

MIXED HODGE STRUCTURE ON LOCAL COHOMOLOGY WITH SUPPORT IN DETERMINANTAL VARIETIES

MICHAEL PERLMAN

ABSTRACT. We employ the inductive structure of determinantal varieties to calculate the weight filtration on local cohomology modules with determinantal support. We show that the weight of a simple composition factor is uniquely determined by its support and cohomological degree. As a consequence, we obtain the equivariant structure of the Hodge filtration on each local cohomology module, and we provide a formula for its generation level. In the case of square matrices, we express the Hodge filtration in terms of the Hodge ideals for the determinant hypersurface. As an application, we describe a recipe for calculating the mixed Hodge module structure on any iteration of local cohomology functors with determinantal support.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a smooth complex variety X , and a closed subvariety $Z \subseteq X$, the local cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}_Z^j(\mathcal{O}_X)$ are holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules, where \mathcal{D}_X is the sheaf of algebraic differential operators. Furthermore, the sheaves $\mathcal{H}_Z^j(\mathcal{O}_X)$ are functorially endowed with structures as mixed Hodge modules [Sai90], implying that they are equipped with two increasing filtrations: the Hodge filtration $F_\bullet(\mathcal{H}_Z^j(\mathcal{O}_X))$, an infinite filtration by coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules; and the weight filtration $W_\bullet(\mathcal{H}_Z^j(\mathcal{O}_X))$, a finite filtration by \mathcal{D}_X -modules.

When Z is a divisor, the data of the Hodge filtration on the module $\mathcal{H}_Z^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is equivalent to that of Hodge ideals [MP19]. In this case, there are numerous connections between the behavior of the Hodge filtration (e.g. the jumps and generation level) and invariants of singularities arising from birational geometry, including the multiplier ideals and minimal exponent [MP20a, MP20b]. On the other hand, for higher codimension Z , little is known about the Hodge and weight filtrations on the local cohomology modules, and it is unclear how they detect and measure singularities. In this article, and in previous joint work with Claudiu Raicu [PR21], we aim to explicate these filtrations in the case when Z is a determinantal variety, with the hope that such calculations may lead to new insights regarding the mixed Hodge module structure of local cohomology.

We let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be the space of $m \times n$ generic matrices, with $m \geq n$, endowed with the action of the group $\mathrm{GL} = \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ via row and column operations. For $0 \leq q \leq n$ we let $\mathcal{Z}_q \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ denote the determinantal variety of matrices of rank $\leq q$. The \mathcal{D} -module structure of the local cohomology modules $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is well understood [RWW14, RW14, RW16, LR20]. In particular, their simple composition factors are known [RW16, Main Theorem], which are among D_0, \dots, D_n , where $D_p = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_p, \mathcal{X})$ is the intersection homology module associated to the trivial local system on $\mathcal{Z}_p \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{p-1}$.

We write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H$ for the trivial Hodge module overlying $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Given a \mathcal{D} -simple composition factor M of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H)$, we say that M has weight w if it underlies a summand of $\mathrm{gr}_w^W H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 \leq p \leq q < n \leq m$ and $j \geq 0$. If D_p is a simple composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H)$, then it has weight $mn + q - p + j$.*

In particular, the weight of each copy of D_p is uniquely determined by its cohomological degree.

The case $q = n - 1$ was established in [PR21, Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.5], and the argument here gives an alternate proof of [PR21, Theorem 1.5] without appealing to the Decomposition Theorem.

In Section 2.2 we explain the choice of Hodge structure on local cohomology implicit in our discussion. For now we mention that it is determined functorially by pushing forward the trivial Hodge module \mathcal{O}_U^H from $U = \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_q$, and so by the general theory $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H)$ has weight $\geq mn+j-1$ [Sai89, Proposition 1.7]. Theorem 1.1 demonstrates that this bound is not sharp for any j in this case. It would be interesting to find sharper lower bounds in general, perhaps depending on the type of singularities that the variety possesses. From Theorem 1.1 one sees an inverse relation between the weight of a composition factor and the dimension of its support. A somewhat similar correlation has been observed for weights in GKZ systems [RW18, Proposition 3.6(2)], though weight is not completely determined by support dimension in that case.

One may calculate the weight filtration on any local cohomology module using [RW16, Main Theorem] and Theorem 1.1. In the following examples, we express each module as a class in the Grothendieck group of GL-equivariant holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules (see Section 2.3).

Example 1.2. Let $m = n = 4$ and $q = 2$. The three nonzero local cohomology modules are:

$$[H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^4(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})] = [D_2] + [D_1] + [D_0], \quad [H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^6(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})] = [D_1] + [D_0], \quad [H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^8(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})] = [D_0].$$

Theorem 1.1 asserts that if we endow $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ with the trivial pure Hodge structure, then each D_p in cohomological degree j above is functorially endowed with weight $18-p+j$. Thus, the weights of the above simple composition factors are (from left to right): 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26.

We carry out a larger example on non-square matrices.

Example 1.3. Let $m = 7$, $n = 5$, and $q = 3$. The seven nonzero local cohomology modules are:

$$\begin{aligned} [H_{\mathcal{Z}_3}^8(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})] &= [D_3], \quad [H_{\mathcal{Z}_3}^{10}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})] = [D_2], \quad [H_{\mathcal{Z}_3}^{12}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})] = [D_2] + [D_1], \\ [H_{\mathcal{Z}_3}^{14}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})] &= [H_{\mathcal{Z}_3}^{16}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})] = [D_1] + [D_0], \quad [H_{\mathcal{Z}_3}^{18}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})] = [H_{\mathcal{Z}_3}^{20}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})] = [D_0]. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is endowed with the trivial pure Hodge structure, then the weights of the above simple composition factors are (from left to right): 43, 46, 48, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 56, 58.

One can show that the maximal weight of a composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H)$ is $2mn - q(q+1)$, the weight of D_0 in the largest degree in which it appears. This upper bound on weight resembles the case of GKZ systems, where weight is bounded above by twice the dimension of the relevant torus [RW18, Proposition 3.6(1)].

In the case of square matrices, we rephrase Theorem 1.1 in terms of mixed Hodge modules arising from the pole order filtration of the determinant hypersurface. We let $m = n$, and let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ denote the ring of polynomial functions on \mathcal{X} . The localization S_{\det} of the polynomial ring at the $n \times n$ determinant $\det = \det(x_{i,j})$ is a holonomic \mathcal{D} -module, with composition series as follows [Rai16, Theorem 1.1]:

$$0 \subsetneq S \subsetneq \langle \det^{-1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} \subsetneq \langle \det^{-2} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \langle \det^{-n} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = S_{\det}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\langle \det^{-p} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the \mathcal{D} -submodule of S_{\det} generated by \det^{-p} , and $\langle \det^{-p} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} / \langle \det^{-p+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} \cong D_{n-p}$. Following [LR20], we define $Q_n = S_{\det}$, and for $p = 0, \dots, n-1$, we set

$$Q_p = \frac{S_{\det}}{\langle \det^{p-n+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}}. \quad (1.2)$$

The modules Q_p constitute the indecomposable summands of local cohomology with determinantal support [LR20, Theorem 1.6]. In other words, for all $0 \leq q \leq n$ and $j \geq 0$, the local cohomology module $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is a direct sum of the modules Q_0, \dots, Q_q , possibly with multiplicity. For instance, in Example 1.2 the three nonzero local cohomology modules are isomorphic as \mathcal{D} -modules to Q_2, Q_1 , and Q_0 , respectively.

Let Q_n^H be the mixed Hodge module structure on $Q_n = S_{\det}$ induced by pushing forward the trivial Hodge module from the complement of the determinant hypersurface (see Section 2.5). For $0 \leq p \leq n-1$ we write

\mathcal{Q}_p^H for the quotient of \mathcal{Q}_n^H that overlies Q_p , and for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by $\mathcal{Q}_p^H(k)$ the k -th Tate twist of \mathcal{Q}_p^H . In Proposition 2.2 we show that the mixed Hodge modules $\{\mathcal{Q}_p^H(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ provide a complete list of mixed Hodge modules that may overlie Q_p . As a consequence, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $0 \leq p \leq q < n = m$ and $j \geq 0$. If Q_p is a \mathcal{D} -indecomposable summand of $H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H)$, then it underlies the mixed Hodge module $\mathcal{Q}_p^H((n - q - j)/2)$.*

In particular, writing F_\bullet for the Hodge filtration, we have the following isomorphisms of S -modules:

$$F_k(H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H)) \cong \bigoplus_{p=0}^q F_{k-(n-q-j)/2}(\mathcal{Q}_p^H)^{\oplus b_p}, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.3)$$

where b_p denotes the multiplicity of Q_p as an indecomposable summand of $H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$.

Thus, in the case of square matrices, in order to determine the Hodge filtration on local cohomology, it suffices to understand the Hodge filtration on each \mathcal{Q}_p^H . The multiplicities b_p in the statement of Corollary 1.4 may be calculated using the formula [LR20, Theorem 6.1].

Our next result expresses the Hodge filtration on each \mathcal{Q}_p^H in terms of the Hodge ideals $I_k(\mathcal{Z})$ for the determinant hypersurface $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}$, which combined with (1.3) will provide the first of two expressions for the Hodge filtration on local cohomology with determinantal support in square matrices. We recall the Hodge ideals in this case, which were calculated in [PR21, Theorem 1.1]. For $k \geq 0$ we have

$$I_k(\mathcal{Z}) = \bigcap_{q=1}^{n-1} J_q^{((n-q) \cdot (k-1) - \binom{n-q}{2})}, \quad (1.4)$$

where J_q is the determinantal ideal of $q \times q$ minors of $(x_{i,j})$, and $J_q^{(d)}$ denotes its d -th symbolic power, consisting of functions vanishing to order $\geq d$ on \mathcal{Z}_{q-1} . Given $a, b \geq 0$ we write $I_{a \times b}$ for GL-equivariant ideal in S generated by the irreducible subrepresentation $\mathbb{S}_{(b^a)} \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{S}_{(b^a)} \mathbb{C}^n \subseteq S$ (see Section 4.4).

Theorem 1.5. *Let $0 \leq p \leq n = m$. For $k \geq 0$ the k -th piece of the Hodge filtration F_\bullet on \mathcal{Q}_p^H is given by:*

$$F_k(\mathcal{Q}_p^H) = \left(\frac{I_k(\mathcal{Z})}{I_{(p+1) \times (k-(n-p)+2)} \cap I_k(\mathcal{Z})} \right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}((k+1) \cdot \mathcal{Z}), \quad (1.5)$$

with the convention that $I_{a \times b} = 0$ if $a > n$ and $I_{a \times b} = S$ if $b < 0$.

The ideal $I_{a \times b}$ is the smallest GL-equivariant ideal containing the b -th powers of the $a \times a$ minors of $(x_{i,j})$. For example, $I_{n \times b} = J_n^b$ is the principal ideal generated by the b -th power of the determinant. Though not immediately apparent from Theorem 1.5, the first nonzero level of the Hodge filtration on \mathcal{Q}_p^H is $\binom{n-p}{2}$, which coincides with the first nonzero level of the induced filtration on the composition factor D_p . Specializing Theorem 1.5 to the case $p = n$ recovers the defining equality for the Hodge ideals [MP19, Section A].

We now return to the setting $m \geq n$. The possible Hodge filtrations on each simple module D_p are uniquely determined by weight, and are calculated in [PR21, Theorem 3.1]. As a consequence, we obtain the Hodge filtration on each local cohomology module from our knowledge of the weight filtration. The group $\text{GL} = \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts on \mathcal{X} , preserving each determinantal variety, and inducing the structure of a GL-representation on each piece of the Hodge filtration on local cohomology with determinantal support. As such, we express the GL-equivariant structure of the Hodge filtration F_\bullet via multisets $\mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})))$ of dominant weights $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, encoding the irreducible representations that appear and their multiplicities (see Section 2.4). In the following statement, we write $c_p = \text{codim } \mathcal{Z}_p = (m - p)(n - p)$.

Corollary 1.6. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq p \leq n$ we let*

$$\mathfrak{D}_k^p = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{dom}}^n : \lambda_p \geq p - n, \lambda_{p+1} \leq p - m, \lambda_{p+1} + \cdots + \lambda_n \geq -k - c_p \}. \quad (1.6)$$

Let $0 \leq q < n \leq m$ and $j \geq 0$. The k -th piece of the Hodge filtration on local cohomology is determined by the following multiset of dominant weights:

$$\mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H))) = \bigsqcup_{p=0}^q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{k-(c_p+p-q-j)/2}^p \right)^{\sqcup a_p}, \quad (1.7)$$

where a_p is the multiplicity of D_p as a simple composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H)$.

If s is minimal such that $a_s \neq 0$ in (1.7), then the generation level of the Hodge filtration on $H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H)$ is $(c_s + s - q - j)/2$. If $m = n$, then $s = 0$, so the generation level is $(n^2 - q - j)/2$.

In Section 4, we elaborate on this result and explain how to deduce it from Theorem 1.1, [PR21], and [LR20]. For now we discuss what one can immediately conclude about the Hodge filtration. Each \mathfrak{D}_k^p in (1.7) arises from the induced Hodge filtration on a composition factor D_p , and Corollary 1.6 asserts that the filtration on each D_p in cohomological degree j is the same. It follows from (1.6) and (1.7) that the first nonzero level of Hodge filtration on each D_p is $(c_p + p - q - j)/2$. In particular, as cohomological degree increases, the starting level of each D_p decreases. As the sets \mathfrak{D}_k^p and \mathfrak{D}_k^r are disjoint for $p \neq r$, there is no ambiguity in (1.7), and it completely describes the Hodge filtration on a local cohomology module. The case $q = n - 1$ appeared in [PR21], where it was used to calculate the Hodge ideals for the determinant hypersurface.

Together, Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.6, and [RW16, Main Theorem] describe the mixed Hodge module structure on local cohomology with determinantal support.

Example 1.7. Continuing Example 1.2, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{X}_2}^4(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H))) &= \mathfrak{D}_k^2 \sqcup \mathfrak{D}_{k-2}^1 \sqcup \mathfrak{D}_{k-5}^0, & \mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{X}_2}^6(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H))) &= \mathfrak{D}_{k-1}^1 \sqcup \mathfrak{D}_{k-4}^0, \\ \mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{X}_2}^8(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H))) &= \mathfrak{D}_{k-3}^0. \end{aligned}$$

The induced filtrations on each of the simple composition factors start in the following levels (from left to right): 0, 2, 5, 1, 4, 3, and the generation level of the Hodge filtration on each of the three nonzero local cohomology modules is 5, 4, 3, respectively.

Theorem 1.1 is a special case of our main result, Theorem 3.1, which describes the weight filtration on local cohomology of any pure Hodge module overlying a simple module D_p . As a consequence, we provide a procedure to calculate the Hodge and weight filtrations on any iteration of local cohomology functors.

Strategy and Organization. We summarize our strategy to prove Theorem 3.1 (and Theorem 1.1). The proof proceeds by induction on $n \geq 1$.

Step 1. In Section 3.2 we employ the inductive structure of determinantal varieties to relate the mixed Hodge module structure of local cohomology on \mathcal{X} with support in \mathcal{X}_q to that on smaller matrices $\mathbb{C}^{(m-1) \times (n-1)}$ with support matrices of rank $\leq q - 1$. By inductive hypothesis, this allows us to reduce the proof of Theorem 3.1 to the problem of verifying that each D_0 in cohomological degree j is endowed with the desired weight.

Step 2. In Section 3.3 we proceed by induction on $q \geq 0$, completing the inductive step by examining weights in some Grothendieck spectral sequences for local cohomology.

In Section 4 we discuss how to deduce the Hodge filtration on each local cohomology module from Theorem 3.1 and [PR21]. As an application, we determine the generation level.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we establish notation, and review some relevant background regarding functors on Hodge modules, local cohomology, and equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules. All of our \mathcal{D} -modules are left \mathcal{D} -modules.

2.1. \mathcal{D} -modules, Hodge modules, and functors. Let X be a smooth complex variety of dimension d_X , with sheaf of algebraic differential operators \mathcal{D}_X . We write $D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ for the bounded derived category of holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules, and we write $\text{MHM}(X)$ for the category of algebraic mixed Hodge modules on X , with $D^b\text{MHM}(X)$ the corresponding bounded derived category.

Given an irreducible closed subvariety $Z \subseteq X$, we write $\mathcal{L}(Z, X)$ for the intersection homology \mathcal{D} -module associated to the trivial local system on the regular locus $Z_{\text{reg}} \subseteq Z$. For example, $\mathcal{L}(X, X) = \mathcal{O}_X$.

We write IC_Z^H for the pure Hodge module associated to the trivial variation of Hodge structure on Z_{reg} [HTT08, Section 8.3.3], which has weight d_Z . For a mixed Hodge module $\mathcal{M} = (M, F_\bullet, W_\bullet)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $\mathcal{M}(k) = (M, F_{\bullet-k}, W_{\bullet+2k})$ for its k -th Tate twist. For example, $\text{IC}_Z^H(k)$ has weight $d_Z - 2k$. The modules $\text{IC}_Z^H(k)$ provide a complete list of pure Hodge modules that may overlie the \mathcal{D} -module $\mathcal{L}(Z, X)$.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism between smooth complex varieties, and let $M \in D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and $N \in D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_Y)$. We write the following for the direct and inverse image functors for \mathcal{D} -modules:

$$f_+(M) := \mathbb{R}f_*(\mathcal{D}_{Y \leftarrow X} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M), \quad \text{and} \quad f^\dagger(N) := \mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} f^{-1}N[d_X - d_Y].$$

These functors induce functors on the corresponding bounded derived categories of mixed Hodge modules, which we denote as follows:

$$f_* : D^b\text{MHM}(X) \rightarrow D^b\text{MHM}(Y), \quad \text{and} \quad f^! : D^b\text{MHM}(Y) \rightarrow D^b\text{MHM}(X).$$

Given $\mathcal{M} \in D^b\text{MHM}(X)$, we say that \mathcal{M} is mixed of weight $\leq w$ (resp. $\geq w$) if $\text{gr}_i^W(\mathcal{H}^j(\mathcal{M})) = 0$ for $i > j + w$ (resp. $i < j + w$). We say \mathcal{M} is pure of weight w if it is mixed of weight $\leq w$ and $\geq w$.

2.2. Local cohomology as a mixed Hodge module. Let X be a smooth complex variety and let $Z \subseteq X$ be a closed subvariety. We write $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}_Z^0(-)$ for the functor on $D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of sections with support in Z , whose cohomology functors $\mathcal{H}_Z^i(-)$ are the local cohomology functors with support in Z .

We set $U = X \setminus Z$ with open immersion $j : U \rightarrow X$. Given $M \in D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, there is a distinguished triangle in $D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ [HTT08, Proposition 1.7.1(i)]:

$$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}_Z^0(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow j_+j^\dagger(M) \xrightarrow{+1}. \quad (2.1)$$

If M underlies $\mathcal{M} \in D^b\text{MHM}(X)$, then $j_+j^\dagger(M)$ underlies $j_*j^!(\mathcal{M}) \in D^b\text{MHM}(X)$, so this triangle endows $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}_Z^0(\mathcal{M})$ with the structure of an object in $D^b\text{MHM}(X)$. In particular, if $\mathcal{M} \in \text{MHM}(X)$ then we have an exact sequence of mixed Hodge modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_Z^0(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(j_*j^!(\mathcal{M})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_Z^1(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and isomorphisms $\mathcal{H}_Z^q(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(j_*j^!(\mathcal{M}))$ for $q \geq 2$.

When X is an affine space, we identify all of the above sheaves with their global sections, and view everything as a module over the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{D} = \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^N})$. For ease of notation throughout, we write

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma_Z(-) := \mathbb{R}\Gamma_Z(\mathbb{C}^N, -), \quad \text{and} \quad H_Z^j(-) := H_Z^j(\mathbb{C}^N, -).$$

We store the following lemma, which will serve as the base case of our inductive proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 2.1. *If $Z \subseteq \mathbb{C}^N$ is defined by homogeneous equations, then $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\{0\}}(\text{IC}_Z^H)$ is pure of weight d_Z . Equivalently, each $H_{\{0\}}^j(\text{IC}_Z^H)$ is a direct sum of copies of $\text{IC}_{\{0\}}^H((-d_Z - j)/2)$.*

Proof. Let $i : \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ be the closed immersion of the origin. By [HTT08, Proposition 1.7.1(iii)] we have that $i_*i^! = \mathbb{R}\Gamma_Z(-)$ on the category $D^b\text{MHM}(\mathbb{C}^N)$, in a manner compatible with our fixed choice of mixed Hodge module structure on local cohomology. Since i is the inclusion of the origin, Kashiwara's equivalence and [HTT08, Section 8.3.3(m13)] imply that the functor i_* preserves pure complexes and their weight, so it suffices to show that $i^!(\text{IC}_Z^H) \in D^b\text{MHM}(\{0\})$ is pure of weight d_Z . Let \mathbb{D} denote the duality functor on the bounded derived category of mixed Hodge modules. Since Z is the affine cone over a projective variety, [HTT08, Lemma 13.2.10] implies that $\mathbb{D}i^!\mathbb{D}(\text{IC}_Z^H)$ is pure of weight d_Z , and thus $i^!(\text{IC}_Z^H)$ is pure of weight d_Z , as desired. The second assertion follows from the first, and the fact that the pure Hodge modules $\text{IC}_{\{0\}}^H(k)$ provide a complete list of those supported on the origin. \square

2.3. GL-equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules on $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. We let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be the space of $m \times n$ generic matrices, with $m \geq n$. This space is endowed with an action of the group $\text{GL} = \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ via row and column operations, and the orbits stratify \mathcal{X} by matrix rank. We let \mathcal{D} denote the Weyl algebra on \mathcal{X} of differential operators with polynomial coefficients.

All \mathcal{D} -modules considered in this work are objects in the category $\text{mod}_{\text{GL}}(\mathcal{D})$ of GL-equivariant holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules. The simple objects in this category are the modules

$$D_0, D_1, \dots, D_n,$$

where $D_p = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X})$ is the intersection homology \mathcal{D} -module associated to \mathcal{X}_p .

Given $M \in \text{mod}_{\text{GL}}(\mathcal{D})$ and $0 \leq q \leq n$, the local cohomology modules $H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(M)$ are also objects of $\text{mod}_{\text{GL}}(\mathcal{D})$, and thus have composition factors among D_0, \dots, D_n . When $m \neq n$, the category $\text{mod}_{\text{GL}}(\mathcal{D})$ is semi-simple [LW19, Theorem 5.4(b)], so each local cohomology module decomposes as a \mathcal{D} -module into a direct sum of its simple composition factors. For instance, each local cohomology module in Example 1.3 is semi-simple.

On the other hand, for square matrices, the category $\text{mod}_{\text{GL}}(\mathcal{D})$ is not semi-simple [LW19, Theorem 5.4(a)]. For instance, each simple module D_p admits a unique extension by D_{p+1} . The modules Q_p from the Introduction are built by such extensions. We recall that $Q_n = S_{\det}$, and

$$Q_p = \frac{Q_n}{\langle \det^{p-n+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}}, \quad \text{for } p = 0, \dots, n-1,$$

so by (1.1) each Q_p has composition factors D_0, \dots, D_p , each with multiplicity one.

We denote by $\text{add}(Q)$ the additive subcategory of $\text{mod}_{\text{GL}}(\mathcal{D})$ consisting of modules that are isomorphic to a direct sum of the modules Q_0, \dots, Q_n . By [LR20, Theorem 1.6] each local cohomology module of the form $H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(D_p)$ and $H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(Q_p)$ belongs to $\text{add}(Q)$. Though we will not use them here, there are formulas to calculate the multiplicity of each Q_p in local cohomology [LR20, Theorem 1.6, Theorem 6.1].

2.4. Subrepresentations of equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules. For $N \geq 1$, the irreducible representations of the general linear group $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with dominant weights

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N.$$

We write $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{dom}}^N$ for the set of dominant weights, and $\mathbb{S}_\lambda \mathbb{C}^N$ for the irreducible representation corresponding to a dominant weight λ , where \mathbb{S}_λ is a Schur functor. For $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \geq 0$ we write $(b^a) = (b, \dots, b, 0, \dots, 0)$ for the dominant weight with b repeated a times.

For $0 \leq p \leq n$ the module D_p decomposes into irreducible GL-representations as follows [Rai16, Section 5]:

$$D_p = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in W^p} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda^{(p)}} \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{S}_\lambda \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\lambda(p) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p, (p-n)^{m-n}, \lambda_{p+1} + (m-n), \dots, \lambda_n + (m-n))$, and

$$W^p := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{dom}}^n : \lambda_p \geq p-n, \lambda_{p+1} \leq p-m\}.$$

If N is a subrepresentation of a GL-equivariant holonomic \mathcal{D} -module, then it is a subrepresentation of a finite direct sum of the modules D_0, \dots, D_n . Thus N has a GL-decomposition of the following form:

$$N = \bigoplus_{0 \leq p \leq n} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in W^p} (\mathbb{S}_{\lambda(p)} \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^n)^{\oplus b_{\lambda}}.$$

We encode the equivariant structure of such an N via a multiset of dominant weights

$$\mathfrak{W}(N) = \{(\lambda, b_{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{dom}}^n\}.$$

Since the sets W^p are pairwise disjoint, and $\lambda(p)$ is uniquely determined by λ , the multiset $\mathfrak{W}(N)$ completely describes the equivariant structure of N . Weights of direct sums are described by disjoint unions:

$$\mathfrak{W}(N_1 \oplus N_2) = \mathfrak{W}(N_1) \sqcup \mathfrak{W}(N_2) = \{(\lambda, b_{\lambda}^1 + b_{\lambda}^2) : (\lambda, b_{\lambda}^1) \in \mathfrak{W}(N_1), (\lambda, b_{\lambda}^2) \in \mathfrak{W}(N_2)\}.$$

When N is multiplicity free, we simply write $\mathfrak{W}(N)$ as a set of dominant weights. For example, $\mathfrak{W}(D_p) = W^p$ for $p = 0, \dots, n$. Since Q_p has simple composition factors D_0, \dots, D_p , each with multiplicity one, we have

$$\mathfrak{W}(Q_p) = \mathfrak{W}(D_0 \oplus \dots \oplus D_p) = W^0 \sqcup \dots \sqcup W^p.$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{W}(Q_n) = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{dom}}^n$. Further, by definition of Q_p we have

$$\mathfrak{W}(\langle \det^{p-n+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}) = \mathfrak{W}(Q_n) \setminus \mathfrak{W}(Q_p) = W^{p+1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup W^n, \quad (2.3)$$

which is equal to the following set of dominant weights $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{dom}}^n : \lambda_{p+1} \geq p-n+1\}$.

2.5. Hodge modules on $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. We write $\text{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H$ for the pure Hodge module associated to the trivial variation of Hodge structure on $\mathcal{Z}_p \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{p-1}$, which has weight $d_p = \dim \mathcal{Z}_p = p(m+n-p)$, and overlies the simple \mathcal{D} -module D_p . Given $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the k -th Tate twist of $\text{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H$ is pure of weight $d_p - 2k$.

Let M be a GL-equivariant holonomic \mathcal{D} -module, and let \mathcal{M} be a mixed Hodge module that it underlies. Since the composition factors of M are among D_0, \dots, D_n , the graded pieces of the weight filtration on \mathcal{M} are direct sums of the intersection cohomology pure Hodge modules defined above. If D_p underlies a summand of $\text{gr}_w^W(\mathcal{M})$ for some $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, then D_p underlies $\text{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H((d_p - w)/2)$. In this case we say that D_p has weight w .

For the remainder of this section we consider only the case of square matrices. We recall the possible mixed Hodge module structures on Q_n , and classify the possible mixed Hodge module structures on each Q_p .

We let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}$ denote the determinant hypersurface, and we write $U = \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ with open immersion $j : U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. We define $\mathcal{Q}_n^H := j_* \mathcal{O}_U^H$, where \mathcal{O}_U^H is the trivial pure Hodge module on U . Up to a Tate twist, \mathcal{Q}_n^H is the unique mixed Hodge module that may overlie Q_n [PR21, Section 4.1].

The weight filtration W_{\bullet} on \mathcal{Q}_n^H is described as follows: if $w < n^2$ or $w > n^2 + n$, then $\text{gr}_w^W \mathcal{Q}_n^H = 0$, and

$$\text{gr}_{n^2+n-p}^W \mathcal{Q}_n^H = \text{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H \left(- \binom{n-p+1}{2} \right), \quad \text{for } p = 0, \dots, n. \quad (2.4)$$

In other words, the copy of D_p in \mathcal{Q}_n^H has weight $n^2 + n - p$. Using (2.4) we define a mixed Hodge module structure on each Q_p for $0 \leq p \leq n-1$ as follows. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow W_{n^2+n-p-1}(\mathcal{Q}_n^H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_n^H \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_n^H / W_{n^2+n-p-1}(\mathcal{Q}_n^H) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.5)$$

By (1.2) and (2.4), it follows that $\mathcal{Q}_n^H / W_{n^2+n-p-1}(\mathcal{Q}_n^H)$ is a Hodge module overlying Q_p . We define

$$Q_p^H = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_n^H}{W_{n^2+n-p-1}(\mathcal{Q}_n^H)}, \quad \text{for } p = 0, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.6)$$

Proposition 2.2. *Up to a Tate twist, \mathcal{Q}_p^H is the only mixed Hodge module overlying Q_p .*

The proof of Proposition 2.2 is identical to the proof for the case Q_n in [PR21, Section 4.1], except that Q_p for $p < n$ does not have full support, so [PR21, Equation (2.11)] cannot be used. However, this is remedied in the following lemma. We write $\delta^p = ((p-n)^n) \in \mathfrak{W}(D_p)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 \leq p \leq n$ and consider a mixed Hodge module overlying Q_p with Hodge filtration F_\bullet . Given $1 \leq r \leq p-1$, if $\delta^r \in \mathfrak{W}(F_l(Q_p))$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\delta^r + (1^{r+1}) \in \mathfrak{W}(F_l(Q_p))$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\delta^r \in \mathfrak{W}(F_l(Q_p))$, and let m be a nonzero element of the corresponding isotypic component of Q_p . We let $\bigwedge^{r+1} \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \bigwedge^{r+1} \mathbb{C}^n$ be the subspace of the polynomial ring S spanned by the $(r+1)$ -minors. Since $F_l(Q_p)$ is an S -submodule of Q_p , it suffices to show that the subspace $N = (\bigwedge^{r+1} \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \bigwedge^{r+1} \mathbb{C}^n) \cdot m$ of Q_p is nonzero. Suppose for contradiction that $N = 0$. Since $\bigwedge^{r+1} \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \bigwedge^{r+1} \mathbb{C}^n$ is the space of defining equations of \mathcal{Z}_r , it follows that the S -submodule of Q_p generated by m has support contained in \mathcal{Z}_r . Thus, $H_{\mathcal{Z}_r}^0(Q_p) \neq 0$, which implies that Q_p has a \mathcal{D} -submodule with support contained in \mathcal{Z}_r . Since $r \leq p-1$, this is impossible, as D_p has support \mathcal{Z}_p and is the socle of Q_p [LR20, Lemma 6.3]. \square

We store the following immediate consequence for use in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $0 \leq p \leq n$, and let \mathcal{N} be a mixed Hodge module overlying Q_p . If for some $0 \leq r \leq p$ and $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, the copy of D_r in \mathcal{N} has weight $w-r$, then for all $0 \leq q \leq p$, the copy of D_q in \mathcal{N} has weight $w-q$.*

3. THE WEIGHT FILTRATION

Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be the space of $m \times n$ generic matrices, with $m \geq n$. Theorem 1.1 is a special case of our main result, which addresses the weight filtration on local cohomology of any D_p with support in any determinantal variety. We let D_p underly the pure Hodge module $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H$, corresponding to the trivial variation of Hodge structure on $\mathcal{Z}_p \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{p-1}$, and we write $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H(k)$ for its Tate twists, each of weight $d_p - 2k$, where $d_p = \dim \mathcal{Z}_p = p(m+n-p)$. The goal of this section is to prove the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 \leq r \leq q < p \leq n \leq m$ and $j \geq 0$. If D_r is a simple composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$, then it has weight $d_p + q - r + j$. In other words, D_r underlies $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_r}^H((d_r - d_p + r - q - j)/2)$.*

As $\mathcal{Z}_n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}$ is dense, we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H = \mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_n}^H$, so Theorem 1.1 is the case $p = n$ of Theorem 3.1. Our strategy for proving Theorem 3.1 is explained in the Introduction. We discuss how one may deduce the Hodge filtration on these local cohomology modules from Theorem 3.1 and [PR21] in Section 4.

We recall the formula for the simple composition factors in this general setting, which we express as a generating function with coefficients in the Grothendieck group of GL-equivariant holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules. Given integers $0 \leq q < p \leq n \leq m$ we have the identity [LR20, Theorem 3.1]:

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} [H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(D_p)] \cdot t^j = \sum_{r=0}^q [D_r] \cdot t^{(p-q)^2 + (p-r) \cdot (m-n)} \cdot \binom{n-r}{p-r}_{t^2} \cdot \binom{p-r-1}{q-r}_{t^2}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\binom{a}{b}_{t^2}$ is a Gaussian binomial coefficient, defined as follows. For $a \geq b$ we write:

$$\binom{a}{b}_t = \frac{(1-t^a) \cdot (1-t^{a-1}) \cdots (1-t^{a-b+1})}{(1-t^b) \cdot (1-t^{b-1}) \cdots (1-t)},$$

with the convention that $\binom{a}{b}_t = 0$ if $a < b$, and $\binom{a}{0}_t = \binom{a}{a}_t = 1$. Specializing to $p = n$ recovers the formula [RW16, Main Theorem] for local cohomology of the polynomial ring with support in a determinantal variety.

Surprisingly, to prove Theorem 3.1, we only require one piece of information from (3.1).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $0 \leq q < p \leq n \leq m$ and $j \geq 0$. The module D_0 appears as a simple composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(D_p)$ only if $j \equiv (p - q)^2 + p(m - n) \pmod{2}$.*

Proof. By (3.1) the smallest degree in which D_0 appears is $(p - q)^2 + p(m - n)$. The result then follows immediately from the fact that the Gaussian binomial coefficients in (3.1) are supported in even degrees. \square

3.1. The inductive structure of determinantal varieties. We now establish the inductive setup. Our treatment follows [LR20, Section 2H], and we refer the reader there for more details.

We choose coordinates $(x_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ on the space of matrices \mathcal{X} , and we let \mathcal{X}_1 denote the open subset of \mathcal{X} defined by non-vanishing of the top left coordinate $x_{1,1}$. By performing row and column operations to eliminate entries in the first row and column of the generic matrix, we obtain an isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{X}_1 \cong \mathcal{X}' \times \mathbb{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where \mathcal{X}' is isomorphic to the space of matrices $\mathbb{C}^{(m-1) \times (n-1)}$, with coordinates

$$x'_{i,j} = x_{i,j} - \frac{x_{i,1} \cdot x_{1,j}}{x_{1,1}}. \quad (3.2)$$

The copy of \mathbb{C}^* above corresponds to the coordinate $x_{1,1}$, and the spaces \mathbb{C}^{m-1} and \mathbb{C}^{n-1} correspond to the remaining entries of the first column and row of \mathcal{X} , respectively.

For $p = 0, \dots, n-1$, we write $\mathcal{X}'_p \subseteq \mathcal{X}'$ for the determinantal variety of matrices of rank $\leq p$, with dimension $d'_p = p(m + n - p - 2)$, and we write $D'_p = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}'_p, \mathcal{X}')$ for the intersection homology module associated to \mathcal{X}'_p .

Let $\phi : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the open immersion of \mathcal{X}_1 into \mathcal{X} , and let $\pi : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ be the projection map, noting that these are both smooth morphisms. For ease of notation we set $\mathcal{X}_1 \cong \mathcal{X}' \times T$, so that π has relative dimension $d_T = m + n - 1$. We note that $d_p = d'_p + d_T$.

Since \mathcal{X}'_p is defined by the vanishing of the $(p + 1)$ -minors of the matrix of variables $(x_{i,j})$, one can show using (3.2) that $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{X}'_p) = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{X}'_{p-1})$ for $1 \leq p \leq n$. Further, if we write ϕ^* , π^* for the (non-shifted) inverse image functors of \mathcal{D} -modules, then we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\phi^*(D_p) \cong \pi^*(D'_{p-1}), \quad \text{for } p = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.3)$$

In addition, for all $1 \leq q \leq p$, we have the following:

$$\phi^*(H_{\mathcal{X}_q}^j(D_p)) \cong \pi^*(H_{\mathcal{X}'_{q-1}}^j(D'_{p-1})), \quad \text{for } j \geq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

3.2. Hodge modules and the inductive setting. In this subsection we determine how the mixed Hodge structure of local cohomology modules on \mathcal{X} is related to that on \mathcal{X}' . The main result here is the following.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $1 \leq r \leq q < p \leq n \leq m$ and let $j \geq 0$. If Theorem 3.1 holds for the parameters $(r - 1, q - 1, p - 1, n - 1, m - 1, j)$, then it holds for the parameters (r, q, p, n, m, j) .*

We emphasize that this result cannot be used to prove Theorem 3.1 for $r = 0$.

To prove Proposition 3.3 above, we determine versions of the isomorphisms (3.3) and (3.4) in the category of mixed Hodge modules. The analogue of (3.4) that we formulate must respect our choice of mixed Hodge structure on local cohomology (see Section 2.2). For this reason, we work in the derived categories of mixed Hodge modules on \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{X}_1 , and \mathcal{X}' , and thus use the (cohomologically shifted) inverse image functors ϕ^\dagger and π^\dagger , which lift the \mathcal{D} -module functors $\phi^* = \phi^\dagger$ and $\pi^* = \pi^\dagger[d_T]$ respectively (see Section 2.1).

Changing the functors in (3.3), we immediately obtain the following isomorphisms of \mathcal{D} -modules:

$$\phi^\dagger(D_p) \cong \pi^\dagger(D'_{p-1}[-d_T]), \quad \text{for } p = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.5)$$

We start by translating these isomorphisms to the level of Hodge modules.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $1 \leq p \leq n$. We have the following isomorphisms in $\mathrm{MHM}(\mathcal{X}_1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$:*

$$\phi^! \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H(k) \right) \cong \pi^! \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}}^H(k) [-d_T] \right). \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. It suffices to verify the case $k = 0$. Both sides of (3.6) correspond to a variation of Hodge structure on the trivial local system on $(\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{Z}'_{p-2}) \times T$. Thus, we need to show that their respective weights match. By [Sch16, Theorem 9.3], given a smooth morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, and a pure Hodge module \mathcal{N} of weight v on Y , the inverse image $\mathcal{H}^{d_Y - d_X}(f^!(\mathcal{N}))$ is pure of weight $v + d_X - d_Y$. It follows that $\phi^!(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$ is pure of weight d_p , and $\pi^!(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}}^H[-d_T])$ is pure of weight $d'_{p-1} + d_T$. Since $d_p = d'_{p-1} + d_T$, the result follows. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $1 \leq q < p \leq n$. We have an isomorphism in $\mathrm{D}^b\mathrm{MHM}(\mathcal{X}_1)$:*

$$\phi^! \left(\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}_q} \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H \right) \right) \cong \pi^! \left(\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}'_{q-1}} \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}}^H [-d_T] \right) \right).$$

Proof. Let $U = \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_p$, $U' = \mathcal{X}' \setminus \mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}$, and $U_1 = \phi^{-1}(U) = \pi^{-1}(U')$, and consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U' & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & U_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & U \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow k \\ \mathcal{X}' & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{X} \end{array} \quad (3.7)$$

where the vertical arrows are the open immersions, and $\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{\phi}$ are the maps on U_1 induced by π, ϕ . Using the distinguished triangle (2.1) and Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that

$$\phi^! k_* k^! \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H \right) \cong \pi^! i_* i^! \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}}^H [-d_T] \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Since $U_1 = \phi^{-1}(U) = \pi^{-1}(U')$, the base change theorem [HTT08, Theorem 1.7.3] implies that $\phi^! k_* = j_* \tilde{\phi}^!$ and $\pi^! i_* = j_* \tilde{\pi}^!$. Commutativity of (3.7) implies $\tilde{\phi}^! k^! = j^! \phi^!$ and $\tilde{\pi}^! i^! = j^! \pi^!$, so we obtain

$$\phi^! k_* k^! \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H \right) \cong j_* j^! \phi^! \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H \right), \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^! i_* i^! \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}}^H [-d_T] \right) \cong j_* j^! \pi^! \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}}^H [-d_T] \right).$$

The desired isomorphism (3.8) then follows from (3.6). \square

As a corollary, we translate (3.4) to the level of mixed Hodge modules.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $1 \leq q < p \leq n$ and $j \geq 0$. We have an isomorphism in $\mathrm{MHM}(\mathcal{X}_1)$:*

$$\phi^! \left(H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H \right) \right) \cong \pi^! \left(H_{\mathcal{Z}'_{q-1}}^j \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}}^H \right) [-d_T] \right). \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Since ϕ is smooth of relative dimension zero, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^j \left(\phi^! \left(\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}_q} \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H \right) \right) \right) = \phi^! \left(H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H \right) \right).$$

On the other hand, since π is smooth of relative dimension d_T , we have

$$\mathcal{H}^j \left(\pi^! \left(\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}'_{q-1}} \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}}^H [-d_T] \right) \right) \right) = \pi^! \left(H_{\mathcal{Z}'_{q-1}}^j \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}}^H \right) [-d_T] \right).$$

Thus, (3.9) is the consequence of taking cohomology of the isomorphism stated in Proposition 3.5. \square

Finally, we prove Proposition 3.3.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Let $1 \leq r \leq q < p \leq n \leq m$ and let $j \geq 0$. The hypothesis is: if D'_{r-1} is a simple composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}'_{q-1}}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}}^H)$, then it has weight $d'_{p-1} + q - r + j$. Equivalently, D'_{r-1} underlies the pure Hodge module $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{r-1}}^H((d'_{r-1} - d'_{p-1} + r - q - j)/2)$. For ease of notation, we set $e = d'_{r-1} - d'_{p-1}$.

Suppose that D_r is a simple composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$, underlying $\mathcal{M} = \mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_r}^H((d_r - w)/2)$ for some w . We want to show that $w = d_p + q - r + j$. By Corollary 3.6, $\phi^!(\mathcal{M})$ is isomorphic to $\pi^!(\mathcal{M}'[-d_T])$ for some pure Hodge module \mathcal{M}' that is a composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}'_{q-1}}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{p-1}}^H)$. By (3.5), \mathcal{M}' overlies D'_{r-1} , so by hypothesis we have $\mathcal{M}' = \mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}'_{r-1}}^H((e + r - q - j)/2)$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$(d_r - w)/2 = (e + r - q - j)/2,$$

so $w = d_r - e + q - r + j$. Since $d_r - d'_{r-1} = d_T$ and $d'_{p-1} + d_T = d_p$, we have $w = d_p + q - r + j$. \square

3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.1. In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be the space of $m \times n$ generic matrices, with $m \geq n$. We write $\mathcal{Z}_p \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ for the determinantal variety of matrices of rank $\leq p$, with $d_p = \dim \mathcal{Z}_p = p(m + n - p)$. We restate Theorem 3.1 for convenience of the reader.

Theorem 3.1. Let $0 \leq r \leq q < p \leq n \leq m$ and $j \geq 0$. If D_r is a simple composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$, then it has weight $d_p + q - r + j$. In other words, D_r underlies $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_r}^H((d_r - d_p + r - q - j)/2)$.

We proceed by induction on $n \geq 1$. The following implies the case $n = 1$, and will serve as base case when we later induct on $q \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.7. *If $0 \leq p \leq n \leq m$, then $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$ is pure of weight d_p . Equivalently, for all $j \geq 0$, if D_0 is a \mathcal{D} -simple composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$, then it has weight $d_p + j$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{Z}_p \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is defined by the (homogeneous) $(p+1) \times (p+1)$ minors of the $m \times n$ matrix of variables $(x_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$, and \mathcal{Z}_0 is the origin, this follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

As the base case is complete, we assume that $n \geq 2$, and that Theorem 3.1 holds for $n' < n$. We summarize what we may conclude from inductive hypothesis on n , and the inductive structure of determinantal varieties.

Claim 3.8. Assuming inductive hypothesis on n , Theorem 3.1 holds for all $r \geq 1$. In particular

- (1) for all $j \geq 0$, all copies of D_1, \dots, D_q , in $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$ have the desired weight, and thus
- (2) it suffices to prove Theorem 3.1 for $r = 0$.

Proof. Let $r \geq 1$ and assume that D_r is a \mathcal{D} -simple composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$. By inductive hypothesis and Proposition 3.3, it follows that D_r has the desired weight $d_p + q - r + j$. \square

Next, we make a reduction that simplifies the case of square matrices.

Claim 3.9. Assuming inductive hypothesis on n , it suffices to prove Theorem 3.1 for copies of D_0 that are direct summands of local cohomology.

Proof. If $m \neq n$, this statement has no content, as the local cohomology modules in this case are semi-simple.

For the case $m = n$, let \mathcal{M} be a Hodge module overlying a copy of D_0 in $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(D_p)$ that is not a direct summand. We will show that \mathcal{M} has the desired weight $d_p + q + j$, proving Theorem 3.1 in this case.

Since \mathcal{M} is not a direct summand, and $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(D_p)$ is an object in $\mathrm{add}(Q)$, it follows that \mathcal{M} is a quotient of a Hodge module \mathcal{N} overlying Q_i for some $1 \leq i \leq q$. By Proposition 2.2, the possible Hodge module structures on each Q_i are unique up to a Tate twist. By Claim 3.8(1), if $r \geq 1$, and D_r is a \mathcal{D} -simple composition factor of \mathcal{N} , then D_r has weight $d_p + q - r + j$. By Corollary 2.4, it follows that \mathcal{M} has weight $d_p + q + j$. \square

We now proceed by induction on $q \geq 0$, the base case being Lemma 3.7. Going forward we fix $1 \leq q < p \leq n \leq m$ and $j \geq 0$. The inductive hypothesis on q will not be invoked until Claim 3.13.

Consider a copy of D_0 that is a direct summand of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(D_p)$, and let \mathcal{M}_0 be the pure Hodge module in $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$ that it underlies. By Claim 3.9, to prove Theorem 3.1, it suffices to verify the following.

Goal 3.10. The pure Hodge module \mathcal{M}_0 has weight $d_p + q + j$.

To this end, we examine weights in the following Grothendieck spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^s(H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^t(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)) \implies H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^{s+t}(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H). \quad (3.10)$$

For $u \geq 2$, the differentials on the u -th page are written

$$d_u^{s,t} : E_u^{s,t} \longrightarrow E_u^{s+u,t-u+1}. \quad (3.11)$$

We will use this spectral sequence and inductive hypothesis to prove the existence of a morphism from \mathcal{M}_0 to a pure Hodge module of the desired weight.

Since \mathcal{M}_0 is supported on the origin, we have $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^0(\mathcal{M}_0) = \mathcal{M}_0$, and $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^s(\mathcal{M}_0) = 0$ for $s \geq 1$. Because \mathcal{M}_0 overlies a direct summand of local cohomology, it follows that \mathcal{M}_0 overlies a direct summand of $E_2^{0,j}$.

By (3.10) we have $E_2^{s,t} = 0$ for $s < 0$ and for $t < 0$, so it follows from (3.11) that there are no nonzero differentials to $E_u^{0,j}$ for all $u \geq 2$. Thus, $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq E_\infty^{0,j}$ unless $d_v^{0,j}(\mathcal{M}_0) \neq 0$ for some $v \geq 2$.

Claim 3.11. There exists $v \geq 2$ such that $d_v^{0,j}(\mathcal{M}_0) \neq 0$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{M}_0 overlies a copy of D_0 that is a simple composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(D_p)$, Lemma 3.2 implies that $j \equiv (p - q)^2 + p(m - n) \pmod{2}$. Suppose for contradiction that the claim is false. By the discussion above, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq E_\infty^{0,j}$, so \mathcal{M}_0 overlies a copy of D_0 that is a composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^j(D_p)$. Again using Lemma 3.2, we have $j \equiv (p - q + 1)^2 + p(m - n) \pmod{2}$. However,

$$(p - q)^2 + p(m - n) - ((p - q + 1)^2 + p(m - n)) = -2p + 2q - 1,$$

which is odd, yielding a contradiction. \square

Going forward, we write v for the v that satisfies Claim 3.11. It will turn out that the precise value of v is irrelevant for our purposes. Using the inductive hypothesis, we will show that $d_v^{0,j}(\mathcal{M}_0)$ has weight $d_p + q + j$. To do so, we examine weights in the codomain $E_v^{v,j-v+1}$ of $d_v^{0,j}$. We first consider $E_2^{v,j-v+1}$.

Claim 3.12. The mixed Hodge module $E_2^{v,j-v+1}$ is equal to $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^v(\mathcal{N})$, where \mathcal{N} is a direct sum of pure Hodge modules of weight $d_p + j - v + 1$, each overlying a copy of D_q .

Proof. If $m \neq n$, then the local cohomology module $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^{j-v+1}(D_p)$ is semi-simple, and its summands are among D_0, \dots, D_q , possibly with multiplicity. For $i \leq q - 1$, each D_i is supported in \mathcal{Z}_{q-1} , so we have that $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^0(D_i) = D_i$ and $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^s(D_i) = 0$ for $s \geq 1$. Therefore, since $v \geq 2$, it follows that $E_2^{v,j-v+1}$ overlies a direct sum of copies of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^v(D_q)$. By Claim 3.8(1) applied to these copies of D_q , it follows that each of them underlies a pure Hodge module of weight $d_p + j - v + 1$.

If $m = n$, then $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^{j-v+1}(D_p)$ is an object in $\mathrm{add}(Q)$. For $i \leq q - 1$, each Q_i is supported in \mathcal{Z}_{q-1} , so we have $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^0(Q_i) = Q_i$ and $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^s(Q_i) = 0$ for $s \geq 1$. Therefore, since $v \geq 2$, it follows that $E_2^{v,j-v+1}$ overlies a direct sum of copies of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^v(Q_q)$. By (1.2) the module Q_q is an extension of Q_{q-1} by D_q . Since $v \geq 2$, the associated long exact sequence of local cohomology yields that $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^v(Q_q)$ is isomorphic to $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^v(D_q)$. Thus,

$E_2^{v,j-v+1}$ overlies a direct sum of copies of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^v(D_q)$. Again, by Claim 3.8(1) applied to these copies of D_q , it follows that each of them underlies a pure Hodge module of weight $d_p + j - v + 1$. \square

We now consider $E_v^{v,j-v+1}$, which is a subquotient of $E_2^{v,j-v+1}$.

Claim 3.13. If D_0 is a \mathcal{D} -subquotient of $E_v^{v,j-v+1}$, then D_0 underlies a pure Hodge module of weight $d_p + q + j$.

Proof. By Claim 3.12, it follows that $E_v^{v,j-v+1}$ is a subquotient of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^v(\mathcal{N})$, where \mathcal{N} is a direct sum of pure Hodge modules of weight $d_p + j - v + 1$, each overlying a copy of D_q . In this case, \mathcal{N} must be a direct sum of copies of $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^H((d_q - d_p + v - j - 1)/2)$.

Suppose that D_0 is a \mathcal{D} -subquotient of $E_v^{v,j-v+1}$. Then D_0 is a \mathcal{D} -simple composition factor of one of the copies of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_{q-1}}^v(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^H((d_q - d_p + v - j - 1)/2))$. Since Tate twists commute with local cohomology functors, it follows from inductive hypothesis on q that D_0 underlies

$$\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^H((-d_q - (q - 1) - v)/2)(d_q - d_p + v - j - 1)/2 = \mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^H((-d_p - q - j)/2),$$

and therefore has weight $d_p + q + j$. \square

Conclusion of proof of Theorem 3.1. Since $d_v^{0,j}(\mathcal{M}_0) \neq 0$, there is a nonzero map $d_v^{0,j} : \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow E_v^{v,j-v+1}$. Because \mathcal{M}_0 overlies D_0 , its image overlies D_0 , and is a submodule of $E_v^{v,j-v+1}$. Claim 3.13 implies that $d_v^{0,j}(\mathcal{M}_0)$ has weight $d_p + q + j$. Because morphisms in the category of mixed Hodge modules are strict with respect to the weight filtration, it follows that \mathcal{M}_0 has weight $d_p + q + j$, as required to complete Goal 3.10, and thus the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

In the case of square matrices, we may rephrase Theorem 3.1 in terms of the modules Q_p . The following implies Theorem 1.4. We recall $c_p = \mathrm{codim} \mathcal{Z}_p = (n - p)^2$ in the case of square matrices.

Corollary 3.14. Let $0 \leq r \leq q \leq p < n = m$. If Q_r is a \mathcal{D} -indecomposable summand of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$ then it underlies $\mathcal{Q}_r^H((c_p + n - q - j)/2)$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, if Q_r is a \mathcal{D} -indecomposable summand of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$, then it underlies $\mathcal{Q}_r^H(k)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (2.4) and (2.6) the copy of D_0 in $\mathcal{Q}_r^H(k)$ has weight $n^2 + n - 2k$. Thus, by Theorem 3.1 we have $n^2 + n - 2k = d_p + q + j$, so $k = (c_p + n - q - j)/2$, as required. \square

3.4. Weight filtrations on iterations of local cohomology. As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, one may calculate the Hodge and weight filtrations on any iteration of local cohomology functors with determinantal support, applied to an intersection cohomology Hodge module:

$$H_{\mathcal{Z}_{i_1}}^\bullet(H_{\mathcal{Z}_{i_2}}^\bullet(\cdots H_{\mathcal{Z}_{i_t}}^\bullet(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)\cdots)).$$

Indeed, if $m \neq n$ then repeated application of Theorem 3.1 to the composition factors of each iteration of local cohomology functors yields the weight filtration (Tate twists commute with local cohomology functors).

On the other hand, if $m = n$, to calculate the weights of an iteration one needs to understand the weight filtration on local cohomology of a module Q_p , which is addressed in the following.

Theorem 3.15. Let $0 \leq r \leq q \leq p < n = m$. If Q_r is an \mathcal{D} -indecomposable summand of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(Q_p^H)$ then it underlies $\mathcal{Q}_r^H((p - q - j)/2)$.

Proof. We consider the short exact sequence induced by (2.6):

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H\left(-\binom{n-p+1}{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_p^H \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{p-1}^H \longrightarrow 0.$$

By [LR20, Equation (6.14)] the associated long exact sequence of local cohomology with support in \mathcal{Z}_q splits into the following short exact sequences: for $j \geq 0$ we have

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^{j-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{p-1}^H) \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H \left(-\binom{n-p+1}{2} \right) \right) \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathcal{Q}_p^H) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular, $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathcal{Q}_p^H)$ is a quotient of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j \left(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H \left(-\binom{n-p+1}{2} \right) \right)$ for all $j \geq 0$. By Corollary 3.14, if Q_r is an indecomposable summand of the latter, then it underlies

$$\mathcal{Q}_r^H((c_p + n - q - j)/2) \left(-\binom{n-p+1}{2} \right) = \mathcal{Q}_r^H((p - q - j)/2).$$

Thus, if Q_r is an indecomposable summand of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathcal{Q}_p^H)$, then it underlies $\mathcal{Q}_r^H((p - q - j)/2)$. \square

Via iterated application of Corollary 3.14, Theorem 3.15, and [LR20, Theorem 1.6, Theorem 6.1], one may calculate the weight filtration on any iteration of local cohomology functors applied to $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H$ or \mathcal{Q}_p^H .

Example 3.16. Let $m = n = 4$. By Corollary 3.14 the local cohomology modules in Example 1.2 are

$$H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^4(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H) = \mathcal{Q}_2^H(-1), \quad H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^6(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H) = \mathcal{Q}_1^H(-2), \quad H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^8(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H) = \mathcal{Q}_0^H(-3).$$

We calculate the mixed Hodge module structure of the compositions $H_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^i H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^j(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H)$ for $i, j \geq 0$. Using Theorem 3.15 and [LR20, Theorem 1.6] the nonzero modules are

$$H_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^8 H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^4(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H) = H_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^5 H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^6(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H) = \mathcal{Q}_0^H(-4), \quad H_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^{10} H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^4(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H) = H_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^7 H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^6(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H) = \mathcal{Q}_0^H(-5),$$

$$H_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^{12} H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^4(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H) = \mathcal{Q}_0^H(-6), \quad H_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^3 H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^6(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H) = H_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^0 H_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^8(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^H) = \mathcal{Q}_0^H(-3).$$

4. THE HODGE FILTRATION

In this section we explain how to deduce the Hodge filtration on each local cohomology module from Theorem 3.1 and the previous work [PR21] regarding the Hodge filtration on the intersection cohomology pure Hodge modules. As an application, we determine the generation level. In Section 4.3 we carry out another example. Finally, in Section 4.4 we prove Theorem 1.5.

4.1. The Hodge filtrations on the equivariant pure Hodge modules. Let $0 \leq p \leq n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let F_\bullet denote the Hodge filtration on the pure Hodge module $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H(k)$. The simple \mathcal{D} -module D_p underlying $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H(k)$ is a representation of the group $\mathrm{GL} = \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, and the filtered pieces $F_\bullet(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H(k))$ are GL -subrepresentations of D_p . We write $W^p = \mathfrak{W}(D_p)$ and $c_p = \mathrm{codim} \mathcal{Z}_p = (m-p)(n-p)$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ the dominant weights of the pieces of the Hodge filtration on $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H(k)$ are given by [PR21, Theorem 3.1]:

$$\mathfrak{W}(F_l(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H(k))) = \mathfrak{D}_{l-c_p-k}^p, \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{D}_d^p := \{ \lambda \in W^p : \lambda_{p+1} + \cdots + \lambda_n \geq -d - c_p \}. \quad (4.1)$$

Thus, the filtration is determined by the sum of the last $n-p$ entries of $\lambda \in W^p$. It follows that

$$F_l(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H(k)) = 0 \text{ for } l < c_p + k, \text{ and } F_{c_p+k}(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H(k)) \neq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

One observes the general phenomenon that Tate twisting by k amounts to a shift of the filtration by k .

4.2. The Hodge filtration on a local cohomology module. In this subsection we combine the results from Sections 3 and 4.1 to obtain the Hodge filtration on local cohomology with determinantal support.

We begin with a consequence of (4.1), which follows immediately from the fact that morphisms in the category of mixed Hodge modules are strict with respect to the Hodge filtration.

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathcal{M} be a mixed Hodge module overlying a GL -equivariant holonomic \mathcal{D} -module. For $0 \leq r \leq n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let a_r^k denote the multiplicity of $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_r}^H(k)$ as a composition factor of \mathcal{M} . Then for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, the dominant weights of the l -th piece of the Hodge filtration on \mathcal{M} are given by*

$$\mathfrak{W}(F_l(\mathcal{M})) = \bigsqcup_{r=0}^n \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathfrak{D}_{l-c_p-k}^r)^{\sqcup a_r^k}.$$

Therefore, we obtain the Hodge filtration on \mathcal{M} from knowledge of the weight filtration.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1, we calculate the Hodge filtration F_\bullet on local cohomology with determinantal support of a pure Hodge module.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $0 \leq q < p \leq n \leq m$ and $j \geq 0$. For $0 \leq r \leq q$ let a_r denote the multiplicity of D_r as a composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(D_p)$. The Hodge filtration is encoded by the following multiset of dominant weights*

$$\mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H))) = \bigsqcup_{r=0}^q (\mathfrak{D}_{k-(c_r+c_p+r-q-j)/2}^r)^{\sqcup a_r}, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.3)$$

Specializing Theorem 4.2 to the case $p = n$ yields Corollary 1.6. Since the induced Hodge filtration on each copy of D_r is the same, and the sets \mathfrak{D}_d^r and \mathfrak{D}_e^s are disjoint for $r \neq s$, there is no ambiguity in (4.3), and it completely describes the Hodge filtration on each local cohomology module.

In the case of square matrices, we package the Hodge filtration in terms of the Hodge filtration on the Hodge modules \mathcal{Q}_p^H . For $1 \leq p \leq n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define $\mathfrak{Q}_k^p = \mathfrak{W}(F_k(\mathcal{Q}_p^H))$. By (2.4), (2.6), and Lemma 4.1 the multiset \mathfrak{Q}_k^p is described via the following disjoint union:

$$\mathfrak{Q}_k^p = \bigsqcup_{r=0}^p \mathfrak{D}_{k-\binom{n-r}{2}}^r. \quad (4.4)$$

If \mathcal{M} is a mixed Hodge module overlying an object in $\mathrm{add}(Q)$, then $\mathfrak{W}(F_l(\mathcal{M}))$ is a disjoint union of multisets of the form \mathfrak{Q}_k^p . The next result follows immediately from Corollary 3.14 and Theorem 3.15.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $0 \leq q < p \leq n = m$ and $j \geq 0$.*

- (1) *Let a_r denote the multiplicity of Q_r as a \mathcal{D} -indecomposable summand of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$. The Hodge filtration is encoded by the following multiset of dominant weights*

$$\mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H))) = \bigsqcup_{r=0}^q (\mathfrak{Q}_{k-(c_p+n-q-j)/2}^r)^{\sqcup a_r}, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.5)$$

- (2) *Let b_r denote the multiplicity of Q_r as a \mathcal{D} -indecomposable summand of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathcal{Q}_p^H)$. The Hodge filtration is encoded by the following multiset of dominant weights*

$$\mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathcal{Q}_p^H))) = \bigsqcup_{r=0}^q (\mathfrak{Q}_{k-(p-q-j)/2}^r)^{\sqcup b_r}, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.6)$$

As a consequence, using [LR20, Theorem 1.6, Theorem 6.1], one may calculate the Hodge filtration on any iteration of local cohomology functors applied to the Hodge modules $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H$ and \mathcal{Q}_p^H , and their Tate twists.

4.3. Generation level. Given a filtered \mathcal{D} -module (M, F_\bullet) , we say that F_\bullet is generated in level q if

$$F_l(\mathcal{D}) \cdot F_q(M) = F_{q+l}(M) \text{ for all } l \geq 0,$$

where $F_\bullet(\mathcal{D})$ denotes the order filtration on \mathcal{D} . The generation level of (M, F_\bullet) is defined to be the minimal q such that F_\bullet is generated in level q . We determine the generation level of each local cohomology module.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $0 \leq q < p \leq n \leq m$ and $j \geq 0$. Let s be minimal such that D_s is a simple composition factor of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(D_p)$. Then the generation level of the Hodge filtration on $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}^H)$ is $(c_s + c_p + s - q - j)/2$.*

If $m = n$, then $s = 0$, so the generation level is $(n^2 + c_p - q - j)/2$.

Proof. Let $g = (c_s + c_p + s - q - j)/2$. We first show that the generation level of the Hodge filtration is at most g . To do so, it suffices to verify that the generation level of the induced Hodge filtrations on each simple composition factor is at most g . By Theorem 3.1 we are interested $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_r}^H((d_r - d_p + r - q - j)/2)$ for $0 \leq r \leq q$. The Hodge filtration on $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_r}^H((d_r - d_p + r - q - j)/2)$ has generation level $(c_r + c_p + r - q - j)/2$, the first nonzero level [PR21, Section 4.2]. Since $g \geq (c_r + c_p + r - q - j)/2$ for all $r \geq s$, we conclude that the generation level is at most g , as desired.

It remains to show that the generation level of the Hodge filtration is at least g . By (4.2) and Theorem 4.2, the induced Hodge filtration on D_s starts in level g , so it suffices to show that D_s is a quotient of $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(D_p)$. When $m \neq n$, $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(D_p)$ is a semi-simple \mathcal{D} -module, so D_s is necessarily a quotient. If $m = n$, then $H_{\mathcal{Z}_q}^j(D_p)$ is an object of $\mathrm{add}(Q)$, so D_0 is a quotient and $s = 0$. \square

Example 4.5. Let $m = 5$, $n = 3$, $p = 2$, and $q = 1$. Using (3.1) the nonzero local cohomology modules are expressed in the Grothendieck group of GL -equivariant holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules as follows:

$$[H_{\mathcal{Z}_1}^3(D_2)] = [D_1], \quad [H_{\mathcal{Z}_1}^5(D_2)] = [D_1] + [D_0], \quad [H_{\mathcal{Z}_1}^7(D_2)] = [H_{\mathcal{Z}_1}^9(D_2)] = [D_0].$$

If D_2 underlies the pure Hodge module $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^H$, Theorem 3.1 implies that the simple composition factors above are functorially endowed with the following weights (from left to right): 15, 17, 18, 20, 22.

From this, we compute the equivariant structure of the Hodge filtrations. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{Z}_1}^3(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^H))) &= \mathfrak{D}_{k-4}^1, & \mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{Z}_1}^5(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^H))) &= \mathfrak{D}_{k-3}^1 \sqcup \mathfrak{D}_{k-6}^0, \\ \mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{Z}_1}^7(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^H))) &= \mathfrak{D}_{k-5}^0, & \mathfrak{W}(F_k(H_{\mathcal{Z}_1}^9(\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{Z}_2}^H))) &= \mathfrak{D}_{k-4}^0. \end{aligned}$$

The induced filtrations on each of the simple composition factors start in the following levels (from left to right): 4, 3, 6, 5, 4, and the generation level of the Hodge filtration on each of the four nonzero local cohomology modules is 4, 6, 5, 4, respectively.

4.4. The Hodge filtrations on the modules Q_p . Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ be the ring of polynomial functions on the space of $n \times n$ matrices. Specializing (2.2) to the case $p = n$ recovers Cauchy's Formula:

$$S = \bigoplus_{\lambda=(\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0)} \mathbb{S}_\lambda \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{S}_\lambda \mathbb{C}^n.$$

For $a, b \geq 0$ we define $I_{a \times b}$ to be the ideal in S generated by the subrepresentation $\mathbb{S}_{(b^a)} \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{S}_{(b^a)} \mathbb{C}^n$. We have the following description of the dominant weights of $I_{a \times b}$.

$$\mathfrak{W}(I_{a \times b}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{dom}}^n : \lambda_a \geq b, \lambda_n \geq 0\}. \quad (4.7)$$

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}$ denote the determinant hypersurface. For $k \geq 0$ the Hodge ideal $I_k(\mathcal{Z})$ is defined via the following equality

$$F_k(Q_n^H) = I_k(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}((k+1) \cdot \mathcal{Z}). \quad (4.8)$$

Since $Q_p = Q_n / \langle \det^{p-n+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$, Theorem 1.5 is equivalent to the following.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $0 \leq p \leq n$, and consider $\langle \det^{p-n+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} \subseteq S_{\det}$ endowed with the mixed Hodge module structure induced from being a \mathcal{D} -submodule of \mathcal{Q}_n^H . Then*

$$F_k(\langle \det^{p-n+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}) = (I_{(p+1) \times (k-(n-p)+2)} \cap I_k(\mathcal{Z})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}((k+1) \cdot \mathcal{Z}).$$

Proof. Since $\langle \det^{p-n+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a mixed Hodge submodule of \mathcal{Q}_n^H we have

$$\lambda \in \mathfrak{W}(F_k(\langle \det^{p-n+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}})) \iff \lambda \in \mathfrak{W}(F_k(\mathcal{Q}_n^H)) \cap \mathfrak{W}(\langle \det^{p-n+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}).$$

By (4.8) we have $\lambda \in \mathfrak{W}(F_k(\mathcal{Q}_n^H))$ if and only if $\lambda + ((k+1)^n) \in \mathfrak{W}(I_k(\mathcal{Z}))$, and by (2.3) we have

$$\lambda \in \mathfrak{W}(\langle \det^{p-n+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}) \iff \lambda_{p+1} \geq p - n + 1 \iff \lambda_{p+1} + (k+1) \geq k - (n-p) + 2.$$

Thus, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{W}(F_k(\langle \det^{p-n+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}))$ if and only if $\lambda + ((k+1)^n) \in \mathfrak{W}(I_k(\mathcal{Z})) \cap \mathfrak{W}(I_{(p+1) \times (k-(n-p)+2)})$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Claudiu Raicu for numerous valuable conversations, especially during the early stages of this project while work on [PR21] was in progress.

REFERENCES

- [HTT08] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki, *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 236, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008. Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi.
- [LR20] András C. Lőrincz and Claudiu Raicu, *Iterated local cohomology groups and Lyubeznik numbers for determinantal rings*, Algebra & Number Theory **14** (2020), no. 9, 2533–2569.
- [LW19] András C. Lőrincz and Uli Walther, *On categories of equivariant D-modules*, Adv. Math. **351** (2019), 429–478.
- [MP19] Mircea Mustață and Mihnea Popa, *Hodge ideals*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **262** (2019), no. 1268.
- [MP20a] ———, *Hodge ideals for \mathbb{Q} -divisors, V-filtration, and minimal exponent*, Forum Math. Sigma **8** (2020), Paper No. e19, 41.
- [MP20b] ———, *Hodge filtration, minimal exponent, and local vanishing*, Invent. Math. **220** (2020), no. 2, 453–478.
- [PR21] Michael Perlman and Claudiu Raicu, *Hodge ideals for the determinant hypersurface*, Selecta Mathematica New Series **27** (2021), no. 1, 1–22.
- [Rai16] Claudiu Raicu, *Characters of equivariant D-modules on spaces of matrices*, Compositio Mathematica **152** (2016), no. 9, 1935–1965.
- [RW14] Claudiu Raicu and Jerzy Weyman, *Local cohomology with support in generic determinantal ideals*, Algebra & Number Theory **8** (2014), no. 5, 1231–1257.
- [RW16] ———, *Local cohomology with support in ideals of symmetric minors and Pfaffians*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **94** (2016), no. 3, 709–725.
- [RWW14] Claudiu Raicu, Jerzy Weyman, and Emily E. Witt, *Local cohomology with support in ideals of maximal minors and sub-maximal Pfaffians*, Adv. Math. **250** (2014), 596–610.
- [RW18] Thomas Reichelt and Uli Walther, *Weight filtrations on GKZ-systems*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.04247 (2018).
- [Sai89] Morihiko Saito, *Introduction to mixed Hodge modules*, Astérisque **179-180** (1989), 10, 145–162. Actes du Colloque de Théorie de Hodge (Luminy, 1987).
- [Sai90] ———, *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1990), no. 2, 221–333.
- [Sch16] Christian Schnell, *On Saito’s vanishing theorem*, Math. Res. Lett. **23** (2016), no. 2, 499–527.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, QUEEN’S UNIVERSITY, KINGSTON, ON, CANADA, K7L 3N6
 Email address: mp174@queensu.ca