent to (3.17). See also [LW20b, Proposition 2.8] for the rank one case, and [LW20b, Proposition 3.12] for the general case.

Corollary 3.3. *There exists a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra antiautomorphism $\Psi : \text{Dr}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^i \rightarrow \text{Dr}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^i$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} B_{i,k} &\mapsto B_{i,-k}, & H_{i,l} &\mapsto C^{-l}H_{i,l}, & \Theta_{i,r} &\mapsto C^{-r}\Theta_{i,r}, \\ C &\mapsto C^{-1}, & \mathbb{K}_i &\mapsto \mathbb{K}_i, \end{aligned}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}, r, l > 0, i \in \mathbb{I}_0$.

Proof. Note that $\Psi^2 = 1$. It is straightforward to check that Ψ preserves defining relations (3.5)-(3.11) of $\text{Dr}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^i$. Hence, Ψ is a well-defined antiautomorphism of $\text{Dr}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^i$. \square

By computing weights in the sense of Remark 2.5, we can regard Ψ as the reflection $\alpha + k\delta \mapsto \alpha - k\delta, \alpha \in \mathcal{R}_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ on the affine root system \mathcal{R} . The effect of Ψ can be written in the generating function format by

$$\Psi(\mathbf{B}_i(w)) = \mathbf{B}_i(w^{-1}), \quad \Psi(\Delta(wz)\Theta_i(z)) = \Delta((zw)^{-1})\Theta_i(w^{-1}).$$

Let $\Theta_i(s, r)$ be a short notation for the RHS of (3.7), i.e.,

$$(3.18) \quad \Theta_i(s, r) := (-\Theta_{i,s-r+1}C^r + v_i^{-2}\Theta_{i,s-r-1}C^{r+1} - \Theta_{i,r-s+1}C^s + v_i^{-2}\Theta_{i,r-s-1}C^{s+1})\mathbb{K}_i.$$

Note that $\Theta_i(s, r) = \Theta_i(r, s)$. By a direct computation, we have

$$(3.19) \quad \Psi(\Theta_i(s, r)) = \Theta_i(-r-1, -s-1).$$

3.2. A Drinfeld type presentation for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ of split affine BCF type. In this section, we add the general version of Serre relations to the current presentation given in Definition 3.1; then we provide a Drinfeld type presentation in terms of generating functions in Theorem 3.4 for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, where \mathfrak{g} is allowed to be any finite type except G_2 .

Recall generating functions defined in (3.13). Define $\mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2, w_3|z; i, j)$ to be the following expression

$$(3.20) \quad \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^3 (-1)^{3-r} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} \mathbf{B}_i(w_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}_i(w_r) \mathbf{B}_j(z) \mathbf{B}_i(w_{r+1}) \cdots \mathbf{B}_i(w_3) \right\},$$

and similarly define $\mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2|z; i, j)$ to be the following expression

$$(3.21) \quad \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^2 (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} \mathbf{B}_i(w_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}_i(w_r) \mathbf{B}_j(z) \mathbf{B}_i(w_{r+1}) \cdots \mathbf{B}_i(w_2) \right\}.$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3) &= \frac{v_i^{-2}w_2^2w_3^{-1} - w_2}{1 + w_2w_1^{-1} + w_1w_3^{-1} + w_2^2w_3^{-2} + w_2^2w_1^{-1}w_3^{-1} + w_1w_2w_3^{-2} - ([3]_{v_i}^2 - 3)w_2w_3^{-1}}, \\ \psi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3) &= \frac{-1 - (1 - v_i^{-2})w_2w_3^{-1} + v_i^{-2}w_2^2w_3^{-2} - w_1w_3^{-1} + v_i^{-2}w_1w_2w_3^{-1}}{1 + w_2w_1^{-1} + w_1w_3^{-1} + w_2^2w_3^{-2} + w_2^2w_1^{-1}w_3^{-1} + w_1w_2w_3^{-2} - ([3]_{v_i}^2 - 3)w_2w_3^{-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Details for the proof of the following general version of Serre relations (3.27) and (3.28) are given in Section 5.

Theorem 3.4. *The universal affine iquantum group $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra $\text{Dr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ which is defined by generators $\mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}, C^{\pm 1}, \Theta_{i,m}, B_{i,k}$ ($i \in \mathbb{I}_0, m \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}$), subject to the following defining relations, for $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_0$:*

$$(3.22) \quad \mathbb{K}_i, C \text{ are central,} \quad \Theta_i(z)\Theta_j(w) = \Theta_j(w)\Theta_i(z),$$

$$(3.23) \quad \mathbf{B}_j(w)\Theta_i(z) = \left(\frac{1 - v_i^{c_{ij}}zw^{-1}}{1 - v_i^{-c_{ij}}zw^{-1}} \cdot \frac{1 - v_i^{-c_{ij}}zC}{1 - v_i^{c_{ij}}zC} \right) \Theta_i(z)\mathbf{B}_j(w),$$

$$(3.24) \quad (v_i^{c_{ij}}z - w)\mathbf{B}_i(z)\mathbf{B}_j(w) + (v_i^{c_{ij}}w - z)\mathbf{B}_j(w)\mathbf{B}_i(z) = 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

$$(3.25) \quad (v_i^2z - w)\mathbf{B}_i(z)\mathbf{B}_i(w) + (v_i^2w - z)\mathbf{B}_i(w)\mathbf{B}_i(z) \\ = v_i^{-2} \frac{\Delta(zw)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} ((v_i^2z - w)\Theta_i(w) + (v_i^2w - z)\Theta_i(z))\mathbb{K}_i,$$

$$(3.26) \quad \mathbf{B}_i(w)\mathbf{B}_j(z) - \mathbf{B}_j(z)\mathbf{B}_i(w) = 0, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = 0,$$

$$(3.27) \quad \mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2|z; i, j) \\ = -\text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1w_2)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} \frac{[2]_{v_i}zw_1^{-1}}{1 - v_i^2w_2w_1^{-1}} [\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i \\ - \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1w_2)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} \frac{1 + w_2w_1^{-1}}{1 - v_i^2w_2w_1^{-1}} [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{v_i^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i, \\ \text{if } c_{ij} = -1,$$

$$(3.28) \quad \mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2, w_3|z; i, j) \\ = v_i [2]_{v_i} [3]_{v_i} z^{-1} \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} \phi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3) [\mathbf{B}_i(w_1), [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{v_i^{-4}}] \mathbb{K}_i \\ - [3]_{v_i} z^{-1} \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} \phi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3) [[\mathbf{B}_j(z), \mathbf{B}_i(w_1)]_{v_i^{-2}}, \Theta_i(w_2)] \mathbb{K}_i \\ - v_i [2]_{v_i} \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} \psi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3) [[\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-4}}, \mathbf{B}_i(w_1)] \mathbb{K}_i \\ + \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} \psi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3) [\Theta_i(w_2), [\mathbf{B}_i(w_1), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-2}}] \mathbb{K}_i, \\ \text{if } c_{ij} = -2.$$

where $\phi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3), \psi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ are defined above.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, it suffices to show that ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^i$ is isomorphic to ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$. The componentwise version of relations (3.24)-(3.26) are the same as relations (3.6)-(3.8), and the componentwise version of the relation (3.23) is the relation (3.17). One can find a proof for this in [LW20b, Theorem 5.1]. By a direct computation, (3.9) is the $w_1^k w_2^k z^l$ component of (3.27), and (3.10) is the $w_1^k w_2^k w_3^k z^l$ component of (3.28). Hence, the map $\Phi_{red} : {}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^i \rightarrow {}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ by sending generators $\mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}, C^{\pm 1}, \Theta_{i,m}, B_{i,k}$ to those with same names is a well-defined homomorphism.

We will show in Section 5 that relations (3.27) and (3.28) can be derived from defining relations of ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^i$. Thus, the inverse of Φ_{red} constructed in the obvious way is well-defined, which implies Φ_{red} is an isomorphism. \square

Remark 3.5. As pointed out in the proof, when \mathfrak{g} is of ADE type, this presentation is identical to the one in [LW20b, §3.4] and thus Theorem 3.4 can be viewed as a generalization of their work.

Remark 3.6. As originally formulated in [LW20b, (3.32)(3.38)(5.6)], (3.27) can be written component-wisely as

$$(3.29) \quad \mathbb{S}(k_1, k_2 | l; i, j) = \mathbb{R}(k_1, k_2 | l; i, j),$$

where

$$(3.30) \quad \mathbb{S}(k_1, k_2 | l; i, j) = \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} (B_{i, k_1} B_{i, k_2} B_{j, l} - [2]_{v_i} B_{i, k_1} B_{j, l} B_{i, k_2} + B_{j, l} B_{i, k_1} B_{i, k_2}),$$

$$(3.31) \quad \mathbb{R}(k_1, k_2 | l; i, j) = \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} \mathbb{K}_i C^{k_1} \left(- \sum_{p \geq 0} v_i^{2p} [2]_{v_i} [\Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 - 2p - 1}, B_{j, l - 1}]_{v_i^{-2}} C^{p+1} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{p \geq 1} v_i^{2p-1} [2]_{v_i} [B_{j, l}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 - 2p}]_{v_i^{-2}} C^p - [B_{j, l}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1}]_{v_i^{-2}} \right).$$

Remark 3.7. One can obtain the componentwise formulas of (3.28) by expanding the denominators of $\phi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ and $\psi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3)$. Note that, after rewriting w_3^{-1} as $w_2 C$ using $\Delta(w_2 w_3)$, denominators of $\phi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3), \psi_i(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ have the form $1 + A$ such that w_3 and nonpositive powers of w_2 do not appear in A . Hence, once we expand the denominators, each component of the RHS will be a finite sum.

The constant component of (3.28) is the same as (2.16). The general componentwise formula of (3.28) is, however, too complicated to write down.

Remark 3.8. Relations (3.22)-(3.26) are homogeneous by a direct observation on their componentwise formulas. Relations (3.27) and (3.28) are also homogeneous, since they can be derived from relations (3.22)-(3.26) in Section 5.

Remark 3.9. Recall the filtration and $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{i,m}$ in Remark 2.5. For any $\beta = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}} n_i \alpha_i \in \mathcal{R}^+$, define its height to be

$$\text{ht}^+(\beta) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}} n_i.$$

Let $d = \text{ht}(\delta)$. By similar arguments in [BK20, Proposition 4.4],

$$B_{i,k} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{i,1+kd} \setminus \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{i,kd}, \quad \Theta_{i,l} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{i,ld} \setminus \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{i,ld-1}, \quad H_{i,l} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{i,ld} \setminus \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{i,ld-1},$$

and the images of $B_{i,k}, \Theta_{i,l}, H_{i,l}$ in $\text{gr}\mathbf{U}^z$ are, up to a $\mathbb{Q}(v)[\mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}]$ multiple, Drinfeld generators $x_{i,-k}^-, \varphi_{i,-l}, h_{i,-l}$ of \mathbf{U}^- respectively for $k \geq 0, l > 0$. Since $B_{i,-k} = -\frac{1}{[2]_v} [H_{i,k}, B_i] C^{-1} + B_{i,k} C^{-1}$ for $k > 0$, we have

$$B_{i,-k} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{z, 1+kd}.$$

We claim the $w_1^{k_1} w_2^{k_2} w_3^{k_3} z^l$ component of (3.28) for $k_1, k_2, k_3, l \geq 0$ reduces to the Serre relation (2.10) in $\text{gr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^z \cong \mathbf{U}^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}(v)[\mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1} | i \in \mathbb{I}]$. Observe that this component has the form

$$(3.32) \quad \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2, k_3} \sum_{t=0}^3 (-1)^t \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ t \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} B_{i, k_1} \cdots B_{i, k_t} B_{j, l} B_{i, k_{t+1}} \cdots B_{i, k_n}$$

$$= \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2, k_3} \left(\sum (*) \Theta_{i, k_2-s} B_{j, l'} B_{i, k_1+t} + \sum (*) \Theta_{i, k_2-s} B_{i, k_1+t} B_{j, l'} + \sum (*) B_{j, l'} \Theta_{i, k_2-s} B_{i, k_1+t} \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum (*) B_{i, k_1+t} \Theta_{i, k_2-s} B_{j, l'} + \sum (*) B_{j, l'} B_{i, k_1+t} \Theta_{i, k_2-s} + \sum (*) B_{i, k_1+t} B_{j, l'} \Theta_{i, k_2-s} \right).$$

where coefficients $(*)$ lie in $\mathbb{Q}(v)[\mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1} | i \in \mathbb{I}]$ and each sum ranges in $0 \leq s \leq k_2, -s \leq t \leq s, l' \in \{l, l+1\}$. By a direct computation of heights, the RHS lies in $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{z, 3+(k_1+k_2+k_3+l)d}$, while the LHS lies in $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{z, 4+(k_1+k_2+k_3+l)d} \setminus \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{z, 3+(k_1+k_2+k_3+l)d}$. Hence, the RHS of (3.32) disappears in $\text{gr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^z$, and thus the componentwise version of (3.28) reduces to the Serre relation (2.10) in the original Drinfeld presentation.

4. VERIFICATION OF THE RELATION (3.5)

In this section, we establish the relation (3.5) for $i \neq j$ in \mathbf{U}^z and complete the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Recall that (3.5) is equivalent to (3.17). Hence, it suffices to show that (3.17) for $i \neq j$ holds in \mathbf{U}^z . Fix $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_0$ and denote

$$(4.1) \quad Y_{k,l} = [\Theta_{i,k}, B_{j,l}] + [\Theta_{i,k-2}, B_{j,l}] C - v_i^{c_{ij}} [\Theta_{i,k-1}, B_{j,l+1}]_{v_i^{-2c_{ij}}} - v_i^{-c_{ij}} [\Theta_{i,k-1}, B_{j,l-1}]_{v_i^{2c_{ij}}} C.$$

Since $\Theta_{i,0} = \frac{1}{v_i - v_i^{-1}}$ and $\Theta_{i,k} = 0, \forall k < 0$ by our convention, $Y_{k,l} = 0$ if $k \leq 0$ and the relation (3.17) is equivalent to $Y_{k,l} = 0$.

We will show that $Y_{k,l} = 0$ for $k > 0, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^z$ in this section, in order to verify the relation (3.17). Other two defining relations (3.6) and (3.7) of $\text{Dr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{red}^z$ are allowed to be used in this section, since their proof does not need (3.5).

Recall some basic properties for q -brackets, which shall be used heavily in various computations in this section as well as remaining sections.

Lemma 4.1 ([Da12, Remark 4.17], also [Ji98, Introduction]). *Let $a, b, c \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^z, u, v, w \in \mathbb{C}(q) \setminus \{0\}$. We have*

- (1) $[a, b]_u = -u[b, a]_{u^{-1}},$
- (2) $[[a, b]_u, b]_v = [[a, b]_v, b]_u,$
- (3) $[[a, b]_u, c]_v = [a, [b, c]_{v/w}]_{uw} - u[b, [a, c]_w]_{v/uw}.$

4.1. **An induction on k .** Since the index l of $Y_{k,l}$ can be shifted using T_{ω_j} , it suffices to focus on k . We first establish an inductive formula on k , which relates $Y_{k,l}$ and $Y_{k+2,l}$. Such an induction is partially inspired by Damiani's reduction for the relation (2.7) affine quantum group [Da12, Proposition 7.15].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $k > 1$ or $k = 0$. We have for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$(4.2) \quad Y_{k+2,l} = v_i^{-2} Y_{k,l} C.$$

We also have $Y_{3,l} = (1 - v_i^{-2}) Y_{1,l} C$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Write $Y_{k+2,l} \mathbb{K}_i - v_i^{-2} Y_{k,l} C \mathbb{K}_i = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4$ for $k > 1$ or $k = 0$ where each summand Σ_i is defined and rewritten as follows:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &:= [\Theta_{i,k+2} - v_i^{-2} \Theta_{i,k} C, B_{j,l}] \mathbb{K}_i \\ &= - [[B_{i,k+2}, B_i]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l}] - [[B_{i,1}, B_{i,k+1}]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l}], \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &:= [\Theta_{i,k} - v_i^{-2} \Theta_{i,k-2} C, B_{j,l}] \mathbb{K}_i C \\ &= - [[B_{i,k+1}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l}] - [[B_{i,2}, B_{i,k}]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l}], \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} v_i^{-c_{ij}} \Sigma_3 &:= - [\Theta_{i,k+1} - v_i^{-2} \Theta_{i,k-1} C, B_{j,l+1}]_{v_i^{-2c_{ij}}} \mathbb{K}_i \\ &= [[B_{i,k+1}, B_i]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l+1}]_{v_i^{-2c_{ij}}} + [[B_{i,1}, B_{i,k}]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l+1}]_{v_i^{-2c_{ij}}} \\ &= [B_{i,k+1}, [B_i, B_{j,l+1}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2-c_{ij}}} - v_i^2 [B_i, [B_{i,k+1}, B_{j,l+1}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2-c_{ij}}} \\ &\quad + [B_{i,1}, [B_{i,k}, B_{j,l+1}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2-c_{ij}}} - v_i^2 [B_{i,k}, [B_{i,1}, B_{j,l+1}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2-c_{ij}}} \\ &= - [B_{i,k+1}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2-c_{ij}}} + v_i^2 [B_i, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,k+2}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2-c_{ij}}} \\ &\quad - [B_{i,1}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,k+1}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2-c_{ij}}} + v_i^2 [B_{i,k}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,2}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2-c_{ij}}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} v_i^{c_{ij}} \Sigma_4 &:= - [\Theta_{i,k+1} - v_i^{-2} \Theta_{i,k-1} C, B_{j,l-1}]_{v_i^{2c_{ij}}} C \mathbb{K}_i \\ &= [[B_{i,k+2}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l-1}]_{v_i^{2c_{ij}}} + [[B_{i,2}, B_{i,k+1}]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l-1}]_{v_i^{2c_{ij}}} \\ &= [B_{i,k+2}, [B_{i,1}, B_{j,l-1}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2+c_{ij}}} - v_i^2 [B_{i,1}, [B_{i,k+2}, B_{j,l-1}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2+c_{ij}}} \\ &\quad + [B_{i,2}, [B_{i,k+1}, B_{j,l-1}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2+c_{ij}}} - v_i^2 [B_{i,k+1}, [B_{i,2}, B_{j,l-1}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2+c_{ij}}} \\ &= - [B_{i,k+2}, [B_{j,l}, B_i]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2+c_{ij}}} + v_i^2 [B_{i,1}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,k+1}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2+c_{ij}}} \\ &\quad - [B_{i,2}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,k+1}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2+c_{ij}}} + v_i^2 [B_{i,k+1}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2+c_{ij}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where relation (3.7) is used in the first equality in each of (4.3)-(4.6), and relation (3.6) is used in the last equality of (4.5)-(4.6). Now, adding (4.3)-(4.6) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &Y_{k+2,l} \mathbb{K}_i - v_i^{-2} Y_{k,l} C \mathbb{K}_i = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4 \\ &= - [[B_{i,k+2}, B_i]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l}] - [[B_{i,1}, B_{i,k+1}]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l}] \\ &\quad - [[B_{i,k+1}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l}] - [[B_{i,2}, B_{i,k}]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l}] \\ &\quad - v_i^{c_{ij}} [B_{i,k+1}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2-c_{ij}}} + v_i^{2+c_{ij}} [B_i, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,k+2}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2-c_{ij}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -v_i^{c_{ij}} [B_{i,1}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,k+1}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2-c_{ij}}} + v_i^{2+c_{ij}} [B_{i,k}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,2}]_{v_i^{-c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2-c_{ij}}} \\
& -v_i^{-c_{ij}} [B_{i,k+2}, [B_{j,l}, B_i]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2+c_{ij}}} + v_i^{2-c_{ij}} [B_{i,1}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,k+1}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2+c_{ij}}} \\
& -v_i^{-c_{ij}} [B_{i,2}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,k+1}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{2+c_{ij}}} + v_i^{2-c_{ij}} [B_{i,k+1}, [B_{j,l}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^{c_{ij}}}]_{v_i^{-2+c_{ij}}} \\
& = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows by a direct computation using Lemma 4.1. Hence, $Y_{k+2,l} = v_i^{-2}Y_{k,l}C$ for $k > 1$ or $k = 0$. For $k = 1$, using a similar method, we have $Y_{3,l} = (1 - v_i^{-2})Y_{1,l}C$. \square

4.2. Base cases. By Proposition 4.2, $Y_{2m,l}$ is a scalar multiple of $Y_{0,l}$ and $Y_{2m-1,l}$ is a scalar multiple of $Y_{1,l}$ for $m > 0, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $Y_{0,l} = 0$ as discussed in the beginning of Section 4, it remains to show that $Y_{1,l} = 0$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We explain the underlying idea for proving the base case $Y_{1,l} = 0$, since details in the proof are quite technical. By the definition (4.1), we have

$$(4.7) \quad Y_{1,l} = [\Theta_{i,1}, B_{j,l}] - [c_{ij}]_{v_i} B_{j,l+1} + [c_{ij}]_{v_i} B_{j,l-1}C.$$

Since $\Theta_{i,1} = -[B_{i,1}, B_i]_{v_i^2}$ by (3.7), we replace $\Theta_{i,1}$ in (4.7) by the q -brackets of real root vectors and we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad Y_{1,l} = -[[B_{i,1}, B_i]_{v_i^2}, B_{j,l}] - [c_{ij}]_{v_i} B_{j,l+1} + [c_{ij}]_{v_i} B_{j,l-1}C.$$

We prove that the RHS of (4.8) equal 0 in separate cases depending on $c_{ij}, i, j \in \mathbb{I}_0$. For $c_{ij} = -1$, we use the finite type Serre relation (2.14). For $c_{ij} = -2$, we use the formulas of T_i, T_i^{-1} in Lemma 2.7. For $c_{ij} = -3$, we use both of the finite type Serre relation (2.16) and the formulas of T_i, T_i^{-1} .

We also recall that, by Lemma 2.10(b) and the construction of real root vectors, T_{ω_j} fixes $B_{i,k}$ for any $j \neq i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ while T_{ω_i} sends $B_{i,k}$ to $o(i)B_{i,k-1}$.

We now start to prove $Y_{1,l} = 0$ case by case.

(1) $c_{ij} = c_{ji} = 0$. In this case, since both $B_i, B_{i,1}$ commute with $B_{j,l}$, $\Theta_{i,1}$ commutes with $B_{j,l}$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $Y_{1,l} = 0$.

(2) $c_{ij} = c_{ji} = -1$. We rewrite the finite type Serre relation (2.15) in terms of q -brackets as

$$(4.9) \quad [B_i, [B_i, B_j]_{v_i}]_{v_i^{-1}} = -v_i^{-1}B_j\mathbb{K}_i, \quad [[B_j, B_i]_{v_i}, B_i]_{v_i^{-1}} = -v_i^{-1}B_j\mathbb{K}_i.$$

i.e. each of these two relations is equivalent to (2.15).

Applying $o(j)^l T_{\omega_j}^{-l} T_{\omega_i}^{-k}$ to them, for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(4.10) \quad [B_{i,k}, [B_{i,k}, B_{j,l}]_{v_i}]_{v_i^{-1}} = -v_i^{-1}B_{j,l}\mathbb{K}_i C^k, \quad [[B_{j,l}, B_{i,k}]_{v_i}, B_{i,k}]_{v_i^{-1}} = -v_i^{-1}B_{j,l}\mathbb{K}_i C^k.$$

We now compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\Theta_{i,1}, B_j]\mathbb{K}_i \stackrel{(3.7)}{=} -[[B_{i,1}, B_i]_{v_i^2}, B_j] \\
& = -[B_{i,1}, [B_i, B_j]_{v_i}]_{v_i} + v_i^2 [B_i, [B_{i,1}, B_j]_{v_i^{-1}}]_{v_i^{-1}} \\
& \stackrel{(3.6)}{=} [B_{i,1}, [B_{j,-1}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i}]_{v_i} - v_i^2 [B_i, [B_{j,1}, B_i]_{v_i^{-1}}]_{v_i^{-1}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{(4.10)}{=} B_{j,-1}\mathbb{K}_i C - B_{j,1}\mathbb{K}_i.$$

Hence, $Y_{1,0} = 0$, and by applying $T_{\omega_j}^{-l}$, we get $Y_{1,l} = 0$.

(3) $c_{ij} = -2$, $c_{ji} = -1$. We first write $T_i(B_j)$ defined in Lemma 2.7 in terms of q -brackets as

$$(4.11) \quad [2]_{v_i} T_i(B_j) = [[B_j, B_i]_{v_i^2}, B_i] + [2]_{v_i} B_j \mathbb{K}_i,$$

$$(4.12) \quad [2]_{v_i} T_i^{-1}(B_j) = [B_i, [B_i, B_j]_{v_i^2}] + [2]_{v_i} B_j \mathbb{K}_i.$$

Since T_i, T_{ω_j} commute by Lemma 2.9(a), applying $o(j)^l T_{\omega_j}^{-l}$ to these equalities, we have for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(4.13) \quad [2]_{v_i} T_i(B_{j,l}) = [[B_{j,l}, B_i]_{v_i^2}, B_i] + [2]_{v_i} B_{j,l} \mathbb{K}_i,$$

$$(4.14) \quad [2]_{v_i} T_i^{-1}(B_{j,l}) = [B_i, [B_i, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^2}] + [2]_{v_i} B_{j,l} \mathbb{K}_i.$$

Apply $T_{\omega_i}^{-1}$ to (4.13), we have

$$(4.15) \quad [2]_{v_i} T_{\omega_i}^{-1} T_i(B_{j,l}) = [[B_{j,l}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^2}, B_{i,1}] + [2]_{v_i} B_{j,l} \mathbb{K}_i C.$$

We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} [\Theta_{i,1}, B_j] \mathbb{K}_i &\stackrel{(3.7)}{=} -[[B_{i,1}, B_i]_{v_i^2}, B_j] \\ &= -[B_{i,1}, [B_i, B_j]_{v_i^2}] + v_i^2 [B_i, [B_{i,1}, B_j]_{v_i^{-2}}] \\ &\stackrel{(3.6)}{=} [B_{i,1}, [B_{j,-1}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^2}] - v_i^2 [B_i, [B_{j,1}, B_i]_{v_i^{-2}}] \\ &\stackrel{(4.14)}{=} [B_{i,1}, [B_{j,-1}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^2}] + [2]_{v_i} T_i^{-1}(B_{j,1}) - [2]_{v_i} B_{j,1} \mathbb{K}_i \\ &\stackrel{(4.15)}{=} -[2]_{v_i} T_{\omega_i}^{-1} T_i(B_{j,-1}) + [2]_{v_i} B_{j,-1} \mathbb{K}_i C + [2]_{v_i} T_i^{-1}(B_{j,1}) - [2]_{v_i} B_{j,1} \mathbb{K}_i \\ &= [2]_{v_i} B_{j,-1} C \mathbb{K}_i - [2]_{v_i} B_{j,1} \mathbb{K}_i - [2]_{v_i} (T_{\omega_i}^{-1} T_i(B_{j,-1}) - T_i^{-1}(B_{j,1})) \\ &= [2]_{v_i} B_{j,-1} C \mathbb{K}_i - [2]_{v_i} B_{j,1} \mathbb{K}_i, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from $T_i T_{\omega_i}^{-1} T_i = T_{\omega_i} T_{\omega_j}^{-2} \prod_{k \neq i, j} T_{\omega_k}^{c_{ik}}$, which is given by Lemma 2.9(b). Hence, $Y_{1,0} = 0$, and by applying $T_{\omega_j}^{-l}$, we get $Y_{1,l} = 0$.

(4) $c_{ij} = -3$, $c_{ji} = -1$. Without loss of generality, assume $o(i) = 1$. In this case, we rewrite $T_i(B_j)$ in Lemma 2.7 as

$$(4.16) \quad T_i(B_j) = \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} \left[[[B_j, B_i]_{v_i^3}, B_i]_{v_i}, B_i \right]_{v_i^{-1}} + \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} v_i^{-1} [B_j, B_i]_{v_i^3} \mathbb{K}_i + [B_j, B_i]_{v_i} \mathbb{K}_i,$$

$$(4.17) \quad T_i^{-1}(B_j) = \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} \left[B_i, [B_i, [B_i, B_j]_{v_i^3}]_{v_i} \right]_{v_i^{-1}} + \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} v_i^{-1} [B_i, B_j]_{v_i^3} \mathbb{K}_i + [B_i, B_j]_{v_i} \mathbb{K}_i.$$

Since T_i, T_{ω_j} commute, applying $o(j)^l T_{\omega_j}^{-l}$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ to these equalities, we have

$$(4.18) \quad T_i(B_{j,l}) = \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} \left[[[B_{j,l}, B_i]_{v_i^3}, B_i]_{v_i}, B_i \right]_{v_i^{-1}} + \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} v_i^{-1} [B_{j,l}, B_i]_{v_i^3} \mathbb{K}_i + [B_{j,l}, B_i]_{v_i} \mathbb{K}_i,$$

$$(4.19) \quad \mathbb{T}_i^{-1}(B_{j,l}) = \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} \left[B_i, [B_i, [B_i, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^3}]_{v_i} \right]_{v_i^{-1}} + \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} v_i^{-1} [B_i, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^3} \mathbb{K}_i + [B_i, B_{j,l}]_{v_i} \mathbb{K}_i.$$

In particular, for $l = -1$ and 1 respectively, we have

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} [\mathbb{T}_i(B_{j,-1}), B_i]_{v_i^{-3}} &= \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} \left[\left[[B_{j,-1}, B_i]_{v_i^3}, B_i \right]_{v_i^{-1}}, B_i \right]_{v_i^{-3}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} v_i^{-1} [B_{j,-1}, B_i]_{v_i^3} [B_i]_{v_i^{-3}} \mathbb{K}_i + [B_{j,-1}, B_i]_{v_i} [B_i]_{v_i^{-3}} \mathbb{K}_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} [B_i, \mathbb{T}_i^{-1}(B_{j,1})]_{v_i^{-3}} &= \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} \left[B_i, \left[B_i, [B_i, [B_i, B_{j,1}]_{v_i^3}]_{v_i} \right]_{v_i^{-1}} \right]_{v_i^{-3}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} v_i^{-1} [B_i, [B_i, B_{j,1}]_{v_i^3}]_{v_i^{-3}} \mathbb{K}_i + [B_i, [B_i, B_{j,1}]_{v_i}] \mathbb{K}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Apply $\mathbb{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}$ to (4.20), since $\mathbb{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1} \mathbb{T}_i(B_i) = \mathbb{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(B_i \mathbb{K}_i^{-1}) = B_{i,1} \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} C^{-1}$, we have

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1} \mathbb{T}_i([B_{j,-1}, B_i]_{v_i^{-3}}) \mathbb{K}_i C &= \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} \left[\left[[B_{j,-1}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^3}, B_{i,1} \right]_{v_i^{-1}}, B_{i,1} \right]_{v_i^{-3}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{[3]_{v_i}!} v_i^{-1} [B_{j,-1}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^3} [B_{i,1}]_{v_i^{-3}} \mathbb{K}_i C \\ &\quad + [B_{j,-1}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i} [B_{i,1}]_{v_i^{-3}} \mathbb{K}_i C. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we also rewrite the finite type Serre relation (2.17) as

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \left[\left[[B_j, B_i]_{v_i^3}, B_i \right]_{v_i^{-1}}, B_i \right]_{v_i^{-3}} &= -v_i^{-1} (1 + [3]_{v_i}^2) (B_j B_i^2 + B_i^2 B_j) \mathbb{K}_i \\ &\quad + v_i^{-1} [4]_{v_i} (1 + [2]_{v_i}^2) B_i B_j B_i \mathbb{K}_i - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i}^2 B_j \mathbb{K}_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Apply $o(j) \mathbb{T}_{\omega_j}^{-1}$ and we obtain

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \left[\left[[B_{j,1}, B_i]_{v_i^3}, B_i \right]_{v_i^{-1}}, B_i \right]_{v_i^{-3}} &= -v_i^{-1} (1 + [3]_{v_i}^2) (B_{j,1} B_i^2 + B_i^2 B_{j,1}) \mathbb{K}_i \\ &\quad + v_i^{-1} [4]_{v_i} (1 + [2]_{v_i}^2) B_i B_{j,1} B_i \mathbb{K}_i - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i}^2 B_{j,1} \mathbb{K}_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note the leading term (of degree 5) on the RHS of (4.21) coincides with the LHS of (4.24). We substitute it using (4.24) and simplify as

$$(4.25) \quad [3]_{v_i} [2]_{v_i} [B_i, \mathbb{T}_i^{-1}(B_{j,1})]_{v_i^{-3}} = [3]_{v_i} [B_i, [B_{j,1}, B_i]_{v_i^{-3}}]_{v_i} \mathbb{K}_i - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i}^2 B_{j,1} \mathbb{K}_i^2.$$

Similarly, we apply $\mathbb{T}_{\omega_j} \mathbb{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}$ to (4.23), by Lemma 4.1(a),

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \left[B_{i,1}, \left[B_{i,1}, [B_{i,1}, [B_{i,1}, B_{j,-1}]_{v_i^3}]_{v_i} \right]_{v_i^{-1}} \right]_{v_i^{-3}} &= -v_i^{-1} (1 + [3]_{v_i}^2) (B_{j,-1} B_{i,1}^2 + B_{i,1}^2 B_{j,-1}) \mathbb{K}_i \\ &\quad + v_i^{-1} [4]_{v_i} (1 + [2]_{v_i}^2) B_{i,1} B_{j,-1} B_{i,1} \mathbb{K}_i C - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i}^2 B_{j,-1} \mathbb{K}_i^2 C^2. \end{aligned}$$

and then we substitute the leading term of RHS of (4.22) using (4.26). We obtain

$$[3]_{v_i} [2]_{v_i} \mathbb{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1} \mathbb{T}_i([B_{j,-1}, B_i]_{v_i^{-3}}) \mathbb{K}_i C = [3]_{v_i} [B_{i,1}, [B_{j,-1}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^{-3}}]_{v_i} \mathbb{K}_i C - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i}^2 B_{j,-1} \mathbb{K}_i^2 C^2,$$

which can be simplified as

$$(4.27) \quad [2]_{v_i} T_{\omega_i}^{-1} T_i [B_{j,-1}, B_i]_{v_i^{-3}} = [[B_{i,1}, B_{j,-1}]_{v_i^{-3}}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i} - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i} B_{j,-1} \mathbb{K}_i C.$$

We now compute $Y_{1,0}$ in this case.

$$\begin{aligned} [\Theta_{i,1}, B_j] \mathbb{K}_i &\stackrel{(3.7)}{=} -[[B_{i,1}, B_i]_{v_i^2}, B_j] \\ &= -[B_{i,1}, [B_i, B_j]_{v_i^3}]_{v_i^{-1}} + v_i^2 [B_i, [B_{i,1}, B_j]_{v_i^{-3}}]_{v_i} \\ &\stackrel{(3.6)}{=} [B_{i,1}, [B_{j,-1}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i^3}]_{v_i^{-1}} - v_i^2 [B_i, [B_{j,1}, B_i]_{v_i^{-3}}]_{v_i} \\ &= v_i^2 [[B_{i,1}, B_{j,-1}]_{v_i^{-3}}, B_{i,1}]_{v_i} - v_i^2 [B_i, [B_{j,1}, B_i]_{v_i^{-3}}]_{v_i} \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} [3]_{v_i} B_{j,-1} \mathbb{K}_i C - [3]_{v_i} B_{j,1} \mathbb{K}_i \\ &\quad + v_i^2 [2]_{v_i} \left(T_{\omega_i}^{-1} T_i [B_{j,-1}, B_i]_{v_i^{-3}} - [B_i, T_i^{-1}(B_{j,1})]_{v_i^{-3}} \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} \right) \\ &= [3]_{v_i} B_{j,-1} \mathbb{K}_i C - [3]_{v_i} B_{j,1} \mathbb{K}_i + v_i^2 [2]_{v_i} T_i^{-1} \left(T_i T_{\omega_i}^{-1} T_i [B_{j,-1}, B_i]_{v_i^{-3}} - [B_i, B_{j,1}]_{v_i^{-3}} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{=} [3]_{v_i} B_{j,-1} \mathbb{K}_i C - [3]_{v_i} B_{j,1} \mathbb{K}_i + v_i^2 [2]_{v_i} T_i^{-1} \left(-[B_{j,2}, B_{i,-1}]_{v_i^{-3}} - [B_i, B_{j,1}]_{v_i^{-3}} \right), \\ &\stackrel{(3.6)}{=} [3]_{v_i} B_{j,-1} \mathbb{K}_i C - [3]_{v_i} B_{j,1} \mathbb{K}_i \end{aligned}$$

where step (*) follows by applying (4.27) to the first term and applying (4.25) to the second term, and step (**) follows from $T_i T_{\omega_i}^{-1} T_i = T_{\omega_i} T_{\omega_j}^{-3}$ given in Lemma 2.9(b). (also $o(j) = -1$ gives the red additional sign in this step) Hence, $Y_{1,0} = 0$ and by applying $T_{\omega_j}^{-l}$ we have $Y_{1,l} = 0$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5. VERIFICATION OF SERRE RELATIONS

The goal of this section is to establish general Serre relations (3.27)-(3.28) in $\text{Dr} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{red}^{\iota}$. We first recover the general Serre relation (3.27) formulated in [LW20b] for $c_{ij} = -1$, using a more straightforward approach compared with the original one. We generalize this approach and offer several formulations for the Serre relation for $c_{ij} = -2$. We obtain two symmetric formulations in §5.2. Using these symmetric formulations, we derive the relation (3.28) in terms of generating functions and finish the proof of Theorem 3.4 in §5.3.

5.1. Serre relation for $c_{ij} = -1$. Let $c_{ij} = -1$. Recall the notation $\mathbb{S}(k_1, k_2 | l; i, j)$ introduced in (3.30) and denote it by $\mathbb{S}(k_1, k_2 | l)$ for short. The Serre relation (3.9), together with the relation (3.5), is verified in [LW20b, §4.7-4.8], using a spiral induction. In this section, we give a new proof for the Serre relation (3.9), without the help of relation (3.5). To begin with, we recall two technical lemmas from their paper.

Lemma 5.1 ([LW20b, Lemma 4.13]). *For $k_1, k_2, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{S}(k_1, k_2 + 1 | l) + \mathbb{S}(k_1 + 1, k_2 | l) - [2]_{v_i} \mathbb{S}(k_1, k_2 | l + 1) \\ &= \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} \left(-[\Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 + 1}, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^{-2}} C^{k_1} + v_i^{-2} [\Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 - 1}, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^{-2}} C^{k_1 + 1} \right) \mathbb{K}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2 ([LW20b, Lemma 4.9]). *For $k_1, k_2, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{S}(k_1, k_2 + 1|l) + \mathbb{S}(k_1 + 1, k_2|l) - [2]_{v_i} \mathbb{S}(k_1 + 1, k_2 + 1|l - 1) \\ &= \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} \left(- [B_{jl}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 + 1}]_{v_i^{-2}} C^{k_1} + v_i^{-2} [B_{jl}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 - 1}]_{v_i^{-2}} C^{k_1 + 1} \right) \mathbb{K}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2|z) = \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \{ \mathbf{B}_i(w_1) \mathbf{B}_i(w_2) \mathbf{B}_j(z) - [2]_{v_i} \mathbf{B}_i(w_1) \mathbf{B}_j(z) \mathbf{B}_i(w_2) + \mathbf{B}_j(z) \mathbf{B}_i(w_1) \mathbf{B}_i(w_2) \}.$$

Lemma 5.1 and 5.2 can be written in terms of generating functions respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad & (w_1^{-1} + w_2^{-1} - [2]_{v_i} z^{-1}) \mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2|z) \\ &= \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1 w_2)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_1^{-1} - w_2^{-1}) [\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad & (w_1 + w_2 - [2]_{v_i} z) \mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2|z) \\ &= \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1 w_2)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_2 - w_1) [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{v_i^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then we calculate (5.1) \times $[2]_{v_i} z +$ (5.2) \times $(w_1^{-1} + w_2^{-1})$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad & (w_1 - v_i^2 w_2)(w_2^{-1} - v_i^{-2} w_1^{-1}) \mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2|z) \\ &= [2]_{v_i} z \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1 w_2)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_1^{-1} - w_2^{-1}) [\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i \\ & \quad + \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1 w_2)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_2 - w_1)(w_1^{-1} + w_2^{-1}) [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{v_i^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i. \end{aligned}$$

We also calculate (5.1) \times $(w_1 + w_2) +$ (5.2) \times $[2]_{v_i} z^{-1}$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4) \quad & (w_1 - v_i^2 w_2)(w_2^{-1} - v_i^{-2} w_1^{-1}) \mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2|z) \\ &= \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1 w_2)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_1^{-1} - w_2^{-1})(w_1 + w_2) [\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i \\ & \quad + [2]_{v_i} z^{-1} \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1 w_2)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_2 - w_1) [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{v_i^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Simplify (5.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5) \quad & \mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2|z) = - \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1 w_2)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} \frac{[2]_{v_i} z}{w_1 - v_i^2 w_2} [\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i \\ & \quad - \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2} \frac{\Delta(w_1 w_2)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} \frac{w_1 + w_2}{w_1 - v_i^2 w_2} [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{v_i^{-2}} \mathbb{K}_i, \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly (3.27).

5.2. Symmetric formulation. We now forward to the case $c_{ij} = -2$. Two symmetric formulations (5.6) and (5.7), generalizing Lemma 5.1 and 5.2, are formulated and verified in this section. Denote

$$S(k_1, k_2, k_3|l) = \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2, k_3} \sum_{s=0}^3 (-1)^s \left[\begin{matrix} 3 \\ s \end{matrix} \right]_{v_i} B_{i, k_1} \cdots B_{i, k_s} B_{j, l} B_{i, k_{s+1}} \cdots B_{i, k_3}.$$

Note that $S(k_1, k_2, k_3|l)$ is symmetric with respect to the first three components.

Proposition 5.3. *We have, for any k_1, k_2, k_3 ,*

$$(5.6) \quad S(k_1, k_2, k_3 + 1|l) + S(k_1 + 1, k_2, k_3|l) + S(k_1, k_2 + 1, k_3|l) - [3]_{v_i} S(k_1, k_2, k_3|l + 1) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2, k_3} \left(-v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i} [[\Theta_i(k_2, k_3), B_{j, l}]_{v_i^{-2}}, B_{i, k_1}]_{v_i^2} + [\Theta_i(k_2, k_3), [B_{i, k_1}, B_{j, l}]_{v_i^2}]_{v_i^{-4}} \right)$$

The following relation can be obtained from (5.6) by applying Ψ .

$$(5.7) \quad S(k_1 - 1, k_2, k_3|l) + S(k_1, k_2 - 1, k_3|l) + S(k_1, k_2, k_3 - 1|l) - [3]_{v_i} S(k_1, k_2, k_3|l - 1) \\ = \frac{1}{2} v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i} \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2, k_3} [B_{i, k_3}, [B_{j, l}, \Theta_i(k_1 - 1, k_2 - 1)]_{v_i^{-2}}]_{v_i^2} \\ - \frac{1}{2} \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2, k_3} [[B_{j, l}, B_{i, k_3}]_{v_i^2}, \Theta_i(k_1 - 1, k_2 - 1)]_{v_i^{-4}}$$

Proof of Proposition 5.3. Recall the definition of $\Theta_i(s, r)$ from (3.18). Since $\Theta_i(s, r) = \Theta_i(r, s)$, we have $\text{Sym}_{r, s} \Theta_i(s, r) = 2\Theta_i(s, r)$. We rewrite (5.6) in the following equivalent form,

$$(5.8) \quad S(k_1, k_2, k_3 + 1|l) + S(k_1 + 1, k_2, k_3|l) + S(k_1, k_2 + 1, k_3|l) - [3]_{v_i} S(k_1, k_2, k_3|l + 1) \\ = -v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i} [[\Theta_i(k_2, k_3), B_{j, l}]_{v_i^{-2}}, B_{i, k_1}]_{v_i^2} + [\Theta_i(k_2, k_3), [B_{i, k_1}, B_{j, l}]_{v_i^2}]_{v_i^{-4}} \\ - v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i} [[\Theta_i(k_1, k_3), B_{j, l}]_{v_i^{-2}}, B_{i, k_2}]_{v_i^2} + [\Theta_i(k_1, k_3), [B_{i, k_2}, B_{j, l}]_{v_i^2}]_{v_i^{-4}} \\ - v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i} [[\Theta_i(k_1, k_2), B_{j, l}]_{v_i^{-2}}, B_{i, k_3}]_{v_i^2} + [\Theta_i(k_1, k_2), [B_{i, k_3}, B_{j, l}]_{v_i^2}]_{v_i^{-4}}.$$

Denote

$$(5.9) \quad R(k_1, k_2, k_3|l) = \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} \left(B_{i, k_1} B_{i, k_2} [B_{i, k_3}, B_{j, l}]_{v_i^2} - v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i} B_{i, k_1} [B_{i, k_3}, B_{j, l}]_{v_i^2} B_{i, k_2} \right. \\ \left. + v_i^{-2} [B_{i, k_3}, B_{j, l}]_{v_i^2} B_{i, k_1} B_{i, k_2} \right).$$

Note that $R(k_1, k_2, k_3|l)$ is only symmetric with respect to its first two components. In fact, $R(k_1, k_2, k_3|l)$ plays the role of breaking the symmetry of $S(k_1, k_2, k_3|l)$ as it satisfies

$$(5.10) \quad S(k_1, k_2, k_3|l) = R(k_1, k_2, k_3|l) + R(k_1, k_3, k_2|l) + R(k_2, k_3, k_1|l).$$

We compute

$$(5.11) \quad S(k_1, k_2, k_3 + 1|l) - [3]_{v_i} R(k_1, k_2, k_3|l + 1) \\ = \left\{ (1 + v_i^2) B_{i, k_1} [B_{i, k_3+1}, B_{i, k_2}]_{v_i^2} B_{j, l} + [B_{i, k_3+1}, B_{i, k_1}]_{v_i^2} B_{i, k_2} B_{j, l} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - [3]_{v_i} [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} B_{j,l} B_{i,k_2} - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i} B_{i,k_1} B_{j,l} [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} \\
& + v_i^{-2} B_{j,l} B_{i,k_1} [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} + (v_i^{-2} + v_i^{-4}) B_{j,l} [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} B_{i,k_2} \Big\} \\
& + \{k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2\}$ represents the element obtained by swapping k_1, k_2 in the first curly brackets.

Rewrite (5.11) using the symmetrizer as

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.12) \quad & S(k_1, k_2, k_3 + 1|l) - [3]_{v_i} R(k_1, k_2, k_3|l + 1) \\
& = \left\{ (1 + v_i^2) B_{i,k_1} [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} B_{j,l} + [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} B_{i,k_1} B_{j,l} \right. \\
& \quad - [3]_{v_i} [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} B_{j,l} B_{i,k_1} - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i} B_{i,k_1} B_{j,l} [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} \\
& \quad \left. + v_i^{-2} B_{j,l} B_{i,k_1} [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} + (v_i^{-2} + v_i^{-4}) B_{j,l} [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} B_{i,k_1} \right\} \\
& + \{k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the relation (3.7) implies that

$$(5.13) \quad [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} = \Theta_i(k_1, k_3) - [B_{i,k_1+1}, B_{i,k_3}]_{v_i^2},$$

$$(5.14) \quad [B_{i,k_3+1}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} = \Theta_i(k_2, k_3) - [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_3}]_{v_i^2}.$$

Substitute (5.13) and (5.14) into (5.12), and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.15) \quad & S(k_1, k_2, k_3 + 1|l) - [3]_{v_i} R(k_1, k_2, k_3|l + 1) \\
& = - \left\{ (1 + v_i^2) B_{i,k_1} [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_3}]_{v_i^2} B_{j,l} + [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_3}]_{v_i^2} B_{i,k_1} B_{j,l} \right. \\
& \quad - [3]_{v_i} [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_3}]_{v_i^2} B_{j,l} B_{i,k_1} - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i} B_{i,k_1} B_{j,l} [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_3}]_{v_i^2} \\
& \quad \left. + v_i^{-2} B_{j,l} B_{i,k_1} [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_3}]_{v_i^2} + (v_i^{-2} + v_i^{-4}) B_{j,l} [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_3}]_{v_i^2} B_{i,k_1} \right\} \\
& - \{k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2\} \\
& + Q_{1,2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_{1,2}$ denotes all terms involving the imaginary root vectors

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{1,2} = & - v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i} [[\Theta_i(k_2, k_3), B_{j,l}]_{v_i^{-2}}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} + [\Theta_i(k_2, k_3), [B_{i,k_1}, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^2}]_{v_i^{-4}} \\
& - v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i} [[\Theta_i(k_1, k_3), B_{j,l}]_{v_i^{-2}}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} + [\Theta_i(k_1, k_3), [B_{i,k_2}, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^2}]_{v_i^{-4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We recognize that the first $\left\{ \right\}$ -term on the RHS of (5.15) is the same as the $\left\{ \right\}$ -term on the RHS of (5.12), up to a swap of indices $k_2 \leftrightarrow k_3$. Hence, we replace the one in (5.15) by (5.12). We do the same thing for the term $\{k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2\}$ in (5.15).

$$\begin{aligned}
& S(k_1, k_2, k_3 + 1|l) - [3]_{v_i} R(k_1, k_2, k_3|l + 1) \\
& = - \left(S(k_1, k_3, k_2 + 1|l) - [3]_{v_i} R(k_1, k_3, k_2|l + 1) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.16) \quad & + \left\{ (1 + v_i^2) B_{i,k_3} [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} B_{j,l} + [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} B_{i,k_3} B_{j,l} \right. \\
& - [3]_{v_i} [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} B_{j,l} B_{i,k_3} - v_i^{-2} [3]_{v_i} B_{i,k_3} B_{j,l} [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} \\
& \left. + v_i^{-2} B_{j,l} B_{i,k_3} [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} + (v_i^{-2} + v_i^{-4}) B_{j,l} [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} B_{i,k_3} \right\} \\
& - \left(S(k_2, k_3, k_1 + 1|l) - [3]_{v_i} R(k_2, k_3, k_1|l + 1) \right) + \left\{ k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2 \right\} \\
& + Q_{1,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.7), we have the following relation

$$(5.17) \quad [B_{i,k_2+1}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} + [B_{i,k_1+1}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} = \Theta_i(k_1, k_2).$$

Now we can apply the above relation to those two $\left\{ \right\}$ -terms in (5.16) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.18) \quad & S(k_1, k_2, k_3 + 1|l) + S(k_1 + 1, k_2, k_3|l) + S(k_1, k_2 + 1, k_3|l) \\
& - [3]_{v_i} (R(k_1, k_2, k_3|l + 1) + R(k_1, k_3, k_2|l + 1) + R(k_2, k_3, k_1|l + 1)) \\
& = - v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i} [\Theta_i(k_2, k_3), B_{j,l}]_{v_i^{-2}}, B_{i,k_1}]_{v_i^2} + [\Theta_i(k_2, k_3), [B_{i,k_1}, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^2}]_{v_i^{-4}} \\
& - v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i} [\Theta_i(k_1, k_3), B_{j,l}]_{v_i^{-2}}, B_{i,k_2}]_{v_i^2} + [\Theta_i(k_1, k_3), [B_{i,k_2}, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^2}]_{v_i^{-4}} \\
& - v_i^{-1} [2]_{v_i} [\Theta_i(k_1, k_2), B_{j,l}]_{v_i^{-2}}, B_{i,k_3}]_{v_i^2} + [\Theta_i(k_1, k_2), [B_{i,k_3}, B_{j,l}]_{v_i^2}]_{v_i^{-4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (5.10), we obtain (5.8) from (5.18), as desired. \square

5.3. Generating function formulation. By taking suitable linear combination of two symmetric formulations, we derive the Serre relation (3.28) and thus finish the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Fix $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_0$ such that $c_{ij} = -2$. Recall the notation $\mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2, w_3|z; i, j)$ from (3.20) and denote it by $\mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2, w_3|z)$ for short.

We can rewrite (5.6) in terms of generating functions as

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.19) \quad & (w_1^{-1} + w_2^{-1} + w_3^{-1} - [3]_{v_i} z^{-1}) \mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2, w_3|z) \\
& = - v_i [2]_{v_i} \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2 w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_3^{-1} - w_2^{-1}) [[\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-4}}, \mathbf{B}_i(w_1)] \mathbb{K}_i \\
& + \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2 w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_3^{-1} - w_2^{-1}) [\Theta_i(w_2), [\mathbf{B}_i(w_1), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-2}}] \mathbb{K}_i,
\end{aligned}$$

and rewrite (5.7) in terms of generating functions as

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.20) \quad & (w_1 + w_2 + w_3 - [3]_{v_i} z) \mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2, w_3|z) \\
& = v_i [2]_{v_i} \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2 w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_2 - w_3) [\mathbf{B}_i(w_1), [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{v_i^{-4}}] \mathbb{K}_i \\
& - \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2 w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_2 - w_3) [[\mathbf{B}_j(z), \mathbf{B}_i(w_1)]_{v_i^{-2}}, \Theta_i(w_2)] \mathbb{K}_i.
\end{aligned}$$

We calculate (5.20) $\times [3]_{v_i} z^{-1} + (5.19) \times (w_1 + w_2 + w_3)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.21) \quad & ((w_1 + w_2 + w_3)(w_1^{-1} + w_2^{-1} + w_3^{-1}) - [3]_{v_i}^2) \mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2, w_3|z) \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} \\
& = [3]_{v_i} z^{-1} \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2 w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_2 - w_3) \\
& \quad \times \left(v_i [2]_{v_i} [\mathbf{B}_i(w_1), [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{v_i^{-4}}] - [[\mathbf{B}_j(z), \mathbf{B}_i(w_1)]_{v_i^{-2}}, \Theta_i(w_2)] \right) \\
& + \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2 w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_3^{-1} - w_2^{-1}) (w_1 + w_2 + w_3) \\
& \quad \times \left(-v_i [2]_{v_i} [[\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-4}}, \mathbf{B}_i(w_1)] + [\Theta_i(w_2), [\mathbf{B}_i(w_1), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-2}}] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides of (5.21) by the coefficient of $\mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2, w_3|z)$, we obtain the defining relation (3.28) of $\text{Dr}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$.

Remark 5.4. Our formulation (3.28) of the Serre relation for $c_{ij} = -2$ is not unique since an alternative formulation can be derived as follows. We calculate (5.20) $\times (w_1^{-1} + w_2^{-1} + w_3^{-1}) + (5.19) \times [3]_{v_i} z$ and obtain a variant of (5.21) as

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.22) \quad & ((w_1 + w_2 + w_3)(w_1^{-1} + w_2^{-1} + w_3^{-1}) - [3]_{v_i}^2) \mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2, w_3|z) \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} \\
& = \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2 w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_2 - w_3) (w_1^{-1} + w_2^{-1} + w_3^{-1}) \\
& \quad \times \left(v_i [2]_{v_i} [\mathbf{B}_i(w_1), [\mathbf{B}_j(z), \Theta_i(w_2)]_{v_i^{-4}}] - [[\mathbf{B}_j(z), \mathbf{B}_i(w_1)]_{v_i^{-2}}, \Theta_i(w_2)] \right) \\
& + [3]_{v_i} z \text{Sym}_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{\Delta(w_2 w_3)}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} (v_i^{-2} w_3^{-1} - w_2^{-1}) \\
& \quad \times \left(-v_i [2]_{v_i} [[\Theta_i(w_2), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-4}}, \mathbf{B}_i(w_1)] + [\Theta_i(w_2), [\mathbf{B}_i(w_1), \mathbf{B}_j(z)]_{v_i^{-2}}] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides of (5.22) by the coefficient of $\mathbb{S}(w_1, w_2, w_3|z)$, we get an alternative formulation for the Serre relation, which looks different from (3.28). In fact, (5.22) can be obtained from (5.21) by applying Ψ , and thus the alternative formulation of the Serre relation can also be obtained from (3.28) by applying Ψ .

REFERENCES

- [BB10] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard, *Generalized q -Onsager algebras and boundary affine Toda field theories*, Lett. Math. Phys. **93**, 213–228 (2010)
- [BB13] P. Baseilhac, S. Belliard, *The half-infinite XXZ chain in Onsager’s approach*, Nucl. Phys. B **873**, 550–583 (2013)
- [Be94] J. Beck, *Braid group actions and quantum affine algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **165**, 555–568 (1994)
- [BK20] P. Baseilhac and S. Kolb, *Braid group action and root vectors for the q -Onsager algebra*, Transform. Groups **25**, 363–389 (2020)
- [BS10] P. Baseilhac and K. Shigechi, *A new current algebra and the reflection equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **92**, 47–65 (2010)

- [BW18] H. Bao and W. Wang, *A new approach to Kazhdan-Lusztig theory of type B via quantum symmetric pairs*, *Astérisque* **402**, vii+134pp (2018)
- [CH10] V. Chari and D. Hernandez, *Beyond Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules*, *Contemp. Math.* **506**, 49-81, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2010)
- [CLW18] X. Chen, M. Lu, and W. Wang, *A Serre presentation for the ι quantum groups*, *Transform. Groups* (to appear), [arXiv:1810.12475](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.12475).
- [CLW21] X. Chen, M. Lu and W. Wang, *Serre-Lusztig relations for ι quantum groups*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **382**, 1015-1059 (2021)
- [Da93] I. Damiani, *A basis of type Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt for the quantum algebra of $\widehat{sl}(2)$* , *J. Algebra* **161**, 291-310 (1993)
- [Da12] I. Damiani, *Drinfeld realization of affine quantum algebras: the relations*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **48**, 661-733 (2012)
- [Da15] I. Damiani, *From the Drinfeld realization to the Drinfeld-Jimbo presentation of affine quantum algebras: injectivity*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **51**, 131-171 (2015)
- [Dr87] V. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Vol. **1, 2** (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), 798-820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1987)
- [Dr88] V. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **36**, 212-216 (1988)
- [Ja95] J.C. Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, *Grad. Studies in Math.*, **6**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1996)
- [Ji98] N. Jing, *On Drinfeld realization of quantum affine algebras*, *The Monster and Lie algebras* (Columbus, OH, 1996), 195-206, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ. **7**, de Gruyter, Berlin (1998)
- [Ko14] S. Kolb, *Quantum symmetric Kac-Moody pairs*, *Adv. Math.* **267**, 395-469 (2014)
- [KP11] S. Kolb and J. Pellegrini, *Braid group actions on coideal subalgebras of quantized enveloping algebras*, *J. Algebra* **336**, 395-416 (2011)
- [Let99] G. Letzter, *Symmetric pairs for quantized enveloping algebras*, *J. Algebra* **220**, 729-767 (1999)
- [Let02] G. Letzter, *Coideal subalgebras and quantum symmetric pairs*, *New directions in Hopf algebras* (Cambridge), MSRI publications, **43**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 117-166 (2002)
- [Lus89] G. Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2**, 599-625 (1989)
- [Lus94] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Reprint of the 1994 Edition, *Modern Birkhäuser Classics*, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York (2010)
- [LW19] M. Lu and W. Wang, *Hall algebras and quantum symmetric pairs I: foundations*, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (to appear), [arXiv:1901.11446](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.11446)
- [LRW20] M. Lu, S. Ruan and W. Wang, *ι Hall algebra of the projective line and q -Onsager algebra*, [arXiv:2010.00646](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.00646)
- [LW20a] M. Lu and W. Wang, *Hall algebras and quantum symmetric pairs of Kac-Moody type*, [arXiv:2006.06904](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.06904)
- [LW20b] M. Lu and W. Wang, *A Drinfeld type presentation of affine ι quantum groups I: split ADE type*, [arXiv:2009.04542](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.04542)
- [LW21a] M. Lu and W. Wang, *Hall algebras and quantum symmetric pairs II: reflection functors*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **381**, 799-855 (2021)
- [LW21b] M. Lu and W. Wang, *Braid group symmetries on quasi-split ι quantum groups via ι Hall algebras*, [arXiv:2107.06023](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.06023)