

# An extension of the Geometric Modulus Principle to holomorphic and harmonic functions

Matt Hohertz

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University

matt.hohertz@rutgers.edu

July 8, 2022

## Abstract

Kalantari's Geometric Modulus Principle describes the local behavior of the modulus of a polynomial. Specifically, if  $p(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=k}^n a_j (z - z_0)^j$ ,  $a_0 a_k a_n \neq 0$ , then the complex plane near  $z = z_0$  comprises  $2k$  sectors of angle  $\frac{\pi}{k}$ , alternating between *arguments of ascent* (angles  $\theta$  where  $|p(z_0 + te^{i\theta})| > |p(z_0)|$  for small  $t$ ) and *arguments of descent* (where the opposite strict inequality holds). In this paper, we generalize the Geometric Modulus Principle to holomorphic and harmonic functions. As in Kalantari's original paper, we use these extensions to give succinct, elegant new proofs of some classical theorems from analysis.

## Introduction

For a holomorphic function  $p$  and fixed  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , we define a value  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  to be

1. an *argument of ascent* if there exists  $t^* > 0$  such that

$$\left| p(z_0 + te^{i\theta}) \right| > |p(z_0)|, \quad t \in (0, t^*); \text{ and} \quad (1)$$

2. an *argument of descent* if there exists  $t^* > 0$  such that

$$\left| p(z_0 + te^{i\theta}) \right| < |p(z_0)|, \quad t \in (0, t^*). \quad (2)$$

Moreover, we define the *cone of ascent*  $C_a(p, z_0)$  to be the intersection of the set of arguments of ascent with  $[0, 2\pi)$  and define the *cone of descent*  $C_d(p, z_0)$  analogously.

Kalantari's Geometric Modulus Principle is the following.

**Theorem I (Geometric Modulus Principle)** Let  $p(z)$  be a nonconstant polynomial. If  $p(z_0) = 0$  then  $C_a(p, z_0) = [0, 2\pi)$ . If  $p(z_0) \neq 0$  then  $C_a(p, z_0)$  and  $C_d(p, z_0)$  partition the unit circle into alternating sectors of angle  $\frac{\pi}{k}$ . Specifically, if  $re^{i\alpha}$  is the polar form of  $\overline{p(z_0)}p^{(k)}(z_0)$  then  $\theta$  is an argument of ascent if

$$\frac{-2\alpha - \pi}{2k} < \theta < \frac{-2\alpha + \pi}{2k} \pmod{\frac{2\pi}{k}}. \quad (3)$$

The interiors of the remaining sectors are arguments of descent. A boundary point between two sectors is either an argument of ascent or an argument of descent.

Except in its last sentence, Theorem I is a straightforward corollary of the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** Let  $p(z)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $z_0$  is a complex number,  $p(z_0) \neq 0$ , and  $k := \min_{\ell \geq 1} \{\ell : p^{(\ell)}(z_0) \neq 0\}$ . Given a real number  $\theta$ , define  $F_\theta(t) := |p(z_0 + te^{i\theta})|^2$ . Finally, let  $re^{i\alpha}$  be the polar form of  $\overline{p(z_0)}p^{(k)}(z_0)$ . Then

$$F_\theta^{(k)}(0) = 2r \cos(\alpha + k\theta).$$

**PROOF** We paraphrase the proof from Kalantari [2011]. First, by Taylor's Theorem we assume without loss of generality that  $z_0 = 0$ . Then

$$F_\theta(t) = p(te^{i\theta}) \cdot \overline{p(te^{i\theta})} \quad (4)$$

$$= \left( a_0 + \sum_{j \geq k} a_j t^j e^{ij\theta} \right) \cdot \left( \overline{a_0} + \sum_{j \geq k} \overline{a_j} t^j e^{-ij\theta} \right) \quad (5)$$

$$= |a_0|^2 + t^k \cdot \left( \overline{a_0} a_k e^{ik\theta} + a_0 \overline{a_k} e^{-ik\theta} \right) + t^{k+1} \cdot (\dots). \quad (6)$$

The terms of this Maclaurin series correspond to the derivatives of  $F_\theta(t)$  at  $t = 0$ , so that

$$F_\theta^{(k)}(0) = k! \cdot \left( \overline{a_0} a_k e^{ik\theta} + a_0 \overline{a_k} e^{-ik\theta} \right). \quad (7)$$

Continuing, we obtain

$$F_\theta^{(k)}(0) = 2 \cdot \operatorname{Re} \left( \overline{p(0)} p^{(k)}(0) e^{ik\theta} \right) \quad (8)$$

$$= 2 \cdot \operatorname{Re} \left( r e^{i(\alpha + k\theta)} \right) \quad (9)$$

$$= 2r \cos(\alpha + k\theta). \quad (10)$$

■

Thus the proof of Theorem I is very simple.

**PROOF (THEOREM I)** At  $\theta$  such that  $F_\theta^{(k)}(0) \neq 0$ , the behavior of  $|p(z_0 + te^{i\theta})|$  for small positive  $t$  follows directly from Lemma 1. On the other hand,  $F_\theta(t)$  is real analytic and not identically zero, so if  $F_\theta^{(k)}(0) = 0$  then there exists  $m > k$  such that  $F_\theta^{(m)}(0) \neq 0$ . The sign of this derivative determines whether  $\theta$  is an argument of ascent or descent. ■

# 1 Extension to holomorphic functions

Extending the Geometric Modulus Principle requires the following lemma.

**Lemma 2** *In Lemma 1, the requirement that  $p(z)$  be a non-constant polynomial may be relaxed to a requirement that  $p(z)$  be non-constant and holomorphic near  $z_0$ .*

PROOF The proof of Lemma 1 requires only that  $p(z)$  be complex analytic (equivalently, holomorphic) with at least one non-constant term. In particular:

- (i) By Mertens' Theorem, Equation (6) continues to hold as long as one of the two series converges absolutely. But holomorphic functions converge absolutely within their discs of convergence.
- (ii) Equation (7) continues to hold because the series of Equation (6) can be differentiated term-by-term in its interval of convergence [Apostol, 1952, Theorem 3]. ■

**Theorem II (Extended Geometric Modulus Principle)** *In Theorem I, the requirement that  $p(z)$  be a non-constant polynomial may be relaxed to a requirement that  $p(z)$  be non-constant and holomorphic near  $z_0$ .*

PROOF The proof is the same as that of Theorem I, except with Lemma 2 in place of Lemma 1. ■

**Example** Define  $\omega := e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}$  and  $f(z) := \frac{1}{1-\omega z^3}$ . Near  $z_0 = 0$ ,  $f(z)$  has the expansion

$$f(z) = 1 + \omega z^3 + iz^6 + \dots, \quad (11)$$

so that

$$re^{i\alpha} = \overline{f(0)} \cdot f^{(3)}(0) \quad (12)$$

$$= 6\omega, \quad (13)$$

yielding  $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$ . Thus we expect that

$$C_a(f, 0) = \left(-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cup \left(\frac{5\pi}{12}, \frac{3\pi}{4}\right) \cup \left(\frac{13\pi}{12}, \frac{17\pi}{12}\right) \pmod{2\pi} \quad (14)$$

up to boundary points. Figure 1, which graphs the lemniscate  $\{|f(z)| > |f(0)|\}$ , bears this prediction out.




Figure 1: The lemniscate  $\left| \frac{1}{1 - e^{i\pi/4} z^3} \right| > 1$ .

## 2 Extension to harmonic functions

In this section we extend the Geometric Modulus Principle to harmonic functions, using the fact that all harmonic functions are locally the real part of some holomorphic function. Define an *argument of ascent* (resp., *argument of descent*) of a harmonic function  $u$  at  $z_0$  to be an angle  $\theta$  such that  $u(z_0 + te^{i\theta}) > u(z_0)$  [resp.,  $u(z_0 + te^{i\theta}) < u(z_0)$ ] for sufficiently small positive  $t$ . As before, let  $C_a(u, z_0)$  and  $C_d(u, z_0)$  represent the cones of, respectively, ascent and descent of  $u$  at  $z_0$ .

In what follows, assume  $\Omega$  to be an open, connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We observe that  $\operatorname{Re}(f)$  and  $e^f$  have the same cones of ascent and descent.

**Lemma 3** *Let  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the real part of a holomorphic function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then for  $z_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $C_a(u, z_0) = C_a(e^f, z_0)$  and  $C_d(u, z_0) = C_d(e^f, z_0)$ .*

PROOF Note that

$$\left| e^{f(z)} \right| = \left| e^{u(z) + iv(z)} \right| \tag{15}$$

$$= \left| e^{u(z)} \right| \tag{16}$$

$$= e^{u(z)}, \tag{17}$$

so that  $\left| e^{f(z_1)} \right| - \left| e^{f(z_0)} \right|$  and  $u(z_1) - u(z_0)$  have the same sign for all  $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ . ■

The following two lemmas relate the behavior of  $e^f$  and  $f$  near  $z_0$ .

**Lemma 4** If  $k \geq 1$  and  $f(z)$  is  $k$  times differentiable, then

$$[\exp(f(z))]^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} f^{(k-j)}(z) \cdot [\exp(f(z))]^{(j)}. \quad (18)$$

PROOF For  $k = 1$ , we simply apply the Chain Rule. For  $k > 1$ , we may apply the General Leibniz Rule to  $[\exp(f(z))]'$ :

$$[\exp(f(z))]^{(k)} = [f'(z) \cdot \exp(f(z))]^{(k-1)} \quad (19)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} [f'(z)]^{(k-1-j)} [\exp(f(z))]^{(j)} \quad (20)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} f^{(k-j)}(z) \cdot [\exp(f(z))]^{(j)}. \quad (21)$$

■

**Lemma 5** Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic at  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and define  $g(z) := \exp(f(z))$ . Suppose that, for some  $k \geq 1$  and  $z_0 \in \Omega$ ,

- (1)  $f^{(k)}(z_0) \neq 0$  and
- (2)  $f^{(\ell)}(z_0) = 0$  for each  $\ell$  such that  $1 \leq \ell < k$ .

Then

- (i)  $g^{(k)}(z_0) = g(z_0) \cdot f^{(k)}(z_0) \neq 0$  and
- (ii)  $g^{(\ell)}(z_0) = 0$  for each  $\ell$  such that  $1 \leq \ell < k$ .

PROOF Both items follow readily from applying the hypotheses to Equation (18). ■

Using the preceding lemmas, we extend the Geometric Modulus Principle to harmonic functions:

**Theorem III (Geometric Modulus Principle for harmonic functions)** Let  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the real part of a holomorphic function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $k := \min_{\ell \geq 1} \{\ell : f^{(\ell)}(z_0) \neq 0\}$ . Then  $C_a(u, z_0)$  and  $C_d(u, z_0)$  partition the unit circle into  $2k$  alternating sectors of angle  $\frac{\pi}{k}$ . Specifically, if  $se^{i\beta}$  is the polar form of  $f^{(k)}(z_0)$  then  $\theta$  is an argument of ascent if

$$\frac{-2\beta - \pi}{2k} < \theta < \frac{-2\beta + \pi}{2k} \pmod{\frac{2\pi}{k}}. \quad (22)$$

The interiors of the remaining sectors are arguments of descent. A boundary point between two sectors is either an argument of ascent or an argument of descent.

PROOF By Lemma 3, it suffices to apply Theorem II to  $e^f$ . Combining Lemma 5 with Theorem II, we see that  $e^f =: g$  yields  $2k$  equal sectors whose angle of rotation is determined by the argument of

$$\overline{g(z_0)}g^{(k)}(z_0) = \overline{g(z_0)}g(z_0)f^{(k)}(z_0) \quad (23)$$

$$= |g(z_0)|^2 \cdot f^{(k)}(z_0). \quad (24)$$

Since we need only the argument of this quantity, we may drop the real coefficient  $|g(z_0)|^2$ . Finally, the last sentence follows from the last sentence of Theorem II. ■

**Remark** Theorem III appears (in a non-constructive form) as Theorem 12.1 of Krasnosel'skij [1966], where it is proved by properties of conformal maps.

Note the following corollary of Theorem III, a restatement of its last sentence which nevertheless merits reiteration in the form of its own lemma.

**Lemma 6** For all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , either  $\theta \in C_a(\operatorname{Re}(f), z_0)$  or  $\theta \in C_d(\operatorname{Re}(f), z_0)$ .

### 3 Corollaries

In this section, we assemble several corollaries of our expanded Geometric Modulus Principles.

**Theorem IV (Maximum Modulus Principle)** Let  $\Omega$  be a connected, open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ ; let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic. If  $|f(z_0)| \geq |f(z)|$  for all  $z$  in a neighborhood of some  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , then  $f$  is constant on  $\Omega$ .

PROOF By Theorem II, if  $f$  is non-constant then it has at least one direction of ascent at each  $z_0 \in \Omega$ ; in particular,  $|f|$  cannot attain a local maximum in  $\Omega$ . ■

**Theorem V (Maximum Principle for harmonic functions)** If the harmonic function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  attains a local extremum at  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , then  $u$  is constant.

PROOF If  $u$  is non-constant, then it is locally the real part of a non-constant holomorphic function. Thus, by Theorem III, it has at least one argument of ascent and at least one argument of descent. ■

**Theorem VI** Let  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-constant harmonic function. Then the level curve  $\{z \in \Omega : u(z) = c\}$  contains no line segments.

PROOF Let  $L \subseteq \{z \in \Omega : u(z) = c\}$  be a line segment and fix a point  $z_0 \in L$ . Then for some  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,  $\theta \notin C_a(u, z_0) \cup C_d(u, z_0)$ . But Lemma 6 shows that this is impossible. ■

**Theorem VII** *A non-constant holomorphic function  $f(z)$  cannot have constant modulus on any line segment.*

PROOF This is trivially true for modulus 0. For non-zero moduli, the contrary scenario would imply that  $\log(f(z))$  had constant real part on a line segment, violating Theorem VI. ■

**Theorem VIII** *If the non-constant harmonic function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has a zero at  $z = z_0$ , then it also has a zero in every punctured disc  $\{z : 0 < |z - z_0| < t\}$ .*

PROOF By Theorem III, the cones of ascent and descent of  $u$  at  $z_0$  partition the unit circle into at least two sectors. By continuity, these sectors are bounded by the level curve  $\{z \in \Omega : u(z) = 0\}$ . ■

## 4 Conclusion

By expanding the Geometric Modulus Principle, we obtain stronger versions of two of the most powerful theorems in analysis: the Maximum Modulus Principle for holomorphic functions and the Maximum Principle for harmonic functions. Given the implications of these theorems, of which Liouville's Theorem and the Schwarz lemma stand out in particular, we expect that careful application of the Geometric Modulus Principle will yield more results like those of Section 3, or allow for briefer or more constructive proofs of existing results.

In future works, we would like to expand Theorems II and III to elaborate on how to classify *boundary points* of the sets  $C_a(f, z_0)$  and  $C_d(f, z_0)$  [resp.,  $C_a(u, z_0)$  and  $C_d(u, z_0)$ ]. Having already proved that these points must belong to *one* of the cones, we seek a simple method of determining *which one*. Such an algorithm could, in particular, simplify the problem of polynomial factoring; indeed, if  $f = f_1 \cdots f_n$  is a product of functions, then  $\theta \in C_a(f, z_0)$  if  $\theta \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n C_a(f_j, z_0)$  [and *only if*  $\theta \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n C_a(f_j, z_0)$ ].

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Yuefei Wang for his comments, which pointed out an error in an early draft.

## References

- [1] Bahman Kalantari. A Geometric Modulus Principle for Polynomials. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 118(10):931–935, Dec 2011. doi: 10.4169/amer.math.monthly.118.10.931.
- [2] T. M. Apostol. Term-wise differentiation of power series. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 59(5):323–326, 1952. doi: 10.2307/2307513.

[3] Mark A Krasnosel'skij. *Plane vector fields*. Academic Press, 1966. URL <https://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/102627944>.