

Asymptotic results for linear combinations of spacings generated by i.i.d. exponential random variables

Camilla Cali* Maria Longobardi† Claudio Macci‡ Barbara Pacchiarotti§

June 22, 2022

Abstract

We prove large (and moderate) deviations for a class of linear combinations of spacings generated by i.i.d. exponentially distributed random variables. We allow a wide class of coefficients which can be expressed in terms of continuous functions defined on $[0, 1]$ which satisfy some suitable conditions. In this way we generalize some recent results by Giuliano et al. (2015) which concern the empirical cumulative entropies defined in Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009a).

Keywords: large deviations, moderate deviations, cumulative entropy, L -statistics.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 60F10, 62G30, 94A17.

1 Introduction

Empirical processes and their applications to statistics are widely studied (see e.g. Shorack and Wellner (1986) as a monograph on this topic). An important part of the results on this topic concerns linear combinations of order statistics (called L -statistics) and, more in particular, linear combinations of spacings (a spacing is a difference between two consecutive order statistics). Among the references with results on large deviations for L -statistics here we recall Aleshkyavichene (1991), Bentkus and Zikitis (1990), Groeneboom et al. (1979) and Groeneboom and Shorack (1981). In some cases the large deviation results are formulated in terms of the concept of *large deviation principle* (see e.g. Dembo and Zeitouni (1998)) and, among the references with this kind of results, here we recall Boistard (2007) and Duffy et al. (2011).

The aim of this paper is to generalize the results in Giuliano et al. (2015) concerning a particular sequence of linear combinations of spacings $\{C_n : n \geq 1\}$ generated by a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d. for short) random variables $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$. We recall that the random variables $\{C_n : n \geq 1\}$ are the empirical cumulative entropies defined in Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009a) for a sequence of i.i.d. positive random variables $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ with a (common) absolutely continuous distribution function. Moreover the results in Giuliano et al. (2015) concern the case of exponentially distributed random variables $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ and, in such a case, the joint distribution of the spacings has some nice properties. In this paper the random variables $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ are again exponentially distributed, and we allow a wide class

*Address: Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Napoli Federico II, Via Cintia, Complesso Monte S. Angelo, 80126 Naples, Italy. e-mail: camilla.cali@unina.it

†Address: Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Napoli Federico II, Via Cintia, Complesso Monte S. Angelo, 80126 Naples, Italy. e-mail: maria.longobardi@unina.it

‡Address: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, I-00133 Roma, Italia. E-mail: macci@mat.uniroma2.it

§Address: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, I-00133 Roma, Italia. E-mail: pacchiar@mat.uniroma2.it

of sequences of linear combinations of spacings $\{C_n(w) : n \geq 1\}$, where w is a continuous function on $[0, 1]$ which satisfies some suitable conditions.

We conclude with the outline of the paper. Section 2 is devoted to some preliminaries; in particular we also illustrate the connections with some references as Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009a), Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009b) and Gao and Zhao (2011). In Section 3 we generalize the results in Giuliano et al. (2015). The connections between our moderate deviation result and the moderate deviation result for L -statistics in Gao and Zhao (2011) is discussed in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss some possible choices of the function w based on some empirical entropies in the literature.

2 Preliminaries

We start with some preliminaries on large deviations. We also present the sequence studied in this paper, and some connection with literature.

2.1 Preliminaries on large deviations

Here we briefly recall some basic preliminaries on large deviations (see e.g. Dembo and Zeitouni (1998), pages 4-5). Let \mathcal{X} be a topological space equipped with its completed Borel σ -field. A sequence of \mathcal{X} -valued random variables $\{Z_n : n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the *large deviation principle* (LDP for short) with speed function v_n and rate function I if: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = \infty$; the function $I : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is lower semi-continuous; we have the upper bound

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{v_n} \log P(Z_n \in F) \leq - \inf_{x \in F} I(x) \text{ for all closed sets } F,$$

and the lower bound

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{v_n} \log P(Z_n \in G) \geq - \inf_{x \in G} I(x) \text{ for all open sets } G.$$

A rate function I is said to be *good* if its level sets $\{\{x \in \mathcal{X} : I(x) \leq \eta\} : \eta \geq 0\}$ are compact. In the LDPs presented in this paper we always have $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$. In some cases we apply the Gärtner Ellis Theorem (see e.g. Theorem 2.3.6 in Dembo and Zeitouni (1998)) with the speed function v_n , and the rate functions are good. Here we briefly recall the statement of this theorem for real valued random variables: if there exists

$$\Lambda(\theta) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{v_n} \log \mathbb{E}[e^{v_n \theta Z_n}] \text{ for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

the origin belongs to the interior of

$$\mathcal{D}(\Lambda) := \{\theta \in \mathbb{R} : \Lambda(\theta) < \infty\},$$

and the function Λ is essentially smooth (see e.g. Definition 2.3.5 in Dembo and Zeitouni (1998)) and lower semi-continuous, then $\{Z_n : n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the LDP with speed function v_n and good rate function Λ^* defined by $\Lambda^*(z) := \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \{\theta z - \Lambda(\theta)\}$. For the sake of completeness we recall that the function Λ is essentially smooth if the interior of $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ is non-empty, it is differentiable throughout the interior of $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$, and $|\Lambda'(\theta_n)| \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $\{\theta_n\}$ is a sequence of points in the interior of $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ which converges to a boundary point of $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$.

2.2 Preliminaries on the sequence $\{C_n(w) : n \geq 1\}$

Let $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. positive random variables and let $X_{1:n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n:n}$ be the ascending order statistics of X_1, \dots, X_n (for all $n \geq 1$); moreover we set $X_{0:n} = 0$. Then we consider the sequence $\{C_n(w) : n \geq 1\}$ defined by

$$C_n(w) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w(k/n)(X_{k+1:n} - X_{k:n}), \quad (1)$$

for some function $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. So we have

$$C_n(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w(k/n)X_{k+1:n} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w(k/n)X_{k:n} = \sum_{k=1}^n w((k-1)/n)X_{k:n} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w(k/n)X_{k:n}$$

and, by taking into account $X_{0:n} = 0$, we get

$$C_n(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w((k-1)/n) - w(k/n))X_{k:n} + w((n-1)/n)X_{n:n}. \quad (2)$$

Actually in this paper we assume that the common distribution of the random variables $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ is $\mathcal{EX}\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda > 0$, i.e. their (common) distribution function is

$$F(t) := 1 - e^{-\lambda t} \text{ for all } t \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Then, in such a case, it is known (see e.g. Subsection 2.3 in Pyke (1965)) that the spacings

$$\{X_{1:n} - X_{0:n}, X_{2:n} - X_{1:n}, \dots, X_{n:n} - X_{n-1:n}\}$$

are independent and, for all $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, $X_{k+1:n} - X_{k:n}$ is $\mathcal{EX}\mathcal{P}(\lambda(n-k))$ distributed. This result allows to provide some explicit formulas for moment generating function, mean and variance of $C_n(w)$. Firstly, for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\theta C_n(w)} \right] = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\theta w(k/n)(X_{k+1:n} - X_{k:n})} \right],$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\theta C_n(w)} \right] = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda(n-k)}{\lambda(n-k) - \theta w(k/n)} & \text{if } \theta w(k/n) < \lambda(n-k) \text{ for all } k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Moreover

$$\mathbb{E}[C_n(w)] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{w(k/n)}{n-k} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}[C_n(w)] = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{w^2(k/n)}{(n-k)^2}. \quad (5)$$

Now we discuss the almost sure convergence and the asymptotic normality following the lines of some proofs in Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009a) and Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009b). We introduce the following condition.

Condition 1. *The function $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and there exist $x_0 \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and $c > 0$ such that $|w(x)| \leq c(1-x)^\beta$ for all $x \in [1-x_0, 1]$.*

We start with a generalization of Proposition 2 in Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009b). In view of what follows we recall that Condition 1 yields $w(1) = 0$, and this condition is needed to have the finiteness of the almost sure limit $\int_0^\infty w(F(z))dz$ (see (6) below).

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that Condition 1 holds. Let $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. positive random variables in L^p for some p such that $\beta p > 1$, with (common) distribution function F possibly different from the one in (3). Then*

$$C_n(w) \rightarrow \int_0^\infty w(F(z))dz \text{ a.s. (as } n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (6)$$

Proof. We follow the lines of the proof of Proposition 2 in Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009b) (see also the proof of Theorem 9 in Rao et al. (2004)). Obviously we have

$$C_n(w) = \int_0^\infty w(\hat{F}_n(z))dz \text{ (for all } n \geq 1),$$

where $\hat{F}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\{X_k \leq x\}}$ is the empirical distribution function. We take $a_0 > 0$ such that $F(a_0) \geq 1 - \frac{x_0}{2}$ and, by the Glivenko Cantelli Theorem, for n large enough we have

$$F(a_0) + \frac{x_0}{2} \geq \hat{F}_n(a_0) \geq F(a_0) - \frac{x_0}{2}.$$

Thus for all $z \geq a_0$ we have

$$\hat{F}_n(z) \geq \hat{F}_n(a_0) \geq 1 - x_0,$$

which yields

$$|w(\hat{F}_n(z))| \leq c(1 - \hat{F}_n(z))^\beta$$

by Condition 1. We also remark that

$$1 - \hat{F}_n(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\{X_k \leq z\}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\{X_k > z\}} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\{X_k > z\}} \frac{X_k^p}{z^p} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{X_k^p}{z^p} \leq \frac{\alpha}{z^p},$$

where $\alpha := \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^p < \infty$ a.s. (in fact, since the random variables $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ are in L^p , α is the supremum of a sequence that converges a.s.); thus

$$|w(\hat{F}_n(z))| \leq c \frac{\alpha^\beta}{z^{\beta p}}.$$

So, by the Glivenko Cantelli Theorem, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem (noting that $\int_{a_0}^\infty \frac{dz}{z^{\beta p}} < \infty$ because $\beta p > 1$) and we have

$$\int_{a_0}^\infty w(\hat{F}_n(z))dz \rightarrow \int_{a_0}^\infty w(F(z))dz \text{ a.s. (as } n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then we easily conclude the proof noting that we also have

$$\int_0^{a_0} w(\hat{F}_n(z))dz \rightarrow \int_0^{a_0} w(F(z))dz \text{ a.s. (as } n \rightarrow \infty)$$

again by the Glivenko Cantelli Theorem and the dominated convergence theorem (noting that w is continuous and therefore bounded, and the integral is over a bounded interval). \square

In particular, if F is the distribution function in (3), it is easy to check that the limit value is

$$\int_0^\infty w(F(z))dz = \int_0^\infty w(1 - e^{-\lambda z})dz = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{w(x)}{1-x} dx =: \mu_w, \quad (7)$$

which is finite by Condition 1; moreover, if we take the mean value in (5), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)] = \mu_w. \quad (8)$$

We conclude with a brief comment on the asymptotic Normality of the empirical estimators, i.e. the weak convergence of $\frac{C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[C_n(w)]}}$ to the standard Normal distribution. We can follow the lines of the proof of Theorem 7.1 in Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009a) and, in particular, the Lyapunov condition for the sequence $\{C_n(w) : n \geq 1\}$ is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{(\lambda n)^3} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{w^3(k/n)}{(1-k/n)^3}}{\frac{1}{(\lambda n)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{w^2(k/n)}{(1-k/n)^2}} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Remark 2.1. *It is easy to check that (9) holds if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{w^b(k/n)}{(1-k/n)^b} = \int_0^1 \frac{w^b(x)}{(1-x)^b} dx < \infty \text{ for } b \in \{2, 3\} \quad (10)$$

and, if we refer to Condition 1, we have finite integrals for $b \in \{2, 3\}$ if $b(1-\beta) < 1$; thus we have to take $\beta > \frac{2}{3}$.

Remark 2.2. *The limit in (10) with $b = 2$ is equivalent to*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \text{Var}[C_n(w)] = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \frac{w^2(x)}{(1-x)^2} dx =: \sigma_w^2; \quad (11)$$

thus the above weak convergence of $\frac{C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[C_n(w)]}}$ to the standard Normal distribution is equivalent to the weak convergence of $\sqrt{n}(C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)])$ to the centered Normal distribution with variance σ_w^2 .

2.3 Connections with some literature

We start noting that the sequence $\{C_n(w) : n \geq 1\}$ defined by (1) (see also (2)) coincides with the sequence $\{L_n : n \geq 1\}$ of L -statistics in Gao and Zhao (2011) (Section 4.6) if we take $w(\cdot) = w(J; \cdot)$, where $w(J; \cdot)$ is defined by

$$w(J; x) := \int_x^1 J(u) du \quad (12)$$

for some function J (called *score function*). In that reference it is not required that the i.i.d. random variables $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ are exponentially distributed.

Moreover, if we consider the score function

$$\tilde{J}(u) := \log u + 1,$$

we get

$$w(\tilde{J}; x) := \int_x^1 \log u + 1 du = [u \log u]_{u=x}^{u=1} = -x \log x;$$

then, by (1) (and by taking into account that $0 \log 0 = 0$), we get

$$C_n(w(\tilde{J}; \cdot)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(-\frac{k}{n} \log \frac{k}{n} \right) (X_{k+1:n} - X_{k:n}).$$

So $\{C_n(w(\tilde{J}; \cdot)) : n \geq 1\}$ coincides with:

- $\{\mathcal{CE}(\hat{F}_n) : n \geq 1\}$ in Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009a) (Section 7), when $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d. and positive random variables;
- $\{C_n : n \geq 1\}$ in Giuliano et al. (2015) (Section 4), when $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d. $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ distributed random variables.

3 Results

In this section we generalize the results for the sequence $\{C_n : n \geq 1\}$ in Giuliano et al. (2015) (Section 4). In view of what follows we introduce the following condition.

Condition 2. Let $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function as in Condition 1 with $\beta = 1$, and set $h_w(x) := \frac{w(x)}{1-x}$ for $x \in [0, 1)$. Moreover let $\Lambda_w : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the function defined by

$$\Lambda_w(\theta) := \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \log\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \theta h_w(x)}\right) dx & \text{if } \sup_{x \in [0, 1)} \{\theta h_w(x)\} \leq \lambda \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and assume that Λ_w is finite in a neighbourhood of the origin $\theta = 0$.

We remark that the function Λ_w would not be finite in a neighbourhood of the origin $\theta = 0$ if we have Condition 1 with $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

Some examples for the function w . We consider the following functions:

$$w_1(x) := 1 - x; \quad w_2(x) := (1 - x)^2; \quad w_3(x) := (1 - x)(1 - \sqrt{x}). \quad (13)$$

For all these cases Condition 1 holds with $\beta = 1$; moreover: $\sup_{x \in [0, 1)} \{\theta h_{w_1}(x)\} \leq \lambda$ if and only if $\theta \leq \lambda$, Λ_w is lower semicontinuous, and there exists $\Lambda'_w(\theta)$ for $\theta < \lambda$. Thus, for each function, we have to check the steepness of Λ_w , i.e.

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \lambda^-} \Lambda'_w(\theta) = \infty, \quad (14)$$

which yields its essential smoothness required in the statement of Proposition 3.1.

- For $w = w_1$ we have

$$\Lambda_{w_1}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \log\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \theta}\right) & \text{if } \theta < \lambda \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

So we have $\Lambda_{w_1}(\lambda) = \infty$, and therefore (14) holds; indeed we have

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \lambda^-} \Lambda'_{w_1}(\theta) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \lambda^-} \frac{1}{\lambda - \theta} = \infty.$$

- For $w = w_2$ we have

$$\Lambda_{w_2}(\lambda) = - \int_0^1 \log(1 - h_{w_2}(x)) dx = 1;$$

however, even if $\Lambda_{w_2}(\lambda) < \infty$, (14) holds because

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \lambda^-} \Lambda'_{w_2}(\theta) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \lambda^-} \int_0^1 \frac{h_{w_2}(x)}{\lambda - \theta h_{w_2}(x)} dx = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{h_{w_2}(x)}{1 - h_{w_2}(x)} dx = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} dx - 1 \right) = \infty.$$

- For $w = w_3$ we have

$$\Lambda_{w_3}(\lambda) = - \int_0^1 \log(1 - h_{w_3}(x)) dx = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \lambda^-} \Lambda'_{w_3}(\theta) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \lambda^-} \int_0^1 \frac{h_{w_3}(x)}{\lambda - \theta h_{w_3}(x)} dx = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{h_{w_3}(x)}{1 - h_{w_3}(x)} dx = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} dx - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda};$$

thus (14) fails.

We start with the first result, which is the analogue of Proposition 4.1 in Giuliano et al. (2015).

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d. and $\mathcal{EXP}(\lambda)$ distributed, Condition 2 holds, and Λ_w is essentially smooth and lower semi-continuous. Then the sequence $\{C_n(w) : n \geq 1\}$ defined by (1) satisfies the LDP with speed function $v_n = n$ and good rate function Λ_w^* defined by*

$$\Lambda_w^*(y) := \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \{\theta y - \Lambda_w(\theta)\}.$$

Proof. We want to apply Gärtner Ellis Theorem; thus we have to check that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{n\theta C_n(w)} \right] = \Lambda_w(\theta) \text{ (for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}\text{).} \quad (16)$$

We remark that, by (4), we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{n\theta C_n(w)} \right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log \left(\frac{\lambda(n-k)}{\lambda(n-k) - n\theta w(k/n)} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log \left(\frac{\lambda \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)}{\lambda \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) - \theta w(k/n)} \right)$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\theta w(k/n) < \lambda \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) \text{ for all } j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \quad (17)$$

(and $\frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{n\theta C_n(w)} \right]$ equal to infinity otherwise). Moreover condition (17) holds (for any fixed $n \geq 1$) if and only if

$$\theta h_w(k/n) < \lambda \text{ for all } k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Thus the limit in (16) trivially holds if $\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \{\theta h_w(x)\} > \lambda$ while, if $\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \{\theta h_w(x)\} \leq \lambda$, the limit (16) can be checked noting that we have a limit of an integral sum (possibly equal to infinity). In conclusion the desired LDP holds as a straightforward application of the Gärtner Ellis Theorem. \square

Remark 3.1. *It is well-known that $\Lambda_w^*(y) = 0$ if and only if $y = \Lambda'_w(0)$. Then, since we can differentiate under the integral sign by Condition 2, we get*

$$\Lambda'_w(0) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 h_w(x) dx = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{w(x)}{1-x} dx,$$

i.e. $\Lambda'_w(0)$ coincides with μ_w in (7).

Remark 3.2. *Here we consider Proposition 3.1 with $w = w_1$, where w_1 is the function in (13). Thus Λ_w coincides with the function Λ_{w_1} in (15); moreover we can check (after some easy computations) that Λ_w^* coincides with*

$$\Lambda_{w_1}^*(y) = \begin{cases} \lambda y - 1 - \log(\lambda y) & \text{if } y > 0 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have the rate function provided by the Cramér Theorem (see e.g. Theorem 2.2.3 in Dembo and Zeitouni (1998)) for the sequence of empirical means $\left\{ \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} : n \geq 1 \right\}$ when (as happens in Proposition 3.1) $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. and $\mathcal{EXP}(\lambda)$ distributed random variables. In fact it is easy to check that

$$C_n(w_1) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-k)(X_{k+1:n} - X_{k:n}) \text{ (for all } n \geq 1\text{)}$$

by (1) and the definition of w_1 in (13), and therefore $\{C_n(w_1) : n \geq 1\}$ and $\left\{ \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} : n \geq 1 \right\}$ are equally distributed by taking into account the independence and the distributions of the spacings.

The second result, which is the analogue of Proposition 4.2 in Giuliano et al. (2015), provides an upper bound of the rate function Λ_w^* in Proposition 3.1 when $h_w(x) > 0$ almost everywhere with respect to x . This upper bound can be expressed in terms of the *relative entropy* (see e.g. Kullback and Leibler (1951)) of an exponential distribution with respect to another one. We recall that, given two absolutely continuous real valued random variables X_1 and X_2 with densities f_1 and f_2 , the relative entropy of X_1 with respect to X_2 is defined by

$$H(X_1|X_2) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_1(x) \log \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} dx;$$

thus $H(X_1|X_2)$ actually depends on the laws of the random variables X_1 and X_2 . Then the relative entropy of the distribution $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(\lambda_1)$ with respect to the distribution $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(\lambda_2)$ is

$$H(\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(\lambda_1)|\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(\lambda_2)) = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} - 1 - \log \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let h_w be as in Condition 2 and assume that $h_w(x) > 0$ almost everywhere with respect to x . Moreover set $M_w(y) := \int_0^1 H(\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(1/y)|\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(\lambda h_w^{-1}(x))) dx$. Then: (i) $\Lambda_w^*(y) \leq M_w(y)$ for all $y \in (0, \infty)$; (ii) $\Lambda_w^*(y) = \infty$ for all $y \in (-\infty, 0]$; (iii) the infimum of $M_w(y)$ is attained at $y = \bar{y}_w$, where $\bar{y}_w := (\lambda \int_0^1 h_w^{-1}(x) dx)^{-1}$.*

Proof. We start with the proof of (i). We remark that, for $y > 0$, we have

$$\sup_{\theta < \eta} \left\{ \theta y - \log \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta - \theta} \right) \right\} = H(\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(1/y)|\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(\eta))$$

for $\eta > 0$; then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_w^*(y) &= \sup_{\theta \sup_{z \in [0,1]} h_w(z) \leq \lambda} \left\{ \theta y - \int_0^1 \log \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \theta h_w(x)} \right) dx \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\theta \sup_{z \in [0,1]} h_w(z) \leq \lambda} \left\{ \theta y - \int_0^1 \log \left(\frac{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x)}{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x) - \theta} \right) dx \right\} \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \sup_{\theta \sup_{z \in [0,1]} h_w(z) \leq \lambda} \left\{ \theta y - \log \left(\frac{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x)}{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x) - \theta} \right) \right\} dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \sup_{\theta < \lambda h_w^{-1}(x)} \left\{ \theta y - \log \left(\frac{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x)}{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x) - \theta} \right) \right\} dx = \int_0^1 H(\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(1/y)|\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(\lambda h_w^{-1}(x))) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now the proof of (ii): for $y < 0$ we have

$$\Lambda_w^*(y) \geq \sup_{\theta \leq 0} \left\{ \theta y - \int_0^1 \log \left(\frac{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x)}{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x) - \theta} \right) dx \right\} \geq \sup_{\theta \leq 0} \{\theta y\} = \infty;$$

for $y = 0$ (this case was forgotten in the proof of Proposition 4.2 in Giuliano et al. (2015)) we have

$$\Lambda_w^*(0) \geq \sup_{\theta \leq 0} \left\{ - \int_0^1 \log \left(\frac{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x)}{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x) - \theta} \right) dx \right\} = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} - \int_0^1 \log \left(\frac{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x)}{\lambda h_w^{-1}(x) - \theta} \right) dx = \infty.$$

Finally the proof of (iii). One can check that

$$M_w(y) = \lambda \int_0^1 h_w^{-1}(x) dx \cdot y - 1 - \log \lambda - \int_0^1 \log(h_w^{-1}(x)) dx - \log y$$

and its derivative is

$$M_w'(y) = \lambda \int_0^1 h_w^{-1}(x) dx - \frac{1}{y}.$$

So we have $M_w'(y) = 0$ if and only if $y = \bar{y}_w$, and $y = \bar{y}_w$ is a minimizer by the convexity of M_w . \square

The third result, which is the analogue of Proposition 4.3 in Giuliano et al. (2015), concerns moderate deviations. In view of its proof we remark that

$$\text{there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } \log(1+x) \leq x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} \text{ for all } |x| < \delta \quad (18)$$

(which can be proved by checking that the function g defined by $g(x) := \log(1+x) - (x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3})$ has a local maximum at $x = 0$) and

$$\text{for all } v > \frac{1}{2}, \text{ there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } \log(1+x) \geq x - vx^2 \text{ for all } |x| < \delta \quad (19)$$

(which can be proved by checking that the function g defined by $g(x) := \log(1+x) - (x - vx^2)$ has a local minimum at $x = 0$).

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d. and $\mathcal{EX}\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ distributed, and Condition 2 holds. Then, for any positive sequence $\{a_n : n \geq 1\}$ such that*

$$a_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad na_n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (20)$$

the sequence $\{\sqrt{na_n}(C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]) : n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the LDP with speed function $v_n = 1/a_n$ and good rate function $\tilde{\Lambda}_w^*(y)$ defined by $\tilde{\Lambda}_w^*(y) := \frac{y^2}{2\sigma_w^2}$, where σ_w^2 is the value in (11).

Proof. We want to apply the Gärtner Ellis Theorem with speed function $1/a_n$; thus we have to check that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\theta \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} (C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]) \right) \right] \geq \sigma_w^2 \frac{\theta^2}{2} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\theta \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} (C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]) \right) \right] \leq \sigma_w^2 \frac{\theta^2}{2} \quad (22)$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is useful to remark that, by (4) and the mean value in (5) (together with some computations), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\theta \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} (C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]) \right) \right] &= \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\theta \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} C_n(w)} \right] - \theta \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log \frac{\lambda(n-k)}{\lambda(n-k) - \theta \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} w(k/n)} - \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{w(k/n)}{n-k} \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{\lambda \sqrt{na_n}} \frac{w(k/n)}{1-k/n} \right) + \frac{\theta}{\lambda \sqrt{na_n}} \frac{w(k/n)}{1-k/n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\theta}{\lambda \sqrt{na_n}} \frac{w(k/n)}{1-k/n} < 1 \text{ for all } k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$$

(and $\log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\theta \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} (C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]) \right) \right]$ equal to infinity otherwise). Then, by Condition 2 and by $na_n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $\delta > 0$ there exists \bar{n} such that

$$\left| \frac{\theta}{\lambda \sqrt{na_n}} \frac{w(k/n)}{1-k/n} \right| < \delta \text{ for all } k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$$

for all $n > \bar{n}$ (in fact $\left| \frac{\theta}{\lambda\sqrt{na_n}} \frac{w(k/n)}{1-k/n} \right| \leq \frac{|\theta|c}{\lambda\sqrt{na_n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$).

Now we are ready for the proof of (21) and (22); this will be done by using (18) and (19) for $\delta > 0$ chosen above and for suitable choices of x which depend on $n > \bar{n}$. We start with the proof of (21). If we combine the above computations in this proof and (18) (with $x = -\frac{\theta}{\lambda\sqrt{na_n}} \frac{w(k/n)}{1-k/n}$), we have

$$a_n \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\theta \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} (C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]) \right) \right] \geq a_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\theta^2}{2\lambda^2 na_n} \frac{w^2(k/n)}{(1-k/n)^2} + \frac{\theta^3}{3\lambda^3 (na_n)^{3/2}} \frac{w^3(k/n)}{(1-k/n)^3} \right);$$

hence, by taking into account the limit for the variance in (11) and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n\sqrt{na_n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{w^3(k/n)}{(1-k/n)^3} = 0$$

(because $na_n \rightarrow \infty$ by (20) and, as explained in Remark 2.1, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{w^3(k/n)}{(1-k/n)^3} = \int_0^1 \frac{w^3(x)}{(1-x)^3} dx$ is finite because $\beta > \frac{2}{3}$), we obtain

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\theta \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} (C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]) \right) \right] \geq \sigma_w^2 \frac{\theta^2}{2}$$

and (21) is proved. The proof of (22) is similar. We have to consider (19) instead of (18) and, again, we take into account the limit of the variance (11); then we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\theta \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} (C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]) \right) \right] \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{v\theta^2}{na_n} \frac{w^2(k/n)}{(1-k/n)^2} = \sigma_w^2 v\theta^2,$$

and we get (22) by letting v go to $\frac{1}{2}$. □

In the following remark we recall some typical features on moderate deviations.

Remark 3.3. *The class of LDPs in Proposition 3.3 fill the gap between two asymptotic regimes.*

1. *The almost sure convergence of $C_n(w)$ to μ_w , which is equivalent (by (8)) to the almost sure convergence of $C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]$ to zero.*
2. *The weak convergence of $\sqrt{n}(C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)])$ to the centered Normal distribution with variance σ_w^2 (see Remark 2.2).*

Then we recover these two cases by taking the sequence of random variables in Proposition 3.3 with $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$ and $a_n = 1$, respectively; in both cases one condition in (20) holds, and the other one fails.

Moreover we know that the LDP in Proposition 3.1, which concerns the almost sure convergence of $C_n(w)$ to μ_w , is governed by the rate function $\Lambda_w^*(y)$ which uniquely vanishes at $y = \Lambda_w'(0)$ (see Remark 3.1), and $(\Lambda_w^*)''(\Lambda_w'(0)) = (\Lambda_w''(0))^{-1}$. So, since we can differentiate (twice) under the integral sign by Condition 2, we get (see also (11))

$$\Lambda_w''(0) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 h_w^2(x) dx = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \frac{w^2(x)}{(1-x)^2} dx = \sigma_w^2,$$

i.e. the variance of the weak limit law of $\frac{C_n(w) - \mathbb{E}[C_n(w)]}{\sqrt{n}}$.

In some sense we can say that we have an asymptotic normality result as a consequence of an LDP; an interesting discussion on this issue can be found in Bryc (1993).

Finally we show how to obtain a lower bound for the asymptotic variance σ_w^2 in Remark 3.3 (and in Remark 2.2).

Remark 3.4. Here we assume that $\gamma_w := \int_0^1 \frac{w(x)}{1-x} dx \neq 0$. Then, by (11) and an easy application of the Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\sigma_w^2 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{w(x)}{1-x} dx \right)^2 = \frac{\gamma_w^2}{\lambda^2}.$$

So, if we consider the function w_1 in (13), the inequality turns into an equality if and only if

$$w(x) = \gamma_w w_1(x) = \gamma_w(1-x).$$

From now on we set $\ell_w(x) := \gamma_w(1-x)$; moreover we take $\gamma_w > 0$ and we follow the same lines of some parts of Remark 3.2. Firstly we have $\Lambda_{\ell_w}(\theta) = \Lambda_{w_1}(\theta\gamma_w)$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\ell_w}^*(y) &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \{\theta y - \Lambda_{\ell_w}(\theta)\} = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \{\theta y - \Lambda_{w_1}(\theta\gamma_w)\} \\ &= \Lambda_{w_1}^*(y\gamma_w^{-1}) = \begin{cases} \lambda\gamma_w^{-1}y - 1 - \log(\lambda\gamma_w^{-1}y) & \text{if } y > 0 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $\Lambda_{\ell_w}^*$ is the rate function provided by the Cramér Theorem for a sequence of empirical means of i.i.d. and $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(\lambda\gamma_w^{-1})$ distributed random variables; indeed we have

$$C_n(\ell_w) = \frac{\gamma_w}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-k)(X_{k+1:n} - X_{k:n}) \quad (\text{for all } n \geq 1)$$

by (1) and the definition of ℓ_w , and therefore $\{C_n(\ell_w) : n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of such empirical means by the independence and the distributions of the spacings.

4 Some analogies with a moderate deviation result for L -statistics

In this section we discuss some connections between Theorem 4.8 in Gao and Zhao (2011) with F as the exponential distribution function in (3), and Proposition 3.3 in this paper with $w(\cdot) = w(J; \cdot)$ as in (12).

Firstly, since F is the exponential distribution function in (3), we can give the following formulas for $m(J, F)$ and $\sigma^2(J, F)$ in Theorem 4.8 in Gao and Zhao (2011):

$$m(J, F) := \int_0^\infty xJ(1 - e^{-\lambda x})\lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx;$$

$$\sigma^2(J, F) := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty J(1 - e^{-\lambda x})J(1 - e^{-\lambda y})\{1 - e^{-\lambda(x \wedge y)} - (1 - e^{-\lambda x})(1 - e^{-\lambda y})\} dx dy.$$

Then, under suitable hypotheses (some of them concern the score function J), Theorem 4.8 in Gao and Zhao (2011) allows to say that, for any sequence $\{a(n) : n \geq 1\}$ of positive numbers such that

$$a(n) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (23)$$

the sequence $\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} (C_n(w) - m(J, F)) : n \geq 1 \right\}$ satisfies the LDP with speed $(a(n))^2$ and good rate function

$$I^L(y) := \frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2(J, F)}.$$

Thus $(a(n))^{-2}$ in (23) plays the role of a_n in (20); moreover, as typically happens for the results on moderate deviations, both rate functions Λ_w^* in Proposition 3.3 and I^L are quadratic functions that uniquely vanish at the origin $y = 0$.

We remark that, if we compare $\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} (C_n(w) - m(J, F)) : n \geq 1 \right\}$ and the sequence of random variables in Proposition 3.3 in this paper, by taking into account the limit (8) we expect to have $m(J, F) = \mu_{w(J; \cdot)}$. In fact, by considering the change of variable $r = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ and some computations with an integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(J, F) &= \int_0^1 -\frac{\log(1-r)}{\lambda} J(r) \lambda(1-r) \frac{dr}{\lambda(1-r)} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \log(1-r) J(r) dr \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ [-w(J; r) \log(1-r)]_{r=0}^{r=1} - \int_0^1 \frac{w(J; r)}{1-r} dr \right\} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{w(J; r)}{1-r} dr = \mu_{w(J; \cdot)}, \end{aligned}$$

indeed $[-w(J; r) \log(1-r)]_{r=0}^{r=1} = 0$ because the score function J is bounded, continuous and trimmed (i.e. it is equal to zero near $r = 0$ and $r = 1$).

We also remark that, if we compare the rate functions Λ_w^* and I^L , we expect to have $\sigma^2(J, F) = \sigma_{w(J; \cdot)}^2$. In order to check this equality we start noting that the function inside the integral is symmetric with respect to (x, y) ; therefore we have the integral over $\{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq y\}$ multiplied by 2 and, after some computations, we get

$$\sigma^2(J, F) = 2 \int_0^\infty dy J(1 - e^{-\lambda y}) e^{-\lambda y} \int_0^y dx (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) J(1 - e^{-\lambda x}).$$

Moreover we consider two further changes of variables: the first one is $r = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$, and we obtain

$$\sigma^2(J, F) = 2 \int_0^\infty dy e^{-\lambda y} J(1 - e^{-\lambda y}) \int_0^{1-e^{-\lambda y}} \frac{dr}{\lambda(1-r)} r J(r);$$

the second one is $s = 1 - e^{-\lambda y}$, and we get

$$\sigma^2(J, F) = 2 \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{\lambda(1-s)} (1-s) J(s) \int_0^s \frac{dr}{\lambda(1-r)} r J(r) = \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_0^1 ds J(s) \int_0^s dr \frac{r}{1-r} J(r).$$

Finally we conclude with the following computations (again with integration by parts):

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(J, F) &= \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \left\{ \left[-w(J; s) \int_0^s dr \frac{r}{1-r} J(r) \right]_{s=0}^{s=1} + \int_0^1 w(J; s) J(s) \frac{s}{1-s} ds \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \left\{ \left[-\frac{(w(J; s))^2}{2} \frac{s}{1-s} \right]_{s=0}^{s=1} + \int_0^1 \frac{(w(J; s))^2}{2} \frac{1}{(1-s)^2} ds \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \frac{(w(J; s))^2}{(1-s)^2} ds = \sigma_{w(J; \cdot)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

because $\left[-w(J; s) \int_0^s dr \frac{r}{1-r} J(r) \right]_{s=0}^{s=1} = 0$ and $\left[-\frac{(w(J; s))^2}{2} \frac{s}{1-s} \right]_{s=0}^{s=1} = 0$ by the hypotheses on the score function J recalled above and, for the second equality, by Condition 2 with $\beta = 1$ (which refers to Condition 1) for $w(J; \cdot)$.

5 Applications to some empirical entropies

A natural way to estimate a functional $\varphi(F)$ of a distribution function F is to consider $\varphi(\hat{F}_n)$ where, given a sequence $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ of i.i.d. random variables with distribution function F (possibly

different from that one in (3)), $\{\hat{F}_n : n \geq 1\}$ is the sequence of the empirical distribution functions defined by

$$\hat{F}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\{X_k \leq x\}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In this section we concentrate our attention on functionals related to the concept of entropy and some other related items.

We recall some preliminaries and we refer to Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009a) (see also the references cited therein). The *cumulative entropy* associated to an absolutely continuous distribution function F is defined by

$$\mathcal{CE}(F) = - \int_0^\infty F(z) \log F(z) dz.$$

Then, given a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ with (common) distribution function F , we can consider the sequence of empirical cumulative entropies $\{\mathcal{CE}(\hat{F}_n) : n \geq 1\}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{CE}(\hat{F}_n) := - \int_0^\infty \hat{F}_n(z) \log \hat{F}_n(z) dz.$$

It is known that $\mathcal{CE}(\hat{F}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{CE}(F)$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$; see Proposition 2 in Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009b). However we can also refer to Proposition 2.1 with $w = w_{(1)}$, where

$$w_{(1)}(x) := -x \log x;$$

in fact $C_n(w_{(1)})$ in Proposition 2.1 coincides with $\mathcal{CE}(\hat{F}_n)$. It is easy to check that both Conditions 1 and 2 hold for the function $w_{(1)}$.

We can also consider the *generalized cumulative entropy* (see eq. (5.1) in Kayal (2016)) defined by

$$\mathcal{CE}_n(F) := \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty F(z) (-\log F(z))^n dx \quad (\text{for all } n \geq 1);$$

note that we recover $\mathcal{CE}(F)$ defined above for $n = 1$. In this case we have to consider the function

$$w_{(n)}(x) := \frac{1}{n!} x (-\log x)^n$$

and, again, it is easy to check that both Conditions 1 and 2 hold for the function $w_{(n)}$.

In other cases we have a different situation. For instance we consider the *cumulative residual entropy* (see Rao et al. (2004))

$$\mathcal{E}(F) := - \int_0^\infty (1 - F(z)) \log(1 - F(z)) dz$$

or the *fractional cumulative residual entropy* (see eq. (5) in Xiong et a. (2019))

$$\mathcal{E}_q(F) := \int_0^\infty (1 - F(x)) (-\log(1 - F(x)))^q dx \quad (\text{for all } q \in [0, 1]);$$

note that we recover $\mathcal{E}(F)$ for $q = 1$. In this case we have to consider the function

$$w_{[q]}(x) := (1 - x) (-\log(1 - x))^q;$$

then the function $w_{[q]}$ satisfies Condition 1 with $\beta \in (0, 1)$, and does not satisfy Condition 2 except for $q = 0$.

Funding

CC and ML are supported by Indam-GNAMPA and by MIUR-PRIN 2017 Project "Stochastic Models for Complex Systems" (No. 2017JFFHSH). CM and BP are supported by MIUR Excellence Department Project awarded to the Department of Mathematics, University of Rome Tor Vergata (CUP E83C18000100006), by University of Rome Tor Vergata (research program "Beyond Borders", project "Asymptotic Methods in Probability" (CUP E89C20000680005)) and by Indam-GNAMPA (research project "Stime asintotiche: principi di invarianza e grandi deviazioni").

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Gao for some discussion on the Theorem 4.8 in Gao and Zhao (2011).

References

- Aleshkyavichene, A.K., 1991. Large and moderate deviations for L -statistics. *Lithuanian Math. J.* 31, 145–156.
- Bentkus, V., Zitikis, R., 1990. Probabilities of large deviations for L -statistics. *Lithuanian Math. J.* 30, 215–222.
- Boistard, H., 2007. Large deviations for L -statistics. *Statist. Decisions* 25, 89–125.
- Bryc, W., 1993. A remark on the connection between the large deviation principle and the central limit theorem. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 18, 253–256.
- Dembo, A., Zeitouni, O., 1998. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*, second ed. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Di Crescenzo, A., Longobardi, M., 2009a. On cumulative entropies. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* 139, 4072–4087.
- Di Crescenzo, A., Longobardi, M., 2009b. On cumulative entropies and lifetime distributions. In: Mira, J., Ferrández, J.M., Álvarez, J.R., de la Paz, F., Toledo, F.J. (Eds.), *Methods and Models in Artificial and Natural Computation, Third International Work-Conference on the Interplay Between Natural and Artificial Computation, IWINAC 2009, Santiago de Compostela, Spain, June 22-26, 2009, Proceedings, Part I. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 5601*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 132–141.
- Duffy, K.R., Macci, C., Torrisi, G.L., 2011. Sample path large deviations for order statistics. *J. Appl. Probab.* 48, 238–257.
- Gao, F., Zhao, X., 2011. Delta Methods in large deviations and moderate deviations for estimators. *Ann. Stat.* 39, 1211–1240.
- Giuliano, R., Macci, C., Pacchiarotti, B., 2015. Asymptotic results for runs and empirical cumulative entropies. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* 139, 77–89.
- Groeneboom, P., Oosterhoff, J., Ruymgaart, F.H., 1979. Large deviation theorems for empirical probability measures. *Ann. Probab.* 7, 553–586.
- Groeneboom, P., Shorack, G.R., 1981. Large deviations of goodness of fit statistics and linear combinations of order statistics. *Ann. Probab.* 9, 971–987.

- Kayal, S., 2016. On generalized cumulative entropies. *Probab. Engrg. Inform. Sci.* 30, 640–662.
- Kullback, S., Leibler, R.A., 1951. On Information and Sufficiency. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 22, 79–86.
- Pyke, R., 1965. Spacings. (With discussion.) *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B* 27, 395–449.
- Rao, M., Chen, Y., Vemuri, B.C., Wang, F., 2004. Cumulative residual entropy: a new measure of information. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 50, 1220–1228.
- Shorack, G.R., Wellner, J.A., 1986. *Empirical processes with applications to statistics*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York.
- Xiong, H., Shang, P., Zhang, Y., 2019. Fractional cumulative residual entropy. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 78, art. 104879, 10 pp.