

ON THE HETEROGENEOUS DISTORTION INEQUALITY

ILMARI KANGASNIEMI AND JANI ONNINEN

ABSTRACT. We study Sobolev mappings $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 2$, that satisfy the heterogeneous distortion inequality

$$|Df(x)|^n \leq KJ_f(x) + \sigma^n(x)|f(x)|^n$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here $K \in [1, \infty)$ is a constant and $\sigma \geq 0$ is a function in $L_{\text{loc}}^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Although we recover the class of K -quasiregular mappings when $\sigma \equiv 0$, the theory of arbitrary solutions is significantly more complicated, partly due to the unavailability of a robust degree theory for non-quasiregular solutions. Nonetheless, we obtain a Liouville-type theorem and the sharp Hölder continuity estimate for all solutions, provided that $\sigma \in L^{n-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. This gives an affirmative answer to a question of Astala, Iwaniec and Martin.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a connected, open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 2$. We study mappings $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ in the Sobolev space $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ that satisfy the *heterogeneous distortion inequality*

$$(1.1) \quad |Df(x)|^n \leq KJ_f(x) + \sigma^n(x)|f(x)|^n$$

for a.e. (almost every) $x \in \Omega$, where $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in L_{\text{loc}}^n(\Omega)$. Here, $|Df(x)|$ is the operator norm of the weak derivative $Df(x): \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of f at a point $x \in \Omega$; that is, $|Df(x)| = \sup\{|Df(x)h| : h \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}$. Moreover, $J_f(x) = \det Df(x)$ is the Jacobian determinant of f . It is worth noting that the natural Sobolev space in which to seek the solutions is $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. The reason for this is that this space provides enough regularity to apply integration by parts to the form $J_f dx$.

If $\sigma \equiv 0$ in (1.1), we recover the *mappings of bounded distortion*, also known as *K -quasiregular* maps. Homeomorphic K -quasiregular maps are also commonly called *K -quasiconformal*. The theory of mappings of bounded distortion arose from the need to generalize the geometry of holomorphic functions to higher dimensions, and is by now a central topic in modern analysis with important connections to partial differential equations, complex dynamics, differential geometry and the calculus of variations; see the

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30C65; Secondary 35B53, 35R45, 53C21.

Key words and phrases. Heterogeneous distortion inequality, Quasiregular mappings, Liouville theorem, Hölder continuity, Astala-Iwaniec-Martin question.

J. Onninen was supported by the NSF grant DMS-1700274.

monographs by Reshetnyak [32], Rickman [33], Iwaniec and Martin [19], and Astala, Iwaniec and Martin [1]. The last 20 years have also seen widespread study of a more general class of deformations, *mappings of finite distortion*, where the constant K is replaced by a finite function $K: \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty)$; see e.g. the monographs [19] and [14].

A core part of the theory of quasiregular mappings is that the distortion estimate implies several strong topological properties. In higher dimensions this was pioneered in a series of papers by Reshetnyak (1966–1969). Accordingly, spatial quasiregular mappings enjoy the following properties:

- (i) A K -quasiregular mapping is locally $1/K$ -Hölder continuous [28];
- (ii) A nonconstant quasiregular mapping is both discrete and open [31, 30];
- (iii) A bounded quasiregular mapping in \mathbb{R}^n is constant [29].

Recall that a mapping f is open if $f(U)$ is open for every open U , and that f is discrete if the sets $f^{-1}\{y\}$ consist of isolated points. Generalizations of these results also hold for mappings of finite distortion, assuming sufficient integrability conditions on the distortion function; see e.g. [35, 17, 21, 34, 15].

Solutions to the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) generally lack these powerful conditions, and therefore require new tools for their treatment. For instance, if $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$ and $f(x) = (e^{g(x)}, 0, \dots, 0)$, then f satisfies (1.1) with $\sigma = |\nabla g| \in L^n(\Omega)$. This results in a discontinuous solution f by simply choosing a discontinuous $g \in W^{1,n}(\Omega)$. Similarly, by choosing a smooth, compactly supported g , we have that f satisfies (1.1) with $\sigma \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $p \in [1, \infty]$, yet f is neither constant nor discrete or open. Hence, the heterogeneous distortion inequality even with a regular σ is too weak for its solutions to satisfy the above conditions (ii) and (iii), and therefore the use of e.g. degree theory [9] is unavailable for non-quasiregular solutions.

However, in the planar case $n = 2$, Astala, Iwaniec and Martin showed that entire solutions f of (1.1) which vanish at infinity do satisfy a counterpart of the Liouville theorem (iii), provided that σ is sufficiently regular; see [1, Theorem 8.5.1]. This uniqueness theorem has found several important applications, such as the nonlinear $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in the theory of holomorphic motions [22] or the solution to the Calderón problem [2]. It is for this reason that Astala, Iwaniec and Martin asked in [1, p. 253] whether their form of the Liouville theorem and a version of the continuity result (i) remain valid in higher dimensions.

Astala-Iwaniec-Martin Question. *Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) with $\sigma \in L^{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{n-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Under these assumptions, is the mapping f continuous? Moreover, does f satisfy the following Liouville-type theorem: if $f(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, then $f \equiv 0$?*

Along with their proof of the planar case, Astala, Iwaniec and Martin provided a counterexample which shows that the assumption $\sigma \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$ is not enough for the Liouville-type theorem; see [1, Theorem 8.5.2.]. Note that in the planar case, the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) with $\sigma \in L^{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{n-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ amounts to saying that the solutions $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ satisfy the homogeneous differential inequality

$$(1.2) \quad |\partial_{\bar{z}} f| \leq k |\partial_z f| + \tilde{\sigma} |f|,$$

with $k < 1$ and $\tilde{\sigma} \in L^{2+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C}) \cap L^{2-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, (1.2) can in fact be expressed as a linear heterogeneous Cauchy-Riemann system, and is thus uniformly elliptic. However, for $n \geq 3$, the theory is nonlinear, and the main inherent difficulty lies in the lack of general existence theorems and counterparts to power series expansions of the solutions.

In this paper, we give an affirmative answer to the Astala–Iwaniec–Martin question. The continuity is the more straightforward part of the solution, whereas the main challenge lies in proving the Liouville-type uniqueness theorem.

1.1. Continuity. The solutions to (1.1) are indeed continuous when $\sigma \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$. We in fact establish sharp local Hölder continuity estimates for such mappings.

For $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ we denote the class of locally γ -Hölder continuous mappings $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\gamma}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. We let $\gamma_K := 1/K$ denote the sharp Hölder exponent of K -quasiregular mappings, and $\gamma_\varepsilon := \varepsilon/(n+\varepsilon)$ the sharp Hölder exponent of $W^{1,n+\varepsilon}$ -functions. Our result shows that if $\gamma_K \neq \gamma_\varepsilon$, then the sharp Hölder exponent γ for solutions to (1.1) is the minimum of the exponents γ_K and γ_ε . There is, however, a somewhat surprising special case: if γ_K and γ_ε coincide, then we in fact end up with an infinitesimally weaker Hölder exponent than $\gamma_K = \gamma_\varepsilon$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain, $K \in [1, \infty)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\gamma = \min(\gamma_K, \gamma_\varepsilon)$, and $\sigma \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$. Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) with K and σ .*

If $\gamma_K \neq \gamma_\varepsilon$, then $f \in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\gamma}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, there exist σ and f satisfying the assumptions such that $f \notin C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\gamma'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\gamma' > \gamma$.

If $\gamma_K = \gamma_\varepsilon$, then $f \in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\gamma'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $\gamma' < \gamma$, and there exist σ and f satisfying the assumptions such that $f \notin C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\gamma}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

1.2. Liouville Theorem. Recall that the classical Liouville theorem asserts that bounded entire holomorphic functions are constant [5]. Its quasiregular counterpart (iii) follows from the Caccioppoli inequality [3], which controls the derivatives of a quasiregular mapping locally in terms of the mapping. Attempting the same approach under the assumptions of the Astala–Iwaniec–Martin question yields that the integral of the Jacobian over the entire space

\mathbb{R}^n equals zero, or equivalently, that

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Df|^n \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^n \sigma^n.$$

Analogously to the quasiregular theory [10], the local variants of the *energy estimate* (1.3) imply a higher degree of integrability for the derivatives of a solution. These observations can be further combined with the nonlinear Hodge theory developed by Iwaniec and Martin [18, 16], which provides L^p -estimates for $|Df|$ with exponents p either smaller or larger than the dimension n . However, this approach alone appears insufficient for the desired Liouville-type theorem, and although it could be used to show the continuity of f , the proof would not yield the sharp Hölder exponents we obtain in Theorem 1.1.

Our first Liouville-type result shows that if $\sigma \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then nontrivial solutions to (1.1) that are bounded in \mathbb{R}^n do not vanish at any point. Note that the standard radial example of a K -quasiregular mapping $f(x) = |x|^{\frac{1}{K}-1} x$ shows that this result has no local alternative.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) with $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. If f is bounded and f is not identically zero, then $0 \notin f(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

The first and key step in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is to show that a solution to the heterogeneous distortion inequality with $\sigma \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{J_f}{|f|^n} = 0, \quad \text{and therefore,} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma^n.$$

The proof of this is based on a thorough analysis of the integrals of J_f over the sublevel sets of $|f|$. In turn, we obtain that $|\nabla \log |f|| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The second step is to establish a Morrey-type decay estimate [24] on the integrals of $|\nabla \log |f||^n$ over the balls in \mathbb{R}^n . Our proof of the decay estimate relies on the formal identity

$$\frac{J_f(x)}{|f(x)|^n} \text{vol}_n = d\omega,$$

where ω is a certain differential $(n-1)$ -form. The resulting polynomial decay estimate implies that the function $\log |f|$ is Hölder continuous. Thus, the mapping f itself must omit the point 0 from its range, completing the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Our final main result is then the Liouville part of the Astala-Iwaniec-Martin question.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) for $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in L^{n-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)| = 0$, then $f \equiv 0$.*

We prove Theorem 1.3 by showing that, if there exists a non-identically zero solution $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ to (1.1) with $\sigma \in L^{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{n-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

the oscillation of the function $\log |f|$ over the entire space \mathbb{R}^n is uniformly bounded. This clearly leads to a contradiction with the assumption $f(x) \rightarrow 0$ when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. The crucial step in obtaining the uniform oscillation bound is to strengthen the estimate (1.4); that is, to prove an integrability estimate below the natural exponent n for the expression $|Df|/|f|$.

Our solution is influenced by the case $n = 2$. Indeed, in this case, the mapping f has no zeros by Theorem 1.2, and hence f has a well-defined complex logarithm $\log f$. The mapping $\log f$ satisfies the distortion estimate

$$(1.5) \quad |D \log f|^2 \leq K J_{\log f} + \sigma^2$$

almost everywhere. This, in turn, gives a nonhomogeneous linear elliptic equation for $\log f$, which implies the desired integrability estimate below the natural exponent for $|D \log f|$. In higher dimensions, the issue is to construct a similar map $\log f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. The Zorich map $h_Z: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ provides a well-known n -dimensional generalization of the planar exponential mapping; see [37]. Unfortunately, h_Z has a branch set consisting of $(n - 2)$ -dimensional hyperplanes, which prevents lifting an arbitrary continuous $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ through h_Z .

We circumvent the lifting difficulties by moving to the Riemannian manifold setting. Indeed, a well defined counterpart for the logarithm exists from $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, providing us with a mapping “ $\log f$ ”: $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. This mapping satisfies a higher dimensional counterpart of the estimate (1.5), and the single Euclidean component \mathbb{R} in the target space is sufficient for a Caccioppoli-type inequality to hold, which leads to the desired integrability estimate below the natural exponent n .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Tadeusz Iwaniec and Xiao Zhong for discussions and shared insights.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we go over some of the tools we require which might be less familiar to readers.

2.1. Sobolev mappings with manifold target. For the most part of this text we use the standard Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k)$ and $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open connected set, see e.g. [9, 36]. However, towards the end of the text, we consider a locally Sobolev mapping $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M$, where M is an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold.

There are various approaches to defining first order Sobolev mappings with a manifold target; see e.g. [11] or [6]. However, in our case, we only have to consider continuous Sobolev mappings with a manifold target, which simplifies the definition significantly.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain, and let M be a Riemannian k -manifold. We say that a continuous $f: \Omega \rightarrow M$ is in the Sobolev space $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, M)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ if, for every $x \in \Omega$, there exists a neighborhood $U \subset \Omega$ of x and a smooth bilipschitz chart $\varphi: V \rightarrow M$ such that $fU \subset \varphi V$ and $\varphi^{-1} \circ f \in W^{1,n}(U, \mathbb{R}^k)$.

If a continuous function $f: \Omega \rightarrow M$ is in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, M)$, then there exists a weak derivative $Df: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow TM$ which satisfies $D(\varphi^{-1} \circ f) = D(\varphi^{-1}) \circ Df$ for bilipschitz charts $\varphi: V \rightarrow M$. This weak derivative is unique up to a set of measure zero, in the sense that if $\tilde{D}f$ is another such mapping, then $\tilde{D}f = Df$ outside a set of the form $E \times \mathbb{R}^n$ where the set E has zero n -dimensional Lebesgue measure, $m_n(E) = 0$.

At a given point $x \in \Omega$, we denote by $|Df(x)|$ the operator norm of $Df(x): \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T_{f(x)}M$, where $T_{f(x)}M$ is equipped with the norm induced by the Riemannian metric. It follows that $|Df|: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is in $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega)$ for any continuous $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, M)$. If $\dim \Omega = \dim M$ and M is oriented, then we also have a measurable Jacobian $J_f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, characterized almost everywhere by $f^* \text{vol}_M = J_f \text{vol}_n$.

We remark that if $M = \mathbb{R}^k$, then the above definition coincides with the usual definition of $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k)$ for continuous f . We also remark that if the target is a product manifold $M = M_1 \times M_2$, then given two continuous mappings $f_1: \Omega \rightarrow M_1$ and $f_2: \Omega \rightarrow M_2$, we have that $(f_1, f_2) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, M)$ if and only if $f_1 \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, M_1)$ and $f_2 \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, M_2)$.

Next we recall Sobolev differential forms. Namely, suppose that M is a Riemannian manifold. A measurable $k+1$ -form $d\omega \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\wedge^{k+1}M)$ is the *weak differential* of a measurable k -form $\omega \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\wedge^k M)$ if

$$\int_M \omega \wedge d\eta = (-1)^{k+1} \int_M d\omega \wedge \eta$$

for every $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\wedge^{n-k-1}M)$. We denote by $W_{\text{loc}}^{d,p,q}(\wedge^k M)$ the space of k -forms $\omega \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\wedge^k M)$ with a weak differential $d\omega \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\wedge^{k+1}M)$. The version where $\omega \in L^p(\wedge^k M)$ and $d\omega \in L^q(\wedge^{k+1}M)$ is denoted $W^{d,p,q}(\wedge^k M)$. We also use the shorthands $W^{d,p}(\wedge^k M) = W^{d,p,p}(\wedge^k M)$ and $W_{\text{loc}}^{d,p}(\wedge^k M) = W_{\text{loc}}^{d,p,p}(\wedge^k M)$.

In particular, we require the following standard result about pull-backs of compactly supported smooth forms with Sobolev mappings. We sketch the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain, and let M be a Riemannian m -manifold. Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, M)$, where we assume that f is continuous if $M \neq \mathbb{R}^m$. If $\omega \in C_0^\infty(\wedge^k M)$ and $p \geq k+1$, then $f^*\omega \in W_{\text{loc}}^{d,p/k,p/(k+1)}(\wedge^k \Omega)$ and $df^*\omega = f^*d\omega$.*

Sketch of proof. The fact that $f^*\omega$ and $f^*d\omega$ satisfy the correct integrabilities follows from the estimates

$$|(f^*\omega)_x| \leq \|\omega\|_\infty |Df(x)|^k, \quad |(f^*d\omega)_x| \leq \|d\omega\|_\infty |Df(x)|^{k+1}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

For $df^*\omega = f^*d\omega$, it suffices to consider ω for which the support $\text{spt } \omega$ of ω is contained in the domain of a bilipschitz chart $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, as the general ω is a finite sum of such forms. Moreover, it suffices to consider ω of the form $\omega_0 d\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_k$, as a general ω with $\text{spt } \omega \subset U$ is again a finite sum of such forms with the coordinates of ϕ rearranged. We may also select $\phi' \in C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\phi' = \phi$ on $\text{spt } \omega$, which lets us write $\omega = \omega_0 d\phi'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi'_k$ in a form where the components are defined on all of N .

We then use the chain rule of locally Sobolev and C^1 maps to conclude that $f^*\omega_0 = \omega_0 \circ f \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\wedge^0 \Omega)$, $f^*d\omega_0 = d(\omega_0 \circ f) \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\wedge^1 \Omega)$, and $f^*d\phi'_i = d(\phi'_i \circ f) \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\wedge^1 \Omega)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. By the wedge product rules for Sobolev forms and the formula $d \circ d = 0$ for the weak differential, we conclude that $f^*d\omega = f^*d\omega_0 \wedge f^*d\phi'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^*d\phi'_k = d(f^*\omega_0) \wedge d(\phi'_1 \circ f) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(\phi'_k \circ f) = df^*\omega$. \square

2.2. Caccioppoli inequality. The Caccioppoli inequalities are a standard tool in the study of quasiregular mappings. The most basic form of the Caccioppoli estimate for a K -quasiregular mapping $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ reads as

$$\int_\Omega \eta^n |Df|^n \leq n^n K^n \int_\Omega |f|^n |\nabla \eta|^n,$$

where η is a real-valued smooth test function with compact support in Ω . This follows from the general inequality

$$\int_\Omega \eta^n J_f \leq n \int_\Omega |Df|^{n-1} |\eta|^{n-1} |\nabla \eta| |f|$$

which can be proved for arbitrary $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ via an integration-by-parts argument. Our arguments, however, require a version with a target space other than \mathbb{R}^n . In general, it is not possible to obtain a Caccioppoli-type estimate for mappings $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M$ where M is a Riemannian n -manifold. This happens when M is a *rational homology sphere*, see [11]. However, the standard proof generalizes to the case of $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$, where N is a Riemannian $(n-1)$ -manifold.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain, and let N be a compact oriented Riemannian $(n-1)$ -manifold without boundary. Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R} \times N)$, where we assume that f is continuous if $N \neq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Denote by $f_{\mathbb{R}}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_N: \Omega \rightarrow N$ the coordinate functions of f . Then for every $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\left| \int_\Omega \eta^n J_f \right| \leq n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Df|^{n-1} |\eta|^{n-1} |\nabla \eta| |f_{\mathbb{R}} - c|.$$

Proof. We define the function $f_\eta = (\eta^n(f_\mathbb{R} - c), f_N)$. Then $f_\eta \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R} \times N)$. Moreover, since $\text{vol}_{\mathbb{R} \times N} = (\pi_\mathbb{R}^* \text{vol}_1) \wedge (\pi_N^* \text{vol}_N)$, we have

$$f_\eta^* \text{vol}_{\mathbb{R} \times N} = d(\eta^n(f_\mathbb{R} - c)) \wedge f_N^* \text{vol}_N.$$

We may select a subdomain U with smooth boundary such that U is compactly contained in Ω and $\text{spt } \eta \subset U$. Since $\eta^n(f_\mathbb{R} - c) \in W^{1,n}(U)$ with compact support, we may approximate it in $W^{1,n}(U)$ with $g_i \in C_0^\infty(U)$. Moreover, we have by Lemma 2.2 that $f_N^* \text{vol}_N \in W_{\text{loc}}^{d,n/(n-1),1}(\wedge^{n-1}\Omega)$ and $df_N^* \text{vol}_N = f_N^* d \text{vol}_N = 0$. Hence, $f_N^* \text{vol}_N \in W^{d,n/(n-1)}(\wedge^{n-1}U)$, and we may approximate $f_N^* \text{vol}_N$ in $W^{d,n/(n-1)}(\wedge^{n-1}U)$ with $\omega_i \in C^\infty(\wedge^{n-1}U)$ (see e.g. [20, Corollary 3.6]).

By a standard Hölder-type estimate and the Leibniz rule, it therefore follows that $d(g_i \omega_i) \rightarrow d(\eta^n(f_\mathbb{R} - c)) \wedge f_N^* \text{vol}_N$ in $L^1(\wedge^n U)$. However, since $g_i \omega_i$ is smooth and compactly supported for every i , it follows that

$$\int_\Omega d(\eta^n(f_\mathbb{R} - c)) \wedge f_N^* \text{vol}_N = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_U d(g_i \omega_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0.$$

Hence, we may estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_\Omega \eta^n J_f \right| &= \left| \int_\Omega \eta^n d(f_\mathbb{R} - c) \wedge f_N^* \text{vol}_N \right| = \left| \int_\Omega (f_\mathbb{R} - c) d(\eta^n) \wedge f_N^* \text{vol}_N \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_\mathbb{R} - c| (n |\eta|^{n-1} |\nabla \eta|) |Df|^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The claim therefore follows. \square

2.3. Jacobians of entire mappings. To end the preliminaries section, we also discuss a result regarding the Jacobian of an entire Sobolev mapping. It is the main reason why we stated the Caccioppoli inequality also in the case where the target is the product of \mathbb{R} and an $(n-1)$ -manifold.

Lemma 2.4. *Let N be a compact oriented Riemannian $(n-1)$ -manifold without boundary. Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R} \times N)$, where we assume that f is continuous if $N \neq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. If $|Df| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J_f = 0.$$

Proof. We let $\eta_r \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, [0,1])$ be such that we have $\eta|_{B^n(0,r)} \equiv 1$, $\eta|_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B^n(0,2r)} \equiv 0$, and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 2/r$. We again denote $f = (f_\mathbb{R}, f_N)$. We then use the Caccioppoli inequality of Lemma 2.3 and Hölder's inequality to

obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_r^n J_f \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_r^{n-1} |Df|^{n-1} |f_{\mathbb{R}} - (f_{\mathbb{R}})_{B^n(0,2r)}| |\nabla \eta_r| \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\text{spt}|\nabla \eta_r|} \eta_r^n |Df|^n \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left(\int_{B^n(0,2r)} |f_{\mathbb{R}} - (f_{\mathbb{R}})_{B^n(0,2r)}|^n |\nabla \eta_r|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_{\mathbb{R}} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 2/r$, the Sobolev-Poincaré inequality then yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\text{spt}|\nabla \eta_r|} \eta_r^n |Df|^n \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left(\int_{B^n(0,2r)} |f_{\mathbb{R}} - (f_{\mathbb{R}})_{B^n(0,2r)}|^n |\nabla \eta_r|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ & \leq 4 \left(\int_{\text{spt}|\nabla \eta_r|} \eta_r^n |Df|^n \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{(2r)^n} \int_{B^n(0,2r)} |f_{\mathbb{R}} - (f_{\mathbb{R}})_{B^n(0,2r)}|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ & \leq 4C_n \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B^n(0,r)} |Df|^n \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left(\int_{B^n(0,2r)} |\nabla f_{\mathbb{R}}|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\nabla f_{\mathbb{R}}| \leq |Df| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the first integral term on the right hand side tends to 0 as $r \rightarrow \infty$, while the second term stays bounded. Since $|\eta_r^n J_f| \leq |Df|^n$, the claim therefore follows by dominated convergence. \square

3. HÖLDER CONTINUITY

In this section, we prove the continuity part of Theorem 1.1. Our proof is based on Morrey's rather elegant ideas in geometric function theory [24, 28, 19]. A crucial tool in establishing the sharp Hölder exponent is the isoperimetric inequality in the Sobolev space $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. For $x \in \Omega$ and almost every $r > 0$ such that $B_r = B^n(x, r)$ compactly contained in Ω , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \int_{B_r} J_f \right| \leq (n \omega_{n-1} \sqrt{\omega_{n-1}})^{-1} \left(\int_{\partial B_r} |D^\sharp f| \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}},$$

where ω_{n-1} is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional area of the unit sphere ∂B_1 in \mathbb{R}^n . Here $D^\sharp f(x)$ stands for the cofactor matrix of the differential matrix $Df(x)$. For a diffeomorphism $f: B_r \rightarrow U$ this integral form of the isoperimetric inequality follows immediately from the familiar geometric form of the isoperimetric inequality

$$n^{n-1} \omega_{n-1} [m_n(U)]^{n-1} \leq [m_{n-1}(\partial U)]^n,$$

where $m_n(U)$ stands for the volume of a domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $m_{n-1}(\partial U)$ is its $(n-1)$ -dimensional surface area. For the proof of (3.1) for Sobolev mappings see Reshetnyak [32, Lemma II.1.2.] for a more detailed account.

We begin with the primary estimate our proof relies on.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Omega, \Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be bounded domains with $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega'$. Suppose that $f \in W^{1,n}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) for $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in L^n(\Omega') \cap L^{n+\varepsilon}(\Omega')$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $x \in \Omega$, let $B_r = B(x, r)$ for all $r \in (0, \infty)$, let $R > 0$ be such that $B_R \subset \Omega$. Then for every $\delta < \varepsilon$ and a.e. $r < R$ we have the estimate*

$$\int_{B_r} |Df|^n \leq \frac{Kr}{n} \int_{\partial B_r} |Df|^n + Cr^{\frac{n\delta}{n+\delta}},$$

where C depends only on $n, \Omega, f, \sigma, \varepsilon$ and δ . In particular, C doesn't depend on x, r and R . Moreover, if $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then the estimate also holds for $\delta = \varepsilon$.

Proof. By using the heterogeneous distortion inequality, the isoperimetric inequality (3.1) for $W^{1,n}$ -mappings, Hadamard's inequality $|D^\sharp f| \leq |Df|^{n-1}$, and Hölder's inequality, we obtain for a.e. $r < R$ the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |Df|^n &\leq K \int_{B_r} J_f + \int_{B_r} |f|^n \sigma^n \\ &\leq \frac{K}{n^{n-1} \sqrt{\omega_{n-1}}} \left(\int_{\partial B_r} |Df|^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} + \int_{B_r} |f|^n \sigma^n \\ &\leq \frac{Kr}{n} \int_{\partial B_r} |Df|^n + \int_{B_r} |f|^n \sigma^n \end{aligned}$$

For the final term, we note that for every $p < \infty$, we have $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^n)$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem, and consequently also $f \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, we may use Hölder's inequality to obtain the desired estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |f|^n \sigma^n &\leq \left(\int_{B_r} \sigma^{n+\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+\varepsilon}} \left(\int_{B_r} 1 \right)^{\frac{\delta}{n-\delta}} \left(\int_{B_r} |f|^{\frac{(n+\delta)(n+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon-\delta}} \right)^{\frac{n(\varepsilon-\delta)}{(n+\delta)(n+\varepsilon)}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \sigma^{n+\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+\varepsilon}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^{\frac{(n+\delta)(n+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon-\delta}} \right)^{\frac{n(\varepsilon-\delta)}{(n+\delta)(n+\varepsilon)}} r^{\frac{n\delta}{n+\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if we additionally know that $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain the claim for $\delta = \varepsilon$ by estimating

$$\int_{B_r} |f|^n \sigma^n \leq \|f\|_\infty \left(\int_{\Omega} \sigma^{n+\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+\varepsilon}} r^{\frac{n\varepsilon}{n+\varepsilon}}.$$

□

Note that the estimate of Lemma 3.1 is of the form $\Phi(r) \leq Ar\Phi'(r) + Br^\alpha$. This differential inequality allows us to obtain an estimate for the decay of Φ at 0, which in our case is a decay estimate on the integrals of $|Df|^n$ over balls.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $\Phi: [0, R] \rightarrow [0, S]$ is an absolutely continuous increasing function such that $\Phi(0) = 0$ and*

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi(r) \leq Ar\Phi'(r) + Br^\alpha$$

for a.e. $r \in [0, R]$, where $A, \alpha > 0$ and $B \geq 0$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(A, B, \alpha, R, S)$ such that the following holds:

- if $\alpha < A^{-1}$, then for all $r \in [0, R]$ we have

$$\Phi(r) \leq Cr^\alpha;$$

- if $\alpha = A^{-1}$, then for all $r \in [0, R]$ we have

$$\Phi(r) \leq Cr^\alpha \log\left(\frac{Re^{1+\alpha^{-1}}}{r}\right);$$

- if $\alpha > A^{-1}$, then for all $r \in [0, R]$ we have

$$\Phi(r) \leq Cr^{A^{-1}}.$$

Proof. We observe that

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(-Ar^{-A^{-1}}\Phi(r) \right) = \frac{\Phi(r) - Ar\Phi'(r)}{r^{1+A^{-1}}}$$

for a.e. $r \in [0, R]$. Consequently, the estimate (3.2) can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(-Ar^{-A^{-1}}\Phi(r) \right) \leq Br^{-1-(A^{-1}-\alpha)}.$$

We integrate this estimate, obtaining

$$(3.3) \quad \int_r^R \frac{d}{ds} \left(-As^{-A^{-1}}\Phi(s) \right) ds \leq B \int_r^R s^{-1-(A^{-1}-\alpha)} ds.$$

Consider first the case $\alpha < A^{-1}$. Computing the integrals in (3.3) yields

$$A(r^{-A^{-1}}\Phi(r) - R^{-A^{-1}}\Phi(R)) \leq \frac{B}{A^{-1}-\alpha} \left(r^{-(A^{-1}-\alpha)} - R^{-(A^{-1}-\alpha)} \right),$$

and further rearrangement and estimation yields

$$\Phi(r) \leq r^{A^{-1}} \left(R^{-A^{-1}}\Phi(R) + \frac{B}{1-A\alpha} r^{\alpha-A^{-1}} \right) \leq \left(R^{-\alpha}S + \frac{B}{1-A\alpha} \right) r^\alpha$$

Suppose then that $\alpha = A^{-1}$. Then (3.3) results in

$$A(r^{-A^{-1}}\Phi(r) - R^{-A^{-1}}\Phi(R)) \leq B \log(R/r),$$

and we may again further estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(r) &\leq r^{A^{-1}} \left(R^{-A^{-1}}\Phi(R) + \frac{B}{A} \log \frac{R}{r} \right) \\ &\leq \left(R^{-A^{-1}}S + \frac{B}{A} \right) r^{A^{-1}} \log \left(\frac{Re^{1+\alpha^{-1}}}{r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, consider the case $\alpha > A^{-1}$. In this case, it follows from (3.3) that

$$A(r^{-A^{-1}}\Phi(r) - R^{-A^{-1}}\Phi(R)) \leq \frac{B}{\alpha - A^{-1}} \left(R^{\alpha - A^{-1}} - r^{\alpha - A^{-1}} \right),$$

and further rearrangement and estimation yields

$$\Phi(r) \leq r^{A^{-1}} \left(R^{-A^{-1}} S + \frac{BR^{\alpha - A^{-1}}}{A\alpha - 1} \right).$$

□

For the remaining component to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we recall a well known fact that the decay estimate on $|Df|$ implies that f belongs to a Morrey–Campanato space [25, 4], and is thus Hölder continuous. The precise formulation of this fact that we use is as follows.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\Omega = B^n(x, R/4)$ for some $R > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $f \in W^{1,n}(B^n(x, R), \mathbb{R}^k)$ satisfies*

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{B_r} |Df|^n \leq Cr^\alpha \log^\beta \frac{L}{r}$$

for all $B_r = B^n(y, r) \subset B^n(x, R)$, where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, and $L > 0$ is large enough that $R < Le^{-\beta/\alpha}$. Then

$$|f(y) - f(z)| \leq C' |y - z|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \log^{\frac{\beta}{n}} \frac{L}{4|y - z|}$$

for all $y, z \in \Omega$, where C' depends on n, k, C, A, α and β .

Note that the assumption $R < Le^{-\beta/\alpha}$ is to ensure that $r^\alpha \log^\beta(A/r)$ is increasing on $[0, R]$. Lemma 3.3 is merely a small variant of a classical result of Morrey [24] with an extra logarithmic term, where the logarithmic term becomes relevant when investigating the exact modulus of continuity. See [26, Theorem 3.5.2] for a proof in the classical case $\beta = 0$. For general β , we note that Lemma 3.3 also follows from the fractional maximal function estimate of Sobolev functions: if $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, then for all $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ outside a set of measure zero, we have

$$(3.5) \quad |u(y) - u(z)| \leq C_{n,\gamma} |y - z|^{1-\gamma} \left(\mathbf{M}_{\gamma,4|y-z|} |Du|(y) + \mathbf{M}_{\gamma,4|y-z|} |Du|(z) \right),$$

where $\mathbf{M}_{\gamma,R}$ stands for the *restricted fractional maximal function*

$$\mathbf{M}_{\gamma,R} |Du|(y) = \sup_{0 < r < R} \frac{r^\gamma}{m_n(B(y, r))} \int_{B(y, r)} |Du|.$$

Indeed, taking γ close to 1 and combining (3.4) with (3.5) yields the desired estimate of Lemma 3.3. The proof of (3.5) is due to Hedberg [12].

We are now ready to prove the local Hölder continuity stated in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\Omega = B^n(x, R)$ for some $R > 0$. Suppose that $f \in W^{1,n}(B^n(x, 5R), \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) with $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in L^n(B^n(x, 5R)) \cap L^{n+\varepsilon}(B^n(x, 5R))$, where $\varepsilon > 0$.*

If $K^{-1} \neq \varepsilon/(n + \varepsilon)$, then

$$|f(y) - f(z)| \leq C |y - z|^{\min(K^{-1}, \frac{\varepsilon}{n+\varepsilon})}$$

for all $y, z \in \Omega$, where $C = C(n, K, \varepsilon, \sigma, f)$.

If $K^{-1} = \varepsilon/(n + \varepsilon)$, then

$$|f(y) - f(z)| \leq C |y - z|^{K^{-1}} \log^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\frac{Re^{1+K}}{|y - z|} \right)$$

for all $y, z \in \Omega$, where $C = C(n, K, \varepsilon, \sigma, f)$.

Proof. We first prove a slightly weaker Hölder continuity estimate for f than is claimed. This in turn implies the local boundedness of f , which lets us apply Lemma 3.1 in full force and to obtain the stated estimates.

We set $\alpha = \min(n\varepsilon/(n + \varepsilon), n/K)$ and choose $\alpha' \in (0, \alpha)$. Applying Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we conclude that

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{B_r} |Df|^n \leq Cr^{\alpha'}$$

for all $B_r = B^n(y, r) \subset B^n(x, 4R)$. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that f is (α'/n) -Hölder continuous in $B^n(x, R)$. Since continuity is a local property, we conclude that f is continuous, and in particular bounded in $B^n(x, 4R)$.

Now, knowing that f is locally bounded we may and do take $\delta = \varepsilon$ in Lemma 3.1. Combining this with Lemma 3.2, we obtain the following decay estimate for the differential:

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{B_r} |Df|^n \leq Cr^\alpha \log^\beta \left(\frac{(4R)e^{1+K}}{r} \right), \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \beta = 0 & \text{if } \frac{n\varepsilon}{n+\varepsilon} \neq \frac{n}{K} \\ \beta = 1 & \text{if } \frac{n\varepsilon}{n+\varepsilon} = \frac{n}{K}. \end{cases}$$

for all B_r . Thus, the desired Hölder continuity estimates for f follow from Lemma 3.3. □

4. SHARPNESS OF THE HÖLDER EXPONENTS

Having Lemma 3.4, the remaining part of proving Theorem 1.1 is to construct solutions which show that the obtained Hölder exponents cannot be improved. Recalling the notation $\gamma_K = K^{-1}$ and $\gamma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon/(n + \varepsilon)$, we consider three different cases: $\gamma_K < \gamma_\varepsilon$, $\gamma_K > \gamma_\varepsilon$ and $\gamma_K = \gamma_\varepsilon$.

For the first case $\gamma_K < \gamma_\varepsilon$, we can simply use the standard radial example

$$f(x) = |x|^{\frac{1}{K}} \frac{x}{|x|}.$$

Indeed, the mapping f is K -quasiregular and hence satisfies (1.1) with $\sigma \equiv 0$, and we also have $f \notin C^{0,\gamma}(B^n(0, 1))$ for every $\gamma > K^{-1}$.

Next, we discuss the case $\gamma_K > \gamma_\varepsilon$ in-depth.

Example 4.1. Let $K \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $K^{-1} > \varepsilon/(n + \varepsilon)$. We define a mapping $f: B^n(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with only a single non-vanishing coordinate function, namely

$$f(x) = \left(1 + |x|^{\frac{\varepsilon}{n+\varepsilon}} \log^{-\frac{1}{n}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right), 0, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

This mapping lies in $W^{1,n}(B^n(0, 1), \mathbb{R}^n)$, with

$$\nabla f_1(x) = |x|^{-\frac{n}{n+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{n+\varepsilon} \log^{-\frac{1}{n}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \log^{-\frac{n+1}{n}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) \right) \frac{x}{|x|}.$$

Furthermore, $J_f \equiv 0$. Hence, the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) for f reduces to

$$|\nabla f_1|^n \leq |f|^n \sigma^n.$$

Since $|f(x)| = f_1(x) \geq 1$ for every $x \in B^n(0, 1)$, the mapping f solves the heterogeneous distortion inequality for any $\sigma \geq |\nabla f_1|$. We choose

$$\sigma = |\nabla f_1| = |x|^{-\frac{n}{n+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{n+\varepsilon} \log^{-\frac{1}{n}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \log^{-\frac{n+1}{n}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) \right)$$

and then observe that

$$\sigma^{n+\varepsilon} \leq 2^{n+\varepsilon} |x|^{-n} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{n+\varepsilon} \log^{-\frac{n+\varepsilon}{n}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \log^{-\frac{(n+1)(n+\varepsilon)}{n}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) \right).$$

We recall that for any $p > 1$, the function $|x|^{-n} \log^{-p}(e/|x|)$ is integrable over $B^n(0, 1)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^n(0,1)} |x|^{-n} \log^{-p} \frac{e}{|x|} &= C_n \int_0^1 \frac{r^{n-1} dr}{r^n \log^p \frac{e}{r}} \\ &= C_n \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dr} \left(\log^{-(p-1)} \frac{e}{r} \right) dr < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the mapping f solves (1.1) with $\sigma \in L^{n+\varepsilon}(B^n(0, 1))$. However, for any exponent $\gamma > \varepsilon/(n + \varepsilon)$, the map f fails to be γ -Hölder continuous at the origin.

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is therefore to provide an example in the special case $\gamma_K = \gamma_\varepsilon$.

Example 4.2. Let $K \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, and suppose that $K^{-1} = \varepsilon/(n + \varepsilon)$. We define a mapping $f: B^n(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$f(x) = |x|^{\frac{1}{K}} \log^{\frac{1}{2nK}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) \frac{x}{|x|} + (2, 0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

The mapping f is hence obtained by shifting a radially symmetric map of the form $(\Phi(|x|)/|x|)x$, where $\Phi(t) = t^{1/K} \log^{1/(2nK)}(e/t)$. For $x \in B^n(0, 1)$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\Phi(|x|)}{|x|} \right| &= |x|^{-\frac{K-1}{K}} \log^{\frac{1}{2nK}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) \quad \text{and} \\ |\Phi'(|x|)| &= |x|^{-\frac{K-1}{K}} \left(\frac{1}{K} \log^{\frac{1}{2nK}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) - \frac{1}{2nK} \log^{-\frac{2nK-1}{2nK}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using these and the fact that f is orientation preserving, we conclude, see e.g. [19, 6.5.1], that

$$\begin{aligned} |Df(x)|^n &= \max \left(\left| \frac{\Phi(|x|)}{|x|} \right|^n, |\Phi'(|x|)|^n \right) \\ &= |x|^{-\frac{n(K-1)}{K}} \log^{\frac{1}{2K}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} KJ_f(x) &= K \left| \frac{\Phi(|x|)}{|x|} \right|^{n-1} |\Phi'(|x|)| \\ &= |x|^{-\frac{n(K-1)}{K}} \left(\log^{\frac{n}{2nK}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) - \frac{1}{2n} \log^{\frac{n-1}{2nK} - \frac{2nK-1}{2nK}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) \right) \\ &= |x|^{-\frac{n(K-1)}{K}} \left(\log^{\frac{1}{2K}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) - \frac{1}{2n} \log^{-\frac{2nK-n}{2nK}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since Φ is increasing on $[0, 1]$, we have $|f(x)| \geq 2 - \Phi(1) = 1$ for all $x \in B^n(0, 1)$. Therefore, the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) is satisfied if we choose

$$\sigma^n(x) = \frac{1}{2n} |x|^{-\frac{n(K-1)}{K}} \log^{-\frac{2nK-n}{2nK}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right).$$

We then observe that

$$\sigma^{n+\varepsilon}(x) = (\sigma^n(x))^{\frac{K}{K-1}} = \frac{1}{(2n)^{\frac{K-1}{K}}} |x|^{-n} \log^{-\frac{(2nK-n)K}{2nK(K-1)}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right),$$

and since

$$\frac{(2nK-n)K}{2nK(K-1)} = \frac{2nK^2 - nK}{2nK^2 - 2nK} > 1,$$

we conclude that $\sigma \in L^{n+\varepsilon}(B^n(0, 1))$. However, we have

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(0)|}{|x - 0|^{\frac{1}{K}}} = \log^{\frac{1}{2nK}} \left(\frac{e}{|x|} \right) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} \infty,$$

and therefore $f \notin C_{\text{loc}}^{0, K^{-1}}(B^n(0, 1))$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is thus complete.

5. SUBLEVEL SETS AND THE LOGARITHM

In this section, we begin studying bounded entire functions f satisfying (1.1), with the goal of eventually reaching the Liouville type theorem stated in the Astala-Iwaniec-Martin question. Our main goal in this section is to show that if f is not identically zero, then $\log |f| \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This is already notable, since this condition is not satisfied by all unbounded entire quasiregular maps. Our approach does not rely on the theory of partial differential equations. Instead, the proof is based on two main tools: integration by parts and truncating f with respect to its level sets.

5.1. Global integrability. We begin with a simple global integrability result for Df when f is an entire mapping that solves the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1).

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) with $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If f is bounded, then $|Df| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Let $\eta_r : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth mapping chosen such that $\eta|_{B^n(0,r)} \equiv 1$, $\eta|_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B^n(0,2r)} \equiv 0$, and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 2/r$. Now, by using the heterogeneous distortion inequality, the Caccioppoli estimate of Lemma 2.3, and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_r^n |Df|^n &\leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_r^n J_f + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_r^n |f|^n \sigma^n \\ &\leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\eta_r |Df|)^{n-1} |f| |\nabla \eta_r| + \|f\|_\infty^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_r^n \sigma^n \\ &\leq \left(\int_{B^n(0,2r)} |f|^n |\nabla \eta_r|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_r^n |Df|^n \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + \|f\|_\infty^n \|\sigma\|_n^n \\ &\leq 4\omega_n \|f\|_\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_r^n |Df|^n \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + \|f\|_\infty^n \|\sigma\|_n^n \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain an upper bound on the integral of $\eta_r^n |Df|^n$ independent on r . Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ yields the claim. \square

5.2. Level set methods. We just proved that for a bounded $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying (1.1) with $\sigma \in L^n$, the differential $|Df|$ lies in $L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, the integral of J_f over the entire space \mathbb{R}^n is zero. We now proceed to improve this by showing that the integral of the Jacobian also vanishes over every strict sublevel set of $|f|$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that $|Df| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for every $t > 0$, we have*

$$\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f| < t\}} J_f = 0.$$

Proof. Let $t > \varepsilon > 0$, and let $\psi = \psi_{t,\varepsilon}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing smooth function such that $\psi|_{[0,t-\varepsilon]} = \text{id}$, $\psi|_{[t,\infty)} \equiv t$, and $|\psi'| \leq 2$. Let $h_{t,\varepsilon}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the radial function defined by

$$h_{t,\varepsilon}(x) = \psi_{t,\varepsilon}(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|}.$$

Then $h_{t,\varepsilon}$ is a smooth and 2-Lipschitz regular mapping. Consequently, the chain rule applies, $Df_{t,\varepsilon}(x) = Dh_{t,\varepsilon}(f(x))Df(x)$ for a.e. x , and $f_{t,\varepsilon} = h_{t,\varepsilon} \circ f$ lies in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, see e.g. [7, p.130].

In particular, since $|Dh_{t,\varepsilon}| \leq 2$, we have $Df_{t,\varepsilon} \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.4 yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (J_{h_{t,\varepsilon}} \circ f) J_f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J_{f_{t,\varepsilon}} = 0.$$

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have $J_{h_{t,\varepsilon}} \rightarrow \chi_{[0,t]}$ pointwise where χ_E denotes the characteristic function χ_E of a set E . Hence, the claim follows by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and applying the dominated convergence theorem for the Lebesgue integral. \square

Lemma 5.2 is our main tool in showing that, for an entire non-identically zero solution f , the function $|\nabla \log |f||$ belongs to $L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Towards this, we first prove that the function $|Df|^n / |f|^n$ is globally integrable.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ solves the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) with $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If f is bounded, then $J_f / |f|^n$ is integrable,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{J_f}{|f|^n} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma^n < \infty.$$

Here and in what follows, we interpret $J_f / |f|^n = 0$ when $J_f = 0$, and similarly, $|Df|^n / |f|^n = 0$ when $|Df| = 0$.

Proof. We split J_f into its positive and negative parts $J_f = J_f^+ - J_f^-$. These parts satisfy the inequality

$$(5.1) \quad |Df|^n + KJ_f^- \leq KJ_f^+ + \sigma^n |f|^n.$$

In particular, we have

$$(5.2) \quad J_f^- \leq K^{-1} |f|^n \sigma^n.$$

Indeed, this is trivial when $J_f \geq 0$, and if $J_f < 0$, then (5.2) follows from (5.1). Hence, $J_f^- = 0$ a.e. where $|f| = 0$, and we have

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{J_f^-}{|f|^n} \leq \frac{1}{K} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma^n < \infty.$$

For every $t > 0$, we denote the strict sublevel set of $|f|$ at t by $L_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |f| < t\}$. By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, we have

$$\int_{L_t} J_f = 0 \quad \text{for every } t > 0.$$

In particular, we have for every $t > 0$ that

$$\int_{L_t} J_f^+ = \int_{L_t} J_f^-.$$

Multiplying this estimate by t^{-n-1}/n , we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{-n-1}}{n} J_f^+ \chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f| < t\}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{-n-1}}{n} J_f^- \chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f| < t\}}.$$

By integrating (5.4) over $(0, \infty)$ with respect to t and applying the Fubini–Tonelli theorem to change the order of integration, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J_f^+ \int_{|f(x)|}^{\infty} \frac{t^{-n-1}}{n} dt dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J_f^- \int_{|f(x)|}^{\infty} \frac{t^{-n-1}}{n} dt dx.$$

Evaluating the inner integral yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{J_f^+}{|f|^n} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{J_f^-}{|f|^n} < \infty$$

where the finiteness of the integrals follows from (5.3).

We therefore conclude that $J_f^+ = 0$ a.e. where $|f| = 0$, that $J_f^+ / |f|^n$ and therefore also $J_f / |f|^n$ are integrable, and that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{J_f}{|f|^n} = 0.$$

Finally, by the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1), we see that $|Df| = 0$ a.e. where $|f| = 0$, and that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} \leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{J_f}{|f|^n} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma^n.$$

□

5.3. The logarithm. With the global integrability of $|Df|^n / |f|^n$ shown, we now proceed to study the Sobolev regularity of $\log |f|$.

Let $B_R = B^n(x, R)$ be a ball in \mathbb{R}^n with $R > 0$. Suppose that $f \in L^\infty(B_R, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and that $|Df|/|f| \in L^n(B_R)$. For every $\lambda > 0$, we denote by $|f|_\lambda$ the function $x \mapsto \max(|f|, \lambda)$. We then proceed to study the functions $\log |f|_\lambda$. Since f is bounded and the function $h_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $h_\lambda(x) = \log \max(|x|, \lambda)$ is locally Lipschitz, we may use the chain rule of Lipschitz and Sobolev maps to obtain that $\log |f|_\lambda = h_\lambda \circ f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(B_R)$; see e.g. [36, Theorem 2.1.11]. Moreover, we have the uniform estimate

$$(5.5) \quad |\nabla \log |f|_\lambda|^n = \frac{|\nabla |f||^n}{|f|^n} \chi_{\{|f| > \lambda\}} \leq \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|_\lambda^n} \leq \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} < \infty$$

which is independent of λ .

By using these truncated logarithms as a tool, we achieve the following result.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f: B_R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded and not identically zero mapping. Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and that $|Df|/|f| \in L^n(B_R)$. Then $f^{-1}\{0\}$ has zero Lebesgue measure, the measurable function $\log |f|$ lies in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(B_R)$, and*

$$|\nabla \log |f|| \leq \frac{|Df|}{|f|} \in L^n(B_R).$$

Proof. By our assumptions, the set $|f|^{-1}(0, \infty)$ has positive measure. Hence, there exists $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $F_t = \{x \in B_R : t^{-1} > |f(x)| > t\}$ has positive measure. For every $\lambda > 0$, we denote by $f_\lambda: B_R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function $f_\lambda = \log |f|_\lambda$.

Our first goal is to show that $\log |f| \in L^1(B_R)$. For the proof, we assume towards a contradiction that the integral of $|\log |f||$ over B_R is instead infinite. In this case, since the functions f_λ are uniformly bounded from above and decrease to $\log |f|$ monotonically as $\lambda \rightarrow 0+$, we have $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} (f_\lambda)_{B_R} = -\infty$; recall that $(f_\lambda)_{B_R}$ stands for the integral average value of the function f_λ over B_R .

By the Sobolev-Poincaré inequality and (5.5), we have the upper bound

$$\frac{1}{m_n(B_R)} \int_{B_R} |f_\lambda - (f_\lambda)_{B_R}| \leq C_n \left(\int_{B_R} |\nabla f_\lambda|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq C_n \left(\int_{B_R} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

This upper bound, independent of λ , is finite by our assumptions. We also have the lower bound

$$\frac{1}{m_n(B_R)} \int_{B_R} |f_\lambda - (f_\lambda)_{B_R}| \geq \frac{m_n(F_t)}{m_n(B_R)} (|(f_\lambda)_{B_R}| - \log t^{-1}).$$

Since $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} (f_\lambda)_B = -\infty$, we arrive at a contradiction. Hence, $\log |f| \in L^1(B_R)$. In particular, it follows that $\log |f|$ is finite almost everywhere, and therefore $f^{-1}\{0\}$ has zero Lebesgue measure.

Now, for $\lambda < 1$, we have

$$\int_{B_R} |\log |f| - f_\lambda| \leq \int_{f^{-1}[0,\lambda]} |\log |f|| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } \lambda \rightarrow 0+,$$

and

$$\int_{B_R} \left| \nabla f_\lambda - \frac{\nabla |f|}{|f|} \right|^n \leq \int_{f^{-1}[0,\lambda]} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } \lambda \rightarrow 0+.$$

Therefore, $f_\lambda \rightarrow \log |f|$ in $L^1(B_R)$ and $\nabla f_\lambda \rightarrow (\nabla |f|)/|f|$ in $L^n(B_R)$. Thus, the weak gradient of $\log |f|$ equals $(\nabla |f|)/|f|$. Since $(\nabla |f|)/|f| \in L^n(B_R, \mathbb{R}^n)$, the Sobolev embedding theorem shows that $\log |f| \in L_{\text{loc}}^n(B_R)$, and hence $\log |f| \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(B_R)$. \square

6. NON-EXISTENCE OF ZEROS

In this section we will show that $\log |f|$ is locally Hölder continuous if f is a bounded entire solution to the heterogeneous distortion inequality

with $\sigma \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This will prove Theorem 1.2. Our approach again mimics the lines of reasoning by Morrey and is based on obtaining a quantitative integral estimate for $|Df|^n / |f|^n$ over balls. This is done by employing a suitable isoperimetric inequality.

6.1. Logarithmic isoperimetric inequality. We then proceed to show the following isoperimetric-type estimate for $|Df|^n / |f|^n$. As before, we use $B_r = B^n(x, r)$ to denote a ball in \mathbb{R}^n around a fixed point x .

Lemma 6.1. *Let $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(B_R, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $R > 0$. If $|Df|/|f| \in L_{\text{loc}}^n(B_R)$, then there is a constant C_n such that for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$, we have*

$$(6.1) \quad \int_{B_r} \frac{J_f}{|f|^n} \leq C_n r \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n}.$$

The main idea behind the proof is to write

$$\frac{J_f(x)}{|f(x)|^n} \text{vol}_n = d\omega,$$

where ω is a certain differential $(n-1)$ -form, and then to use Stokes' theorem. This method actually gives similar estimates for integrals of the more general form $\psi(|f|)J_f$ over balls. The precise estimate obtained is given by the following lemma, which is a variant of [27, Lemma 2.1] by Onninen and Zhong. We provide a proof here due to our assumptions being slightly weaker than in [27].

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain. Suppose that $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is in $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\Psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a piecewise C^1 -smooth function with Ψ' locally bounded, then for every test function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \eta [n\Psi(|f|^2) + 2|f|^2 \Psi'(|f|^2)] J_f \right| \leq \sqrt{n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta| |f| \Psi(|f|^2) |Df|^{n-1}.$$

Before jumping into the proof, we comment on the well-definedness of the left integrand. The function Ψ' is defined outside finitely many jump points $y \in [0, \infty)$. Consider the set $A_y = \{x \in \Omega : |f(x)|^2 = y\}$. Then a.e. on A_y , we have $\nabla |f|^2 = 0$; see e.g. [13, Corollary 1.21]. Since $\nabla |f|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n 2f_i \nabla f_i$, this implies that for a.e. $x \in A_y$, we have $|f(x)| = 0$ or some non-zero linear combination of $\{(df_i)_x : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ vanishes. In the latter case, $J_f(x) = 0$. Hence, almost everywhere where $\Psi'(|f|^2)$ is not defined, we have $|f| = 0$ or $J_f = 0$, making the integrand in the statement well defined.

Proof of Lemma 6.2. By switching to a smaller domain Ω which still contains the support of η , we may assume that Ω is bounded and $f \in W^{1,n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. By boundedness of $|f|$ and the local boundedness of Ψ and Ψ' , we may also assume that Ψ and Ψ' are bounded.

We consider the function

$$F_i = (f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}, \eta\Psi(|f|^2)f_i, f_{i+1}, \dots, f_n).$$

Since Ψ' is bounded and $|f|^2$ is Sobolev, the chain rule of Lipschitz and Sobolev maps yields that $\nabla(\Psi(|f|^2)) = \Psi'(|f|^2)\nabla|f|^2 = 2\Psi'(|f|^2)\sum_{j=1}^n f_j\nabla f_j$ a.e. on Ω , see e.g. [36, Theorem 2.1.11]. By further using the product rules of Sobolev mappings, we see that $\eta\Psi(|f|^2)f_i$ has a locally integrable weak gradient given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla(\eta\Psi(|f|^2)f_i) \\ &= \Psi(|f|^2)f_i\nabla\eta + 2\eta\Psi'(|f|^2)f_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^n f_j\nabla f_j\right) + \eta\Psi(|f|^2)\nabla f_i. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that η , $\Psi(|f|^2)$, $\Psi'(|f|^2)$ and f_i are bounded, we then conclude that this weak gradient is in $L^n(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

We therefore have that $\eta^n\Psi(|f|^2)f_i \in W^{1,n}(\Omega)$. Consequently, $F_i \in W^{1,n}(\Omega)$, and therefore J_{F_i} is integrable. Since F_i also has a compactly supported coordinate function, we therefore have

$$\int_{\Omega} J_{F_i} = 0.$$

By writing $J_{F_i} \text{vol}_n$ as a wedge product, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \eta[\Psi(|f|^2) + 2f_i^2\Psi'(|f|^2)]J_f \text{vol}_n \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \Psi(|f|^2)f_i df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_{i-1} \wedge d\eta \wedge df_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n. \end{aligned}$$

By summing over i , and by using the fact that $|\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n| \leq |\alpha_1| \dots |\alpha_n|$ for 1-forms $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, the claim follows. \square

With the proof of Lemma 6.2 complete, we then proceed to prove Lemma 6.1.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. We first prove an isoperimetric estimate of the following form: for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$ and every constant c , we have

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{B_r} \frac{J_f}{|f|^n} \leq C_n \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^{n-1}}{|f|^{n-1}} |\log|f| - c|.$$

Hence, fix a $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $r \in (0, R)$. For all sufficiently large $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we select cutoff functions $\eta_j \in C_0^\infty(B_r)$ such that $\eta_j \leq \eta_{j+1} \leq 1$, $\eta_j(x) = 1$ for all $x \in B_{r-1/j}$, and $\sup\{|\nabla\eta_j(x)| : x \in B_r\} \leq 1/j$. We also fix $a > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and define a function $\Psi_{a,\varepsilon} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Psi_{a,\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(t + \varepsilon) - c \right), & t \geq a^2 \\ a^{-n} (\log \sqrt{a^2 + \varepsilon} - c), & t \leq a^2. \end{cases}$$

The function $\Psi_{a,\varepsilon}$ is piecewise C^1 and its derivative is locally bounded. Moreover, we have

$$n\Psi_{a,\varepsilon}(t^2) + 2t^2\Psi'_{a,\varepsilon}(t^2) = \begin{cases} t^{-(n-2)}(t^2 + \varepsilon)^{-1} & t > a \\ na^n(\log \sqrt{a^2 + \varepsilon} - c), & t < a. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by using Lemma 6.2 with $\Psi = \Psi_{a,\varepsilon}$ and $\eta = \eta_j$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\{|f|>a\}} \frac{\eta_j J_f}{|f|^{n-2}(|f|^2 + \varepsilon)} \right| &\leq \frac{C_n}{j} \int_{r-\frac{1}{j}}^r \int_{\partial B_s} \frac{|Df|^{n-1}|f|}{|f|_a^n} \left| \log \sqrt{|f|_a^2 + \varepsilon} - c \right| ds \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\{|f|<a\}} \frac{n\eta_j J_f (\log \sqrt{a^2 + \varepsilon} - c)}{a^n} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $|f|_a = \max(|f|, a)$. We then let $j \rightarrow \infty$, where we use monotone convergence and the Lebesgue differentiation theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\{|f|>a\} \cap B_r} \frac{J_f}{|f|^{n-2}(|f|^2 + \varepsilon)} \right| &\leq C_n \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^{n-1}|f|}{|f|_a^n} \left| \log \sqrt{|f|_a^2 + \varepsilon} - c \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\{|f|<a\} \cap B_r} \frac{nJ_f (\log \sqrt{a^2 + \varepsilon} - c)}{a^n} \right| \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$. Combining this with Hadamard's inequality $|J_f| \leq |Df|^n$ yields

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\{|f|>a\} \cap B_r} \frac{J_f}{|f|^{n-2}(|f|^2 + \varepsilon)} \right| &\leq C_n \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^{n-1}|f|}{|f|_a^n} \left| \log \sqrt{|f|_a^2 + \varepsilon} - c \right| \\ &\quad + n \left| \log \sqrt{a^2 + \varepsilon} - c \right| \int_{\{|f|<a\} \cap B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n}. \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$.

Next, we let $a \rightarrow 0+$. Since $|Df|^n / |f|^n$ is integrable and $f^{-1}\{0\}$ has zero measure by Lemma 5.4, the last integral in (6.3) goes to zero as $a \rightarrow 0+$. For the first integral on the right hand side of 6.3, we observe that its integrand is dominated by the function $(|Df|^{n-1} / |f|^{n-1})(\log |f| + C)$ for some $C > 0$. This dominant is in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(B_R)$ for any $C > 0$, since $|Df|^{n-1} / |f|^{n-1} \in L^{n/(n-1)}(B_R)$, and since $\log |f| \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(B_R)$ by Lemma 5.4. Consequently, the dominant is also in $L^1(\partial B_r)$ for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$ by the Fubini-Tonelli theorem. Hence, we may apply the dominated convergence theorem as $a \rightarrow 0+$ in (6.3), and therefore obtain

$$\left| \int_{B_r} \frac{J_f}{|f|^{n-2}(|f|^2 + \varepsilon)} \right| \leq C_n \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^{n-1}}{|f|^{n-1}} \left| \log \sqrt{|f|^2 + \varepsilon} - c \right|$$

for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$.

We then let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ and again use the dominated convergence theorem, obtaining the claimed inequality (6.2) for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$ and our fixed value

of c . Consequently, if $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a countable dense subset, then (6.2) holds for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$ and all $c \in S$. We then obtain (6.2) for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $r \in (0, R)$ by taking limits, since the constant in (6.2) is independent of c and since $|Df|^{n-1}/|f|^{n-1}$ is integrable over ∂B_r for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$.

It remains to derive the statement of the lemma from (6.2). We denote

$$\text{osc}(\log |f|, \partial B_r) = \sup_{\partial B_r} \log |f| - \inf_{\partial B_r} \log |f|.$$

Since $|\nabla \log |f|| \in L^n(B_R)$, the *Sobolev embedding theorem on spheres* [14, Lemma 2.19] implies that, after changing f in a set of measure zero, we have

$$(6.4) \quad \text{osc}(\log |f|, \partial B_r) \leq C_n r^{\frac{1}{n}} \|\nabla \log |f|\|_n \leq C_n r^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$. Moreover, if $r \in (0, R)$ is such that (6.2) is valid, we may select $b \in \partial B_r$ and take $c = \log |f(b)|$, in which case (6.2) yields

$$(6.5) \quad \int_{B_r} \frac{J_f}{|f|^n} \leq C_n \text{osc}(\log |f|, \partial B_r) \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^{n-1}}{|f|^{n-1}}.$$

for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$.

Now, combining (6.4), (6.5) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} \frac{J_f}{|f|^n} &\leq C_n r^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^{n-1}}{|f|^{n-1}} \\ &\leq C_n r^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} r^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \\ &= C_n r \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 6.1. \square

6.2. Hölder continuity of the logarithmic function. Here we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. We recall the statement first.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) with $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $\varepsilon > 0$. If f is bounded and $f \not\equiv 0$, then $0 \notin f(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

The proof is based on the following logarithmic counterpart of Lemma 3.1, where the use of the isoperimetric inequality is replaced with Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and solves the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) with $K \in [1, \infty)$, and $\sigma \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If f is bounded and not the constant function $f \equiv 0$, then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and almost every ball $B_r = B^n(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$\int_{B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} \leq C_n(K)r \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} + \int_{B_r} \sigma^n.$$

Proof. By Lemmas (5.1) and Lemma 5.3, we have $|Df|/|f| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, the heterogeneous distortion inequality is in this case equivalent with the inequality

$$(6.6) \quad \frac{|Df(x)|^n}{|f(x)|^n} \leq K \frac{J_f(x)}{|f(x)|^n} + \sigma^n(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

since $m_n(f^{-1}\{0\}) = 0$ by Lemma 5.4. We then combine (6.6) with Lemma 6.1, and the claim follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $B_R = B^n(0, R)$ for some $R > 0$. By Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4, we have that $\log |f| \in W^{1,n}(\mathbb{B}_R)$, and we moreover have $|\nabla \log |f|| \leq |Df|/|f|$ almost everywhere.

Let then $B_r = B^n(x, r) \subset B_R$. By Lemma 6.3 and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} &\leq C_n(K)r \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} + \int_{Q_r} \sigma^n \\ &\leq C_n(K)r \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} + (2r)^{\frac{n\varepsilon}{n+\varepsilon}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_R} \sigma^{n+\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for every $x \in B_R$ and a.e. $r \in (0, \text{dist}(x, \partial \mathbb{B}))$, Lemma 3.2 yields that

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla \log |f||^n \leq \int_{B_r} \frac{|Df|^n}{|f|^n} \leq Cr^\alpha,$$

where C and α are independent of our choice of $B_r \subset B_R$. Hence, by Lemma 3.3, we have that $\log |f|$ is Hölder continuous in $B_{R/4}$. Therefore, the function $\log |f|$ locally Hölder continuous in \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, $\log |f|$ is locally bounded. However, if $f(x) = 0$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\log |f(x)| = -\infty$. We conclude that f cannot have any zeroes. \square

7. THE LIOUVILLE THEOREM

The remaining part of this paper is devoted to proving the Liouville theorem formulated in Theorem 1.3.

We recall from the introduction that our approach is to consider a function “ $\log f$ ” from \mathbb{R}^n to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. This mapping is well defined and satisfies a similar distortion inequality as the classical complex logarithm map. The differential inequality makes it possible to show that the weak derivative of our “ $\log f$ ” lies in $L^{n-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. The argument for this goes back to two remarkable papers by Iwaniec and Martin [18] (for even dimensions) and Iwaniec [16] (for all dimensions), where they proved local integral estimates of quasiregular mappings below the natural exponent n . Later, a short proof was given by Faraco and Zhong [8]. We in turn perform a global version of the Lipschitz truncation argument of Faraco and Zhong in our setting.

7.1. The logarithm with a manifold target. Let $n \geq 2$. Then there exists a smooth mapping $s: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, defined by

$$s(t, \theta) = e^t \theta$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The map s is conformal, with $|Ds(t, \theta)|^n = J_s(t, \theta) = e^{nt}$. The inverse of s is given by

$$s^{-1}(x) = \left(\log |x|, \frac{x}{|x|} \right)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. A simple calculation yields that $1 = (J_s \circ s^{-1})J_{s^{-1}} = e^{n \log |x|} J_{s^{-1}}$, and therefore

$$|Ds^{-1}(x)|^n = J_{s^{-1}}(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^n}.$$

We use the inverse s^{-1} to take a ‘‘logarithm’’ of our mapping f .

Lemma 7.1. *Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) with $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose also that f is bounded, and that f is not the constant mapping $f \equiv 0$. Denote*

$$h = s^{-1} \circ f(x) = \left(\log |f|, \frac{f}{|f|} \right).$$

Then h has the following properties:

- (1) h is continuous and $h \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$;
- (2) we have $|Dh| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (3) we have

$$|Dh(x)|^n \leq K J_h(x) + \sigma^n(x)$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, we have that f is continuous, and by Theorem 1.2, we have that the image of f does not meet zero. Hence, h is well defined and continuous. We also easily see that $h \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, since if B is a ball compactly contained in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then $s|_B$ is a smooth bilipschitz chart. Hence, we have (1).

We then note that since s^{-1} is conformal, we have

$$|Dh| = (|Ds^{-1}| \circ f) |Df| = \frac{|Df|}{|f|}.$$

Hence, we have by Lemma 5.3 that $|Dh| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$, proving (2). Finally, we prove (3) by computing that

$$\begin{aligned} |Dh|^n &= (|Ds^{-1}| \circ f) |Df| \leq (J_{s^{-1}} \circ f)(K J_f + \sigma^n |f|^n) \\ &= K (J_{s^{-1}} \circ f) J_f + \frac{\sigma^n |f|^n}{|f|^n} = K J_h + \sigma^n. \end{aligned}$$

□

7.2. Integrability below the natural exponent. According to Lemma 7.1, the logarithmic mapping $h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ lies in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ and it solves the distortion inequality,

$$(7.1) \quad |Dh(x)|^n \leq KJ_h(x) + \sigma^n(x) \quad \text{for } K \in [1, \infty) \text{ and a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since $|Dh| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the integral of the Jacobian J_h over \mathbb{R}^n vanishes. Therefore, the natural integral estimate for the logarithmic map over the entire space reads as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Dh|^n \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma^n.$$

The next lemma gives the key global integrability estimate for the differential below the natural exponent n .

Lemma 7.2. *Suppose that a mapping $h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is continuous, and that $h \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ with $|Dh| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If h satisfies the distortion inequality (7.1) with $\sigma \in L^{n-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'(n, K, \varepsilon) \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that $|Dh| \in L^{n-\varepsilon'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, we have the estimate*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'} \leq C(\varepsilon') \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma^{n-\varepsilon'},$$

where $C(\varepsilon') \rightarrow 1$ as our choice of ε' tends to 0.

Proof. We may assume $\varepsilon < 1$. We denote $h = (h_{\mathbb{R}}, h_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$, where $h_{\mathbb{R}}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Let

$$g = |Dh| + \sigma,$$

and for every $\lambda > 0$, let

$$F_\lambda = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(g)(x) \leq \lambda\}.$$

Suppose that $x, y \in F_\lambda$. Then by a pointwise Sobolev estimate, we have for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |h_{\mathbb{R}}(x) - h_{\mathbb{R}}(y)| &\leq C_n |x - y| (M(|\nabla h_{\mathbb{R}}|)(x) + M(|\nabla h_{\mathbb{R}}|)(y)) \\ &\leq C_n |x - y| (M(g)(x) + M(g)(y)) \leq C_n \lambda |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $h_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $C_n \lambda$ -Lipschitz in F_λ . Consequently, by using the McShane extension theorem [23], we find a $C_n \lambda$ -Lipschitz map $h_{\mathbb{R}, \lambda}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $h_{\mathbb{R}, \lambda}|_{F_\lambda} = h_{\mathbb{R}}|_{F_\lambda}$. We denote $h_\lambda = (h_{\mathbb{R}, \lambda}, h_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$.

We point out that we have

$$|Dh_\lambda| \leq (1 + C_n)M(g)$$

a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . Indeed, we have $|Dh_\lambda| = |Dh| \leq g \leq M(g)$ a.e. in F_λ , and since $|\nabla h_{\mathbb{R}, \lambda}| \leq C_n \lambda$, we also have $|Dh_\lambda| \leq |Dh| + C_n \lambda \leq (1 + C_n)M(g)$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F_\lambda$. Since $g \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we also have $M(g) \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

therefore $|Dh_\lambda| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, we may apply the case of Lemma 2.4 with a manifold target, obtaining that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J_{h_\lambda} = 0.$$

For $r > 0$, we denote $B_r = B^n(0, r)$. Since $J_h = J_{h_\lambda}$ in F_λ , we may therefore estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{B_r \cap F_\lambda} J_h \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (B_r \cap F_\lambda)} J_{h_\lambda} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{B_r \setminus F_\lambda} J_{h_\lambda} \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} J_{h_\lambda} \right| \\ &\leq (1 + C_n)^n \left(\int_{B_r \setminus F_\lambda} \lambda M(g)^{n-1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} M(g)^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $|Dh|^n \leq KJ_h + \sigma^n$, we have

$$\int_{B_r \cap F_\lambda} |Dh|^n \leq K \left| \int_{B_r \cap F_\lambda} J_h \right| + \int_{B_r \cap F_\lambda} \sigma^n.$$

We now chain these estimates together, and multiply by $\lambda^{-1-\varepsilon'}$, where $\varepsilon' \in (0, \varepsilon)$. We obtain

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |Dh|^n \lambda^{-1-\varepsilon'} \chi_{F_\lambda} &\leq \int_{B_r} \sigma^n \lambda^{-1-\varepsilon'} \chi_{F_\lambda} \\ &+ (1 + C_n)^n K \left(\int_{B_r} \lambda^{-\varepsilon'} M(g)^{n-1} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F_\lambda} + \lambda^{-1-\varepsilon'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} M(g)^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $t > 0$. We now integrate (7.2) from t to ∞ with respect to λ , and use the Fubini–Tonelli theorem to switch the order of integration. Observe that $\chi_{F_\lambda}(x) = 0$ if $\lambda < M(g)(x)$, and $\chi_{F_\lambda}(x) = 1$ otherwise. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^\infty \lambda^{-1-\varepsilon'} \chi_{F_\lambda}(x) \, d\lambda &= \int_{\max(t, Mg(x))}^\infty \lambda^{-1-\varepsilon'} \, d\lambda \\ &= \frac{[\max(t, Mg(x))]^{-\varepsilon'}}{\varepsilon'} \leq \frac{[Mg(x)]^{-\varepsilon'}}{\varepsilon'} \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, we also have

$$\int_t^\infty \lambda^{-\varepsilon'} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F_\lambda}(x) \, d\lambda = \begin{cases} \int_t^{M(g)(x)} \lambda^{-\varepsilon'} & \text{if } Mg(x) > t \\ 0 & \text{if } Mg(x) \leq t \end{cases},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^\infty \lambda^{-\varepsilon'} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F_\lambda}(x) \, d\lambda &= \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F_t}(x) \int_t^{Mg(x)} \lambda^{-\varepsilon'} \, d\lambda \\ &= \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F_t}(x) \frac{[Mg(x)]^{1-\varepsilon'} - t^{1-\varepsilon'}}{1-\varepsilon'} \leq \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F_t}(x) \frac{[Mg(x)]^{1-\varepsilon'}}{1-\varepsilon'} \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In conclusion, we obtain the estimate

$$(7.3) \quad \int_{B_r} |Dh|^n [\max(M(g), t)]^{-\varepsilon'} \leq \int_{B_r} \sigma^n M(g)^{-\varepsilon'} \\ + (1 + C_n)^n K \left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{1-\varepsilon'} \int_{B_r \setminus F_t} M(g)^{n-\varepsilon'} + t^{-\varepsilon'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} M(g)^n \right).$$

We then further estimate some of the terms in (7.3). On the left hand side, we observe that if $x \notin F_t$, then $M(g)(x) > t$, and therefore $\max(M(g)(x), t) = M(g)(x)$. Hence, we have

$$\int_{B_r} |Dh|^n [\max(M(g), t)]^{-\varepsilon'} \geq \int_{B_r \setminus F_t} |Dh|^n M(g)^{-\varepsilon'}.$$

For the first term on the right hand side, we see from the definition of g that $\sigma \leq g \leq M(g)$, and therefore obtain

$$\int_{B_r} \sigma^n M(g)^{-\varepsilon'} \leq \int_{B_r} \sigma^{n-\varepsilon'}.$$

For the remaining terms, we use the strong Hardy–Littlewood maximal inequality, where the same constant M_n can be used for all exponents in the interval $[n-1, n]$. Moreover, we also estimate the third term by $g^{n-\varepsilon'} \leq 2^n (|Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'} + \sigma^{n-\varepsilon'})$. After all these estimates of individual terms, we obtain a total estimate of the form

$$(7.4) \quad \int_{B_r \setminus F_t} |Dh|^n M(g)^{-\varepsilon'} \leq \left(1 + \frac{(2 + 2C_n)^n K \varepsilon'}{1-\varepsilon'} \right) \int_{B_r} \sigma^{n-\varepsilon'} \\ + \frac{(2 + 2C_n)^n K \varepsilon'}{1-\varepsilon'} \int_{B_r \setminus F_t} |Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'} + (2 + 2C_n)^n K t^{-\varepsilon'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} g^n.$$

We then use Young's inequality to obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_r \setminus F_t} |Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'} \\ &= \int_{B_r \setminus F_t} \left(|Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'} M(g)^{-\frac{\varepsilon'(n-\varepsilon')}{n}} \right) \left(M(g)^{\frac{\varepsilon'(n-\varepsilon')}{n}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{(n-\varepsilon')}{n} \int_{B_r \setminus F_t} |Dh|^n M(g)^{-\varepsilon'} + \frac{\varepsilon'}{n} \int_{B_r \setminus F_t} M(g)^{n-\varepsilon'} \\ &\leq \int_{B_r \setminus F_t} |Dh|^n M(g)^{-\varepsilon'} + \varepsilon' M_n 2^n \int_{B_r \setminus F_t} \left(|Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'} + \sigma^{n-\varepsilon'} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining this with (7.4), we now have

$$(7.5) \quad \int_{B_r \setminus F_t} |Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'} \leq (1+\delta) \int_{B_r} \sigma^{n-\varepsilon'} + \delta \int_{B_r \setminus F_t} |Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'} \\ + (2+2C_n)^n K t^{-\varepsilon'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} g^n,$$

where $\delta = 2^n \varepsilon' ((1+C_n)^n K / (1-\varepsilon') + M_n)$. We then select ε' small enough that $\delta < 1$. Since $B_r \setminus F_t$ is of finite measure, $|Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'}$ is integrable over it, and we may absorb its term from the right hand side of (7.5) to the left hand side. We obtain the estimate

$$\int_{B_r \setminus F_t} |Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'} \leq \frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta} \int_{B_r} \sigma^{n-\varepsilon'} + \frac{(2nC_n)^n K t^{-\varepsilon'}}{1-\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} g^n.$$

We let $r \rightarrow \infty$. Since $g \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$, this makes the final term vanish, yielding

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F_t} |Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'} \leq \frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma^{n-\varepsilon'} < \infty.$$

Note that we may assume that $\bigcup_{t>0} \mathbb{R}^n \setminus F_t = \mathbb{R}^n$. Indeed, otherwise $M(g)$ has a zero; this is possible only if $g \equiv 0$, in which case h is constant and the claim is trivial. Hence, by letting $t \rightarrow 0^+$, the claim follows. \square

7.3. Proof of the Liouville theorem. It remains to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. We recap the statement before the proof.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the heterogeneous distortion inequality (1.1) with $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in L^{n-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{n+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$. If f is bounded and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)| = 0$, then $f \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Suppose towards to a contradiction that f is bounded and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)| = 0$, but f is not identically zero. By Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we have that f is continuous and has no zeros. Hence, we may define the ‘‘logarithmic’’ mapping $h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ by

$$h(x) = \left(\log |f|, \frac{|f|}{|f|} \right).$$

By Lemma 7.1, we have that $h \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, $|Dh| \in L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $|Dh|^n \leq K J_h + \sigma^n$. Combining this with Lemma 7.2 we conclude that $|Dh| \in L^{n-\varepsilon'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\varepsilon' > 0$. In particular, since $|\nabla \log |f|| \leq |Dh|$, we have

$$(7.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \log |f||^{n-\varepsilon'} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Dh|^{n-\varepsilon'} \leq C(\varepsilon') \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma^{n-\varepsilon'}.$$

Consider now balls of the form $B_i = B^n(0, 2^i)$. Our goal is to show that the integral average of $|\log |f||$ over B_i , denoted by $(\log |f|)_{B_i}$, is bounded

independently of $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. By the Sobolev-Poincaré inequality [7, 4.5.2] and (7.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\log |f|)_{B_{i-1}} - (\log |f|)_{B_i}| &\leq \frac{2^n}{m_n(B_i)} \int_{B_i} |\log |f| - (\log |f|)_{B_i}| \\ &\leq C_n 2^n 2^i \left(\frac{1}{m_n(B_i)} \int_{B_i} |\nabla \log |f||^{n-\varepsilon'} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-\varepsilon'}} \\ &\leq C_n 2^n 2^{i-\frac{ni}{n-\varepsilon'}} \omega_n^{-\frac{1}{n-\varepsilon'}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \log |f||^{n-\varepsilon'} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-\varepsilon'}} \\ &\leq C_{n'} C(\varepsilon') 2^n \max(1, \omega_n^{-n}) 2^{-\frac{\varepsilon'}{n-\varepsilon'} i} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma^{n-\varepsilon'} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-\varepsilon'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\log |f|)_{B_i} - (\log |f|)_{B_0}| \\ \leq C_n C(\varepsilon') 2^n \max(1, \omega_n^{-n}) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma^{n-\varepsilon'} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-\varepsilon'}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{\varepsilon'}{n-\varepsilon'} i} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\log |f| \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ by Lemma 5.4, we have $|(\log |f|)_{B_0}| < \infty$. However, since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$, we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\log |f|)_{B_i} = -\infty$. This leads to a contradiction, and the claim follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Astala, T. Iwaniec, and G. Martin. *Elliptic partial differential equations and quasiconformal mappings in the plane*. Princeton university press, 2009.
- [2] K. Astala and L. Päiväranta. Calderón's inverse conductivity problem in the plane. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 163(1):265–299, 2006.
- [3] R. Caccioppoli. Limitazioni integrali per le soluzioni di un'equazione lineare ellittica a derivate parziali. *Giorn. Mat. Battaglini (4)*, 4(80):186–212, 1951.
- [4] S. Campanato. Equazioni ellittiche del II deg ordine spazi $L^{(2,\lambda)}$. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 69:321–381, 1965.
- [5] A. Cauchy. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, (19):1377–1384, (1844).
- [6] A. Convent and J. Van Schaftingen. Intrinsic co-local weak derivatives and Sobolev spaces between manifolds. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 16(1):97–128, 2016.
- [7] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy. *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Textbooks in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, revised edition, 2015.
- [8] D. Faraco and X. Zhong. A short proof of the self-improving regularity of quasiregular mappings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 134(1):187–192, 2005.
- [9] I. Fonseca and W. Gangbo. *Degree theory in analysis and applications*, volume 2 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. Oxford Science Publications.
- [10] F. W. Gehring. The L^p -integrability of the partial derivatives of a quasiconformal mapping. *Acta Math.*, 130:265–277, 1973.
- [11] P. Hajlasz, T. Iwaniec, J. Malý, and J. Onninen. Weakly differentiable mappings between manifolds. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 192(899), 2008.

- [12] L. I. Hedberg. On certain convolution inequalities. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36:505–510, 1972.
- [13] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, and O. Martio. *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*. Dover, 2006.
- [14] S. Hencl and P. Koskela. *Lectures on mappings of finite distortion*, volume 2096 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [15] S. Hencl and K. Rajala. Optimal assumptions for discreteness. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 207(3):775–783, 2013.
- [16] T. Iwaniec. p -harmonic tensors and quasiregular mappings. *Ann. of Math.*, 136(3):589–624, 1992.
- [17] T. Iwaniec, P. Koskela, and J. Onninen. Mappings of finite distortion: monotonicity and continuity. *Invent. Math.*, 144(3):507–531, 2001.
- [18] T. Iwaniec and G. Martin. Quasiregular mappings in even dimensions. *Acta Math.*, 170(1):29–81, 1993.
- [19] T. Iwaniec and G. Martin. *Geometric function theory and non-linear analysis*. Clarendon Press, 2001.
- [20] T. Iwaniec, C. Scott, and B. Stroffolini. Nonlinear Hodge theory on manifolds with boundary. *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl. (4)*, 177(1):37–115, 1999.
- [21] T. Iwaniec and V. Šverák. On mappings with integrable dilatation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118(1):181–188, 1993.
- [22] R. Mañé, P. Sad, and D. Sullivan. On the dynamics of rational maps. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 16(2):193–217, 1983.
- [23] E. J. McShane. Extension of range of functions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 40(12):837–842, 1934.
- [24] C. B. Morrey, Jr. On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 43(1):126–166, 1938.
- [25] C. B. Morrey, Jr. Second-order elliptic systems of differential equations. In *Contributions to the theory of partial differential equations*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 33, pages 101–159. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1954.
- [26] C. B. Morrey, Jr. *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 130. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [27] J. Onninen and X. Zhong. Mappings of finite distortion: a new proof for discreteness and openness. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 138(5), 2008.
- [28] Y. G. Reshetnyak. Bounds on moduli of continuity for certain mappings. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 7:1106–1114, 1966. (Russian).
- [29] Y. G. Reshetnyak. The Liouville theorem with minimal regularity conditions. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 8:835–840, 1967. (Russian).
- [30] Y. G. Reshetnyak. On the condition of the boundedness of index for mappings with bounded distortion. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 9:368–374, 1967. (Russian).
- [31] Y. G. Reshetnyak. Space mappings with bounded distortion. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 8:629–659, 1967. (Russian).
- [32] Y. G. Reshetnyak. *Space mappings with bounded distortion*, volume 73 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989.
- [33] S. Rickman. *Quasiregular mappings*, volume 26. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [34] E. Villamor and J. J. Manfredi. An extension of Reshetnyak’s theorem. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 47(3):1131–1145, 1998.
- [35] S. K. Vodop’janov and V. M. Gol’dšteĭn. Quasiconformal mappings, and spaces of functions with first generalized derivatives. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 17(3):515–531, 715, 1976.
- [36] W. P. Ziemer. *Weakly differentiable functions*, volume 120 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation.

- [37] V. A. Zorič. M. A. Lavrent'ev's theorem on quasiconformal space maps. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 74 (116):417–433, 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NY 13244,
USA

Email address: `kikangas@syr.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NY 13244,
USA AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, P.O.Box 35 (MAD) FI-
40014 UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ, FINLAND

Email address: `jkonnine@syr.edu`