

On admissible estimation of a mean vector when the scale is unknown

Yuzo Maruyama¹, and William, E. Strawderman²

¹ *Graduate School of Business Administration, Kobe University*
e-mail: maruyama@port.kobe-u.ac.jp

² *Department of Statistics and Biostatistics, Rutgers University*
e-mail: straw@stat.rutgers.edu

Abstract: We consider admissibility of generalized Bayes estimators of the mean of a multivariate normal distribution when the scale is unknown under quadratic loss. The priors considered put the improper invariant prior on the scale while the prior on the mean has a hierarchical normal structure conditional on the scale. This conditional hierarchical prior is essentially that of Maruyama and Strawderman (2021, *Biometrika*) (MS21) which is indexed by a hyperparameter a . In that paper a is chosen so this conditional prior is proper which corresponds to $a > -1$. This paper extends MS21 by considering improper conditional priors with a in the closed interval $[-2, -1]$, and establishing admissibility for such a . The authors, in Maruyama and Strawderman (2017, *JMVA*), have earlier shown that such conditional priors with $a < -2$ lead to inadmissible estimators. This paper therefore completes the determination of admissibility/inadmissibility for this class of priors. It establishes the the boundary as $a = -2$, with admissibility holding for $a \geq -2$ and inadmissibility for $a < -2$. This boundary corresponds exactly to that in the known scale case for these conditional priors, and which follows from Brown (1971, *AOMS*). As a notable benefit of this enlargement of the class of admissible generalized Bayes estimators, we give admissible and minimax estimators in all dimensions greater than 2 as opposed to MS21 which required the dimension to be greater than 4. In one particularly interesting special case, we establish that the joint Stein prior for the unknown scale case leads to a minimax admissible estimator in all dimensions greater than 2.

MSC2020 subject classifications: Primary 62C15; secondary 62C20.

Keywords and phrases: admissibility, Bayes estimators, minimaxity.

1. Introduction

We consider admissibility of generalized Bayes estimators of the mean of a multivariate normal distribution when the scale is unknown under quadratic loss. Specifically, we consider the model $X \sim N_p(\theta, \sigma^2 I)$, $S \sim \sigma^2 \chi_n^2$ where X and S are independent with densities

$$\begin{aligned} f(x \mid \theta, \eta) &= \frac{\eta^{p/2}}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta \|x - \theta\|^2}{2}\right), \\ f(s \mid \eta) &= \frac{\eta^{n/2} s^{n/2-1}}{\Gamma(n/2) 2^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

with $\eta = 1/\sigma^2$. The loss function is scaled quadratic loss

$$L(\delta; \theta, \eta) = \eta \|\delta(x, s) - \theta\|^2. \quad (1.2)$$

The estimators considered are generalized Bayes with respect to the generalized priors on (θ, η) ,

$$\pi_*(\theta, \eta) = \frac{1}{\eta} \times \int \frac{\eta^{p/2}}{(2\pi)^{p/2} g^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{2g} \|\theta\|^2\right) \pi(g) dg, \quad (1.3)$$

with the following hierarchical structure

$$\theta \mid \{g, \eta\} \sim N_p(0, (g/\eta)I), \quad \pi(g) = \frac{1}{(g+1)^{a+2}} \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b, \quad \eta \sim \frac{1}{\eta}. \quad (1.4)$$

As detailed in Section 2, the generalized Bayes estimator under this prior is given by

$$\delta_* = \left(1 - \frac{\int_0^\infty (g+1)^{-p/2-1} (1 + \|x\|^2 / \{s(g+1)\})^{-p/2-n/2-1} \pi(g) dg}{\int_0^\infty (g+1)^{-p/2} (1 + \|x\|^2 / \{s(g+1)\})^{-p/2-n/2-1} \pi(g) dg}\right) x \quad (1.5)$$

which is well-defined if

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\pi(g) dg}{(g+1)^{p/2}} = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b \frac{dg}{(g+1)^{p/2+a+2}} < \infty.$$

This is assured provided

$$p/2 + a + 1 > 0 \text{ and } b + 1 > 0 \quad (1.6)$$

which we assume throughout this paper.

Admissibility of the estimator (1.5) is established in Maruyama and Strawderman (2021) for the case $-1 < a < n/2$ and $b > -1$, i.e., in cases where $\pi(g)$ is proper ($\int_0^\infty \pi(g) dg < \infty$), even though, since the prior on η is proportional to $1/\eta$, the prior $\pi_*(\theta, \eta)$ is improper. This paper considers the more challenging problem where $\pi(g)$ is itself improper ($\int_0^\infty \pi(g) dg = \infty$). We establish admissibility in two such cases:

$$\text{CASE I } \max(-p/2 - 1, -2) < a \leq -1 \text{ and } b > -1 \text{ under } p \geq 1, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\text{CASE II } a = -2 \text{ and } b \geq 0 \text{ under } p \geq 3. \quad (1.8)$$

The main theorem of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Under either assumption, (1.7) or (1.8), the generalized Bayes estimator under π_* is admissible among all estimators.*

The authors, in Maruyama and Strawderman (2017), have earlier shown that such conditional priors with $a < -2$ lead to inadmissible estimators. This paper therefore completes the determination of admissibility/inadmissibility for this

class of priors. It establishes the the boundary as $a = -2$, with admissibility holding for $a \geq -2$ and inadmissibility for $a < -2$. The ultimate admissibility result by this paper result of this paper was foreshadowed by [Maruyama and Strawderman \(2020\)](#) where admissibility of generalized Bayes estimators with $a \geq -2$ was proved within the class of equivariant estimators of the form $\{1 - \psi(\|x\|^2/s)\}x$.

The estimator X , with a constant risk p , is minimax for all p . It is admissible for $p = 1, 2$ whereas it is inadmissible for $p \geq 3$. Therefore we are mainly interested in proposing admissible minimax estimators for $p \geq 3$. When $p \geq 3$, the generalized Bayes estimator has been shown to be minimax if $-p/2 - 1 < a \leq \xi(p, n)$ and $b = 0$ ([Lin and Tsai, 1973](#)) and if $-p/2 - 1 < a \leq \xi(p, n)$ and $b > 0$ ([Maruyama and Strawderman, 2005](#)), where

$$\xi(p, n) = -2 + \frac{(p-2)(n+2)}{2(2p+n-2)}.$$

Hence we have a following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume $p \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$. Then the generalized Bayes estimator under π_* is minimax and admissible among the class of all estimators if*

$$-2 \leq a \leq \xi(p, n) \text{ and } b \geq 0.$$

Figure 1 presents a summary of admissibility/inadmissibility and minimaxity results for the unknown scale case for this class of priors.

Remark 1.1. [Brown \(1971\)](#) largely settled the issue of admissibility of generalized Bayes estimators of μ in the known scale case, $X \sim N_p(\mu, I_p)$ with no S , under quadratic loss $\|d - \mu\|^2$. Studies involving admissibility and minimaxity in the known scale case largely focused on priors with the hierarchical structure

$$\int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} g^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2g} \|\mu\|^2\right) \pi(g) dg \quad (1.9)$$

with $\pi(g)$ given by (1.4) for $a > -p/2 - 1$ and $b > -1$. The key results under the prior above in the known scale case are summarized as follows and in Figure 1. The estimator is inadmissible if $-p/2 - 1 < a < -2$ and $b > -1$ ([Brown, 1971](#)) and is admissible if $a \geq -2$ and $b > -1$ ([Brown, 1971](#)). Furthermore the estimator is minimax if $-1 < a \leq p/2 - 3$ and $b = 0$ ([Strawderman, 1971](#)), if $-p/2 - 1 < a \leq -1$ and $b = 0$ ([Berger, 1976](#)) and if $-p/2 - 1 < a \leq p/2 - 3$ and $b > 0$ ([Faith, 1978](#)).

We investigate admissibility using a version of Blyth's method closely related to the approach of [Brown and Hwang \(1982\)](#). To this end we construct a sequence of proper priors $\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta)$ converging to π_* of the form

$$\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta) = \frac{h_i^2(\eta)}{\eta} \int \frac{\eta^{p/2}}{(2\pi)^{p/2} g^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{2g} \|\theta\|^2\right) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg, \quad (1.10)$$




FIG 1. Ranges of a for admissibility/inadmissibility and minimaxity

with the hierarchical structure

$$\theta \mid \{g, \eta\} \sim N_p(0, (g/\eta)I), \quad g \sim \pi(g)k_j^2(g), \quad \eta \sim \frac{h_i^2(\eta)}{\eta}. \quad (1.11)$$

In (1.10) and (1.11), $h_i(\eta)$ and $k_j(g)$ are given as follows,

$$h_i(\eta) = \frac{i}{i + |\log \eta|}, \quad (1.12)$$

$$k_j(g) = 1 - \frac{\log(g+1)}{\log(g+1+j)}. \quad (1.13)$$

Properties of $h_i(\eta)$ and $k_j(g)$ will be provided in Lemmas C.1 and C.2. In particular, we emphasize that $h_i^2(\eta)/\eta$ and $\pi(g)k_j^2(g)$ are both proper by part 2 of Lemma C.1 and part 3 of Lemma C.2, respectively. Also we note that eventually, for large i and j we set

$$i = \log(1+j) \quad (1.14)$$

which is crucial in the proof of admissibility.

Before proceeding with the development of the main result, we make several remarks relating the current paper to earlier developments.

Remark 1.2. Maruyama and Strawderman (2021) considered the joint improper prior given by

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{\eta}}_{\text{improper}} \times \underbrace{\int \frac{\eta^{p/2}}{(2\pi)^{p/2}g^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{2g}\|\theta\|^2\right) \pi(g)dg}_{\text{proper}} \quad (1.15)$$

where $\pi(g)$ is proper, or $a > -1$, and proposed a class of admissible generalized Bayes estimators for any dimension p . Since the Stein phenomenon occurs for $p \geq 3$, we are interested in proposing admissible minimax estimators for $p \geq 3$. As in Figure 1, the intersection of the minimaxity region $-p/2 - 1 < a \leq \xi(p, n)$ and the admissibility region $a > -1$ was non-empty when $n \geq 3$ and $p > 4n/(n-2)$. Hence in particular no admissible minimax estimator had been found for $p = 3, 4$. Theorem 1.2 provides such estimators in this case for $n \geq 2$ and hence help fill this void, albeit with priors which are improper in θ conditional on η , since the generalized prior on g is improper in these cases. Thus, not only have we extended the class of admissible estimators in all dimensions, but we provide admissible minimax estimators for dimensions $p = 3$ and 4, where no such estimators were previously known.

Remark 1.3. In Theorem 1.2, two interesting cases seem deserving of attention. When $a = -2$ and $b = 0$, the prior corresponds to the joint Stein prior

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \times \eta^{p/2} \{\eta \|\theta\|^2\}^{1-p/2} = \|\theta\|^{2-p}.$$

That this estimator is admissible and minimax follows from Theorem 1.2. Additionally, Kubokawa (1991) established that this estimator dominates the James and Stein (1961) estimator

$$\left(1 - \frac{(p-2)/(n+2)}{\|x\|^2/s}\right) x.$$

Another interesting case is a variant of the James-Stein of the simple form

$$\left(1 - \frac{(p-2)/(n+2)}{\|x\|^2/s + (p-2)/(n+2) + 1}\right) x,$$

which is generalized Bayes corresponding to $a = -2$ and $b = n/2$, that is,

$$\pi(g) = \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^{n/2}.$$

This estimator is also admissible and minimax. See Section 4.1 of Maruyama and Strawderman (2020) and Section 3 of Maruyama and Strawderman (2021) for details.

Remark 1.4. The proof of admissibility in this paper is closely related to and greatly influenced by those in Brown and Hwang (1982) (BH) and James and Stein (1961) (JS). It is also similar to that in Maruyama and Strawderman (2021) (MS). It seems worthwhile to comment on some of the technical differences. In MS the (conditional on η) priors on θ were proper and only the prior on η was improper. Hence only a proper sequence of priors on the (inverse) scale, η , was required. In both BH and JS the variance is assumed known (for the normal case in BH) and hence the required sequence of proper priors is needed only on θ , whereas we need to find proper sequences on both θ , and the (inverse) scale,

η . In this paper, since the (conditional on η) priors on θ are also improper, the joint improper prior is given by

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{\eta}}_{\text{improper}} \times \underbrace{\int \frac{\eta^{p/2}}{(2\pi)^{p/2}g^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{2g}\|\theta\|^2\right) \pi(g)dg}_{\text{improper}} \quad (1.16)$$

where $\pi(g)$ is improper. As we mentioned, for the invariant prior $1/\eta$, we use the sequence of proper priors, $h_i^2(\eta)/\eta$, which was investigated in MS.

Our sequence of proper priors on g , $\pi(g)k_j^2(g)$, with $k_j(g)$ given by (1.13), may be viewed as a modified version of that in BH and in JS. In particular the sequence in BH is

$$k_j^{\text{BH}}(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq g \leq 1 \\ 1 - \log g / \log(j+1) & 1 < g < j+1 \\ 0 & g \geq j+1. \end{cases}$$

BH proposes a sufficient condition for admissibility of generalized Bayes estimator with two components, which they referred to as an ‘‘asymptotic flatness’’ condition and ‘‘growth’’ condition. Because our proof is similar in spirit to that of BH, there are some connections in terms of these two conditions between BH and this paper. We focus here on the ‘‘growth’’ condition. In section 4, the condition for integrability (in order to invoke the dominated convergence theorem) in (4.6) and (4.8)

$$\sup_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \int \eta \{h'_i(\eta)\}^2 d\eta \int \frac{\pi(g)k_j^2(g)}{g+1} dg \right\} < \infty \quad (1.17)$$

$$\sup_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \int \frac{h_i^2(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta \int (g+1)\pi(g)\{k'_j(g)\}^2 dg \right\} < \infty \quad (1.18)$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ may be regarded as a ‘‘growth condition’’. Of the four integrals appearing in (1.17) and (1.18), only the integral

$$\int (g+1)\pi(g)\{k'_j(g)\}^2 dg < \infty$$

appeared in Brown and Hwang (1982). They bounded $(d/dg)k_j^{\text{BH}}(g)$ as

$$|(d/dg)k_j^{\text{BH}}(g)| \leq \begin{cases} 0 & g \leq 1 \\ 1/(g \log 2) & 1 < g \leq 2 \\ 1/(g \log g) & g > 2, \end{cases}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and concluded that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int (g+1)\pi(g)\{(d/dg)k_j^{\text{BH}}(g)\}^2 dg < \infty.$$

However Lemmas C.1 gives

$$\int \frac{h_i^2(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta = 2i$$

which does not imply the finiteness of (1.18).

In this paper, we very carefully bound the integrals in (1.17) and (1.18) from above. By Lemmas C.1 and C.2 as well as $\pi(g) \leq 1$ under CASE II, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \eta \{h'_i(\eta)\}^2 d\eta \int \frac{\pi(g)k_j^2(g)}{g+1} dg &< 4 \frac{\log(1+j)}{i}, \\ \int \frac{h_i^2(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta \int (g+1)\pi(g)\{k'_j(g)\}^2 dg &< 10 \frac{i}{\log(1+j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

With the choice $i = \log(1+j)$, the finiteness given by (1.17) and (1.18) follows.

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to developing expressions for Bayes estimators and the risk differences which are used to prove Theorem 1.1. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 for CASES I and II given by (1.7) and (1.8), respectively. many of the proofs of technical lemmas are given in Appendix.

2. The form of Bayes estimators and risk differences

In this section we develop expressions for Bayes estimators and the risk differences which are used to prove Theorem 1.1. Let

$$m(\psi(\theta, \eta)) = \iint \psi(\theta, \eta) f(x | \theta, \eta) f(s | \eta) d\theta d\eta. \quad (2.1)$$

Then, under the loss (1.2), the generalized Bayes estimator under the improper $\pi_*(\theta, \eta)$ is

$$\delta_* = \frac{m(\eta\theta\pi_*(\theta, \eta))}{m(\eta\pi_*(\theta, \eta))}, \quad (2.2)$$

and the proper Bayes estimator under the proper $\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta)$ is

$$\delta_{ij} = \frac{m(\eta\theta\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta))}{m(\eta\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta))}. \quad (2.3)$$

The Bayes risk difference under π_{ij} given by

$$\Delta_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} \int_0^\infty \{E(\eta\|\delta_* - \theta\|^2) - E(\eta\|\delta_{ij} - \theta\|^2)\} \pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta) d\theta d\eta \quad (2.4)$$

may be re-expressed as

$$\Delta_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} \int_0^\infty \|\delta_* - \delta_{ij}\|^2 m(\eta\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta)) dx ds. \quad (2.5)$$

The basic structure of the proof is standard, as in Brown and Hwang (1982), and is based on the method of Blyth (1951). The following form of Blyth's sufficient condition shows that $\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{ij} = 0$ implies admissibility.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose $\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta)$ is an increasing (in i and j) sequence of proper priors, $\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta) = \pi(\theta, \eta)$ and $\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta) > 0$ for all θ and η . Then δ_* is admissible if Δ_{ij} satisfies

$$\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{ij} = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Both Lemma C.1 and Lemma C.2 guarantee that $\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta)$ given by (1.10) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 as follows.

Lemma 2.2. The prior $\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta)$ given by (1.10) is increasing in i and j and integrable for all fixed i and j . Further $\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta) = \pi_*(\theta, \eta)$ and $\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta) > 0$ for all θ and η .

Now we rewrite δ_* , δ_{ij} and the integrand of (2.5). Using the identity,

$$\|x - \theta\|^2 + \frac{\|\theta\|^2}{g} = \frac{g+1}{g} \left\| \theta - \frac{g}{g+1}x \right\|^2 + \frac{\|x\|^2}{g+1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(\eta\pi_{ij}) &= \iint \{\eta\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta)\} f(x | \theta, \eta) f(s | \eta) d\theta d\eta \quad (2.7) \\ &= \iiint \frac{\eta^{p/2}}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\eta \frac{\|x - \theta\|^2}{2}\right) f(s | \eta) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\eta^{p/2}}{(2\pi)^{p/2} g^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{2g} \|\theta\|^2\right) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) d\theta dg d\eta \\ &= \iint \frac{\eta^{p/2} f(s | \eta)}{(2\pi)^{p/2} (g+1)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta \|x\|^2}{2(g+1)}\right) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta \\ &= q_1(p, n) s^{n/2-1} \iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where $w = \|x\|^2/s$,

$$F(g, \eta; w, s) = \frac{\eta^{p/2+n/2}}{(g+1)^{p/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\eta s}{2} \left(\frac{w}{g+1} + 1\right)\right\}, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$q_1(p, n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} \Gamma(n/2) 2^{n/2}}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(\eta\theta\pi_{ij}) &= \iint \{\eta\theta\pi_{ij}(\theta, \eta)\} f(x | \theta, \eta) f(s | \eta) d\theta d\eta \quad (2.9) \\ &= q_1(p, n) s^{n/2-1} \iint \frac{gx}{g+1} F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.7) and (2.9), the Bayes estimator under π_{ij} is

$$\delta_{ij} = \frac{m(\theta\eta\pi_{ij})}{m(\eta\pi_{ij})} = \left(1 - \frac{\phi_{ij}(w, s)}{w}\right) x, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\phi_{ij}(w, s) = w \frac{\iint (g+1)^{-1} F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta}. \quad (2.11)$$

With $h_i \equiv 1$ and $k_j \equiv 1$ in (2.11), we have

$$\phi_*(w, s) = w \frac{\iint (g+1)^{-1} F(g, \eta; w, s) \pi(g) dg d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) \pi(g) dg d\eta} \quad (2.12)$$

and our target generalized Bayes estimator given by

$$\delta_* = \left(1 - \frac{\phi_*(w, s)}{w} \right) x. \quad (2.13)$$

Note that

$$\int F(g, \eta; w, s) d\eta = \frac{\Gamma(p/2 + n/2 + 1)}{(g+1)^{p/2}} \left(\frac{2}{s\{1 + w/(g+1)\}} \right)^{p/2 + n/2 + 1}$$

which implies

$$\frac{\phi_*(w, s)}{w} = \frac{\int_0^\infty (g+1)^{-p/2-1} \{1 + w/(g+1)\}^{-p/2-n/2-1} \pi(g) dg}{\int_0^\infty (g+1)^{-p/2} \{1 + w/(g+1)\}^{-p/2-n/2-1} \pi(g) dg}. \quad (2.14)$$

In the following, however, we keep (2.12) not (2.14) as the expression of $\phi_*(w, s)$.

By (2.7), (2.10) and (2.13), $\|\delta_* - \delta_{ij}\|^2 m(\eta\pi_{ij})$, in Δ_{ij} , is

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_* - \delta_{ij}\|^2 m(\eta\pi_{ij}) &= \|x\|^2 \left(\frac{\phi_*(w, s)}{w} - \frac{\phi_{ij}(w, s)}{w} \right)^2 m(\eta\pi_{ij}) \\ &= q_1(p, n) \|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1} A(\pi; i, j), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(\pi; i, j) & \\ &= \left(\frac{\iint (g+1)^{-1} F \pi dg d\eta}{\iint F \pi dg d\eta} - \frac{\iint (g+1)^{-1} F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta}{\iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta} \right)^2 \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

In Sections 3 and 4 respectively, we will complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, for CASE I and CASE II by the dominated convergence theorem. We do so by showing the integrand in $\Delta_{ij} = q_1(p, n) \iint \|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1} A(\pi; i, j) dx ds$ is bounded by an integrable function. It follows, since the integrand approaches 0, that $\Delta_{ij} \rightarrow 0$ which establishes the result.

3. CASE I

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 for CASE I given by (1.7). Applying the inequality

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right)^2 \leq k \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2, \quad (3.1)$$

to (2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A(\pi; i, j) \quad (3.2) \\ & \leq 3 \left\{ \left(\frac{\iint \psi(g) F \pi \, dg \, d\eta}{\iint F \pi \, dg \, d\eta} - \frac{\iint \psi(g) F h_i^2 \pi \, dg \, d\eta}{\iint F h_i^2 \pi \, dg \, d\eta} \right)^2 \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta \right. \\ & \quad \left(\frac{\iint \psi(g) F h_i^2 \pi \, dg \, d\eta}{\iint F h_i^2 \pi \, dg \, d\eta} - \frac{\iint \psi(g) F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta}{\iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta} \right)^2 \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta \\ & \quad \left. \left(\frac{\iint \psi(g) F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta}{\iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta} - \frac{\iint \psi(g) F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta}{\iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta} \right)^2 \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta \right\} \\ & \leq 3 \{ \mathcal{A}_1(\psi; \pi; i, j) + \mathcal{A}_2(\psi; \pi; i, j) + \mathcal{A}_3(\psi; \pi; i, j) \} \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(g) = 1/(g+1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1(\psi) &= \left\{ \iint \psi(g) \left| \frac{1}{\iint F \pi \, dg \, d\eta} - \frac{h_i^2}{\iint F h_i^2 \pi \, dg \, d\eta} \right| F \pi \, dg \, d\eta \right\}^2 \iint F h_i^2 \pi \, dg \, d\eta, \\ \mathcal{A}_2(\psi) &= \frac{\left(\iint \psi(g) F h_i^2 \pi (1 - k_j^2) \, dg \, d\eta \right)^2}{\iint F h_i^2 \pi \, dg \, d\eta}, \\ \mathcal{A}_3(\psi) &= \frac{\left(\iint \psi(g) F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta \right)^2}{\left(\iint F h_i^2 \pi \, dg \, d\eta \right)^2 \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta} \left(\iint F h_i^2 \pi \, dg \, d\eta - \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 \, dg \, d\eta \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{A}_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ with some ψ will also appear in Section 4 (more precisely in Lemma 4.2), we summarize useful properties in the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let*

$$\psi(g) = \frac{1}{(g+1)^\alpha} \text{ for } \alpha = 1 \text{ or } 2. \quad (3.3)$$

Let m satisfy $a + m + 2\alpha > 0$. Also let ϵ satisfy

$$0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \min(p/2 + a + 1, a + m + 2\alpha, 1).$$

Then there exist positive constants, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_3$, independent of i and j ,

such that

$$\iint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m} \mathcal{A}_1(\psi; \pi; i, j) dx ds \leq \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1 B(a + m + 2\alpha, b + 1), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m} \mathcal{A}_\ell(\psi; \pi; i, j) dx ds \\ & \leq \frac{i}{\{\log(1+j)\}^2} \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\ell}{\epsilon} B(a + m + 2\alpha - 2\epsilon, b + 1) \text{ for } \ell = 2, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. See Appendix A. \square

We set $m = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ in Lemma 3.1. With the choice

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{4} \min(p/2 + a + 1, a + 2, 1), \quad i = O(\{\log(1+j)\}^2), \quad (3.6)$$

we have

$$\sup_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N} \\ i = O(\{\log(1+j)\}^2)}} \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \iint \|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1} \mathcal{A}_\ell(1/(g+1); \pi; i, j) dx ds < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, we have a following result.

Theorem 3.1. *For $p \geq 1$, the generalized Bayes estimator under π_* with*

$$\max(-p/2 - 1, -2) < a \leq -1 \text{ and } b > -1$$

is admissible among the class of all estimators.

4. CASE II

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 for CASE II given by (1.8), $a = -2$, $b \geq 0$ and $p \geq 3$. We need the condition $b \geq 0$ for $\pi(0) < \infty$, which is required in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume $a = -2$ and $b \geq 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (n/2 + 1)\phi_{ij}(w, s) \\ & = (p/2 - 1) - 2w \frac{\iint (g+1)^{-1} \eta F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i(\eta) h'_i(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta} \\ & \quad - 2 \frac{\iint (g+1+w) F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j(g) k'_j(g) dg d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta} - \varphi_{ij}(w, s), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\varphi_{ij}(w, s) = \begin{cases} b \frac{\iint \{(g+1+w)/g(g+1)\} F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta} & b > 0 \\ (1+w) \frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta} & b = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. See Appendix C.2. \square

With $h_i \equiv 1$ and $k_j \equiv 1$ in (4.1), we have

$$(n/2 + 1)\phi_*(w, s) = (p/2 - 1) - \varphi_*(w, s), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\varphi_*(w, s) = \begin{cases} b \frac{\iint \{(g+1+w)/g(g+1)\} F(g, \eta; w, s) \pi(g) dg d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) \pi(g) dg d\eta} & b > 0 \\ (1+w) \frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) dg d\eta} & b = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

By (2.15), (4.1) and (4.2), $\|\delta_* - \delta_{ij}\|^2 m(\eta\pi_{ij})$, in Δ_{ij} , is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_* - \delta_{ij}\|^2 m(\eta\pi_{ij}) &= \|x\|^2 \left(\frac{\phi_*(w, s)}{w} - \frac{\phi_{ij}(w, s)}{w} \right)^2 m(\eta\pi_{ij}) \\ &= \frac{q_1(p, n) \|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(n/2 + 1)^2 w^2} \left\{ \varphi_*(w, s) - \varphi_{ij}(w, s) \right. \\ &\quad - 2w \frac{\iint (g+1)^{-1} \eta F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i(\eta) h_i'(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta} \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \frac{\iint (g+1+w) F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j(g) k_j'(g) dg d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta} \right\}^2 \\ &\quad \times \iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Applying the inequality (3.1) to (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\delta_* - \delta_{ij}\|^2 m(\eta\pi_{ij}) \\ &\leq \frac{3q_1(p, n)}{(n/2 + 1)^2} \|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1} \{4\mathcal{B}(\pi; i, j) + 4\mathcal{C}(\pi; i, j) + \mathcal{D}(\pi; i, j)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\pi; i, j) &= \frac{\{\iint (g+1)^{-1} \eta F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i(\eta) h_i'(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta\}^2}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta}, \\ \mathcal{C}(\pi; i, j) &= \frac{\{\iint (g+1+w) F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j(g) k_j'(g) dg d\eta\}^2}{w^2 \iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta}, \\ \mathcal{D}(\pi; i, j) &= \frac{\{\varphi_*(w, s) - \varphi_{ij}(w, s)\}^2}{w^2} \iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

For \mathcal{B} , by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\mathcal{B}(\pi; i, j) \leq \iint \frac{\eta^2}{(g+1)^2} F(g, \eta; w, s) \{h_i'(\eta)\}^2 \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta.$$

By Lemma C.4, the integral for \mathcal{B} is

$$\iint \|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1} \mathcal{B}(\pi; i, j) dx ds \leq q_3(0) \int \eta \{h'_i(\eta)\}^2 d\eta \int \frac{\pi(g) k_j^2(g)}{g+1} dg, \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$q_3(m) = 2^{p/2+n/2+1} \pi^{p/2} \frac{\Gamma(p/2+1-m)\Gamma(n/2+m)}{\Gamma(p/2)}. \quad (4.7)$$

For \mathcal{C} , again by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\pi; i, j) &\leq \frac{1}{w^2} \int (g+1+w)^2 F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) \{k'_j(g)\}^2 dg d\eta \\ &\leq 2 \int \left(\frac{(g+1)^2}{w^2} + 1 \right) F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) \{k'_j(g)\}^2 dg d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma C.4, the integral for \mathcal{C} is

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint \|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1} \mathcal{C}(\pi; i, j) dx ds \\ &\leq 2 \{q_3(0) + q_3(2)\} \int \frac{h_i^2(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta \int (g+1) \pi(g) \{k'_j(g)\}^2 dg. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

By Lemmas C.1 and C.2 as well as $\pi(g) \leq 1$ under CASE II, the integrals in (4.6) and (4.8) are bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \eta \{h'_i(\eta)\}^2 d\eta \int \frac{\pi(g) k_j^2(g)}{g+1} dg < 4 \frac{\log(1+j)}{i}, \\ &\int \frac{h_i^2(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta \int (g+1) \pi(g) \{k'_j(g)\}^2 dg < 10 \frac{i}{\log(1+j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

For \mathcal{D} , using Lemma 3.1, we have a following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *There exist positive constants $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2$ all independent of i and j such that*

$$\iint \|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1} \mathcal{D}(\pi; i, j) dx ds \leq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1 + \frac{i}{\{\log(1+j)\}^2} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2. \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. See Appendix B. □

By (4.6), (4.8), (4.9) and Lemma 4.2, with the choice

$$i = \log(1+j)$$

we have

$$\sup_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N} \\ i = \log(1+j)}} \iint \|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1} \{4\mathcal{B}(\pi; i, j) + 4\mathcal{C}(\pi; i, j) + \mathcal{D}(\pi; i, j)\} dx ds < \infty \quad (4.11)$$

and by the dominated convergence theorem, we have a following result.

Theorem 4.1. For $p \geq 3$, the generalized Bayes estimator under π_* with

$$a = -2 \text{ and } b \geq 0$$

is admissible among the class of all estimators.

Appendix A: Proof of Lemma 3.1

A.1. Proof for A_1

Recall

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\psi) = \left\{ \iint \psi(g) \left| \frac{1}{\iint F\pi dg d\eta} - \frac{h_i^2}{\iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta} \right| F\pi dg d\eta \right\}^2 \iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1(\psi) &\leq \iint \psi^2(g) F\pi dg d\eta \iint \left(\frac{1}{\iint F\pi dg d\eta} - \frac{h_i^2}{\iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta} \right)^2 F\pi dg d\eta \\ &\quad \times \iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{\iint F\pi dg d\eta} - \frac{h_i^2}{\iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta} \right)^2 \quad (\text{A.2}) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\iint F\pi dg d\eta}} - \frac{h_i}{\sqrt{\iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\iint F\pi dg d\eta}} + \frac{h_i}{\sqrt{\iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta}} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\iint F\pi dg d\eta \iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta} \left(1 - \frac{h_i \sqrt{\iint F\pi dg d\eta}}{\sqrt{\iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{\iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta}}{\sqrt{\iint F\pi dg d\eta}} + h_i \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2^2}{\iint F\pi dg d\eta \iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta} \left(1 - \frac{h_i \sqrt{\iint F\pi dg d\eta}}{\sqrt{\iint Fh_i^2\pi dg d\eta}} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the fact $0 \leq h_i \leq 1$.

Further we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint F\pi \left(1 - \frac{h_i \sqrt{\iint F\pi dg d\eta}}{\sqrt{\iint Fh_i^2 \pi dg d\eta}} \right)^2 dg d\eta \\
&= 2 \iint F\pi dg d\eta - 2 \frac{\sqrt{\iint F\pi dg d\eta}}{\sqrt{\iint Fh_i^2 \pi dg d\eta}} \iint Fh_i \pi dg d\eta \\
&= 2 \iint F\pi dg d\eta \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{(\iint Fh_i \pi dg d\eta)^2}{\iint F\pi dg d\eta \iint Fh_i^2 \pi dg d\eta}} \right) \\
&\leq 2 \iint F\pi dg d\eta \left(1 - \frac{(\iint Fh_i \pi dg d\eta)^2}{\iint F\pi dg d\eta \iint Fh_i^2 \pi dg d\eta} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where the inequality follows from the fact

$$\frac{(\iint Fh_i \pi dg d\eta)^2}{\iint F\pi dg d\eta \iint Fh_i^2 \pi dg d\eta} \in (0, 1),$$

which is shown by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. By (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3), we have

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\psi) \leq 8 \iint F\psi^2(g)\pi(g)dg d\eta \left(1 - \frac{(\iint Fh_i \pi dg d\eta)^2}{\iint F\pi dg d\eta \iint Fh_i^2 \pi dg d\eta} \right). \tag{A.4}$$

By Lemma C.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\iint Fh_i^l \pi dg d\eta &= \frac{(1-z)^{p/2+a+1}}{s^{p/2+n/2+1}} \\
&\times \iint \frac{t^{p/2+a}(1-t)^b}{(1-zt)^{p/2+a+b+2}} v^{p/2+n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{v}{2(1-zt)}\right) h_i^l(v/s) dt dv,
\end{aligned}$$

where $l = 0, 1, 2$. For (A.4), by Lemma 2.8 of Maruyama and Strawderman (2021), there exists a positive constant $q_2(a, b)$, independent of i, x and s , such that

$$1 - \frac{(\iint Fh_i \pi dg d\eta)^2}{\iint F\pi dg d\eta \iint Fh_i^2 \pi dg d\eta} \leq \frac{q_2(a, b)}{(1 + |\log s|)^2}. \tag{A.5}$$

By (A.4), (A.5) and Lemma C.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m} \mathcal{A}_1(\psi; \pi; i, j) dx ds \\
&\leq 8q_2(a, b) \iint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1} \psi^2(g) F\pi}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m (1 + |\log s|)^2} dg d\eta \\
&\leq 16q_3(m) q_2(a, b) B(a + m + 2\alpha, b + 1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $q_3(m)$ is given by (4.7). This completes the proof.

A.2. Proof for \mathcal{A}_2

Recall

$$\mathcal{A}_2(\psi) = \frac{\left(\iint \psi(g) F h_i^2 \pi (1 - k_j^2) dg d\eta \right)^2}{\iint F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta}.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_2(\psi) \leq \iint \psi^2 (1 - k_j^2)^2 F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - k_j^2 &= (1 + k_j)(1 - k_j) \leq 2(1 - k_j) = \frac{2 \log(g + 1)}{\log(g + 1 + j)}, \\ \log(g + 1) &\leq \frac{(g + 1)^\epsilon}{\epsilon}, \text{ for } \epsilon > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

which gives

$$1 - k_j^2 \leq \frac{2 \log(g + 1)}{\log(1 + j)} \leq \frac{2(1 + g)^\epsilon}{\epsilon \log(1 + j)}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

By (A.6) and (A.8),

$$\mathcal{A}_2(\psi) \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 \{\log(1 + j)\}^2} \iint F h_i^2 \psi^2 (g + 1)^{2\epsilon} \pi dg d\eta,$$

where the integral in the right-hand side is integrable when

$$p/2 + a + 1 + 2\alpha - 2\epsilon = (p/2 + a + 1 - 2\epsilon) + 2\alpha > 0$$

which is guaranteed by the assumptions.

Then, by Lemma C.4 as well as Part 2 of Lemma C.1, the integral for \mathcal{A}_2 is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m} \mathcal{A}_2(\psi; \pi; i, j) dx ds \\ &\leq \frac{i}{\{\log(1 + j)\}^2} \frac{8q_3(m)}{\epsilon^2} \int (g + 1)^{-m+2\epsilon+1} \psi^2(g) \pi(g) dg \\ &= \frac{i}{\{\log(1 + j)\}^2} \frac{8q_3(m)}{\epsilon^2} B(a + m + 2\alpha - 2\epsilon, b + 1), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

A.3. Proof for A_3

Recall

$$\mathcal{A}_3(\psi) = \frac{\left(\iint \psi(g) F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta\right)^2}{\left(\iint F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta\right)^2 \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta} \left(\iint F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta - \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta\right)^2.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\iint \psi(g) F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta\right)^2 &\leq \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta \iint \psi^2(g) F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta \\ &\leq \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta \iint \psi^2(g) F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where the second inequality follows from $k_j^2 \leq 1$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\iint F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta - \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta\right)^2 \\ &\leq \iint F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta \iint (1 - k_j^2)^2 F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 \{\log(1+j)\}^2} \iint F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta \iint (g+1)^{2\epsilon} F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from (A.8). Note the integral $\int (g+1)^{2\epsilon} F \pi dg$ is integrable when

$$p/2 + a + 1 - 2\epsilon > 0$$

which is guaranteed by the assumption. By Part 3 of Lemma C.7, there exists a positive constant q_4 such that

$$\frac{\iint (g+1)^{2\epsilon} F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta}{\iint F h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta} \leq q_4 (w+1)^{2\epsilon} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{A}_3(\psi) \leq \frac{4q_4(w+1)^{2\epsilon}}{\epsilon^2 \{\log(1+j)\}^2} \iint \psi^2(g) F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Note, for $0 < 2\epsilon < 1$, we have

$$(w+1)^{2\epsilon} \leq w^{2\epsilon} + 1.$$

Then, by Lemma C.4 as well as Part 2 of Lemma C.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m} \mathcal{A}_3(\psi; \pi; i, j) dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{i}{\{\log(1+j)\}^2} \frac{8q_4}{\epsilon^2} \left(q_3(m-2\epsilon) \int (g+1)^{-m+2\epsilon+1} \psi^2(g) \pi(g) dg \right. \\
& \quad \left. + q_3(m) \int (g+1)^{-m+1} \psi^2(g) \pi(g) dg \right) \\
& \leq \frac{i}{\{\log(1+j)\}^2} \frac{8q_4\{q_3(m-2\epsilon) + q_3(m)\}}{\epsilon^2} B(a+m+2\alpha-2\epsilon, b+1),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Appendix B: Proof of Lemma 4.2

B.1. D for $b = 0$

Recall $\pi(g) \equiv 1$ for $a = -2$ and $b = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(\pi; i, j) &= \left(\frac{w+1}{w} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) dg d\eta} - \frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 k_j^2 dg d\eta} \right)^2 \\
&\quad \times \iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 k_j^2 dg d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}(\pi; i, j) \tag{B.1} \\
& \leq 2(1+1/w^2) \left\{ \left(\frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) dg d\eta} - \frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 dg d\eta} \right)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(\frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 dg d\eta} - \frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 k_j^2 dg d\eta} \right)^2 \right\} \\
& \quad \times \iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 k_j^2 dg d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

In (B.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) dg d\eta} - \frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 dg d\eta} \right)^2 \tag{B.2} \\
& \leq \left(\int F(0, \eta; w, s) \left| \frac{1}{\iint F dg d\eta} - \frac{h_i^2}{\iint F h_i^2 dg d\eta} \right| d\eta \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 dg d\eta} - \frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 k_j^2 dg d\eta} \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\int F(0, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 d\eta \iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 (1 - k_j^2) dg d\eta}{\iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 dg d\eta \iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2 k_j^2 dg d\eta} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Applying the following inequality to (B.2),

$$\begin{aligned} F(0, \eta; w, s) &= \eta^{p/2+n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{2}(w+1)\right) \\ &= \eta^{p/2+n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{2}(w+1)\right) \frac{\int (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg}{\int (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg} \\ &\leq \frac{\eta^{p/2+n/2} \exp(-\eta s(w+1)/2)}{\int (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg} \int \frac{1}{(g+1)^{p/2+2}} \exp\left(\frac{\eta s}{2} w \frac{g}{g+1}\right) dg \\ &= \frac{\int (g+1)^{-2} F(g, \eta; w, s) dg}{\int (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

and the following inequality to (B.3),

$$F(0, \eta; w, s) \leq \frac{\int (g+1)^{-2} F(g, \eta; w, s) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg}{\int (g+1)^{-p/2-2} k_j^2(g) dg}, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

we have

$$\mathcal{D}(\pi; i, j) \leq \frac{2(1+1/w^2)}{\{\int (g+1)^{-p/2-2} k_1^2(g) dg\}^2} \{\mathcal{A}_1((g+1)^{-2}) + \mathcal{A}_3((g+1)^{-2})\}.$$

In Lemma 3.1, we set $\alpha = 2$, $m = 0$ or 2 , $\epsilon = 1/8$ as well as $a = -2$ and $b = 0$. There exist positive constants $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2$, both independent of i and j , such that

$$\iint \|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1} \mathcal{D}(\pi; i, j) dx ds \leq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1 + \frac{i}{\{\log(1+j)\}^2} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2.$$

B.2. \mathcal{D} for $b > 0$

When $b > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}(\pi; i, j) \\ &= \frac{b^2}{w^2} \left(\frac{\iint \left(\frac{1}{g} + \frac{w}{g(g+1)}\right) F \pi dg d\eta}{\iint F \pi dg d\eta} - \frac{\iint \left(\frac{1}{g} + \frac{w}{g(g+1)}\right) F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta}{\iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta} \right)^2 \\ & \quad \times \iint F h_i^2 \pi k_j^2 dg d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1) and Lemma B.1 below, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\pi; i, j) &\leq 6b^2 \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{A}_1(1/g)}{w^2} + \mathcal{A}_1(1/\{g(g+1)\}) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_2(1/g)}{w^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathcal{A}_2(1/\{g(g+1)\}) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_3(1/g)}{w^2} + \mathcal{A}_3(1/\{g(g+1)\}) \right\} \\ &\leq 6b^2 r_*^2 \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{A}_1(1/(g+1))}{w^2} + \mathcal{A}_1(1/(g+1)^2) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_2(1/(g+1))}{w^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathcal{A}_2(1/(g+1)^2) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_3(1/(g+1))}{w^2} + \mathcal{A}_3(1/(g+1)^2) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_* = \max(r_1, r_2, r_3)$ defined in Lemma B.1 below.

In Lemma 3.1, we set $\alpha = 2$, $m = 0$ or 2 , $\epsilon = 1/8$ as well as $a = -2$ and $b > 0$. Then there exist positive constants $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2$ both independent of i and j such that

$$\iint \|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1} \mathcal{D}(\pi; i, j) dx ds \leq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1 + \frac{i}{\{\log(1+j)\}^2} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2.$$

Lemma B.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{F\pi dg}{g} &\leq r_1 \int \frac{F\pi dg}{g+1}, & \int \frac{F\pi dg}{g(g+1)} &\leq r_1 \int \frac{F\pi dg}{(g+1)^2}, \\ \int \frac{F\pi(1-k_j^2)dg}{g} &\leq r_2 \int \frac{F\pi(1-k_j^2)dg}{g+1}, & \int \frac{F\pi(1-k_j^2)dg}{g(g+1)} &\leq r_2 \int \frac{F\pi(1-k_j^2)dg}{(g+1)^2}, \\ \int \frac{F\pi k_j^2 dg}{g} &\leq r_3 \int \frac{F\pi k_j^2 dg}{g+1}, & \int \frac{F\pi k_j^2 dg}{g(g+1)} &\leq r_3 \int \frac{F\pi k_j^2 dg}{(g+1)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= \frac{\int \{(g+1)/g\} \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg}{\int \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg}, \\ r_2 &= \max_j \frac{\int \{(g+1)/g\} \pi(g) \{1-k_j^2(g)\} (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg}{\int \pi(g) \{1-k_j^2(g)\} (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg}, \\ r_3 &= \max_j \frac{\int \{(g+1)/g\} \pi(g) k_j^2(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg}{\int \pi(g) k_j^2(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove two inequalities in the first line. By the covariance inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \frac{1}{g} \frac{\pi(g)}{(g+1)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{g+1} \frac{w}{2}\right) dg \\ &= \int \frac{g+1}{g} \frac{\pi(g)}{(g+1)^{p/2+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{g+1} \frac{w}{2}\right) dg \\ &\leq \frac{\int \{(g+1)/g\} \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-1} dg}{\int \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-1} dg} \int \frac{1}{g+1} \frac{\pi(g)}{(g+1)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{g+1} \frac{w}{2}\right) dg. \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the fact $(g+1)/g$ is decreasing and $\exp(-\eta s w / \{2(g+1)\})$ is increasing. Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{1}{g(g+1)} \frac{\pi(g)}{(g+1)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{g+1} \frac{w}{2}\right) dg \\ & \leq \frac{\int \{(g+1)/g\} \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg}{\int \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg} \int \frac{1}{(g+1)^2} \frac{\pi(g)}{(g+1)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{g+1} \frac{w}{2}\right) dg. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(g+1)/g$ is decreasing and $g+1$ is increasing,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int \{(g+1)/g\} \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-1} dg}{\int \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-1} dg} &= \frac{\int \{(g+1)/g\} (g+1) \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg}{\int (g+1) \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg} \\ &< \frac{\int \{(g+1)/g\} \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg}{\int \pi(g) (g+1)^{-p/2-2} dg} \\ &= r_1, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of two inequalities in the first line. \square

Appendix C: Lemmas and Proofs

C.1. the sequence

Lemma C.1. *Let*

$$h_i(\eta) = \frac{i}{i + |\log \eta|}. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

1. $h_i(\eta)$ is increasing in i and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h_i(\eta) = 1 \text{ for all } \eta > 0.$$

$$2. \int_0^\infty \eta^{-1} h_i^2(\eta) d\eta = 2i.$$

$$3. \int_0^\infty \eta \{h'_i(\eta)\}^2 d\eta \leq \frac{2}{i}.$$

Proof. [Part 1] This part is straightforward given the form of $h_i(\eta)$.

[Part 2] The results follow from the integrals,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \eta^{-1} h_i^2(\eta) d\eta &= \int_0^1 \frac{i^2 d\eta}{\eta \{i + \log(1/\eta)\}^2} + \int_1^\infty \frac{i^2 d\eta}{\eta \{i + \log \eta\}^2} \\ &= \left[\frac{i^2}{i + \log(1/\eta)} \right]_0^1 + \left[-\frac{i^2}{i + \log \eta} \right]_1^\infty = 2i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

[Part 3] Note

$$h'_i(\eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{\eta \{i + \log(1/\eta)\}^2} & 0 < \eta < 1, \\ -\frac{i}{\eta \{i + \log \eta\}^2} & \eta \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \{h'_i(\eta)\}^2 &= \begin{cases} \frac{i^2}{\eta^2\{i + \log(1/\eta)\}^4} & 0 < \eta < 1 \\ -\frac{i^2}{\eta^2\{i + \log \eta\}^4} & \eta \geq 1 \end{cases} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\eta^2\{i + \log(1/\eta)\}^2} & 0 < \eta < 1, \\ \frac{1}{\eta^2\{i + \log \eta\}^2} & \eta \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty \eta \{h'_i(\eta)\}^2 d\eta \leq \int_0^1 \frac{d\eta}{\eta\{i + \log(1/\eta)\}^2} + \int_1^\infty \frac{d\eta}{\eta\{i + \log \eta\}^2} = \frac{2}{i}.$$

□

Lemma C.2. *Let*

$$k_j(g) = 1 - \frac{\log(g+1)}{\log(g+1+j)}. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

1. $k_j(g)$ is increasing in j for fixed g , and decreasing in g for fixed j . Further $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} k_j(g) = 1$ for fixed $g \geq 0$.
2. For fixed $j \geq 1$,

$$k_j(g) \leq \frac{(1+j)\log(1+j)}{(g+1+j)\log(g+1+j)}.$$

3. Let $\pi(g) = (g+1)^{-a-2}\{g/(g+1)\}^b$ for $a \geq -2$ and $b > -1$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg \leq \frac{1}{b+1} + \max(1, 2^{-b})(1+j).$$

- 4.

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{k_j^2(g)}{g+1} dg \leq 2\log(1+j).$$

5. For $g \geq 0$,

$$k'_j(g) = -\frac{j/(g+1) + k_j(g)}{(g+1+j)\log(g+1+j)}$$

- 6.

$$\int_0^\infty (g+1)\{k'_j(g)\}^2 dg \leq \frac{5}{\log(1+j)}.$$

Proof. [Part 1] This part is straightforward given the form of $k_j(g)$.

[Part 2] The function $k_j(g)$ is rewritten as

$$k_j(g) = \frac{j\zeta(j/(g+1+j))}{(g+1+j)\log(g+1+j)},$$

where $\zeta(x) = -\log(1-x)/x = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} x^l/(l+1)$ which is increasing in x . Hence

$$k_j(g) \leq \frac{j\zeta(j/(1+j))}{(g+1+j)\log(g+1+j)} = \frac{(1+j)\log(1+j)}{(g+1+j)\log(g+1+j)}.$$

[Part 3] By Part 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} k_j^2(g) dg &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(1+j)^2 \{\log(1+j)\}^2}{(g+1+j)^2 \{\log(g+1+j)\}^2} dg \\ &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(1+j)^2}{(g+1+j)^2} dg \\ &= 1+j. \end{aligned}$$

Under the condition, we have $\pi(g) \leq \{g/(g+1)\}^b$. When $b \geq 0$, we have $\pi(g) \leq 1$ and hence

$$\int_0^{\infty} \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg \leq \int_0^{\infty} k_j^2(g) dg \leq 1+j.$$

When $-1 < b < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b &\leq (1+g^b) I_{(0,1)}(g) + 2^{-b} I_{(1,\infty)}(g) \\ &\leq g^b I_{(0,1)}(g) + 2^{-b} I_{(0,\infty)}(g). \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\int_0^1 \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg \leq \int_0^1 g^b dg = \frac{1}{b+1}.$$

Then the result follows.

[Part 4] Note $k_j^2 \leq 1$ by definition. Hence

$$\int_0^j \frac{k_j^2(g)}{g+1} dg \leq \int_0^j \frac{1}{g+1} dg = \log(1+j).$$

Also, by Part 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_j^{\infty} \frac{k_j^2(g)}{(g+1)} dg &\leq \int_j^{\infty} \frac{(1+j)^2 \{\log(1+j)\}^2}{(g+1)(g+1+j)^2 \{\log(g+1+j)\}^2} dg \\ &\leq \int_j^{\infty} \frac{\{\log(1+j)\}^2}{(g+1+j) \{\log(g+1+j)\}^2} dg \\ &= \frac{\{\log(1+j)\}^2}{\log(1+2j)} \\ &\leq \log(1+j). \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows.

[Part 5] The derivative is

$$k'_j(g) = -\frac{1}{(g+1)\log(g+1+j)} + \frac{\log(g+1)}{(g+1+j)\{\log(g+1+j)\}^2}. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log(g+1+j)k'_j(g) &= -\frac{1}{g+1} + \frac{\log(g+1)}{(g+1+j)\log(g+1+j)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{g+1} + \frac{1}{g+1+j} \{1 - k_j(g)\} \\ &= -\frac{j}{(g+1)(g+1+j)} - \frac{k_j(g)}{g+1+j}. \end{aligned}$$

[Part 6] By Part 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(g+1)\{k'_j(g)\}^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left(\frac{j^2}{(g+1)(g+1+j)^2\{\log(g+1+j)\}^2} + \frac{(g+1)k_j^2(g)}{(g+1+j)^2\{\log(g+1+j)\}^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^j \frac{j^2 \, dg}{(g+1)(g+1+j)^2\{\log(g+1+j)\}^2} &\leq \frac{1}{\{\log(1+j)\}^2} \int_0^j \frac{dg}{g+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\log(1+j)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_j^\infty \frac{j^2 \, dg}{(g+1)(g+1+j)^2\{\log(g+1+j)\}^2} &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_j^\infty \frac{dg}{(g+1)\{\log(g+1)\}^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\log(1+j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, by $0 \leq k_j \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{(g+1)k_j^2(g) \, dg}{(g+1+j)^2\{\log(g+1+j)\}^2} &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{dg}{(g+1+j)\{\log(g+1+j)\}^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\log(1+j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows. \square

C.2. Proof of Lemma 4.1

Lemma 4.1 follows from the following result.

Lemma C.3. Assume $a = -2$ and $b \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n/2 + 1)w \iint (g + 1)^{-1} F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta \\
&= (p/2 - 1) \iint F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta \\
&\quad - 2w \iint \frac{\eta}{g + 1} F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i(\eta) h_i'(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta \\
&\quad - 2 \iint (g + 1 + w) F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j(g) k_j'(g) dg d\eta \\
&\quad - \begin{cases} b \iint \frac{g + 1 + w}{g(g + 1)} F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta & b > 0, \\ \int (1 + w) F(0, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) d\eta & b = 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By change of variables $u = \eta\{1 + w/(g + 1)\}$ with $d\eta/du = 1/\{1 + w/(g + 1)\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint (g + 1)^{-1} F(g, \eta; w, s) h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg d\eta \\
&= \iint \left(1 + \frac{w}{g + 1}\right)^{-p/2 - n/2 - 1} \frac{u^{p/2 + n/2}}{(g + 1)^{p/2 + 1}} \exp\left(-\frac{su}{2}\right) \\
&\quad \times h_i^2\left(\frac{u}{1 + w/(g + 1)}\right) \pi(g) k_j^2(g) dg du \\
&= \int \frac{(g + 1)^{n/2}}{(g + 1 + w)^{p/2 + n/2 + 1}} \zeta_i(w/(g + 1), s) \left(\frac{g}{g + 1}\right)^b k_j^2(g) dg,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta_i(v, s) = \int u^{p/2 + n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{su}{2}\right) h_i^2\left(\frac{u}{1 + v}\right) du.$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{w(g + 1)^{n/2}}{(g + 1 + w)^{p/2 + n/2 + 1}} \\
&= w \left(\frac{g + 1}{g + 1 + w}\right)^{n/2} (g + 1 + w)^{-p/2 - 1} \\
&= w \left(1 - \frac{w}{g + 1 + w}\right)^{n/2} (g + 1 + w)^{-p/2 - 1} \\
&= \frac{d}{dg} \left\{ \frac{1}{n/2 + 1} \left(1 - \frac{w}{g + 1 + w}\right)^{n/2 + 1} \right\} (g + 1 + w)^{-p/2 + 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then an integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& w \int \frac{(g+1)^{n/2}}{(g+1+w)^{p/2+n/2+1}} \zeta_i(w/(g+1), s) \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b k_j^2(g) dg \quad (C.5) \\
&= \frac{1}{n/2+1} \left\{ \left[\left(1 - \frac{w}{g+1+w}\right)^{n/2+1} \frac{\zeta_i(w/(g+1), s)}{(g+1+w)^{p/2-1}} \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b k_j^2(g) \right]_0^\infty \right. \\
&\quad + (p/2-1) \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{w}{g+1+w}\right)^{n/2+1} \frac{\zeta_i(w/(g+1), s)}{(g+1+w)^{p/2}} \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b k_j^2(g) dg \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{w}{g+1+w}\right)^{n/2+1} \frac{\zeta_i'(w/(g+1), s)}{(g+1+w)^{p/2-1}} \left\{ \frac{-w}{(g+1)^2} \right\} \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b k_j^2(g) dg \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{w}{g+1+w}\right)^{n/2+1} \frac{\zeta_i(w/(g+1), s)}{(g+1+w)^{p/2-1}} \left\{ \frac{d}{dg} \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b \right\} k_j^2(g) dg \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{w}{g+1+w}\right)^{n/2+1} \frac{\zeta_i(w/(g+1), s)}{(g+1+w)^{p/2-1}} \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b k_j(g) k_j'(g) dg \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\pi(0) = 1$ for $b = 0$, $\pi(0) = 0$ for $b > 0$, and

$$\frac{d}{dg} \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b = b \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^{b-1} \frac{1}{(g+1)^2} = \frac{b}{g(g+1)} \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b,$$

for $b > 0$. Further we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_i'(v, s) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \zeta_i(v, s) \\
&= -\frac{2}{(v+1)^2} \int u^{p/2+n/2+1} \exp\left(-\frac{su}{2}\right) h_i\left(\frac{u}{1+v}\right) h_i'\left(\frac{u}{1+v}\right) du \\
&= -2(v+1)^{p/2+n/2} \int \eta^{p/2+n/2+1} \exp\left(-\frac{s(1+v)\eta}{2}\right) h_i(\eta) h_i'(\eta) d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

In (C.5), note

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 - \frac{w}{g+1+w}\right)^{n/2+1} \frac{1}{(g+1+w)^{p/2}} \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{w}{g+1}\right)^{-p/2-n/2-1} \frac{\pi(g)}{(g+1)^{p/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Change of variables $\eta = u/\{1+w/(g+1)\}$ with $du/d\eta = 1+w/(g+1)$ completes the proof. \square

C.3. Lemmas for Lemma 3.1

Lemma C.4. Assume $-n/2 < m < p/2+1$ and that both $H(\eta)/\eta$ and $\Pi(g)/(g+1)^{m-1}$ are integrable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \iiint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m} F(g, \eta; \|x\|^2/s, s) H(\eta) \Pi(g) dg d\eta dx ds \\ &= q_3(m) \int \frac{H(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta \int \frac{\Pi(g)}{(g+1)^{m-1}} dg, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$q_3(m) = 2^{p/2+n/2+1} \pi^{p/2} \frac{\Gamma(p/2+1-m)\Gamma(n/2+m)}{\Gamma(p/2)}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \iiint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m} F(g, \eta; \|x\|^2/s, s) H(\eta) \Pi(g) dg d\eta dx ds \\ &= \iiint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m} \frac{\eta^{p/2+n/2}}{(g+1)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{s\eta}{2} \left(\frac{\|x\|^2/s}{1+g} + 1\right)\right) H(\eta) \Pi(g) dg d\eta dx ds \\ &= \iiint (g+1)^{p/2} s^{p/2} \frac{\|y\|^2 s(g+1)s^{n/2-1}}{\|y\|^{2m}(1+g)^m} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\eta^{p/2+n/2}}{(g+1)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{s\eta}{2} (\|y\|^2 + 1)\right) H(\eta) \Pi(g) dg d\eta dy ds \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(p/2+n/2+1)}{2^{-p/2-n/2-1}} \int \frac{\|y\|^{2(1-m)} dy}{(1+\|y\|^2)^{p/2+n/2+1}} \int \frac{H(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta \int \frac{\Pi(g) dg}{(g+1)^{m-1}} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(p/2+n/2+1)}{2^{-p/2-n/2-1}} \frac{\pi^{p/2} \Gamma(p/2+1-m)\Gamma(n/2+m)}{\Gamma(p/2)\Gamma(p/2+n/2+1)} \int \frac{H(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta \int \frac{\Pi(g) dg}{(g+1)^{m-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from change of variables $y_i = x_i/(\sqrt{1+g}\sqrt{s})$ with Jacobian $|\partial x/\partial y| = (1+g)^{p/2} s^{p/2}$ as well as $w/(1+g) = \|y\|^2$ and $\|x\|^2 = (1+g)s\|y\|^2$, and the last equality follows from Lemma C.6. \square

Lemma C.5. Assume $-n/2 < m < p/2+1$ and $\Pi(g)/(g+1)^{m-1}$ is integrable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \iiint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m} \frac{F(g, \eta; \|x\|^2/s, s) \Pi(g)}{(1+|\log s|)^2} dg d\eta dx ds \\ &= 2q_3(m) \int \frac{\Pi(g) dg}{(g+1)^{m-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m} \frac{F(g, \eta; \|x\|^2/s, s) \Pi(g)}{(1 + |\log s|)^2} dg d\eta dx ds \\
&= \iiint \frac{\|x\|^2 s^{n/2-1}}{(\|x\|^2/s)^m} \frac{\eta^{p/2+n/2}}{(g+1)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{2} \left\{ \frac{\|x\|^2/s}{g+1} + 1 \right\}\right) \frac{\Pi(g) dg d\eta dx ds}{(1 + |\log s|)^2} \\
&= \iiint (1+g)^{p/2} s^{p/2} \frac{s^{n/2-1} \|y\|^2 (1+g)s}{\{(1+g)\|y\|^2\}^m} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\eta^{p/2+n/2}}{(g+1)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{2} \{\|y\|^2 + 1\}\right) \frac{\Pi(g)}{(1 + |\log s|)^2} dg d\eta dy ds \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(p/2 + n/2 + 1)}{2^{-p/2-n/2-1}} \int \frac{ds}{s(1 + |\log s|)^2} \int \frac{\|y\|^{2(1-m)} dy}{(1 + \|y\|^2)^{p/2+n/2+1}} \int \frac{\Pi(g) dg}{(g+1)^{m-1}} \\
&= 2q_3(m) \int \frac{\Pi(g) dg}{(g+1)^{m-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma C.6. *Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Assume $\beta > \alpha > -p/2$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^p} (\|y\|^2)^\alpha (1 + \|y\|^2)^{-p/2-\beta} dy = \pi^{p/2} \frac{\Gamma(p/2 + \alpha) \Gamma(\beta - \alpha)}{\Gamma(p/2) \Gamma(p/2 + \beta)}. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^p} (\|y\|^2)^\alpha (1 + \|y\|^2)^{-p/2-\beta} dy &= \frac{\pi^{p/2}}{\Gamma(p/2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{p/2-1+\alpha}}{(1+u)^{p/2+\beta}} du \\
&= \frac{\pi^{p/2}}{\Gamma(p/2)} \text{Be}(p/2 + \alpha, \beta - \alpha) \\
&= \pi^{p/2} \frac{\Gamma(p/2 + \alpha) \Gamma(\beta - \alpha)}{\Gamma(p/2) \Gamma(p/2 + \beta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma C.7. *Assume $p/2 + a + 1 > 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma < p/2 + a + 1$.*

1.

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F h_i^2(\eta) (g+1)^\gamma \pi(g) dg d\eta = \frac{(1-z)^{p/2-\gamma+a+1}}{s^{p/2+n/2+1}} \mathcal{H}(z, s; i),$$

where $z = w/(1+w)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}(z, s; i) \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{p/2-\gamma+a}(1-t)^b}{(1-zt)^{p/2-\gamma+a+b+2}} v^{p/2+n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{v}{2(1-zt)}\right) h_i^2(v/s) dt dv.
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

2. *The function $\{(i + |\log s|)/i\}^2 \mathcal{H}(z, s; i)$ is bounded from above and below, where the lower and upper bounds are independent of i, z and s .*

3. There exists a positive constant q_4 , independent of i , z and s , such that

$$\frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F h_i^2(\eta)(g+1)^\gamma \pi(g) dg d\eta}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F h_i^2(\eta) \pi(g) dg d\eta} \leq q_4(w+1)^\gamma. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

Proof. [Part 1] Note

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint F(g+1)^\gamma h_i^2 \pi dg d\eta \\ &= \iint \frac{\eta^{p/2+n/2}}{(g+1)^{p/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\eta s}{2}\left(\frac{w}{g+1}+1\right)\right\} h_i^2(\eta)(g+1)^{\gamma-a-2} \left(\frac{g}{g+1}\right)^b dg d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Apply the change of variables

$$g = \frac{1-t}{(1-z)t} \text{ where } z = \frac{w}{w+1}$$

with

$$g+1 = \frac{1-zt}{(1-z)t}, \quad 1 + \frac{w}{g+1} = 1 + \frac{z/(1-z)}{g+1} = \frac{1}{1-zt}, \quad \left|\frac{dg}{dt}\right| = \frac{1}{(1-z)t^2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &= \iint \left(\frac{(1-z)t}{1-zt}\right)^{p/2-\gamma+a+2} \left(\frac{1-t}{1-zt}\right)^b \frac{\eta^{p/2+n/2}}{(1-z)t^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{2(1-zt)}\right) h_i^2(\eta) dt d\eta \\ &= (1-z)^{p/2-\gamma+a+1} \iint \frac{t^{p/2-\gamma+a}(1-t)^b}{(1-zt)^{p/2-\gamma+a+b+2}} \eta^{p/2+n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta s}{2(1-zt)}\right) h_i^2(\eta) dt d\eta \\ &= \frac{(1-z)^{p/2-\gamma+a+1}}{s^{p/2+n/2+1}} \iint \frac{t^{p/2-\gamma+a}(1-t)^b}{(1-zt)^{p/2-\gamma+a+b+2}} v^{p/2+n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{v}{2(1-zt)}\right) h_i^2(v/s) dt dv. \end{aligned}$$

[Part 2] Let the probability density investigated in [Maruyama and Strawderman \(2021\)](#) be

$$f(v|z) = \frac{v^{(p+n)/2}}{\psi(z)} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{p/2+a-\gamma}(1-t)^b}{(1-zt)^{p/2-\gamma+a+b+2}} \exp\left(-\frac{v}{2(1-zt)}\right) dt,$$

with normalizing constant $\psi(z)$ given by

$$\psi(z) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \frac{t^{p/2+a-\gamma}(1-t)^b}{(1-zt)^{p/2-\gamma+a+b+2}} v^{(p+n)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{v}{2(1-zt)}\right) dv dt.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{H}(z, s; i) = \psi(z) E[h_i^2(V/s) | z].$$

By Lemma A.4 of [Maruyama and Strawderman \(2021\)](#), $0 < \psi(z) < \infty$ for $z \in [0, 1]$. Hence we focus on

$$\left(\frac{i + |\log s|}{i}\right)^2 E[h_i^2(V/s) | z].$$

⟨⟨lower bound⟩⟩ Note

$$\frac{i + |\log s|}{i + |\log v/s|} \geq \frac{i + |\log s|}{i + |\log s| + |\log v|} \geq \frac{1}{1 + |\log v|}. \quad (\text{C.9})$$

By the Jensen inequality, we have

$$\left(\frac{i + |\log s|}{i}\right)^2 E[h_i^2(V/s) | z] \geq \left(\frac{1}{1 + E[|\log V||z]}\right)^2 \geq \left(\frac{1}{1 + \max_z E[|\log V||z]}\right)^2.$$

⟨⟨0 < s < 1, upper bound⟩⟩ Assume 0 < s < 1. When v ≥ s, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{i + \log(1/s)}{i + \log v + \log(1/s)}\right)^2 &= \left(1 - \frac{\log v}{i + \log v + \log(1/s)}\right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 + 2 \frac{|\log v|^2}{\{i + \log v + \log(1/s)\}^2} \\ &\leq 2 + 2|\log v|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.10})$$

When v < s, we have

$$\left(\frac{i + \log(1/s)}{i + \log(s/v)}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{i + \log(1/s)}{i}\right)^2 \leq \{1 + \log(1/s)\}^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{i + \log(1/s)}{i}\right)^2 E[h_i^2(V/s) | z] \\ &= \int_0^s \left(\frac{i + \log(1/s)}{i + \log(s/v)}\right)^2 f(v|z) dv + \int_s^\infty \left(\frac{i + \log(1/s)}{i + \log(v/s)}\right)^2 f(v|z) dv \\ &= \int_0^s \{1 + \log(1/s)\}^2 f(v|z) dv + \int_s^\infty \{2 + 2|\log v|^2\} f(v|z) dv \\ &\leq \max_{z \in [0,1]} \left(\{1 + \log(1/s)\}^2 \int_0^s f(v|z) dv \right) + 2 + 2 \max_{z \in [0,1]} E[|\log V|^2 | z]. \end{aligned}$$

As in Lemma A.2 of [Maruyama and Strawderman \(2021\)](#), $f(v|z)$ is regarded as a generalization of Gamma distribution. We have $f(0|z) = 0$ and $f(v|z)$ grows with polynomial order around zero. Hence

$$\sup_{z \in [0,1], s \in (0,1)} \left(\{1 + \log(1/s)\}^2 \int_0^s f(v|z) dv \right) < \infty.$$

⟨⟨s > 1, upper bound⟩⟩ Assume s > 1 and v ≤ s. As in (C.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{i + \log s}{i + \log(s/v)}\right)^2 &= \left(1 - \frac{\log(1/v)}{i + \log(s/v)}\right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 + 2 \frac{|\log v|^2}{\{i + \log(s/v)\}^2} \\ &\leq 2 + 2|\log v|^2. \end{aligned}$$

When $v > s$,

$$\left(\frac{i + \log s}{i + \log(v/s)}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{i + \log s}{i}\right)^2 \leq (1 + \log s)^2.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{i + \log s}{i}\right)^2 E[h_i^2(V/s) | z] \\ &= \int_0^s \left(\frac{i + \log s}{i + \log(s/v)}\right)^2 f(v | z) dv + \int_s^\infty \left(\frac{i + \log s}{i + \log(v/s)}\right)^2 f(v | z) dv \\ &\leq \int_0^s (2 + 2|\log v|^2) f(v | z) dv + \int_s^\infty (1 + \log s)^2 f(v | z) dv \\ &\leq 2 + 2 \max_{z \in [0,1]} E[|\log V|^2 | z] + \max_{z \in [0,1]} \left((1 + \log s)^2 \int_s^\infty f(v | z) dv \right). \end{aligned}$$

As in Lemma A.2 of [Maruyama and Strawderman \(2021\)](#), $f(v | z)$ is regarded as a generalization of Gamma distribution. We have $f(v | z)$ is with exponential decay at infinity. Hence

$$\max_{z \in [0,1], s \in (1, \infty)} \left((1 + \log s)^2 \int_s^\infty f(v | z) dv \right) < \infty.$$

[Part 3] Part 3 follows from Parts 1 and 2. □

References

- BERGER, J. O. (1976). Admissible minimax estimation of a multivariate normal mean with arbitrary quadratic loss. *Ann. Statist.* **4** 223–226. [MR0397940](#)
- BLYTH, C. R. (1951). On minimax statistical decision procedures and their admissibility. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **22** 22–42. [MR39966](#)
- BROWN, L. D. (1971). Admissible estimators, recurrent diffusions, and insoluble boundary value problems. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **42** 855–903. [MR0286209](#)
- BROWN, L. D. and HWANG, J. T. (1982). A unified admissibility proof. In *Statistical decision theory and related topics, III, Vol. 1 (West Lafayette, Ind., 1981)* 205–230. Academic Press, New York. [MR705290](#)
- FAITH, R. E. (1978). Minimax Bayes estimators of a multivariate normal mean. *J. Multivariate Anal.* **8** 372–379.
- JAMES, W. and STEIN, C. (1961). Estimation with quadratic loss. In *Proc. 4th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Prob., Vol. I* 361–379. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif. [MR0133191](#)
- KUBOKAWA, T. (1991). An approach to improving the James-Stein estimator. *J. Multivariate Anal.* **36** 121–126. [MR1094273](#)
- LIN, P. E. and TSAI, H. L. (1973). Generalized Bayes minimax estimators of the multivariate normal mean with unknown covariance matrix. *Ann. Statist.* **1** 142–145.

- MARUYAMA, Y. and STRAWDERMAN, W. E. (2005). A new class of generalized Bayes minimax ridge regression estimators. *Ann. Statist.* **33** 1753–1770. [MR2166561](#)
- MARUYAMA, Y. and STRAWDERMAN, W. E. (2017). A sharp boundary for SURE-based admissibility for the normal means problem under unknown scale. *J. Multivariate Anal.* **162** 134–151.
- MARUYAMA, Y. and STRAWDERMAN, W. E. (2020). Admissible Bayes equivariant estimation of location vectors for spherically symmetric distributions with unknown scale. *Ann. Statist.* **48** 1052–1071. [MR4102687](#)
- MARUYAMA, Y. and STRAWDERMAN, W. E. (2021). Admissible estimators of a multivariate normal mean vector when the scale is unknown. *Biometrika*. in press.
- STRAWDERMAN, W. E. (1971). Proper Bayes minimax estimators of the multivariate normal mean. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **42** 385–388. [MR0397939](#)