

MIXED GENERALIZED FRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MOTION

SHAYKHAH ALAJMI¹ AND EZZEDINE MLIKI^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. To extend several known centered Gaussian processes, we introduce a new centred mixed self-similar Gaussian process called the mixed generalized fractional Brownian motion, which could serve as a good model for a larger class of natural phenomena. This process generalizes both the well-known mixed fractional Brownian motion introduced by Cheridito [10] and the generalized fractional Brownian motion introduced by Zili [31]. We study its main stochastic properties, its non-Markovian and non-stationarity characteristics and the conditions under which it is not a semimartingale. We prove the long range dependence properties of this process.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional Brownian motion on the whole real line (fBm for short) $B^H = \{B_t^H, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of Hurst parameter H is the best known centered Gaussian process with long-range dependence. Its covariance function is

$$\text{Cov}(B_t^H, B_s^H) = \frac{1}{2}[|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}] \quad (1.1)$$

where H is a real number in $(0,1)$ and the case $H = \frac{1}{2}$ corresponds to the Brownian motion. It is the unique continuous Gaussian process starting from zero, the self-similarity and stationarity of the increments are two main properties for which fBm enjoyed successes as modeling tool in finance and telecommunications. Researchers have applied fractional Brownian motion to a wide range of problems, such as bacterial colonies, geophysical data, electrochemical deposition, particle diffusion, DNA sequences and stock market indicators [2] and [23]. In particular, computer science applications of fBm include modeling network traffic and generating graphical landscapes [22] and [26]. The fBm was investigated in many papers (e.g. [3, 4, 14, 17, 19]). The main difference between fBm and regular Brownian motion is that the increments in Brownian motion are independent, increments for fBm are not.

In [6], the authors suggested another kind of extension of the Brownian motion, called the sub-fractional Brownian motion (sfBm for short), which preserves most properties of the fBm, but not the stationarity of the increments. It is a centered Gaussian process $\xi^H = \{\xi_t^H, t \geq 0\}$, defined by:

$$\xi_t^H = \frac{B_t^H + B_{-t}^H}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $H \in (0,1)$. The case $H = \frac{1}{2}$ corresponds to the Brownian motion.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G15, 60G17, 60G18, 60G20.

Key words and phrases. Mixed fractional Brownian motion, generalized fractional Brownian motion, long-range dependence, stationarity, Markovity, semimartingale.

The sfBm is intermediate between Brownian motion and fractional Brownian motion in the sense that it has properties analogous to those of fBm, self-similarity, not Markovian but the increments on nonoverlapping intervals are more weakly correlated, and their covariance decays polynomially at a higher rate in comparison with fBm (for this reason in [6] is called sfBm). So the sfBm does not generalize the fBm. The sfBm was investigated in many papers (e.g. [5, 6, 25, 28]).

An extension of the sfBm was introduced by Zili in [30] as a linear combination of a finite number of independent sub-fractional Brownian motions. It was called the mixed sub-fractional Brownian motion (msfBm for short). The msfBm is a centered mixed self-similar Gaussian process and does not have stationary increments. The msfBm do not generalize the fBm.

In [31] Zili introduced new model called the generalized fractional Brownian motion (gfBm for short) which is an extension of both sub-fractional Brownian motion and fractional Brownian motion. A gfBm with parameters a, b , and H , is a process $Z^H = \{Z_t^H(a, b), t \geq 0\}$ defined by

$$Z_t^H(a, b) = aB_t^H + bB_{-t}^H, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (1.3)$$

The gfBm was investigated in [32] and [13]. The gfBm generalize the sfBm but not the the mixed fractional Brownian motion.

The mixed fractional Brownian motion (mfBm for short) is a linear combination between a Brownian motion and an independent fractional Brownian motion of Hurst parameter H . It was introduced by Cheridito [10] to present a stochastic model of the discounted stock price in some arbitrage-free and complete financial markets. The mfBm is a centered Gaussian process starting from zero with covariance function

$$\text{Cov}(N_t^H(a, b), N_s^H(a, b)) = a^2(t \wedge s) + \frac{b^2}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}), \quad (1.4)$$

with $H \in (0, 1)$. When $a = 1$ and $b = 0$, the mfBm is the Brownian motion and when $a = 0$ and $b = 1$, is the fBm. We refer also to [11, 10, 29, 27] for further information on this process.

In this paper, we introduce a new stochastic model, which we call the mixed generalized fractional Brownian motion.

Definition 1.1. *A mixed generalized fractional Brownian motion (mgfBm for short) of parameters a, b, c and $H \in (0, 1)$ is a centred Gaussian process $M^H(a, b, c) = \{M_t^H(a, b, c), t \geq 0\}$, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, with the covariance function*

$$C(t, s) = a^2(t \wedge s) + \frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H}) - bc(t+s)^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|t-s|^{2H} \quad (1.5)$$

where $t \wedge s = \frac{1}{2}(t + s - |t - s|)$.

The mgfBm is completely different from all the extensions mentioned above. The process $M^H(a, b, c)$ is motivated by the fact that this process already introduced for specific values of a, b and c . Indeed $M^H(a, b, 0)$ is the mixed fractional Brownian motion and $M^H(0, b, c)$, is the generalized fractional Brownian motion. This why we will name $M^H(a, b, c)$ the mixed generalized fractional Brownian

motion. It allows to deal with a larger class of modeled natural phenomena, including those with stationary or non-stationary increments.

Our goal is to study the main stochastic properties of this new model, paying attention to the long-range dependence, self-similarity, increment stationary, Markovity and semi-martingale properties.

2. THE MAIN PROPERTIES

Existence of the mixed generalized fractional Brownian motion $M^H(a, b, c)$ for any $H \in (0, 1)$ can be shown in the following way, consider the process

$$M_t^H(a, b, c) = aB_t + bB_t^H + cB_{-t}^H, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $B = \{B_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a Brownian motion and $B^H = \{B_t^H, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is an independent fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$.

Using (1.1) and since B and B^H are independent we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $s, t \geq 0$, the process (2.1) is a centered Gaussian process with covariance function given by (1.5).*

Proof. Let $s, t \geq 0$ and $C(t, s) = Cov(M_t^H(a, b, c), M_s^H(a, b, c))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} C(t, s) &= Cov[(aB_t + bB_t^H + cB_{-t}^H), (aB_s + bB_s^H + cB_{-s}^H)] \\ &= a^2(t \wedge s) + b^2Cov(B_t^H, B_s^H) + bc[Cov(B_t^H, B_{-s}^H)] + cb[Cov(B_{-t}^H, B_s^H)] \\ &\quad + c^2Cov(B_{-t}^H, B_{-s}^H) \\ &= a^2(t \wedge s) + \frac{b^2}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}) + \frac{bc}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t + s|^{2H}) \\ &\quad + \frac{cb}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |-(t + s)|^{2H}) + \frac{c^2}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |-(t - s)|^{2H}) \\ &= a^2(t \wedge s) + \frac{b^2}{2}t^{2H} + \frac{b^2}{2}s^{2H} - \frac{b^2}{2}|t - s|^{2H} + \frac{bc}{2}t^{2H} + \frac{bc}{2}s^{2H} \\ &= a^2(t \wedge s) + \frac{(b + c)^2}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H}) - bc|t + s|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2 + c^2)}{2}|t - s|^{2H}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the covariance function of the process (2.1) is precisely $C(t, s)$ given by (1.5). Therefore the $M^H(a, b, c)$ exists. \square

Remarks 2.1. *Some special cases of the mixed generalized fractional Brownian motion:*

- (1) *If $a = 0, b = 1, c = 0$, then $M^H(0, 1, 0)$ is a fBm.*
- (2) *If $a = 0, b = c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, then $M^H(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ is a sfBm.*
- (3) *If $a = 1, b = 0, c = 0$, then $M^H(1, 0, 0)$ is a Bm.*
- (4) *If $a = 0$, then $M^H(0, b, c)$, is a gfBm.*
- (5) *If $c = 0$, then $M^H(a, b, 0)$, is a mfBm.*
- (6) *If $b = c$, then $M^H(a, \frac{b}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{2}})$, is the smfBm.*

So the mixed generalized fractional Brownian motion is, at the same, a generalization of the fractional Brownian motion, sub-fractional Brownian motion, the sub-mixed fractional Brownian motion, generalized fractional Brownian motion, mixed fractional Brownian motion and of course of the standard Brownian motion.

Proposition 2.1. *The mgfBm satisfies the following properties:*

(1) For all $t \geq 0$,

$$E (M_t^H(a, b, c))^2 = a^2 t + (b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc) t^{2H}.$$

(2) Let $0 \leq s < t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E (M_t^H(a, b, c) - M_s^H(a, b, c))^2 &= a^2 |t - s| - 2^{2H} bc (t^{2H} + s^{2H}) \\ &+ (b^2 + c^2) |t - s|^{2H} + 2bc |t + s|^{2H}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) We have for all $0 \leq s < t$,

$$a^2(t - s) + \gamma_{(b,c,H)}(t - s)^{2H} \leq E (M_t^H(a, b, c) - M_s^H(a, b, c))^2 \leq a^2(t - s) + \nu_{(b,c,H)}(t - s)^{2H}$$

where

$$\gamma_{(b,c,H)} = (b^2 + c^2 - 2bc(2^{2H-1} - 1)) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(b, c, H) + (b^2 + c^2) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}(b, c, H),$$

$$\nu_{(b,c,H)} = (b^2 + c^2) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b, H) + (b^2 + c^2 - 2bc(2^{2H-1} - 1)) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}(b, c, H),$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(b, c, H) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times]0, 1[; (H > \frac{1}{2}, bc \geq 0) \text{ or } (H < \frac{1}{2}, bc \leq 0)\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(b, c, H) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times]0, 1[; (H > \frac{1}{2}, bc \leq 0) \text{ or } (H < \frac{1}{2}, bc \geq 0)\}.$$

Proof. (1) It is a direct consequence of (1.5).

(2) Let $0 \leq s < t$ and $\alpha(t, s) = E (M_t^H(a, b, c) - M_s^H(a, b, c))^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t, s) &= E (M_t^H(a, b, c))^2 + E (M_s^H(a, b, c))^2 - 2E (M_t^H(a, b, c)M_s^H(a, b, c)) \\ &= a^2 t + b^2 t^{2H} + 2bc t^{2H} - 2^{2H} b c t^{2H} + c^2 t^{2H} + a^2 s + b^2 s^{2H} + 2bc s^{2H} - 2^{2H} b c s^{2H} \\ &+ c^2 s^{2H} - 2a^2(t \wedge s) - b^2 t^{2H} - b^2 s^{2H} + b^2 |t - s|^{2H} - b c t^{2H} - b c s^{2H} + b c |t + s|^{2H} \\ &- c b t^{2H} - c b s^{2H} + c b |t + s|^{2H} - c^2 t^{2H} - c^2 s^{2H} + c^2 |t - s|^{2H} \\ &= a^2(t + s) - 2^{2H} bc(t^{2H} + s^{2H}) - 2a^2(t \wedge s) + (b^2 + c^2) |t - s|^{2H} + 2bc |t + s|^{2H} \\ &= a^2 |t - s| - 2^{2H} bc(t^{2H} + s^{2H}) + (b^2 + c^2) |t - s|^{2H} + 2bc |t + s|^{2H}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) It is a direct consequence of the second item of Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3 in [31]. □

Proposition 2.2. *For all $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ and $H \in (0, 1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ the mgfBm is not a self-similar process.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that, for fixed $h > 0$, the processes $\{M_{ht}^H(a, b, c), t \geq 0\}$ and $\{h^H M_t^H(a, b, c), t \geq 0\}$ are Gaussian, centered, but don't have the same covariance function. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
C(ht, hs) &= a^2(ht \wedge hs) + \frac{b^2}{2} ((ht)^{2H} + (hs)^{2H} - |ht - hs|^{2H}) \\
&+ \frac{bc}{2} ((ht)^{2H} + (hs)^{2H} - |ht + hs|^{2H}) \\
&+ \frac{cb}{2} ((ht)^{2H} + (hs)^{2H} - |-(ht + hs)|^{2H}) \\
&+ \frac{c^2}{2} ((ht)^{2H} + (hs)^{2H} - |-(ht - hs)|^{2H}) \\
&= a^2(ht \wedge hs) + \frac{b^2}{2}(ht)^{2H} + \frac{b^2}{2}(hs)^{2H} - \frac{b^2}{2}|ht - hs|^{2H} \\
&+ \frac{bc}{2}(ht)^{2H} + \frac{bc}{2}(hs)^{2H} - \frac{bc}{2}|ht + hs|^{2H} + \frac{bc}{2}(ht)^{2H} + \frac{bc}{2}(hs)^{2H} \\
&- \frac{bc}{2}|ht + hs|^{2H} + \frac{c^2}{2}(ht)^{2H} + \frac{c^2}{2}(hs)^{2H} - \frac{c^2}{2}|ht - hs|^{2H} \\
&= a^2h(t \wedge s) + h^{2H} \frac{(b+c)^2}{2} ((t)^{2H} + (s)^{2H}) - bch^{2H}|t+s|^{2H} - h^{2H} \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|t-s|^{2H}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(h^H M_t^H(a, b, c), h^H M_s^H(a, b, c)) &= h^{2H} Cov(M_t^H(a, b, c), M_s^H(a, b, c)) \\
&= a^2 h^{2H} (t \wedge s) + h^{2H} \frac{(b+c)^2}{2} ((t)^{2H} + (s)^{2H}) - bch^{2H}|t+s|^{2H} \\
&- h^{2H} \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|t-s|^{2H}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the mgfBm is not a self-similar process for all $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$. \square

Remarks 2.2. *As a consequence of Proposition 2.2, we see that:*

- (1) $M^H(0, b, c)$ is a self-similar process for all $(b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.
- (2) $M^{\frac{1}{2}}(a, b, c)$ is a self-similar process for all $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Now, we will study the Markovian property.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume $H \in (0, 1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Then $M^H(a, b, c)$ is not a Markovian process.*

Proof. The process $M^H(a, b, c)$ is a centered Gaussian. Then, if $M_t^H(a, b, c)$ is a Markovian process, according to Revuz and Yor [24], for all $s < t < u$, we would have

$$C(s, u)C(t, t) = C(s, t)C(t, u).$$

We will only prove the theorem in the case where $a \neq 0$, the result with $a = 0$ is known in [31]. For the proof we follow the proof of Proposition 1 given in [31].

Using Proposition 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
C(s, u) &= a^2 s + \frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(u^{2H} + s^{2H}) - bc|u+s|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|u-s|^{2H}, \\
C(t, t) &= a^2 t + (b^2+c^2 - (2^{2H}-2)bc) t^{2H}, \\
C(s, t) &= a^2 s + \frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H}) - bc|t+s|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|t-s|^{2H}, \\
C(t, u) &= a^2 t + \frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(u^{2H} + t^{2H}) - bc|u+t|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|u-t|^{2H}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the particular case where $1 < s = \sqrt{t} < t < u = t^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C(\sqrt{t}, t^2) &= a^2 t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(t^{4H} + t^H) - bc|t^2 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|t^2 - t^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H}, \\
C(t, t) &= a^2 t + (b^2+c^2 - (2^{2H}-2)bc) t^{2H}, \\
C(\sqrt{t}, t) &= a^2 t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(t^{2H} + t^H) - bc|t + t^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|t - t^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H}, \\
C(t, t^2) &= a^2 t + \frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(t^{4H} + t^{2H}) - bc|t^2 + t|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|t^2 - t|^{2H}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by using that,

$$C(\sqrt{t}, t^2)C(t, t) = C(\sqrt{t}, t)C(t, t^2),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[a^2 t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(t^{4H} + t^H) - bc|t^2 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|t^2 - t^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} \right] \\
&\times [a^2 t + (b^2+c^2 - (2^{2H}-2)bc) t^{2H}] \\
&= \left[a^2 t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(t^{2H} + t^H) - bc|t + t^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|t - t^{\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} \right] \\
&\times \left[a^2 t + \frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(t^{4H} + t^{2H}) - bc|t^2 + t|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|t^2 - t|^{2H} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[a^2 t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{4H} \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(1+t^{-3H}) - bc|1+t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|1-t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} \right) \right] \\
&\times [a^2 t + (b^2+c^2 - (2^{2H}-2)bc) t^{2H}] \\
&= \left[a^2 t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{2H} \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(1+t^{-H}) - bc|1+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|1-t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} \right) \right] \\
&\times \left[a^2 t + t^{4H} \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2}(1+t^{-2H}) - bc|1+t^{-1}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2}|1-t^{-1}|^{2H} \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& a^4 t^{\frac{3}{2}} + a^2 (b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc) t^{2H+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + a^2 \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-3H}) - bc |1+t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} \right) t^{4H+1} \\
& + \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-3H}) - bc |1+t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} \right) \\
& \times (b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc) t^{6H} \\
& = a^4 t^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& + a^2 \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-2H}) - bc |1+t^{-1}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-1}|^{2H} \right) t^{4H+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + a^2 \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-H}) - bc |1+t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} \right) t^{2H+1} \\
& + \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-H}) - bc |1+t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} \right) \\
& \times \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-2H}) - bc |1+t^{-1}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-1}|^{2H} \right) t^{6H}
\end{aligned}$$

Take t^{6H} as a common factor, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& a^2 (b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc) t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + a^2 \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-3H}) - bc |1+t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} \right) t^{-2H+1} \\
& + \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-3H}) - bc |1+t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|^{2H} \right) \\
& \times (b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc) \\
& = a^2 \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-2H}) - bc |1+t^{-1}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-1}|^{2H} \right) t^{-2H+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + a^2 \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-H}) - bc |1+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} \right) t^{-4H+1} \\
& + \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-H}) - bc |1+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|^{2H} \right) \\
& \times \left(\frac{(b+c)^2}{2} (1+t^{-2H}) - bc |1+t^{-1}|^{2H} - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |1-t^{-1}|^{2H} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& a^2 (b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc) t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + a^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 (1+t^{-3H}) - bc \left(1 + 2Ht^{-\frac{3}{2}} + H(2H-1)t^{-3} + o(t^{-3}) \right) \right. \\
& - \left. \frac{1}{2} (b^2 + c^2) \left(1 - 2Ht^{-\frac{3}{2}} + H(2H-1)t^{-3} + o(t^{-3}) \right) \right] t^{-2H+1} \\
& + \left[\frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 (1+t^{-3H}) - bc \left(1 + 2Ht^{-\frac{3}{2}} + H(2H-1)t^{-3} + o(t^{-3}) \right) \right. \\
& - \left. \frac{1}{2} (b^2 + c^2) \left(1 - 2Ht^{-\frac{3}{2}} + H(2H-1)t^{-3} + o(t^{-3}) \right) \right] \\
& \times [b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc] \\
& = a^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 (1+t^{-2H}) - bc \left(1 + 2Ht^{-1} + H(2H-1)t^{-2} + o(t^{-2}) \right) \right. \\
& - \left. \frac{1}{2} (b^2 + c^2) \left(1 - 2Ht^{-1} + H(2H-1)t^{-2} + o(t^{-2}) \right) \right] t^{-2H+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + a^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 (1+t^{-H}) - bc \left(1 + 2Ht^{-1/2} + H(2H-1)t^{-1} + o(t^{-1}) \right) \right. \\
& - \left. \frac{1}{2} (b^2 + c^2) \left(1 - 2Ht^{-\frac{1}{2}} + H(2H-1)t^{-1} + o(t^{-1}) \right) \right] t^{-4H+1} \\
& + \left[\frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 (1+t^{-H}) - bc \left(1 + 2Ht^{-\frac{1}{2}} + H(2H-1)t^{-1} + o(t^{-1}) \right) \right. \\
& - \left. \frac{1}{2} (b^2 + c^2) \left(1 - 2Ht^{-\frac{1}{2}} + H(2H-1)t^{-1} + o(t^{-1}) \right) \right] \\
& \times \left[\frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 (1+t^{-2H}) - bc \left(1 + 2Ht^{-1} + H(2H-1)t^{-2} + o(t^{-2}) \right) \right. \\
& - \left. \frac{1}{2} (b^2 + c^2) \left(1 - 2Ht^{-1} + H(2H-1)t^{-2} + o(t^{-2}) \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

First case: $0 < H < \frac{1}{2}$, $a \neq 0$ and $b + c \neq 0$. By Taylor's expansion we get, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& a^2 (b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc) t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + a^2 \frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 t^{-5H+1} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 [b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc] t^{-3H} \\
& \approx a^2 \frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + a^2 \frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 t^{-5H+1} + \frac{1}{4} (b+c)^4 t^{-3H}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& a^2 (b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc) t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 [b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc] t^{-3H} \\
& \approx a^2 \frac{1}{2} (b+c)^2 t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{4} (b+c)^4 t^{-3H},
\end{aligned}$$

which is true if and only if

$$\frac{(b-c)^2}{2} - (2^{2H} - 2)bc = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a^2 \frac{(b-c)^2}{2} - a^2 (2^{2H} - 2)bc = 0.$$

However, it is easy to check that $\frac{(b-c)^2}{2} - (2^{2H} - 2)bc > 0$ and $a^2 \frac{(b-c)^2}{2} - a^2 (2^{2H} - 2)bc > 0$ for fixed c, b and every real a .

Second case: $0 < H < \frac{1}{2}$, $a \neq 0$ and $b + c = 0$. By Taylor's expansion we get, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & a^2[b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc]t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + a^2[-2Hbc + (b^2 + c^2)H]t^{-2H-\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + [-2Hbc + (b^2 + c^2)H] \times [b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc]t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ & \approx a^2[-2Hbc + (b^2 + c^2)H]t^{-2H-\frac{1}{2}} + a^2[-2Hbc + (b^2 + c^2)H]t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + [-2Hbc + (b^2 + c^2)H]^2t^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & a^2[b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc]t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + [-2Hbc + (b^2 + c^2)H] \times [b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc]t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ & \approx a^2[-2Hbc + (b^2 + c^2)H]t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + [-2Hbc + (b^2 + c^2)H]^2t^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is true if and only if $b = c = 0$. This is a contradiction.

Third case: $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$, $a \neq 0$ and $b - c \neq 0$. By Taylor's expansion we get, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & a^2[b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc]t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + a^2H(b - c)^2t^{-2H-\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + H(b - c)^2[b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc]t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ & \approx a^2H(b - c)^2t^{-2H-\frac{1}{2}} + a^2H(b - c)^2t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + H^2(b - c)^4t^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & a^2[b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc]t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + H(b - c)^2[b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc]t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ & \approx a^2H(b - c)^2t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + H^2(b - c)^4t^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is true if and only if

$$[b^2(1 - H) + c^2(1 - H) + (2 - 2^{2H} + 2H)bc] = 0.$$

However, it is easy to check that $b^2(1 - H) + c^2(1 - H) + (2 - 2^{2H} + 2H)bc > 0$ for fixed c, b and every real a .

Fourth case: $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$, $a \neq 0$ and $b - c = 0$. By Taylor's expansion we get, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & a^2(b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc)t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}(b + c)^2[b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc]t^{-3H} \\ & \approx a^2\frac{1}{2}(b + c)^2t^{-4H+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{4}(b + c)^4t^{-3H}, \end{aligned}$$

which is true if and only if $2 - 2^{2H} = 0$. This contradicts the fact that $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$. \square

Let us check the mixed-self-similarity property of the mgfBm. This property was introduced in [29] for the mfBm and investigated to show the Hölder continuity of the mfBm. See also [12] for the sfBm case.

Proposition 2.3. For any $h > 0$, $\{M_{ht}^H(a, b, c)\} \triangleq \{M_t^H(ah^{\frac{1}{2}}, bh^H, ch^H)\}$.

where \triangleq "to have the same law".

Proof. For fixed $h > 0$, the processes $\{M_{ht}^H(a, b, c)\}$ and $\{M_t^H(ah^{\frac{1}{2}}, bh^H, ch^H)\}$ are Gaussian and centered. Therefore, one only have to prove that they have the same covariance function. But, for any s and t in \mathbb{R}_+ , since B and B^H are independent, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(M_{ht}^H(a, b, c), M_{hs}^H(a, b, c)) &= a^2h(t \wedge s) + \frac{(b^2 + c^2)}{2} [h^{2H} (t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H})] \\ &+ bc [h^{2H} (t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t + s|^{2H})] \\ &= \text{Cov}\left(M_t^H(ah^{\frac{1}{2}}, bh^H, ch^H), M_s^H(ah^{\frac{1}{2}}, bh^H, ch^H)\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.4. *For all $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$, the increments of the $M^H(a, b, c)$ are not stationary.*

Proof. Let $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$. For a fixed $t \geq 0$ consider the processes $\{P_t, t \geq 0\}$ define by $P_t = M_{t+s}^H(a, b, c) - M_s^H(a, b, c)$. Using Proposition 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(P_t, P_t) &= E [(M_{t+s}^H(a, b, c) - M_s^H(a, b, c))^2] \\ &= a^2(t + s + s) - 2^{2H}bc((t + s)^{2H} + s^{2H}) - 2a^2s \\ &+ (b^2 + c^2)|t + s - s|^{2H} + 2bc|t + s + s|^{2H} \\ &= a^2(t + 2s) - 2^{2H}bc((t + s)^{2H} + s^{2H}) - 2a^2s + (b^2 + c^2)t^{2H} + 2bc|t + 2s|^{2H}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 2.1 we get

$$\text{Cov}(M_t^H(a, b, c), M_t^H(a, b, c)) = a^2t + (b^2 + c^2 - (2^{2H} - 2)bc)t^{2H}.$$

Since both processes are centered Gaussian, the inequality of covariance functions implies that P_t does not have the same distribution as $M_t^H(a, b, c)$. Thus, the incremental behavior of $M^H(a, b, c)$ at any point in the future is not the same. Hence the increments of $M^H(a, b, c)$ are not stationary. □

Remarks 2.3. *As a consequence of Proposition 2.4, we see that:*

- (1) *the increments of $M^H(0, b, c)$ are not stationary for all $(b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.*
- (2) *the increments of $M^H(a, b, 0)$ are stationary for all $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.*

Proposition 2.5. (1) *Let $H \in (0, 1)$. The mgfBm admits a version whose sample paths are almost Hölder continuous of order strictly less than $\frac{1}{2} \wedge H$.*

- (2) *When b or c not zero and $H \in (0, 1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ the mgfBm is not a semi-martingale.*

Proof. (1) Let s and t in \mathbb{R}_+ and $\alpha = 2$. The proof follows by Kolmogorov criterion from Lemma 3 in [31] and using Proposition 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} E (|M_t^H(a, b, c) - M_s^H(a, b, c)|^\alpha) &= a^2|t - s| - 2^{2H}bc(t^{2H} + s^{2H}) \\ &+ (b^2 + c^2)|t - s|^{2H} + 2bc|t + s|^{2H} \\ &\leq C_\alpha|t - s|^{\alpha(\frac{1}{2} \wedge H)} \end{aligned}$$

where $C_\alpha = (a^2 + \nu(b, c, H))$ and $\nu_{(b, c, H)}$ is given in Lemma 3 in [31].

(2) Suppose first that $H < \frac{1}{2}$. We get from Proposition 2.1

$$E \left(M_t^H(a, b, c) - M_s^H(a, b, c) \right)^2 \geq \gamma_{(b,c,H)}(t-s)^{2H}.$$

Since $2H < 1$ and $\gamma_{(b,c,H)} > 0$ then the assumption of Corollary 2.1 in [7] is satisfied, and consequently the mgfBM is not a semi-martingale.

Suppose now that $H > \frac{1}{2}$. We get from Properties 2.1

$$a^2(t-s) + \gamma_{(b,c,H)}(t-s)^{2H} \leq E \left(M_t^H(a, b, c) - M_s^H(a, b, c) \right)^2 \leq (a^2 + \nu_{(b,c,H)})(t-s)^{1 \wedge 2H}$$

then

$$\gamma_{(b,c,H)}(t-s)^{2H} \leq E \left(M_t^H(a, b, c) - M_s^H(a, b, c) \right)^2 \leq (a^2 + \nu_{(b,c,H)})(t-s)^{2H}.$$

Since $1 < 2H < 2$ and $\nu_{(b,c,H)} > 0$ then the assumption of Lemma 2.1 in [7] is satisfied, and consequently the mgfBm is not a semi-martingale. \square

3. LONG RANGE DEPENDENCE OF THE MGFBM

Definition 3.1. We say that the increments of a stochastic process X are long-range dependent if for every integer $p \geq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} R_X(p, p+n) = \infty,$$

where $R_X(p, p+n) = E((X_{p+1} - X_p)(X_{p+n+1} - X_{p+n}))$.

This property was investigated in many papers (e.g. [2, 8, 9, 5, 14]).

Theorem 3.1. For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ the increments of $M^H(a, b, c)$ are long-range dependent if and only if $H > \frac{1}{2}$ and $b \neq c$.

Proof. For all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $p \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_M(p, p+n) &= E \left((M_{p+1}^H(a, b, c) - M_p^H(a, b, c))(M_{p+n+1}^H(a, b, c) - M_{p+n}^H(a, b, c)) \right) \\ &= E \left(M_{p+1}^H(a, b, c)M_{p+n+1}^H(a, b, c) \right) - E \left(M_{p+1}^H(a, b, c)M_{p+n}^H(a, b, c) \right) \\ &\quad - E \left(M_p^H(a, b, c)M_{p+n+1}^H(a, b, c) \right) + E \left(M_p^H(a, b, c)M_{p+n}^H(a, b, c) \right) \\ &= C(p+1, p+n+1) - C(p+1, p+n) - C(p, p+n+1) + C(p, p+n) \\ &= a^2(p+1) + \frac{(b+c)^2}{2} \left((p+1)^{2H} + (p+n+1)^{2H} \right) - bc(2p+n+2)^{2H} \\ &\quad - \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} n^{2H} - a^2(p+1) - \frac{(b+c)^2}{2} \left((p+1)^{2H} + (p+n)^{2H} \right) \\ &\quad + bc(2p+n+1)^{2H} + \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |n-1|^{2H} - a^2p \\ &\quad - \frac{(b+c)^2}{2} \left((p)^{2H} + (p+n+1)^{2H} \right) + bc(2p+n+1)^{2H} + \frac{(b^2+c^2)}{2} |n+1|^{2H}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R_M(p, p+n) &= \frac{(b^2 + c^2)}{2} ((n+1)^{2H} - 2n^H + (n-1)^{2H}) \\ &\quad - bc((2p+n+2)^{2H} - 2(2p+n+1)^{2H} + (2p+n)^{2H}). \end{aligned}$$

Then for every integer $p \geq 1$, by Taylor's expansion, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_M(p, p+n) &= \frac{b^2 + c^2}{2} n^{2H} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{2H} - 2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{2H} \right] \\ &\quad - bc n^{2H} \left[\left(1 + \frac{2p+2}{n}\right)^{2H} - 2 \left(1 + \frac{2p+1}{n}\right) + \left(1 + \frac{2p}{n}\right)^{2H} \right] \\ &= H(2H-1)n^{2H-2}(b-c)^2 \\ &\quad - 4H(2H-1)(H-1)bc(2p+1)n^{2H-3}(1 + o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

If $b \neq c$, we see that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$R_M(p, p+n) \approx H(2H-1)n^{2H-2}(b-c)^2.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} R_M(p, p+n) = \infty \Leftrightarrow 2H-2 > -1 \Leftrightarrow H > \frac{1}{2}.$$

If $b = c$, then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$R_M(p, p+n) \approx 4H(2H-1)(H-1)a^2(2p+1)n^{2H-3}.$$

For every $H \in (0, 1)$, we have $2H-3 < -1$ and, consequently,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} R_M(p, p+n) < \infty.$$

□

Remarks 3.1. (1) For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ the increments of $M^H(a, b, 0)$ are long-range dependent if and only if $H > \frac{1}{2}$.

(2) If $b = c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ the increments of $M^H(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ are short-range dependent if and only if $H \in (0, 1)$. But if $b \neq c$ the increments of $M^H(0, b, c)$ are long-range dependent if and only if $H > \frac{1}{2}$.

(3) From [6], the increments of $M^H(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ on intervals $[u, u+r], [u+r, u+2r]$ are more weakly correlated than those of $M^H(0, 1, 0)$.

(4) From [32], If $H > \frac{1}{2}$, $b^2 + c^2 = 1$ and $bc \geq 0$, the increments of $M^H(0, b, c)$ are more weakly correlated than those of $M^H(0, 1, 0)$, but more strongly correlated than those of $M^H(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$.

(5) From [32], If $H \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $(bc \leq 0$ and $(b-c)^2 \leq 1)$ or $(bc \geq 0$ and $b^2 + c^2 \leq 1)$, the increments of $M^H(0, b, c)$ are more strongly correlated than those of both $M^H(0, 1, 0)$ and $M^H(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Alajmi, E. Mliki, *On the Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion Time Changed by Inverse α -Stable Subordinator*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, **14**, (2020), 755-763.
- [2] M. Alexander, M. Yuliya, *On pricing in financial markets with long-range dependence*, Math Finan Econ, **5**, (2011), 29-46. **1**, **11**
- [3] K. Alexander, M. Yuliya and V. Esko, *Statistical Inference with Fractional Brownian Motion*, Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes, **8**, (2005), 71-93. **1**
- [4] E. Alòs, O. Mazet, D. Nualart, *Stochastic calculus with respect to fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter lesser than 1/2*. Stoch. Proc. Appl. **86**, (1999), 121-139. **1**
- [5] X. Bardina and K. Es-Sebaiy, *An extension of bifractional Brownian motion*. Comm. Stoch. Anal. **5**, (2011), 333-340. **2**, **11**
- [6] T. Bojdeckia, L.G. Gorostizb and A. Talarczyka, *Sub-fractional Brownian motion and its relation times*, Statistics Probab. Lett. **69**, (2004), 405-419. **1**, **2**, **12**
- [7] T. Bojdecki, L G. Gorostiza, A. Talarczyk, *Fractional Brownian density process and its Self-intersection local time of order k* , Journal of theoretical probability , **17**, (2014), 717-739. **11**
- [8] I. Casas, J. Gao *Econometric estimation in long-range dependent volatility models: theory and practice*. J. Econom. **147**, (2008), 72-83. **11**
- [9] D. Chen, F. Hu, J. Xu and L. Liu, *Long-range correlation analysis among non-stationary passive scalar series in the turbulent boundary layer*, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications **517**, (2019), 290-296. **11**
- [10] P. Cheridito, *Mixed fractional Brownian motion*, Bernoulli **7**, **2**, (2001), 913-934. **1**, **2**
- [11] C. El-Nouty, *The fractional mixed fractional Brownian motion*, Statist Prob Lett, **65** , (2003), 111-120. **2**
- [12] C. El-Nouty, M. Zili, *On the sub-mixed fractional Brownian motion*, Applied Mathematics-A Journal of Chinese Universities, **1**, (2015), 27-43. **9**
- [13] C. El-Nouty, *The Generalized Bifractional Brownian motion*, Int. J. for Computational Civil and Structural Engineering, **14** , (2018), 81-89. **2**
- [14] J. B. Gao, J.Hu, W.W. tung, Y.H. Cao, N. Sarshar, V.P. Roychowdhury *Assesment of Long-range correlation in time series: How to avoid pitfalls*, Physical Review E, **73**, 016117 (2006). **1**, **11**
- [15] M. Hmissi and E. Mliki, *On exit law for subordinated semigroups by means of C^1 -subordinators*, Comment. Math. Univ. Crolin. **51**, **4**, (2010), 605-617.
- [16] M. Hmissi, H. Mejri and E. Mliki, *On the fractional powers of semidynamical systems*, Grazer Mathematishe Berichte, (2007), 66-78.
- [17] D. Huy, *A remark on non-Markov property of a fractional Brownian motion*, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics, **3**, (2003), 237-240.
- [18] M. Majdoub and E. Mliki, *Well-posedness for Hardy-Hénon parabolic equations with fractional Brownian noise*, Analysis and Mathematical Physics, **11**, (2021), 1-12. **1**
- [19] B.B. Mandelbrot, J.W. Van Ness, *Fractional Brownian motions, fractional noises and applications*. SIAM Review **10**, (1968), 422-437.
- [20] H. Mejri and E. Mliki, *On the abstract exit equation*, Grazer Mathematishe Berichte, **354**, (2009), 84-98. **1**
- [21] H. Mejri and E. Mliki, *On the abstract subordinated exit equation*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, **2010**, (2010).
- [22] E. Nane, *Laws of the iterated logarithm for a class of iterated processes*. Statist. Probab. Lett. **79**, (2009), 1744-1751. **1**
- [23] Ö. Önalán, *Time-changed generalized mixed fractional Brownian motion and application to arithmetic average Asian option pricing*. International journal of Applied Mathematical Research, **6**, (2017), 85-92. **1**
- [24] D. Revuz, M. Yor, *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, Grundlehren Math. Miss, (1999). **5**
- [25] A. Sghir, *The generalized sub-fractional Brownian motion*, Commun. Stoch. Anal, **7**, (2013), 373-382. **2**

- [26] M. Teuerle, A. Wyłomańska and G. Sikora, *Modeling anomalous diffusion by a subordinated fractional Levy-stable process*, J Stat Mech: Theory Exp, (2013), 5-16. [1](#)
- [27] C. Thäle, *Further Remarks on Mixed Fractional Brownian motion*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, **3**, (2009), 1885-1901. [2](#)
- [28] C.A. Tudor, *Some properties of the sub-fractional Brownian motion*. Statistics **79**, (2007), 431-448. [2](#)
- [29] M. Zili, *On the mixed-fractional Brownian motion.*, J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal., (2006). [2](#), [9](#)
- [30] M. Zili, *Mixed sub-fractional Brownian motion*. Random Oper. Stoch. Equ. **22**, (2014), 163- 178. [2](#)
- [31] M. Zili, *Generalized fractional Brownian motion*, Mod. Stochast Theory Appl., **4**, (2017), 15-24. [1](#), [2](#), [4](#), [5](#), [10](#)
- [32] M. Zili, *On the Generalized fractional Brownian motion*, Mathematical Models and Computer Simulation, **6**, (2018), 759-769. [2](#), [12](#)

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, IMAM ABDULRAHMAN BIN FAISAL UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 1982, DAMMAM, SAUDI ARABIA. ²BASIC AND APPLIED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CENTER, IMAM ABDULRAHMAN BIN FAISAL UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 1982, DAMMAM, 31441, SAUDI ARABIA.

Email address: sho192010@hotmail.com

Email address: ermliki@iau.edu.sa