

1-ABSORBING PRIMARY SUBMODULES

ECE YETKIN CELIKEL

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with non-zero identity and M be a unitary R -module. The goal of this paper is to extend the concept of 1-absorbing primary ideals to 1-absorbing primary submodules. A proper submodule N of M is said to be a 1-absorbing primary submodule if whenever non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$, then either $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $m \in M - \text{rad}(N)$. Various properties and characterizations of this class of submodules are considered. Moreover, 1-absorbing primary avoidance theorem is proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we shall assume unless otherwise stated, that all rings are commutative with non-zero identity and all modules are considered to be unitary. A *prime* (resp. *primary*) submodule is a proper submodule N of M with the property that for $a \in R$ and $m \in M$, $am \in N$ implies that $m \in N$ or $a \in (N :_R M)$ (resp. $a \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$). Since prime and primary ideals (submodules) have an important role in the theory of modules over commutative rings, generalizations of these concepts have been studied by several authors [1]-[8], [14], [15]. For a survey article consisting some of generalizations see [5]. In 2007, Badawi [4] called a non-zero proper ideal I of R a *2-absorbing ideal* of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. As an extension of 2-absorbing primary ideals, the concept of 2-absorbing submodules are introduced by Darani and Soheilnia [8] and studied by Payrovi, Babaei [15]. We recall from [8] that a proper submodule N of M is said to be a *2-absorbing submodule* if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$, then $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$. In 2014, Badawi, Tekir and Yetkin [6] introduced the concept of 2-absorbing primary ideals. A proper ideal I of R is called *2-absorbing primary* if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ with $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$. After that, the notion of 2-absorbing primary submodules is introduced and studied in [14]. According to [14], a proper submodule N of M is said to be *2-absorbing primary* provided that $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$ and $abm \in N$ imply either $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $am \in M - \text{rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M - \text{rad}(N)$. As a recent study, the class of *1-absorbing primary ideals* was defined in [7]. According to [7], a proper ideal I of R is said to be a *1-absorbing primary ideal* if whenever non-unit elements a, b, c of R and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$. Our aim is to extend the notion of 1-absorbing primary ideals to 1-absorbing primary submodules.

Date: January, 2021.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13A15, Secondary 13F05.

Key words and phrases. 1-absorbing primary submodule, 1-absorbing primary ideal, 2-absorbing primary submodule.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

For the sake of thoroughness, we give some definitions which we will need throughout this study. Let I be an ideal of a ring R . By \sqrt{I} , we mean the radical of I which is the intersection of all prime ideals containing I , that is $\{r \in R : r^n \in I \text{ for some } n\}$. Let M be an R -module and N be a submodule of M . We will denote by $(N :_R M)$ the residual of N by M , that is, the set of all $r \in R$ such that $rM \subseteq N$. The annihilator of M denoted by $Ann_R(M)$ is $(0 :_R M)$. The M -radical of N , denoted by $M\text{-rad}(N)$, is defined to be the intersection of all prime submodules of M containing N . If M is a multiplication R -module, then $M\text{-rad}(N) = \{m \in M : m^k \subseteq N \text{ for some } k \geq 0\}$ [1, Theorem 3.13]. If there is no such a prime submodule, then $M\text{-rad}(N) = M$. For the other notations and terminologies that are used in this article, the reader is referred to [10].

We summarize the content of this article as follows. We call a proper submodule N of M a 1-absorbing primary submodule if whenever non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$, then $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $m \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. It is clear that a prime submodule is a 1-absorbing primary submodule, and a 1-absorbing primary submodule is a 2-absorbing primary submodule. In Section 2, we start with examples (Example 1 and Example 2) showing that the inverses of these implications are not true in general. Various characterizations for 1-absorbing primary submodules are given (Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3). Moreover, the behavior of 1-absorbing primary submodules in modules under homomorphism, module localizations and direct product of modules are investigated (Proposition 3, Proposition 4 and Proposition 5). Finally, in Section 3, the 1-absorbing primary avoidance theorem is proved.

2. PROPERTIES OF 1-ABSORBING PRIMARY SUBMODULES

Definition 1. *Let M be a module over a commutative ring R and N be a proper submodule of M . We call N a 1-absorbing primary submodule if whenever non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$, then $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $m \in M\text{-rad}(N)$.*

It is clear that the following implication hold: prime submodule \Rightarrow 1-absorbing primary submodule \Rightarrow 2-absorbing primary submodule. The following example shows that a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M needs not to be a primary (prime) submodule; and also there are 2-absorbing primary submodules which are not 1-absorbing primary.

Example 1. (1) Let $A = K[x, y]$, where K is a field, $Q = (x, y)A$. Consider $R = A_Q$ and $M = R$ as an R -module. Then $N = (x^2, xy)M$ is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M [7, Example 1]. Observe that $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = xR$. Since $x \cdot y \in N$, but $x \notin N$ and $y \notin \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$, N is not a primary submodule (so, it is not a prime submodule) of M .

(2) Consider the submodule $N = p^2q\mathbb{Z}$ of \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} where p and q are distinct prime integers. Then N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of \mathbb{Z} by [14, Corollary 2.21]. However it is not 1-absorbing primary as $p \cdot p \cdot q \in N$ but neither $p \cdot p \in (N :_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}) = N$ nor $q \in M\text{-rad}(N) = pq\mathbb{Z}$.

The following example shows that there are some modules of which every proper submodule is 2-absorbing primary but which has no 1-absorbing primary submodule.

Example 2. Let p be a fixed prime integer. Then $E(p) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} : \alpha = r/p^n + \mathbb{Z} \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup (0)\}$ is a non-zero submodule of \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . For each $t \in \mathbb{N}$, set $G_t = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} : \alpha = r/p^t + \mathbb{Z} \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Observe that each proper submodule of $E(p)$ is equal to G_i for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(G_t :_{\mathbb{Z}} E(p)) = 0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$. It is shown in [3, Example 1] that every submodule G_t is not a primary submodule of $E(p)$. Thus there is no prime submodule in $E(p)$. Thus $E(p) - \text{rad}(G_t) = E(p)$. Therefore, each G_t is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of R .

We next give several characterizations of 1-absorbing primary submodules of an R -module.

Theorem 1. Let N be a proper submodule of an R -module M . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) N is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M .
- (2) If a, b are non-unit elements of R such that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, then $(N :_M ab) \subseteq M - \text{rad}(N)$.
- (3) If a, b are non-unit elements of R , and K is a submodule of M with $abK \subseteq N$, then $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $K \subseteq M - \text{rad}(N)$.
- (4) If $I_1 I_2 K \subseteq N$ for some proper ideals I_1, I_2 of R and some submodule K of M , then either $I_1 I_2 \subseteq (N :_R M)$ or $K \subseteq M - \text{rad}(N)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that a, b are non-unit elements of R such that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Let $m \in (N :_M ab)$. Hence $abm \in N$. Since N is 1-absorbing primary submodule and $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, we have $m \in M - \text{rad}(N)$, and so $(N :_M ab) \subseteq M - \text{rad}(N)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Since $abK \subseteq N$, we have $K \subseteq (N :_M ab) \subseteq M - \text{rad}(N)$ by (2).

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Assume on the contrary that neither $I_1 I_2 \subseteq (N :_R M)$ nor $K \subseteq M - \text{rad}(N)$. Then there exist non-unit elements $a \in I_1, b \in I_2$ with $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Thus $abK \subseteq N$, it contradicts with (3).

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let $a, b \in R$ be non-unit elements, $m \in M$ and $abm \in N$. Put $I_1 = aR, I_2 = bR, K = Rm$. Thus the result is clear. \square

An R -module M is called a *multiplication module* if every submodule N of M has the form IM for some ideal I of R . Equivalently, $N = (N :_R M)M$ [9]. Let M be a multiplication R -module and let $N = IM$ and $K = JM$ for some ideals I and J of R . The product of N and K is denoted by NK is defined by IJM . Clearly, NK is a submodule of M and contained in $N \cap K$. It is shown in [1, Theorem 3.4] that the product of N and K is independent of presentations of N and K . It is shown in [9, Theorem 2.12] that if N is a proper submodule of a multiplication R -module M , then $M - \text{rad}(N) = \sqrt{(N :_R M)}M$. If M is a finitely generated multiplication R -module, then $(M - \text{rad}(N) : M) = \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ by [14, Lemma 2.4]. Now, we are ready for characterizing 1-absorbing primary submodules of finitely generated multiplication module M in terms of submodules of M .

Theorem 2. Let M be a finitely generated multiplication R -module and N be a proper submodule of M . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) N is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M .

- (2) If $N_1N_2N_3 \subseteq N$ for some submodules N_1, N_2, N_3 of M , then either $N_1N_2 \subseteq N$ or $N_3 \subseteq M - \text{rad}(N)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that N is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M , $N_1N_2N_3 \subseteq N$ and $N_3 \not\subseteq M - \text{rad}(N)$. Since M is a finitely generated multiplication module, $N_1 = I_1M$ and $N_2 = I_2M$ for some ideals I_1, I_2 of R . Hence $I_1I_2N_3 \subseteq N$. Since $N_3 \not\subseteq M - \text{rad}(N)$, we have $I_1I_2 \subseteq (N :_R M)$ by Theorem 1. Thus we conclude $N_1N_2 \subseteq (N : M)M = N$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $I_1I_2K \subseteq N$. Then there exists an ideal I_3 of R such that $I_1I_2I_3M \subseteq N$ which gives $I_1I_2M \subseteq N$ or $I_3M \subseteq M - \text{rad}(N)$. By [16, p.231 Corollary], we have $I_1I_2 \subseteq (N :_R M) + \text{Ann}_R(M) = (N :_R M)$ or $K \subseteq M - \text{rad}(N)$. Hence, we are done from Theorem 1. \square

Lemma 1. [16, Theorem 10] *Let M be a finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module, then $(IM : M) = I$ for all ideals I of R .*

In [3, Corollary 2], for a proper submodule N of a multiplication R -module M , it is shown that N is primary submodule of M if and only if $(N :_R M)$ is primary ideal of R . Analogous with this result, we have the following.

Theorem 3. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R and N be a submodule of a finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module M . Then*

- (1) I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if IM is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M .
- (2) N is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M if and only if $(N : M)$ is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R .
- (3) N is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M if and only if $N = IM$ for some 1-absorbing primary ideal of R .

Proof. (1) Suppose I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R . If $IM = M$, then $I = (IM : M) = R$ by Lemma 1, a contradiction. Thus, IM is proper in M . Now, let $a, b \in R$ be non-unit elements and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in IM$ and $ab \notin (IM : M) = I$. Then $ab((m) : M) = ((abm) : M) \subseteq (IM : M) \subseteq (\sqrt{I}M : M) = \sqrt{I}$. Since I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal, we conclude that $((m) : M) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Thus, $m \in ((m) : M)M \subseteq \sqrt{I}M = M - \text{rad}(IM)$. Conversely, suppose IM is 1-absorbing primary submodule of M . Then clearly I is proper in R . Let $a, b, c \in R$ be non-unit elements with $abc \in I$ and $ab \notin (IM : M) = I$. Since $abM \in IM$ and IM is a 1-absorbing primary submodule, then $cM \subseteq M - \text{rad}(IM) = \sqrt{I}M$. Therefore, $c \in (\sqrt{I}M : M) = \sqrt{I}$ and I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R .

(2) Since $N = (N : M)M$, it follows by (1).

(3) Putting $I = (N : M)$ in (2), the claim is clear. \square

The following example shows that if $(N :_R M)$ is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R , then N is not needed to be a 1-absorbing primary submodule in general.

Example 3. *Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ be an R -module and p a prime integer. Consider the submodule $N = p^n\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$ of M for $n \geq 2$. Then $(N :_R M) = \{0\}$ is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R . However, N is not a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M since $p \cdot p^{n-1} \cdot (1, 0) \in N$ but neither $p \cdot p^{n-1} = p^n \in (N :_R M) = \{0\}$ nor $(1, 0) \in M - \text{rad}(N) = p\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$.*

In view of Theorem 3, we conclude the following result.

Proposition 1. *Let M be a finitely generated multiplication R -module and N be a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M . Then the following are satisfied:*

- (1) $\sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ is a prime ideal of R .
- (2) $\sqrt{(N :_R m)}$ is a prime ideal of R containing $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = P$ for every $m \notin M - \text{rad}(N)$.
- (3) $M - \text{rad}(N)$ is a prime submodule of M .

Proof. (1) Let N be a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M . Then $(N :_R M)$ is 1-absorbing primary ideal of R by Theorem 3. From [7, Theorem 2], we conclude that $\sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ is a prime ideal of R .

(2) Since N is a 1-absorbing primary ideal, $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = P$ is a prime ideal of R by (1). Suppose that $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \in \sqrt{(N :_R m)}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that a and b are non-unit elements of R . Then there exists a positive integer n such that $a^n b^n m \in N$. Since N is 1-absorbing primary submodule, and $m \notin M - \text{rad}(N)$, it implies that either $(ab)^n \in (N :_R M)$. Since P is prime and $ab \in P$, we conclude either $a \in P = \sqrt{(N :_R M)} \subseteq \sqrt{(N :_R m)}$ or $b \in P = \sqrt{(N :_R M)} \subseteq \sqrt{(N :_R m)}$.

(3) Suppose that N is a 1-absorbing primary submodule. Since $\sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ is a prime ideal of R by (1), we conclude that $M - \text{rad}(N) = \sqrt{(N :_R M)}M$ is a prime submodule of M by [9, Corollary 2.11]. \square

Note that the intersection of two distinct non-zero 1-absorbing primary submodules need not be a 1-absorbing primary submodule. Consider \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} . Then $2\mathbb{Z}$ and $3\mathbb{Z}$ are clearly 1-absorbing primary submodules but $2\mathbb{Z} \cap 3\mathbb{Z} = 6\mathbb{Z}$ is not. Indeed, $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \in 6\mathbb{Z}$ but neither $2 \cdot 2 \in (\mathbb{Z} : 6\mathbb{Z}) = 6\mathbb{Z}$ nor $3 \in \mathbb{Z} - \text{rad}(6\mathbb{Z}) = 6\mathbb{Z}$. We call a proper submodule N of M a P -1-absorbing submodule of M if $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = P$ is a prime submodule of R . In the next theorem, we show that if N_i 's are P -1-absorbing primary submodules of a multiplication module M , then the intersection of these submodules is a P -1-absorbing primary submodule of M .

Proposition 2. *Let M be a multiplication R -module. If $\{N_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a family of P -1-absorbing primary submodules of M , then so is $\bigcap_{i=1}^k N_i$.*

Proof. Suppose that $abm \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k N_i$ but $ab \notin \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k N_i :_R M \right)$ for non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$. Then $ab \notin (N_j :_R M)$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Since N_j is 1-absorbing primary and $abm \in N_j$, we have $m \in M - \text{rad}(N_j)$. Now, since $M - \text{rad}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k N_i\right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k M - \text{rad}(N_i) = PM$ by [14, Proposition 2.14 (3)], we are done. \square

Lemma 2. [12] *Let $\varphi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be an R -module epimorphism. Then*

- (1) *If N is a submodule of M_1 and $\ker(\varphi) \subseteq N$, then $\varphi(M_1 - \text{rad}(N)) = M_2 - \text{rad}(\varphi(N))$.*
- (2) *If K is a submodule of M_2 , then $\varphi^{-1}(M_2 - \text{rad}(K)) = M_1 - \text{rad}(\varphi^{-1}(K))$.*

Proposition 3. *Let M_1 and M_2 be R -modules and $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a module homomorphism. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) If N_2 is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M_2 , then $f^{-1}(N_2)$ is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M_1 .
- (2) Let f be an epimorphism. If N_1 is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M_1 containing $\text{Ker}(f)$, then $f(N_1)$ is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M_2 .

Proof. (1) Suppose that a, b are non-unit elements of R , $m_1 \in M_1$ and $abm_1 \in f^{-1}(N_2)$. Then $abf(m_1) \in N_2$. Since N_2 is 1-absorbing primary, we have either $ab \in (N_2 :_R M_2)$ or $f(m_1) \in M_2 - \text{rad}(N_2)$. Here, we show that $(N_2 :_R M_2) \subseteq (f^{-1}(N_2) :_R M_1)$. Let $r \in (N_2 :_R M_2)$. Then $rM_2 \subseteq N_2$ which implies that $rf^{-1}(M_2) \subseteq f^{-1}(N_2)$; i.e. $rM_1 \subseteq f^{-1}(N_2)$. Thus $r \in (f^{-1}(N_2) :_R M_1)$. Hence $ab \in (f^{-1}(N_2) :_R M_1)$ or $m_1 \in f^{-1}(M_2 - \text{rad}(N_2))$. Since $f^{-1}(M_2 - \text{rad}(N_2)) = M_1 - \text{rad}(f^{-1}(N_2))$ by Lemma 2 (2) and $f^{-1}(N_2)$ is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M_1 .

(2) Suppose that a, b are non-unit elements of R , $m_2 \in M_2$ and $abm_2 \in f(N_1)$. Since f is an epimorphism, there exists $m_1 \in M_1$ such that $f(m_1) = m_2$. Since $\text{Ker}f \subseteq N_1$, $abm_1 \in N_1$. Hence $ab \in (N_1 :_R M_1)$ or $m_1 \in M_1 - \text{rad}(N_1)$. Here, we show that $(N_1 :_R M_1) \subseteq (f(N_1) :_R M_2)$. Let $r \in (N_1 :_R M_1)$. Then $rM_1 \subseteq N_1$ which implies that $rf(M_1) \subseteq f(N_1)$. Since f is onto, we conclude that $rM_2 \subseteq f(N_1)$, that is, $r \in (f(N_1) :_R M_2)$. Thus $ab \in (f(N_1) :_R M_2)$ or $m_2 = f(m_1) \in f(M_1 - \text{rad}(N_1)) = M_2 - \text{rad}(f(N_1))$ by Lemma 2 (1), as desired. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 3, we have the following result.

Corollary 1. *Let M be an R -module and N_1, N_2 be submodules of M with $N_2 \subseteq N_1$. Then N_1 is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M if and only if N_1/N_2 is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M/N_2 .*

Proof. Suppose that N_1 is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M . Consider the canonical epimorphism $f : M \rightarrow M/N_2$ in Proposition 3. Then N_1/N_2 is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M/N_2 . Conversely, let a and b are non-unit elements of R , $m \in M$ such that $abm \in N_1$. Hence $ab(m + N_2) \in N_1/N_2$. Since N_1/N_2 is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M/N_2 , it implies either $ab \in (N_1/N_2 :_R M/N_2)$ or $m + N_2 \in M/N_2 - \text{rad}(N_1/N_2) = M - \text{rad}(N_1)/N_2$. Therefore $ab \in (N_1 :_R M)$ or $m \in M - \text{rad}(N_1)$. Thus N_1 is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M . \square

Let M_1 be R_1 -module and M_2 be R_2 -module where R_1 and R_2 are commutative rings with identity. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and $M = M_1 \times M_2$. Then M is an R -module and every submodule of M is of the form $N = N_1 \times N_2$ for some submodules N_1, N_2 of M_1, M_2 , respectively. Also, $M - \text{rad}(N_1 \times N_2) = M_1 - \text{rad}(N_1) \times M_2 - \text{rad}(N_2)$ by [2, Lemma 2.3 (ii)].

Proposition 4. *Let M_1 be an R_1 -module and M_2 be an R_2 -module, where R_1, R_2 are commutative rings with identity, $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and $M = M_1 \times M_2$. Suppose that N_1 is a proper submodule of M_1 . If $N = N_1 \times M_2$ is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of R -module M , then N_1 is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of R_1 -module M_1 .*

Proof. Suppose that $N = N_1 \times M_2$ is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M . Put $M' = M/\{0\} \times M_2$ and $N' = N/\{0\} \times N_2$. From Corollary 1, N' is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M' . Since $M' \cong M_1$ and $N' \cong N_1$, we conclude the result. \square

Proposition 5. *Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of a commutative ring R and M be an R -module. If N is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M and $S^{-1}N \neq S^{-1}M$, then $S^{-1}N$ is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of $S^{-1}R$ -module $S^{-1}M$.*

Proof. Let $\frac{a}{s_1}$ and $\frac{b}{s_2}$ be non-unit elements of $S^{-1}R$, $\frac{m}{s_3} \in S^{-1}M$ with $\frac{a}{s_1} \frac{b}{s_2} \frac{m}{s_3} \in S^{-1}N$. Hence $tabm \in N$ for some $t \in S$. Since N is 1-absorbing primary, we have either $tm \in M - \text{rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$. Thus we conclude either $\frac{m}{s_3} = \frac{tm}{ts_3} \in S^{-1}(M - \text{rad}(N)) \subseteq S^{-1}M - \text{rad}(S^{-1}N)$ or $\frac{ab}{s_1 s_2} \in S^{-1}(N :_R M) \subseteq (S^{-1}N :_{S^{-1}R} S^{-1}M)$. \square

Let R be a ring and M be an R -module. The idealization of M is denoted by $R(M) = R(+M)$ is a commutative ring with identity with coordinate-wise addition and multiplication defined by $(a, m_1)(b, m_2) = (ab, am_2 + bm_1)$. An ideal H is called homogeneous if $H = I(+N)$ for some ideal I of R and some submodule N of M such that $IM \subseteq N$.

Proposition 6. *Let M be an R -module and $I(+N)$ be a homogeneous ideal of $R(M)$. If $I(+N)$ is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of $R(M)$, then I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R .*

Proof. Suppose that a, b, c are non-unit elements of R such that $abc \in I$ and $c \notin \sqrt{I}$. Then $(a, 0_M) \cdot (b, 0_M) \cdot (c, 0_M) \in I(+N)$. Note that $\sqrt{I(+N)} = \sqrt{I}(+M)$ by [10, Theorem 25.1 (5)]. Then $(c, 0_M) \notin \sqrt{I(+N)}$. Since $I(+N)$ is 1-absorbing primary, we conclude that $(a, 0_M) \cdot (b, 0_M) \in I(+N)$. Thus $ab \in I$, we are done. \square

3. THE 1-ABSORBING PRIMARY AVOIDANCE THEOREM

In this section, we prove the 1-absorbing primary avoidance theorem. Throughout this section, let M be a finitely generated multiplication R -module and N, N_1, \dots, N_n be submodules of M . Recall from [11] that a covering $N \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$ is said to be efficient if no N_k is superfluous. Also, $N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$ is an efficient union if none of the N_k may be excluded. A covering of a submodule by two submodules is never efficient.

Theorem 4. *Let $N \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$ be an efficient covering of submodules N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n of M where $n > 2$. If $\sqrt{(N_i :_R M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_j :_R M)}$ for all $m \in M \setminus M - \text{rad}(N_j)$ whenever $i \neq j$, then no N_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that N_k is a 1-absorbing primary submodule of M for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since $N \subseteq \bigcup N_i$ is an efficient covering, $N \subseteq \bigcup (N_i \cap N)$

is also an efficient covering. From [11, Lemma 2.1], $\left(\bigcap_{i \neq k} N_i \right) \cap N \subseteq N_k \cap N$.

Here, observe that $\sqrt{(N_i :_R M)}$ is a proper ideal of R for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Also, from our assumption, there is a non-unit element $a_i \in \sqrt{(N_i :_R M)} \setminus \sqrt{(N_k :_R M)}$ for all $i \neq k$ and for all $m \in M \setminus M - \text{rad}(N_k)$. Then there is a positive integer n_i such that $a_i^{n_i} \in (N_i :_R M)$ for each $i \neq k$. Put $a = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i$, $b =$

$\prod_{i=k+1}^n a_i$ and $n = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}, n_{k+1}, \dots, n_n\}$. Now, we show that $a^n b^n m \in \left(\left(\bigcap_{i \neq k} N_i \right) \cap N \right) \setminus (N_k \cap N)$. Suppose that $a^n b^n m \in N_k \cap N$. Then $a^n b^n \in (N_k :_R m) \subseteq \sqrt{(N_k :_R m)}$. By Theorem 1 (2), $\sqrt{(N_k :_R m)}$ is a prime ideal. It implies that $a \in \sqrt{(N_k :_R m)}$ or $b \in \sqrt{(N_k :_R m)}$. Thus $a_i \in \sqrt{(N_k :_R m)}$ for some $i \neq k$, a contradiction. Therefore $a^n b^n m \in \left(\left(\bigcap_{i \neq k} N_i \right) \cap N \right) \setminus (N_k \cap N)$ which is a contradiction. Thus N_k is not a 1-absorbing primary submodule. \square

Theorem 5. (*1-absorbing Primary Avoidance Theorem*) *Let N, N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n ($n \geq 2$) be submodules of M such that at most two of N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n are not 1-absorbing primary with $N \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$. If $\sqrt{(N_i :_R M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_j :_R m)}$ for all $m \in M \setminus M - \text{rad}(N_j)$ whenever $i \neq j$, then $N \subseteq N_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$.*

Proof. Since it is clear for $n \leq 2$, suppose that $n > 2$. Since any cover consisting submodules of M can be reduced to an efficient one by deleting any unnecessary terms, we may assume that $N \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$ is an efficient covering of submodules of M . From Theorem 4, it implies that no N_k is a 1-absorbing primary submodule which contradicts with the hypothesis. Thus $N \subseteq N_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. \square

Corollary 2. *Let N be a proper submodule of M . If 1-absorbing primary avoidance theorem holds for M , then the 1-absorbing primary avoidance theorem holds for M/N .*

Proof. Let $K/N, N_1/N, N_2/N, \dots, N_n/N$ ($n \geq 2$) be submodules of M/N such that at most two of $N_1/N, N_2/N, \dots, N_n/N$ are not 1-absorbing primary and $K/N \subseteq N_1/N \cup N_2/N \cup \dots \cup N_n/N$. Hence, $K \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$ and at most two of N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n are not 1-absorbing primary by Corollary 1. Suppose that $\sqrt{(N_i/N :_R M/N)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_j/N :_R m+N)}$ for all $m+N \in (M/N) \setminus (M - \text{rad}(N_j/N))$ whenever $i \neq j$. It is easy to verify that if $\sqrt{(N_i :_R M)} \subseteq \sqrt{(N_j :_R m)}$ for some $m \in M$, then $\sqrt{(N_i/N :_R M/N)} \subseteq \sqrt{(N_j/N :_R m+N)}$ for some $m+N \in M/N$. Also observe that if $m+N \in (M/N) \setminus (M/N - \text{rad}(N_j/N)) = (M/N) \setminus (M - \text{rad}(N_j/N))/N$, then $m \in M \setminus M - \text{rad}(N_j)$. Thus, from our assumption $\sqrt{(N_i/N :_R M/N)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_j/N :_R m+N)}$ for all $m+N \in (M/N) \setminus (M/N - \text{rad}(N_j/N))$ whenever $i \neq j$, we conclude that $\sqrt{(N_i :_R M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_j :_R m)}$ for all $m \in M \setminus M - \text{rad}(N_j)$ whenever $i \neq j$. From our hypothesis and Theorem 5, we have $K \subseteq N_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. Consequently, $K/N \subseteq N_k/N$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$; so we are done. \square

In view of Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, we conclude 1-absorbing primary avoidance theorem for rings.

Corollary 3. *Let $I \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_n$ be an efficient covering of ideals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n of a ring R where $n > 2$. If $\sqrt{I_i} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(I_j : x)}$ for all $x \in R \setminus \sqrt{I_j}$ whenever $i \neq j$, then no I_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R .*

Corollary 4. (*1-absorbing Primary Avoidance Theorem for Rings*) *Let I, I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n ($n \geq 2$) be ideals of a ring R such that at most two of I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n are not 1-absorbing*

primary with $I \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_n$. If $\sqrt{I_i} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(I_j : x)}$ for all $x \in R \setminus \sqrt{I_j}$ whenever $i \neq j$, then $I \subseteq I_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ameri, On the prime submodules of multiplication modules. International journal of Mathematics and mathematical Sciences, (2003). DOI: 10.1155/S0161171203202180.
- [2] M. Alkan, Y. Tıraş, On prime submodules. The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, **37**(3) (2007) 709-722.
- [3] S.E. Atani, F. Calhalp, U. Tekir, A Short Note on the Primary Submodules of Multiplication Modules, International Journal of Algebra, **8** (1) (2007), 381-384.
- [4] A. Badawi, On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **75** (2007), 417-429.
- [5] A. Badawi, n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings and recent progress on three conjectures: a survey, Rings, Polynomials, and Modules, (2017), 33-52. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-65874-2_3
- [6] A. Badawi, Ü. Tekir and E. Yetkin, On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **51** (4) (2014), 1163-1173.
- [7] A. Badawi, E. Yetkin Celikel, On 1-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings, Journal of Algebra and Applications, **19** (6) (2020), 2050111. DOI: 10.1142/S021949882050111X.
- [8] A. Yousefian Darani and F. Soheilnia, On 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules, Thai J. Math., **9** (2011), 577-584
- [9] Z. A. El-Bast and P.F. Smith, Multiplication modules. Comm. in Algebra, **16** (1988), 755-799.
- [10] J. Huckaba, Rings with zero-divisors, Marcel Dekker, NewYork/ Basil,1988.
- [11] C. P. Lu, Unions of prime submodules. Houston J. Math, **23** (2) (1997), 203-213.
- [12] C. P. Lu, M-radicals of submodules in modules, Math. Japonica, **34** (2) (1989), 211-219.
- [13] R. L. McCasland and M. E. Moore, On radicals of submodules of finitely generated modules, Canad. Math. Bull., **29** (1986), 37-39.
- [14] H. Mostafanasab, E. Yetkin, U. Tekir, A. Y. Darani, On 2-absorbing primary submodules of modules over comutative rings, An. S t. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, **24** (1) (2016), 335-351.
- [15] S. Payrovi, B. Babaei, On 2-absorbing submodules, Algebra Colloquium, **19** (1) (2012), 913-920.
- [16] P. F. Smith, Some remarks on multiplication modules, Archiv der Mathematik **50** (3) (1988), 223-235.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL-ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, HASAN KALYONCU UNIVERSITY, GAZIANTEP, TURKEY

Email address: ece.celikel@hku.edu.tr, yetkinece@gmail.com