# On integrable systems outside Nijenhuis and Haantjes geometry

## A. V. Tsiganov,

St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia e-mail: andrey.tsiganov@gmail.com

#### Abstract

We present three new examples of the integrable systems on the four-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with integrals of motion which are polynomials of the first, second, and fourth order in momenta.

**Keywords:** Killing tensors, integrable systems, separation of variables

## 1 Introduction

In 1951-55 Nijenhuis and Haantjes proposed to study properties of a (1,1) tensor field A on n-dimensional manifold M by using so-called Nijenhuis  $N_A$  and Haantjes tensors [7, 10]. Now these Nijenhuis and Haantjes tensors can be found in various parts of mathematics, mathematical physics, and classical mechanics, but the overwhelming majority of applications is related to the vanishing of one of these tensors

$$N_A(u, v) = 0$$
 or  $H_A(u, v) = 0$  (1.1)

for any vector fields u and v. A manifold M together with such an operator A defined on it is called Nijenhuis or Haantjes manifold [5, 11].

For instance, in [6] Eisenhart found eleven (1,1) Killing tensors A of a standard metric g in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  so that

$$N_A(u,v) \neq 0$$
 and  $H_A(u,v) = 0$ 

and proposed construction of the completely integrable Stäckel systems associated with these tensors A. Thus, we know how to construct integrable Stäckel systems on the Haantjes manifold  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , i.e. in the framework of the Haantjes geometry.

For other (1,1) Killing tensors A of a standard metric g in Euclidean space in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with

$$N_A(u, v) \neq 0$$
, and  $H_A(u, v) \neq 0$  (1.2)

we have only two integrals of motion associated with metric g and tensor A, respectively. Thus, we can easy construct all these tensors A as sums of symmetrized tensor products of Killing vectors, but we know nothing about existence, construction and properties of the completely integrable systems associated with these tensors A.

In [12, 13] we presented two (1,1) Killing tensors A in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $H_A \neq 0$  and the corresponding integrable Hamiltonian systems with integrals of motion which are polynomials of second and fourth order in momenta.

In this note, we discuss a few generalizations of these Killing tensors in configuration space  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and present new integrable Hamiltonian systems on the phase space  $T^*\mathbb{R}^4$  outside of the Nijenhuis and Haantjes geometry.

### 1.1 Nijenhuis and Haantjes tensors

In this section, we review basic notions concerning the Killing, Nijenhuis and Haantjes tensors, following the original papers [6, 7, 10] and the related ones [1, 3, 4, 9].

Let us consider a Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold M, dimM = n endowed with coordinates  $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_n)$  and metric g(q), which defines Hamiltonian on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ 

$$T_1 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbf{g}^{ij}(q) p_i p_j.$$

Metric establishes an isomorphism between the tangent space and its dual. This identifies co- and contravariant tensor components via lowering or rising indices using the metric. In particular a tensor A of valency two can be identified with (0, 2), (2,0), or (1, 1) tensor field.

Any tensor field A of valency two defines the polynomial of second order in momenta

$$T_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A^{ij} p_i p_j \,. \tag{1.3}$$

If these polynomials  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are in the involution

$$\{T_1, T_2\} = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

with respect to the canonical Poisson bracket on the phase space  $T^*M$ 

$${p_i, p_j} = {q_i, q_j} = 0, {q_i, p_j} = g_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$
 (1.5)

then A is a Killing tensor. Equation (1.4) is equivalent to the Killing equation on the configuration space M

$$\nabla_i A_{jk} + \nabla_j A_{ki} + \nabla_k A_{ij} = 0, \qquad (1.6)$$

where  $\nabla$  is compatible with the metric Levi-Civita connection.

Integrability of dynamical systems on the phase space  $T^*M$  can be related with an existence of (1,1) torsionless tensors A [1, 6, 9], which are described by Nijenhuis and Haantjes tensors on the configuration space M:

$$N_A(u,v) = A^2[u,v] + [Au, Av] - A([Au,v] + [u, Av]),$$

and

$$H_A(u,v) = A^2 N_A(u,v) + N_A(Au,Av) - A (N_A(Au,v) + N_A(u,Av)) ,$$

where u, v are arbitrary vector fields and [.,.] denotes the commutator of two vector fields.

On a local coordinate chart  $q=(q_1,\ldots,q_n)$  the alternating  $(1,\,2)$  Nijenhuis tensor takes the form

$$(N_A)^i_{jk} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial A^i_k}{\partial q_\alpha} A^\alpha_j - \frac{\partial A^i_j}{\partial q_\alpha} A^\alpha_k + \left( \frac{\partial A^\alpha_j}{\partial q_k} - \frac{\partial A^\alpha_k}{\partial q_j} \right) A^i_\alpha \right)$$

The corresponding Haantjes tensor looks like

$$(H_A)^i_{jk} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n \left( A^i_\alpha A^\alpha_\beta (N_A)^\beta_{jk} + (N_A)^i_{\alpha\beta} A^\alpha_j A^\beta_k - A^i_\alpha \left( (N_A)^\alpha_{\beta k} A^\beta_j + (N_A)^\alpha_{j\beta} A^\beta_k \right) \right) .$$

Properties of these tensors are discussed in [3, 4].

## 2 Three-dimensional case

Let us consider Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with Cartesian coordinates  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  and metric

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

In this case Killing equation (1.6) has a partial solution depending on the parameter a

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -q_2 & \frac{q_1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{q_1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{aq_3}{2}\\ 0 & -\frac{aq_3}{2} & aq_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det A = \frac{aq_2(aq_3^2 - q_1^2)}{4}. \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to prove the following statement.

**Proposition 1** Metric g (2.1) and Killing tensor A (2.2) define integrable Hamiltonian system with functionally independent integrals of motion

$$T_{1} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g_{ij}p_{i}p_{j} = p_{1}^{2} + p_{2}^{2} + p_{3}^{2},$$

$$T_{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} A_{ij}p_{i}p_{j} = p_{1}(p_{2}q_{1} - p_{1}q_{2}) + ap_{3}(p_{3}q_{2} - p_{2}q_{3}),$$

$$T_{3} = p_{1}^{a}p_{3},$$

$$(2.3)$$

in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket

$$\{q_i, q_j\} = 0, \quad \{q_i, p_j\} = g_{ij}, \quad \{p_i, p_j\} = 0.$$
 (2.4)

Here a is an arbitrary number.

Let us now consider non-degenerate Hamiltonians

$$H_1 = T_1 + V(q_1, q_2, q_3), \qquad H_2 = T_2 + U(q_1, q_2, q_3),$$
 (2.5)

and try to solve equation

$$\{H_1, H_2\} = 0 (2.6)$$

for functions V and U on the configuration space.

**Proposition 2** For generic  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , equation (2.6) has one separable in Cartesian coordinates solution

$$V_a(q_1, q_2, q_3) = f_1(q_1) + f_2(q_2) + f_3(q_3) \equiv c_1(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + q_3^2) + 4c_2q_2 + \frac{c_3}{q_1^2} + \frac{c_4}{aq_3^2},$$

whereas the second function is equal to

$$U_a(q_1, q_2, q_3) = c_1 q_2(q_1^2 - aq_3^2) + c_2(q_1^2 - aq_3^2) - \frac{c_3 q_2}{q_1^2} + \frac{c_4 q_2}{q_2^2}$$

Non-separable solutions exist if and only if parameter a is the solution of one of the equations

$$(H_A)_{ij}^k = -(H_A)_{ii}^k = 0, \qquad i \neq j \neq k \neq i, \qquad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3,$$

which have the following four solutions

1. 
$$a = -1$$
,  $(H_A)_{ik}^i = 0$ ,  $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ ,

2. 
$$a = -2$$
,  $(H_A)_{23}^1 = -\frac{ax_1x_2x_3}{4}(a+1)(a+2) = 0$ ,

3. 
$$a = 1$$
,  $(H_A)_{13}^2 = \frac{ax_1x_2x_3}{4}(a+1)(a-1) = 0$ ,

4. 
$$a = -1/2$$
  $(H_A)_{12}^3 = \frac{ax_1x_2x_3}{4}(a+1)(2a+1) = 0$ .

All the details may be found in [12, 13].

For non-separable in Cartesian coordinates solutions of (2.6) we can find third integral of motion  $H_3$ , which is in involution with Hamiltonians  $H_{1,2}$  (2.5)

$${H_1, H_3} = {H_2, H_3} = 0.$$

• If a = -1, then  $H_A = 0$ , eigenvalues of A are real and distinct

$$\lambda_{1,2} = -\frac{q_2}{2} \pm \sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2} \,, \qquad \lambda_3 = -q_2 \,,$$

and A is one of the Eisenhart's tensors from [6] which invariant with respect to rotation around second axe. Thus we can construct the corresponding family of the Stäckel systems with potentials

$$V(q) = \frac{F_1(\lambda_1) - F_2(\lambda_2)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}, \qquad U(v_1, v_2) = \frac{\lambda_2 F_1(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1 F_2(\lambda_2)}{2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}, \tag{2.7}$$

where  $F_1(v_1)$  and  $F_2(v_2)$  are arbitrary functions. Third integrals of motion  $H_3 = q_3p_1 - q_1p_3$  can be obtained by using invariance properties of A and Noether's theorem.

• If a=1, then non-separable in Cartesian coordinates part of solution is equal to

$$V(q) = \alpha \left( q_1^4 + 6q_1^2 q_3^2 + q_3^4 + 12q_2^2 (q_1^2 + q_3^2) + 16q_2^4 \right), \tag{2.8}$$

whereas potential U(q) has the form

$$U(q) = 2\alpha q_2(q_1^2 - q_3^2)(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + q_3^2)).$$

The third integral of motion is the polynomial of the fourth-order in momenta.

$$H_3 = p_1^2 p_3^2 + 2\alpha \sum_{i,j}^n S_{ij}(q) p_i p_j + 4\alpha^2 W(q)$$

where

$$S(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 2q_2^2q_3^2 & -2q_1q_2q_3^2 & q_1q_3(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + q_3^2) \\ -2q_1q_2q_3^2 & 2q_1^2q_3^2 & -2q_2q_1^2q_3 \\ q_1q_3(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + q_3^2) & -2q_2q_1^2q_3 & 2q_1^2q_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$W(q) = q_1^2 q_3^2 (q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + q_3^2)^2,$$

see details in [12, 13].

• If a = -2, then

$$V(q) = \frac{\alpha(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + 4q_3^2)}{q_1^6}, \qquad U(q) = -\frac{2\alpha q_2(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2)}{q_1^6}$$
(2.9)

and third integral of motion is polynomial of fourth order in momenta

$$H_3 = p_1^2 \left( (p_1 q_3 - p_3 q_1)^2 + (p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1)^2 \right) + \frac{2\alpha}{q_1^6} \sum_{i,j}^n S_{ij}(q) p_i p_j + \frac{4\alpha^2}{q_1^{12}} W(q)$$

where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 2(q_2^2 + q_3^2)(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2) & -q_1q_2(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2) & -q_1q_3(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2) \\ -q_1q_2(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2) & 2q_1^2q_3^2 & -2q_2q_1^2q_3 \\ -q_1q_3(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2) & -2q_2q_1^2q_3 & 2q_1^2q_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$W(q) = (q_2^2 + q_3^2)(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2)^2$$

see details in [12, 13].

• If a = -1/2, then non-separable in Cartesian coordinates part of solution is equal to

$$V = \frac{\alpha(q_3^2 + 4q_2^2 + 4q_1^2)}{q_3^6}.$$

This solution coincides with solution at a = -2 up to exchange of coordinates  $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_3$ . The corresponding Hamiltonian  $H_1$  (2.5) is superintegrable Hamiltonian which also commutes with  $H_4 = p_3q_2 - p_2q_3$  and  $H_5 = \{H_4, H_3\}$ .

Thus, Killing tensor (2.2) generate two integrable systems outside Nijenhuis and Haantjes geometry.

## 3 Four-dimensional case

Let us consider Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with Cartesian coordinates  $q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4$  and metric

$$\mathbf{g} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

Deformation of the Killing tensor (2.2)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -q_2 & \frac{q_1}{2} & 0 & b_2q_2 + b_3q_3 + b_4q_4 + d_1 \\ \frac{q_1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{aq_3}{2} & b_1q_3 - b_2q_1 + b_5q_4 + d_2 \\ \\ 0 & -\frac{aq_3}{2} & aq_2 & -b_1q_2 - b_3q_1 + b_6q_4 + d_3 \\ \\ b_2q_2 + b_3q_3 + b_4q_4 + d_1 & b_1q_3 - b_2q_1 + b_5q_4 + d_2 & -b_1q_2 - b_3q_1 + b_6q_4 + d_3 & -2b_4q_1 - 2b_5q_2 - 2b_6q_3 + d_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a partial solution of the corresponding Killing equation (1.6) depending on eleven parameters  $a, b_1, \ldots, b_6$  and  $d_1, \ldots d_4$ .

We want to study relations between Haantjes tensor  $H_A$  on the configuration space and integrable system on the phase space with fixed two Hamiltonians

$$H_1 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} p_i p_j + V(q), \qquad H_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A^{ij} p_i p_j + U(q), \qquad (3.1)$$

and two unknown independent integrals of motion  $H_{3,4}$  in involution with  $H_{1,2}$ . In a generic case, integrals of motion  $H_{3,4}$  can not be polynomials of the first or second order of momenta.

Below, for brevity, we consider a special solution which is a'priori associated with integral of motion  $H_3 = p_1q_4 - p_4q_1$ :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -q_2 & \frac{q_1}{2} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{q_1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{aq_3}{2} & bq_4\\ 0 & -\frac{aq_3}{2} & aq_2 & 0\\ 0 & bq^4 & 0 & -2bq_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(3.2)$$

At V(q) = 0 we have degenerate or superintegrable Hamiltonian  $H_1$  (3.1) and it is easy to prove the following statement.

**Proposition 3** Metric g (2.1) and Killing tensor A (2.2) define integrable Hamiltonian system with functionally independent integrals of motion

$$T_1 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} p_i p_j = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2,$$

$$T_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A^{ij} p_i p_j = p_1 (q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1) + a p_3 (q_2 p_3 - q_3 p_2 q_3) + 2b p_4 (q_4 p_2 - q_2 p_4),$$

$$T_3 = p_3 p_1^a, \qquad T_4 = 4 p_4^2 \ln p_1$$

in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket (2.4). Here a and b are arbitrary numbers.

Let us consider Hamiltonians  $H_1 = T_1 + V(q)$  and  $H_2 = T_2 + U(q)$  (3.1) which Poisson commute with each other.

**Proposition 4** At generic a and b equation  $\{H_1, H_2\} = 0$  (2.6) has one separable in Cartesian coordinates solution

$$V_{ab} = \frac{c_1(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2)}{b} + c_2q_2 + \frac{c_3}{q_1^2} + \frac{c_4}{aq_3^2} + \frac{c_5}{bq_4^2}$$

whereas the second function is equal to

$$U_{ab} = \frac{c_1q_2(q_1^2 - aq_3^2 - 2bq_4^2)}{b} + \frac{c_2(q_1^2 - aq_3^2 + 2bq_4^2)}{4} - \frac{c_3q_2}{q_\tau^2} - \frac{c_4q_2}{q_2^2} - \frac{2c_5q_2}{q_2^2} \,.$$

Non-separable solutions exist if parameters a and b are solution of a subset of the algebraic equations

$$(H_A)_{ij}^k = -(H_A)_{ji}^k = 0, \qquad i \neq j \neq k \neq i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\},$$
 (3.3)

similar to the 3D case.

The proof of the first part of Proposition is a straightforward calculation. Second part of this Proposition we discuss below.

Let us write out all the entries of Haantjes tensor from (3.3)

$$(H_A)_{23}^1 = -\frac{a(a+1)(a+2)q_1q_2q_3}{4}, \qquad (H_A)_{13}^2 = \frac{a(a-1)(a+1)q_2q_1q_3}{4},$$

$$(H_A)_{24}^1 = b(b-1)(2b-1)q_1q_2q_4, \qquad (H_A)_{14}^2 = -\frac{b(2b-1)(2b+1)q_2q_1q_4}{2}, \qquad (3.4)$$

$$(H_A)_{34}^1 = \frac{3ab(a+2b)q_1q_2q_3}{4}, \qquad (H_A)_{34}^2 = -\frac{ab(a-2b)(a+2b)q_1q_3q_4}{2},$$

and

$$(H_A)_{12}^3 = \frac{a(a+1)(2a+1)q_1q_1q_2}{4}, \qquad (H_A)_{12}^4 = -\frac{b(4b-1)(2b-1)q_4q_1q_2}{2},$$

$$(H_A)_{14}^3 = \frac{3ab(2b-1)q_3q_1q_4}{4}, \qquad (H_A)_{13}^4 = -\frac{3ab(a+1)q_4q_1q_3}{4}, \qquad (3.5)$$

$$(H_A)_{24}^3 = -ab(a+2b)(a+b)q_3q_2q_4, \qquad (H_A)_{23}^4 = \frac{ab(a+4b)(a+2b)q_4q_2q_3}{2}.$$

Solving these equations we obtain pairs of parameters (a, b) and the corresponding separable or non-separable solutions of the equation  $\{H_1, H_2\} = 0$  (2.6).

Below we present four solutions of equation  $\{H_1, H_2\} = 0$  associated with non-separable potentials V(q) which allows us to get completely integrable system with four integrals of motion.

#### 3.1 First solution

If a = -1 and b = 1/2, then Haantjes tensor is equal to zero  $H_A = 0$ , but two eigenvalues of A coincide to each other

$$\lambda_{1,2} = -\frac{q_2}{2} \pm \sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2}, \qquad \lambda_3 = -q_2, \qquad \lambda_4 = -q_2$$

This Killing tensor A is invariant with respect to four rotations  $R_{14}$ ,  $R_{2,4}$ ,  $R_{3,4}$  and  $R_{1,2}$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{R_{ij}}A=0.$$

Here  $\mathcal{L}_{R_{ij}}$  is a Lie derivative along the vector field

$$R_{ij} = q_i \partial_{q_i} - q_j \partial_{q_i} .$$

Thus, we have superintegrable Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = \sum_{i} g^{ij} p_i p_j + V(q) = \sum_{i} p_i^2 + V(q)$$

commuting with

$$H_2 = \sum A^{ij} p_i p_j + U(q) \,,$$

where potentials are given by (2.7), and with integrals of motion related with the invariance of tensors g and A with respect to four rotations.

#### 3.2 Second solution

If a = 1 and b = 1/2, then we have seven zero and five non-zero entries in (3.4-3.5) and non-separable part of potential reads as

$$V(q) = \alpha \left( q_1^4 + 12q_1^2q_2^2 + 6q_1^2q_3^2 + 2q_1^2q_4^2 + 16q_2^4 + 12q_2^2q_3^2 + 12q_2^2q_4^2 + q_3^4 + 6q_3^2q_4^2 + q_4^4 \right).$$

In this case

$$U(q) = 2\alpha q_2(q_1^2 - q_3^2 + q_4^2)(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2)$$

and Hamiltonians  $H_{1,2}$  (3.1) Poisson commute with integrals of motion  $H_3 = p_1q_4 - p_4q_1$  and

$$H_4 = p_3^2(p_1^2 + p_4^2) + 2\alpha \sum_{i,j=1}^4 S_{ij}(q)p_ip_j + \alpha^2 W(q),$$

which are polynomials of first and fourth-order in momenta, respectively. Here

$$S(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 2q_3^2(q_2^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_1q_2q_3^2 & q_1q_3(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_4q_1q_3^2 \\ -2q_1q_2q_3^2 & 2q_3^2(q_1^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_2q_3(q_1^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_2q_3^2q_4 \\ q_1q_3(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_2q_3(q_1^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_2q_3(q_1^2 + q_4^2) & q_3q_4(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2) \\ -2q_4q_1q_3^2 & -2q_2q_3^2q_4 & q_3q_4(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2) & 2q_3^2(q_1^2 + q_2^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$W(q) = 4q_3^2(q_1^2 + q_4^2)(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2)^2.$$

This integrable system is a natural generalization of the 3D system (2.8).

#### 3.3 Third solution

If a = -2 and b = 1/2, then we have eight zero and four non-zero entries in (3.4-3.5) and

$$V(q) = \frac{\alpha(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + 4q_3^2 + q_4^2)}{(q_1^2 + q_4^2)^3} \,, \qquad U(q) = -\frac{\alpha q_2(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + q_4^2)}{2(q_1^2 + q_4^2)^3)} \,.$$

In this case Hamiltonians  $H_{1,2}$  (3.1) Poisson commute with integrals of motion  $H_3 = L_{14}$  and

$$H_4 = (p_1^2 + p_4^2) \left( L_{12}^2 + L_{13}^2 + L_{24}^2 + L_{34}^2 \right) - (p_2^2 + p_3^2) L_{14}^2$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha}{4(q_1^2 + q_4^2)^3} \sum_{i,j=1}^4 S_{ij}(q) p_i p_j + \alpha^2 W(q)$$

which are polynomials of first and fourth-order in momenta, respectively. Here

$$L_{ij} = p_1 q_j - p_j q_i , \qquad W(q) = \frac{\alpha (q_2^2 + q_3^2) (q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + q_4^2)^2}{4 (q_1^2 + q_4^2)^6}$$

and symmetric matrix S is equal to

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & -2q_1q_2(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_1q_3(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + q_4^2) & -q_1q_4(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + 4q_3^2 + q_4^2) \\ -2q_1q_2(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + q_4^2) & 4q_3^2(q_1^2 + q_4^2) & -4q_2q_3(q_1^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_2q_4(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + q_4^2) \\ -2q_1q_3(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + q_4^2) & -4q_2q_3(q_1^2 + q_4^2) & 4q_2^2(q_1^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_3q_4(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + q_4^2) \\ -q_1q_4(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + 4q_3^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_2q_4(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_3q_4(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + q_4^2) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$s_{11} = 4q_1^2q_2^2 + 4q_1^2q_3^2 + q_1^2q_4^2 + 8q_2^4 + 16q_2^2q_3^2 + 8q_2^2q_4^2 + 8q_3^4 + 8q_3^2q_4^2 + q_4^4$$

$$s_{14} = q_1^4 + 8q_1^2q_2^2 + 8q_1^2q_3^2 + q_1^2q_4^2 + 8q_2^4 + 16q_2^2q_3^2 + 4q_2^2q_4^2 + 8q_3^4 + 4q_3^2q_4^2.$$

This integrable system is the first non-trivial generalization of the 3D system (2.9).

#### 3.4 Forth solution

If a = -2 and b = 1, then we have nine zero and three non-zero entries in (3.4-3.5) and

$$V(q) = \frac{\alpha(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 + 4q_3^2 + 4q_4^2)}{q_1^6}, \qquad U(q) = -\frac{\alpha q_2(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + 2q_4^2)}{2q_1^6}.$$

In this case Hamiltonians  $H_{1,2}$  (3.1) Poisson commute with integrals of motion  $H_3 = L_{14}$  and

$$H_4 = p_1^2 \left( L_{12}^2 + L_{13}^2 + L_{14}^2 \right) + \frac{2\alpha}{q_1^6} \sum_{i,j=1}^4 S_{ij}(q) p_i p_j + \alpha^2 W(q)$$

Here

$$W(q) = \frac{(q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2)(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + 2q_4^2)^2}{4q_1^{12}},$$

and symmetric matrix S is equal to

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & -q_2q_1(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + 2q_4^2) & -q_3q_1(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + 2q_4^2) & -q_4q_1(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + 2q_4^2) \\ -q_1q_2(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + 2q_4^2) & 2q_1^2(q_3^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_1^2q_2q_3 & -2q_1^2q_2q_4 \\ -q_3q_1(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + 2q_4^2) & -2q_1^2q_2q_3 & 2q_1^2(q_2^2 + q_4^2) & -2q_1^2q_3q_4 \\ -q_4q_1(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + 2q_4^2) & -2q_1^2q_2q_4 & -2q_1^2q_3q_4 & 2q_1^2(q_2^2 + q_3^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$s_{11} = 2(q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2)(q_1^2 + 2q_2^2 + 2q_3^2 + 2q_4^2).$$

This integrable system is the second more trivial generalization of the 3D system (2.9).

Summing up, we obtain four non-trivial integrable systems associated with Haantjes tensors with the following properties of the entries  $(H_A)_{ij}^k$ ,  $i \neq k \neq k$ :

- all entries vanishing, a = -1 and b = 1/2;
- five entries non vanishing, a = 1 and b = 1/2;
- four entries non vanishing, a = -2 and b = 1/2;
- three entries non vanishing, a = -2 and b = 1;

Other suitable values of (a, b) generates the same non-separable in Cartesian coordinates solutions V(q) up to changes of  $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_3$ ,  $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_4$  and  $q_3 \leftrightarrow q_4$ .

Because number of non-zero components of  $H_A$  depends on the choice of local coordinates it is interesting to introduce other invariant criteria for the search of Killing tensors generating integrable systems with integrals of motion, which are fourth order polynomials in momenta.

## 4 Conclusion

For all the orthogonal curvilinear coordinate systems in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we can construct Stäckel integrals of motion

$$H_{\ell} = \sum (S^{-1})^{k\ell} \left( p_{v_k}^2 + U_k(v_k) \right), \qquad \{H_i, H_j\} = 0.$$

Here  $v_k$  are curvilinear coordinates,  $p_{v_k}$  are the corresponding momenta, S is a Stäckel matrix and  $U_k(v_k)$  are arbitrary function on the corresponding curvilinear coordinate.

In Cartesian coordinates  $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_n)$  and  $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$  these integrals are polynomials of the second order in momenta

$$H_{\ell} = \sum A_{\ell}^{ij} p_i p_j + V_{\ell}(q), \qquad \{H_i, H_j\} = 0$$

which determine Killing tensors  $A_k$  of order two in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with metric  $g = A_1$ . All these Killing tensors and characteristic Killing tensor  $A = \sum \alpha_k A_k$ ,  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ , are torsionless tensors

$$H_{A_k}(u, v) = 0, \qquad H_A(u, v) = 0,$$

that allows us to say about integrable Stäckel systems inside of the Haantjes geometry.

In this note, we obtain a few integrable Hamiltonian systems outside of the Haantjes geometry at

$$H_A(u,v) \neq 0$$

by using a brute force method. In similar manner we can also obtain n-1 superintegrable systems in  $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ , n>4. It will be interesting to formulate an invariant geometric theory that allows us to directly get integrals of motion which are polynomials of fourth order in momenta.

The work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project 21-11-00141).

## References

- [1] I. Benn, Geodesics and Killing tensors in mechanics, J. Math. Phys., v.47, 022903, 2006.
- [2] O.I. Bogoyavlenskij, Theory of tensor invariants of integrable Hamiltonian systems I. Incompatible Poisson structures, Comm. Math. Phys., v.180, 529-586, 1996.
- [3] O.I. Bogoyavlenskij, Algebraic identities for the Nijenhuis tensors, Differential Geometry and its Applications, v.24, n.5, p.447-457, 2006.
- [4] O.I. Bogoyavlenskij, Algebraic identity for the Schouten tensor and bi-Hamiltonian systems, Differential Geometry and its Applications, v. 25, pp.452-460, 2007.
- [5] A. V. Bolsinov, A. Yu. Konyaev, V. S. Matveev *Nijenhuis Geometry*, preprint arXiv:1903.04603, 2019.
- [6] L.P. Eisenhart, Separable systems of Stäckel, Ann. Math., v.35, p. 284-305, 1934.
- [7] J. Haantjes, On X<sub>m</sub>-forming sets of eigenvectors, Indag. Mathematicae, v.17, p.158-162, 1955.
- [8] Yu. A. Grigoryev, A.V. Tsiganov, On bi-Hamiltonian formulation of the perturbed Kepler problem, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., v.48, 175206, 2015.
- [9] E. G. Kalnin, Separation of variables for Riemannian spaces of constant curvature, Longman Scientific & Technical, 1986.
- [10] A. Nijenhuis,  $X_{n-1}$ -forming sets of eigenvectors, Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, v.54, p.200-212, 1951.
- [11] P. Tempesta, G.Tondo, *Haantjes algebras and diagonalization*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, v. 160, 103968, 2021.
- [12] A.V. Tsiganov, Killing tensors with nonvanishing Haantjes torsion and integrable systems, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, v.20, pp. 463-475, 2015.
- [13] A.V. Tsiganov, Two integrable systems with integrals of motion of degree four, Theor. Math. Phys., v. 186:3, pp. 383-394, 2016.