

BUCHSBAUMNESS OF THE ASSOCIATED GRADED RINGS OF FILTRATION

KUMARI SALONI

ABSTRACT. Let A be a Noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal in A . In this paper, we study a boundary condition of an inequality on Hilbert coefficients of an I -admissible filtration \mathcal{I} . When A is a Buchsbaum local ring, the above equality forces Buchsbaumness on the associated graded ring of filtration. Our result provides a positive resolution of a question of Corso in a general set up of filtration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. For simplicity, we assume that A/\mathfrak{m} is infinite. For an I -admissible filtration \mathcal{I} , let $Q = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \subseteq I$ be a reduction of \mathcal{I} i.e. $I_{n+1} = QI_n$ for $n \gg 0$. We may assume that Q is also a reduction of ideals I and I_1 . Let $G(\mathcal{I}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n/I_{n+1}$ be the associated graded ring of \mathcal{I} . Let

$$R(\mathcal{I}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n t^n \text{ and } T = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^n t^n$$

be the Rees algebra of \mathcal{I} and Q respectively. We regard them as sub-algebras of the polynomial ring $A[t]$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\geq m} = \bigoplus_{n \geq m} I_n/I_{n+1}$ be the graded ideal of $R(\mathcal{I})$. In particular, we write \mathcal{R}_+ for $\mathcal{R}_{\geq 1}$. The unique homogeneous maximal ideal of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{I})$ and $T = R(Q)$ are denoted by \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{M} respectively, i.e., $\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{R}_+$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathfrak{m}T + T_+$. We write

$$F = F_I(Q) = T/IT \text{ and } \mathcal{F}' = T/I_1T.$$

Let $\ell(M)$ denote the length of an A -module M . It is well known that the function $\ell(A/I_n)$ is asymptotically polynomial, i.e., we have a polynomial

$$P(\mathcal{I}, n) = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i e_i(\mathcal{I}) \binom{n+d-i-1}{d-i}$$

for unique integers $e_i(\mathcal{I})$ such that $P(\mathcal{I}, n) = \ell(A/I_n)$ for all $n \gg 0$. The coefficients $e_i(\mathcal{I})$, $1 \leq i \leq d$ are dependent on each other through several inequalities and the boundary conditions in those inequalities are often related with the depth properties of form rings. One of such inequality is the following:

$$(1) \quad e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) \geq 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell(A/I_1) + \ell(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$$

where $Q = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \subset I$ is a minimal reduction of \mathcal{I} , see [18, Theorem 2.4]. We also prove it in Theorem 13. In the case, when A is Cohen-Macaulay, we have $e_1(\mathcal{I}) \geq 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell(A/I) - \ell(I/(I^2 + Q))$. In [4] and [7], authors proved that the equality $e_1(\mathcal{I}) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell(A/I) - \ell(I/(I^2 + Q))$ holds true if and only if $I^3 = QI^2$ and $Q \cap I^2 = QI$. In this case, the associated graded ring $G(I)$ of I is Cohen-Macaulay. Further, Corso [3] conjectured and proved for $d = 1$ that if the equality in (1) holds for \mathfrak{m} -adic filtration $\{\mathfrak{m}^n\}$ and A is a Buchsbaum local ring, then the associated graded ring $G(\mathfrak{m})$ of \mathfrak{m} is a Buchsbaum ring. Rossi and Valla in [18, Theorem 2.1] generalized Corso's result for modules. In [15, Theorem 1.2], Ozeki extended Corso's result for arbitrary dimension. Furthermore, Ozeki in [16], discussed the equality in (1) for \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals in arbitrary dimension. He proved that under the conditions (C1) and (C2) given below, if the equality $e_1(I) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(I) - 2\ell(A/I) - \ell(I/(I^2 + Q))$ holds and A is a

Date: January 2, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 13H10, 13A30, Secondary: 13D45.

Key words and phrases. Buchsbaum modules, local cohomology, d -sequence, Hilbert coefficients.

Buchsbaum local ring then the associated graded ring $G(I)$ is Buchsbaum with $\mathbb{I}(G(I)) = \mathbb{I}(A)$. In this paper, we extend Ozeki's result for an I -admissible filtration \mathcal{I} . First consider the following conditions.

- (C₀) The sequence a_1, \dots, a_d is a d-sequence in A .
- (C₁) The above sequence is an unconditioned strong d-sequence.
- (C₂) We have $(a_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_i, \dots, a_d) : a_i \subseteq I_1$.
- (C₃) $\text{depth } A > 0$.

We prove the following results. Recall that the \mathbb{I} -invariant of an A -module M of dimension s is

$$\mathbb{I}(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \ell(\mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$$

where $\mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ denote the local cohomology module of M with support in \mathfrak{m} .

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the conditions (C₁) and (C₂) hold. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell(A/I_1) + \ell(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$;
- (2) $I_{n+2} \subseteq Q^n I_2 + W$, $(Q^n + W) \cap (I_{n+1} + W) = Q^n I_1 + W$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $(a_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_i, \dots, a_d) : a_i \subseteq I_2 + Q$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

When this is the case, we have $W \subseteq I_2$ and the following assertions hold true.

- (1) for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^0(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n = \begin{cases} W/I_3 \cap W & \text{if } n = 2 \\ (I_n \cap W)/(I_{n+1} \cap W) & \text{if } n \geq 3 \\ (0) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (2) For $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) = [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{2-i} \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$.
- (3) The a -invariant $a(G(\mathcal{I})) \leq 2 - d$.
- (4) $\mathbb{I}(G(\mathcal{I})) = \mathbb{I}(A) < \infty$.
- (5) $e_2(\mathcal{I}) = e_1(Q) + e_2(Q) + e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) + \ell_A(A/I_1)$.
- (6) $e_i(\mathcal{I}) = e_{i-2}(Q) + 2e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q)$ for $3 \leq i \leq d$.
- (7) If A is quasi Buchsbaum local ring, then $G(\mathcal{I})$ is quasi-Buchsbaum with $\mathbb{I}(G(\mathcal{I})) = \mathbb{I}(A)$.
- (8) If A is a Buchsbaum local ring, then $G(\mathcal{I})$ is a Buchsbaum ring with $\mathbb{I}(G(\mathcal{I})) = \mathbb{I}(A)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Sally module. We define $S = S_Q(\mathcal{I})$, the Sally module of \mathcal{I} with respect to Q , as a cokernel of the following map of graded T -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow (I_1 T) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{R}_+(+1)) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} I_j t^{j-1} \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus $S = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} I_{j+1}/I_1 Q^j$.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that (C₀), (C₂) and (C₃) are satisfied and the ring T satisfies Serre's condition (S₂). Then $\text{Ass}_T(S) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}T\}$. Hence, $\dim_T(S) = d$ if $S \neq 0$.*

The proof is discussed in [6, Lemma 2.3] for $\mathcal{I} = \{I^n\}$ and in [17, Lemma 2.2] for $\mathcal{I} = \{\overline{I^n}\}$. We provide an analogous proof for general case for the convenience of reader.

Proof. Let $P \in \text{Ass}_T(S)$. Since $\mathfrak{m}^l S = 0$ for $l \gg 0$, we have $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}T \subseteq P$. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \neq P$. Note that $\text{depth}_{T_P}(\mathcal{R}_+(+1))_P \geq 1$ since $a_1 \in \mathfrak{p} \subseteq P$ is a non-zero-divisor on $\mathcal{R}_+(+1) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} I_j t^{j-1}$. Applying

depth Lemma on the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (I_1T)_P \longrightarrow (\mathcal{R}_+(+1))_P \longrightarrow S_P \longrightarrow 0,$$

of graded T_P -modules, we get $\text{depth}_{T_P}(I_1T)_P \geq \min\{\text{depth}_{T_P}(\mathcal{R}_+(+1))_P, \text{depth}_{T_P} S_P + 1\} = 1$ and $\text{depth}_{T_P} S_P = 0 \geq \min\{\text{depth}_{T_P}(\mathcal{R}_+(+1))_P, \text{depth}_{T_P}(I_1T)_P - 1\} = \text{depth}_{T_P}(I_1T)_P - 1$. Hence $\text{depth}_{T_P}(I_1T)_P = 1$. Now consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (I_1T)_P \longrightarrow T_P \longrightarrow (T/I_1T)_P \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathfrak{p} \neq P$, $\text{ht}P \geq 2$. So $\dim T_P \geq 2$ which implies that $\text{depth}_{T_P} T_P \geq \min\{2, \dim T_P\} = 2$ as T satisfies (S_2) . Again by depth Lemma, $1 = \text{depth}_{T_P}(I_1T)_P \geq \min\{\text{depth}_{T_P} T_P, \text{depth}_{T_P}(T/I_1T)_P + 1\} = \text{depth}_{T_P}(T/I_1T)_P + 1$ which implies $\text{depth}_{T_P}(T/I_1T)_P = 0$. By Lemma 5, T/I_1T is Cohen-Macalay which means $\dim(T/I_1T)_P = \text{depth}_{T_P}(T/I_1T)_P = 0$. Thus $P \in \min_T(T_P/I_1T_P) = \{\mathfrak{m}T\}$ which is a contradiction. \square

The next lemma is true for an I -filtration. We have not used the fact that \mathcal{I} is an I -good filtration in its proof.

Lemma 3. *For an I -filtration \mathcal{I} , assume that $Q \subsetneq I_1$ and $\mu = \mu(I_1 \setminus Q)$. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$(2) \quad T(-1)^\mu \xrightarrow{\phi} R(\mathcal{I}) \setminus T \rightarrow S(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

of graded T -modules.

Proof. Let $I_1 = Q + (x_1, \dots, x_\mu)$. Consider the map

$$T(-1)^\mu \xrightarrow{\phi} R(\mathcal{I})/T$$

defined as $\phi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \alpha_i x_i t \in R(\mathcal{I})/T$. Then $[\text{Coker } \phi]_n = I_n/(Q^n + Q^{n-1}I_1) \cong I_n/Q^{n-1}I_1 = S(-1)_n$ for $n \geq 1$ and $[\text{Coker } \phi]_0 = 0$. Therefore, $\text{Coker } \phi \cong S(-1)$ as graded T -module. \square

The Hilbert coefficients of the Sally module S and the coefficients $e_i(\mathcal{I})$ of \mathcal{I} are related through the following relations:

$$\ell(A/I_{n+1}) = \ell(A/Q^n I_1) - \ell(S_n) \text{ and } \ell(A/Q^n I_1) = \ell(A/Q^n) + \ell(Q^n/Q^n I_1)$$

for $n \geq 0$. The next result is a generalization of [16, Proposition 2.4].

Proposition 4. *Let \mathcal{I} be an I -admissible filtration. Then*

$$(3) \quad e_1(\mathcal{I}) \geq \begin{cases} e_1(Q) + e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I_1) + e_0(S) & \text{if } \dim S = d. \\ e_1(Q) + e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I_1) & \text{if } \dim S \leq d - 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have, for $n \geq 0$, $Q^n/Q^n I_1 = A/I_1 \otimes Q^n = (A/I_1 \otimes T)_n \cong (T/I_1T)_n$ and T/I_1T is a homomorphic image of $A/I_1[X_1, \dots, X_d]$. So for all $n \geq 0$,

$$(4) \quad \ell(Q^n/Q^n I_1) \leq \ell(A/I_1) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \text{lower degree terms}$$

There exists an integer N such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(A/Q^n) &= \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i e_i(Q) \binom{n-1+d-i}{d-i} \\ &= e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - (e_0(I) + e_1(Q)) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \sum_{i=2}^d (-1)^i (e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q)) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $n \geq N$,

$$\ell(A/I_{n+1}) = \ell(A/Q^n) + \ell(Q^n/Q^n I_1) - \ell(S_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - (e_0(I) + e_1(Q)) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \sum_{i=2}^d (-1)^i (e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q)) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i} \\
&\quad + \{\ell(A/I_1) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \text{lower degree terms}\} - \ell(S_n) \\
&\leq e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - \{e_0(I) + e_1(Q) - \ell(A/I_1)\} \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \text{lower degree terms} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^d (-1)^i (e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q)) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i} - \ell_A(S_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Now the conclusion follows by comparing the coefficients. \square

We need the following Lemma for Proposition 6.

Lemma 5. *Suppose that conditions (C_0) and (C_2) are satisfied for an I -admissible filtration \mathcal{I} . Then $F \cong (A/I)[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ and $\mathcal{F}' \cong (A/I_1)[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ as graded A -algebras. In particular, F and \mathcal{F}' are Cohen-Macaulay rings of dimension d .*

Proof. See [6, Proposition 2.2] for $F = T/IT \cong (A/I)[X_1, \dots, X_d]$. Then $\mathcal{F}' \cong A/I_1 \otimes T/IT \cong (A/I_1)[X_1, \dots, X_d]$. \square

Proposition 6. *Let \mathcal{I} be an I -admissible filtration. Suppose that the conditions (C_0) and (C_2) are satisfied. Then, for all $n \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad \ell_A(A/I_{n+1}) &= e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - \{e_0(I) + e_1(Q) - \ell(A/I_1)\} \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^d (-1)^i (e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q)) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i} - \ell_A(S_n)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose that the the conditions (C_0) and (C_2) hold. Then $N = 0$ in the proof of Proposition 4 and $T/I_1T \cong A/I_1[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ by Lemma 5. Hence equality holds in (4) for all $n \geq 0$. \square

In (5), we may write

$$\ell_A(S_n) = e_0(S) \binom{n+s-1}{s-1} - e_1(S) \binom{n+s-2}{s-2} + \dots + (-1)^{s-1} e_{s-1}(S)$$

for $n \gg 0$. Then, on comparing the coefficients of both sides, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 7. *Suppose that conditions (C_0) and (C_2) are satisfied and $s = \dim S$.*

- (1) *Suppose $s = d$. Then*
 - (a) $e_1(\mathcal{I}) = e_0(I) + e_1(Q) - \ell(A/I_1) + e_0(S)$ and
 - (b) $e_i(\mathcal{I}) = e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q) + e_{i-1}(S)$ for $2 \leq i \leq d$.
- (2) *Suppose $s < d$. Then*
 - (a) $e_1(\mathcal{I}) = e_0(I) + e_1(Q) - \ell(A/I_1)$,
 - (b) $e_i(\mathcal{I}) = e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q)$ for $2 \leq i \leq d - s$ and
 - (c) $e_i(\mathcal{I}) = e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q) + (-1)^{d-s} e_{i-d+s-1}(S)$ for all $d - s + 1 \leq i \leq d$.

2.2. Generalized depth and generalized Cohen-Macaulayness. In [8], authors defined the notion of generalized depth. This invariant has been studied by Brodmann [1] and Faltings [5] in their work on the finiteness properties of local cohomology modules. We recall the definition and some results from [8].

For an A -module M and an ideal J of A , the generalized depth of M with respect to J is

$$g - \text{depth}_J(M) := \sup\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid J \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_A H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)} \text{ for all } i < k\}.$$

Note that, $g - \text{depth}_J M \geq k$ if and only if some power of J annihilates $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ for $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$. For a non-negatively graded Noetherian ring $S = \bigoplus S_n$ with S_0 local and a homogeneous ideal \mathcal{J} of S , we define the generalized depth of a graded S -module L with respect to \mathcal{J} as,

$$g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{J}} L = g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{J}L_{\mathcal{N}}} L_{\mathcal{N}}$$

where \mathcal{N} is the maximal homogeneous ideal of S . Investigating the relationship between the depths of $R(\mathcal{I})$ and $G(\mathcal{I})$, authors in [8, Proposition 3.2] proved that

$$g - \text{depth}_{R(\mathcal{I})_+} R(\mathcal{I}) = g - \text{depth}_{G(\mathcal{I})_+} G(\mathcal{I}) + 1$$

when $\mathcal{I} = \{I^n\}$. We extend the above result for I -admissible filtration in Proposition 9. First we note the following lemma which is a generalization of [8, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 8. *Let A be a local ring and $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}$ be a filtration of A . Let P be a prime ideal of $R(\mathcal{I})$ such that $R(\mathcal{I})_+(1) \subseteq P$ but $R(\mathcal{I})_+ \not\subseteq P$. Then*

$$\text{depth } R(\mathcal{I})_P = \text{depth } G(\mathcal{I})_Q + 1$$

where $Q = P/R(\mathcal{I})_+(1)$.

Proof. Choose $xt^m \in R(\mathcal{I})_+ \setminus P$ with $x \in I_m$. Then $(xt^{m-1})R(\mathcal{I})_P \subseteq R(\mathcal{I})_+(1)R(\mathcal{I})_P$. Indeed, $(xt^{m-1})R(\mathcal{I})_P = R(\mathcal{I})_+(1)\mathcal{R}_P$. To see this, let $a = yt^n \in R(\mathcal{I})_+(1)$ with $y \in I_{n+1}$, then $yt^n = xt^{m-1} \cdot \frac{yt^{n+1}}{xt^m} \in xt^{m-1}R(\mathcal{I})_P$. Therefore $G(\mathcal{I})_Q \cong R(\mathcal{I})_P/R(\mathcal{I})_+(1)R(\mathcal{I})_P = R(\mathcal{I})_P/(xt^{m-1})R(\mathcal{I})_P$. If xt^{m-1} is a zero-divisor in $R(\mathcal{I})_P$, then there exists an associated prime P' of $R(\mathcal{I})$ such that $xt^{m-1} \in P' \subseteq P$. Suppose $P' = (0 :_{R(\mathcal{I})} f)$, then $xt^{m-1}f = 0 \implies xt^m f = 0 \implies xt^m \in P' \subseteq P$, a contradiction. Thus, xt^{m-1} is non-zero-divisor in $R(\mathcal{I})_P$ which gives the desired result. \square

Suppose $I_{n+1} = I_1 I_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Then $I_{n_0+r} = I_1^r I_{n_0}$ for all $r \geq 1$ which gives $(\mathcal{R}_+)_{n_0+r} = I_{n_0+r} t^{n_0+r} = (I_1 t)^r I_{n_0} t^{n_0} \subseteq (\mathcal{R}_+^{r+1})_{n_0+r}$ for all $r \geq 1$. In other words, $(\mathcal{R}_+)_n = (\mathcal{R}_+^{n-n_0+1})_n$ for $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, for each $l \geq 1$, we have

$$(6) \quad (\mathcal{R}_+^m)_n = (\mathcal{R}_+)_n$$

for all $n \gg 0$.

Proposition 9. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}$ a filtration of A . Then*

$$g - \text{depth}_{G(\mathcal{I})_+} G(\mathcal{I}) = g - \text{depth}_{R(\mathcal{I})_+} R(\mathcal{I}) - 1$$

Proof. We may assume that A is complete, see [8, Remark 2.4]. Consequently, A is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring and hence $R(\mathcal{I})$ and $G(\mathcal{I})$ are homomorphic images of regular rings. Now using [14, Proposition 2.4], we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g - \text{depth}_{G(\mathcal{I})_+} G(\mathcal{I}) &= \min\{\text{depth } G(\mathcal{I})_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim G(\mathcal{I})/\mathfrak{p}G(\mathcal{I}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}G(\mathcal{I}), G(\mathcal{I})_+ \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p} \text{ is homogeneous}\} \text{ and} \\ g - \text{depth}_{R(\mathcal{I})_+} R(\mathcal{I}) &= \min\{\text{depth } R(\mathcal{I})_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R(\mathcal{I})/\mathfrak{p}R(\mathcal{I}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}R(\mathcal{I}), R(\mathcal{I})_+ \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p} \text{ is homogeneous}\} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{R}_+} \mathcal{R} \geq k$. Let \mathfrak{q} be a prime ideal of $G(\mathcal{I})$ not containing $G(\mathcal{I})_+$. Then there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in \mathcal{R} such that $\mathcal{R}_+(1) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{R}_+(1)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{R}_+ \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ (as $G(\mathcal{I})_+ \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}$). By Lemma 8, $\text{depth } R(\mathcal{I})_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{depth } G(\mathcal{I})_{\mathfrak{q}} + 1$. Therefore,

$$\text{depth } G(\mathcal{I})_{\mathfrak{q}} + \dim G(\mathcal{I})/\mathfrak{q}G(\mathcal{I}) = \text{depth } \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} - 1 + \dim \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{p} \geq k - 1.$$

which implies that $g - \text{depth}_{G(\mathcal{I})_+} G(\mathcal{I}) \geq g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{R}_+} \mathcal{R} - 1$.

For the converse, let $g - \text{depth}_{G(\mathcal{I})_+} G(\mathcal{I}) \geq k$. let \mathfrak{p} be a homogeneous prime ideal of \mathcal{R} such that $\mathcal{R}_+ \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then, there exists a $P \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{R})$, $\mathcal{R}_+ \not\subseteq P$ and $(\mathcal{R}_+(1), \mathfrak{p}) \subseteq P$. Otherwise, for all prime ideal P with $(\mathcal{R}_+(1), \mathfrak{p}) \subseteq P$, we have $\mathcal{R}_+ \subseteq P$. This means $\mathcal{R}_+ \subseteq \sqrt{(\mathcal{R}_+(1), \mathfrak{p})}$ which implies $\mathcal{R}_+^l \subseteq (\mathcal{R}_+(1), \mathfrak{p})$ for all $l \gg 0$. Using (6), $(\mathcal{R}_+^l)_n = (\mathcal{R}_+)_n = (\mathcal{R}_+(1), \mathfrak{p})_n$ for all $n \gg 0$. This implies $I_n t^n = I_{n+1} t^n + \mathfrak{p}_n \implies \mathfrak{p}_n = I_n t^n$ for all $n \gg 0$. Thus, for all $n \gg 0$, $(\mathcal{R}_+)_n = \mathfrak{p}_n \implies \mathcal{R}_+ \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ which is a contradiction.

Now let $Q = P/\mathcal{R}_+(1)$. From [8, Remark 2.2] and Lemma 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{p} &\geq \text{depth } \mathcal{R}_P + \dim \mathcal{R}/P \\ &\geq \text{depth } G(\mathcal{I})_Q + 1 + \dim G(\mathcal{I})/Q \\ &\geq k + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{R}_+} \mathcal{R} \geq k + 1$ which gives $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{R}_+} \mathcal{R} \geq g - \text{depth}_{G(\mathcal{I})_+} G(\mathcal{I}) + 1$. \square

Next, we establish a relationship between the generalized depths of Sally module and associated graded ring of filtration in Proposition 11. The I -adic case was discussed in [16]. First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 10. *Suppose the conditions (C_1) and (C_2) are satisfied. Then, for each $0 \leq i \leq d$, we have*

$$[\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(I_1 T)]_n = \begin{cases} \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^0(A) & \text{if } i = n = 0 \\ \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{i-1}(A) & \text{if } 3 \leq i \leq d, 2 - i \leq n \leq -1 \\ (0) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $I_1 T$ is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay T -module and $\dim_T I_1 T = d + 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 5, $F' \cong (A/I_1)[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ is Cohen-Macaulay. So, by the exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow I_1 T \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow 0,$$

we get

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(I_1 T) \cong \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(T)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$ and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^d(I_1 T) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^d(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^d(\mathcal{F}')$$

of graded T -modules. Since $[\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^d(T)]_n = 0$ for all $n \leq 1 - d$ by [23, Theorem 6.2] and $[\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^d(\mathcal{F}')]_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1 - d$, we have $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^d(I_1 T) \cong \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^d(T)$ as graded T -modules. Now the conclusion follows by [23, Theorem 6.2]. \square

Proposition 11. *Suppose that the conditions (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) are satisfied and $S \neq 0$. Let $s = g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} S$. Then $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} G = s - 1$ if $s < d$ and S is generalized Cohen-Macaulay T -module if and only if $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} G \geq d - 1$. The later is the case when $s = d$.*

Proof. Clearly $s \leq \dim_T S = d$. By Lemma 10, $I_1 T$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay with $\dim_T I_1 T = d + 1$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_1 T \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_+(1) \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0.$$

If $s = d$, then it follows that $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{R}_+(1) \geq d$ and if $s < d$, then $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{R}_+(1) = s$. Next, we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

Since A is generalized Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d , we get that $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{R} \geq d$ if $s = d$ and $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{R} = g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{R}_+ = s$ if $s < d$. By Proposition 9, $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} G(\mathcal{I}) = s - 1$ if $s < d$.

Further if $s = d$, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_+(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow G(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow 0$ implies that $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} G(\mathcal{I}) \geq d - 1$. By Proposition 9, we have that

$$g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} G(\mathcal{I}) \geq d - 1 \iff g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{R} \geq d$$

which implies $s = d$ as otherwise from the discussion above $g - \text{depth}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{R} = s < d$. Finally, S is generalized Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $s = d$. \square

2.3. Reduction steps. In the theory of Hilbert polynomials, it is very useful to reduce a problem (i) to the case when $\text{depth} A > 0$ and (ii) to a lower dimensional case for applying induction. The following results allow us to do both these reductions in our problem. First we fix notation. Let $W = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A)$, $C = A/W$ and $\mathcal{I}C$ denote the filtration $\{I_n C = (I_n + W)/W\}$ in C which is an IC -admissible filtration. For an element $a \in A$, we write \mathcal{I}/a for the filtration $\{I'_n = I_n + (a)/(a)\}$ of the ring $A/(a)$. If I is an I -admissible filtration, then $\mathcal{I}/(a)$ is an $I/(a)$ -admissible filtration of $A/(a)$. Let $A' = A/(a)$, $Q' = Q/(a)$, $I' = I/(a)$ and $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I}/(a)$.

Lemma 12. (1) *If A satisfies (C_1) and (C_2) , then $C = A/W$ satisfies (C_1) and (C_2) .*

(2) $2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(\mathcal{I}) + e_1(Q) = 2\ell_A(A/I_1) + \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$ if and only if $2e_0(\mathcal{I}C) - e_1(\mathcal{I}C) + e_1(QC) = 2\ell_A(C/I_1C) + \ell_A(I_1C/(I_2C + QC))$ and $W \subseteq I_2 + Q$.

(3) *Suppose that $d \geq 2$. Let $a \in Q \setminus \mathfrak{m}Q$ be a superficial element for $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}$ and Q . Then $2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(\mathcal{I}) + e_1(Q) = 2\ell_A(A/I_1) + \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$ if and only if $2e_0(\mathcal{I}') - e_1(\mathcal{I}') + e_1(Q') = 2\ell_A(A'/I_1') + \ell_A(I_1'/(I_2' + Q'))$.*

$w_0 \in Q \cap W = 0$ which implies that $r \in (a_1, \dots, \check{a}_i, \dots, a_d) : a_i \subseteq I$. Therefore $(a_1, \dots, \check{a}_i, \dots, a_d)C : C_{a_i} \subseteq IC$.

3. THE INEQUALITY AND ITS BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

In this section, we prove the inequality $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) \geq 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell_A(A/I_1) - \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q)$ for an I -admissible filtration. A different proof of the Theorem 13 can be found in [18, Theorem 2.4]. The main result of this section is Proposition 15 in which we prove some equivalent conditions for equality to hold. Let $L = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \frac{I_{n+2} + I_1 Q^n}{I_1 Q^n}$ which is a graded submodule of S .

Theorem 13. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring, I and \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal and $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}$ be an I -admissible filtration. Then*

$$(7) \quad e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) \geq 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell_A(A/I_1) - \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q) \geq 0$$

Proof. If $I_1 = Q (= I)$, then by Proposition 4, $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) \geq e_0(Q) - \ell(A/I_1) \geq e_0(Q) - \ell(A/I_1) + e_0(Q) - \ell(A/I_1)$ as $e_0(Q) \leq \ell(A/Q)$.

Suppose $Q \subsetneq I_1$, $\mu = \mu(I_1 \setminus Q)$ and $I_1 = Q + (x_1, \dots, x_\mu)$ as defined in Lemma 2. The canonical graded homomorphism $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow G = \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+(1)$ gives an exact sequence

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow K^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T) \rightarrow 0$$

of graded T -modules where $K^{(2)} = \ker(\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow G)$. Now consider the graded homomorphism of graded T -modules

$$(T/I_1 T(-1))^\mu \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)$$

defined as

$$\phi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\mu) = \overline{\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \alpha_i x_i t} \in R(\mathcal{I})/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)$$

where $\alpha_i \in T/I_1 T$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$. Then $[\text{Coker } \phi]_n = \frac{I_n/I_{n+1} + Q^n}{I_1 Q^{n-1}/I_{n+1} + Q^n} \cong I_n/(I_{n+1} + I_1 Q^{n-1}) = (S/L)_{n-1}$. Thus $\text{Coker } \phi \cong S/L(-1)$ and we get the exact sequence

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \ker \phi \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(-1)^\mu \xrightarrow{\phi} R(\mathcal{I})/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T) \rightarrow S/L(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

Next, we consider the following exact sequence induced from (9),

$$0 \rightarrow [\ker \phi]_1 \rightarrow [\mathcal{F}'^\mu]_0 \rightarrow [\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T]_1 \rightarrow 0$$

and tensor it with \mathcal{F}' to get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} ([\ker \phi]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}')(-1) & \longrightarrow & ([\mathcal{F}'^\mu]_0 \otimes \mathcal{F}')(-1) & \longrightarrow & ([\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}')(-1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \psi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_2 & & \downarrow \psi_3 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker \phi & \xrightarrow{f} & [\mathcal{F}'^{(-1)\mu}]_0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im } \phi \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of graded T -modules. Since ψ_2 is bijective, we have an exact sequence

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow K^{(1)} \rightarrow ([R(\mathcal{I})/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}')(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi_3} \text{Im } \phi \rightarrow 0$$

of graded T -modules where $K^{(1)} = \ker \psi_3$. Note that $K^{(1)} \leq ([\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}')(-1)$ and $K^{(2)} \leq \mathcal{F}'$, so $\dim K^{(i)} \leq d$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $L \leq S$, $\dim L \leq d$. We put $L = K^{(3)}$ for convenience of notation. From (8), (9) and (10), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(I_n/I_{n+1}) &= \ell(G(\mathcal{I})_n) = \ell(\mathcal{F}'_n) + \ell([\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T]_n) - \ell([K^{(2)}]_n) \\ &= \ell(\mathcal{F}'_n) + \{[\text{Im } \phi]_n + \ell([S/L]_{n-1})\} - \ell([K^{(2)}]_n) \\ (11) \quad &= \ell(\mathcal{F}'_n) + \{\ell([\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}']_{n-1} - \ell([K^{(1)}]_n)\} + \ell(S_{n-1}) - \ell([K^{(3)}]_{n-1}) - \ell([K^{(2)}]_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Since $(A/I_1)[X_1, \dots, X_d] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' = T/I_1T$ defined by $X_i \mapsto \overline{x_i t}$ is surjective map and $[\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T]_1 = I_1/I_2 + Q$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A([\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}']_{n-1}) &\leq \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q) \binom{n-1+d-1}{d-1} = \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q) \left(\binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} \right) \\ \text{and } \ell_A((\mathcal{F}')_n) &\leq \ell_A(A/I_1) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

Further, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(G(\mathcal{I})_n) &= e_0(\mathcal{I}) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - e_1(\mathcal{I}) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} + \dots + (-1)^{d-1} e_{d-1}(\mathcal{I}) \\ \ell_A(S_{n-1}) &= e_0(S) \binom{n-1+s-1}{s-1} - e_1(S) \binom{n+s-3}{s-2} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where $s = \dim S \leq d$. We get

$$(12) \quad e_0(\mathcal{I}) \leq \begin{cases} \ell_A(A/I_1) + \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q) + e_0(S) & \text{if } \dim S = d \\ \ell_A(A/I_1) + \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q) & \text{if } \dim S < d. \end{cases}$$

In (12), $e_0(S) \leq e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) + \ell_A(A/I_1)$ if $\dim S = d$ and $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) + \ell_A(A/I_1) \geq 0$ by Proposition 4. Thus

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} e_0(\mathcal{I}) &\leq 2\ell_A(A/I_1) + \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q) + e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) \\ \text{or } 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) &\leq 2\ell_A(A/I_1) + \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q) + e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 14. *Suppose that the conditions (C_0) and (C_2) are satisfied and $Q \subsetneq I_1$. Then we have,*

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(I_n/I_{n+1}) &= \left\{ \ell_A(A/I_1) + \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \right\} \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - \ell(I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} \\ &\quad + \ell_A(S_{n-1}) - \ell_A([K^{(1)}]_n) - \ell_A([K^{(2)}]_n) - \ell_A([K^{(3)}]_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Furthermore,

$$e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell_A(A/I_1) - \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q) + \sum_{i \in \Gamma} e_0(K^{(i)})$$

where $\Gamma = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3, \dim_T K^{(i)} = d\}$.

Proof is almost same as in [16, Lemma 3.3]. We write it here.

Proof. By (11), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(I_n/I_{n+1}) = \ell_A(G(\mathcal{I})_n) &= \ell(\mathcal{F}'_n) + \{\ell([\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}'_{n-1}) - \ell([K^{(1)}]_n)\} \\ &\quad + \ell(S_{n-1}) - \ell([K^{(3)}]_{n-1}) - \ell([K^{(2)}]_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. By Lemma 5, $\mathcal{F}' \cong (A/I_1)[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ and $[\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}' \cong (I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \otimes A/I_1[X_1, \dots, X_d]$. Therefore, we have for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(\mathcal{F}'_n) &= \ell_A(A/I_1) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} \text{ and} \\ \ell_A([\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}'_{n-1}) &= \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \binom{n+d-2}{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(I_n/I_{n+1}) &= \ell_A(A/I_1) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \binom{n+d-2}{d-1} - \ell_A([K^{(1)}]_n) \\ &\quad + \ell_A(S_{n-1}) - \ell_A([K^{(3)}]_{n-1}) - \ell_A([K^{(2)}]_n) \\ &= \ell_A(A/I_1) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \left\{ \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} \right\} \\ &\quad + \ell_A(S_{n-1}) - \ell_A([K^{(1)}]_n) - \ell_A([K^{(3)}]_{n-1}) - \ell_A([K^{(2)}]_n) \\ &= \left\{ \ell_A(A/I_1) + \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \right\} \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} \\ &\quad + \ell_A(S_{n-1}) - \ell_A([K^{(1)}]_n) - \ell_A([K^{(3)}]_{n-1}) - \ell_A([K^{(2)}]_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. On comparing the coefficients, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(I) &= \begin{cases} \ell_A(A/I_1) + \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q) + e_0(S) - \sum_{i \in \Gamma} e_0(K^{(i)}) & \text{if } \dim S = d \\ \ell_A(A/I_1) + \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q) - \sum_{i \in \Gamma} e_0(K^{(i)}) & \text{if } \dim S \leq d-1 \end{cases} \\ &= 2\ell_A(A/I_1) + \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q) + e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) - e_0(I) - \sum_{i \in \Gamma} e_0(K^{(i)}) \end{aligned}$$

since $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) + \ell(A/I_1) = e_0(S)$ if $\dim S = d$ and $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) + \ell(A/I_1) = 0$ if $\dim S \leq d-1$ using Corollary 7. \square

It is easy to observe that $\text{Ass}_T K^{(i)} \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}T\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. To see this, note that $K^{(1)} \leq [\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}' \cong (I_1/I_2 + Q) \otimes (A/I_1)[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ which is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $\mathcal{F}' \cong A/I_1[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ -module, $K^{(2)} \leq \mathcal{F}' \cong A/I_1[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ and $K^{(3)} \leq S$. Therefore, if $K^{(i)} \neq 0$, then $\dim K^{(i)} = d$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. We will need the following proposition later. Note here that $(C_1) \implies (C_0)$ and $(C_1) + (C_3) \implies T$ satisfies (S_2) .

Proposition 15. *Suppose that the conditions (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) are satisfied. Assume that $Q \not\subseteq I_1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) We have $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell_A(A/I_1) - \ell_A(I_1/I_2 + Q)$.
- (2) There exists exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow (I_1/(I_2 + Q) \otimes \mathcal{F}')(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T) \rightarrow S(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow G(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T) \rightarrow 0$$

- of graded T -modules.
(3) $K^{(i)} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

When this is the case, we have the injective maps

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(S)(-1)$$

of graded T -modules for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)) \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(S)(-1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-2$.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose (1) holds and $K^{(i)} \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then $i \in \Gamma$ and $e_0(K^{(i)}) = 0$ using Lemma 14 which is a contradiction.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) From the exact sequence (10), we get that $\text{Im } \phi \cong ((I_1/I_2 + Q) \otimes \mathcal{F}')(-1)$. Now the first exact sequence follows from the exact sequence in (9) and the second exact sequence follows from the exact sequence in (8).

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose (2) holds. Then, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(I_n/I_{n+1}) = \ell_A(G(\mathcal{I})_n) &= \ell_A([\mathcal{F}']_n) + \ell_A([\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T]_n) \\ &= \ell_A([\mathcal{F}']_n) + \ell_A([(I_1/I_2 + Q) \otimes \mathcal{F}']_{n-1}) + \ell_A(S_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now using the same argument as done in Lemma 14, we get the desired equality.

Finally, since $\mathcal{F}' \cong (A/I_1)[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ by Lemma 5 and $(I_1/I_2 + Q) \otimes \mathcal{F}'$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module, we get the injective maps

$$\mathbf{H}_M^i(G(\mathcal{I})) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}_M^i(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}_M^i(S)(-1)$$

of graded T -modules for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $\mathbf{H}_M^i(G(\mathcal{I})) \cong \mathbf{H}_M^i(\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)) \cong \mathbf{H}_M^i(S)(-1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-2$. \square

Remark 16. Suppose $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2(e_0(Q) - \ell(A/Q))$ for an I -admissible filtration \mathcal{I} and $Q \subseteq I \subseteq I_1$ a reduction. Then

- (1) $e_1(\mathcal{I}) = e_1(Q)$,
- (2) A is Cohen-Macaulay and
- (3) $\mathcal{I} = \{I^n\}$.

Proof. Since $e_1(\mathcal{I}) \geq e_1(Q)$ by (7) and $e_0(Q) \leq \ell(A/Q)$. Therefore, $0 \leq e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2(e_0(Q) - \ell(A/Q)) \leq 0 \Rightarrow e_1(\mathcal{I}) = e_1(Q)$ and $e_0(Q) = \ell(A/Q)$. Thus A is Cohen-Macaulay, see [2, Corollary 4.7.11] and $e_1(\mathcal{I}) = e_1(Q) = 0$. This gives that $\mathcal{I} = \{I^n\}$ by [13, Theorem 3.21]. \square

Corollary 17. Suppose that the conditions (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) are satisfied and the equality $2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(\mathcal{I}) + e_1(Q) = 2\ell(A/I_1) + \ell(I_1/I_2 + Q)$ holds. Then

- (1) $I_{n+2} \subseteq Q^n I_1$ for all $n \geq 0$,
- (2) $Q^n \cap I_{n+1} = Q^n I_1$ for all $n \geq 0$,
- (3) $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{I}) > 0$ and
- (4) $(a_1, a_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_i, \dots, a_d) : a_i \subseteq I_2 + Q$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. Suppose $I_1 = Q$. Then by Remark 16, A is Cohen-Macaulay and $\mathcal{I} = \{I^n = Q^n\}$. Then the conclusion holds. Suppose $Q \subsetneq I_1$.

- (1) By Proposition 15, $K^{(3)} = 0$ which gives $I_{n+2} \subseteq Q^n I_1$ for all $n \geq 0$.
- (2) By Proposition 15, $K^{(2)} = 0$, so $[K^{(2)}]_n = Q^n \cap I_{n+1}/Q^n I_1 = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.
- (3) Using the two exact sequences of Proposition 15(2) and depth lemma, it is enough to see that $(I_1/I_2 + Q) \otimes \mathcal{F}' \cong (I_1/I_2 + Q) \otimes A/I_1[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ and S have positive depths as T -modules.

- (4) Let $x \in (a_1, a_2, \dots, \check{a}_i, \dots, a_d) : a_i$. Then $xa_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d, j \neq i} a_j x_j$, $x_j \in A$. Here, $x, x_j \in I_1$ due to the condition (C_2) . Let

$$g = \bar{x} \otimes \overline{a_i t} - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d, j \neq i} \bar{x}_j \otimes \overline{a_j t} \in (I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \otimes \mathcal{F}'$$

where \bar{x}, \bar{x}_j denote the images of x, x_j in $I_1/(I_2 + Q)$ and $\overline{a_i t}$ denote the image of $a_i t$ in \mathcal{F}' . The image of g under the map $0 \rightarrow ((I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \otimes \mathcal{F}')(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)$ is

$$\overline{xa_i t^2} - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d, j \neq i} \overline{x_j a_j t^2} = 0$$

which implies that $g = 0 \in (I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \otimes \mathcal{F}' \cong (I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \otimes (A/I_1)[\overline{a_1 t}, \dots, \overline{a_d t}]$. Therefore, $x \in I_2 + Q$. □

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM: PART 1

We now prove our main result. First, we establish the equivalence of (1) and 2 of Theorem 1 in this section. Suppose $I_{n+2} \subseteq Q^n I_2 + W$ for all $n \geq 1$; $(Q^n + W) \cap (I_{n+1} + W) = Q^n I_1 + W$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $(a_1, \dots, \check{a}_i, \dots, a_d) :_A a_i \subseteq I_2 + Q$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$;

Claim 1: The equality $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell(A/I_1) - \ell(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$ holds.

Proof of Claim 1. Suppose $Q = I_1$. Then $I_2 + W = (I_2 + W) \cap (Q + W) = QI_1 + W = Q^2 + W$ and $I_{n+2} \subseteq Q^{n+2} + W$ for $n \geq 1$. This implies that $\mathcal{I}C = \{Q^n C\}$. Since $W \subseteq (a_1, \dots, \check{a}_i, \dots, a_d) : a_i \subseteq I_2 + Q$, it is enough to get the equality

$$e_1(\mathcal{I}C) - e_1(QC) = 0 = 2(e_0(\mathcal{I}C) - \ell(C/QC))$$

in C using Lemma 12. By [22][Section 4], we have that $e_0(\mathcal{I}) = e_0(Q) = \ell(C/QC) - \ell((a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) : a_r / ((a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) : a_r) \cap Q) = \ell(C/QC)$ as $(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) : a_r \subseteq I_2 + Q = Q$.

Suppose $Q \not\subseteq I_1$. Since A satisfies (C_1) , Q is a standard parameter ideal of A . From [23, Corollary 3.9], $Q \cap W = 0$. Therefore, $W \subseteq (a_1, \dots, \check{a}_i, \dots, a_d) : a_i \subseteq I_2 + Q$. We show the required equality in the ring C and use Lemma 12. By Proposition 15, it is enough to show that $K^{(i)} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

Passing to the ring C , we may assume that the conditions (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) are satisfied using Lemma 12 and $I_{n+1} = Q^{n-1} I_2$ for $n \geq 2$ and $Q^n \cap I_{n+1} = Q^n I_1$ for all $n \geq 1$. It follows easily that

$$K^{(3)} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \frac{(I_{n+2} + W) + (I_1 Q^n + W)}{(I_1 Q^n + W)} = 0 \text{ and } K^{(2)} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \frac{(Q^n + W) \cap (I_{n+1} + W)}{I_1 Q^n + W} = 0.$$

Now we show that $K^{(1)} = 0$. From (10), that we have the exact sequence

$$(14) \quad 0 \rightarrow K^{(1)} \rightarrow ([\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}')(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi_3} \text{Im } \phi \rightarrow 0$$

where $K^{(1)} = \ker \psi_3$. Suppose $K^{(1)} \neq 0$. Let

$$n = \min\{m \mid [K^{(1)}]_m \neq 0\}.$$

Since $[[\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}']_{m-1} = 0$ for all $m \leq 0$, we have $[K^{(1)}]_m = 0$ for $m \leq 0$. For $m = 1$, notice that

$$[[\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}']_0 \cong I_1/(I_2 + Q) \otimes A/I_1 \cong I_1/(I_2 + Q)$$

as $I_1 I_1 \subseteq I_2$. Let $g = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \bar{z}_i \otimes \bar{r}_i \in [K^{(1)}]_1$ where \bar{z}_i denote the image of $z_i \in I_1$ in $I_1/(I_2 + Q)$ and \bar{r}_i denote the image of r_i in A/I_1 . Then $\psi_3(g) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \overline{z_i r_i t} = 0$ where $\overline{z_i r_i t}$ denote the image in

$\text{Im } \phi \subseteq [\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_1 = I_1/(I_2 + Q)$. which implies $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} z_i r_i \in I_2 + Q$ i.e. $g = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \overline{z_i} \otimes \overline{r_i} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \overline{z_i r_i} \otimes \overline{1} = 0$ in $[[\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}']_0$. This gives that $[K^{(1)}]_1 = 0$.

Therefore, $n \geq 2$. Let $0 \neq g \in [K^{(1)}]_n = [\ker \psi_3]_n$. Put

$$\Gamma_1 = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \alpha_1 \geq 1, \alpha_i \geq 0 \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq d \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = n-1\}$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \{(0, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \beta_i \geq 0 \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq d \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i = n-1\}.$$

Then $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \alpha_i \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = n-1\}$. We may write

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2} \overline{x_\alpha} \otimes \overline{(a_1 t)^{\alpha_1} (a_2 t)^{\alpha_2} \dots (a_d t)^{\alpha_d}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} \overline{x_\alpha} \otimes \overline{(a_1 t)^{\alpha_1} (a_2 t)^{\alpha_2} \dots (a_d t)^{\alpha_d}} + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_2} \overline{x_\beta} \otimes \overline{(a_2 t)^{\beta_2} \dots (a_d t)^{\beta_d}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{x_\alpha}$ and $\overline{x_\beta}$ denote the images of $x_\alpha, x_\beta \in I_1$ in $I_1/(I_2 + Q)$, and $\overline{(a_1 t)^{\alpha_1} (a_2 t)^{\alpha_2} \dots (a_d t)^{\alpha_d}}$ and $\overline{(a_2 t)^{\beta_2} \dots (a_d t)^{\beta_d}}$ denote the images of corresponding elements in \mathcal{F}'_{n-1} . Then

$$(15) \quad \psi_3(g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} \overline{x_\alpha a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_d^{\alpha_d} t^n} + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_2} \overline{x_\beta a_2^{\beta_2} \dots a_d^{\beta_d} t^n} = 0 \in [\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T]_n$$

$$(16) \quad \Rightarrow \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} x_\alpha a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_d^{\alpha_d} + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_2} x_\beta a_2^{\beta_2} \dots a_d^{\beta_d} \in I_{n+1} + Q^n.$$

We have for all $n \geq 2$, $I_{n+1} + Q^n = Q^{n-1}I_2 + Q^n \subseteq a_1 Q^{n-2}I_2 + (a_2, \dots, a_d)^{n-1}I_2 + a_1 Q^{n-1} + (a_2, \dots, a_d)^n \subseteq a_1 Q^{n-2}I_2 + a_1 Q^{n-1} + (a_2, \dots, a_d)^{n-1}$ and $\sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_2} x_\beta a_2^{\beta_2} \dots a_d^{\beta_d} \in (a_2, \dots, a_d)^{n-1}$. So, there exists $q \in Q^{n-2}I_2$ and $q' \in Q^{n-1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} x_\alpha a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_d^{\alpha_d} + a_1 q + a_1 q' \in (a_2, \dots, a_d)^{n-1} \\ \Rightarrow &a_1 \cdot \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} x_\alpha a_1^{\alpha_1-1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_d^{\alpha_d} + q + q' \right\} \in (a_2, \dots, a_d)^{n-1} \\ \Rightarrow &\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} x_\alpha a_1^{\alpha_1-1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_d^{\alpha_d} + q + q' \in (a_2, \dots, a_d)^{n-2}(I_2 + Q) \subseteq I_n + Q^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

since $(a_2, \dots, a_d)^{n-1} : a_1 \subseteq (a_2, \dots, a_d)^{n-2} \cdot ((a_2, \dots, a_d) : a_1)$ by [6, Lemma 3.5] and $(a_2, \dots, a_d) : a_1 \subseteq I_2 + Q$ is given. Hence, we get

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} x_\alpha a_1^{\alpha_1-1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_d^{\alpha_d} \in I_n + Q^{n-1} \Rightarrow \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} \overline{x_\alpha} \otimes \overline{(a_1 t)^{\alpha_1-1} (a_2 t)^{\alpha_2} \dots (a_d t)^{\alpha_d}} \in [K^{(1)}]_{n-1} = 0$$

which implies that

$$g = \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_2} \overline{x_\beta} \otimes \overline{(a_2 t)^{\beta_2} \dots (a_d t)^{\beta_d}}.$$

Therefore $g = 0$ since, by symmetry of the elements $a_i t$, the similar steps can be repeated for any a_i , $1 \leq i \leq d$. This is a contradiction. Hence $K^{(1)} = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Next, we prove (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose the equality $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell_A(A/I_1) - \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$ holds. Then $e_1(\mathcal{I}C) - e_1(QC) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}C) - 2\ell_A(C/I_1C) - \ell_A(I_1C/(I_2C + QC))$ and $W \subseteq I_2 + Q$ by Lemma 12. Since (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) are satisfied for C , by Corollary 17 we get $(Q^n + W) \cap (I_{n+1} + W) = Q^n I_1 + W$ for $n \geq 1$ and $(a_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_i, \dots, a_d) :_A a_i \subseteq I_2 + Q$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. It remains to show that $I_{n+2} \subseteq Q^n I_2 + W$ for $n \geq 1$ and $W \subseteq I_2$.

Theorem 18. *Suppose that the conditions (C_1) and (C_2) are satisfied and the equality $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell_A(A/I_1) - \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$ holds. Then $I_{n+2} \subseteq Q^n I_2 + W$ for $n \geq 1$ and $W \subseteq I_2$.*

Proof. We first show that $W \subseteq I_2$. Let $w = i + q \in W \subseteq I_2 + Q$ where $i \in I_2$ and $q \in Q$. Then $q = w - i \in (Q + W) \cap (I_2 + W) = QI_1 + W$ by Corollary 17. So, $q \in (QI_1 + W) \cap Q = QI_1$ since $Q \cap W = 0$ which implies $w = i + q \in I_2$. Hence $W \subseteq I_2$.

For the rest of the proof, we may pass to the ring C and assume that the conditions (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) are satisfied. We show, by induction on d , that $I_{n+2} = Q^n I_2$ for all $n \geq 1$. Suppose the equality $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell_A(A/I_1) - \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$ holds. Then by Corollary 17, $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{I}) > 0$ and $I_{n+2} \subseteq Q^n I_1$ for all $n \geq 0$. We may assume that $f_1 = a_1 t \in (R)$ is a regular element of G .

Suppose $d = 1$. Since $I_{n+2} \subseteq Q^n I_1 \subseteq (a_1^n)$ and f_1^n is a regular element, we get that $I_{n+2} = I_{n+2} \cap (a_1^n) = a_1^n I_2 = Q^n I_2$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Suppose $d \geq 2$. Let $A' = A/(a)$, $Q' = Q/(a)$, $I' = I/(a)$ and $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I}/(a) = \{I_n A'\}$ be the filtration in A' . Then the conditions (C_1) and (C_2) are satisfied for A' and $e_1(\mathcal{I}') - e_1(Q') = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}') - 2\ell_{A'}(A'/I_1') - \ell_{A'}(I_1'/(I_2' + Q'))$ by Lemma 12. Further, (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) are satisfied for $C' = A'/H_m^0(A')$. Let $\mathcal{I}C' = \{I_n' + H_m^0(A')/H_m^0(A')\}$ be the $I'C'$ -admissible filtration in C' . By induction hypothesis, we get that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n+2} A' &\subseteq Q^n I_2 A' + H_m^0(A') \\ \Rightarrow I_{n+2} &\subseteq Q^n I_2 + (a_1) + H_m^0(A') \\ &\subseteq Q^n I_2 + ((a_1) : Q) \end{aligned}$$

since a_1, \dots, a_d is a d -sequence and $H_m^0(A') = ((a_1) : Q)$. Now let $x \in I_{n+2}$, write $x = y + z$ where $y \in Q^n I_2$ and $z \in ((a_1) : Q)$. Then

$$z = x - y \in ((a_1) : Q) \cap I_{n+2} \subseteq ((a_1) : Q) \cap Q^n I_1 \subseteq ((a_1) : Q) \cap Q$$

as $I_{n+2} \subseteq Q^n I_1$ by Corollary 17. Since a_1, \dots, a_d is a d -sequence, This implies $z \in (a_1) \cap I_{n+2} = a_1 I_{n+1}$ as $a_1 t$ is a regular element for $G(\mathcal{I})$. Thus $x = y + z \in Q^n I_2$. This completes the proof. \square

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM: PART 2

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1 by establishing the assertions (1)-(8).

Remark 19. (1) *When the equality $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell(A/I_1) - \ell(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$ holds, then $H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(\ker \phi) = \ker \phi = H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(G(\mathcal{I}))$ and $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) \cong H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}C))$ for $i \geq 1$ where ϕ is the canonical homomorphism of graded rings $G(\mathcal{I}) \xrightarrow{\phi} G(\mathcal{I}C) \rightarrow 0$.*

(2) *Let $I_2 \subseteq Q$ and $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell(A/I_1) - \ell(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$. Then A is Cohen-Macaulay ring and $I_{n+1} = Q^n I_1$ for $n \geq 1$. Thus $S = (0)$ and $G(\mathcal{I})$ is Cohen-Macaulay with $a(G(\mathcal{I})) \leq 1 - d$.*

Proof. (1) It is easy to see that $[\ker \phi]_n \cong (I_n \cap W)/(I_{n+1} \cap W)$ which is (0) for $n \gg 0$. Therefore $H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(\ker \phi) = \ker \phi$ and $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\ker \phi) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Since $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{I}C) > 0$ by Lemma 12 and Corollary 17, $H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(\ker \phi) = H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(G(\mathcal{I}))$ and $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) \cong H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}C))$ for $i \geq 1$.

(2) Since $I_2 \subseteq Q$ and $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) \geq e_0(\mathcal{I}) - \ell(A/I_1)$ from Proposition 4. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) - 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) + 2\ell(A/I_1) + \ell(I_1/Q) \\ &= e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) - 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) + \ell(A/I_1) + \ell(A/Q) \\ &\geq \ell(A/Q) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\ell_A(A/Q) = e_0(Q)$ which implies that A is Cohen-Macaulay, see [2, Section 4.7] and $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = e_0(I) - \ell(A/I_1)$. Using Corollary 7, $S = (0)$ as $\dim S \neq d$. Recall that if A is Cohen-Macaulay, then either $S = (0)$ or $\dim S = d$, see [24, Proposition 2.1]. Consequently,

$I_{n+1} = Q^n I_1$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $G(\mathcal{I})$ is Cohen-Macaulay by Vallabrega-Valla criteria. Since $G(\mathcal{I})$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have $a_d(G(\mathcal{I})) + d \leq 1$.

□

Theorem 20. *Suppose that the conditions (C_1) and (C_2) are satisfied and $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell_A(A/I_1) - \ell_A(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$ holds. Then $G(\mathcal{I})$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay and S is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay T -module.*

Proof. By Remark 19, we may assume that $I_2 \not\subseteq Q$, $S \neq 0$ and

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) \cong H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}C))$$

for $i \geq 1$. Thus we may pass to the ring C and assume that (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) are satisfied. By Proposition 15, we have that

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) \hookrightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)) \hookrightarrow H_M^i(S)(-1)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Then $g\text{-depth}_{\mathcal{M}} S \leq g\text{-depth}_{\mathcal{M}} G(\mathcal{I})$. Therefore $g\text{-depth}_{\mathcal{M}} S = g\text{-depth}_{\mathcal{M}} G(\mathcal{I}) = d$ by Proposition 11. □

Proposition 21. *Suppose that the conditions (C_1) and (C_2) are satisfied and $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell(A/I_1) - \ell(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$. Then the following hold true.*

(1) For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$[H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n \cong \begin{cases} W/I_3 \cap W & \text{if } n = 2 \\ (I_n \cap W)/(I_{n+1} \cap W) & \text{if } n \geq 3 \\ (0) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(2) We have $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) = [H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{2-i} \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

(3) We have $a(G(\mathcal{I})) \leq 2-d$.

Proof. In view of Remark 19, we may assume that $I_2 \not\subseteq Q$, $S \neq (0)$ and $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n = (I_n \cap W)/(I_{n+1} \cap W) = 0$ for $n = 0, 1$ as $W \subseteq I_2$ from Theorem 18. Further, $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(G(\mathcal{I}))]_2 = W/I_3 \cap W$ and $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n = I_n \cap W/I_{n+1} \cap W$ for $n \geq 3$. This proves (1).

Now by passing to the ring C , we may assume that (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) are satisfied, $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{I}) > 0$ by Corollary 17 and $f_1 = a_1 t$ is a non-zero-divisor on $G(\mathcal{I})$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G(\mathcal{I})(-1) \xrightarrow{f_1} G(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{I})/f_1 G(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow 0$ gives the following exact sequence of local cohomology modules

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow G(\mathcal{I})/f_1 G(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(G(\mathcal{I}))(-1) \xrightarrow{f_1} H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(G(\mathcal{I})) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(G(\mathcal{I})/f_1 G(\mathcal{I})) \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots &\rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{i-1}(G(\mathcal{I})/f_1 G(\mathcal{I})) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))(-1) \xrightarrow{f_1} H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})/f_1 G(\mathcal{I})) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\dots H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(G(\mathcal{I})/f_1 G(\mathcal{I})) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^d(G(\mathcal{I}))(-1) \xrightarrow{f_1} H_{\mathcal{M}}^d(G(\mathcal{I})) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We apply induction on d to prove the assertions (2) and (3). Suppose $d = 1$. Then $I_3 = a_1 I_2$ by Theorem 18. We have $G(\mathcal{I})/f_1 G(\mathcal{I}) = A/I_1 \oplus I_1/(I_2 + Q) \oplus I_2/QI_1$ and $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n = 0$ for all $n \gg 0$. This gives that $a_1(G(\mathcal{I})) \leq 1$.

Now let $d \geq 2$ and the assertions (2) and (3) hold true for $d-1$. Let $A' = A/(a_1)$, $I' = I/(a_1)$, $Q' = Q/(a_1)$ and $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I}/a_1$. Note that $G(\mathcal{I}') \cong G(\mathcal{I})/f_1 G(\mathcal{I})$. Then by Lemma 12,

$$e_1(\mathcal{I}') - e_1(Q') = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}') - 2\ell_A(A'/I_1') - \ell_A(I_1'/(I_2' + Q'))$$

and the conditions (C_1) and (C_2) hold for A' . By induction hypothesis, we have that

$$a_{d-1}(G(\mathcal{I}')) \leq 2 - (d-1) = 3-d$$

and for all $1 \leq i \leq d-2$,

$$(18) \quad H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}')) = [H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}'))]_{2-i} \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A').$$

First let us observe that (17) gives the exact sequence

$$[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1} G(\mathcal{I}')]_{a(G(\mathcal{I}))_+1} \rightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^d(G(\mathcal{I})(-1))]_{a(G(\mathcal{I}))_+1} \rightarrow 0$$

which implies that $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1} G(\mathcal{I}')]_{a(G(\mathcal{I}))_+1} \neq 0$. Therefore $a(G(\mathcal{I})) + 1 \leq a(G(\mathcal{I}')) \leq 3 - d$ i.e. $a(G(\mathcal{I})) \leq 2 - d$.

Now the condition (C_1) implies that $Q = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ is a standard system of parameters of A . Then (a_2, \dots, a_d) is a standard system of parameter of A' . Since $I_{n+2} = Q^n I_2$ for all $n \geq 1$ by Theorem 18, we get that $I'_n \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A') \subseteq Q^n \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A') = 0$ for all $n \geq 3$ by [23, Corollary 2.3]. Therefore, by part (1),

$$(19) \quad \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^0(G(\mathcal{I}')) = [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^0(G(\mathcal{I}'))]_2 = \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A').$$

Since $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{i-1}(G(\mathcal{I}')) = [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{i-1}(G(\mathcal{I}'))]_{3-i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ by (18) and (19), we get the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{n-1} \rightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n$$

for all $n \geq 4 - i$ and $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. This gives $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 3 - i$ and $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

From (17) and (18), we also get

$$[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{n-1} \rightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n \rightarrow 0$$

for all $n \leq 1 - i$ and $1 \leq i \leq d-2$. By Theorem 20, $G(\mathcal{I})$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay which implies that $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n = 0$ for $n \ll 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-2$. Therefore we have that $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n = (0)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d-2$ and $n \leq 1 - i$. Thus

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) = [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{2-i}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq d-2$. Next, we show that $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n = (0)$ for all $n \leq 2 - d$. Considering the monomorphisms

$$0 \rightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{n-1} \rightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(G(\mathcal{I}))]_n$$

for all $n \leq 2 - d$, it is enough to show that $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{2-d} = (0)$. By Proposition 15, we have the injections

$$(20) \quad [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{2-d} \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)]_{2-d} \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(S)]_{1-d}.$$

We show that $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(S)]_{1-d} = (0)$.

Claim 2 : For $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, we have $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}_+(1))]_n = (0)$ for all $n \leq 2 - i$ and $n < 0$.

Proof of Claim 2. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_+(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow G(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow 0$ of graded T -modules induces the exact sequence

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{i-1}(G(\mathcal{I})) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}_+(1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R})$$

of local cohomology modules. Since $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{i-1}(G(\mathcal{I})) = [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{i-1}(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{3-i}$, we have the injective maps

$$[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}_+(1))]_n \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R})]_n$$

for $n \neq 3 - i$. On the other hand, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_+(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ induces isomorphism $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R})]_n \cong [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}_+)]_{n-1}$ for all $n < 0$. Thus,

$$(21) \quad [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}_+(1))]_n \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R})]_n \cong [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}_+)]_{n-1}$$

for all $n \leq 2 - i$ and $n < 0$. Since $G(\mathcal{I})$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 20, \mathcal{R} is generalized Cohen-Macaulay by [21, Proposition 3.5] which implies that $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R})$ is finitely graded for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. Using (21), we get that $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}_+(1))]_n = 0$ for all $n \leq 2 - i$ and $n < 0$. \square

Now consider the exact sequence

$$(22) \quad 0 \rightarrow I_1 T \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_+(1) \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$$

and the induced exact sequence of local cohomology modules

$$[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(\mathcal{R}_+(1))]_{1-d} \rightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(S)]_{1-d} \rightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^d(I_1 T)]_{1-d}.$$

Since $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^d(I_1T)]_{1-d} = (0)$ by Lemma 10 and $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(\mathcal{R}_+(1))]_{1-d} = (0)$ by Claim 2, we get $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(S)]_{1-d} = (0)$ and so $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{2-d} = 0$ by (20).

It remains to show that $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{2-i} \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(A)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{a_1} A \rightarrow A' \rightarrow 0$ induces the isomorphism $\mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A') \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(A)$ since $a_1 \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(A) = (0)$. Therefore, using (19), we get that

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^1(G(\mathcal{I})) = [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^1(G(\mathcal{I}))]_1 \cong [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^0(G(\mathcal{I}'))]_2 \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A') \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(A)$$

Suppose $2 \leq i \leq d-1$. From Proposition 21, we have

$$[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{2-i} \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T))]_{2-i} \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(S)]_{1-i}$$

and from (22), we have

$$[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}_+(1))]_{1-i} \rightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(S)]_{1-i} \rightarrow [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{i+1}(I_1T)]_{1-i}.$$

Since $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{R}_+(1))]_{1-i} = 0$ by Claim 2 and $[\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{i+1}(I_1T)]_{1-i} \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$ by Lemma 10, we get that

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) = [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{2-i} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$$

for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$. Then

$$\mathbb{I}(A) \leq \mathbb{I}(G(\mathcal{I})) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \ell(\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \ell_A(\mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)) = \mathbb{I}(A)$$

see [23, Corollary 5.2] for the first inequality. Then $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) = [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{2-i} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. This completes the proof. \square

As a consequence, we get the following interesting corollary on the structure of Sally module of filtration when the equality holds in Theorem 13.

Corollary 22. *Suppose that $S \neq (0)$ and the conditions (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) are satisfied. Suppose the equality $e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_1(Q) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - \ell(A/I_1) - \ell(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$ holds. Then*

- (1) $e_0(S) = e_0(\mathcal{I}) - \ell(A/I_1) - \ell(I_1/(I_2 + Q))$,
- (2) $e_1(S) = e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) + \ell(A/I_1)$,
- (3) $e_i(S) = e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q)$ for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$,
- (4) $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(S) = [\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^i(S)]_{1-i} \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ and
- (5) $a(S) \leq 1 - d$.

Proof. We may assume that $Q \subsetneq I_1$. Otherwise, by Remark 16, $\mathcal{I} = \{I^n\}$ and $S = (0)$. By Lemma 14 and Proposition 15, we have

$$\ell(S_{n-1}) = \ell(I_n/I_{n+1}) - \{\ell(A/I_1) + \ell(I_1/I_2 + Q)\} \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \ell(I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. However using the Hilbert-polynomial of S , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(S_{n-1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i e_i(S) \binom{n+d-2-i}{d-1-i} \\ &= e_0(S) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^i (e_i(S) + e_{i-1}(S)) \binom{n+d-1-i}{d-1-i} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \gg 0$. On comparing the coefficients, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(S) &= e_0(\mathcal{I}) - (\ell(A/I_1) + \ell(I_1/I_2 + Q)), \\ e_1(S) + e_0(S) &= e_1(\mathcal{I}) - \ell(I_1/I_2 + Q) \text{ and} \\ e_i(S) + e_{i-1}(S) &= e_i(\mathcal{I}) \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq d-1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, (1) and (2) follows easily and by Corollary 7,

$$e_i(S) = e_i(\mathcal{I}) - e_{i-1}(S) = \{e_{i-1}(Q) + e_1(Q)\} - e_{i-1}(S) = e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q)$$

for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$.

We now prove (4). By Proposition 15 and Proposition 21(2), $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(S)(-1) \cong H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I})) \cong [H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(G(\mathcal{I}))]_{2-i} \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-2$. This implies that

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(S) \cong [H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(S)]_{1-i} \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d-2$.

Next, consider the exact sequences of local cohomology modules

$$(23) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(S)(-1) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^d(I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \otimes \mathcal{F}'(-1) \text{ and}$$

$$(24) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(G(\mathcal{I})) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^d(\mathcal{F}')$$

induced from the two exact sequences in Proposition 15(2). Since $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}G(\mathcal{I})]_n = (0)$ for $n \neq 3-d$ by Proposition 21 and $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^d(\mathcal{F}')]_n = (0)$ for $n > -d$, we get $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T))]_n = (0)$ for $n > 4-d$. Further, since $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^d((I_1/(I_2 + Q)) \otimes \mathcal{F}'(-1))]_n = (0)$ for $n > 1-d$, we get $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(S)]_n = (0)$ for all $n \geq 3-d$. Now consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_1T \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_+(1) \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ of graded T -modules and the induced exact sequence of local cohomology modules

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(\mathcal{R}_+(1)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(S) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^d(I_1T).$$

Since $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^d(I_1T)]_n = (0)$ for $n \leq 1-d$ by Lemma 10 and $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(\mathcal{R}_+(1))]_n = (0)$ for $n \leq 1-d < 0$ by Claim 2, we get $[H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(S)]_n = (0)$ for $n \leq 1-d$ and $H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(S) = [H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(S)]_{2-d} \cong [H_{\mathcal{M}}^{d-1}(GT)]_{3-d} \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-1}(A)$ using the exact sequences (23) and (24) along with Proposition 21(2). This completes the proof of (4).

For the last part, we consider the two exact sequences of Proposition 21(2). Then $H_{\mathcal{M}}^d(\mathcal{R}/(\mathcal{R}_+(1) + T))_n = 0$ for $n > 2-d$ as $a(G(\mathcal{I})) \leq 2-d$. This implies that $a(S) \leq 2-d$. \square

We now complete the proof of second part of our main result. We extend the method of [16] for parts (5) and (6). For the last part, we recall some results from [19].

Proof of Theorem 1. The assertions (1), (2), (3) and (4) follow from Proposition 21. We now prove assertions (5) and (6). If $I_2 \subseteq Q$, then A is Cohen-Macaulay and $I_{n+1} = Q^n I_1$ for $n \geq 1$ by Remark 19. Then we have $e_1(Q) = 0$ and $e_1(\mathcal{I}) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}) - 2\ell_A(A/I_1) - \ell_A(I_1/Q) = e_0(\mathcal{I}) - \ell_A(A/I_1)$ which implies $e_i(\mathcal{I}) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq d$, see [7, Corollary 2.4]. Since $e_i(Q) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, the assertions (5) and (6) hold.

Suppose $I_2 \not\subseteq Q$. Then $e_1(\mathcal{I}C) - e_1(QC) = 2e_0(\mathcal{I}C) - 2\ell(C/I_1C) - \ell(I_1C/I_2C + QC)$ by Lemma 2. We also have that $e_i(\mathcal{I}C) = e_i(\mathcal{I})$, $e_i(QC) = e_i(Q)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, $e_d(\mathcal{I}) = e_d(\mathcal{I}C) + (-1)^d \ell_A(W)$, $e_d(Q) = e_d(QC) + (-1)^d \ell_A(W)$ and $\ell_A(A/I_1) = \ell_A(C/I_1C)$ as $W \subseteq I_2$ by Theorem 18. Therefore, we may pass to the ring C and assume that (C_1) , (C_2) and (C_3) are satisfied.

By Corollary 7 and Corollary 22,

$$e_2(\mathcal{I}) = e_1(Q) + e_2(Q) + e_1(S) = e_1(Q) + e_2(Q) + e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) + \ell_A(A/I_1) \text{ and}$$

$$e_i(\mathcal{I}) = e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q) + e_{i-1}(S) = e_{i-2}(Q) + 2e_{i-1}(Q) + e_i(Q)$$

for $3 \leq i \leq d$.

To prove part (7) (part (8) respectively) of Theorem 1, suppose A is quasi-Buchsbaum (Buchsbaum respectively). Then $G(\mathcal{I})$ is quasi-Buchsbaum (Buchsbaum respectively) by [19][Theorem 1.1]([19][Theorem 1.2] respectively). This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brodmann, *Einige Ergebnisse aus der lokalen Kohomologietheorie und ihre Anwendung*, Osnabrücker Schriften zur Mathematik 5 (1983).
- [2] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Revised Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [3] A. Corso, *Sally modules of \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals in local rings*, Comm. Algebra 37, 4503-4515 (2009).

- [4] J. Elias and G. Valla, *Rigid Hilbert functions*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 71, 19-41 (1991)
- [5] G. Faltings, *Über die Annulatoren lokaler Kohomologiegruppen* Arch. Math. 30, 473-476 (1978).
- [6] S. Goto and K. Ozeki, *The structure of Sally modules-towards a theory of non-Cohen-Macaulay cases*, J. Algebra 324, 2129-2165 (2010).
- [7] A. Guerrieri and M. E. Rossi, *Hilbert coefficients of Hilbert filtrations*, J. Algebra 199, 40-61 (1998).
- [8] S. Huckaba and T. Marley, *Depth formulas for certain graded rings associated to an ideal*, Nagoya Math. J. 133, 57-69 (1994).
- [9] C. Huneke, *On the symmetric and Rees algebra of an ideal generated by a d -sequence*, J. Algebra 62, 268-275 (1980).
- [10] C. Huneke, *The theory of d -sequences and powers of ideals*, Advances in Mathematics 46, 249-279 (1982). MR 0563225. DOI 10.1016/0021-8693(80)90179-9.
- [11] A. V. Jayanthan and J. K. Verma, *Hilbert coefficients and depth of fiber cones*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 201, 97-115 (2005).
- [12] A. V. Jayanthan and J. K. Verma, *Fiber cones of ideals with almost minimal multiplicity*, Nagoya Math. J. 177, 155-179 (2005).
- [13] T. Marley, *Hilbert function of ideals in Cohen-Macaulay rings*, PhD Thesis, 1989.
- [14] T. Marley, *Finitely graded local cohomology and the depths of graded algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc 123(12), 3601-3607 (1995).
- [15] K. Ozeki, *The equality of Elias-Valla and the associated graded ring of maximal ideals*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 216, 1306-1317 (2012).
- [16] K. Ozeki, *The structure of Sally modules and Buchsbaumness of associated graded rings*, Nagoya Math J. 212, 97-138 (2013).
- [17] T. T. Phuong, *Normal Sally modules of rank one*, J. Algebra 493, 236-250 (2018).
- [18] M. Rossi and G. Valla, *Hilbert Functions of Filtered Modules*, Lect. Notes Unione Mat.Ital. 9, Springer-Verlag/UMI, Berlin/Bologna, (2010).
- [19] K. Saloni, *Buchsbaumness of the associated graded ring of filtrations*, To appear, J. Algebra and its Applications.
- [20] Jürgen Stückrad and Wolfgang Vogel, *Buchsbaum rings and Applications: An Interaction between Algebra, Geometry and Topology*, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [21] N. Taniguchi, T. Phuong, N. Dung and T. N. An, *Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay Rees Algebra*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 69(1), 293-309 (2017).
- [22] N. V. Trung, *Absolutely superficial sequences*, Proceedings of Cambridge Phil. Soc. 93(1), 35-47 (1983).
- [23] N. V. Trung, *Toward a theory of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Nagoya Math. J. 102, 1-47 (1986).
- [24] V.W. Vasconcelos, *The Sally modules of ideals: a survey* preprint arXiv:1612.06261v1 (2017)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY PATNA, BIHTA, PATNA 801106, INDIA

Email address: ksaloni@iitp.ac.in, sin.saloni@gmail.com