

THE LOCAL GAN-GROSS-PRASAD CONJECTURE FOR SPECIAL ORTHOGONAL GROUPS OVER ARCHIMEDEAN LOCAL FIELDS

CHENG CHEN

ABSTRACT. In the paper, we prove the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for special orthogonal groups over archimedean local fields for generic local L -parameters. The non-archimedean counterpart of the conjecture for generic parameters was proved by C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger in [MW12] based on that for tempered parameters, which was proved by Waldspurger in [Wal09]. Over archimedean local fields, part of the conjecture, the Multiplicity One in Vogan L -packets, was proved by Zhilin Luo for tempered L -parameters, and the other part of the conjecture for tempered L -parameters is our ongoing work joint with Zhilin Luo.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. The Local Gan-Gross-Prasad Conjecture	4
2.1. The Gan-Gross-Prasad triples	4
2.2. L -parameters and L -packets	5
2.3. Component groups	6
2.4. Statements of our results	7
3. Genericity and irreducibility	7
3.1. Reducibility of parabolic inductions	7
3.2. Remarks on even special orthogonal groups	8
3.3. Irreducibility in generic packets	9
4. Conjectures 1.1 and 2.1 over the complex field	11
5. Conjectures 1.1 and 2.1 over the real field	12
5.1. A technical lemma	14
5.2. Construction and bijectiveness of $F_{1,s}$	15
5.3. Construction and bijectiveness of $F_{2,s}$: the principal series case	17
5.4. Construction and bijectiveness of $F_{2,s}$: the discrete series case	18
5.5. Descent maps and multiplicity formula	22
5.6. Proofs for co-dimension one cases	23
5.7. Reduction to co-dimension one cases	25
Appendix A. Schwartz inductions and Schwartz homologies	26
A.1. Schwartz inductions	26
A.2. Schwartz homologies	27
A.3. Borel's lemma	27
A.4. Vanishing theorems	27
A.5. Shapiro's lemma	28
Appendix B. Harish-Chandra conjugacy classes	29
B.1. Definitions	29

B.2. Relations with the vanishing theorem	30
B.3. Tensor products with finite-dimensional representations	30
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for classical groups over any local field F ([GGP12]) is an extension to general classical groups of the original Gross-Prasad conjecture for special orthogonal groups in [GP92] and [GP94].

Let (V, V') be a pair of non-degenerate quadratic spaces defined over a local field F such that V' is a subspace of V and its orthogonal complement V'^{\perp} in V is odd dimensional and split. Let $\tilde{G} = \mathrm{SO}(V) \times \mathrm{SO}(V')$ be the product of two special orthogonal groups, and \tilde{H} be the subgroup of \tilde{G} defined by the semi-direct product $\Delta\mathrm{SO}(V') \rtimes N$ of the image $\Delta\mathrm{SO}(V')$ of the diagonal embedding of $\mathrm{SO}(V')$ into \tilde{G} with the unipotent subgroup N of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ defined by a totally isotropic flag \mathcal{F} in V'^{\perp} . Fix a generic character ν of N , and extend it to a character $\tilde{\nu}$ of \tilde{H} . For every irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of \tilde{G} , we define the multiplicity

$$m(\tilde{\pi}) = m_{\tilde{H}}(\tilde{\pi}) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{H}}(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{\nu}).$$

The Multiplicity One Theorem that $m(\tilde{\pi}) \leq 1$ was proved in [AGRS10] and [GGP12] when F is nonarchimedean and in [SZ12] and [JSZ10] when F is archimedean. The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture speculates a refinement for the behavior of the multiplicity by taking all relevant pure forms of \tilde{G} into consideration. The relevant pure inner forms \tilde{G}_{α} of \tilde{G} are indexed by $\alpha \in H^1(F, \mathrm{SO}(V', q'))$. We write that $\tilde{G}_{\alpha} = \mathrm{SO}(V_{\alpha}) \times \mathrm{SO}(V'_{\alpha})$, where $(V_{\alpha}, V'_{\alpha})$ is a pair of non-degenerate quadratic spaces such that $V_{\alpha} = V'_{\alpha} \oplus V'^{\perp}$. Then one can define $\tilde{H}_{\alpha}, \tilde{\nu}_{\alpha}$ and $m_{\tilde{H}_{\alpha}}(\tilde{\pi})$ accordingly. Moreover, \tilde{G}_{α} has the same L -group as \tilde{G} . For every generic local L -parameter $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{W}_F \rightarrow {}^L\tilde{G}$ where \mathcal{W}_F is the Weil-Deligne group of F , let $\Pi_{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{G}_{\alpha})$ be the associated L -packet of \tilde{G}_{α} .

Conjecture 1.1 ([GGP12]). *For every generic local L -parameter $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{W}_F \rightarrow {}^L\tilde{G}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, \mathrm{SO}(V'))} \sum_{\tilde{\pi} \in \Pi_{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{G}_{\alpha})} m_{\tilde{H}_{\alpha}}(\tilde{\pi}) = 1.$$

A refinement of Conjecture 1.1 that determines when the multiplicity $m(\tilde{\pi})$ is not equal to 0 will be discussed in Conjecture 2.1.

The goal of this paper is to prove Conjecture 1.1, the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for special orthogonal groups over archimedean local fields for general generic local L -parameters (Theorem 2.1 in Section 2.4), based on the case of tempered local L -parameters. When the local L -parameters are tempered, Conjecture 1.1 was proved by Zhilin Luo in his paper [Luo20], following the idea of the pioneering work of J.-L. Waldspurger in [Wal09] for nonarchimedean local fields. We also study Conjecture 2.1. We prove that Conjecture 2.1 for generic local L -parameters holds over the complex field \mathbb{C} (Theorem 2.2 in Section 2.4 or Theorem 4.1). However, for the real field case, we deduce Conjecture 2.1 for general generic local L -parameters from that for tempered local L -parameters (Theorem 2.1 in Section 2.4). While Conjecture 2.1 for tempered L -parameters is our on-going work joint with Zhilin Luo.

Our proof for Conjecture 1.1 follows philosophically the ideas of C. Mœglin and Waldspurger in [MW12], which proves the conjecture for general generic local L -parameters over nonarchimedean local fields, based on the work of Waldspurger ([Wal09]) for tempered local L -parameters. There are three key steps in their proof: (1) the relation between the local Bessel functionals and the parabolic induction, (2) the mathematical induction to prove the co-dimension one case with the results of the tempered case, and (3) the reduction to the co-dimension one case. More specifically, Mœglin and Waldspurger proved a multiplicity formula that shows the relation between the local Bessel functionals and the parabolic inductions, and with this multiplicity formula, they can reduce the generic co-dimension one case to the tempered case by mathematical induction. With the same multiplicity formula, they reduce the general case to the co-dimension one case by using a supercuspidal representation in the relevant parabolic induction.

Over archimedean local fields, there are no supercuspidal representations. However, we are able to establish parallel arguments along the lines of [MW12] by using a principal series representation. Such an idea goes back to the work of Jiang-Sun-Zhu in [JSZ10] on their proof for the uniqueness of Bessel models over archimedean local fields, and has been recently used by H. Xue in his work on the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for the unitary group case over archimedean local fields ([Xue20]). Another difficulty is the proof for the multiplicity formula that manifests the relation between local Bessel functionals and the parabolic inductions, due to that the distributional analysis involved in the proof over archimedean local fields is much more subtle than that over nonarchimedean local fields. Besides, the complicated nature of the parameters makes the orthogonal case more difficult than the unitary case, especially in the analysis of the "descent" on the open orbit in Section 5.3. In order to demonstrate our arguments, a proof for the complex case is given in Section 4 in the language of distribution theory, and a nonzero Bessel functional with required properties in this case is constructed explicitly. From our work in the complex case, we observe that the multiplicity formula can be deduced from the relation between the equivariant tempered distributions on a given manifold and the equivariant tempered distributions on an open submanifold of the manifold.

Although the distributional analysis gets much more involved in the archimedean case, with the results on Schwartz homologies developed by Chen-Sun in [CS20], we are still able to obtain a sufficient condition for the bijectiveness of the restriction map from equivariant tempered distributions on a Nash manifold to that of its open Nash submanifold in Appendix A and B. This is the main technical ingredient in our proof for the multiplicity formula in the archimedean case, and we use it to prove a technical lemma in Section 5.1. In Section 5.2, we do analysis on the closed orbits and prove a vanishing result that shows how the Bessel functionals related to invariant functionals of Schwartz sections on the open orbit. In Section 5.3 and 5.4, we do analysis on the open Nash submanifold, and prove some vanishing results that show how the invariant functionals of Schwartz sections on the open orbit descends to Bessel functionals associated to a smaller Gan-Gross-Prasad triple. Our proof for the vanishing results is based on the infinitesimal characters of representations, so it is independent on the choice of parabolic subgroups in the even orthogonal case discussed in Section 3.2. With the vanishing results, we can construct a descent map between the spaces of Bessel functionals for two pairs of representations, whose bijectiveness gives a multiplicity formula in Section 5.5. With our multiplicity formula, in Section 5.6, we can use mathematical induction to prove the co-dimension one case by using Luo's results of the tempered case in [Luo20], the proof for which is similar to that in [MW12] for the nonarchimedean case. Finally, in Section 5.7, we take parabolic induction of the completed tensor product of one representation and a principal series representation to reduce the general case to the co-dimension one case.

It is worthwhile to mention that the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture at all local places implies the uniqueness in the statement of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture over number fields ([GGP12, Conjectures 24.1 and 26.1]). As shown in the work of Jiang and Zhang in [JZ20], the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture at all local places is one of the key ingredients in their proof for the irreducibility of the twisted automorphic descents.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce concepts pertaining to the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture to state our main results, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. And in Section 3, we express representations in generic L -packets as normalized parabolic inductions of tensor products of tempered representations and essentially discrete series representations. In Section 4, we will give a constructive proof for the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture over the complex field in terms of equivariant distributions. In Section 5, we first prove a technical lemma that implies the vanishing of Schwartz homologies of certain representations. Then we make use of Schwartz analysis in our proofs of some multiplicity formulas in the co-dimension one case, which are essentially some distributional analysis. Finally we reduce the generic case to the tempered case by using the proved multiplicity formulas. In Appendix A we review the properties of Schwartz inductions and Schwartz homologies discussed in [CS20], and in Appendix B we recall the Harish-Chandra correspondence and use it to parameterize the infinitesimal characters.

Acknowledgement. I would like express my sincere thanks to my advisor Prof. Dihua Jiang for encouraging me to study this subject and giving me generous advices while I was working on this topic and writing this article. I thank Fangyang Tian for very helpful discussions on a few technical issues related to Casselman-Wallach representations. I thank Zhilin Luo for his patient explanation and clarification of the results in his thesis. I thank Chen Wan for important comments that leads my re-organization of Section 4.

2. THE LOCAL GAN-GROSS-PRASAD CONJECTURE

In this section, we will define every ingredients of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture of special orthogonal groups over archimedean local fields and give a complete statement of that.

2.1. The Gan-Gross-Prasad triples. In this subsection, we will define the Gan-Gross-Prasad triples in the setting of special orthogonal groups over an archimedean local field F .

Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . For a non-degenerate quadratic space (V, q) over F and its non-degenerate quadratic subspace (V', q') , the pair (V, V') is called *admissible* if the orthogonal complement V'^{\perp} of V' is odd dimensional and split, that is, $V'^{\perp} = X_r \oplus Y_r \oplus \langle v_0 \rangle$, where X_r, Y_r are maximal totally isotropic subspaces in V'^{\perp} and v_0 is an anisotropic vector orthogonal to $X_r \oplus Y_r$. There is a basis v_1, \dots, v_r of X_r and a basis v_{-1}, \dots, v_{-r} of Y_r such that

$$q(v_i, v_{-j}) = \delta_{i,-j}, \text{ for } i, j \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm r\}.$$

Let $G = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$ be the special orthogonal group on (V, q) , and regard $G' = \mathrm{SO}(V', q|_{V'})$ as the subgroup of G that stabilizes every vector in V'^{\perp} . We let P be the parabolic subgroup of G with Levi decomposition $P = MN$ stabilizing the following totally isotropic flag \mathcal{F}

$$\langle v_1 \rangle \subset \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \subset \dots \subset \langle v_1, \dots, v_r \rangle.$$

We fix a nontrivial unitary additive character ψ of F and define a unitary character ν of N by

$$\nu(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} q(v_{-i-1}, nv_i), \text{ for every } n \in N.$$

If we denote $X_i = \langle v_1, \dots, v_i \rangle$ and $X_{r+1} = X_r \oplus \langle v_0 \rangle$, we can define a subgroup

$$S_r = \{g \in G : (g-1)X_i \subset X_{i-1}, 1 \leq i \leq r+1\},$$

which is often called the *Bessel subgroup* of G associated to the pair (\mathcal{F}, v_0) . And we have

$$S_r = G' \rtimes N$$

so ν induces a character ν_r on S_r , which is called a generic *Bessel character*.

Define $\tilde{G} = G \times G'$ and $\tilde{H} = \Delta G' \rtimes N \subset S_r \times G'$ is a subgroup of \tilde{G} , where $\Delta G'$ is the diagonal embedding of G' into \tilde{G} , and $\tilde{\nu}$ is the character of \tilde{H} induced from the character ν of N . Then the triple $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu})$ is called the *Gan-Gross-Prasad triple* associated to the admissible pair (V, V') and the *co-dimension* of this Gan-Gross-Prasad triple is defined to be $2r+1$. In particular, if $r=0$, we will call $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu})$ a co-dimension one Gan-Gross-Prasad triple.

2.2. L -parameters and L -packets. In this subsection, we review the definitions of local L -parameters and local L -packets for special orthogonal groups over archimedean local fields, define pure inner forms of special orthogonal groups over archimedean local fields and the Vogan L -packet of a local L -parameter.

Let G be a real reductive group, K is its maximal compact subgroup, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of the real Lie algebra of G . For a smooth representation (π, V) of G , denote by (π_K, V^K) the $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, K)$ -module consisting of the K -finite vectors in V . A smooth representation (π, V) is called *admissible* if the $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, K)$ -module (π_K, V^K) is admissible, that is, it is a direct sum of irreducible representations of K with finite multiplicities. We call a $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, K)$ -module (π_K, V^K) *Harish-Chandra* if it is admissible and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -finite, where $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the center of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$. A *Casselman-Wallach representation* (π, V) of G is a smooth Fréchet representation of moderate growth whose $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, K)$ -module is Harish-Chandra (see [Cas89] [Wal94]). The Casselman-Wallach globalization theorem (see [BK14]) gives a categorical equivalence between the category of Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, K)$ -modules and Casselman-Wallach representations of G .

The local Langlands group \mathcal{L}_F of an archimedean local field F is equal to the Weil-Deligne group

$$\mathcal{W}_F = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^\times & \text{when } F = \mathbb{C}, \\ \mathbb{C}^\times \cup \mathbb{C}^\times j & \text{when } F = \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

where j satisfies $j^2 = -1$ and $jzj = -\bar{z}$. A local L -parameter φ of the special orthogonal group $G = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$ is a \widehat{G} -conjugacy class of smooth morphisms

$$\varphi : \mathcal{L}_F \rightarrow {}^L G = \widehat{G} \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(E/F),$$

such that the elements in $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)$ are semisimple in ${}^L G$, where E is the splitting field of the non-degenerate quadratic space (V, q) . A local L -parameter φ is called *tempered* if $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)$ is bounded.

The local Langlands correspondence for real reductive groups was established by Langlands in [Lan88], which asserts that every irreducible finitely generated $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, K)$ -module of G can be assigned to a local L -parameter φ , and for every local L -parameter φ , only finitely many equivalence classes of $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, K)$ -modules are associated to φ , and their completions by Casselman-Wallach globalization theorem form the local L -packet $\Pi_\varphi(G)$.

For $G = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$, the pure inner forms of G are the groups G_α obtained by inner twisting by $\alpha \in H^1(F, \mathrm{SO}(V, q))$, and they are also characterized as the special orthogonal groups $G_\alpha = \mathrm{SO}(V_\alpha, q_\alpha)$ of quadratic spaces (V_α, q_α) over F satisfying

$$\dim(V) = \dim(V_\alpha), \quad \mathrm{disc}(V) = \mathrm{disc}(V_\alpha).$$

When $F = \mathbb{C}$, the special orthogonal group $G = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$ is the unique pure inner form of itself. When $F = \mathbb{R}$, the pure inner forms of the special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ are $G_\alpha = \mathrm{SO}(p_\alpha, q_\alpha)$ such that $p + q = p_\alpha + q_\alpha$ and $p - p_\alpha$ is even. It is straightforward that every G has a unique quasi-split pure inner form $G_{\alpha_{qs}}$ up to isomorphism.

As the pure inner forms of G shares the same L -group, a local L -parameter φ of G can be regarded as a local L -parameter φ of any pure inner form G_α . A local L -parameter φ is called *generic* if $\Pi_\varphi(G_{\alpha_{qs}})$ contains a generic representation, that is, a member with a nonzero Whittaker model with respect to a certain Whittaker datum for $G_{\alpha_{qs}}$. The Vogan L -packet $\Pi_\varphi^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ for a local L -parameter φ is defined by

$$\Pi_\varphi^{\mathrm{Vogan}} = \coprod_{\alpha \in H^1(F, \mathrm{SO}(V, q))} \Pi_\varphi(G_\alpha).$$

Then we consider the Gan-Gross-Prasad triple $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu})$ for an admissible pair (V, V') . We say that a pure inner form \tilde{G}_α of \tilde{G} is *relevant* if $\tilde{G}_\alpha = \mathrm{SO}(V_\alpha) \times \mathrm{SO}(V'_\alpha)$ where $\mathrm{SO}(V'_\alpha, q'_\alpha)$ is a pure inner form of $\mathrm{SO}(V', q')$ and $V_\alpha = V'_\alpha \oplus V'^\perp$. The relevant pure inner forms of \tilde{G} are parameterized by $H^1(F, \mathrm{SO}(V', q'))$. With the flag \mathcal{F} and the anisotropic vector v_0 , we are able to define \tilde{H}_α and $\tilde{\nu}_\alpha$, thus we have a Gan-Gross-Prasad triple $(\tilde{G}_\alpha, \tilde{H}_\alpha, \tilde{\nu}_\alpha)$. A local L -parameter

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \times \varphi' : \mathcal{W}_F \rightarrow {}^L G \times {}^L G'$$

is called *generic* if both φ and φ' are generic. The Vogan L -packet $\Pi_{\tilde{\varphi}}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ of $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \times \varphi'$ is defined by

$$\Pi_{\tilde{\varphi}}^{\mathrm{Vogan}} = \coprod_{\alpha \in H^1(F, \mathrm{SO}(V', q'))} \Pi_\varphi(G_\alpha) \times \Pi_{\varphi'}(G'_\alpha) = \coprod_{\alpha \in H^1(F, \mathrm{SO}(V', q'))} \{\pi_\alpha \hat{\otimes} \pi'_\alpha \mid \pi_\alpha \in \Pi_\varphi(G_\alpha), \pi'_\alpha \in \Pi_{\varphi'}(G'_\alpha)\}$$

where $\pi_\alpha \hat{\otimes} \pi'_\alpha$ is the \tilde{G} -representation defined by the completed tensor product of $\pi_\alpha \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes \pi'_\alpha$. And we call $\Pi_{\tilde{\varphi}}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ generic if $\tilde{\varphi}$ is generic.

2.3. Component groups. In this section, we define the component groups for L -parameters and some local root numbers.

A pairing B of a representation M of a group L with sign b ($b \in \{\pm 1\}$) is a bilinear map $B : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$B(lm, ln) = B(m, n), \quad B(m, n) = bB(n, m), \quad \text{for every } m, n \in M, l \in L.$$

In [GGP12, Section 7], the L -group of a special orthogonal group $G = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$ can be embedded into the invariant group of a pairing B on M with sign b . If $\dim V = 2n + 1$, then ${}^L G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ so $M = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ and $b = -1$. If $\dim(V) = 2n$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V) \in F^{\times 2}$ then ${}^L G = \mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, so $M = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ and $b = 1$. If $\dim(V) = 2n$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V) \notin F^{\times 2}$ then ${}^L G = \mathrm{O}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ so $M = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ and $b = 1$.

Hence, we can identify a parameter φ of $G = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$ as a semisimple representation of \mathcal{W}_F on an even-dimensional vector space M with a pairing B . We let S_φ be the centralizer of the $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)$ in $\mathrm{GL}(M)$ and let the component group \mathcal{S}_φ be the finite group $\pi_0(S_\varphi)$. For an element $a \in S_\varphi$, let M^a be the (-1) -eigenspace for a on M which is only dependent on the image of a in the component group \mathcal{S}_φ .

For the Gan-Gross-Prasad triple $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu})$ of an admissible pair (V, V') , we define characters η_V and $\eta_{V'}$ of the component groups \mathcal{S}_φ and $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi'}$ by

$$\eta_V(a) = \det(M^a)(-1)^{\dim(M^a)/2} \cdot \det(M')(-1)^{\dim(M^a)/2} \cdot \epsilon(1/2, M^a \otimes M', \psi),$$

for $a \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi$, and

$$\eta_{V'}(a') = \det(M)(-1)^{\dim(M'^{a'})/2} \cdot \det(M'^{a'})(-1)^{\dim(M)/2} \cdot \epsilon(1/2, M \otimes M'^{a'}, \psi),$$

for $a' \in \mathcal{S}_{\varphi'}$. For the local L -parameter $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \times \varphi'$, we have $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{\varphi}} = \mathcal{S}_\varphi \times \mathcal{S}_{\varphi'}$ and let $\eta_{\tilde{\varphi}} = \eta_V \times \eta_{V'}$, be a character of $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{\varphi}}$.

Vogan conjectured in [Vog93] that, for a given Whittaker datum in the quasi-split pure inner form $G_{\alpha_{qs}}$ of G , there is a non-degenerate pairing

$$\Pi_\varphi^{\text{Vogan}} \times \mathcal{S}_\varphi \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}.$$

Therefore, we can parameterize representations in $\Pi_\varphi^{\text{Vogan}}$ with characters $\eta_\pi : \mathcal{S}_\varphi \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$, which is called *Langlands-Vogan parameterization*. Hence we can parameterize representations $\tilde{\pi} \in \Pi_{\tilde{\varphi}}^{\text{Vogan}}$ with characters $\eta_{\tilde{\pi}} : \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{\varphi}} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$.

2.4. Statements of our results. Now we are able to state our main results of this article.

Theorem 2.1. *The Conjecture 1.1 is true over archimedean local fields.*

Theorem 2.1 will be proved in Section 4 for complex field case, and in Section 5 for the real field case. Moreover, there is a refinement of Conjecture 1.1 in [GGP12, Conjecture 17.3].

Conjecture 2.1. *For a Gan-Gross-Prasad triple $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu})$ over an archimedean local field F and a generic parameter $\tilde{\varphi}$, the unique representations $\tilde{\pi} \in \Pi_{\tilde{\varphi}}^{\text{Vogan}}$ such that $m(\tilde{\pi}) = 1$ satisfy*

$$\eta_{\tilde{\pi}} = \eta_{\tilde{\nu}}.$$

From [GGP12][Section 18], the statement of Conjecture 2.1 is independent of the choice of the Whittaker datum in the Langlands-Vogan parameterization. We are going to prove Conjecture 2.1 over the complex field in Section 4. Over the real field, we can deduce Conjecture 2.1 for generic local L -parameters from that for tempered L -parameters in Section 5. Hence we have

Theorem 2.2. *Over the complex field \mathbb{C} , Conjecture 2.1 holds for general generic local L -parameters. Over the real field \mathbb{R} , if Conjecture 2.1 is true for tempered L -parameters, then it is true for general generic local L -parameters.*

3. GENERICITY AND IRREDUCIBILITY

In this section, we prove that every representation in a generic Vogan L -packet is isomorphic to a normalized parabolic induction that induces a representation of the Levi subgroup with essentially discrete series representations and tempered representations on the blocks. The nonarchimedean counterpart of this section was proved in [MW12, Section 3].

3.1. Reducibility of parabolic inductions. First of all, we summarize some classical results about the reducibility of parabolically induced representations. Let G be a quasi-split connected real reductive group and let $B = TU$ be a Borel subgroup of G , where T is the maximal torus of B and U is the unipotent radical of B . Let A_0 be the maximal split torus of T . Fix a standard parabolic subgroup P of G , that is, a parabolic subgroup P with Levi decomposition $P = MN$, with $T \subset M$ and $N \subset U$.

Let σ be an irreducible tempered representation of M and choose $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, the complex dual of the real Lie algebra of the split component A of M . Let $I(\nu, \sigma)$ be the normalized induction $I_P^G(\nu \otimes \sigma)$. Assume that ν is in the positive Weyl chamber. Then $I(\nu, \sigma)$ is called a standard module. Let $J(\nu, \sigma)$ be the unique Langlands quotient of $I(\nu, \sigma)$ from Langlands classification. The following

conjecture was proved by Vogan in [Vog78, Theorem 6.2], which was called the archimedean case of the standard model conjecture.

Proposition 3.1. *If $J(\nu, \sigma)$ is generic, then*

$$I(\nu, \sigma) = J(\nu, \sigma).$$

Hence $I(\nu, \sigma)$ is irreducible.

The following proposition was proved by Knapp and Stein in [KS80] with the irreducibility of certain principal series representations when $F = \mathbb{C}$, and by Vogan in his classification of unitary dual of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ in [Vog86] when $F = \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and σ be an irreducible unitary representation of M , then $I_P^G(\sigma)$ is irreducible.*

Knapp and Zuckerman gave a classification of irreducible tempered representations in [KZ82, Theorem 14.2] as *basic representations*, that is, full inductions of limits of discrete series representation. Inductions of limits of essentially discrete series are called *limits of generalized principal series representations*. An irreducibility criterion for limits of generalized principal series representations of Lie groups were proved by Speh and Vogan in [SV80, Theorem 6.19]. Since the proof of Speh and Vogan only use the structure of the relevant local L -parameters of the induced data, we can deduce the following irreducibility of the representations in a given local Vogan L -packet.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $G_0 = \mathrm{SO}(V_0, q_0)$ be the special orthogonal group for a non-trivial non-degenerate quadratic space (V_0, q_0) over \mathbb{R} . Suppose that for essentially discrete series representations σ_i of $\mathrm{GL}_{r_i}(\mathbb{R})$ and a tempered representation π_0 of G_0 with L -parameter φ_0 , the normalized induced representation $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_l \times \pi_0$ is an irreducible representation. Then $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_l \times \pi'_0$ is an irreducible representation for every $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$.*

3.2. Remarks on even special orthogonal groups. Let $G = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$. In the literature, the notation $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_l \times \pi_0$ represents the normalized parabolic induction $I_P^G(\sigma_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \sigma_l \hat{\otimes} \pi_0)$ where P is a parabolic subgroup of G whose Levi component M is isomorphic to

$$M_{\mathrm{st}} = \mathrm{GL}_{r_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{r_l}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_0, q_0).$$

It is well defined since parabolic subgroups with Levi component isomorphic to M_{st} are conjugate to each other in G when $\dim V_0 \neq 0$. However, when $G = \mathrm{SO}(p, p)$ for integer $p \geq 2$ and $\dim V_0 = 0$, the parabolic subgroups with Levi component M_{st} are conjugate to each other only by $\mathrm{O}(p, p)$ but not by $\mathrm{SO}(p, p)$ due to the outer automorphism of the root system \mathbf{D}_p . Hence, the induced representations from different parabolic subgroups may not be isomorphic as representations of $\mathrm{SO}(V, q)$.

In order to distinguish these representations, we fix a parabolic subgroup $P_{1,1}$ of $\mathrm{SO}(1, 1)$ and denote by $P_{p,+}$ and $P_{p,-}$ the two families of representatives of the conjugacy classes of parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(p, p)$ ($p \geq 2$) such that $P_{p,+}$ and $P_{p,-}$ have the same Levi subgroup, and, with fixed embeddings i_p from $\mathrm{SO}(p-1, p-1)$ to $\mathrm{SO}(p, p)$ for $p \geq 2$, we have

$$P_{1,1} \subset P_{2,\pm} \subset P_{3,\pm} \subset \cdots$$

The isomorphism class of the normalized parabolic induction depends on the choice of the conjugacy class of P , and we distinguish them by the notations

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_l \times 1_+ &= (\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_l) \times 1_+ = I_{P_{p,+}}^{\mathrm{SO}(p,p)}(\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_l) \\ \sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_l \times 1_- &= (\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_l) \times 1_- = I_{P_{p,-}}^{\mathrm{SO}(p,p)}(\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_l) \end{aligned}$$

for $p \geq 2$. For the uniformity of the notations, we also denote

$$\sigma_1 \times 1_+ = \sigma_1 \times 1_- = I_{P_{1,1}}^{\text{SO}(1,1)}(\sigma_1)$$

when $p = 1$. However, we should keep in mind that the parabolic subgroups we use for the normalized inductions

$$(\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_{l-1}) \times (\sigma_l \times 1_+)$$

and

$$(\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_{l-1}) \times (\sigma_l \times 1_-)$$

are in different G -conjugacy class if $r_l = 1$. These notation will be in accordance with our proof in Section 5 because the choice of the parabolic subgroup will not affect the infinitesimal character of the induced representation.

Our notations are related to the classical notations that fix the parabolic subgroup and change the induced data as follows. Let J be the outer automorphism of $G = \text{SO}(p, p)$ that maps $P_{p,+}$ to $P_{p,-}$, then we have

$$\tau \times 1_+ = I_{P_{p,+}}^G(\tau), \quad \tau \times 1_- \cong I_{P_{p,+}}^G(\tau^J)$$

where $\tau^J(m) = \tau(m^J)$ for $m \in M_p$, the common Levi subgroup of $P_{p,+}$ and $P_{p,-}$.

To obtain a uniform proof in Section 5, we formally regard $\text{SO}(0, 0)$ as a reductive group over \mathbb{R} and formally define 1_{\pm} as tempered representations of $\text{SO}(0, 0)$ which occurs in the parabolic inductions (3.1).

3.3. Irreducibility in generic packets. Now we are ready to prove that representations in generic Vogan L -packets are fully induced. Recall that a generic L -parameter φ of a special orthogonal group $G = \text{SO}(V, q)$ can be regarded as a semisimple representation M of the Weil-Deligne group \mathcal{W}_F with a pairing B . Then M can be decomposed as a direct sum of irreducible representations

$$M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_{0,i} + \bigoplus_{j=1}^l (M_j + M_j^{\vee})$$

where $M_{0,i}$'s are distinct self-dual irreducible representations, and M_i 's are not self-dual. One can find the full classification of the irreducible pieces in [Kna94]. We let $M_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_{0,i}$, $r_j = \dim M_j$ and $r = \sum_{j=1}^l r_j$.

When G is odd orthogonal, M_0 is nontrivial or $r = 1$ there is a unique \widehat{G} -conjugacy class of φ corresponding to the representation M . We let

$$\varphi = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \varphi_{0,i} + \bigoplus_{j=1}^l (\varphi_j + \varphi_j^{\vee})$$

be the corresponding decomposition of parameters. By taking $\varphi_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \varphi_{0,i}$, the decomposition can be simplified as

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi = \varphi_0 \oplus \varphi^+ \oplus (\varphi^+)^{\vee}.$$

When G is even orthogonal, M_0 is trivial and $r \neq 1$, there are two $\text{SO}(2r, \mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy class parameters corresponding to M , and we formally write $\varphi_0 = 0_+$ and $\varphi_0 = 0_-$ in the decomposition (3.2) respectively to distinguish them.

The local Langlands correspondence of the general linear groups is a one-to-one correspondence (see [Kna94]). Let the parameters φ_j corresponds to the representations $|\det(\cdot)|^{s_j} \tau_j$ respectively, where τ_j is equal to the character $\rho_{m_j}(z) = (\frac{z}{|z|})^{m_j}$ of $\text{GL}_1(F)$ or a discrete series representation

of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. We may assume that $\mathrm{Re}(s_1) \geq \dots \geq \mathrm{Re}(s_l) > 0$, then under the local Langlands correspondence of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_r(F)$, the parameter $\varphi^+ = \bigoplus_{j=1}^l \varphi_j$ corresponds to the unique quotient τ of

$$|\det(\cdot)|^{s_1} \tau_1 \times \dots \times |\det(\cdot)|^{s_l} \tau_l.$$

Since each irreducible self-dual representation of \mathcal{W}_F has bounded image, the parameter $\varphi_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \varphi_{0,i}$ itself has bounded image, and thus it is a tempered parameter. When $G = \mathrm{SO}(p, p)$ and $p \geq 2$, we may assume that the unique quotient of $\tau \times 1_+$ (resp. $\tau \times 1_-$) is the unique representation in the Vogan L -packet $\Pi_{0_{\pm} \oplus \varphi^+ \oplus (\varphi^+)^{\vee}}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ (resp. $\Pi_{0_{\pm} \oplus \varphi^+ \oplus (\varphi^-)^{\vee}}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$). Hence, in this case, we formally regard 0_{\pm} as tempered parameters of $G = \mathrm{SO}(0, 0)$ and denote $\Pi_{0_+}^{\mathrm{Vogan}} = \{1_+\}$ and $\Pi_{0_-}^{\mathrm{Vogan}} = \{1_-\}$.

Then we prove the follow statement:

(3.3) If φ is generic, every representation in $\Pi_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ is in the form of $\tau \times \pi_0$ for $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$.

We first prove that the statement is true when φ_0 is non-trivial. It is well known (see [GGP12, Section 4]) that the component group \mathcal{S}_{φ} of the parameter φ depends only on φ_0 . And thus from the Langlands-Vogan parameterization, the map that maps every tempered representation $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ to the unique quotient π of $\tau \times \pi_0$ is thus isomorphic. Therefore, we can write each $\pi \in \Pi_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ as the unique quotient of a normalized induction

$$|\det(\cdot)|^{s_1} \tau_1 \times \dots \times |\det(\cdot)|^{s_l} \tau_l \times \pi_0.$$

Let $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_c > 0$ be all possible values of $\mathrm{Re}(s_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq c$) in the above induction. And for $1 \leq t \leq c$, let

$$\sigma_t = |\det(\cdot)|^{i \mathrm{Im}(s_{i+1})} \tau_{i_1+1} \times \dots \times |\det(\cdot)|^{i \mathrm{Im}(s_{i_t+k_t})} \tau_{i_t+k_t}$$

where s_j ($j = i_t + 1, \dots, i_t + k_t$) are all s_j such that $\mathrm{Re}(s_j) = \lambda_c$. From Proposition 3.2, σ_t is an irreducible tempered unitary representation.

When G is quasi-split and π is generic, from Proposition 3.1, this induced representation

$$I_{\pi} = |\det(\cdot)|^{s_1} \tau_1 \times \dots \times |\det(\cdot)|^{s_l} \tau_l \times \pi_0 = |\det(\cdot)|^{\lambda_1} \sigma_1 \times \dots \times |\det(\cdot)|^{\lambda_c} \sigma_c \times \pi_0$$

is irreducible. In general, there exists a generic $\pi_{0,qs}$ representation in the L -packet $\Pi_{\varphi}(G_{0,\alpha_0,qs})$ for the quasi-split inner form $G_{0,qs}$. The above arguments shows the irreducibility of the parabolic induction using $\pi_{0,qs}$, and thus from Proposition 3.3, we have the irreducibility of the induced representation I_{π} , which implies $\pi = I_{\pi}$. So (3.3) is true when φ_0 is non-trivial.

When φ_0 is trivial, each Vogan L -packet in the statement contains only one representation, and the representation π in $\Pi_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ is the unique quotient of $\tau \times \pi_0$, where π_0 is the representation in $\Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$. As φ is generic, π is generic. Then (3.3) follows from Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2.

Proposition 3.4. *For a generic local L -parameter $\varphi = \varphi_0 \oplus \varphi^+ \oplus (\varphi^+)^{\vee}$, every representation π in $\Pi_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ can be expressed as*

$$\pi = \tau \times \pi_0$$

where π_0 is a tempered representation with L -parameter φ_0 (or 1_{\pm} when φ_0 is trivial), and τ is the representation of $\mathrm{GL}_r(F)$ with L -parameter φ^+ . Moreover,

$$\tau = |\det(\cdot)|^{s_1} \tau_1 \times \dots \times |\det(\cdot)|^{s_l} \tau_l$$

where $\mathrm{Re}(s_1) \geq \mathrm{Re}(s_2) \geq \dots \geq \mathrm{Re}(s_l) > 0$ and each τ_i is a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{r_i}(G)$.

In particular,

Corollary 3.1. *When $F = \mathbb{C}$, representations in generic Vogan L -packets are principal series representations.*

4. CONJECTURES 1.1 AND 2.1 OVER THE COMPLEX FIELD

The co-dimension one case of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for special orthogonal groups over the complex field was proved by Jan Möllers in [Möll17]. And in this section, we generalize his results and give a proof for the general case by constructing a nonzero Bessel functional using the method in [GSS19].

Let $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu})$ be the Gan-Gross-Prasad triple for an admissible pair (V, V') . We first show that we can choose a Borel subgroup \tilde{B} of \tilde{G} such that the double coset $\tilde{B}\tilde{H}$ is open dense in \tilde{G} and the intersection $\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{H}$ is the trivial group.

Recall the notations in Section 2.1, P is the parabolic subgroup of $G = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$ stabilizing the flag \mathcal{F} with the Levi decomposition $P = MN$. The Levi part M can be decomposed as $A \times G^+$, where $A \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$ and $G^+ = \mathrm{SO}(V' \oplus \mathbb{C}v_0)$. Let $\tilde{G}^+ = \mathrm{SO}(V' \oplus \mathbb{C}v_0) \times \mathrm{SO}(V')$ and $\tilde{H}^+ = \Delta\mathrm{SO}(V')$ is the image of the diagonal embedding of $G' = \mathrm{SO}(V')$ into \tilde{G}^+ . The group $\tilde{P} = P \times G'$ is a parabolic subgroup of \tilde{G} , with Levi part $\tilde{M} = (A \times 1)\tilde{G}^+$ and unipotent radical $\tilde{N} = N \times 1$. Let $\overline{\tilde{P}}$ be the opposite of the parabolic subgroup \tilde{P} in \tilde{G} and $\overline{\tilde{N}}$ be the unipotent radical of $\overline{\tilde{P}}$. Then $\overline{\tilde{N}}\tilde{M}\tilde{N}$ is open dense in \tilde{G} and

$$(4.4) \quad \text{the multiplication map from } \overline{\tilde{N}} \times \tilde{M} \times \tilde{N} \text{ to } \overline{\tilde{N}}\tilde{M}\tilde{N} \text{ is a diffeomorphism.}$$

From [Möll17, Section 6.2.4], \tilde{G}^+ has a Borel subgroup \tilde{B}^+ such that the double coset $\tilde{B}^+\tilde{H}^+$ is open dense and $\tilde{B}^+ \cap \tilde{H}^+ = 1$. Notice that $\tilde{H} = \Delta\mathrm{SO}(V') \rtimes N = \tilde{H}^+\tilde{N}$, so if we choose $\tilde{B} = \overline{\tilde{N}}(A \times 1)\tilde{B}^+$, from (4.4), we have

$$\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{H} = \overline{\tilde{N}}(A \times 1)\tilde{B}^+ \cap \tilde{H}^+\tilde{N} = (A \times 1)\tilde{B}^+ \cap \tilde{H}^+ = 1$$

and $\tilde{B}\tilde{H} = \overline{\tilde{N}}(A \times 1)(\tilde{B}^+\tilde{H}^+)\tilde{N}$ is open dense in $\overline{\tilde{N}}\tilde{M}\tilde{N}$, which implies $\tilde{B}\tilde{H}$ is open dense in \tilde{G} .

For every character $\tilde{\sigma}$ of \tilde{B} that trivialize the unipotent radical of \tilde{B} , from [DC91, Remark 2.1.4], as $P \backslash G$ is compact, there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{H}}(I_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\sigma}), \tilde{\nu})$ and the space of $(\tilde{B} \times \tilde{H}, \delta_{\tilde{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\sigma} \times \tilde{\nu})$ -equivariant distributions. Then we construct a nonzero equivariant distribution by "extending" an equivariant tempered measure on the open double coset $\tilde{B}\tilde{H}$ to \tilde{G} with results of Gourevitch, Sahi and Sayag in [GSS19].

Since $\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{H} = 1$, we can construct a $(\tilde{B} \times \tilde{H}, \delta_{\tilde{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\sigma} \times \tilde{\nu})$ -equivariant measure μ on $\tilde{B}\tilde{H}$ as

$$(4.5) \quad \mu = \delta_{\tilde{B}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(\tilde{b})\tilde{\nu}(\tilde{h}) \, d_L\tilde{b} \, d_R\tilde{h}.$$

where $d_L\tilde{b}$ is the left invariant Haar measure of \tilde{B} and $d_R\tilde{h}$ is the right invariant Haar measure of \tilde{H} . Recall that $\tilde{\nu}$ is a unitary character and that $\delta_{\tilde{B}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\sigma}$ is a multiplicative character of \tilde{B} that is trivial in the unipotent radical. Hence, μ is a tempered measure on $\tilde{B}\tilde{H}$, that is, a measure that yields finite value on Schwartz functions of $\tilde{B}\tilde{H}$.

Because \tilde{B} is solvable, from [GSS19, Corollary 2.10], there exists a character χ of $\tilde{B} \times \tilde{H}$ and a $(\tilde{B} \times \tilde{H}, \chi)$ -equivariant regular function f of \tilde{G} such that $\tilde{B}\tilde{H} = \{g \in \tilde{G} | f(g) \neq 0\}$. For $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) \gg 0$,

the measure $\mu(\lambda) = \mu|f|^\lambda$ can be extended by zero to a $(\tilde{B} \times \tilde{H}, |\chi|^\lambda \otimes (\delta_{\tilde{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\sigma} \times \tilde{\nu}))$ -equivariant distribution $\mu(\lambda)$ on \tilde{G} . From [GSS19, Lemma 4.1], $\mu(\lambda)$ can be meromorphically continued to $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. From [GSS19, Lemma 2.2], the leading term of the Laurent series of $\mu(\lambda)$ at $\lambda = 0$ is a nonzero $(\tilde{B} \times \tilde{H}, \delta_{\tilde{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\sigma} \times \tilde{\nu})$ -equivariant distribution on G , which corresponds to a nonzero element in $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{H}}(I_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\sigma}), \tilde{\nu})$.

For every principal series representation $\tilde{\pi} = I_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\sigma})$ of \tilde{G} , by combining the above construction with [JSZ10, Theorem A], we can conclude that

$$m(\tilde{\pi}) = \dim \text{Hom}_{\tilde{H}}(I_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\sigma}), \tilde{\nu}) = 1.$$

For every generic local L -parameter $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \times \varphi'$ of $\tilde{G} = G \times G'$, since there is no discrete series representation of special orthogonal groups over the complex field, the L -packets $\Pi_{\varphi}(G)$ and $\Pi_{\varphi'}(G')$ contains only one representation each. Besides, there is only one pure inner form of G' , so the Vogan L -packet $\Pi_{\tilde{\varphi}}^{\text{Vogan}}$ contains only one representation. From Corollary 3.1, this representation is equal to $\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi'$ where π and π' are principal series representations of G and G' respectively, and from [War12, Appendix 2.3], $\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi'$ is a principal series representation of \tilde{G} . Therefore, Conjecture 1.1 and Conjecture 2.1 are proved over the complex field.

Theorem 4.1. *Conjectures 1.1 and 2.1 hold over the complex field \mathbb{C} .*

5. CONJECTURES 1.1 AND 2.1 OVER THE REAL FIELD

In this section, we prove the generic part of the conjectures over the real field based on the tempered part. We will construct a descent map between Bessel functionals for representations of two Gan-Gross-Prasad triples to manifest the relation between local Bessel functionals and parabolic inductions. We will first give a technical lemma in Section 5.1. Then we use our technical lemma to prove some vanishing results in Section 5.2-5.4, which implies the descent map is bijective under certain conditions. With the bijectiveness of the descent map, we obtain some multiplicity formula in Section 5.5. Finally, in Section 5.6 and 5.7, we apply the multiplicity formula to prove the Theorem 2.1 and 2.2 following Mœglin and Waldspurger's idea in [MW12].

We work in the category $\mathcal{R}(G)$ of smooth Fréchet representations of moderate growth for an almost linear Nash group G . A representation π in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is called Casselman-Wallach if the $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, K)$ -module π^K is Harish-Chandra (see Section 2.2). The reason for not working in the category of Casselman-Wallach representations is that the representation ${}^n\pi_0|_{\text{SO}(V'_0, q'_0)}$ in Section 5.2 is not admissible. When no confusion is possible, we do not distinguish a representation with its underlying space.

For the Gan-Gross-Prasad triple $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu})$ of an admissible pair (V, V') , a Casselman-Wallach representation π of $\text{SO}(V, q)$ and a Casselman-Wallach representation π' of $\text{SO}(V', q')$, we denote $m(\pi, \pi')$ to be the dimension of the space of Bessel functionals $\text{Hom}_{S_r}(\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi', \nu_r)$ of the pair (π, π') , where S_r is the Bessel subgroup and ν_r is the generic Bessel character defined in Section 2.1. Then we have

$$(5.6) \quad m(\pi, \pi') = \dim \text{Hom}_{S_r}(\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi', \nu_r) = \dim \text{Hom}_{\tilde{H}}(\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi', \tilde{\nu}) = m_{\tilde{H}}(\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi').$$

To make our proof work uniformly in the even orthogonal case discussed in Section 3.2, we formally define the above notations for the formal group $\text{SO}(0, 0)$. We define $\mathcal{R}(\text{SO}(0, 0)) = \{1_{\pm}\}$. We also formally define $m(1, 1_{\pm}) = m(1_{\pm}, 1) = 1$ where 1 is the trivial representation of $\text{SO}(1, 0)$.

For a closed subgroup P of G , we denote by $\text{S-Ind}_P^G \sigma$ the Schwartz induction of σ from H to G , which is defined in Appendix A.1. One can check that $\text{S-Ind}_P^G \sigma$ is canonically isomorphic to the unnormalized smooth induction $\text{Ind}_P^G \sigma$ when $P \backslash G$ is compact. For a parabolic subgroup P of G , we denote by $I_P^G(\sigma)$ the normalized induction of σ from P to G , which is isomorphic to $\text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_G^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma)$. Here δ_P, δ_G are the modular characters of P and G respectively.

Then we review our basic settings and introduce some notations that we would use in our proof. We let $(\tilde{G}', \tilde{H}', \tilde{\nu}')$ be the Gan-Gross-Prasad triple for an admissible pair (V', V_0) . Recall the decomposition $V_0^\perp = (X'_r \oplus Y'_r) \oplus \mathbb{R}v'_0$ for totally isotropic subspaces X'_r, Y'_r and anisotropic vector v'_0 , and we denote $X'_{r+1} = X'_r \oplus \mathbb{R}v'_0$. Now we construct an anisotropic vector v orthogonal to V' such that $(v, v) = -(v'_0, v'_0)$ and we define $V = V' \oplus \mathbb{R}v$. Then we have

$$V = V' \oplus \mathbb{R}v = (X_{r+1} \oplus Y_{r+1}) \oplus V_0.$$

where $X_{r+1} = X_r \oplus \mathbb{C}(v + v'_0)$ and $Y_{r+1} = Y_r \oplus \mathbb{C}(v - v'_0)$. By taking $G = \text{SO}(V, q)$, we obtain a co-dimension one Gan-Gross-Prasad triple $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu})$, where $\tilde{G} = G \times G'$, \tilde{H} is the image $\Delta G'$ of the diagonal embedding of G' into \tilde{G} , and $\tilde{\nu}$ is the trivial character of \tilde{H} . We denote $d = \dim V$.

Let P be the parabolic subgroup of G stabilizing X_{r+1} , and $P = MN$ be the Levi decomposition of P where $M = \text{GL}(X_{r+1}) \times G_0$. From the calculation in [Möll17, Section 6.3.5], PG' is open dense in G . Take $P' = P \cap G'$. Then P' is the subgroup of G' consisting of elements p' that satisfy

$$(5.7) \quad p'X'_r \subset X'_r \quad p'(v + v'_0) \subset X'_r \oplus \mathbb{R}v'_0,$$

equivalently,

$$(5.8) \quad p'X'_r \subset X'_r \quad (p' - 1)v \subset X'_r.$$

From (5.7), P' can be decomposed as

$$(R_{r,1} \times G_0) \rtimes N'$$

where $G_0 = \text{SO}(V_0, q_0)$ and $R_{r,1}$ is the mirabolic subgroup of $\text{GL}_{r+1} = \text{GL}(X_{r+1})$ that consists of g satisfying

$$(g - 1)(v + v'_0) \subset X'_r \quad gX'_r \subset X'_r,$$

and N' is the unipotent subgroup of G' consisting of g that satisfy

$$(g - 1)X'_{r+1} = 0 \quad (g - 1)V_0 \subset X'_{r+1}.$$

From (5.8), we have $S'_r \subset P'$, and then there is a decomposition

$$S'_r = (N_{r+1} \times G_0) \rtimes N',$$

where N_{r+1} is the subgroup of $R_{r,1}$ consisting of g such that

$$(g - 1)X'_i \subset X'_{i-1}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Let ψ_{r+1} be the (unitary) character of N_{r+1} obtained by the restriction of ν'_r to N_{r+1} , then we have

$$(5.9) \quad \text{S-Ind}_{S'_r}^{P'} \overline{\nu}' = \text{S-Ind}_{(N_{r+1} \times G_0) \rtimes N'}^{(R_{r,1} \times G_0) \rtimes N'} \overline{\nu}' = \text{S-Ind}_{N_{r+1}}^{R_{r,1}} \overline{\psi}_{r+1}.$$

Let π' (resp. π_0, σ) be a Casselman-Wallach representation of G' (resp. G_0, GL_{r+1}). For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by π_s the representation $|\det(\cdot)|^s \sigma \times \pi_0 = I_P^G(|\det(\cdot)|^s \sigma \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0)$.

When σ is a principal series, our construction of the descent map $F_s(s \in \mathbb{C})$ is the composite $F_{2,s} \circ F_{1,s}$ of

$$F_{1,s} : \text{Hom}_{G'}(\pi_s \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^G(|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma|_{R_{r,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C})$$

constructed in Section 5.2, and

$$F_{2,s} : \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma|_{R_{r,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S'_r}(\pi' \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0, \nu'_r)$$

constructed in Section 5.3. And we prove in Section 5.2 and 5.3 that $F_{1,s}$ and $F_{2,s}$ are isomorphisms for general s , that is, for $s \in \mathbb{C} - E$ where E is a countable subset of \mathbb{C} . It follows that $m(\pi', \pi_0) = m(\pi_s, \pi')$ for general s . In order to use the mathematical induction as in [MW12], the multiplicity formulas should be proved for representations π_0, π' in generic Vogan L -packets under some stronger conditions (which will be called as "Condition (P)" in the rest of this section), that is,

- (1) for general s , if σ is a principal series representation;
- (2) for $\text{Re}(s) \geq s'$, if $r = 0$ and $\sigma = \text{sgn}^m(m = 0, 1)$ of $\text{GL}_1(\mathbb{R})$, where $\text{sgn}(x) = 1$ when $x > 0$ and $\text{sgn}(x) = -1$ when $x < 0$;
- (3) for $\text{Re}(s) + \frac{n}{2} \geq s'$, if $r = 1$ and σ is the discrete series representation D_n of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ for a positive integer n , which is isomorphic to the unique quotient of $|\cdot|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \times |\cdot|^{\frac{n}{2}} \text{sgn}^{n+1}$.

Here s' is a real number associated to π' defined by (5.10) in Section 5.1.

If $r = 0$ and $\sigma = \text{sgn}^m(m = 0, 1)$, we would construct the same descent map as the principal series case, which is an isomorphism when $\text{Re}(s) \geq s'$.

If $r = 1$ and σ is the discrete series representation D_n of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, we have to construct $F_{2,s}$ differently to ensure it is bijective when $\text{Re}(s) + \frac{n}{2} \geq s'$, and in this case the decent map "descends" Bessel functionals of the pair $(\sigma \times \pi_0, \pi')$ to Bessel functionals of the pair $(\pi', \chi \times \pi_0)$, where χ is the character of $\text{GL}_1(\mathbb{R})$ defined by $\chi(x) = |x|^{\frac{n}{2}} \text{sgn}^{n+1}(x)$.

5.1. A technical lemma. In this subsection, we apply the results in Appendix A and B to prove Lemma 5.1, our main technical lemma. We would freely use the notations about Schwartz homologies in Appendix A and Harish-Chandra conjugacy classes in Appendix B.

Lemma 5.1. *Let p, q, l be non-negative integers and $n = \lfloor \frac{p+q}{2} \rfloor$. Given a representation $\pi_0 \in \mathcal{R}(\text{SO}(p, q))$, an irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation τ of $\text{GL}_l(\mathbb{R})$ with the Harish-Chandra conjugacy class $[v_\tau] = [(s_1, \dots, s_l)]$ and an irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation π' of $G' = \text{SO}(p+l, q+l)$ with the Harish-Chandra conjugacy class $[v_{\pi'}] = [(\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n)]$. Suppose we have $s_1 \neq \pm \lambda'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then the Schwartz homologies of $(\tau \times \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi'$ vanish.*

Proof. From Corollary A.2, it suffices to find an element $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{C}})$ such that $\chi_{\pi' \vee}(z) \neq 0$ and

$$z.v = 0, \text{ for every } v \in \tau \times \pi_0.$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the Cartan subalgebra of the complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ of G' . With the parameterization of $\mathfrak{h}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ in Appendix B.1, we define a polynomial p in the polynomial algebra $P(\mathfrak{h}'_{\mathbb{C}})$ by

$$p(\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i'^2 - s_1^2)$$

and p is invariant under the Weyl group W_G . Then we take the element $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{C}})$ corresponding to $p \in P(\mathfrak{h}'_{\mathbb{C}})^{W_G}$ under Harish-Chandra isomorphism.

On the one hand, for every irreducible component $\tilde{\pi}_0$ of π_0 , suppose the Harish-Chandra conjugacy class of $\tilde{\pi}_0$ is $(\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_{n-l})$, then the Harish-Chandra conjugacy class $[v_{\tilde{\pi}}]$ for every irreducible component $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\tau \times \tilde{\pi}_0$ is

$$[(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l, \tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_{n-l})].$$

As a result,

$$z.v = p(v_{\tilde{\pi}})v = \prod_{i=1}^l (s_i^2 - s_1^2) \prod_{i=1}^{n-l} (\tilde{\lambda}_i^2 - s_1^2)v = 0$$

for every $v \in \tilde{\pi}$, so $z.v = 0$ for every $v \in \tau \times \pi_0$. On the other hand, from Proposition B.1 and the assumption that $s_1 \neq \pm \lambda'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\chi_{\pi^\vee}(z) = p(-v_{\pi'}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (-\lambda'_i + s_1)(-\lambda'_i - s_1) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, the Schwartz homologies of $(\tau \times \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi'$ vanish. \square

Given a representation π' in a generic Vogan L -packet of $G' = \mathrm{SO}(V', q')$, from Proposition 3.4, π' can be expressed as the parabolic induction

$$|\det(\cdot)|^{s'_1} \tau'_1 \times \cdots \times |\det(\cdot)|^{s'_l} \tau'_l \times \pi'_0,$$

where $\mathrm{Re}(s'_1) \geq \cdots \geq \mathrm{Re}(s'_l) > 0$, π'_0 is tempered, and each τ'_j is isomorphic to $\mathrm{sgn}^{m'_j}$ ($m'_j = 0, 1$) of $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{R})$ or a discrete series representation $D_{n'_j}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ for a positive integer n'_j . Then the Harish-Chandra conjugacy class $[v_{\pi'}]$ can be expressed as follows

$$[v_{\pi'}] = [(v_1, \dots, v_l, v_{\pi'_0})],$$

where $[v_{\pi'_0}]$ is the Harish-Chandra conjugacy class of π'_0 and

$$v_j = \begin{cases} (s'_j) & \text{if } \tau'_j = \mathrm{sgn}^{m'_j}, \\ (s'_j + \frac{n'_j}{2}, s'_j - \frac{n'_j}{2}) & \text{if } \tau'_j = D_{n'_j}. \end{cases}$$

Now we define the complex number s' in Condition (P) by

$$(5.10) \quad s' = \max\{\sup\{\mathrm{Re}(s'_j) + \frac{n'_j}{2}\}, 0\}.$$

In particular, s' is equal to zero when π' is tempered. It is worthwhile to mention that the s' defined above depends only on the parameter φ'^+ in (3.2). And from Lemma 5.1 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.1. *With the notations in Proposition 5.1, if $s_1 > s'$, then the Schwartz homologies of $(\tau \times \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi'$ vanish.*

5.2. Construction and bijectiveness of $F_{1,s}$. In this subsection, we first construct the map $F_{1,s}$ and then do the Schwartz analysis on the closed orbits to obtain a vanishing result in Proposition 5.2, which implies that $F_{1,s}$ is isomorphic under Condition (P).

On the one hand, recall that π_s is the representation $|\det(\cdot)|^s \sigma \times \pi_0$, so we can express π_s as the space of Schwartz sections on the Nash manifold $P \backslash G$ with Proposition A.2, which gives

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_s &= I_P^G(|\det(\cdot)|^s \widehat{\sigma} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \\ &= \mathrm{S}\text{-Ind}_P^G(|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \\ &= \Gamma^{\mathcal{S}}(P \backslash G, |\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0). \end{aligned}$$

Here δ_P is the modular character of P , and we have $\delta_P(p) = |\det(m)|^{d-1-r}$ for every $p = (m \times g_0) \rtimes n \in P = (\mathrm{GL}_{r+1} \times G_0) \rtimes N$. For the simplicity, we regard δ_P as a character of GL_{r+1} .

On the other hand, from Proposition A.2, we have

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma|_{R_{r,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) &= \Gamma^S(P' \backslash G', |\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma|_{R_{r,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \\ &= \Gamma^S(P \backslash PG', |\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $P \backslash PG'$ is an open Nash submanifold of $P \backslash G$, the extension by zero gives a natural embedding

$$i_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X}} : \Gamma^S(P \backslash PG', |\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \rightarrow \Gamma^S(P \backslash G, |\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0).$$

Then we define

$$F_{1,s} : \text{Hom}_{G'}(\pi_s \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma|_{R_{r,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C})$$

to be the map induced from $i_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X}}$. We will prove that $F_{1,s}$ is an isomorphism under Condition (P) using Schwartz homologies. For this purpose, we first analyze the structure of G' -orbits of $P \backslash G$.

Let $\mathcal{X} = P \backslash G$, the Grassmannian Nash manifold consisting of totally isotropic subspaces V_{r+1} of dimension $r+1$ in V with G' -action on the right. Note that $\mathcal{U} = P \backslash PG'$ is the unique open orbit of \mathcal{X} consisting of V_{r+1} that is not contained in V' and its complement $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{U}$ is the closed Nash submanifold of \mathcal{X} consisting of V_{r+1} that is contained in V' .

If $V'_0 = V_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}v'_0$ is anisotropic, then X'_r is maximal isotropic in V' . In this case, \mathcal{Z} is empty. If V'_0 is isotropic, then there is $v_0 \in V_0$ such that $(v_0, v_0) = -(v'_0, v'_0)$. In this case, \mathcal{Z} is the disjoint union of two connected G' -orbits $\{[\mathbb{C}(v_0 + v'_0)]\} = P \backslash P\eta G'$ and $\{[\mathbb{C}(v_0 - v'_0)]\}$ when $\dim V' = 2$ and \mathcal{Z} is a single G' -orbit $P \backslash P\eta G'$ when $\dim V' > 2$, where η is an element in G satisfying $P \backslash P\eta = [X'_r \oplus \mathbb{C}(v_0 + v'_0)]$. Then $\eta^{-1}P\eta$ is the parabolic subgroup of G stabilizing $X'_r \oplus \mathbb{C}(v_0 + v'_0)$ and thus $Q' = \eta^{-1}P\eta \cap G'$ is the parabolic subgroup of G' stabilizing $X'_r \oplus \mathbb{C}(v_0 + v'_0)$. The Levi decomposition $Q' = M_{Q'} N_{Q'}$ indicates that $M_{Q'}$ is isomorphic to $\text{GL}(X'_r \oplus \mathbb{C}(v_0 + v'_0)) \times \text{SO}(V'_0, q'_0)$.

The complexified conormal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{X}}^V$ has dimension $r+1$, and gives rise to a representation of Q' on its fiber over $[X'_r \oplus \mathbb{C}(v_0 + v'_0)]$ such that $N_{Q'}$ and $\text{SO}(V'_0, q'_0)$ acts trivially and $\text{GL}(X'_r \oplus \mathbb{C}(v_0 + v'_0))$ acts as the standard representation ρ on the fiber.

Let \mathcal{E} is the tempered bundle $P' \backslash (G' \times (|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0))$ where the right action of P' is given by $p' \cdot (g', v) = (p'^{-1}g', p'^{-1}v)$ for $g' \in G'$ and $v \in |\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0$.

Proposition 5.1. *The Schwartz homologies of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) = \Gamma^S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})/\Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E})$ vanish under Condition (P).*

Proof. From Proposition A.8, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$ has a complete decreasing filtration $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z},k}^S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$ whose graded pieces are isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma^S(\mathcal{Z}, \text{Sym}^k \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{X}}^V \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{Z}}) \\ &\cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } V'_0 \text{ is anisotropic,} \\ I_{Q'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^{s+\frac{1}{2}} (\sigma \otimes \text{Sym}^k \rho) \widehat{\otimes} {}^\eta \pi_0|_{\text{SO}(V'_0, q'_0)}) & \text{if } \dim V \neq 2, \text{ and } V'_0 \text{ is isotropic,} \\ (I_{Q'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^{s+\frac{1}{2}} (\sigma \otimes \text{Sym}^k \rho) \widehat{\otimes} {}^\eta \pi_0|_{\text{SO}(V'_0, q'_0)}))^{oplus 2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, where ${}^\eta \pi$ is the representation of $\eta^{-1}G_0\eta$ defined by ${}^\eta \pi(g'_0) = \pi_0(\eta g'_0 \eta^{-1})$. The factor $|\det(\cdot)|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ comes from the fact that $\delta_{\eta^{-1}P\eta}(p')/\delta_{Q'}(p') = |\det(m')|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, for every $p' = (m' \times g'_0) \rtimes n' \in Q'$.

Then we use our technical lemma in the following three cases to prove that the Schwartz homologies of

$$(5.13) \quad I_{Q'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \otimes \text{Sym}^k \rho) \widehat{\otimes} {}^\eta \pi_0|_{\text{SO}(V'_0, q'_0)} \widehat{\otimes} \pi'$$

vanish under Condition (P).

- (1) If σ is the principal series representation with its Harish-Chandra conjugacy class equal to $[(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)]$, then the Harish-Chandra conjugacy classes of the irreducible components of $\sigma \otimes \text{Sym}^k \rho$ are $[(s_1 + a_1, \dots, s_l + a_l)]$ from Proposition B.3, where a_i are non-negative integers such that $\sum_{i=1}^l a_i = k$. Hence, for general s , $s + s_1 + k + \frac{1}{2} \neq \pm \lambda'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and non-negative integer k . From Lemma 5.1 and Proposition B.2, the Schwartz homologies of (5.13) vanish for general s .
- (2) If $r = 0$ and $\sigma = \text{sgn}^m (m = 0, 1)$, the Harish-Chandra conjugacy classes of the irreducible representation $|\det(\cdot)|^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \otimes \text{Sym}^k \rho$ is $[(s + k + \frac{1}{2})]$. If $\text{Re}(s) \geq s'$, we have $\text{Re}(s) + \frac{1}{2} > s'$, then from Corollary 5.1, the Schwartz homologies of (5.13) vanish.
- (3) If $r = 1$ and σ is the discrete series representation D_n of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, from Proposition B.3, the Harish-Chandra conjugacy classes for irreducible components π'' of $|\det(\cdot)|^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \otimes \text{Sym}^k \rho$ are

$$[(s + \frac{n}{2} + a_1 + \frac{1}{2}, s - \frac{n}{2} + a_2 + \frac{1}{2})]$$

where a_1, a_2 are nonnegative integers satisfying $a_1 + a_2 = k$. If $\text{Re}(s) + \frac{n}{2} \geq s'$, we have $\text{Re}(s) + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} > s'$, from Corollary 5.1, we have the Schwartz homologies of

$$I_{Q'}^{G'}((\pi'' \otimes \text{Sym}^k \rho) \widehat{\otimes}^\eta \pi_0|_{\text{SO}(V'_0, q'_0)}) \widehat{\otimes} \pi'$$

vanish. From Proposition B.2, there are finitely many irreducible components π'' of the tensor product $|\det(\cdot)|^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \otimes \text{Sym}^k \rho$, so Proposition A.6 implies that the Schwartz homologies of (5.13) vanish.

Finally, from Corollary A.1, we can conclude that $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) \widehat{\otimes} \pi'$ vanish under Condition (P). \square

From (5.11) and (5.12), $\Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E})$ and $\Gamma^S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$ are Casselman-Wallach representations of G' . Hence, with Proposition A.1, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}) \widehat{\otimes} \pi' \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X}} \otimes 1_{\pi'}} \Gamma^S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) \widehat{\otimes} \pi' \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) \widehat{\otimes} \pi' \rightarrow 0,$$

Then Proposition A.6 gives a long exact sequence of the Schwartz homologies

$$H_1(G', \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) \widehat{\otimes} \pi') \rightarrow H_0(G', \Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}) \widehat{\otimes} \pi') \xrightarrow{I} H_0(G', \Gamma^S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) \widehat{\otimes} \pi') \rightarrow H_0(G', \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) \widehat{\otimes} \pi') \rightarrow 0$$

Hence, Proposition 5.2 implies that $I = H_0(G', i_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X}} \otimes 1_{\pi'})$ is isomorphic under Condition (P). Therefore, from Proposition A.7, $F_{1,s}$ is an isomorphism under Condition (P).

Corollary 5.2. *The map*

$$F_{1,s} : \text{Hom}_{G'}(\pi_s \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma|_{R_{r,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C})$$

is an isomorphism under Condition (P).

5.3. Construction and bijectiveness of $F_{2,s}$: the principal series case. In this subsection, we construct the map $F_{2,s}$ when σ is a principal series representation and do the Schwartz analysis on the open orbit to obtain that $F_{2,s}$ is isomorphic under Condition (P).

Proposition 5.2. *If σ is a principal series representation of GL_{r+1} , then there is a $R_{r,1}$ -homomorphism $T_p : \text{S-Ind}_{N_{r+1}}^{R_{r,1}} \overline{\psi}_{r+1} \rightarrow |\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P(\det(\cdot)) \sigma|_{R_{r,1}}$ that induces an isomorphism*

$$H_0^S(G', \text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{N_{r+1}}^{R_{r,1}} \overline{\psi}_{r+1} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi') \cong H_0^S(G', \text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma|_{R_{r,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi')$$

for general s .

Proof. The proof for [Xue20, Proposition 5.1] over the complex field can be generalized to principal series representations over the real field word by word. Hence, for a principal series representation σ , the $R_{r,1}$ -representation $|\det(\cdot)|^s \sigma|_{R_{r,1}}$ has a subrepresentation isomorphic to $\text{S-Ind}_{N_{r+1}}^{R_{r,1}} \overline{\psi}_{r+1}$, and their quotient has a $R_{r,1}$ -stable complete decreasing filtration with graded pieces σ_α such that the Schwartz homologies of $\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}((\sigma_\alpha \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi')$ = 0 for general s (see [Xue20, (6.2)]). Therefore, the proposition follows from Proposition A.6 and Corollary A.1. \square

When σ is a principal series representation, Proposition 5.2 and A.7 implies that the map (5.14)

$$\text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma|_{R_{r,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{N_{r+1}}^{R_{r,1}} \overline{\psi}_{r+1} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}).$$

induced from T_p is isomorphic for general s . In particular, if $r = 0$, $R_{r,1}$ is the trivial group, and thus (5.14) is the identity map. From (5.9), Proposition A.5 and Corollary A.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.15) \quad \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{S'_r}^{P'} \overline{\nu}'_r \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) &= \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{S'_r}^{G'}(\overline{\nu}'_r \otimes \pi_0 \widehat{\otimes} \pi'|_{S'_r}), \mathbb{C}) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{S'_r}(\pi_0 \widehat{\otimes} \pi' \otimes \overline{\nu}'_r, \mathbb{C}) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{S'_r}(\pi' \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0, \nu'_r) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can define

$$F_{2,s} : \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S'_r}(\pi' \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0, \nu'_r)$$

to be the composition of (5.14) and (5.15) when σ is a principal series (including the case when $r = 0$ and $\sigma = \text{sgn}^m$), and $F_{2,s}$ is an isomorphism under Condition (P).

Corollary 5.3. *When σ is a principal series, the map*

$$F_{2,s} : \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S'_r}(\pi' \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0, \nu'_r)$$

is an isomorphism.

5.4. Construction and bijectiveness of $F_{2,s}$: the discrete series case. In this subsection, we will construct the map $F_{2,s}$ when $r = 1$ and σ is the discrete series representation D_n of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and prove its bijectiveness.

Denote $\text{GL}_2 = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, $w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2$, and B_2 is the (upper-triangular) Borel subgroup of GL_2 with Levi decomposition $B_2 = T_2 N_2$. Let $\mathcal{X} = B_2 \backslash \text{GL}_2$, $\mathcal{U} = B_2 \backslash B_2 w_2 B_2 \subset \mathcal{X}$, and $\mathcal{Z} = B_2 \backslash B_2$. Recall that $R_{1,1}$ is the mirabolic subgroup of GL_2 consisting of matrices with $(0, 1)$ on the last row.

We define $\pi_D = |\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma$, where the modular character

$$\delta_P((m \times g_0) \rtimes n) = |\det(m)|^{d-1-r} = |\det(m)|^{d-2}.$$

Then D is the unique quotient π_I / π_F of the induced representation

$$\pi_I = \text{S-Ind}_{B_2}^{GL_2}(|\cdot|^{s+\frac{d-n-1}{2}} \otimes |\cdot|^{s+\frac{d+n-3}{2}} \text{sgn}^{n+1}) = \text{S-Ind}_{B_2}^{GL_2}(\chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2),$$

where $\chi_1(x) = |x|^{-n+1} \text{sgn}(x)^{n+1}$, $\chi_2(x) = |x|^{s+\frac{d+n-3}{2}} \text{sgn}^{n+1}(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and π_F is the unique subrepresentation of π_I whose dimension is equal to n .

Lemma 5.2. *Extension by zero gives a natural embedding of $R_{1,1}$ -representations*

$$(5.16) \quad \Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2) \rightarrow \Gamma^S(\mathcal{X}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2),$$

and $\Gamma^S(\mathcal{X}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2) / \Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2)$ has a complete decreasing filtration $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2)_k$ with graded pieces isomorphic to

$$\chi_1 \chi_2 (\det(\cdot)) \operatorname{sgn}^k(\det(\cdot)) |\det(\cdot)|^k \Big|_{R_{1,1}},$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots$.

Proof. This lemma follows from Proposition A.8. □

Lemma 5.3. *We have an $R_{1,1}$ -isomorphism*

$$\Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2) \cong \operatorname{S-Ind}_{\mathbb{R}^\times \times 1}^{R_{1,1}} \chi_2.$$

Proof. This lemma follows from the $R_{1,1}$ -isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2) &= \Gamma^S(B_2 \backslash B_2 w_2 B_2, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2) \\ &= \Gamma^S(T_2 \backslash B_2, \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 \chi_2) \\ &\cong \Gamma^S(\mathbb{R}^\times \times 1 \backslash R_{1,1}, \chi_2) = \operatorname{S-Ind}_{\mathbb{R}^\times \times 1}^{R_{1,1}} \chi_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we define a $R_{1,1}$ -homomorphism

$$T_d : \operatorname{S-Ind}_{\mathbb{R}^\times \times 1}^{R_{1,1}} \chi_2 \rightarrow \pi_D$$

by the composition of the embedding (5.16) and the quotient map π_I to π_F , that is,

$$T_d : \operatorname{S-Ind}_{\mathbb{R}^\times \times 1}^{R_{1,1}} \chi_2 = \Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2) \rightarrow \Gamma^S(\mathcal{X}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2) = \pi_I \rightarrow \pi_I / \pi_F = \pi_D.$$

Lemma 5.4. *T_d is injective.*

Proof. Suppose T_d is not injective then there exist $\tilde{f} \in \Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2)$ such that its extension by zero \tilde{f}_G in π_I is contained in π_F .

On the one hand, $f(x) = \tilde{f}(w_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix})$ is a Schwartz function. For $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, we can compute \tilde{f} with the decomposition

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sin\theta & \cos\theta \\ & \sin\theta \end{pmatrix} w_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\cot\theta \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we have

$$\tilde{f}_G \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{f} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} = \chi_1(1/\sin\theta) f(-\cot\theta).$$

Therefore, for every positive integer l , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \right)^l \tilde{f}_G \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{\theta=0} &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\chi_1(1/\sin\theta) f(-\cot\theta)}{\theta^l} \\ &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} (\cot\theta)^{\frac{-n+1}{2}+l} f(-\cot\theta) \cdot \frac{(\tan\theta)^l}{\theta^l} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from [God74, Section 2.3], π_F is generated by the functions

$$\varphi_{-n+1}, \varphi_{-n+3}, \dots, \varphi_{n-3}, \varphi_{n-1},$$

where $\varphi_k \in \text{S-Ind}_{B_2}^{GL_2}(\chi_1\chi_2 \otimes \chi_2)$, and

$$(5.17) \quad \varphi_k \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} = e^{ik\theta},$$

which determines φ_k uniquely due to the Iwasawa decomposition $GL_2(\mathbb{R}) = B_2 \cdot SO(2, \mathbb{R})$.

So $\tilde{f}_G \in \pi_F$ is a linear combination of φ_k , that is, there is a nonzero n -tuple $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\tilde{f}_G = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi_{2k-n-1}.$$

Then we have

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \right)^l \tilde{f}_G \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{\theta=0} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k ((2k-n-1)i)^l,$$

so there exists a positive integer l such that $\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \right)^l (\tilde{f}_G \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}) \Big|_{\theta=0}$ does not equal to 0, which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, the $R_{1,1}$ -homomorphism T_d is injective. \square

Lemma 5.5. *The finite-dimensional representation π_F is isomorphic to $\chi_1\chi_2(\det(\cdot))\text{Sym}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ as GL_2 -modules.*

Proof. From (5.17) we have the following equations about the right action of GL_2 on φ_k :

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \varphi_k = e^{ik\theta} \varphi_k$$

and

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_k = \chi_2(-1) \varphi_{-k}$$

and

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a \end{pmatrix} \varphi_k = \chi_1\chi_2^2(a) \varphi_k.$$

Therefore, from (5.18) and the "unitarian trick", π_F is isomorphic to $\text{Sym}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ as representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, where $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}v_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}v_2$ is the standard representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. We may also write

$$\varphi_k = (v_1 + iv_2)^{\frac{k+n-1}{2}} \otimes (v_1 - iv_2)^{\frac{n-1-k}{2}} \in \text{Sym}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^2).$$

From (5.19), π_F is isomorphic to $\chi_2(\det(\cdot))\text{Sym}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ as a representation of $SL_2^\pm(\mathbb{R})$, where \mathbb{C}^2 is the standard representation of $SL_2^\pm(\mathbb{R})$. From (5.20) and $\chi_1 = |\cdot|^{-n+1}\text{sgn}^{n+1}$, we have $F \cong \chi_1\chi_2(\det(\cdot))\text{Sym}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ as GL_2 -modules. \square

Lemma 5.6. *The irreducible components of the $R_{1,1}$ -composition series of the finite-dimensional representation π_F are*

$$|\det(\cdot)|^k \text{sgn}^k(\det(\cdot)), \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Proof. This lemma follows from the fact that the restriction of $\pi_F \cong \chi_1 \chi_2 (\det(\cdot)) \text{Sym}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ to $\mathbb{R}^\times \times 1 \subset M_2$ can be decomposed as n characters

$$|\cdot|^0 \text{sgn}^0, |\cdot|^{-1} \text{sgn}^1, \dots, |\cdot|^{-(n-1)} \text{sgn}^{n-1}.$$

□

Proposition 5.3. *When σ is a discrete series representation D_n of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, the $R_{1,1}$ -homomorphism $T_d : \text{S-Ind}_{\mathbb{R}^\times \times 1}^{R_{1,1}} \chi_2 \rightarrow \pi_D|_{R_{1,1}}$ such that T_d induces an isomorphism*

$$H_0^S(G', \text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{\mathbb{R}^\times \times 1}^{R_{1,1}} \chi_2) \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0 \widehat{\otimes} \pi') \cong H_0^S(G', \text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\pi_D|_{R_{1,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi').$$

for $\text{Re}(s) + \frac{n}{2} \geq s'$.

Proof. We first compute the structure of the cokernel of T_d , that is, the quotient $\pi_D / \Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2)$. On the one hand, from Lemma 5.2, $\pi_I / \Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2)$ has a complete decreasing filtration $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2)_k$ with graded pieces isomorphic to

$$(5.21) \quad |\det(\cdot)|^k \text{sgn}(\cdot)^k \chi_1 \chi_2 (\det(\cdot))|_{R_{1,1}}, \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots.$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 5.6, the finite-dimensional representation π_F in π_I has a $R_{1,1}$ -composition series with irreducible pieces

$$|\det(\cdot)|^k \text{sgn}^k(\det(\cdot)) \chi_1 \chi_2 (\det(\cdot))|_{R_{1,1}}, \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

So the projection to the quotient $\overline{\pi}_F = \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2) / \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2)_n$ gives an isomorphism between π_F and $\overline{\pi}_F$. Therefore, we have

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2) = \pi_F \oplus \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2)_n.$$

The quotient $\pi_D / \Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2) = (\pi_I / \Gamma^S(\mathcal{U}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2)) / \pi_F \cong \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^S(\mathcal{X}, \chi_1 \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2)_n$ has a complete decreasing filtration with graded pieces isomorphic to

$$\sigma_{k-n+1} = |\det(\cdot)|^k \text{sgn}^k(\det(\cdot)) \chi_1 \chi_2 (\det(\cdot))|_{R_{1,1}} \text{ for } k = n, n+1, \dots$$

that is,

$$\sigma_k = |\det(\cdot)|^k \text{sgn}^k(\det(\cdot)) \chi_2 (\det(\cdot))|_{R_{1,1}} = |\det(\cdot)|^{k+s+\frac{d+n-3}{2}} \text{sgn}^m(\det(\cdot))|_{R_{1,1}}$$

for positive integers k .

Recall the full isotropic flag $\mathcal{F}' : X'_1 \subset X'_2$ of v^\perp defined in Section 2.1, and we let P'_1 be the parabolic subgroup of G' stabilizing X'_1 with Levi decomposition $P'_1 = M'_1 N'_1$ where $M'_1 \cong \mathbb{R}^\times \times G'_0$. For every $t \in \mathbb{C}$, from Proposition A.4, we have

$$\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^t \text{sgn}^m(\det(\cdot))|_{R_{1,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \cong \text{S-Ind}_{P'_1}^{G'}(|\cdot|^t \text{sgn}^m \otimes \text{S-Ind}_{G'_0}^{G'_0} \pi_0) \cong |\cdot|^{t-\frac{d-2}{2}} \text{sgn}^m \times \text{S-Ind}_{G'_0}^{G'_0} \pi_0$$

If $t = k + s + \frac{d+n-3}{2}$ for a positive integer k and $\text{Re}(s) + \frac{n}{2} \geq s'$, we have

$$t - \frac{d-2}{2} = \text{Re}(s) + \frac{n}{2} + k - \frac{1}{2} > s'.$$

Then from Corollary 5.1, we have

$$(5.22) \quad \text{The Schwartz homologies of } \text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\sigma_k \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi' \text{ vanish for } k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Recall that $\sigma_k (k = 1, 2, \dots)$ are the graded pieces of a complete decreasing filtration of the cokernel of T_d , then the exactness of the Schwartz induction and completed tensor product (Proposition A.1 and A.3) implies that $\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\sigma_k \otimes \pi_0) (k = 1, 2, \dots)$ are the graded pieces of a complete

decreasing filtration of the cokernel of $\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(T_d \widehat{\otimes} 1_{\pi_0})$, then it follows from (5.22) and Corollary A.1 that T_d induces an isomorphism

$$H_0^{\mathcal{S}}(G', \text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}((\text{S-Ind}_{\mathbb{R}^\times \times 1}^{R_{1,1}} \chi_2) \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi') \cong H_0^{\mathcal{S}}(G', \text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\pi_D|_{R_{r,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi').$$

when $\text{Re}(s) + \frac{n}{2} \geq s'$. □

Proposition 5.3 shows that T_d induces an isomorphism

$$(5.23) \quad \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\pi_D|_{R_{1,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{\mathbb{R}^\times \times 1}^{R_{1,1}} \chi_2 \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C})$$

when $s + \frac{n}{2} \geq s'$.

We take $G^- = \text{SO}(V^-, q^-) \subset G'$ where $V^- = X'_r \oplus Y'_r \oplus V_0$ is the orthogonal complement of v'_0 in V' . Denote $P^- = ((\mathbb{R}^\times \times 1) \times G_0) \rtimes N' \subset G^-$, which is the parabolic subgroup of G^- that stabilizes X'_r . Then from Proposition A.5 and Corollary A.3, we have

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{P'} \chi_2 \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) &= \text{Hom}_{P^-}(\delta_{P^-}^{-1} \otimes \chi_2 \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0 \widehat{\otimes} \pi'|_{P^-}, \mathbb{C}) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{G^-}((\text{S-Ind}_{P^-}^{G^-} \chi_2 \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi'|_{G^-}, \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$F_{2,s} : \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\pi_D|_{R_{1,1}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G^-}(\text{S-Ind}_{P^-}^{G^-}(\chi_2 \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi'|_{G^-}, \mathbb{C})$$

by compositing (5.23) and (5.24), which is an isomorphism when $\text{Re}(s) + \frac{n}{2} \geq s'$.

Corollary 5.4. *When $r = 1$ and σ is a discrete series representation, the map*

$$F_{2,s} : \text{Hom}_{G'}(\text{S-Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(|\det(\cdot)|^s \delta_{P'}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G^-}(\text{S-Ind}_{P^-}^{G^-}(\chi_2 \widehat{\otimes} \pi_0) \widehat{\otimes} \pi'|_{G^-}, \mathbb{C})$$

is an isomorphism.

5.5. Descent maps and multiplicity formula. Now we have our descent map F_s , the composition $F_{2,s} \circ F_{1,s}$. By combining Corollary 5.2 with Corollary 5.3 and 5.4, the map F_2 is a bijection under Condition (P). Therefore, we have the following multiplicity formulas.

Theorem 5.1. (1) *If σ is a principal series representation,*

$$m(\pi_s, \pi') = m(\pi', \pi_0)$$

for general s .

(2) *If $\sigma = \text{sgn}^m (m = 0, 1)$, then*

$$m(\pi_s, \pi') = m(\pi', \pi_0)$$

for $\text{Re}(s) \geq s'$.

(3) *If σ is the discrete series representation D_n , then*

$$m(\pi_s, \pi') = m(\pi', \widehat{\pi})$$

for $\text{Re}(s) + \frac{n}{2} \geq s'$, where $\widehat{\pi} = |\cdot|^{-\frac{d-3}{2}} \chi_2 \times \pi_0 = |\cdot|^{s+\frac{n}{2}} \text{sgn}^{n+1} \times \pi_0$.

5.6. Proofs for co-dimension one cases. In this subsection, we will make use of the multiplicity formula to prove the co-dimension one case of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for generic parameters by reducing it to that of tempered parameters using mathematical induction.

Let $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu})$ be a co-dimension one Gan-Gross-Prasad triple, where $\tilde{G} = G \times G' = \mathrm{SO}(V, q) \times \mathrm{SO}(V', q')$. Fix a generic local L -parameter $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \times \varphi'$. Let $\varphi = \varphi_0 \oplus \varphi^+ \oplus (\varphi^+)^{\vee}$ and $\varphi' = \varphi'_0 \oplus \varphi'^+ \oplus (\varphi'^+)^{\vee}$ be the decompositions in (3.2), and let τ and τ' be representations corresponding to the L -parameter φ^+ and φ'^+ under the local Langlands correspondence of general linear groups respectively. From Proposition 3.4, for every $\pi \in \Pi_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}(G)$ and $\pi' \in \Pi_{\varphi'}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}(G')$, we have

$$\pi = \tau \times \pi_0, \quad \pi' = \tau' \times \pi'_0$$

for some tempered representations $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ and $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$.

From Proposition 3.4, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= |\det(\cdot)|^{s_1} \tau_1 \times \cdots \times |\det(\cdot)|^{s_l} \tau_l \\ \tau' &= |\det(\cdot)|^{s'_1} \tau'_1 \times \cdots \times |\det(\cdot)|^{s'_{l'}} \tau'_{l'} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Re}(s_1) \geq \mathrm{Re}(s_2) \geq \cdots \geq \mathrm{Re}(s_l) > 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(s'_1) \geq \cdots \geq \mathrm{Re}(s'_{l'}) > 0$. Here τ_i are representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{r_i}(\mathbb{R})$, such that when $r_i = 2$, $\tau_i \cong D_{n_i}$ for an positive integer n_i ; when $r_i = 1$, $\tau_i \cong \mathrm{sgn}^{m_i}$ and we define $n_i = 0$ in this case. Accordingly, we define r'_i and n'_i based on τ'_i for $i = 1, \dots, l'$.

Proposition 5.4. *With the above notations, there are two tempered representations τ_{temp} and τ'_{temp} of some general linear groups over \mathbb{R} such that*

$$(5.25) \quad m(\tau \times \pi_0, \tau' \times \pi'_0) = m(\tau_{temp} \times \pi_0, \tau'_{temp} \times \pi'_0)$$

for every $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ and every $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$.

Proof. We prove the proposition by mathematical induction on

$$N(\tau, \tau') = \sum_{\mathrm{Re}(s_i) \neq 0} r_i + \sum_{\mathrm{Re}(s'_i) \neq 0} r'_i.$$

If $N(\tau, \tau') = 0$, we take $\tau_{temp} = \tau$ and $\tau'_{temp} = \tau'$ and then (5.25) holds for every $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$, $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$.

If we change the order of the normalized induction, we may assume

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Re}(s_1) + \frac{n_1}{2} &\geq \mathrm{Re}(s_2) + \frac{n_2}{2} \geq \cdots \geq \mathrm{Re}(s_l) + \frac{n_l}{2} \geq 0, \\ \mathrm{Re}(s'_1) + \frac{n'_1}{2} &\geq \mathrm{Re}(s'_2) + \frac{n'_2}{2} \geq \cdots \geq \mathrm{Re}(s'_{l'}) + \frac{n'_{l'}}{2} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose the proposition is true when $N(\tau, \tau') \leq k$, then when $N(\tau, \tau') = k + 1$, we consider the following cases.

Case 1: If $l \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(s_1) + \frac{n_1}{2} \geq \mathrm{Re}(s'_1) + \frac{n'_1}{2}$, then let $\tilde{\tau} = |\det(\cdot)|^{s_2} \tau_2 \times \cdots \times |\det(\cdot)|^{s_l} \tau_l$.

(a) If $\dim \tau_1 = 1$, from Theorem 5.1(2) we have

$$m(\tau \times \pi_0, \tau' \times \pi'_0) = m(\tau' \times \pi'_0, \tilde{\tau} \times \pi_0),$$

for every $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$ and $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\mathrm{Vogan}}$. Now we define $\tau^{(s)} = |\cdot|^s \times \tilde{\tau}$, then from Theorem 5.1(1), for general s , and thus for some $s \in i\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$m(\tau' \times \pi'_0, \tilde{\tau} \times \pi_0) = m(\tau^{(s)} \times \pi_0, \tau' \times \pi'_0).$$

for every $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$ and $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$.

(b) If $\dim \tau_1 = 2$, let $\widehat{\tau} = |\cdot|^{s_1 + \frac{n_1}{2}} \text{sgn}^{n_1+1} \times \widetilde{\tau}$, and from Theorem 5.1(3), we have

$$m(\tau \times \pi_0, \tau' \times \pi'_0) = m(\tau' \times \pi'_0, \widehat{\tau} \times \pi_0),$$

for every $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$ and $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$. Now we define $\tau^{(s)} = |\cdot|^s \times \widehat{\tau}$, then from Theorem 5.1(1), for general s , and thus for some $s \in i\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$m(\tau' \times \pi'_0, \widehat{\tau} \times \pi_0) = m(\tau^{(s)} \times \pi_0, \tau' \times \pi'_0).$$

for every $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$ and $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$.

As $N(\tau^{(s)}, \tau') \leq N(\tau, \tau') - 1 = k$, we have tempered representation τ_{temp} and τ'_{temp} such that

$$m(\tau^{(s)} \times \pi_0, \tau' \times \pi'_0) = m(\tau_{temp} \times \pi_0, \tau'_{temp} \times \pi'_0)$$

for every $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$ and $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$, which implies

$$m(\tau \times \pi_0, \tau' \times \pi'_0) = m(\tau_{temp} \times \pi_0, \tau'_{temp} \times \pi'_0)$$

Case 2: If $l = 0$ or $\text{Re}(s_1) + \frac{n_1}{2} < \text{Re}(s'_1) + \frac{n'_1}{2}$, then take $\tau^{(s)} = |\cdot|^s \times \tau'$. From [SV80, Theorem 1.1] and Langlands classification, for general s , $\tau^{(s'_0)} \times \pi'_0$ is irreducible for every $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$. So there is a $s'_0 \in i\mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\tau^{(s'_0)} \times \pi'_0 \text{ is irreducible}$$

for every $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$ and

$$m(\tau \times \pi_0, \tau' \times \pi'_0) = m(\tau^{(s'_0)} \times \pi'_0, \tau \times \pi_0).$$

for every $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$ and $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$.

Then the pair $(\tau^{(s'_0)}, \tau)$ belongs to Case 1 and $N(\tau^{(s'_0)}, \tau) = N(\tau, \tau') = k + 1$, so there exists τ'_{temp} and τ_{temp} such that

$$m(\tau^{(s'_0)} \times \pi'_0, \tau \times \pi_0) = m(\tau'_{temp} \times \pi'_0, \tau_{temp} \times \pi_0)$$

for every $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$ and $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$, which implies

$$m(\tau \times \pi_0, \tau' \times \pi'_0) = m(\tau'_{temp} \times \pi'_0, \tau_{temp} \times \pi_0).$$

By combining both cases, we can conclude that the proposition is true when $N(\tau, \tau') = k + 1$. Finally the proposition follows from mathematical induction. \square

With this proposition, we are able to reduce the co-dimensional one case of local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for generic local L -parameters of special orthogonal groups over archimedean local fields to that for tempered L -parameters.

Let φ_{temp}^+ and $\varphi_{temp}^{\prime+}$ be the L -parameter of τ_{temp} and τ'_{temp} respectively. And we define tempered parameters

$$\varphi_{temp} = \varphi_0 \oplus \varphi_{temp}^+ \oplus (\varphi_{temp}^+)^{\vee} \quad \varphi'_{temp} = \varphi'_0 \oplus \varphi_{temp}^{\prime+} \oplus (\varphi_{temp}^{\prime+})^{\vee}$$

Then from Proposition 5.4 and the tempered case proved in [Luo20], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\pi \widehat{\otimes} \pi' \in \Pi_{\varphi \times \varphi'}^{\text{Vogan}}} m(\pi, \pi') &= \sum_{\pi_0 \widehat{\otimes} \pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0 \times \varphi'_0}^{\text{Vogan}}} m(\tau \times \pi_0, \tau' \times \pi'_0) \\
 &= \sum_{\pi_0 \widehat{\otimes} \pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0 \times \varphi'_0}^{\text{Vogan}}} m(\tau_{\text{temp}} \times \pi_0, \tau'_{\text{temp}} \times \pi'_0) \\
 &= \sum_{\pi_{\text{temp}} \widehat{\otimes} \pi'_{\text{temp}} \in \Pi_{\varphi_{\text{temp}} \times \varphi'_{\text{temp}}}^{\text{Vogan}}} m(\pi_{\text{temp}}, \pi'_{\text{temp}}) = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the co-dimension one case of Theorem 2.1.

Now we assume Conjecture 2.1 for tempered parameters. Then for every generic local L -parameter $\tilde{\varphi}$ of \tilde{G} , from Proposition 5.4, there exists τ_{temp} and τ'_{temp} such that

$$m(\tau \times \pi_0, \tau' \times \pi'_0) = m(\tau_{\text{temp}} \times \pi_0, \tau'_{\text{temp}} \times \pi'_0).$$

for every $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$ and every $\pi'_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi'_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$.

On the one hand, the unique pair

$$(\pi_{\text{temp}}, \pi'_{\text{temp}}) = (\tau_{\text{temp}} \times \pi_0, \tau'_{\text{temp}} \times \pi'_0) \in \Pi_{\varphi_{\text{temp}}}^{\text{Vogan}} \times \Pi_{\varphi'_{\text{temp}}}^{\text{Vogan}}$$

such that

$$m(\pi_{\text{temp}}, \pi'_{\text{temp}}) = 1$$

satisfy

$$\eta_{\pi_{\text{temp}}} = \eta_{V_{\text{temp}}}, \eta_{\pi'_{\text{temp}}} = \eta_{V'_{\text{temp}}}.$$

On the other hand, from [GGP12, Proposition 5.1], we have

$$\eta_V = \eta_{V_0} = \eta_{V_{\text{temp}}}, \eta_{V'} = \eta_{V'_0} = \eta_{V'_{\text{temp}}},$$

and for $\pi = \tau \times \pi_0$ and $\pi' = \tau' \times \pi'_0$, we have

$$\eta_\pi = \eta_{\pi_0} = \eta_{\pi_{\text{temp}}}, \eta_{\pi'} = \eta_{\pi'_0} = \eta_{\pi'_{\text{temp}}}.$$

Hence

$$m(\pi, \pi') = 1 \iff \eta_\pi = \eta_V, \eta_{\pi'} = \eta_{V'}$$

Therefore, the co-dimension one case of Theorem 2.2 is proved.

5.7. Reduction to co-dimension one cases. Finally, we will prove the reduction of the general case to the co-dimension one case.

Let $(\tilde{G}', \tilde{H}', \tilde{\nu}')$ be a Gan-Gross-Prasad triple and we use the notations in the introductory part of this section. Let σ be a principal series of GL_{r+1} , then for an irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation π' in generic L -packets of G' and an irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation π_0 in the generic L -packets of G_0 , from [SV80, Theorem 1.1] and Langlands classification, $\pi_s = |\det(\cdot)|^s \sigma \times \pi_0$ is irreducible for general s .

From Theorem 5.1, for general $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$m(\pi_s, \pi') = m(\pi', \pi_0).$$

We choose s such that π_s is irreducible and the above equation holds for all $\pi' \in \Pi_{\varphi'}^{\text{Vogan}}$ and $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\varphi_0}^{\text{Vogan}}$. Then with the same arguments as the last subsection, the local Gan-Gross-Prasad

conjecture for $(\tilde{G}', \tilde{H}', \tilde{\nu}')$ can be reduced to the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu})$ which is a co-dimension one Gan-Gross-Prasad triple. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 are proved over the real field.

APPENDIX A. SCHWARTZ INDUCTIONS AND SCHWARTZ HOMOLOGIES

In this appendix, we introduce Schwartz inductions and Schwartz homologies, our main technical tools. We work in the setting of almost linear Nash groups G (see [Sun15]) and in the category $\mathcal{R}(G)$ of smooth Fréchet representations of moderate growth. A representation π in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is called Casselman-Wallach if the (\mathfrak{g}_G, K) -module π^K is Harish-Chandra (see Section 2.2).

A.1. Schwartz inductions. For an almost linear Nash group G and a Casselman-Wallach representation (π, V) of G , the Casselman-Wallach globalization theorem implies that V is a nuclear Fréchet space (see [BK14]), and the completed tensor product with a nuclear Fréchet space is an exact functor in the category of nuclear Fréchet spaces ([CHM00, Lemma A.3]). Then we have

Proposition A.1. *The completed tensor product with a Casselman-Wallach representation is an exact functor in the category of Casselman-Wallach representations.*

In order to define the Schwartz induction in the sense of [DC91, Section 2], we let H be a Nash subgroup of G , $(\sigma, V) \in \mathcal{R}(H)$ and I be the continuous map

$$I : \mathcal{S}(G, V) \rightarrow C^\infty(G, V), f \mapsto \left(g \mapsto \int_H \sigma(h) f(h^{-1}g) \, d_L h \right).$$

The Schwartz induction $\text{S-Ind}_H^G V$ is defined to be the image of this map. Chen and Sun used in [CS20] another way to give the Schwartz induction with the Schwartz sections of the tempered bundle $H \backslash G \times V$, where the right action of H is given by $h \cdot (g, v) = (h^{-1}g, h^{-1}v)$ for $g \in G$ and $v \in V$, and from [CS20, Propositions 6.7, 6.11], we have

Proposition A.2. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\text{S-Ind}_H^G V \cong \Gamma^{\mathcal{S}}(H \backslash G, V) \in \mathcal{R}(G),$$

where $\Gamma^{\mathcal{S}}(H \backslash G, V)$ stands for the space of Schwartz sections of the tempered bundle $H \backslash G \times V$.

From [DC91, Proposition 2.2.7], we have

Proposition A.3. *The Schwartz induction S-Ind_H^G is an exact functor from $\mathcal{R}(H)$ to $\mathcal{R}(G)$.*

And there is a straightforward transitivity of Schwartz inductions proved in [DC91, Lemma 2.1.6].

Proposition A.4. *For $H_1 \subset H_2 \subset H_3$, and $V \in \mathcal{R}(H_1)$, we have*

$$\text{S-Ind}_{H_2}^{H_3} \left(\text{S-Ind}_{H_1}^{H_2} V \right) = \text{S-Ind}_{H_1}^{H_3} V.$$

And Chen and Sun proved in [CS20, Proposition 7.4] that the completed tensor product commutes with the Schwartz induction.

Proposition A.5. *Let $W \in \mathcal{R}(H)$ and $V \in \mathcal{R}(G)$. Assume that one of W and V is nuclear. Then*

$$\text{S-Ind}_H^G (W \widehat{\otimes} V|_H) = (\text{S-Ind}_H^G W) \widehat{\otimes} V.$$

A.2. Schwartz homologies. The Schwartz homology $H_i^{\mathcal{S}}(G, V)$ is defined to be the left derived functors of the co-invariant functor $V \mapsto V_G$, where $V_G = V / \sum_{g \in G} (g - 1)V$. In particular, $H_0^{\mathcal{S}}(G, V) = V_G$. Like other kinds of homologies, the Schwartz homologies also produces a long exact sequence from a short exact sequence.

Proposition A.6 (Long exact sequence). *Given a short exact sequence of representations in $\mathcal{R}(G)$*

$$0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow V_3 \rightarrow 0$$

Then there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{i+1}^{\mathcal{S}}(G, V_3) \rightarrow H_i^{\mathcal{S}}(G, V_1) \rightarrow H_i^{\mathcal{S}}(G, V_2) \rightarrow H_i^{\mathcal{S}}(G, V_3) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Because for every $f \in \text{Hom}_G(V, \mathbb{C})$, we have $f(\sum_{g \in G} (g - 1)V) = \sum_{g \in G} f((g - 1)V) = 0$. Then we have

$$\text{Hom}_G(H_0^{\mathcal{S}}(G, V), \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_G(V, \mathbb{C}),$$

and the following functorial proposition.

Proposition A.7. *Suppose that we have $V, W \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ and a G -homomorphism $f : V \rightarrow W$ that induces an isomorphism of*

$$H_0^{\mathcal{S}}(G, f) : H_0^{\mathcal{S}}(G, V) \rightarrow H_0^{\mathcal{S}}(G, W).$$

Then the induced map

$$\text{Hom}_G(W, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(V, \mathbb{C})$$

is an isomorphism.

A.3. Borel's lemma. Let \mathcal{X} be a Nash manifold, \mathcal{Z} be a closed Nash manifold of \mathcal{X} , and \mathcal{U} be the open complement of \mathcal{Z} in \mathcal{X} . For a tempered bundle \mathcal{E} over \mathcal{X} , the extension by zero gives a natural injection $\Gamma^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$. As defined [CS20, Section 6.1], the complexification of the conormal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{X}}^{\vee}$ is a tempered bundle over \mathcal{Z} . From [CS20, Propositions 8.2, 8.3], we have a proposition that gives a complete decreasing filtration of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) = \Gamma^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) / \Gamma^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E})$.

Proposition A.8 (Borel's lemma). *There is a complete decreasing filtration on $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$, denoted by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})_k$, satisfying*

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) = \varprojlim \Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})_k$$

and the graded pieces are isomorphic to

$$(A.26) \quad \Gamma^{\mathcal{S}} \left(\mathcal{Z}, \text{Sym}^k \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{X}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E} \Big|_{\mathcal{Z}} \right), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Moreover, if \mathcal{X} is a G -Nash manifold, \mathcal{Z} is stable under the action of G and \mathcal{E} is a tempered G -bundle, then this filtration is stable under G .

A.4. Vanishing theorems. In order to apply the Borel's lemma to prove that the Schwartz homology $H_i^{\mathcal{S}}(G, \Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})) = 0$ for certain tempered bundle \mathcal{E} , we need the following property about the relation between Schwartz homologies and the inverse limits, which follows from the exactness of the inverse limits in inverse systems with Mittag-Leffler conditions, and is elaborated in [Xue20, Proposition 2.13].

Proposition A.9. *For representation $V \in \mathcal{R}(G)$, assume that V admits a complete decreasing filtration V_n , for $n = 0, 1, \dots$, and that for some i , the Schwartz homologies $H_{i+1}^{\mathcal{S}}(G, V/V_n)$ are finite-dimensional for all n . Then the canonical map*

$$H_i^{\mathcal{S}}(G, V) \rightarrow \varprojlim H_i^{\mathcal{S}}(G, V/V_n)$$

is an isomorphism.

Suppose that a representation V has a complete decreasing filtration of subspaces V_n such that $H_i^S(G, V_n/V_{n+1}) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Then from the long exact sequence and mathematical induction, we have $H_i^S(G, V/V_{n+1}) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$, and hence

$$H_i^S(G, V) = \varprojlim H_i^S(G, V/V_n) = 0.$$

We call the Schwartz homologies of a representation V of G *vanish* if and only if $H_i(G, V) = 0$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Then the arguments above can be summarized as

Corollary A.1. *Suppose that $V \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ has a complete decreasing filtration such that the Schwartz homologies of the graded pieces vanish. Then the Schwartz homologies of V vanish.*

For $V, V^\vee \in \mathcal{R}(G)$, if there is a non-degenerate G -invariant bilinear map $B : V \times V^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we call V and V^\vee are contragredient to each other and (V, V^\vee) is a contragredient pair of G . And there is a straightforward relation between infinitesimal characters of contragredient pairs.

Proposition A.10. *Suppose V has an infinitesimal character χ_V , that is, a character of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ such that $z.v = \chi(z)v$ for every $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $v \in V$, then the representation V^\vee has an infinitesimal character χ_{V^\vee} which is defined by*

$$\chi_{V^\vee}(z) = \chi_V(\bar{z})$$

for every $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$, where $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ is the automorphism of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ such that $\bar{X} = -X$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

The following theorem, the counterpart of the vanishing theorem of continuous cohomologies, can be proved by the bridge between Schwartz homologies and continuous cohomologies built by the $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, K)$ -homologies and cohomologies and Poincaré duality when G is connected. And it is also proved directly in [Xue20, Proposition 2.7].

Corollary A.2. *Let $V, W \in \mathcal{R}(G)$, suppose that there are two complex number $c_1 \neq c_2$ and an element $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ such that $z.v = c_1v$ and $\bar{z}.w = c_2w$, for every $v \in V, w \in W$, then the Schwartz homologies of $V \hat{\otimes} W$ vanish.*

A.5. Shapiro's lemma. Chen and Sun proved in [CS20, Proposition 7.5] the following lemma which shows a profound relation between Schwartz inductions and Schwartz homologies.

Proposition A.11 (Shapiro's lemma). *Let H be a closed Nash subgroup of G and $V \in \mathcal{R}(H)$. Then*

$$H_i^S(G, (\text{S-Ind}_H^G(V \otimes \delta_H)) \otimes \delta_G^{-1}) = H_i^S(H, V)$$

for all $i \geq 0$.

In particular, when $i = 0$, it gives an isomorphism between coinvariants (constructed in [CS20, Propositions 6.9, 6.12])

$$T_H : (\text{S-Ind}_H^G(V \otimes \delta_H) \otimes \delta_G^{-1})_G \cong V_H,$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_G(\text{S-Ind}_H^G(V \otimes \delta_H \otimes \delta_G^{-1}|_H), \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_H(V, \mathbb{C}).$$

By combining these arguments with Proposition A.5, we have

Corollary A.3. *Let H be a Nash subgroup of G and $V \in \mathcal{R}(H)$. For a Casselman-Wallach representation W of G , the map T_H induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_G(\text{S-Ind}_H^G(V \otimes \delta_H \otimes \delta_G^{-1}|_H) \hat{\otimes} W, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_H(V \hat{\otimes} W, \mathbb{C}).$$

APPENDIX B. HARISH-CHANDRA CONJUGACY CLASSES

In this appendix, we give a parameterization of infinitesimal characters with Harish-Chandra isomorphism. The results in this subsection are classical results, so we work in the language of real reductive groups and admissible representations.

B.1. Definitions. Let G be a connected real reductive group, and \mathfrak{g} be its Lie algebra with complexification $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We take $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and W_G be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Denote by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ the center of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$. The Harish-Chandra isomorphism

$$HC : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^G = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})^{W_G} = P(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^*)^{W_G}$$

gives a one-to-one correspondence between W_G -conjugacy classes $[v]$ of $v \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and G -invariant characters χ on $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ by taking

$$\chi_v(z) = HC(z)(v)$$

for every $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$, which is called the *Harish-Chandra correspondence*. In particular, if χ_{π} is the infinitesimal character of an admissible representation π and it corresponds to the W_G -conjugacy class of $v_{\pi} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, we call the vector v_{π} the *Harish-Chandra conjugacy class* of π .

For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, the complex dual $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ can be parametrized by

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

and the Weyl group W_G is isomorphic to the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_n that permutes the n -entries. By the Langlands classification, each irreducible admissible representation π is a subquotient of a normalized induction

$$|\cdot|^{s_1 \mathrm{sgn}^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times |\cdot|^{s_n \mathrm{sgn}^{m_n}}$$

where $s_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $m_i = 0, 1$ and sgn is the sign representation of $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{R})$. Then the infinitesimal character χ_{π} corresponds to Harish-Chandra conjugacy class $[(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)]$.

For $G = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$, where (V, q) is a non-degenerate quadratic space over \mathbb{R} with a decomposition $V = X_r \oplus Y_r \oplus V_{\mathrm{an}}$ such that X_r, Y_r are maximal totally isotropic subspaces of V and V_{an} is anisotropic. Let A_0 be a maximal torus of $\mathrm{GL}(X_r)$ and T be a maximal torus of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathrm{an}})$. When $\dim V = 2n + 1$, the weight space $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^* = \mathfrak{a}_{0, \mathbb{C}}^* + \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ are parameterized as

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where the action of W_G is generated by switching two entries and changing signs. When $\dim V = 2n$ the weight space is parameterized as

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and the action of W_G is generated by switching two entries and changing signs for two entries. From Langlands classification, each irreducible admissible representation π is isomorphic to a subquotient of a normalized parabolic induction $\tau \times \pi_{\mathrm{an}}$, where $\tau = |\cdot|^{s_1 \mathrm{sgn}^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times |\cdot|^{s_l \mathrm{sgn}^{m_l}}$ for $s_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $m_i = 0, 1$. Here π_{an} is a finite-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathrm{an}})$ if $\dim V_{\mathrm{an}} \neq 0$ and $\pi_{\mathrm{an}} = 1_{\pm}$ if $\dim V_{\mathrm{an}} = 0$ (see Section 3.2). In this case, the infinitesimal character χ_{π} of π corresponds to the Harish-Chandra conjugacy class $[v_{\pi}]$, the W_G -conjugacy class of $(v_{\tau}, v_{\pi_{\mathrm{an}}})$, where $[v_{\tau}]$ is the Harish-Chandra conjugacy class of τ and $v_{\pi_{\mathrm{an}}}$ is the sum of the highest weight of π_{an} with the Weyl vector of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathrm{an}})$ (v_{an} is trivial if $\dim V_{\mathrm{an}} = 0, 1$).

B.2. Relations with the vanishing theorem. For an irreducible admissible representation π of $\mathrm{SO}(V, q)$ such that π is a subquotient of

$$I = |\cdot|^{s_1} \mathrm{sgn}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times |\cdot|^{s_n} \mathrm{sgn}^{m_n} \times \pi_{\mathrm{an}}.$$

Then we take

$$I^\vee = |\cdot|^{-s_1} \mathrm{sgn}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times |\cdot|^{-s_n} \mathrm{sgn}^{m_n} \times \pi_{\mathrm{an}}^\vee.$$

There is a non-degenerate G -invariant bilinear form B between I and I^\vee . Therefore there is a unique irreducible subquotient σ^\vee of I^\vee such that B induces a non-degenerate bilinear form of π and π^\vee . Then Proposition A.10 implies that

Proposition B.1. *The Harish-Chandra conjugacy class $[v_{\pi^\vee}]$ of the contragredient representation π^\vee of π is the same as $[-v_\pi]$, where $[v_\pi]$ is the Harish-Chandra conjugacy class of π .*

Hence, the infinitesimal character χ_π does not equal to χ_{π^\vee} if and only if $[v_\pi] \neq [-v_{\pi'}]$. When π and π' are Casselman-Wallach, from Corollary A.2, we have

Corollary B.1. *If $[v_\pi] \neq [-v_{\pi'}]$, then the Schwartz homologies of $\pi \widehat{\otimes} \pi'$ vanish.*

B.3. Tensor products with finite-dimensional representations. Finally, we review the Kostant's results about the composition series of the tensor product of a finite-dimensional representation with an irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation. It follows from [Kos75, Section 1.3] that

Proposition B.2. *The tensor product of an irreducible finite-dimensional representation with an irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation has a finite composition series.*

And [Kos75, Corollary 5.6] shows that

Proposition B.3. *For an irreducible admissible representation π and an irreducible finite-dimensional representation π' , the irreducible components of the tensor product of π' and π consists of representations with Harish-Chandra conjugacy classes equal to $[v_\pi + \mu]$, where μ is a weight of π' and $[v_\pi]$ the Harish-Chandra conjugacy class of π .*

REFERENCES

- [AGRS10] Avraham Aizenbud, Dmitry Gourevitch, Stephen Rallis, and Gérard Schiffmann. Multiplicity one theorems. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 1407–1434, 2010.
- [BK14] Joseph Bernstein and Bernhard Krötz. Smooth Fréchet globalizations of Harish-Chandra modules. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 199(1):45–111, 2014.
- [Cas89] William Casselman. Canonical extensions of Harish-Chandra modules to representations of \mathfrak{g} . *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 41(3):385–438, 1989.
- [CHM00] William Casselman, Henryk Hecht, and Dragan Milicic. Bruhat filtrations and Whittaker vectors for real groups. In *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, volume 68, pages 151–190. Providence, RI; American Mathematical Society; 1998, 2000.
- [CS20] Yangyang Chen and Binyong Sun. Schwartz homologies of representations of almost linear Nash groups. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, page 108817, 2020.
- [DC91] Fokko Du Cloux. Sur les représentations différentiables des groupes de Lie algébriques. In *Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale supérieure*, volume 24, pages 257–318, 1991.
- [GGP12] Wee Teck Gan, Benedict H Gross, and Dipendra Prasad. Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L -values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups. *Astérisque*, 346(1):1–109, 2012.
- [God74] Roger Godement. Notes on Jacquet–Langlands theory. *Matematika*, 18(2):28–78, 1974.
- [GP92] Benedict H Gross and Dipendra Prasad. On the decomposition of a representation of SO_n when restricted to SO_{n-1} . *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 44(5):974–1002, 1992.

- [GP94] Benedict H Gross and Dipendra Prasad. On irreducible representations of $SO(2n+1) \times SO(2m)$. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 46(5):930–950, 1994.
- [GSS19] Dmitry Gourevitch, Siddhartha Sahi, and Eitan Sayag. Analytic continuation of equivariant distributions. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2019(23):7160–7192, 2019.
- [JSZ10] Dihua Jiang, Binyong Sun, and Chen-Bo Zhu. Uniqueness of Bessel models: the archimedean case. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 20(3):690–709, 2010.
- [JZ20] Dihua Jiang and Lei Zhang. Arthur parameters and cuspidal automorphic modules of classical groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, 191(3):739–827, 2020.
- [Kna94] AW Knapp. Local Langlands correspondence: the archimedean case. In *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math*, volume 55, pages 393–410, 1994.
- [Kos75] Bertram Kostant. On the tensor product of a finite and an infinite dimensional representation. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 20(4):257–285, 1975.
- [KS80] Anthony W Knapp and Elias M Stein. Intertwining operators for semisimple groups, II. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 60(1):9–84, 1980.
- [KZ82] Anthony W Knapp and Gregg J Zuckerman. Classification of irreducible tempered representations of semisimple groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 389–455, 1982.
- [Lan88] Robert Langlands. On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups. *Math. Surveys and Monographs*, 31, 1988.
- [Luo20] Zhilin Luo. A local trace formula for the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for special orthogonal groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.13947*, 2020.
- [Möll17] Jan Möllers. Symmetry breaking operators for strongly spherical reductive pairs and the Gross-Prasad conjecture for complex orthogonal groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.06109*, 2017.
- [MW12] Colette Mœglin and Jean-Loup Waldspurger. La conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad pour les groupes spéciaux orthogonaux: le cas général. *Astérisque*, 347:167–216, 2012.
- [Sun15] Binyong Sun. Almost linear Nash groups. *Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B*, 36(3):355–400, 2015.
- [SV80] Birgit Speh and David A Vogan. Reducibility of generalized principal series representations. *Acta Mathematica*, 145:227–299, 1980.
- [SZ12] Binyong Sun and Chen-Bo Zhu. Multiplicity one theorems: the archimedean case. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 23–44, 2012.
- [Vog78] David A Vogan. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for Harish-Chandra modules. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 48(1):75–98, 1978.
- [Vog86] David A Vogan. The unitary dual of $GL(n)$ over an archimedean field. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 83(3):449–505, 1986.
- [Vog93] David A Vogan. The local langlands conjecture. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 145:305–305, 1993.
- [Wal94] Nolan R Wallach. Real reductive groups II. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc*, 30:157–158, 1994.
- [Wal09] Jean-Loup Waldspurger. La conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad pour les représentations tempérées des groupes spéciaux orthogonaux. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0911.4568*, 2009.
- [War12] Garth Warner. *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups I*, volume 188. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [Xue20] Hang Xue. Bessel models for unitary groups and Schwartz homology. *preprint*, 2020.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, USA
 Email address: chen5968@umn.edu