

Remarks on the Stanley depth of monomial ideals with linear quotients

Mircea Cimpoeaş

Abstract

We prove that if I is a monomial ideal with linear quotients in a ring of polynomials S in n indeterminates and $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - 2$, then $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$. Also, we prove that $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$ for a monomial ideal I with linear quotients which satisfies certain technical conditions.

Keywords: monomial ideal; Stanley depth; linear quotients.

2020 MSC: 05E40; 13A15.

Introduction

Let K be a field and let $S = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be the ring of polynomials in n variables. Let M be a \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -module. A *Stanley decomposition* of M is a direct sum

$$\mathcal{D} : M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r m_i K[Z_i],$$

as K -vector spaces, where $m_i \in M$, $Z_i \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that $m_i K[Z_i]$ is a free $K[Z_i]$ -module. We define $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = \min_{i=1}^r |Z_i|$ and

$$\text{sdepth}(M) = \max\{\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \mathcal{D} \text{ is a Stanley decomposition of } M\}.$$

The number $\text{sdepth}(M)$ is called the *Stanley depth* of M . Herzog Vlădoiu and Zheng [7] proved that this invariant can be computed in a finite number of steps, when $M = I/J$, where $J \subset I \subset S$ are monomial ideals.

We say that the multigraded module M satisfies the *Stanley inequality* if

$$\text{sdepth}(M) \geq \text{depth}(M).$$

Stanley conjectured in [10] that $\text{sdepth}(M) \geq \text{depth}(M)$, for any \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -module M . In fact, in this form, the conjecture was stated by Apel in [1]. The Stanley conjecture was disproved by Duval et. al [4], in the case $M = I/J$, where $(0) \neq J \subset I \subset S$ are monomial ideals, but it remains open in the case $M = I$, a monomial ideal.

A monomial ideal $I \subset S$ has *linear quotients*, if there exists $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_m$, an ordering on the minimal set of generators $G(I)$, such that, for any $2 \leq j \leq m$, the ideal $(u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}) : u_j$ is generated by variables. Given a monomial ideal $I \subset S$, Soleyman Jahan [6] noted that I satisfies the Stanley inequality, i.e. $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq \text{depth}(I)$. However, a similar result for S/I seems more difficult to prove, only some particular cases being known. For instance, Seyed Fakhari [5] proved the inequality $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$ for weakly polymatroidal ideals $I \subset S$, which are a class of ideals with linear quotients.

The aim of this paper is to tackle the general problem; however, we are able to obtain only partial results. In Theorem 1.5, we prove that if $I \subset S$ is a monomial ideal with linear quotients with $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - 2$, then $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$. In Theorem 1.7, we prove that if $I \subset S$ is a monomial ideal with linear quotients which has a Stanley decomposition which satisfies certain conditions, then $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$. Also, we conjecture that for any monomial ideal $I \subset S$ with linear quotients, there is a variable x_i such that $\text{depth}(S/(I, x_i)) = \text{depth}(S/I)$ and in Theorem 1.13 we prove that if this conjecture is true, then $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$, for any monomial ideal $I \subset S$ with linear quotients.

1 Main results

Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal and let $G(I)$ be the set of minimal monomial generators of I . We recall that I has *linear quotients*, if there exists a linear order $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_m$ on $G(I)$, such that for every $2 \leq j \leq m$, the ideal $(u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}) : u_j$ is generated by a subset of n_j variables.

We let $I_j := (u_1, \dots, u_j)$, for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let $Z_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $Z_j = \{x_j \mid x_j \notin (I_{j-1} : u_j)\}$ for $2 \leq j \leq m$. Note that, for any $2 \leq j \leq m$, we have

$$I_j/I_{j-1} = u_j(S/(I_{j-1} : u_j)) = u_jK[Z_j],$$

Hence the ideal I has the Stanley decomposition

$$I = u_1K[Z_1] \oplus u_2K[Z_2] \oplus \dots \oplus u_mK[Z_m]. \quad (1.1)$$

According to [9, Corollary 2.7], the projective dimension of S/I is

$$\text{pd}(S/I) = \max\{n_j : 2 \leq j \leq m\} + 1.$$

Hence, Ausländer-Buchsbaum formula implies that

$$\text{depth}(S/I) = n - \max\{n_j : 2 \leq j \leq m\} - 1. \quad (1.2)$$

Note that, (1.1) and (1.2) implies $\text{sdepth} I \geq \text{depth} I$, a fact which was proved in [6]. We recall the following results:

Proposition 1.1. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal and $u \in S$ a monomial. Then:*

- (1) $\text{depth}(S/(I : u)) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$. ([8, Corollary 1.3])
- (2) $\text{sdepth}(S/(I : u)) \geq \text{sdepth}(S/I)$. ([3, Proposition 2.7(2)])

Proposition 1.2. *Let $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -modules. Then:*

- (1) $\text{depth}(M) \geq \min\{\text{depth}(U), \text{depth}(N)\}$. (*Depth Lemma*)
- (2) $\text{sdepth}(M) \geq \min\{\text{sdepth}(U), \text{sdepth}(N)\}$. ([8, Lemma 2.2])

Proposition 1.3. *Let $I \subset S$ be a proper monomial ideal with linear quotients with $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - s - 1$, where $0 \leq s \leq n - 1$. Then there exists a subset $\tau \subset [n]$ with $|\tau| = s$ and a monomial $u \in G(I)$, such that $I + (x_i : i \in \tau) = (u) + (x_i : i \in \tau)$.*

Proof. If $I = (u)$ is principal, that is $s = 0$, then there is nothing to prove. Assume $s \geq 1$. Since I has linear quotients, we can assume that $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ such that $((u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}) : u_j)$ is generated by variables, for every $2 \leq j \leq m$. We consider the decomposition (1.1), that is

$$I = u_1K[Z_1] \oplus u_2K[Z_2] \oplus \cdots \oplus u_mK[Z_m],$$

where $Z_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and Z_j is generated by the variables which do not belong to $((u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}) : u_j)$, for $2 \leq j \leq m$. From (1.2), it follows that $|Z_j| \geq s$ for $2 \leq j \leq m$. Note that, for any $2 \leq j \leq m$, we have

$$(u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}) \cap u_jK[Z_j] = \{0\} \quad (1.3)$$

We assume, by contradiction, that for any $\tau \subset [n]$ with $|\tau| = s$, there exists $k_\tau \neq \ell_\tau \in [m]$ such that $u_{k_\tau}, u_{\ell_\tau} \notin (x_i : i \in \tau)$, that is $u_{k_\tau}, u_{\ell_\tau} \in K[x_i : i \notin \tau]$. We claim that there exists $i = i(\tau) \in \tau$ such that $x_i \in Z_{k_\tau}$ or $x_i \in Z_{\ell_\tau}$. Indeed, otherwise, since $|Z_{k_\tau}|, |Z_{\ell_\tau}| \geq s$ we would have $Z_{k_\tau} = Z_{\ell_\tau} = \{x_i : i \notin \tau\}$ and hence

$$\gcd(u_{k_\tau}, u_{\ell_\tau}) \in u_{k_\tau}K[Z_{k_\tau}] \cap u_{\ell_\tau}K[Z_{\ell_\tau}],$$

a contradiction. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $x_i \in Z_{k_\tau}$.

Let $\sigma = \{n - s + 1, \dots, n\}$. By reordering the variables, we can assume that $k_\sigma \geq k_\tau$ for any $\tau \subset [n]$ with $|\tau| = s$. Note that $u_{k_\sigma} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_s^{a_{n-s}}$. By the above argument, there exists $i \geq n - s + 1$ such that $x_i \in Z_{k_\sigma}$. Let $A \subset [n]$ with $|A| = n - s$ such that $i \in A$ and $\{x_\ell : \ell \in A\} \subset Z_{k_\sigma}$. It follows that $\tau = [n] \setminus A \neq \sigma$. On the other hand,

$$\gcd(u_{k_\tau}, u_{k_\sigma}) \in u_{k_\tau}S \cap u_{k_\sigma}K[Z_{k_\sigma}],$$

which contradicts (1.3) for $j = k_\sigma$. Hence, the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 1.4. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal with linear quotients with $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - s - 1$, where $0 \leq s \leq n - 1$. Then there exists a subset $\sigma \subset [n]$ with $|\sigma| \leq s + 1$ such that $I \subset (x_i : i \in \sigma)$.*

Proof. If $I = (u)$ is principal, that is $s = 0$, then $I \subset (x_i)$, where $x_i|u$, hence the assertion is true. If $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$, that is $s = n - 1$, then the assertion follows from the fact that $I \subset (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

We assume that $1 \leq s \leq n - 2$. According to Proposition 1.3, there exists $u \in G(I)$ and $\tau \subset [n]$ with $|\tau| = n - s$ such that

$$I + (x_i : i \in \tau) = (u) + (x_i : i \in \tau).$$

If $u \in (x_i : i \in \tau)$ then $I \subset (x_i : i \in \tau)$ and there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, we choose $x_\ell|u$ with $\ell \notin \tau$. The assertion holds for $\sigma = \tau \cup \{\ell\}$. \square

Note that, a monomial ideal $I \subset S$ is principal if and only if $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - 1$ if and only if $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 1$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal with linear quotients with $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - 2$. Then $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$.*

Proof. We assume that $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$. We use induction on m and $d = \sum_{j=1}^m \deg(u_j)$. If $m = 2$, then from [2, Proposition 1.6] it follows that $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$. If $d = 2$, then I is generated by two variables and there is nothing to prove.

Assume $m > 2$ and $d > 2$. According to Proposition 1.3, there exists $i \in [n]$ and $u_k \in G(I)$ such that $(I, x_i) = (u_k, x_i)$. Since $(I, x_i) = (u_k, x_i)$, from [2, Proposition 1.2] it follows that $\text{sdepth}(S/(I, x_i)) \geq n - 2$. If $(I : x_i)$ is principal, then $\text{sdepth}(S/(I : x_i)) = n - 1$. Note that, at least one of u_j 's must be disivible with x_i , otherwise $G(I, x_i) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m, x_i\}$, a contradiction with the fact that $(I, x_i) = (u_k, x_i)$ and $m \geq 2$. It follows that

$$d' := \sum_{u \in G(I : x_i)} \deg u < d,$$

thus, by induction hypothesis, we have $\text{sdepth}(S/(I : x_i)) = n - 2$. In both cases, $\text{sdepth}(S/(I : x_i)) \geq n - 2$. From Proposition 1.2(2) and the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S/(I : x_i) \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/(I, x_i) \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \min\{\text{sdepth}(S/(I : x_i)), \text{sdepth}(S/(I, x_i))\} \geq n - 2$. Since I is not principal, it follows that $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$, as required. \square

Lemma 1.6. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal and $u \in S$ a monomial with $(I : u) = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$. Assume that S/I has a Stanley decomposition*

$$\mathcal{D} : S/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r v_i K[Z_i], \tag{1.4}$$

such that there exists i_0 with $Z_{i_0} = \{x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ and $v_{i_0} | u$. Then:

$$\text{sdepth}(S/(I, u)) \geq \min\{\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}), n - m - 1\}.$$

Proof. If $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$ or $m = n - 1$, then there is nothing to prove. We assume that $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq 1$ and $m \leq n - 2$. Since $S/(I : u) = S/(x_1, \dots, x_m) \cong K[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]$, from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S/(I : u) \xrightarrow{\cdot u} S/I \rightarrow S/(I, u) \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that we have the K -vector spaces isomorphism

$$S/I \cong S/(I, u) \oplus uK[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]. \tag{1.5}$$

From our assumption, $uK[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n] = uK[Z_{i_0}] \subset v_{i_0}K[Z_{i_0}]$. Hence, from (1.4) and (1.5) it follows that

$$S/(I, u) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i \neq i_0} v_i K[Z_i] \right) \oplus \frac{v_{i_0} K[Z_{i_0}]}{uK[Z_{i_0}]} \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i \neq i_0} v_i K[Z_i] \right) \oplus v_{i_0} \frac{K[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]}{w_0 K[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $w_0 = \frac{u}{v_{i_0}}$. On the other hand, $\text{sdepth} \left(\frac{K[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]}{w_0 K[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]} \right) = n - m - 1$. Hence (1.6) yields the required conclusion. \square

Theorem 1.7. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal with linear quotients, $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$, $I_j = (u_1, \dots, u_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, such that $(I_{j-1} : u_j) = (\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus Z_j)$, where $Z_j \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, for all $2 \leq j \leq m$.*

We assume that for any $2 \leq j \leq m$, there exists a Stanley decomposition \mathcal{D}_{j-1} of S/I_{j-1} such that $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}_{j-1}) \geq \text{depth}(S/I_{j-1})$ and there exists a Stanley subspace $w_{j-1}K[W_{j-1}]$ of \mathcal{D}_{j-1} with $w_{j-1}|u_j$ and $W_{j-1} = Z_j$.

Then $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$.

Proof. According to Lemma 1.6, for any $2 \leq j \leq m$, we have

$$\text{sdepth}(S/I_j) \geq \min\{\text{depth}(S/I_{j-1}), n - n_j - 1\}, \quad \text{for all } 2 \leq j \leq m, \quad (1.7)$$

where $n_j = n - |Z_j|$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. On the other hand, according to (1.2),

$$\text{depth}(S/I) = \min_{j=1}^m \{n - n_j - 1\}. \quad (1.8)$$

Since $\text{sdepth}(S/I_1) = \text{depth}(S/I_1) = n - 1$, from (1.7) and (1.8) we get the required conclusion. \square

Example 1.8. Let $I = (x_1^2, x_1x_2^2, x_1x_2x_3^2) \subset S = K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$. Let $u_1 = x_1^2$, $u_2 = x_1x_2^2$ and $u_3 = x_1x_2x_3^2$. Since $((u_1) : u_2) = (x_1)$ and $((u_1, u_2) : u_3) = (x_1, x_2)$, it follows that I has linear quotients with respect to the order $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq u_3$. Moreover,

$$I = u_1K[Z_1] \oplus u_2K[Z_2] \oplus u_3K[Z_3] = x_1^2K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1x_2^2K[x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1x_2x_3K[x_3, x_4].$$

Let $I_1 = (u_1)$ and $I_2 = (u_1, u_2)$. We consider the Stanley decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}_1 : S/I_1 = K[x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1K[x_2, x_3, x_4],$$

of S/I_1 with $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}_1) = \text{sdepth}(S/I_1) = 2$. Let $w_1 = x_1$ and $W_1 = \{x_2, x_3, x_4\}$. Clearly, $W_1 = Z_2$ and $w_1 | u_2$. As in the proof of Lemma 1.6, we obtain the Stanley decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}_2 : S/I_2 = K[x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus \frac{x_1K[x_2, x_3, x_4]}{x_1x_2^2K[x_2, x_3, x_4]} = K[x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1K[x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1x_2K[x_3, x_4].$$

Let $w_2 = x_1x_2$ and $W_2 = \{x_3\}$. Clearly, $W_2 = Z_3$ and $w_2 | u_3$. Hence, according to Theorem 1.7, $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$. In fact, we have that $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I) = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{D} : S/I = K[x_2, x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1K[x_3, x_4] \oplus x_1x_2K[x_4] \oplus x_1x_2x_3K[x_4],$$

is a Stanley decomposition of S/I with $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = \text{sdepth}(S/I) = 1$.

The following two lemmas are well known. However, for the sake of completeness, we prove them:

Lemma 1.9. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial with linear quotients and $u \in S$ a monomial. Then $(I : u)$ has linear quotients.*

Proof. Since $(I : vw) = ((I : v) : w)$ for any monomials $v, w \in S$, without any loss of generality, we can assume that u is a variable, let's say $u = x_n$. We consider the order $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_m$ on $G(I)$, such that, for every $2 \leq j \leq m$, the ideal $(I_{j-1} : u_j)$ is generated by a nonempty subset \bar{Z}_j of variables, where $I_j = (u_1, \dots, u_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Note that $(I : x_n)$ is generated by u'_1, \dots, u'_m , where $u'_j = \begin{cases} u_j/x_n, & x_n | u_j \\ u_j, & x_n \nmid u_j \end{cases}$, $1 \leq j \leq m$.

We let $I'_j := (I_j : x_n) = (u'_1, \dots, u'_j)$, for $1 \leq j \leq m$. We fix $2 \leq j \leq m$. We have two cases:

1. $x_n \nmid u_j$. Since $x_n u'_j = x_n u_j \in I_{j-1}$, it follows that $u'_j \in I'_{j-1}$. Thus $I'_j = I'_{j-1}$.
2. $x_n | u_j$. If $x_n \in \bar{Z}_j$, since $x_n u_j \in I_{j-1}$, there exists some $1 \leq s \leq j-1$ such that $x_n u_j | u_s$. In particular, $x_n | u_s$. Hence $u'_j = u_j/x_n$ and $u'_s = u_s/x_n$. It follows that $x_n u'_j | u'_s$. Therefore, $x_n \in (I'_{j-1} : u'_j)$. If $x_i \in \bar{Z}_j \setminus \{x_n\}$, then it is obvious that $x_i u'_j \in I'_{j-1}$. Therefore, $(\bar{Z}_j) \subset (I'_{j-1} : u'_j)$.

In order to prove the converse, let $w \in (I'_{j-1} : u'_j)$, that is $w u'_j \in I'_{j-1}$. It follows that $x_n w u'_j = w u_j \in I_{j-1}$, hence $w \in (I_{j-1} : u_j) = (\bar{Z}_j)$.

In conclusion, I' has linear quotients. □

Lemma 1.10. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial with linear quotients and x_i a variable. Then (x_i, I) has linear quotients. Moreover, if $S' = K[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$, then $(x_i, I) = (x_i, J)$, where $J \subset S'$ is a monomial ideal with linear quotients.*

Proof. We consider the order $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_m$ on $G(I)$, such that, for every $2 \leq j \leq m$, the ideal $(I_{j-1} : u_j)$ is generated by a nonempty subset \bar{Z}_j of variables. We assume that $u_{j_1} \leq u_{j_2} \leq \dots \leq u_{j_p}$ are the minimal monomial generators of I which are not multiple of x_i . We have that $((x_i) : u_{j_1}) = (x_i)$. Also, for $2 \leq k \leq p$, we claim that

$$((x_i, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k}) = (x_i, \bar{Z}_{j_k}). \quad (1.9)$$

Indeed, since $((u_1, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k}) = (\bar{Z}_{j_k})$ and $x_i u_{j_k} \in (x_i, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}})$ it follows that $(x_i, \bar{Z}_{j_k}) \subset ((x_i, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k})$. Conversely, assume that $v \in S$ is a monomial with $v u_{j_k} \in (x_i, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) = (x_i, u_1, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}})$. If $x_i \nmid v$, then $v u_{j_k} \in (u_1, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}})$, hence $v \in (\bar{Z}_{j_k})$. If $x_i | v$, then $v \in (x_i, u_1, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}})$. Hence the claim (1.9) is true and therefore (x_i, I) has linear quotients. Now, let $J = (u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_p})$. For any $k \geq 2$, we have that

$$((u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k}) \subset ((u_1, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k}) = (\bar{Z}_{j_k}). \quad (1.10)$$

From (1.9) and (1.10), one can easily deduce that $((u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{k-1}}) : u_{j_k}) = (\bar{Z}_{j_k} \setminus \{x_i\})$. Hence, J has linear quotients. □

We propose the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.11. *If $I \subset S$ is a proper monomial ideal with linear quotients, then there exists $i \in [n]$ such that $\text{depth}(S/(I, x_i)) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$.*

Remark 1.12. Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal with linear quotients, $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$, $I_j = (u_1, \dots, u_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, such that $(I_{j-1} : u_j) = (\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus Z_j)$, where $Z_j \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, for all $2 \leq j \leq m$. I has the Stanley decomposition:

$$I = u_1K[Z_1] \oplus u_2K[Z_2] \oplus \cdots \oplus u_mK[Z_m],$$

where $Z_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. We have that

$$\text{depth}(S/I) = n - s - 1, \text{ where } n - s = \min\{|Z_j| \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

Conjecture 1.11 is equivalent to the fact that there exists $i \in [n]$ such that there is no $1 \leq j \leq m$ with $x_i \nmid u_j$, $x_i \in Z_j$ and $|Z_j| = n - s$.

Theorem 1.13. *If Conjecture 1.11 is true and $I \subset S$ is a monomial ideal with linear quotients, then $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$.*

Proof. We use induction on n and $d = \sum_{v \in G(I)} \deg(v)$. If $n = 1$ or $d = 1$ then there is nothing to prove. Assume $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 2$. Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal with linear quotients and let $i \in [n]$ such that $\text{depth}(S/(I, x_i)) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$. If $x_i \in I$, then, according to Lemma 1.10, $I = (x_i, J)$ where $J \subset S' := K[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$ is a monomial ideal with linear quotients. From induction hypothesis on n , it follows that

$$\text{sdepth}(S/I) = \text{sdepth}(S'/J) \geq \text{depth}(S'/J) = \text{depth}(S/I).$$

Assume that $x_i \notin I$. Note that there exists at least a minimal monomial generator v of I such that $x_i \mid v$, otherwise $\text{depth}(S/(I, x_i)) = \text{depth}(S/I) - 1$, a contradiction. We consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{S}{(I : x_i)} \rightarrow \frac{S}{I} \rightarrow \frac{S}{(I, x_i)} \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.11)$$

According to Lemma 1.9, $(I : x_i)$ is a monomial ideal with linear quotients. Moreover, $d' = \sum_{v' \in G((I : x_i))} \deg(v') < d$, hence, from induction hypothesis on d , it follows that $\text{sdepth}(S/(I : x_i)) \geq \text{depth}(S/(I : x_i))$.

Let $S' := K[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$. According to Lemma 1.10, $(x_i, I) = (x_i, J)$ where $J \subset S'$ is a monomial ideal with linear quotients. Note that

$$\text{sdepth}(S/(x_i, I)) = \text{sdepth}(S/(x_i, J)) = \text{sdepth}(S'/J) \text{ and } \text{depth}(S/(I, x_i)) = \text{depth}(S/J).$$

Since $\sum_{v \in G(J)} \deg(v) < d$, by induction hypothesis, we get $\text{sdepth}(S/J) \geq \text{depth}(S/J)$. Hence $\text{sdepth}(S/(x_i, I)) \geq \text{depth}(S/(I, x_i)) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$. According to Proposition 1.1(2), we have $\text{depth}(S/(I : x_i)) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$. From (1.11) and Proposition 1.2(2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sdepth}(S/I) &\geq \min\{\text{sdepth}(S/(I : x_i)), \text{sdepth}(S/(I, x_i))\} \geq \\ &\geq \min\{\text{depth}(S/(I : x_i)), \text{depth}(S/(I, x_i))\} \geq \text{depth}(S/I), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

References

- [1] J. Apel, *On a conjecture of R. P. Stanley; Part II - Quotients Modulo Monomial Ideals*, J. of Alg. Comb. **17** (2003), 57–74.
- [2] M. Cimpoeaş, *Stanley depth of monomial ideals with small number of generators*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **7**, no. 4 (2009), 629–634.
- [3] M. Cimpoeaş, *Several inequalities regarding Stanley depth*, Romanian journal of mathematics and computer science **2(1)**, (2012), 28–40.
- [4] A. M. Duval, B. Goekneker, C. J. Klivans, J. L. Martine, *A non-partitionable Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex*, Advances in Mathematics, vol **299** (2016), pag. 381–395.
- [5] S. A. Seyed Fakhari, *Stanley depth of weakly polymatroidal ideals*, Archiv der Mathematik volume **103** (2014), 229–233.
- [6] A. Soleyman Jahan, *Prime filtrations and Stanley decompositions of squarefree modules and Alexander duality*, Manuscripta. Math. **130** (2009), 533–550.
- [7] J. Herzog, M. Vladioiu, X. Zheng, *How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal*, Journal of Algebra **322(9)**, (2009), 3151-3169.
- [8] A. Rauf, *Depth and sdepth of multigraded modules*, Communications in Algebra, vol. **38**, Issue 2, (2010), 773–784.
- [9] L. Sharifan, M. Varbaro, *Graded Betti numbers of ideals with linear quotients*, Le Matematiche, **63** (2008), 257–265.
- [10] R. P. Stanley, *Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology*, Invent. Math. **68** (1982), pag. 175–193.

Mircea Cimpoeaş, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Faculty of Applied Sciences,
Department of Mathematical Methods and Models, Bucharest, 060042, Romania, and
Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics, Research unit 5, P.O.Box 1-764,
Bucharest 014700, Romania,
E-mail: mircea.cimpoeas@upb.ro, mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro