

# THE SECOND VANISHING THEOREM FOR STANLEY-REISNER RING AND ITS GENERALIZATION

RAJSEKHAR BHATTACHARYYA

ABSTRACT. For regular local ring, the “second vanishing theorem” or “SVT” of local cohomology has been proved in several cases. In this paper, we extend the result of the SVT to Stanley-Reisner ring with an interpretation from combinatorial topology. Finally, in this context, we generalize the result of SVT.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For Noetherian ring  $S$  and for an ideal  $J \subset S$ , we have the local cohomology module  $H_J^i(S)$  supported at  $J$ . It is a very mysterious object, even it is quite hard to know when it will vanish. Recently there have been several instances where more explicit information on local cohomology modules was obtained in special cases using combinatorial approach and one of such place is Stanley-Reisner ring.

Recall that the cohomological dimension of an ideal  $J$  of a Noetherian ring  $S$  is the maximum index  $i \geq 0$  for which the local cohomology module  $H_J^i(S)$  is nonzero. In this context we mention Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum vanishing theorem or “HLVT” [Har68]. It states that: For any complete local domain  $S$  of dimension  $d$ ,  $H_J^d(S)$  vanishes if and only if  $\dim(S/J) > 0$ . One may regard the HLVT as the “first vanishing theorem” for local cohomology. In [RWY], HLVT has been extended for Stanley-Reisner ring with an interpretation from combinatorial topology.

If the ring  $S$  contains a field, the “second vanishing theorem” or “SVT” of local cohomology states the following: Let  $S$  be a complete regular local ring of dimension  $d$  with a separably closed residue field, which it contains. Let  $J \subseteq S$  be an ideal such that  $\dim(S/J) \geq 2$ . Then  $H_J^{d-1}(S) = 0$  if and only if the punctured spectrum of  $S/J$  is connected [Har68, HL, Ogu73, PS73]. In [HNBPW], the SVT has been extended to complete unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic. In [Bh], it has been realized in the ramified case only the for extended ideals.

In this paper, we extend the result of the SVT to Stanley-Reisner ring with a combinatorial topological interpretation, (see Theorem 3.2.):

Let  $\Sigma \subset \Delta$  be simplicial complexes where  $\dim k[\Delta] = d$  with  $J = I_\Sigma$ . Then  $H_J^{d-1}(k[\Delta]) = 0$  if and only if every  $(d-1)$ -face contains two vertices of  $\Sigma$  and every  $(d-2)$ -face contains one vertex of  $\Sigma$ .

In this context it should be mentioned that this proof is greatly influenced by Theorem 3.5 of [RWY] and goes similarly. Finally, we generalize the result of SVT (see, Theorem 4.2):

Let  $\Sigma \subset \Delta$  be simplicial complexes where  $\dim k[\Delta] = d$  with  $J = I_\Sigma$ . For some fixed  $n \leq d - 1$ ,  $H_J^{d-n+1}(k[\Delta]) = 0$  if and only if for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , every  $(d - i)$ -face of  $\Delta$  contains  $n - i + 1$  vertices of  $\Sigma$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some basic results from combinatorial topology. For general references we refer [Bj] and [Mu].

For  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\Delta \subset 2^{[n]}$  be a simplicial complex i.e., if  $F \in \Delta$  and  $G \subset F$ , then  $G \in \Delta$ . For  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we define the following support subsets of  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ :  $\text{supp}_+ \mathbf{a} = \{i : a_i > 0\}$ ,  $\text{supp}_- \mathbf{a} = \{i : a_i < 0\}$  and  $\text{supp} \mathbf{a} = \{i : a_i \neq 0\}$ . Let  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $k$ . If  $F \in \Delta \subset 2^{[n]}$ , then we write square-free monomial  $x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} = x^F$ , when  $F = \text{supp} \mathbf{a}$ .

For a simplicial complex  $\Delta$ , the Stanley-Reisner ideal of  $\Delta$  is the square-free monomial ideal,  $I_\Delta = \{x^F : F \notin \Delta\}$ . We define the Stanley-Reisner ring as  $S/I_\Delta = k[\Delta]$ . Let  $\Sigma \subset \Delta \subset 2^{[n]}$  be two simplicial complexes. Then we have Stanley-Reisner ideals  $I_\Delta \subset I_\Sigma$ . In  $k[\Delta]$ , we denote the image of  $I_\Sigma$  by  $J$ .

Given a face  $F \in \Delta$ , we can define three subcomplexes, called the star, deletion, and link of  $F$  inside  $\Delta$ , as follows:  $\text{star}_\Delta(F) = \{G \in \Delta : G \cup F \in \Delta\}$ ,  $\text{del}_\Delta(F) = \{G \in \Delta : G \not\subset F\}$  and  $\text{lk}_\Delta(F) = \{G \in \Delta : G \cap F = \emptyset, G \cup F \in \Delta\}$

Now to prove the result of this paper, we need the following result, Theorem 3.2 of [RWY]:

**Theorem A.** Let  $\Sigma \subset \Delta$  be simplicial complexes and let  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $F_+ = \text{supp}_+ \mathbf{a}$  and  $F_- = \text{supp}_- \mathbf{a}$ . Then  $H_J^i(k[\Delta])_{\mathbf{a}} = \tilde{H}^{i-1}(\|\text{star}_\Delta(F_+)\| - \|\Sigma\|, \|\text{del}_{\text{star}_\Delta(F_+)}(F_-)\| - \|\Sigma\|; k)$  where  $\tilde{H}^i(-, -; k)$  denotes  $i$ -th singular relative reduced cohomology and  $\|\Delta\|$  denotes the geometric realization of a simplicial complex  $\Delta$ .

Let  $\text{Sd}(\Delta)$  denote the barycentric subdivision of the simplicial complexes  $\Delta$ , see Section 15 of [Mu] and also section 9 of [Bj] for abstract simplicial complexes. Given a subcomplex  $\Sigma \subset \Delta$ ,  $\text{Sd}(\Delta - \Sigma)$  is the subcomplex of barycentric subdivision of  $\text{Sd}(\Delta)$  whose vertices are not the barycentre of any face of  $\Sigma$ .

We also need the following result, Lemma 4.7.27 of [BLSWZ].

**Theorem B.** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex and let  $\Delta'$  and  $\Sigma$  be two subcomplexes. Then the pair of spaces  $(\|\Delta\| - \|\Sigma\|, \|\Delta'\| - \|\Sigma\|)$  is relatively homotopy equivalent to the pair  $(\text{Sd}(\Delta - \Sigma), \text{Sd}(\Delta' - \Sigma))$

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Before proving our main result, we need the following Lemma. In this context it should be mentioned that this proof is greatly influenced by Theorem 3.5 of [RWY] and goes similarly.

**Lemma 3.1.** For  $\Sigma \subset \Delta$  and  $\dim \Delta = d - 1$ , if every  $(d - 1)$ -face of  $\Delta$  contains two vertices of  $\Sigma$  and if every  $(d - 2)$ -face of  $\Delta$  contains one vertex of  $\Sigma$ , then we can remove every  $(d - 1)$ -face and every  $(d - 2)$ -face of  $\text{Sd}(\Delta - \Sigma)$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $\text{Sd}(\Delta - \Sigma) = X$  and set  $D = d - 1, d - 2$ . To remove a  $D$ -face of  $X$ , we adopt the way of elementary collapse [Bj], which states that, if we find a  $D$ -face which contains some  $D - 1$ -face, but latter is not in any other  $D$ -face, we remove both of them. We claim that this process removes all  $D$ -faces of  $\text{Sd}(\Delta - \Sigma)$ .

If not, assume that after removing few  $D$ -faces, when we reach the simplicial complex  $X'$  and there exists one  $D$ -face in  $X'$  which can not be removed, i.e. there is a  $D$ -face in  $X'$  such that all of its  $(D - 1)$ -faces lying in some other  $D$ -face, so that no further collapse is possible. Let  $\sigma$  be one of such  $D$ -faces in  $X'$ , and let  $G$  be a  $D$ -face of  $X$  in which it lies, so that the barycenter  $b_G$  of  $G$  is one of its vertex. Then  $\text{lk}_{X'}(b_G)$  is a subcomplex of  $\text{lk}_{\text{Sd}(\Delta)}(b_G)$  which is the boundary of  $\text{Sd} G$  (since being a barycentre only for  $F \subset \text{Sd} G$ ,  $\{b_G\} \cup F$  is a face of  $\text{Sd}(\Delta)$ ). Since  $\sigma$  is in  $X'$ ,  $\dim(\text{lk}_{X'}(b_G)) = \dim(\text{lk}_{\text{Sd}(\Delta)}(b_G))$ , and moreover any  $(D - 2)$ -face  $\tau$ ,  $(D - 1)$ -face  $\tau \cup \{b_G\}$  is in two  $D$ -faces (otherwise, we could remove the face  $\sigma$ ). Thus we find  $\text{lk}_{X'}(b_G) = \text{lk}_{\text{Sd}(\Delta)}(b_G)$ . But this is a contradiction, since  $\text{lk}_{\text{Sd}(\Delta)}(b_G)$  should contain atleast one vertex of  $\Sigma$ , while  $\text{lk}_{X'}(b_G)$  should not contain any vertex of  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

Now we state the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\Sigma \subset \Delta$  be simplicial complexes where  $\dim k[\Delta] = d$  with  $J = I_\Sigma$ . Then  $H_J^{d-1}(k[\Delta]) = 0$  if and only if every  $(d - 1)$ -face contains two vertices of  $\Sigma$  and every  $(d - 2)$ -face contains one vertex of  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* For the forward direction, we assume that some  $(d - 2)$ -face  $F$  contains no vertex of  $\Sigma$  and we want to prove that for some multidegree  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $H_J^{d-1}(k[\Delta])_{\mathbf{a}} \neq 0$ . We consider a multidegree  $\mathbf{a}$  having  $F_+ = \text{supp}_+ \mathbf{a} = \phi$ ,  $F_- = \text{supp}_- \mathbf{a} = F$ . From Theorem A given in Section 2, we get  $H_J^{d-1}(k[\Delta])_{\mathbf{a}} = \tilde{H}^{d-2}(\|\Delta\| - \|\Sigma\|, \|\text{del}_\Delta(F)\| - \|\Sigma\|; k)$  where we use the fact that  $\text{star}_\Delta(\phi) = \Delta$ . Now if we remove  $\|\Delta\| - \|\Sigma\| - \|F\|$ , using "exision" we get  $\tilde{H}^{d-2}(\|\Delta\| - \|\Sigma\|, \|\text{del}_\Delta(F)\| - \|\Sigma\|; k) = \tilde{H}^{d-2}(\|F\|, \|\partial F\|; k)$ . Finally, going to the quotient space  $\|F\|/\|\partial F\|$ , we get  $\tilde{H}^{d-2}(\|F\|, \|\partial F\|; k) = \tilde{H}^{d-2}(\|F\|/\|\partial F\|; k) = \tilde{H}^{d-2}(\mathbb{S}^{d-2}; k) = k$ . Thus  $H_J^{d-1}(k[\Delta])_{\mathbf{a}} \neq 0$ .

For the other direction, we assume that every  $(d - 1)$ -face contains two vertices of  $\Sigma$  and every  $(d - 2)$ -face contains one vertex of  $\Sigma$  and using Theorem A of Section 2, we need to show  $H_J^{d-1}(k[\Delta])_{\mathbf{a}} = \tilde{H}^{d-2}(\|\text{star}_\Delta(F_+)\| - \|\Sigma\|, \|\text{del}_{\text{star}_\Delta(F_+)}(F_-)\| - \|\Sigma\|; k) = 0$  with  $F_+ \cup F_- \in \Delta$ . Since  $\text{star}_\Delta(F_+)$  can be of dimension atmost that of  $\Delta$ , we can assume  $F_+ = \phi$  and above reduces that we only need to show  $\tilde{H}^{d-2}(\|\Delta\| - \|\Sigma\|, \|\text{del}_\Delta(F)\| - \|\Sigma\|; k) = 0$ .

Now instead of taking simplicial homology for the pair of spaces  $(\|\Delta\| - \|\Sigma\|, \|\text{del}_\Delta(F)\| - \|\Sigma\|)$ , we can take the pair of spaces  $(\text{Sd}(\Delta - \Sigma), \text{Sd}(\text{del}_\Delta(F) - \Sigma))$ , where  $\text{Sd}(\Delta - \Sigma)$  is the subcomplex of barycentric subdivision of  $\text{Sd}(\Delta)$  whose vertices are not the barycentre of any face of  $\Sigma$ . Using Theorem B of Section 2, we get that  $\tilde{H}^{d-2}(\|\Delta\| - \|\Sigma\|, \|\text{del}_\Delta(F)\| - \|\Sigma\|; k) = \tilde{H}^{d-2}(\text{Sd}(\Delta - \Sigma), \text{Sd}(\text{del}_\Delta(F) - \Sigma))$ .

Now from above Lemma we have shown that we can remove every  $(d - 1)$ -face and  $(d - 2)$ -face of  $\text{Sd}(\Delta - \Sigma)$  and this leads to the desired result.  $\square$

## 4. GENERALIZATION OF THE SVT

The result of the last section can be generalized in the following

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\Sigma \subset \Delta$  and  $\dim \Delta = d - 1$ . For some fixed  $n \leq d - 1$  and for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , if every  $(d - i)$ -face of  $\Delta$  contains  $n - i + 1$  vertices of  $\Sigma$ , then for every  $i \leq n$ , we can remove every  $(d - i)$ -face of  $\text{Sd}(\Delta - \Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to that of Lemma 3.1. □

Using above lemma in a similar way we can prove the generalization of Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\Sigma \subset \Delta$  be simplicial complexes where  $\dim k[\Delta] = d$  with  $J = I_\Sigma$ . For some fixed  $n \leq d - 1$ ,  $H_J^{d-n+1}(k[\Delta]) = 0$  if and only if for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , every  $(d - i)$ -face of  $\Delta$  contains  $n - i + 1$  vertices of  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to that of Theorem 3.2. □

## REFERENCES

- [Bh] R. Bhattacharyya A Note on the second vanishing theorem, arXiv: 2004.02075
- [Bj] A. Björner, Topological methods, in “Handbook of Combinatorics,” Vols. 1 and 2, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (1819-1872)1995.
- [BLSWZ] A. Björner, M. Las Vergnas, B. Sturmfels, N. White, and G. Ziegler, Oriented matroids, in “Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications,” Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1993.
- [Har68] Robin Hartshorne. Cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 88:403–450, 1968.
- [HL] Craig Huneke and Gennady Lyubeznik. On the vanishing of local cohomology modules. *Invent. Math.*, 102(1):73–93, 1990.
- [HNBPW] Daniel J. Hernández, Luis Núñez-Betancourt, Juan F. Pérez, and Emily E. Witt. Cohomological dimension , Lyubeznik numbers, and connectedness in mixed characteristic *J. Algebra*, 514 (2018), 442-467
- [Mu] J. R. Munkres, Elements of Algebraic Topology, Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, CA,1984.
- [Ogu73] Arthur Ogas. Local cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 98:327–365, 1973.
- [PS73] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro. Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale. Applications à la démonstration de conjectures de M. Auslander, H. Bass et A. Grothendieck. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (42):47–119, 1973.
- [RWY] V. Reiner, V. Welker and K. Yanagawa. Local Cohomology of Stanley-Reisner Rings with supports in General Monomial Ideals, *J. Algebra* **244** (2001), 706–736.

DINABANDHU ANDREWS COLLEGE, GARIA, KOLKATA 700084, INDIA  
*Email address:* rbhattacharyya@gmail.com