

SERRE-LUSZTIG RELATIONS FOR \imath QUANTUM GROUPS II

XINHONG CHEN, GAIL LETZTER, MING LU, AND WEIQIANG WANG

ABSTRACT. The \imath Serre relations and the corresponding Serre-Lusztig relations are formulated and established for arbitrary \imath quantum groups arising from quantum symmetric pairs of Kac-Moody type.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. In this Letter, we generalize some main results concerning \imath Serre relations in [CLW18] and the corresponding Serre-Lusztig (i.e., higher order Serre) relations in [CLW21] among Chevalley generators B_i and B_j , for $\tau i = i = w_\bullet i$ and $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$, in \imath quantum groups \mathbf{U}^i or $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ arising from quantum symmetric pairs of *arbitrary* Kac-Moody type, to the general cases for $\tau i = i$ (with condition $w_\bullet i = i$ dropped). The notations are to be explained below, and we refer to *loc. cit.* for a more complete introduction of backgrounds.

1.2. We are concerned about the Serre type relations among the generators B_i in an \imath quantum group \mathbf{U}^i (or a universal \imath quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$) arising from quantum symmetric pairs (QSP) $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}^i)$. Recall that the definition of QSP is built on the Satake diagrams or admissible pairs $(\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_\circ \cup \mathbb{I}_\bullet, \tau)$ [Le99, Le03, Ko14]. A universal \imath quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ [LW19] is a subalgebra of a Drinfeld double quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$, and \mathbf{U}^i with parameters are recovered from $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ by central reductions.

The Serre type relations of \mathbf{U}^i were obtained by G. Letzter [Le03] in finite type. The Serre relations between B_i, B_j (where B_j appears in degree 1) were explicitly known [Ko14, BK15] in an arbitrary \imath quantum group \mathbf{U}^i (or $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$) of Kac-Moody type, unless $\tau i = i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$; in case $\tau i = i \in \mathbb{I}_\bullet$, explicit Serre relations were written down under a strong constraint on the Cartan integers $|a_{ij}| \leq 4$, cf. [BB10, BK15]. General \imath Serre relations for $\tau i = i = w_\bullet i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ and $j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ without any constraint on Cartan integers a_{ij} have been formulated by the authors [CLW18]; see (1.2) below. “Explicit” yet unwieldy formulas for Serre relations in an arbitrary \imath quantum group \mathbf{U}^i are also obtained in [DeC19]; the coefficients involved therein can be rather difficult to compute in practice. More recently, a compact presentation for arbitrary \imath quantum groups has been obtained in [KY21] in terms of continuous q -Hermite polynomials and a new family of deformed Chebyshev polynomials.

The Serre-Lusztig (or higher order Serre) relations for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ hold in closed forms [CLW21]

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e} = 0,$$

for $\tau i = i = w_\bullet i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$, $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ and $m \geq 1 - na_{ij}$; see (4.5)–(4.6) for the definition of \tilde{y} . These are generalizations of higher order Serre relations for quantum groups in [Lus94]. In

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, 17B67.

Key words and phrases. \imath quantum groups, quantum symmetric pairs, Serre-Lusztig relations.

case $n = 1$ and $m = 1 - a_{ij}$, the above relation reduces to the ι Serre relation [CLW18]

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r B_{i, a_{ij} + \bar{p}_i}^{(r)} B_j B_{i, \bar{p}_i}^{(1-a_{ij}-r)} = 0,$$

which holds for $\tau i = i = w_{\bullet} i \in \mathbb{I}_{\circ}$, $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_{\circ}$ in an arbitrary ι quantum group; more generally, the Serre-Lusztig relations of minimal degree (i.e., (1.1) for $m = 1 - na_{ij}$ and $n \geq 1$) take a similar simple form as in (1.2). These relations are expressed in terms of ι divided powers $B_{i, \bar{p}}^{(m)}$ (cf. [BW18, BeW18, CLW21]), depending on a parity $\bar{p} \in \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$.

In particular, these relations hold for $i = \tau i$ in an arbitrary quasi-split ι quantum group (i.e., when $\mathbb{I}_{\bullet} = \emptyset$). Conjectures and examples for Serre-Lusztig relations of minimal degrees in ι quantum groups of split affine ADE type in very different forms were proposed earlier by Baseilhac and Vu [BV14, BV15]; their conjecture was proved for q -Onsager algebra in [Ter18].

1.3. In this Letter, the ι Serre relation for an ι quantum group of arbitrary Kac-Moody type will be formulated as

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \mathbf{B}_{i, a_{ij} + \bar{p}_i}^{(r)} B_j \mathbf{B}_{i, \bar{p}_i}^{(1-a_{ij}-r)} = 0.$$

That is, it formally takes the same form as (1.2), where we have replaced $B_{i, \bar{p}}^{(m)}$ in (1.2) by a more general definition of ι -divided powers $\mathbf{B}_{i, \bar{p}}^{(m)}$ defined in (2.5)–(2.6) (that is, ς_i in $B_{i, \bar{p}}^{(m)}$ is replaced by $\varsigma_i r_i(T_{w_{\bullet} E_i})$); in the case when $w_{\bullet} i = i$, $\mathbf{B}_{i, \bar{p}}^{(m)}$ is reduced to the original $B_{i, \bar{p}}^{(m)}$ thanks to $r_i(E_i) = 1$. Moreover, the Serre-Lusztig relations (1.1) are generalized accordingly to arbitrary ι quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\iota}$ (see Theorem 4.8) and they follow by a recursive relation similar to the one in [CLW21] (see Theorem 4.7).

For $i \in \mathbb{I}_{\circ}$ with $\tau i = i \neq w_{\bullet} i$, a version of ι divided powers $B_i^{(m)}$ (independent of $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$) was introduced in [BW21] as a key ingredient toward ι canonical basis. These $B_i^{(m)}$ (for some suitable parameter ς_i) therein satisfies a crucial integral property, i.e., it lies in the $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -form of the modified ι quantum group. In contrast, the $\mathbf{B}_{i, \bar{p}}^{(m)}$ introduced in this Letter are not integral for $m \geq 2$ and for any parameter ς_i . Paraphrasing, the ι divided powers for $\tau i = i \in \mathbb{I}_{\circ}$, arising in 2 totally different settings of ι canonical basis and ι Serre relations, miraculously coincide if *and only if* $w_{\bullet} i = i$. (Alas, we had a mental block on the “only if” part, and this explains why the formulation of this Letter were not noticed earlier when we were writing [CLW21].)

1.4. We shall establish in this Letter the ι Serre relation (1.3) and its corresponding Serre-Lusztig relations. Actually, we achieve much more, by formulating and establishing further generalizations of these relations, which involve higher powers B_j^n , for $n \geq 2$; see Theorem 4.1:

$$\sum_{r+s=1-na_{ij}} (-1)^r \mathbf{B}_{i, \bar{p}}^{(r)} B_j^n \mathbf{B}_{i, \bar{p} + n\bar{a}_{ij}}^{(s)} = 0.$$

This is referred to as Serre-Lusztig relations of minimal degrees. For more general Serre-Lusztig relations, see Theorem 4.8.

The proof of the Serre-Lusztig relations in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.8 uses 2 key ingredients. First, it relies on the results in [CLW21] in an essential way, and a reader is recommended to keep a copy of it at hand (as it is impractical for us to repeat verbatim most arguments of that paper in the current general setting). The other key ingredient is a new universality property for \imath quantum groups formulated in Proposition 3.2. This universality statement follows by a (seemingly weaker) version of universality property proved in Proposition 3.1 and then comparing with known Serre-Lusztig relations for quasi-split \imath quantum groups in [CLW21]. (Such a universality result for $n = 1$ was almost explicit in [Le03, Ko14] based on their projection techniques, and was made very explicit in [DeC19].)

This Letter is organized as follows. In Section 2, we set up the preliminaries on Drinfeld doubles and quantum symmetric pairs. Then we formulate the new \imath divided powers in \imath quantum groups. In Section 3, we establish a universality property in \imath quantum groups concerning the structure constants appearing in Serre-Lusztig relations. In Section 4, we formulate and establish Serre-Lusztig relations in \imath quantum groups in a great generality.

Acknowledgement. XC is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities grant 2682020ZT100 and 2682021ZTPY043. ML is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12171333). WW is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-2001351. We thank some anonymous experts for helpful feedbacks and suggestions.

2. \imath DIVIDED POWERS IN \imath QUANTUM GROUPS

2.1. **New \imath divided powers for $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$.** Given a Cartan datum (\mathbb{I}, \cdot) , we have a *root datum* of type (\mathbb{I}, \cdot) [Lus94, 1.1.1, 2.2.1], which consists of

- (a) two finitely generated free abelian groups Y, X and a perfect bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : Y \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$;
- (b) an embedding $\mathbb{I} \subset X$ ($i \mapsto \alpha_i$) and an embedding $\mathbb{I} \subset Y$ ($i \mapsto h_i$) such that $\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle = 2\frac{i \cdot j}{i \cdot i}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$.

The matrix $C = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}} = (\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle)_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$ is a *generalized Cartan matrix*. For $D = \text{diag}(\epsilon_i \mid \epsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, i \in \mathbb{I})$, where $\epsilon_i = \frac{i \cdot i}{2}$, DC is symmetric. Let $q_i = q^{\epsilon_i}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{I}$. The associated Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{I}}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$, for $i \in \mathbb{I}$. Let W denote the Weyl group generated by simple reflections s_i for $i \in \mathbb{I}$.

The Drinfeld double $\tilde{\mathbf{U}} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbb{I}}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by $E_i, F_i, \tilde{K}_i, \tilde{K}'_i$, for $i \in \mathbb{I}$, and $\tilde{K}_i \tilde{K}'_i$ is central in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$; cf., for example, [LW19, §6]. Then \mathbf{U} is obtained from $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ by a central reduction:

$$\mathbf{U} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}} / (\tilde{K}_i \tilde{K}'_i - 1 \mid i \in \mathbb{I}).$$

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+$ (and respectively, \mathbf{U}^+) be the subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ (and respectively, \mathbf{U}) generated by E_i ($i \in \mathbb{I}$). Clearly, $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+ \cong \mathbf{U}^+$, and we shall identify them. For $i \in \mathbb{I}$, denote by $r_i : \mathbf{U}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^+$, ${}_i r : \mathbf{U}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^+$ the unique $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear maps [Lus94] such that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} r_i(1) &= 0, & r_i(E_j) &= \delta_{ij}, & r_i(xx') &= xr_i(x') + q^{i \cdot \mu'} r_i(x)x'; \\ {}_i r(1) &= 0, & {}_i r(E_j) &= \delta_{ij}, & {}_i r(xx') &= {}_i r(x)x' + q^{\mu \cdot i}(x) {}_i r(x'), \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathbf{U}_\mu^+$ and $x' \in \mathbf{U}_{\mu'}^+$.

For any $x \in \mathbf{U}^+$, by [Lus94, Proposition 3.1.6] one has

$$(2.2) \quad xF_i - F_ix = \frac{r_i(x)\tilde{K}_i - \tilde{K}'_i({}_i r(x))}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}.$$

Let $(\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_\circ \cup \mathbb{I}_\bullet, \tau)$ be an admissible pair; cf. [Ko14, Definition 2.3]. Let $W_{\mathbb{I}_\bullet} = \langle s_i \mid i \in \mathbb{I}_\bullet \rangle$ be the parabolic subgroup of W with the longest element w_\bullet .

Note that $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbb{I}_\bullet}$ (and respectively, $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{I}_\bullet}$) is naturally a subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ (and respectively, \mathbf{U}). The \imath quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ is a (coideal) subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ (see [LW19, CLW21]), which is generated by $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbb{I}_\bullet}$, $\tilde{k}_i = \tilde{K}_i \tilde{K}'_{\tau i}$ ($i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$), and

$$B_i = F_i + T_{w_\bullet}(E_{\tau i})\tilde{K}'_i \quad (i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ).$$

Here $T_w = T''_{w,+1}$ denotes a braid group operator as in [Lus94] for any $w \in W$. Note that $T_{w_\bullet}(E_{\tau i}) \in \mathbf{U}^+ = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+$.

In this Letter, we are mainly concerned about B_i , for $i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ with $\tau i = i$; in this case, $B_i = F_i + T_{w_\bullet}(E_i)\tilde{K}'_i \in \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$. It is known (cf. [Ko14]) that $r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i), {}_i r(T_{w_\bullet}E_i) \in \mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{I}_\bullet}^+ = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbb{I}_\bullet}^+ \subset \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ and

$$(2.3) \quad [r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i), B_j] = 0, \quad \text{for } i, j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ.$$

It follows by weight reason that

$$(2.4) \quad [r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i), F_j] = 0 = [r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i), T_{w_\bullet}(E_{\tau j})\tilde{K}'_j], \quad \text{for } i, j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $[m]_i = [m]_{q_i}$ denotes the quantum integer associate to q_i . Let $i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ with $\tau i = i$ (but we drop the assumption that $w_\bullet i = i$ which was imposed in [CLW18, CLW21]). The \imath divided powers of B_i in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ are defined to be

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{1}}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{[m]_i!} \begin{cases} B_i \prod_{j=1}^k (B_i^2 - [2j-1]_i^2 q_i \tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i)) & \text{if } m = 2k+1, \\ \prod_{j=1}^k (B_i^2 - [2j-1]_i^2 q_i \tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i)) & \text{if } m = 2k; \end{cases}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{0}}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{[m]_i!} \begin{cases} B_i \prod_{j=1}^k (B_i^2 - [2j]_i^2 q_i \tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i)) & \text{if } m = 2k+1, \\ \prod_{j=1}^k (B_i^2 - [2j-2]_i^2 q_i \tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i)) & \text{if } m = 2k. \end{cases}$$

Given $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$, the \imath divided powers are determined by the following recursive relations, for $m \geq 0$:

$$(2.7) \quad B_i \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(m)} = \begin{cases} [m+1]_i \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(m+1)} & \text{if } \bar{p} \neq \bar{m}, \\ [m+1]_i \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(m+1)} + [m]_i q_i \tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i) \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(m-1)} & \text{if } \bar{p} = \bar{m}. \end{cases}$$

We set $\mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{0}}^{(m)} = 0 = \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{1}}^{(m)}$ for any $m < 0$.

2.2. New \imath divided powers for \mathbf{U}^\imath . The $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra $\mathbf{U}^\imath = \mathbf{U}_\varsigma^\imath$, for $\varsigma = (\varsigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ}$ (subject to some constraints [BK15, BW21]), can be defined as a subalgebra of \mathbf{U} (similar to $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ as a subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$). In particular, for $i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ with $\tau i = i$, we have $B_i = F_i + \varsigma_i T_{w_\bullet}(E_i) K_i^{-1} \in \mathbf{U}^\imath$. Alternatively, \mathbf{U}^\imath is related to $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ by a central reduction:

$$\mathbf{U}_\varsigma^\imath = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath / (\tilde{k}_i - \varsigma_i \ (i = \tau i), \tilde{k}_i \tilde{k}_{\tau i} - \varsigma_i \varsigma_{\tau i} \ (i \neq \tau i)).$$

Let us specialize to the case $\tau i = i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$, which is most relevant to us. Our parameter ς_i corresponds to the notation in [BK15] as $\varsigma_i = -c_i s(i)$. The parameters $c_i, s(i)$ therein were not needed separately. Similarly, the notation $\mathcal{Z}_i = -s(i)r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i)$ in [BK15] is never needed separately, and instead $c_i \mathcal{Z}_i$ and $r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i)$ are all one needs. We have

$$(2.8) \quad c_i \mathcal{Z}_i = \varsigma_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i).$$

By a slight abuse of notation, the \imath divided powers in \mathbf{U}^\imath , denoted again by $\mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(m)}$, for $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, are defined in almost the same way as in (2.5)–(2.6), with \tilde{k}_i replaced by ς_i :

$$(2.9) \quad \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{1}}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{[m]_i!} \begin{cases} B_i \prod_{j=1}^k \left(B_i^2 - [2j-1]_i^2 q_i \varsigma_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i) \right) & \text{if } m = 2k+1, \\ \prod_{j=1}^k \left(B_i^2 - [2j-1]_i^2 q_i \varsigma_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i) \right) & \text{if } m = 2k; \end{cases}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{0}}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{[m]_i!} \begin{cases} B_i \prod_{j=1}^k \left(B_i^2 - [2j]_i^2 q_i \varsigma_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i) \right) & \text{if } m = 2k+1, \\ \prod_{j=1}^k \left(B_i^2 - [2j-2]_i^2 q_i \varsigma_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i) \right) & \text{if } m = 2k. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.1. In the case when $w_\bullet i = i$, we have $r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i) = 1$, and the \imath divided powers $\mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(m)}$ were introduced first in [BW18, BeW18] for a distinguished parameter $\varsigma_i = q_i^{-1}$ and they are \imath canonical basis elements in the modified \imath quantum group. The $\mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(m)}$ when $w_\bullet i = i$ for a general parameter ς_i used in [CLW18, CLW21] (denoted by $B_{i,\bar{p}}^{(m)}$) are obtained from the distinguished case above by a renormalization automorphism of \mathbf{U}^\imath .

In case when $w_\bullet i \neq i$ and then $r_i(T_{w_\bullet}E_i) \neq 1$, $\mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(m)}$ in general do not lie in the $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -form of \mathbf{U}^\imath . Toward the construction of \imath canonical basis, different \imath divided powers, $B_i^{(m)}$, which lie in the $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -form of (modified) \mathbf{U}^\imath , for $\tau i = i \neq w_\bullet i$, were introduced in [BW21].

3. A UNIVERSALITY PROPERTY FOR \imath QUANTUM GROUPS

In this section, we shall establish a universality property on the structure constants appearing in the Serre-Lusztig relations.

3.1. A weak form of universality for \mathbf{U}^\imath . Recall the linear maps $r_i, {}_i r$, for $i \in \mathbb{I}$ from (2.1). For any $j, k \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$, by (2.2), we have

$$(3.1) \quad F_j T_{w_\bullet}(E_{\tau k}) K_k^{-1} = q^{-k \cdot j} T_{w_\bullet}(E_{\tau k}) K_k^{-1} F_j + \delta_{j,\tau k} Z_{\tau k} + \delta_{j,\tau k} Z'_{\tau k} K_k^{-2},$$

where we denote by μ the weight of ${}_k r(T_{w_\bullet}E_k)$, and set

$$(3.2) \quad Z_k = \frac{{}_k r(T_{w_\bullet}E_k)}{q_k^{-1} - q_k}, \quad Z'_k = \frac{{}_k r(T_{w_\bullet}E_k)}{q^{k \cdot \mu} (q_k - q_k^{-1})}.$$

For any $\tau i = i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$, by combining with (2.4), we have

$$(3.3) \quad [Z_i, Z_j] = 0 = [Z_i, Z'_j K_j^{-2}], \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ.$$

For $\tau i = i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ and $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$, we denote

$$(3.4) \quad S_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) := \sum_{r+s=1-na_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 - na_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i B_i^r B_j^n B_i^s.$$

As a main step toward proving the universality property in Proposition 3.2, we first establish the following variant.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n \geq 1$. For $\tau i = i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ and $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$, we have the following identity in \mathbf{U}^r :*

$$(3.5) \quad S_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) = \widehat{C}_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j),$$

where $\widehat{C}_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j)$ is a (non-commutative) polynomial in B_i, B_j of the form

$$(3.6) \quad \widehat{C}_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) = \sum_{r+s \leq -1-na_{ij}} \sum_{2m \leq n} \widehat{\varrho}_{r,s,m,n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})}(\varsigma_i Z_i)^{\frac{1-na_{ij}-r-s}{2}} (\varsigma_j Z_{\tau j})^m B_i^r B_j^{n-2m} B_i^s,$$

for some universal Laurent polynomials $\widehat{\varrho}_{r,s,m,n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})} \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ (i.e., they depend only on a_{ij} , ϵ_i , ϵ_j , and r, s, m, n). Moreover, if $\tau j \neq j$ then $\widehat{\varrho}_{r,s,m,n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})} = 0$ for all $m > 0$.

Proof. In the argument below, we assume that $j = \tau j$. (The $j \neq \tau j$ case follows from a similar analysis and can be obtained by setting $Z_{\tau j} = 0$ and $Z'_{\tau j} = 0$ everywhere.) Let $\mathbf{U}_{i,j}^-$ be the subalgebra generated by F_i and F_j , and

$$\mathbf{U}_{i,j}^+ := \sum_{\gamma \geq \alpha_i \text{ or } \gamma \geq \alpha_j} \mathbf{U}_\gamma^+ \mathbf{U}_{i,j}^- \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}_{i,j}^+ = \sum_{e,f \geq 0, e+f > 0} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbf{U}_{i,j}^- K_i^{-2e} K_j^{-2f}.$$

By using (3.1) and the definition $B_k = F_k + \varsigma_k T_{w_\bullet} E_{\tau k} K_k^{-1}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$, one can expand out the term $B_i^a B_j^r B_i^b$ so that it satisfies

$$B_i^a B_j^r B_i^b \in F_i^a F_j^r F_i^b + \sum_{m,u,s,t} g_{i,j,a,b,r}^{m,u,s,t} (\varsigma_i Z_i)^{u+s+t} (\varsigma_j Z_j)^m F_i^{a-2u-t} F_j^{r-2m} F_i^{b-2s-t} + \mathbf{U}_{i,j}^+ + \mathbb{T}_{i,j}^+,$$

where $g_{i,j,a,b,r}^{m,u,s,t}$ is a scalar. This result is a special case of [Le19, Lemma 4.1]. In fact, one starts with monomials in the $F_i, F_j, T_{w_\bullet}(E_i)K_i^{-1}$, and $T_{w_\bullet}(E_j)K_j^{-1}$. Terms of the form $T_{w_\bullet}(E_k)K_k^{-1}$ are moved to the left and new monomials are created via (3.1) that have Z_k or $Z'_k K_k^{-2}$ entries. Those monomials that have a $T_{w_\bullet}(E_k)K_k^{-1}$ that survives all the way on the left hand side of the expression (for either $k = i$ or $k = j$) become part of $\mathbf{U}_{i,j}^+$. Whenever a $Z'_k K_k^{-2}$ appears, we move the K_k^{-2} to the right; if, in addition, there are no $T_{w_\bullet}(E_k)K_k^{-1}$ terms remaining all the way on the left, then the resulting monomial is in $\mathbb{T}_{i,j}^+$. It follows from (3.1), (2.4) and (3.3) that each $g_{i,j,a,b,r}^{m,u,s,t} \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ and depends only on $a_{ij}, \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j, a, b, m, u, s, t$.

In the special case where $u = t = s = 0$, each term of the form $(\varsigma_j Z_j)^m F_i^a F_j^{r-2m} F_i^b$ comes from expanding out B_j^r inside the term $F_i^a B_j^r F_i^b$ and moving powers of $\varsigma_j Z_j$ to the left. Hence $g_{i,j,a,b,r}^{m,0,0,0}$ is independent of a and b .

Return to $S_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j)$. From the above analysis, we have

$$\sum_{r+s=1-na_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 - na_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i \left(F_i^r F_j^n F_i^s + \sum_m g_{i,j,r,s,n}^{m,0,0,0} (\varsigma_j Z_j)^m F_i^r F_j^{n-2m} F_i^s \right) = 0$$

by using the Serre-Lusztig relation and its non-standard variant (cf. [CLW21, Corollary 3.3]). It follows that $S_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j)$ is contained in the set

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{u+v \leq -1-na_{ij}} \left(\sum_m d_{u,v,m} (\varsigma_i Z_i)^{\frac{1-na_{ij}-u-v}{2}} (\varsigma_j Z_j)^m F_i^u F_j^{n-2m} F_i^v \right) + \mathbf{U}_{i,j}^+ + \mathbb{T}_{i,j}^+,$$

where the coefficients $d_{u,v,m}$ come from sums of terms of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 1 - na_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i g_{i,j,r,s,n}^{\cdot,\cdot,\cdot}$ and clearly are Laurent polynomials of the desired form.

Let z be the maximum of $u + v + n - 2m$ with $d_{u,v,m} \neq 0$. Replacing terms of the form $F_i^u F_j^{n-2m} F_i^v$ for $u + v + n - 2m = z$ with $B_i^u B_j^{n-2m} B_i^v$ in (3.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) &- \sum_{u+v+n-2m=z} d_{u,v,m}(\varsigma_i Z_i)^{\frac{1-na_{ij}-u-v}{2}} (\varsigma_j Z_j)^m B_i^u B_j^{n-2m} B_i^v \\ &\in \sum_{u+v+n-2m < z} d'_{u,v,m}(\varsigma_i Z_i)^{\frac{1-na_{ij}-u-v}{2}} (\varsigma_j Z_j)^m F_i^u F_j^{n-2m} F_i^v + \mathbb{U}_{i,j}^+ + \mathbb{T}_{i,j}^+, \end{aligned}$$

where once again the coefficients $d'_{u,v,m}$ have the desired form. Repeating this process and noting that $\mathbf{U}_\varsigma \cap (\mathbb{U}_{i,j}^+ + \mathbb{T}_{i,j}^+) = 0$, we have proved the proposition. \square

3.2. The universality for \mathbf{U}^ι . Using the Serre-Lusztig relations for quasi-split ι quantum groups obtained in [CLW21], we can sharpen the statement in Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.2 (Universality). *Let $n \geq 1$. For $\tau i = i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ and $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$, we have the following identity in \mathbf{U}^ι :*

$$(3.8) \quad S_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) = C_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j),$$

where $C_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j)$ is a (non-commutative) polynomial in B_i, B_j of the form

$$(3.9) \quad C_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) = \sum_{r+s \leq -1-na_{ij}} \varrho_{r,s|n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})} (\varsigma_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i))^{\frac{1-na_{ij}-r-s}{2}} B_i^r B_j^n B_i^s,$$

for some universal Laurent polynomials $\varrho_{r,s|n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})} \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ (which depend only on $a_{ij}, \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j$ and r, s, n).

(The identities (3.8)–(3.9) remain valid in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\iota$ when ς_i in $C_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j)$ above is replaced by \tilde{k}_i .)

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, we have a Serre-Lusztig type relation (for $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$) of the form (3.5)–(3.6), $S_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) = \widehat{C}_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j)$, where $\widehat{C}_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j)$ is an expression with universal coefficients $\widehat{\varrho}_{r,s,m,n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})} \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$, which depend only on $a_{ij}, \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j$, and r, s, m, n .

Claim (\star). We have $\widehat{\varrho}_{r,s,m,n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})} = 0$, for $m > 0$.

Let us prove the Claim. In order to determine $\widehat{\varrho}_{r,s,m,n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})}$, we shall restrict ourselves to the setting of quasi-split ι quantum groups, where $r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i) = 1$, i.e., $Z_i = \frac{1}{q_i^{-1} - q_i}$. By [CLW21, Theorem 4.1], for quasi-split ι quantum groups $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\iota$, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{a+b=1-na_{ij}} (-1)^r B_{i,\bar{p}}^{(a)} B_j^{(b)} B_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}} = 0, \quad (n \geq 0),$$

where the ι divided powers $B_{i,\bar{p}}^{(m)}$ are as in (2.9)–(2.10) but with $r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i) = 1$.

Expanding $[1 - na_{ij}]_i!$ -LHS(3.10) into a linear combination of monomials of the form $B_i^r B_j^n B_i^s$, we have

$$(3.11) \quad S_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) = \sum_{r+s \leq -1-na_{ij}} \varrho_{r,s|n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})} \varsigma_i^{\frac{1-na_{ij}-r-s}{2}} B_i^r B_j^n B_i^s,$$

for some universal Laurent polynomials $\varrho_{r,s|n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})} \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ (i.e., they depend only on a_{ij} , ϵ_i , ϵ_j and r, s, n). As (3.11) does not involve $\varsigma_j Z'_{\tau_j}$, a comparison of (3.11) and (3.5)–(3.6) with $Z_i = \frac{1}{q_i^{-1}-q_i}$ shows that $\widehat{\varrho}_{r,s,m,n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})} = 0$, for $m > 0$. Claim (\star) is proved.

A comparison of (3.11) and (3.5)–(3.6) with $Z_i = \frac{1}{q_i^{-1}-q_i}$ again further shows that

$$(3.12) \quad \widehat{\varrho}_{r,s,0,n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})} = \varrho_{r,s|n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})} \cdot (q_i^{-1} - q_i)^{\frac{na_{ij}+r+s-1}{2}}, \quad \text{for all } r, s, n.$$

Now back to arbitrary \imath quantum groups $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$. By Claim (\star) and (3.12), we can rewrite the identity (3.5)–(3.6) in the precise form of the Serre-Lusztig relation (3.8)–(3.9). The proposition is proved. \square

Remark 3.3. For $n = 1$, the universality result in Proposition 3.2 has been (somewhat implicitly) known in [Le03, Ko14] and made explicit in [DeC19]. Actually, a very careful and tedious work was carried out in [DeC19] to describe explicitly these universal polynomials $\varrho_{r,s|1}^{(i,j,a_{ij})}$ in $C_{i,j;1}(B_i, B_j)$; see [DeC19, Theorem 4.7].

4. THE SERRE-LUSZTIG RELATIONS IN \imath QUANTUM GROUPS

4.1. Serre-Lusztig relations of minimal degree. We consider \imath quantum groups \mathbf{U}^\imath of arbitrary Kac-Moody type, where $\mathbb{I}_\bullet \neq \emptyset$ is allowed.

Theorem 4.1 (Serre-Lusztig relations of minimal degree). *For any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ such that $\tau_i = i$ and $\bar{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, the following identities hold in $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ for $n \geq 1$:*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{r+s=1-na_{ij}} (-1)^r \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(r)} B_j^n \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(s)} = 0,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{r+s=1-na_{ij}} (-1)^r \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(r)} B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(s)} = 0.$$

We do not recall the precise formulas for the \imath divided powers $B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)}$ in 3 cases, and we refer to [BW21, (5.12)] and [CLW21, (5.5)] for details.

For $n = 1$, the identity (4.1) reduces to the \imath Serre relation (1.3) in $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$. In case $w_\bullet i = i$ and thus $r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i) = 1$, the relations in Theorem 4.1 reduce to [CLW21, Theorem A], and the \imath Serre relation (1.3) was obtained in [CLW18].

Proof of Theorem 4.1. As explained in [CLW21, Introduction], (4.2) follows from (4.1) by [CLW21, Proposition 3.2]. Hence it suffices to prove (4.1), or its \mathbf{U}^\imath -variant, where \bar{k}_i is replaced by ς_i in the \imath divided powers.

By Proposition 3.2, we have a Serre-Lusztig relation (for $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$) of the form (3.8), i.e., $S_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) = C_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j)$.

The above discussion remains valid in the setting of quasi-split \imath quantum groups where $r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i) = 1$; in this case, we already have a Serre-Lusztig relation (for $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$) of the form (4.1), where $\varsigma_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i)$ reduces to ς_i in the definition of $\mathbf{B}_{i, \bar{p}_i}^{(r)}$; see [CLW18, (3.9)]. Then we have the following expansion in terms of (non-commutative) monomials in B_i, B_j , where it is understood that $r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i) = 1$ in the \imath divided powers and in $C_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j)$:

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{1-na_{ij}} (-1)^r \mathbf{B}_{i, \bar{n}a_{ij} + \bar{p}_i}^{(r)} B_j^n \mathbf{B}_{i, \bar{p}_i}^{(1-a_{ij}-r)} \Big|_{r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i)=1} \\ = [1 - na_{ij}]_i!^{-1} \left(S_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) - C_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) \Big|_{r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i)=1} \right).$$

Indeed, the universal polynomials $\varrho_{r,s|n}^{(i,j,a_{ij})}$ appearing in $C_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j)$ from (3.9) are determined from the expansion of the LHS above as in Proposition 3.2.

Return to the setting of general \imath quantum groups. The above formula (4.3) remains valid when replacing the scalar ς_i by $\varsigma_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i) = c_i \mathcal{Z}_i$ (which can be regarded as a commuting variable by (2.3) when dealing with these relations) on both sides. The effect of such replacement is the removal of the restriction $|_{r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i)=1}$ on both sides of (4.3), that is, the following identity holds:

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{1-na_{ij}} (-1)^r \mathbf{B}_{i, \bar{n}a_{ij} + \bar{p}_i}^{(r)} B_j^n \mathbf{B}_{i, \bar{p}_i}^{(1-a_{ij}-r)} = [1 - na_{ij}]_i!^{-1} \left(S_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) - C_{i,j;n}(B_i, B_j) \right).$$

Since $\text{RHS}(4.4) = 0$ by (3.8), the identity (4.1) follows. \square

Remark 4.2. Note that it is possible to deduce the formulas (4.1)–(4.2) in the $n = 1$ case directly from [DeC19, Proposition 4.6, Theorem 4.7], though the proofs we provide here do not rely on the formulas of [DeC19]. Moreover, it is instructive to compare the \imath Serre relation in a canonical form (1.3) (as in [CLW18]) to a complicated formulation in [DeC19, Theorem 4.7]. They coincide up to a scalar multiple of $[1 - a_{ij}]_i!$.

Remark 4.3. When the parameter ς_i satisfies the conditions in [BW21, (3.7)] (which goes back to [BK15] in some form), it follows from [BW21, (5.10)] (or [BK15, Theorem 3.11(2)]) that $q_i \varsigma_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i)$ is bar invariant. Hence the relation (1.3) in the \mathbf{U}^t setting is manifestly bar invariant in this case. Such a bar invariance was also observed in [DeC19] based on the explicit formulas therein.

Remark 4.4. Combining the relations obtained by Letzter, Kolb and Balagovic (cf., e.g., [Le03, Ko14, BK15]) and (1.3) in this Letter, all the Serre type relations between B_i and B_j for \imath quantum groups $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^t$ (or \mathbf{U}^t) of arbitrary Kac-Moody type have been formulated in *clean and closed* formulas in terms of \imath divided powers, except in the case when $\tau(i) = i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ and $j \in \mathbb{I}_\bullet$; examples in this exceptional case can be found in [BK15]. For a different expression of defining relations in this case, see [KY21].

Example 4.5. Let $j \neq i \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ with $\tau i = i$. With the help of (2.8), the formula (1.3) specializes to

- (1) $B_i^2 B_j - [2]_i B_i B_j B_i + B_j B_i^2 = q_i c_i \mathcal{Z}_i B_j$, for $a_{ij} = -1$,
- (2) $B_i^3 B_j - [3]_i B_i^2 B_j B_i + [3]_i B_i B_j B_i^2 - B_j B_i^3 = [2]_i^2 q_i c_i \mathcal{Z}_i (B_i B_j - B_j B_i)$, for $a_{ij} = -2$.

The above two formulas, together with a formula for $a_{ij} = -3$, were earlier obtained in [BK15, Theorem 3.7(2)] by rather involved computations.

4.2. Definition of $\tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e}$ and $\tilde{y}'_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e}$. Let $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_0$ be such that $\tau i = i$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $e = \pm 1$ and $\bar{p}, \bar{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, we define elements $\tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e}$ and $\tilde{y}'_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e}$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^e$ below, depending on the parity of $m - na_{ij}$. (They are simply modified from those in the same notations in [CLW21], with a substitution of $q_i \tilde{k}_i$ by $q_i \tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i)$.)

If $m - na_{ij}$ is odd, we let

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e} = \sum_{u \geq 0} (q_i \tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i))^u \left\{ \sum_{\substack{r+s+2u=m \\ \bar{r}=\bar{p}+1}} (-1)^r q_i^{-e((m+na_{ij})(r+u)-r)} \left[\frac{m+na_{ij}-1}{u} \right]_{q_i^2} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(r)} B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(s)} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\substack{r+s+2u=m \\ \bar{r}=\bar{p}}} (-1)^r q_i^{-e((m+na_{ij}-2)(r+u)+r)} \left[\frac{m+na_{ij}-1}{u} \right]_{q_i^2} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(r)} B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(s)} \right\};$$

if $m - na_{ij}$ is even, then we let

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e} = \sum_{u \geq 0} (q_i \tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i))^u \left\{ \sum_{\substack{r+s+2u=m \\ \bar{r}=\bar{p}+1}} (-1)^r q_i^{-e(m+na_{ij}-1)(r+u)} \left[\frac{m+na_{ij}}{u} \right]_{q_i^2} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(r)} B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(s)} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\substack{r+s+2u=m \\ \bar{r}=\bar{p}}} (-1)^r q_i^{-e(m+na_{ij}-1)(r+u)} \left[\frac{m+na_{ij}-2}{u} \right]_{q_i^2} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(r)} B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(s)} \right\}.$$

If $m - na_{ij}$ is odd, we let

$$(4.7) \quad \tilde{y}'_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e} = \sum_{u \geq 0} (q_i \tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i))^u \left\{ \sum_{\substack{r+s+2u=m \\ \bar{r}=\bar{p}+1}} (-1)^r q_i^{-e((m+na_{ij})(r+u)-r)} \left[\frac{m+na_{ij}-1}{u} \right]_{q_i^2} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(s)} B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(r)} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\substack{r+s+2u=m \\ \bar{r}=\bar{p}}} (-1)^r q_i^{-e((m+na_{ij}-2)(r+u)+r)} \left[\frac{m+na_{ij}-1}{u} \right]_{q_i^2} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(s)} B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(r)} \right\};$$

if $m - na_{ij}$ is even, then we let

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{y}'_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e} = \sum_{u \geq 0} (q_i \tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet} E_i))^u \left\{ \sum_{\substack{r+s+2u=m \\ \bar{r}=\bar{p}+1}} (-1)^r q_i^{-e(m+na_{ij}-1)(r+u)} \left[\frac{m+na_{ij}}{u} \right]_{q_i^2} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(s)} B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(r)} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\substack{r+s+2u=m \\ \bar{r}=\bar{p}}} (-1)^r q_i^{-e(m+na_{ij}-1)(r+u)} \left[\frac{m+na_{ij}-2}{u} \right]_{q_i^2} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(s)} B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(r)} \right\}.$$

4.3. **Serre-Lusztig relations in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\iota$.** Denote

$$f_{i,j;n,m,e}^- = \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r q_i^{er(1-na_{ij}-m)} F_i^{(r)} F_j^{(n)} F_i^{(s)},$$

for $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}$, $n > 0$, and $e = \pm 1$. The following Serre-Lusztig relations hold in the quantum group \mathbf{U} (cf. [Lus94]): $f_{i,j;n,m,e}^- = 0$, for $m \geq 1 - na_{ij}$.

The Serre-Lusztig relations as formulated in [CLW21, Theorems B, C, D] for quasi-split ι quantum groups (upon a substitution of \tilde{k}_i by $\tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}, E_i)$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\iota$ or $\varsigma_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}, E_i)$ in \mathbf{U}^ι) remain valid for arbitrary ι quantum groups; see Theorems 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8 below. The same proofs *loc. cit.* (upon a substitution of a ‘‘scalar’’ \tilde{k}_i by another ‘‘scalar’’ $\tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}, E_i)$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\iota$ as far as these relations are concerned), which are based on Serre-Lusztig relations of minimal degree (see Theorem 4.1), go through verbatim in the current setting. We shall not repeat the long proofs here.

As the identities (4.1)–(4.2) (i.e., Theorem 4.1) hold for $n \geq 1$, so are the statements in Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.8 below.

Theorem 4.6. *For any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ such that $\tau i = i, u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\bar{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, the following identities hold in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\iota$ (or in \mathbf{U}^ι), for $n \geq 1$:*

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{r+s=1-na_{ij}+2u} (-1)^r \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(r)} B_j^n \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(s)} = 0,$$

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{r+s=1-na_{ij}+2u} (-1)^r \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}}^{(r)} B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)} \mathbf{B}_{i,\bar{p}+na_{ij}}^{(s)} = 0.$$

Theorem 4.7. *For $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ such that $\tau i = i$, $\bar{p}, \bar{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, $n \geq 0$, and $e = \pm 1$, the following identity holds in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\iota$:*

$$(4.11) \quad q_i^{-e(2m+na_{ij})} B_i \tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e} - \tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e} B_i \\ = -[m+1]_i \tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m+1,\bar{p},\bar{t},e} + [m+na_{ij}-1]_i q_i^{1-e(2m+na_{ij}-1)} \tilde{k}_i r_i(T_{w_\bullet}, E_i) \tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m-1,\bar{p},\bar{t},e}.$$

Theorem 4.8 (Serre-Lusztig relations). *Let $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\circ$ such that $\tau i = i$, $\bar{p}, \bar{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, $n \geq 0$, and $e = \pm 1$. Then, for $m < 0$ and $m > -na_{ij}$, the following identities hold in $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\iota$, for $n \geq 1$:*

$$(4.12) \quad \tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e} = 0, \quad \tilde{y}'_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e} = 0.$$

Remark 4.9. Theorems 4.7 and 4.8 hold if we replace $B_{j,\bar{t}}^{(n)}$ by B_j^n throughout the definitions of $\tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e}$ and $\tilde{y}'_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e}$ in (4.5)–(4.8). Theorems 4.7 and 4.8 remain valid over $\mathbf{U}^\iota = \mathbf{U}_\varsigma^\iota$, once we replace \tilde{k}_i by ς_i in the definition of $\tilde{y}_{i,j;n,m,\bar{p},\bar{t},e}$.

REFERENCES

- [BK15] M. Balagovic and S. Kolb, *The bar involution for quantum symmetric pairs*, Represent. Theory **19** (2015), 186–210.
- [BB10] P. Baseilhac, S. Belliard, *Generalized q -Onsager algebras and boundary affine Toda field theories*, Lett. Math. Phys. **93** (2010), 213–228.
- [BV14] P. Baseilhac and T.T. Vu, *Analogues of Lusztig’s higher order relations for the q -Onsager algebra*, J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014) 081707.
- [BV15] P. Baseilhac and T.T. Vu, *Higher order relations for ADE-type generalized q -Onsager algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **105** (2015), 1275–1288.

- [BW18] H. Bao and W. Wang, *A new approach to Kazhdan-Lusztig theory of type B via quantum symmetric pairs*, *Astérisque* **402**, 2018, vii+134pp, [arXiv:1310.0103](https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.0103)
- [BW21] H. Bao and W. Wang, *Canonical bases arising from quantum symmetric pairs of Kac-Moody type*, *Compositio Math.* **157** (2021), 1507–1537.
- [BeW18] C. Berman and W. Wang, *Formulae of ι -divided powers in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **222** (2018), 2667–2702.
- [CLW18] X. Chen, M. Lu, and W. Wang, *A Serre presentation of ι quantum groups*, *Transform. Groups* **26** (2021), 827–857.
- [CLW21] X. Chen, M. Lu, and W. Wang, *Serre-Lusztig relations for ι quantum groups*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **382** (2021), 1015–1059.
- [DeC19] H. De Clercq, *Defining relations for quantum symmetric pair coideals of Kac-Moody type*, [arXiv:1912.05368](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.05368)
- [Ko14] S. Kolb, *Quantum symmetric Kac-Moody pairs*, *Adv. Math.* **267** (2014), 395–469.
- [KY21] S. Kolb and M. Yakimov, *Defining relations of quantum symmetric pair coideal subalgebras*, *Forum Math. Sigma* **9** (2021), Paper No. e67, 38pp.
- [Le99] G. Letzter, *Symmetric pairs for quantized enveloping algebras*, *J. Algebra* **220** (1999), 729–767.
- [Le03] G. Letzter, *Quantum symmetric pairs and their zonal spherical functions*, *Transform. Groups* **8** (2003), 261–292.
- [Le19] G. Letzter, *Cartan subalgebras for quantum symmetric pair coideals*, *Represent. Theor.* **23** (2019), 88–153.
- [LW19] M. Lu and W. Wang, *Hall algebras and quantum symmetric pairs I: foundations*, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (to appear), [arXiv:1901.11446](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.11446)
- [Lus94] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, *Modern Birkhäuser Classics*, Reprint of the 1994 Edition, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2010.
- [Ter18] P. Terwilliger, *The Lusztig automorphism of the q -Onsager algebra*, *J. Algebra* **506** (2018), 56–75.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHWEST JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610031, P.R.CHINA
Email address: chenxinhong@swjtu.edu.cn

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH GROUP, NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, FORT MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6844, AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND 20742

Email address: gletzter@verizon.net

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P.R.CHINA
Email address: luming@scu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22904
Email address: ww9c@virginia.edu