

# Remarks on the Stanley depth of monomial ideals with linear quotients

Mircea Cimpoeaş

## Abstract

We prove that if  $I$  is a monomial ideal with linear quotients in a ring of polynomials  $S$  in  $n$  indeterminates and  $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - 2$ , then  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$ . Also, we prove that  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$  for a monomial ideal  $I$  with linear quotients which satisfies certain technical conditions.

**Keywords:** monomial ideal; Stanley depth; linear quotients.

**2020 MSC:** 05E40; 13A15.

## Introduction

Let  $K$  be a field and let  $S = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be the ring of polynomials in  $n$  variables. Let  $M$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded  $S$ -module. A *Stanley decomposition* of  $M$  is a direct sum

$$\mathcal{D} : M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r m_i K[Z_i],$$

as  $K$ -vector spaces, where  $m_i \in M$ ,  $Z_i \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  such that  $m_i K[Z_i]$  is a free  $K[Z_i]$ -module. We define  $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = \min_{i=1}^r |Z_i|$  and

$$\text{sdepth}(M) = \max\{\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \mathcal{D} \text{ is a Stanley decomposition of } M\}.$$

The number  $\text{sdepth}(M)$  is called the *Stanley depth* of  $M$ . Herzog Vlădoiu and Zheng [7] proved that this invariant can be computed in a finite number of steps, when  $M = I/J$ , where  $J \subset I \subset S$  are monomial ideals.

We say that the multigraded module  $M$  satisfies the *Stanley inequality* if

$$\text{sdepth}(M) \geq \text{depth}(M).$$

Stanley conjectured in [10] that  $\text{sdepth}(M) \geq \text{depth}(M)$ , for any  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded  $S$ -module  $M$ . In fact, in this form, the conjecture was stated by Apel in [1]. The Stanley conjecture was disproved by Duval et. al [4], in the case  $M = I/J$ , where  $(0) \neq J \subset I \subset S$  are monomial ideals, but it remains open in the case  $M = I$ , a monomial ideal.

A monomial ideal  $I \subset S$  has *linear quotients*, if there exists  $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_m$ , an ordering on the minimal set of generators  $G(I)$ , such that, for any  $2 \leq j \leq m$ , the ideal  $(u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}) : u_j$  is generated by variables. Given a monomial ideal  $I \subset S$ , Soleyman Jahan [6] noted that  $I$  satisfies the Stanley inequality, i.e.  $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq \text{depth}(I)$ . However, a similar result for  $S/I$  seems more difficult to prove, only some particular cases being known. For instance, Seyed Fakhari [5] proved the inequality  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$  for weakly polymatroidal ideals  $I \subset S$ , which are a class of ideals with linear quotients.

The aim of this paper is to tackle the general problem; however, we are able to obtain only partial results. In Theorem 1.4, we prove that if  $I \subset S$  is a monomial ideal with linear quotients with  $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - 2$ , then  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$ . In Theorem 1.6, we prove that if  $I \subset S$  is a monomial ideal with linear quotients which has a Stanley decomposition which satisfies certain conditions, then  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$ . Also, we conjecture that for any monomial ideal  $I \subset S$  with linear quotients, there is a variable  $x_i$  such that  $\text{depth}(S/(I, x_i)) = \text{depth}(S/I)$  and  $\text{sdepth}(S/(I, x_i)) \leq \text{sdepth}(S/I)$ . In Theorem 1.12 we prove that if this conjecture is true, then  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$ , for any monomial ideal  $I \subset S$  with linear quotients.

## 1 Main results

Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal and let  $G(I)$  be the set of minimal monomial generators of  $I$ . We recall that  $I$  has *linear quotients*, if there exists a linear order  $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_m$  on  $G(I)$ , such that for every  $2 \leq j \leq m$ , the ideal  $(u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}) : u_j$  is generated by a subset of  $n_j$  variables.

We let  $I_j := (u_1, \dots, u_j)$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .

Let  $Z_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $Z_j = \{x_j \mid x_j \notin (I_{j-1} : u_j)\}$  for  $2 \leq j \leq m$ .

Note that, for any  $2 \leq j \leq m$ , we have

$$I_j/I_{j-1} = u_j(S/(I_{j-1} : u_j)) = u_jK[Z_j].$$

Hence the ideal  $I$  has the Stanley decomposition

$$I = u_1K[Z_1] \oplus u_2K[Z_2] \oplus \dots \oplus u_mK[Z_m]. \quad (1.1)$$

According to [9, Corollary 2.7], the projective dimension of  $S/I$  is

$$\text{pd}(S/I) = \max\{n_j : 2 \leq j \leq m\} + 1.$$

Hence, Ausländer-Buchsbaum formula implies that

$$\text{depth}(S/I) = n - \max\{n_j : 2 \leq j \leq m\} - 1. \quad (1.2)$$

Note that, (1.1) and (1.2) implies  $\text{sdepth} I \geq \text{depth} I$ , a fact which was proved in [6]. We recall the following results:

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal and  $u \in S$  a monomial. Then:*

(1)  $\text{depth}(S/(I : u)) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$ . ([8, Corollary 1.3])

(2)  $\text{sdepth}(S/(I : u)) \geq \text{sdepth}(S/I)$ . ([3, Proposition 2.7(2)])

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded  $S$ -modules. Then:*

(1)  $\text{depth}(M) \geq \min\{\text{depth}(U), \text{depth}(N)\}$ . (Depth Lemma)

(2)  $\text{sdepth}(M) \geq \min\{\text{sdepth}(U), \text{sdepth}(N)\}$ . ([8, Lemma 2.2])

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $I \subset S$  be a proper monomial ideal with linear quotients with  $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - s - 1$ , where  $0 \leq s \leq n - 1$ . Then there exists a subset  $\tau \subset [n]$  with  $|\tau| = s$  and a monomial  $u \in G(I)$ , such that  $I + (x_i : i \in \tau) = (u) + (x_i : i \in \tau)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $I = (u)$  is principal, that is  $s = 0$ , then there is nothing to prove. Assume  $s \geq 1$ . Since  $I$  has linear quotients, we can assume that  $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$  such that  $((u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}) : u_j)$  is generated by variables, for every  $2 \leq j \leq m$ . We consider the decomposition (1.1), that is

$$I = u_1K[Z_1] \oplus u_2K[Z_2] \oplus \dots \oplus u_mK[Z_m],$$

where  $Z_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $Z_j$  is generated by the variables which do not belong to  $((u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}) : u_j)$ , for  $2 \leq j \leq m$ . From (1.2), it follows that  $|Z_j| \geq s$  for  $2 \leq j \leq m$ . Note that, for any  $2 \leq j \leq m$ , we have

$$(u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}) \cap u_jK[Z_j] = \{0\} \quad (1.3)$$

We assume, by contradiction, that for any  $\tau \subset [n]$  with  $|\tau| = s$ , there exists  $k_\tau \neq \ell_\tau \in [m]$  such that  $u_{k_\tau}, u_{\ell_\tau} \notin (x_i : i \in \tau)$ , that is  $u_{k_\tau}, u_{\ell_\tau} \in K[x_i : i \notin \tau]$ . We claim that there exists  $i = i(\tau) \in \tau$  such that  $x_i \in Z_{k_\tau}$  or  $x_i \in Z_{\ell_\tau}$ . Indeed, otherwise, since  $|Z_{k_\tau}|, |Z_{\ell_\tau}| \geq s$  we would have  $Z_{k_\tau} = Z_{\ell_\tau} = \{x_i : i \notin \tau\}$  and hence

$$\text{gcd}(u_{k_\tau}, u_{\ell_\tau}) \in u_{k_\tau}K[Z_{k_\tau}] \cap u_{\ell_\tau}K[Z_{\ell_\tau}],$$

a contradiction. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that  $x_i \in Z_{k_\tau}$ .

Let  $\sigma = \{n - s + 1, \dots, n\}$ . By reordering the variables, we can assume that  $k_\sigma \geq k_\tau$  for any  $\tau \subset [n]$  with  $|\tau| = s$ . Note that  $u_{k_\sigma} = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_s^{a_{n-s}}$ . By the above argument, there exists  $i \geq n - s + 1$  such that  $x_i \in Z_{k_\sigma}$ . Let  $A \subset [n]$  with  $|A| = n - s$  such that  $i \in A$  and  $\{x_\ell : \ell \in A\} \subset Z_{k_\sigma}$ . It follows that  $\tau = [n] \setminus A \neq \sigma$ . On the other hand,

$$\text{gcd}(u_{k_\tau}, u_{k_\sigma}) \in u_{k_\tau}S \cap u_{k_\sigma}K[Z_{k_\sigma}],$$

which contradicts (1.3) for  $j = k_\sigma$ . Hence, the proof is complete.  $\square$

Note that, a monomial ideal  $I \subset S$  is principal if and only if  $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - 1$  if and only if  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 1$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal with linear quotients. If  $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - 2$ , then  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that  $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ . We use induction on  $m$  and  $d = \sum_{j=1}^m \deg(u_j)$ . If  $m = 2$ , then from [2, Proposition 1.6] it follows that  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$ . If  $d = 2$ , then  $I$  is generated by two variables and there is nothing to prove.

Assume  $m > 2$  and  $d > 2$ . According to Proposition 1.3, there exists  $i \in [n]$  and  $u_k \in G(I)$  such that  $(I, x_i) = (u_k, x_i)$ . Since  $(I, x_i) = (u_k, x_i)$ , from [2, Proposition 1.2] it follows that  $\text{sdepth}(S/(I, x_i)) \geq n - 2$ . If  $(I : x_i)$  is principal, then  $\text{sdepth}(S/(I : x_i)) = n - 1$ .

Note that, at least one of  $u_j$ 's must be disivible with  $x_i$ , otherwise we obtain

$$G(I, x_i) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m, x_i\},$$

a contradiction with the fact that  $(I, x_i) = (u_k, x_i)$  and  $m \geq 2$ . It follows that

$$d' := \sum_{u \in G(I: x_i)} \deg u < d,$$

thus, by induction hypothesis, we have  $\text{sdepth}(S/(I : x_i)) = n - 2$ . In both cases,

$$\text{sdepth}(S/(I : x_i)) \geq n - 2.$$

From Proposition 1.2(2) and the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S/(I : x_i) \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/(I, x_i) \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \min\{\text{sdepth}(S/(I : x_i)), \text{sdepth}(S/(I, x_i))\} \geq n - 2$ . Since  $I$  is not principal, it follows that  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal and  $u \in S$  a monomial with  $(I : u) = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ . Assume that  $S/I$  has a Stanley decomposition*

$$\mathcal{D} : S/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r v_i K[Z_i], \quad (1.4)$$

*such that there exists  $i_0$  with  $Z_{i_0} = \{x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $v_{i_0} | u$ . Then:*

$$\text{sdepth}(S/(I, u)) \geq \min\{\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}), n - m - 1\}.$$

*Proof.* If  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$  or  $m = n - 1$ , then there is nothing to prove. We assume that  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq 1$  and  $m \leq n - 2$ . Since  $S/(I : u) = S/(x_1, \dots, x_m) \cong K[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]$ , from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S/(I : u) \xrightarrow{u} S/I \rightarrow S/(I, u) \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that we have the  $K$ -vector spaces isomorphism

$$S/I \cong S/(I, u) \oplus uK[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]. \quad (1.5)$$

From our assumption,  $uK[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n] = uK[Z_{i_0}] \subset v_{i_0}K[Z_{i_0}]$ . Hence, from (1.4) and (1.5) it follows that

$$S/(I, u) \cong \left( \bigoplus_{i \neq i_0} v_i K[Z_i] \right) \oplus \frac{v_{i_0} K[Z_{i_0}]}{uK[Z_{i_0}]} \cong \left( \bigoplus_{i \neq i_0} v_i K[Z_i] \right) \oplus v_{i_0} \frac{K[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]}{w_0 K[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]}, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $w_0 = \frac{u}{v_{i_0}}$ . On the other hand,  $\text{sdepth} \left( \frac{K[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]}{w_0 K[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]} \right) = n - m - 1$ . Hence (1.6) yields the required conclusion.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal with linear quotients,  $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ ,  $I_j = (u_1, \dots, u_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , such that  $(I_{j-1} : u_j) = (\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus Z_j)$ , where  $Z_j \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , for all  $2 \leq j \leq m$ .

We assume that for any  $2 \leq j \leq m$ , there exists a Stanley decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_{j-1}$  of  $S/I_{j-1}$  such that  $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}_{j-1}) \geq \text{depth}(S/I_{j-1})$  and there exists a Stanley subspace  $w_{j-1}K[W_{j-1}]$  of  $\mathcal{D}_{j-1}$  with  $w_{j-1}|u_j$  and  $W_{j-1} = Z_j$ .

Then  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$ .

*Proof.* From the hypothesis and Lemma 1.5, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sdepth}(S/I_j) &= \text{sdepth}(S/(I_{j-1}, u_j)) \geq \min\{\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}_{j-1}), n - n_j - 1\} \geq \\ &\geq \min\{\text{depth}(S/I_{j-1}), n - n_j - 1\}, \text{ for all } 2 \leq j \leq m, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where  $n_j = n - |Z_j|$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . On the other hand, according to (1.2),

$$\text{depth}(S/I) = \min_{j=1}^m \{n - n_j - 1\}. \quad (1.8)$$

Since  $\text{sdepth}(S/I_1) = \text{depth}(S/I_1) = n - 1$ , from (1.7) and (1.8) we get the required conclusion.  $\square$

**Example 1.7.** Let  $I = (x_1^2, x_1x_2^2, x_1x_2x_3^2) \subset S = K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ . Let  $u_1 = x_1^2$ ,  $u_2 = x_1x_2^2$  and  $u_3 = x_1x_2x_3^2$ . Since  $((u_1) : u_2) = (x_1)$  and  $((u_1, u_2) : u_3) = (x_1, x_2)$ , it follows that  $I$  has linear quotients with respect to the order  $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq u_3$ . Moreover,

$$I = u_1K[Z_1] \oplus u_2K[Z_2] \oplus u_3K[Z_3] = x_1^2K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1x_2^2K[x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1x_2x_3K[x_3, x_4].$$

Let  $I_1 = (u_1)$  and  $I_2 = (u_1, u_2)$ . We consider the Stanley decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}_1 : S/I_1 = K[x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1K[x_2, x_3, x_4],$$

of  $S/I_1$  with  $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}_1) = \text{sdepth}(S/I_1) = 2$ . Let  $w_1 = x_1$  and  $W_1 = \{x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ . Clearly,  $W_1 = Z_2$  and  $w_1 | u_2$ . As in the proof of Lemma 1.5, we obtain the Stanley decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}_2 : S/I_2 = K[x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus \frac{x_1K[x_2, x_3, x_4]}{x_1x_2^2K[x_2, x_3, x_4]} = K[x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1K[x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1x_2K[x_3, x_4].$$

Let  $w_2 = x_1x_2$  and  $W_2 = \{x_3\}$ . Clearly,  $W_2 = Z_3$  and  $w_2 | u_3$ . Hence, according to Theorem 1.6,  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$ . In fact, we have that  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I) = 0$  and

$$\mathcal{D} : S/I = K[x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1K[x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1x_2K[x_4] \oplus x_1x_2x_3K[x_4],$$

is a Stanley decomposition of  $S/I$  with  $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = \text{sdepth}(S/I) = 1$ .

We propose the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.8.** If  $I \subset S$  is a proper monomial ideal with linear quotients, then there exists  $i \in [n]$  such that  $\text{depth}(S/(I, x_i)) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$ .

**Remark 1.9.** Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal with linear quotients,  $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ ,  $I_j = (u_1, \dots, u_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , such that  $(I_{j-1} : u_j) = (\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus Z_j)$ , where  $Z_j \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , for all  $2 \leq j \leq m$ .  $I$  has the Stanley decomposition:

$$I = u_1K[Z_1] \oplus u_2K[Z_2] \oplus \dots \oplus u_mK[Z_m],$$

where  $Z_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . We have that

$$\text{depth}(S/I) = n - s - 1, \text{ where } n - s = \min\{|Z_j| \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

Conjecture 1.8 is equivalent to the fact that there exists  $i \in [n]$  such that there is no  $1 \leq j \leq m$  with  $x_i \nmid u_j$ ,  $x_i \in Z_j$  and  $|Z_j| = n - s$ .

The following result is well know in literature. However, in order of completeness, we give a proof.

**Lemma 1.10.** *Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial with linear quotients and  $x_i$  a variable. Then  $(x_i, I)$  has linear quotients. Moreover, if  $S' = K[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $(x_i, I) = (x_i, J)$ , where  $J \subset S'$  is a monomial ideal with linear quotients.*

*Proof.* We consider the order  $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_m$  on  $G(I)$ , such that, for every  $2 \leq j \leq m$ , the ideal  $(I_{j-1} : u_j)$  is generated by a nonempty subset  $\bar{Z}_j$  of variables. We assume that  $u_{j_1} \leq u_{j_2} \leq \dots \leq u_{j_p}$  are the minimal monomial generators of  $I$  which are not multiple of  $x_i$ . We have that  $((x_i) : u_{j_1}) = (x_i)$ . Also, for  $2 \leq k \leq p$ , we claim that

$$((x_i, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k}) = (x_i, \bar{Z}_{j_k}). \quad (1.9)$$

Indeed, since  $((u_1, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k}) = (\bar{Z}_{j_k})$  and  $x_i u_{j_k} \in (x_i, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}})$  it follows that  $(x_i, \bar{Z}_{j_k}) \subset ((x_i, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k})$ . Conversely, assume that  $v \in S$  is a monomial with  $vu_{j_k} \in (x_i, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) = (x_i, u_1, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}})$ . If  $x_i \nmid v$ , then  $vu_{j_k} \in (u_1, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}})$ , hence  $v \in (\bar{Z}_{j_k})$ . If  $x_i \mid v$ , then  $v \in (x_i, u_1, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}})$ . Hence the claim (1.9) is true and therefore  $(x_i, I)$  has linear quotients. Now, let  $J = (u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_p})$ . For any  $k \geq 2$ , we have that

$$((u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k}) \subset ((u_1, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k}) = (\bar{Z}_{j_k}). \quad (1.10)$$

From (1.9) and (1.10), one can easily deduce that  $((u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k}) = (\bar{Z}_{j_k} \setminus \{x_i\})$ . Hence,  $J$  has linear quotients.  $\square$

We propose a stronger form of Conjecture 1.8.

**Conjecture 1.11.** *If  $I \subset S$  is a proper monomial ideal with linear quotients, then there exists  $i \in [n]$  such that:*

- i)  $\text{depth}(S/(I, x_i)) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$  and*
- ii)  $\text{sdepth}(S/(I, x_i)) \leq \text{sdepth}(S/I)$ .*

Note that, if  $x_i$  is a minimal generator of  $I$ , then conditions i) and ii) from Conjecture 1.11 are trivial.

**Theorem 1.12.** *If Conjecture 1.11 is true and  $I \subset S$  is a monomial ideal with linear quotients, then  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on  $n \geq 1$ . If  $n = 1$  then there is nothing to prove. Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal with linear quotients and let  $i \in [n]$  such that  $\text{depth}(I, x_i) \geq \text{depth}(I)$  and  $\text{sdepth}(S/(x_i, I)) \leq \text{sdepth}(S/I)$ . We consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{S}{(I : x_i)} \rightarrow \frac{S}{I} \rightarrow \frac{S}{(I, x_i)} \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.11)$$

Let  $S' := K[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$ . According to Lemma 1.10,  $(x_i, I) = (x_i, J)$  where  $J \subset S'$  is a monomial ideal with linear quotients. Note that:

$$\text{sdepth}(S/(x_i, I)) = \text{sdepth}(S/(x_i, J)) = \text{sdepth}(S'/J) \text{ and } \text{depth}(S/(I, x_i)) = \text{depth}(S'/J).$$

From the induction hypothesis, we have  $\text{sdepth}(S'/J) \geq \text{depth}(S'/J)$ . It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sdepth}(S/I) &\geq \text{sdepth}(S/(I, x_i)) = \text{sdepth}(S'/J) \geq \\ &\geq \text{depth}(S'/J) = \text{depth}(S/(I, x_i)) \geq \text{depth}(S/I), \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

**Remark 1.13.** Note that, if  $I \subset S$  has linear quotients, then  $(I : x_i)$  has not necessarily the same property. For example, the ideal  $I = (x_1x_2, x_2x_3x_4, x_3x_4x_5) \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_5]$  has linear quotients, but  $(I : x_5) = (x_1x_2, x_3x_4)$  has not. Henceforth, in the proof of Theorem 1.6, we cannot argue, inductively, that  $\text{sdepth}(S/(I : x_i)) \geq \text{depth}(S/(I : x_i))$ .

**Acknowledgment** I would like to express my gratitude to Somayeh Bandari who pointed out a mistake in a previous version of my manuscript.

## References

- [1] J. Apel, *On a conjecture of R. P. Stanley; Part II - Quotients Modulo Monomial Ideals*, J. of Alg. Comb. **17** (2003), 57–74.
- [2] M. Cimpoeaş, *Stanley depth of monomial ideals with small number of generators*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **7**, no. 4 (2009), 629–634.
- [3] M. Cimpoeaş, *Several inequalities regarding Stanley depth*, Romanian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science **2(1)**, (2012), 28–40.
- [4] A. M. Duval, B. Goeckneker, C. J. Klivans, J. L. Martine, *A non-partitionable Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex*, Advances in Mathematics, vol **299** (2016), pag. 381–395.

- [5] S. A. Seyed Fakhari, Stanley depth of weakly polymatroidal ideals, *Archiv der Mathematik* volume **103** (2014), 229–233.
- [6] A. Soleyman Jahan, Prime filtrations and Stanley decompositions of squarefree modules and Alexander duality, *Manuscripta. Math.* **130** (2009), 533–550.
- [7] J. Herzog, M. Vladioiu, X. Zheng, *How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal*, *Journal of Algebra* **322(9)**, (2009), 3151-3169.
- [8] A. Rauf, *Depth and sdepth of multigraded modules*, *Communications in Algebra*, **vol. 38, Issue 2**, (2010), 773–784.
- [9] L. Sharifan, M. Varbaro, *Graded Betti numbers of ideals with linear quotients*, *Le Matematiche*, **63** (2008), 257–265.
- [10] R. P. Stanley, *Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology*, *Invent. Math.* **68** (1982), pag. 175–193.

Mircea Cimpoeaş, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Faculty of Applied Sciences,  
Department of Mathematical Methods and Models, Bucharest, 060042, Romania, and  
Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics, Research unit 5, P.O.Box 1-764,  
Bucharest 014700, Romania,  
E-mail: mircea.cimpoeas@upb.ro, mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro