

REES ALGEBRA AND SPECIAL FIBER RING OF BINOMIAL EDGE IDEALS OF CLOSED GRAPHS

ARVIND KUMAR

ABSTRACT. In this article, we compute the regularity of Rees algebra of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs. We obtain a lower bound for the regularity of Rees algebra of binomial edge ideals. We also study some algebraic properties of the Rees algebra and special fiber ring of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs via algebraic properties of their initial algebra and Sagbi basis theory. We obtain an upper bound for the regularity of the special fiber ring of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S be a standard graded polynomial ring over a field \mathbb{K} . Let I be a homogeneous ideal in S . The \mathbb{K} -subalgebra $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I^k t^k \subset S[t]$ is known as the *Rees algebra* of I , and is denoted by $\mathcal{R}(I)$. The Rees algebra of a homogeneous ideal encodes a lot of asymptotic properties of that ideal. In this paper, we study the Rees algebra of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs.

An ideal generated by a set of 2-minors of a $2 \times n$ generic matrix is known as *binomial edge ideal*. These ideals were introduced by Herzog et al. in [12] and independently by Ohtani in [24] a decade ago. Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. The binomial edge ideal of G is defined as $J_G = (x_i y_j - x_j y_i : i < j \text{ and } \{i, j\} \in E(G)) \subset S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$. Since binomial edge ideals are in one-to-one correspondence with finite simple graphs, many authors have intensively studied these ideals' algebraic properties in terms of combinatorial properties of graphs. For example, Gröbner basis and primary decomposition of binomial edge ideals have been computed in terms of combinatorial invariants of graphs in [6, 12, 24], Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of binomial edge ideals has been studied in terms of various combinatorial invariants of graphs in [14, 18, 20, 26], extremal Betti numbers of block graphs and generalized block graphs have been studied in terms of minimal cut sets of graphs in [13, 17], and Cohen-Macaulayness of binomial edge ideals has been studied in [1, 2, 8, 25].

While the study of binomial edge ideals has been explored by many researchers, much less is known about their Rees algebra. The study of the Rees algebra of binomial edge ideals has been initiated in [16]. The authors in [16] obtained the defining ideal of Rees algebra of almost complete intersection binomial edge ideals and prove that the Rees algebra of almost complete intersection binomial edge ideals are Cohen-Macaulay. Recently, the authors in [9] showed that the Rees algebra of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs is Cohen-Macaulay. In this paper, we prove that the regularity of Rees algebra of binomial edge ideal of a graph is bounded below by the length of that graph's longest induced path. We obtain the regularity of Rees algebra of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs. We then study some

Key words and phrases. Binomial edge ideal, Rees Algebra, special fiber ring, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, Closed graphs.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): 13D02, 13C13, 05E40.

algebraic properties of the Rees algebra of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs via algebraic properties of its initial algebra and Sagbi basis theory.

Another \mathbb{K} -algebra associated with a homogeneous ideal is the special fiber ring. The *special fiber ring* of a homogeneous ideal I is the ring $\mathcal{F}(I) = \mathcal{R}(I)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}(I) \cong \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I^k/\mathfrak{m}I^k$, where \mathfrak{m} is the homogeneous maximal ideal of S . Nothing much is known about the special fiber ring of binomial edge ideals. The author in [19] proved that the special fiber ring of binomial edge ideals of forests is a polynomial ring. In this paper, we study the special fiber ring of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs. We prove that the special fiber ring of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs is Koszul and Cohen-Macaulay normal. We obtain the analytic spread of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs in terms of the number of vertices and the number of indecomposable components of G . We also obtain an upper bound for the regularity of the special fiber ring of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs.

The article is organized as follows. The second section contains all the necessary definitions and notation required in the rest of the article. In Section 3, we study the Rees algebra of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs. We study the special fiber ring of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs in Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect all the notions that we use in this paper. We first recall all the necessary definitions from graph theory.

Let G be a simple graph with the vertex set $[n]$ and edge set $E(G)$. A graph on $[n]$ is said to be a *complete graph*, if $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. The complete graph on $[n]$ is denoted by K_n . For $A \subseteq V(G)$, $G[A]$ denotes the *induced subgraph* of G on the vertex set A , that is, for $i, j \in A$, $\{i, j\} \in E(G[A])$ if and only if $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$. A subset U of $V(G)$ is said to be a *clique* if $G[U]$ is a complete graph. A vertex is said to be a *simplicial vertex* if it belongs to exactly one maximal clique. The *clique number* of a graph G , denoted by $\omega(G)$, is the maximum size of the maximal cliques of G . For a vertex v , $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G) : \{u, v\} \in E(G)\}$ denotes the *neighborhood* of v in G . The *degree* of a vertex v , denoted by $\deg_G(v)$, is $|N_G(v)|$. A *cycle* is a connected graph G with $\deg_G(v) = 2$ for all $v \in V(G)$. A connected graph is a *tree* if it does not have a cycle. A graph is said to be a *forest* if each connected component is a tree. A graph G is said to be *bipartite* if there is a bipartition of $V(G) = V_1 \sqcup V_2$ such that for each $i = 1, 2$, no two of the vertices of V_i are adjacent. A graph is called a *non-bipartite* graph if it is not a bipartite graph. A subset M of $E(G)$ is said to be a *matching* of G if $e \cap e' = \emptyset$ for every pair $e, e' \in M$ with $e \neq e'$. The *matching number* of a graph G , denoted by $\text{mat}(G)$, is the maximum size of the maximal matchings of G . A matching M is said to be a *perfect matching* if $V(G) = \cup_{e \in M} e$. A graph G is said to be *H-free* if H is not an induced subgraph of G .

We recall the notation of decomposability from [25]. A graph G is called *decomposable*, if there exist subgraphs G_1 and G_2 such that G is obtained by identifying a simplicial vertex v_1 of G_1 with a simplicial vertex v_2 of G_2 , i.e., $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ with $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \{v\}$ such that v is a simplicial vertex of both G_1 and G_2 . A graph G is called *indecomposable*, if it is not decomposable. Up to ordering, G has a unique decomposition into indecomposable subgraphs, i.e., there exist G_1, \dots, G_r indecomposable induced subgraphs of G with $G = G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_r$ such that for each $i \neq j$, either $V(G_i) \cap V(G_j) = \emptyset$ or $V(G_i) \cap V(G_j) = \{v\}$ and v is a simplicial vertex of both G_i and G_j .

Now, we recall all the necessary notation from commutative algebra. Let $R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over an arbitrary field \mathbb{K} and M be a finitely generated graded R -module. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} R(-j)^{\beta_{p,j}^R(M)} \xrightarrow{\phi_p} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} R(-j)^{\beta_{0,j}^R(M)} \xrightarrow{\phi_0} M \longrightarrow 0,$$

be the minimal graded free resolution of M , where $R(-j)$ is the free R -module of rank 1 generated in degree j . The number $\beta_{i,j}^R(M)$ is called the (i, j) -th graded Betti number of M . The *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity (simply regularity)* of M , denoted by $\text{reg}(M)$, is defined as

$$\text{reg}(M) := \max\{j - i : \beta_{i,j}^R(M) \neq 0\}.$$

Let G be a graph on $[n]$ and J_G be its binomial edge ideal in the standard graded polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$. Then, G is said to be *closed with respect to given labelling of vertices* if the generators of J_G form a quadratic Gröbner basis of J_G with respect to the lexicographic term order on S induced by $x_1 > \dots > x_n > y_1 > \dots > y_n$. We say that a graph is a *closed graph* if it is closed with respect to some labelling of vertices.

3. REES ALGEBRA OF BINOMIAL EDGE IDEALS OF CLOSED GRAPHS

In this section, we study the regularity of Rees algebra of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs. We also study some other algebraic properties of $\mathcal{R}(J_G)$ via algebraic properties of $\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ and Sagbi basis theory.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field and $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over \mathbb{K} . Let A be a finitely generated \mathbb{K} -subalgebra of S generated by homogeneous elements. Let σ be a term order for the monomials in S . The *initial algebra* of A with respect to the term order σ is the \mathbb{K} -subalgebra of S generated by $\{\text{in}_\sigma(f) : f \in A\}$. Let A_i denote the homogeneous component of degree i . The \mathbb{K} -vector space spanned by $\{\text{in}_\sigma(f) : f \in A_i\}$ is denoted by $\text{in}_\sigma(A_i)$. It follows from [4, Proposition 2.4] that

$$\text{in}_\sigma(A) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \text{in}_\sigma(A_i).$$

Moreover, the Hilbert functions of A and $\text{in}_\sigma(A)$ coincide.

Let I be a homogeneous ideal in S . The *Rees algebra* of I is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}(I) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} I^i t^i.$$

Throughout this article, we assume that I is an equi-generated homogeneous ideal. Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ be a minimal homogeneous generating set of I , and $R = S[t_1, \dots, t_m]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over \mathbb{K} . Let $\psi : R \rightarrow S[t]$ be the S -algebra homomorphism given by $\psi(t_i) = f_i t$. Then, $R/\ker(\psi) \simeq \text{Im}(\psi) = \mathcal{R}(I)$, where $\ker(\psi)$ is a homogeneous ideal of R and it is called the *defining ideal* of $\mathcal{R}(I)$. The *relation type* of an ideal I is the largest t -degree of a minimal generator of the defining ideal of $\mathcal{R}(I)$. We say that I is of *linear type* if Rees algebra of I is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra of I . If the defining ideal of Rees algebra is generated by defining equations of the symmetric algebra and the defining equations of the special fiber ring, then we say that I is of *fiber type*.

Let σ be a term order for the monomials in S . Let τ be term order for monomials in $S[t]$ defined as follows: given two monomials $u, v \in S$ and two integers $i, j \geq 0$, we have

$ut^i <_\tau vt^j$ if and only if $i < j$ or $i = j$ and $u <_\sigma v$. Then, the initial algebra of $\mathcal{R}(I)$ with respect to the term order τ is

$$\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(I)) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \text{in}_\sigma(I^i)t^i.$$

Notation 3.1. Let I be an equi-generated homogeneous ideal in S . Then, by $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(I))$, we mean the regularity of $R/\ker(\psi)$ as R -graded module.

Theorem 3.2. Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset S$ be an equi-generated homogeneous ideal which satisfies the followings:

- (1) $\text{in}_\sigma(I) = (\text{in}_\sigma(f_1), \dots, \text{in}_\sigma(f_m))$;
- (2) $\text{in}_\sigma(I^s) = (\text{in}_\sigma(I))^s$, for all $s \geq 1$;
- (3) $\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(I))$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Then, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(I)) = \text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(I)))$.

Proof. Since $\text{in}_\sigma(I^s) = (\text{in}_\sigma(I))^s$, for all $s \geq 1$, it follows from [4, Theorem 2.7] that $\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(I)) = \mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(I))$. Thus, it is enough to prove that $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(I)) = \text{reg}(\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(I)))$. Since $\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(I))$ is Cohen-Macaulay, by [4, Corollary 2.3], $\mathcal{R}(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, and Krull dimension of $\mathcal{R}(I)$ and $\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(I))$ are same. It follows from [4, Proposition 2.4] that

$$\text{HS}_{\mathcal{R}(I)}(\lambda) = \text{HS}_{\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(I))}(\lambda),$$

and therefore,

$$h_{\mathcal{R}(I)}(\lambda) = h_{\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(I))}(\lambda),$$

where $h_{\mathcal{R}(I)}(\lambda)$ is the h -polynomial of $\mathcal{R}(I)$. For a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay algebra S/J , it is well known that $\text{reg}(S/J) = \deg h_{S/J}(\lambda)$, (see [7, Section 4.1]). Therefore, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(I)) = \deg h_{\mathcal{R}(I)}(\lambda)$ and $\text{reg}(\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(I))) = \deg h_{\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(I))}(\lambda)$. Hence,

$$\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(I)) = \text{reg}(\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(I))) = \text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(I)))$$

which proves the assertion. \square

Let G be a graph on $[n]$ and J_G be its binomial edge ideal in the standard graded polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$. Let σ be the lexicographic term order on S induced by $x_1 > \dots > x_n > y_1 > \dots > y_n$. A graph G is said to be *closed with respect to given labelling of vertices* if the generators of J_G form a quadratic Gröbner basis of J_G with respect to the term order σ . We say that a graph is a *closed graph* if it is closed with respect to some labelling of vertices. Set $R = S[T_{\{i,j\}} : \{i,j\} \in E(G) \text{ with } i < j]$ to be standard graded polynomial ring over \mathbb{K} .

We now compute the regularity of Rees algebra of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs.

Theorem 3.3. Let G be a closed graph on $[n]$ with respect to given labelling of vertices. Assume that G has no isolated vertices. Then,

$$\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_G)) = \text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))) = n - c,$$

where c is the number of connected components of G .

Proof. Since G is a closed graph with respect to given labelling of vertices, by [12, Theorem 1.1], $\text{in}_\sigma(J_G) = (x_i y_j : i < j, \{i, j\} \in E(G))$. By [9, Equation 3], $\text{in}_\sigma(J_G^s) = (\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))^s$, for all $s \geq 1$ and by [9, Proposition 2.9], $\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Thus, by Theorem 3.2, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_G)) = \text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G)))$.

First, we assume that G is connected. Let H be a graph on the vertex set $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\} \sqcup \{y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ and edge set $\{\{x_i, y_j\} : i < j, \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}$. It follows from [10, Lemma 3.3] that H is a bipartite graph and the monomial edge ideal of H is $I(H) = \text{in}_\sigma(J_G)$. By [8, Section 2], $\{i, i+1\} \in E(G)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, therefore $\{\{x_i, y_{i+1}\} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \subset E(H)$ is a perfect matching of H . Therefore, by [3, Theorem 4.2], $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(I(H))) = \text{mat}(H) = n-1$, where $\text{mat}(H)$ is the matching number of H . Thus, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_G)) = \text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))) = n-1$.

Now, assume that G is not connected. Let G_1, \dots, G_c be the connected components of G . For each $1 \leq k \leq c$, let H_k be the bipartite graph such that $I(H_k) = \text{in}_\sigma(J_{G_k})$. Then, $H = H_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup H_c$ is a bipartite graph with a perfect matching of size $n-c$. By virtue of [3, Theorem 4.2], we have $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(I(H))) = \text{mat}(H) = n-c$. Hence, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_G)) = \text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))) = n-c$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be a closed graph on $[n]$. Assume that G has no isolated vertices. Then, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_G)) = n-c$, where c is the number of connected components of G .*

Now, we obtain a lower bound for the regularity of Rees algebra of binomial edge ideals.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a graph on $[n]$. If H is an induced subgraph of G , then*

$$\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_H)) \leq \text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_G)).$$

In particular, $\ell(G) \leq \text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_G))$, where $\ell(G)$ is the length of a longest induced path in G .

Proof. Let H be an induced subgraph of G . It follows from the proof of [15, Proposition 3.3] that $J_H^s = J_G^s \cap S_H$, where $S_H = \mathbb{K}[x_j, y_j : j \in V(H)]$. Therefore, for every $i \geq 0$, $J_H^i t^i = J_G^i t^i \cap S_H[t]$. Then, $\mathcal{R}(J_G) \cap S_H[t] = (\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} J_G^i t^i) \cap S_H[t] = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (J_G^i t^i \cap S_H[t]) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} J_H^i t^i = \mathcal{R}(J_H)$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}(J_H)$ is a \mathbb{K} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}(J_G)$. Set $R_H = S_H[T_{\{i,j\}} : \{i,j\} \in E(H) \text{ with } i < j]$. Let I_1 and I_2 be ideals of R and R_H , respectively such that $R/I_1 \simeq \mathcal{R}(J_G)$, and $R_H/I_2 \simeq \mathcal{R}(J_H)$. Now, define $\pi : R/I_1 \rightarrow R_H/I_2$ as $\pi(\bar{x}_j) = \pi(\bar{y}_j) = 0$ if $j \notin V(H)$, $\pi(\bar{x}_j) = \bar{x}_j, \pi(\bar{y}_j) = \bar{y}_j$ if $j \in V(H)$, $\pi(\bar{T}_{\{i,j\}}) = 0$ if $\{i,j\} \notin E(H)$, and $\pi(\bar{T}_{\{i,j\}}) = \bar{T}_{\{i,j\}}$ if $\{i,j\} \in E(H)$. Consider, $R_H/I_2 \xrightarrow{i} R/I_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} R_H/I_2$. Then, $\pi \circ i$ is identity on R_H/I_2 , and hence, R_H/I_2 is an algebra retract of R/I_1 . It follows from [21, Corollary 2.5] that $\text{reg}(R_H/I_2) \leq \text{reg}(R/I_1)$. Hence, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_H)) \leq \text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_G))$.

Let H be a longest induced path of G . Then, H is an induced path of G . Since H is a closed graph, by Corollary 3.4, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_H)) = |V(H)| - 1 = \ell(G)$. Hence, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{R}(J_G)) \geq \ell(G)$. \square

We now move on to study a Sagbi basis for $\mathcal{R}(J_G)$, and using that we study some of algebraic properties of $\mathcal{R}(J_G)$ via algebraic properties of $\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(J_G))$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a closed graph on $[n]$ with respect to given labelling of vertices. Then, $\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(J_G)) = \mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ and $\text{reltype}(J_G) \leq 2$. Moreover, the set $\{x_i, y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{f_e t : e \in E(G)\}$ is a Sagbi basis of $\mathcal{R}(J_G)$ with respect to term order τ .*

Proof. Let H be the graph constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.3, i.e. $I(H) = \text{in}_\sigma(J_G)$. By [9, Equation 3], we have $\text{in}_\sigma(J_G^s) = (\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))^s$, for all $s \geq 1$. Now, [4, Theorem 2.7] yields that $\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(J_G)) = \mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$. By [10, Lemma 3.3], H is a bipartite graph and every induced cycle in H has length 4. Consequently, $\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ is a Koszul algebra, by [22, Theorem 1]. Therefore, the defining ideal of $\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ is generated in degree at most two. It follows from [28, Theorem 3.1] that $\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ is of fiber type. Thus, $\text{reltype}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G)) \leq 2$, and hence, by [4, Corollary 2.8], $\text{reltype}(J_G) \leq 2$. Since $\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(J_G)) = \mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ and

$\{x_i, y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{\text{in}_\sigma(f_e)t : e \in E(G)\}$ generate $\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ as \mathbb{K} -algebra, the set $\{x_i, y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{f_e t : e \in E(G)\}$ is a Sagbi basis of $\mathcal{R}(J_G)$. \square

We have seen in the above theorem that binomial edge ideals of closed graphs are of quadratic type. Now, we characterize linear type closed graphs.

Corollary 3.7. *Let G be a closed graph on $[n]$ with respect to given labelling of vertices. Then, J_G is of linear type if and only if G is a K_4 -free graph.*

Proof. Assume that J_G is of linear type. By [19, Proposition 5.7], G is a K_4 -free graph. Conversely, we assume that G is a K_4 -free graph. Let H be the graph constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.3, i.e. $I(H) = \text{in}_\sigma(J_G)$. Then, H is a forest. By [28, Corollary 3.2], $\text{in}_\sigma(J_G)$ is of linear type, and hence, by [4, Corollary 2.8], J_G is of linear type. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let G be a closed graph on $[n]$. If $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{R}(J_G)$ has rational singularities, and if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > 0$, then $\mathcal{R}(J_G)$ is F -rational. In particular, $\mathcal{R}(J_G)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay normal domain.*

Proof. Assume, without loss of generality, that G is closed with respect to given labelling of vertices. Let H be the graph constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.3, i.e. $I(H) = \text{in}_\sigma(J_G)$. By [10, Lemma 3.3], H is a bipartite graph. It follows from [27, Corollary 5.3, Theorem 5.9] that $\mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ is a Cohen-Macaulay normal domain. Now, Theorem 3.6 yields that $\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(J_G)) = \mathcal{R}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$. Thus, $\text{in}_\tau(\mathcal{R}(J_G))$ is a Cohen-Macaulay normal domain. Hence, the assertion follows from [4, Corollary 2.3]. \square

4. SPECIAL FIBER RING OF BINOMIAL EDGE IDEALS OF CLOSED GRAPHS

In this section, we study the special fiber ring of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs. We begin with definitions. Let \mathfrak{m} denote the unique homogeneous maximal ideal of S . The *special fiber ring* of a homogeneous ideal I is the ring $\mathcal{F}(I) = \mathcal{R}(I)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}(I) \cong \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I^k/\mathfrak{m}I^k$.

The *analytic spread* of I is the Krull dimension of $\mathcal{F}(I)$, and it is denoted by $\ell(I)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a connected closed graph on $[n]$. Then,*

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$ is a Koszul algebra.
- (2) if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$ has rational singularities.
- (3) if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > 0$, then $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$ is F -rational.
- (4) $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay normal domain.
- (5) $\ell(J_G) = 2n - r - 2$, where r is the number of indecomposable components of G .
- (6) $\ell(J_G) = |E(G)|$ if and only if $\omega(G) \leq 3$.

Proof. Assume, without loss of generality, that G is closed with respect to given labelling of vertices. By [9, Theorem 2.10], $\{f_e : e \in E(G)\}$ is a Sagbi basis of the \mathbb{K} -algebra $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$ with respect to the term order σ on S , i.e.,

$$\text{in}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}(J_G)) = \mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G)).$$

(1) Let H be the graph constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.3, i.e. $I(H) = \text{in}_\sigma(J_G)$. It follows from [10, Lemma 3.3] that H is a bipartite graph and every induced cycle in H has length 4. Thus, by [22, Theorem 1], $\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ is a Koszul algebra. Hence, by [4, Corollary 2.6], $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$ is a Koszul algebra.

(2-4) By [23, Corollary 1.3], $\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ is normal. Now, the assertion follows from [4, Corollary 2.3].

(5) By [4, Proposition 2.4], $\ell(J_G) = \ell(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$. Therefore, it is enough to find $\ell(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$. Let G_1, \dots, G_r be the indecomposable components of G . Note that $H = H_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup H_r$, where H_k is the connected bipartite graph such that $I(H_k) = \text{in}_\sigma(J_{G_k})$. Then, $\mathcal{F}(I(H)) = \mathcal{F}(I(H_1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{F}(I(H_r))$. It follows from [28, Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.2] that $\ell(I(H)) = \sum_{i=1}^r |V(H_i)| - r = \sum_{i=1}^r (2|V(G_i)| - 2) - r = 2 \sum_{i=1}^r |V(G_i)| - 3r = 2(n+r-1) - 3r = 2n - r - 2$.

(6) Note that H is a forest if and only if $\omega(G) \leq 3$. If $\omega(G) \leq 3$, then H is a forest, and therefore, $\ell(J_G) = \ell(I(H)) = |V(H)| - r = |E(H)| = |E(G)|$. If $\omega(G) \geq 4$, then H is not a forest, and therefore, $\ell(J_G) = \ell(I(H)) = |V(H)| - r < |E(H)| = |E(G)|$. Hence, the assertion follows. \square

As an immediate consequence, we obtain the following:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $G = G_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup G_c$ be a closed graph on $[n]$. Then,*

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$ is a Koszul algebra.
- (2) if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$ has rational singularities.
- (3) if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > 0$, then $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$ is F -rational.
- (4) $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay normal domain.
- (5) $\ell(J_G) = 2n - r - 2c$, where r is the number of indecomposable components of G .
- (6) $\ell(J_G) = |E(G)|$ if and only if $\omega(G) \leq 3$.

Proof. The assertion follows from the fact that $\mathcal{F}(J_G) = \mathcal{F}(J_{G_1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{F}(J_{G_c})$, and by Theorem 4.1. \square

We now obtain an upper bound for the regularity of special fiber ring of binomial edge ideals of closed graphs. Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ be a minimal homogeneous generating set of an equi-generated homogeneous ideal I , and $Q = \mathbb{K}[t_1, \dots, t_m]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over \mathbb{K} . Let $\phi : Q \rightarrow S$ be the \mathbb{K} -algebra homomorphism given by $\phi(t_i) = f_i$ for all i . Then, $Q/\ker(\phi) \simeq \text{Im}(\phi) = \mathcal{F}(I)$, where $\ker(\phi)$ is a homogeneous ideal of Q and it is called the *defining ideal* of $\mathcal{F}(I)$.

Notation 4.3. *Let I be an equi-generated homogeneous ideal in S . Then, by $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(I))$, we mean the regularity of $Q/\ker(\phi)$ as Q -graded module.*

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a closed graph on $[n]$ with respect to given labelling of vertices. Assume that G has no isolated vertices. Then, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_G)) = \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))) \leq n - 2c$, where c is the number of components of G .*

Proof. First, we assume that G is a connected graph. It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.1 that $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$ are Cohen-Macaulay, and $\ell(\mathcal{F}(J_G)) = \ell(\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G)))$. By [9, Theorem 2.10], $\text{in}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}(J_G)) = \mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))$. Now, it follows from [4, Proposition 2.4] that

$$\text{HS}_{\mathcal{F}(J_G)}(\lambda) = \text{HS}_{\text{in}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}(J_G))}(\lambda) = \text{HS}_{\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))}(\lambda),$$

and therefore,

$$h_{\mathcal{F}(J_G)}(\lambda) = h_{\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))}(\lambda).$$

Thus, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_G)) = \deg h_{\mathcal{F}(J_G)}(\lambda) = \deg h_{\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G))}(\lambda) = \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G)))$.

Let H be the graph constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.3, i.e. $I(H) = \text{in}_\sigma(J_G)$. Now, it follows from [11, Theorem 1] that $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(I(H))) \leq \text{mat}(H) - 1 = n - 2$, as $\{\{x_i, y_{i+1}\} : 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$ is a perfect matching of H . Hence, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_G)) \leq n - 2$.

Now, let $G = G_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup G_c$. Then, $\mathcal{F}(J_G) = \mathcal{F}(J_{G_1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{F}(J_{G_c})$, and $\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G)) = \mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_{G_1})) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_{G_c}))$ which implies that $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_G)) = \sum_{i=1}^c \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_{G_i})) = \sum_{i=1}^c \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_{G_i}))) = \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G)))$. Thus, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_G)) = \text{reg}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_G)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^c (|V(G_i)| - 2) = n - 2c$. Hence, the assertion follows. \square

As an immediate consequence, we obtain the following:

Corollary 4.5. *Let G be a closed graph on $[n]$. Assume that G has no isolated vertices. Then, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_G)) \leq n - 2c$, where c is the number of connected components of G .*

Now, we obtain a lower bound for the regularity of special fiber ring of binomial edge ideals.

Theorem 4.6. *Let G be a graph on $[n]$. If H is an induced subgraph of G , then*

$$\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_H)) \leq \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_G)).$$

In particular, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_G)) \geq \omega(G) - 2$ if $\omega(G) \geq 4$.

Proof. Let H be an induced subgraph of G . Clearly, $\mathcal{F}(J_H)$ is a \mathbb{K} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}(J_G)$. Set $R_1 = \mathbb{K}[T_{\{i,j\}} : \{i,j\} \in E(H) \text{ with } i < j]$ and $R_2 = \mathbb{K}[T_{\{i,j\}} : \{i,j\} \in E(G) \text{ with } i < j]$. Let I_1 and I_2 be ideals of R_1 and R_2 , respectively such that $R_1/I_1 \simeq \mathcal{F}(J_H)$, and $R_2/I_2 \simeq \mathcal{F}(J_G)$. Now, define $\pi : R_2/I_2 \rightarrow R_1/I_1$ as $\pi(\overline{T}_{\{i,j\}}) = 0$ if $\{i,j\} \notin E(H)$, and $\pi(\overline{T}_{\{i,j\}}) = \overline{T}_{\{i,j\}}$ if $\{i,j\} \in E(H)$. Consider, $R_1/I_1 \xrightarrow{i} R_2/I_2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R_1/I_1$. Then, $\pi \circ i$ is identity on R_1/I_1 , and hence, R_1/I_1 is an algebra retract of R_2/I_2 . It follows from [21, Corollary 2.5] that $\text{reg}(R_1/I_1) \leq \text{reg}(R_2/I_2)$. Hence, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_H)) \leq \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_G))$.

Assume that $\omega(G) \geq 4$. Let H be a clique of G such that $\omega(G) = |V(H)|$. Then, H is an induced subgraph of G . Since H is a complete graph, H is closed with respect to the given labelling of vertices. By Theorem 4.4, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_H)) = \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_H)))$. Now, it follows from [5, Proposition 5.7] that $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(\text{in}_\sigma(J_H))) = |V(H)| - 2 = \omega(G) - 2$. Hence, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(J_G)) \geq \omega(G) - 2$. \square

Acknowledgements: The author sincerely thanks Prof. Viviana Ene for valuable comments and suggestions. The author also wishes to express his sincere gratitude to the anonymous referees for useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Davide Bolognini, Antonio Macchia, and Francesco Strazzanti. Binomial edge ideals of bipartite graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 70:1–25, 2018.
- [2] Davide Bolognini, Antonio Macchia, and Francesco Strazzanti. Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals and accessible graphs. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2101.03619, January 2021.
- [3] Yairon Cid-Ruiz. Regularity and Gröbner bases of the Rees algebra of edge ideals of bipartite graphs. *Matematiche (Catania)*, 73(2):279–296, 2018.
- [4] Aldo Conca, Jürgen Herzog, and Giuseppe Valla. Sagbi bases with applications to blow-up algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 474:113–138, 1996.
- [5] Alberto Corso and Uwe Nagel. Monomial and toric ideals associated to Ferrers graphs. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(3):1371–1395, 2009.
- [6] Marilena Crupi and Giancarlo Rinaldo. Binomial edge ideals with quadratic Gröbner bases. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 18(1):Paper 211, 13, 2011.

- [7] Viviana Ene. Syzygies of Hibi rings. *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, 40(3):403–446, 2015.
- [8] Viviana Ene, Jürgen Herzog, and Takayuki Hibi. Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 204:57–68, 2011.
- [9] Viviana Ene, Giancarlo Rinaldo, and Naoki Terai. Powers of binomial edge ideals with quadratic gröbner bases. *Nagoya Math. J.*, To appear, 2021.
- [10] Viviana Ene and Andrei Zarojanu. On the regularity of binomial edge ideals. *Math. Nachr.*, 288(1):19–24, 2015.
- [11] Jürgen Herzog and Takayuki Hibi. The regularity of edge rings and matching numbers. *Mathematics*, 8(1):39, 2020.
- [12] Jürgen Herzog, Takayuki Hibi, Freyja Hreinsdóttir, Thomas Kahle, and Johannes Rauh. Binomial edge ideals and conditional independence statements. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 45(3):317–333, 2010.
- [13] Jürgen Herzog and Giancarlo Rinaldo. On the extremal Betti numbers of binomial edge ideals of block graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 25(1):Paper 1.63, 10, 2018.
- [14] A. V. Jayanthan and Arvind Kumar. Regularity of binomial edge ideals of Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs. *Comm. Algebra*, 47(11):4797–4805, 2019.
- [15] A. V. Jayanthan, Arvind Kumar, and Rajib Sarkar. Regularity of powers of quadratic sequences with applications to binomial ideals. *J. Algebra*, 564:98–118, 2020.
- [16] A. V. Jayanthan, Arvind Kumar, and Rajib Sarkar. Almost complete intersection binomial edge ideals and their Rees algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 225(6):106628, 2021.
- [17] Arvind Kumar. Binomial edge ideals of generalized block graphs. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 30(8):1537–1554, 2020.
- [18] Arvind kumar. Binomial edge ideals and bounds for their regularity. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 53(3):729–742, 2021.
- [19] Arvind Kumar. Lovász-Saks-Schrijver ideals and parity binomial edge ideals of graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 93:103274, 2021.
- [20] Kazunori Matsuda and Satoshi Murai. Regularity bounds for binomial edge ideals. *J. Commut. Algebra*, 5(1):141–149, 2013.
- [21] Hidefumi Ohsugi, Jürgen Herzog, and Takayuki Hibi. Combinatorial pure subrings. *Osaka J. Math.*, 37(3):745–757, 2000.
- [22] Hidefumi Ohsugi and Takayuki Hibi. Koszul bipartite graphs. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 22(1):25–28, 1999.
- [23] Hidefumi Ohsugi and Takayuki Hibi. Toric ideals generated by quadratic binomials. *J. Algebra*, 218(2):509–527, 1999.
- [24] Masahiro Ohtani. Graphs and ideals generated by some 2-minors. *Comm. Algebra*, 39(3):905–917, 2011.
- [25] Asia Rauf and Giancarlo Rinaldo. Construction of Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals. *Comm. Algebra*, 42(1):238–252, 2014.
- [26] Mohammad Rouzbahani Malayeri, Sara Saeedi Madani, and Dariush Kiani. A proof for a conjecture on the regularity of binomial edge ideals. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 180:Paper No. 105432, 9, 2021.
- [27] Aron Simis, Wolmer V. Vasconcelos, and Rafael H. Villarreal. On the ideal theory of graphs. *J. Algebra*, 167(2):389–416, 1994.
- [28] Rafael H. Villarreal. Rees algebras of edge ideals. *Comm. Algebra*, 23(9):3513–3524, 1995.

Email address: arvindkumar@cmi.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SIRUSERI KELAMBAKKAM, CHENNAI, INDIA - 603103