

A GENERAL MODEL OF STRUCTURED CELL KINETICS

MICHAEL GRINFELD, NIGEL MOTTRAM, AND JOZSEF FARKAS

ABSTRACT. We present a modelling framework for the dynamics of cells structured by the concentration of a micromolecule they contain. We derive general equations for the evolution of the cell population and of the extra-cellular concentration of the molecule and apply this approach to models of silicosis and quorum sensing in Gram-negative bacteria.

Keywords: structured populations, transport equations, integro-differential equations, silicosis

1. INTRODUCTION

Many physical systems involve the interaction of micro-scale objects and macro-scale objects within a region. For instance, in biology, the micro-scale objects could be molecules of a particular chemical with the macro-scale-objects being cells and the region a Petri dish or an organ. This region, a domain of volume W , may be of fixed size or change with time but we assume that the micro-scale objects, of a species X (which from now we call molecules for brevity), have no volume while the macro-scale objects (which from now on we call cells) each have volume V_0 . The X molecules may be present inside or outside the cells, with the concentration of X varying between the cells. We also assume that the molecules of X can participate in any subset of the following processes: they can be injected into or be removed from the domain, they can enter and exit cells and they can be produced, processed and destroyed by cells. Suppose also that the fate of a cell is dependent on the amount of X that it contains. The goal of the present paper is to introduce, using ideas of Metz and Diekmann [10] and of Brown [2], a modelling framework for such situations.

Below we describe in detail two specific examples of systems where our modelling approach is appropriate, the dynamics of silicosis, the biological background for which can be found in [13], and quorum sensing in Gram-positive bacteria following Brown [2]. However, our framework is suitable for many other situations, some of which are briefly considered in Section 5. We are confident there are many other examples, in both biological and non-biological systems, where the proposed philosophy may be useful.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the biological background of silicosis and argue that the mathematical model of [13], which is couched in terms of coagulation-fragmentation equations, does not give a correct description of the dynamics; this is the original motivation for developing the present approach. In Section 3 we show how to derive the required equations in the general case. In Section 4 we complete the specification of the silicosis model and briefly consider quorum sensing in Gram-positive bacteria, for which our framework is in fact an “overkill”, but which may prove useful in the process of testing numerical methods. Finally, in Section 5 we suggest other areas of application of our framework, discuss mathematical issues and draw conclusions.

Note that the present paper is purely methodological and that all results on existence, uniqueness, or asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the type of equations we derive here, are left to future work.

2. SILICOSIS

Let us summarise the 1995 silicosis model of Tran *et al.* [13], which should be consulted for references. The biological background is as follows: quartz particles are ingested and arrive in the lung. There they may be picked up by macrophages, with the intent of being removed together with their quartz load via the muco-ciliary escalator. However, if a macrophage accumulates too large a quartz load, it becomes immobile and eventually dies by apoptosis in the lung, releasing its quartz load.

The variables in the model of Tran *et al.* are: free quartz dust in the lungs in concentration $x(t)$ and concentrations of macrophages $M_k(t)$ containing k particles of quartz. They write down an equation for the evolution of free quartz particle concentration and for M_k , equations of the form


$$(1) \quad \frac{dM_k}{dt} = \alpha_{k-1}xM_{k-1} - \alpha_kxM_k + \dots,$$

where α_{k-1} and α_k are the kinetic constants for the process of macrophages with $k-1$ and k particles of quartz, respectively, ingesting one additional quartz particle.

In eq. (1), the \dots stand for the two different “death” processes: the disappearance of cells together with their quartz load via the the muco-ciliary elevator; or cell apoptosis accompanied by the release of the quartz load into the lungs. To make this model fully specified, it must be complemented by an equation for the production of naïve macrophages, $M_0(t)$; this rate in general depends on the quartz load in the lungs. See Section 4.1 below for a reasonable form of such an equation.

This model was later considered in [5]; mathematically it is interesting and falls in the framework of coagulation-fragmentation equations (see [1] for an up-to-date exposition of this area of infinite-dimensional dynamical systems). The global existence of its solutions has been proven in later work by da Costa and coauthors [6], and many mathematical questions connected with the model of Tran *et al.* are still open.

To understand our objections to the modelling of silicosis as a coagulation-fragmentation system, consider a typical coagulation-fragmentation reaction scheme,




Here c_k , c_1 , c_{k+1} are *concentrations* of k -mers, monomers, and $(k+1)$ -mers of some chemical species, respectively. The equation for the evolution of c_{k+1} corresponding to this reaction scheme is

$$\frac{dc_{k+1}}{dt} = \alpha_k c_k c_1 - \beta_{k+1} c_{k+1},$$

where α_k is the kinetic constant for the coagulation reaction between k -mers and monomers and β_{k+1} is the kinetic constant of the fragmentation of a molecule of $(k+1)$ -mer into a monomer and a k -mer. This equation is simply mass-action kinetics, and α_k and β_{k+1} are assumed to be functions of k only.

If we now compare this coagulation-fragmentation process with eq. (1), we would suppose that the underlying reaction scheme is



This situation is subtly different in that the reaction here is between the molecules of quartz outside the cells and the *content* of the cells. Though M_k , x , M_{k+1} have units of concentration, the reaction encoded in this scheme in general involves the *concentration* of quartz, and not the number of quartz particles, inside the cells. As an example, consider the case of exchange of quartz

between the outside and the inside of a cell driven by passive (Fickian) diffusion. Then the rate of exchange of molecules is proportional to $(x - q_I)$, where q_I is the internal concentration of quartz.

However, the k in M_k denotes the *number* of quartz molecules in a cell, not their concentration. To define internal concentration of quartz, we cannot assume that a cell is a point object, and have to endow it with a volume, say V_0 . But if cells now have finite volumes, it becomes clear that the concentration of free quartz is also a function of the number of cells which is not the case in the coagulation–fragmentation setup. This is precisely the kind of confusion of units that is avoided in the type of model proposed below.

3. DERIVATION OF EQUATIONS




FIGURE 1. The situation being modelled: the domain Ω contains species X (red dots) and cells (black circles). The possible processes involved are: (a) naïve cells enter the domain; (b) species X enters the domain; species X (c) enters or (d) exits cells; (e) X -laden cells exit the domain and (f) cells release molecules of X in Ω ; also present, but not indicated in this figure, are synthesis and degradation of X .

We are modelling the situation sketched in Figure 1 and described as follows. The system consists of a domain Ω of volume W that contains molecules of X (denoted by red dots in Fig. 1) with extracellular concentration x , and a population of cells (the black circles in Fig. 1). We assume that the volume of each cell is V_0 and treat the molecules as having no volume.

The cells differ in their X content, and we define the time-dependent density of the cells with internal concentration of X being y to be $M(y, t)$. That is, the number of cells with internal concentrations of X between y_1 and y_2 , with $y_1 < y_2$, is

$$\int_{y_1}^{y_2} M(y, t) dy.$$

Then

$$V(t) = V_0 \int_0^\infty M(y, t) dy$$

is the total volume occupied by the cells and we assume that for all time t , $V(t) < W$. By this we mean that if $V(0) < W$, the dynamics of the system ensures that $V(t) < W$.

Furthermore, inside each cell we have a process that involves the substance X , which can be described by an ordinary differential equation such as

$$(2) \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = f(x, y) = J(x, y) + g(y),$$

where we have separated the transport term $J(x, y)$, that may depend on both the internal and external concentration of X , and the intracellular synthesis and degradation term $g(y)$. This type of equation is called an i -equation (for individual) by Metz and Diekmann [10].

Metz and Diekmann [10] also show (in many different ways) how to derive the equation governing the evolution of $M(y, t)$, what they call the p -equation (for population),

$$(3) \quad M_t(y, t) + (f(x, y)M(y, t))_y = P(M(y, t), y) + Q(M(y, t), y),$$

where in the right-hand side the terms P and Q encode all the population level processes (such as birth and death). Specifically, we denote by $Q(M(y, t), y)$, the population level processes that feed back into the x dynamics (e.g. when cells die in Ω releasing their contents). The model is then closed by specifying the x dynamics, adding suitable initial conditions $x(0)$ and $M(y, 0)$, and a boundary condition for naïve cells entering Ω , usually of the form

$$f(x(t), 0)M(0, t) = s(\cdot),$$

where the function s can depend on a variety of variables. Thus the unknown dependent variables are $x(t)$ and $M(y, t)$.

Deriving the equation governing the evolution of $x(t)$ is algorithmic, by keeping account of the total number of molecules of X in the extracellular space. In general, the result is an integro-differential equation. The derivation of the equation for $x(t)$ crucially uses the thinking of Brown [2], which we now discuss.

Brown [2] considers the system described above but with the simplification that every cell contains the same number of molecules, so that the concentration of X in all cells is y , a constant. If $K(t)$ is the number of cells at time t , then the total volume occupied by cells is $V(t) = K(t)V_0$, the cell-free volume is $W - V(t)$ and the total number of molecules outside of cells is $N_E = (W - V(t))x(t)$.

We will work in two stages. First we write equations for the transport of X molecules between the interior and exterior of the cells, assuming that the flux is given by $J(x, y)$ and that it is positive when molecules enter the cells. We have

$$(4) \quad \frac{dN_E}{dt} = -VJ(x, y).$$

An obvious example of a flux would be $J(x, y) = D(x - y)$, with D a diffusion constant, but in examples of interest, mechanisms involving facilitated transport or phagocytosis should be considered.

The proportionality to V in eq. (4) comes from the consideration that the rate of change should be proportional to the available surface area of the cells, which, given we assume a fixed individual cell volume and surface area, is proportional to the number of cells and thus proportional to the total volume of cells.

Now let us rewrite these equations in terms of concentrations only. Since $N_E = x(W - V)$, we have

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\frac{V}{W - V}J(x, y) + \frac{x}{W - V}\frac{dV}{dt}.$$

Now we add to this molecular transport equation terms involving synthesis and degradation terms which we collect in one term, $H(x, y)$; we have

$$(5) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = -\frac{V}{W-V}J(x, y) + \frac{x}{W-V}\frac{dV}{dt} + H(x, y).$$

Here $H(x, y)$ incorporates all extracellular production and degradation of X and all the population level processes that feed back into the extracellular concentration $x(t)$.

We now consider the more general case in which the cells may have different internal concentrations of X . This necessitates a number of changes. First of all, the total number of molecules being released per unit time by cellular processes, i.e Q in eq. (3), is $V_0 \int_0^\infty yQ(M(y, t), y) dy$ and hence we can write

$$H(x, y) = h(x) + \frac{V_0}{W-V} \int_0^\infty yQ(M(y, t), y) dy,$$

where $h(x)$ is the rate of extracellular production and degradation of X , which depends on the particular modelling context.

Secondly, the term $V(t)J(x, y) = V_0K(t)J(x, y)$ is replaced by the integral $V_0 \int_0^\infty M(y, t)J(x, y) dy$.

With these changes, the equation for the evolution of $x(t)$ becomes

$$(6) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = h(x) + \frac{1}{W-V} \left[-V_0 \int_0^\infty J(x, y)M(y, t) dy + V_0 \int_0^\infty yQ(M(y, t), y) dy + x \frac{dV}{dt} \right].$$

Therefore our modelling framework consists of the equation governing the cell population, the p -equation, eq. (3); the equation governing the concentration of X external to the cells, the x -equation, eq. (6); and the data for any particular model set through the biologically determined terms $J(x, y)$, $g(x)$, $P(M(y), t)$, $Q(M(y), t)$, $h(x)$ and the boundary condition function $s(\cdot)$.

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section we formulate in detail a new model of silicosis and discuss a quorum-sensing model in Gram-positive bacteria. In Section 5 we will mention some other situations that are covered by our framework.

4.1. Silicosis. As in Tran *et al.* [13], we assume that quartz is being ingested at a constant rate. We set $M(y, t)$ to be the density of macrophages having internal quartz concentration y at time t . As in [13], we assume that new macrophages are produced at rate s determined by the quartz load, $L(t) := \int_0^\infty yM(y, t) dy$, such that

$$(7) \quad s(L(t)) = s_0 + u(L(t)),$$

where s_0 is a background level of recruitment of naïve cells into the domain when quartz is not present in any cells and $u(\cdot)$ is a bounded function, with $u(0) = 0$.

Cells with internal concentration of quartz y are removed by the muco-ciliary escalator at a rate $p(y)$, where $p(y)$ is a decreasing function of y since, as their quartz content increases, cells are increasingly immobile. Cells are also more liable to die by apoptosis as their quartz content increases, and so the rate of them releasing their contents inside the lungs, $q(y)$, is an increasing function of y .

As there is no intracellular processing of quartz, so that $g(y) = 0$ in eq. (2), we only need to specify the transport mechanism. Phagocytosis of quartz particles cannot be described by simple diffusion, so we set

$$(8) \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = J(x, y),$$

where the function J is non-negative, bounded, increasing in x and decreasing in y , as is also assumed in [13]. An example would be

$$J(x, y) = \frac{\gamma x}{x + y + x_{1/2}},$$

where γ is the flux when $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_{1/2}$ at which the value of x when the flux for naïve cells (i.e., $y = 0$) is half the maximum value, both positive constants.

So far we have all the information needed to specify the p -equation for M , which is therefore

$$(9) \quad M_t(y, t) + (J(x, y)M(y, t))_y = -(p(y) + q(y))M(y, t).$$

Now we need to formulate the equation for $x(t)$. From (6) it follows that all we need to do is to specify the function $h(x)$, the rate of change of concentration of quartz particles in the extracellular region due to introduction from outside the domain. If we assume that A particles of quartz are ingested per unit time, we have

$$h(x) = \frac{A}{W - V},$$

and hence

$$(10) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1}{W - V} \left[A - V_0 \int_0^\infty J(x, y)M(y, t) dy + V_0 \int_0^\infty yq(y)M(y, t) dy + x \frac{dV}{dt} \right].$$

We can derive an expression for dV/dt in terms of the variables $M(y, t)$ to substitute in (10). Since dV/dt is given by

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = V_0 \int_0^\infty M_t(y, t) dy,$$

integrating the p -equation by parts and assuming that that for all times $t > 0$ we have that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} J(x, y)M(y, t) = 0,$$

we obtain

$$(11) \quad \frac{dV}{dt} = V_0 \left(s(L(t)) - \int_0^\infty (p(y) + r(y))M(y, t) dy \right).$$

In addition, we must specify suitable initial conditions $x(0)$ and $M(y, 0)$ as well as the boundary condition at $y = 0$, which, using eq. (7), is

$$(12) \quad J(x, 0)M(0, t) = s_0 + u(L(t)).$$

We note that the resulting system is a linear transport equation (for the population variable M) coupled to an nonlinear integro-differential differential equation for the extracellular quartz concentration x .

Having assumed that the load

$$L(t) = \int_0^\infty yM(y, t) dy$$

is finite for all time, the correct setting for the theory is a space of positive Radon measures with a finite first moment. Particular choices of the functions $p(y)$ and $r(y)$ and the input function $s(\cdot)$ must ensure that if $V(0) < W$, then $V(t) < W$. For example, this can be shown to be the case if we assume that $p(y) + r(y)$ is bounded below and that $s(\cdot)$ is bounded above by constants.

4.2. Quorum sensing in Gram-negative bacteria. For background on quorum sensing in bacteria and a number of models that assume that the internal concentration of the signal molecule X , i.e., y , is the same in all cells, see [2]. The case of Gram-negative bacteria is an interesting test case of our approach, as it involves a significant simplification: we can assume that the number of cells is constant, so $V \equiv \text{const}$ is now a parameter and $dV/dt = 0$. Fickian diffusion of X between the cells and the extracellular region is assumed, so that the i -equation is

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = D(x - y) + g_s(y) + g_d(y),$$

where D is a diffusion constant, and we included terms modelling intracellular synthesis $g_s(y)$ (which in [2] is taken to be a constant term plus a Hill-type term) and intracellular degradation $g_d(y)$ (which in [2] is taken to be linear, so that $g_d(y) = -m_I y$, parameterised by the intracellular degradation rate m_I). The p -equation is

$$M_t(y, t) + ([D(x - y) + g_s(y) + g_d(y)]M(y, t))_y = 0.$$

The boundary condition is $M(0, t) = 0$ and the x equation becomes

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{V_0 D}{W - V} \int_0^\infty (y - x)M(y, t) dy - m_E x,$$

where m_E is the extracellular degradation rate.

5. REMARKS

We start by briefly mentioning other possible applications. The list is clearly incomplete.

- X can be a drug that interacts with specific cells, so this is a suitable framework for chemotherapy modelling;
- X may be bacteria that are ingested by neutrophils, and can multiply inside the cell. This framework is therefore a possible model of bacterial infection and resistance [9];
- X can be a mitogen, giving a model of stem cell number maintenance in which cells that ingest enough mitogen will multiply, and divide their mitogen label among the daughter cells, while cells that do not have enough mitogen, die [8].
- As mentioned in the Introduction, this framework can also be used to model non-biological systems, one example of which is the decontamination of a chemostat using zeolites. There are many examples of this type of system, showing that it is not necessary to interpret “macro-scale objects” as biological cells.

Clearly the i -equation for y could have been a stochastic differential equation; then the x -equation would have been an integro-stochastic differential equation, and the M equation a stochastic partial differential equation. Furthermore, extensions to multidimensional x and y are straightforward although incorporating spatial structure seems to us much more challenging (as it is for coagulation–fragmentation equations).

To summarise, we have presented a modelling framework that seems to cover a vast number of possible modelling contexts beyond the reach of coagulation–fragmentation equations. Such a framework necessitates the analysis of complicated mathematical objects and so work on existence,

structure of equilibria, convergence to equilibria and their regularity, etc., is required when specific examples are considered. The search will be for measure-valued solutions, and relevant work in this direction has been undertaken by Carrillo, Gwiazda and co-workers; see, for example, [3, 7]. In terms of possible numerical solutions to the governing equations, there are no off-the-shelf numerical methods that we know of, although it seems that escalator box train (EBT) methods could be adapted to the problem (see related work of Carrillo, Gwiazda and Ulikowska [4]).

Finally, we note that in many of the biological settings for which this framework could be used, deeper understanding of the active transport of molecules in and out of cells may be needed. Good models of transport across membranes (facilitated transport, phagocytosis, pumps etc.) are relatively sparse in the literature (though see, e.g., [11, 12]) and further work on such models would be of significant benefit.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Banasiak, W. Lamb, and P. Laurençot, *Analytic Methods for Coagulation-Fragmentation Models*, Vols. I and II, CRC Press, 2019.
- [2] D. Brown, Linking molecular and population processes in mathematical models of quorum sensing, *Bull. Math. Biol.* **75** (2013), 1813–1839.
- [3] J. A. Carrillo, R. M. Colombo, P. Gwiazda, and A. Ulikowska, Structured populations, cell growth and measure-valued balance laws, *J. Diff. Eqns* **252** (2012), 3245–3277.
- [4] J. A. Carrillo, P. Gwiazda, and A. Ulikowska, Splitting-particle methods for structured population models: convergence and applications, *Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci.* **24** (2014), 2171–2197.
- [5] F. P. da Costa, M. Drmota and M. Grinfeld, Modelling silicosis: the structure of equilibria, *Eur. J. Appl. Maths*, **31** (2020), 950–967.
- [6] F. P. da Costa, J. T. Pinto and R. Sasportes, Modelling silicosis: existence, uniqueness and basic properties of solution, arXiv:2005.10042.
- [7] P. Gwiazda and A. Marciniak-Czochra, Structured population equations in metric spaces, *J. Hyperolic Diff. Eqns* **7** (2010), 733–773.
- [8] Y. Kitadata *et al.*, Competition for mitogen regulates spermatogenetic cell homeostasis in an open niche, *Cell Stem Cell* **24** (2019), 79–92.
- [9] R. Malka, E. Shochat, and V. Rom-Kedar, Bistability and bacterial infections, *PLoS One* **5** (2010), e10010.
- [10] J. A. J. Metz and O. Diekmann, eds., *The Dynamics of Physiologically Structured Populations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1986.
- [11] R. J. Naftalin, Reassessment of models of facilitated transport and cotransport, *J. Membrane Biol.* **234** (2010), 75–112.
- [12] R. Rea, M. G. de Angelis, and M. G. Baschetti, Models for facilitated transport membranes: a review, *Membranes* **9** (2019), 26.
- [13] C.-L. Tran, A. D. Jones and K. Donaldson, Mathematical model of phagocytosis and inflammation after the inhalation of quartz at different concentrations, *Scand. J. Work Environ. Health* **21** (1995), 50–54.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE, 26 RICHMOND STREET, GLASGOW G1 1XH, UK

Email address: m.grinfeld@strath.ac.uk

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW, UNIVERSITY PLACE, GLASGOW G12 8QQ, UK

Email address: Nigel.Mottram@glasgow.ac.uk

DIVISION OF COMPUTING SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF STIRLING, STIRLING FK9 4LA, UK

Email address: jozsef.farkas@stir.ac.uk