

EICHLER-SHIMURA MAPS FOR THE MODULAR CURVE

JUAN ESTEBAN RODRÍGUEZ CAMARGO

ABSTRACT. We give a new proof of the classical Eichler-Shimura decomposition of the modular curves via BGG methods and the Hodge-Tate period map. The main property we use is the relation between the Tate module and the Faltings extension which was already known by Faltings. Then, we construct overconvergent Eichler-Shimura (ES) maps for the modular curves $X_0(p^n)$ providing “the second half” of the overconvergent ES map of Andreatta-Iovita-Stevens. We use the Higher Coleman theory for the modular curve developed by Boxer-Pilloni to show that the small slope part of the ES maps interpolates the classical Eichler-Shimura decompositions. Finally, we prove that the overconvergent ES maps are compatible with the Poincaré and Serre pairings.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	5
Notation	5
2. Preliminaries	5
2.1. Period sheaves in the pro-Kummer-étale site	5
2.2. The geometric setup	7
3. Overconvergent theory over the flag variety	11
3.1. Open affinoid subspaces of the flag variety	12
3.2. Overconvergent line bundles	13
3.3. Locally analytic principal series	14
3.4. The dual BGG complex and maximal weight vector maps	18
4. Overconvergent theory over the modular curves	20
4.1. Overconvergent neighbourhoods of the ordinary locus	21
4.2. The sheaf of overconvergent modular forms	23
4.3. Overconvergent modular symbols	29
4.4. The overconvergent Hodge-Tate maps	33
5. p -adic Eichler-Shimura decompositions	33
5.1. A proétale dual BGG decomposition	34
5.2. Classical Eichler-Shimura decomposition and duality	38
5.3. The overconvergent Eichler-Shimura maps	39
5.4. Compatibility with Poincaré and Serre duality	41
References	44

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number, $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ the profinite completion of \mathbb{Z} , $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p$ the prime-to- p completion and \mathbb{Z}_p the ring of p -adic integers. We let \mathbb{C}_p be the p -adic completion of an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p , and $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ denote the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{Q}_p . From now on we fix a neat compact open subgroup $K^p \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$. Let $K_p \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be an open subgroup, we denote by $Y_{K_p}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ the modular curve over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Q}_p$ of level $K^p K_p$, and by $X_{K_p}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ its compactification by adding cusps. Let Y_{K_p} and X_{K_p} be the rigid analytic varieties attached to the modular curves, seen as adic spaces over $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, cf [Hub96]. Let $D = X_{K_p} \setminus Y_{K_p}$ be the cusp divisor, we endow X_{K_p} with the log-structure defined by D . Given an fs log adic space Z we denote by $Z_?$ for $? \in \{\mathrm{an}, \mathrm{ét}, \mathrm{két}, \mathrm{proét}, \mathrm{prokét}\}$ its analytic, étale, Kummer-étale, proétale and pro-Kummer-étale sites respectively, cf. [Sch13a] and [DLLZ19]. We will denote by $Y_0(p^n)$ and $X_0(p^n)$ the modular curves of p -level $\Gamma_0(p^n)$.

In [Fal87], Faltings proved that the étale cohomology of the modular curve $Y_{K_p \mathbb{C}_p}$ can be decomposed in terms of the coherent cohomology of X_{K_p} . More precisely, let E be the universal elliptic curve over Y_{K_p} , it admits a relative completion to a proper log-smooth adic space \overline{E} over X_{K_p} (cf. [DR73]). Let $e : X_{K_p} \rightarrow \overline{E}$ be the unit section, $\omega_E = E^* \Omega_{\overline{E}/X}^1(\log)$ the modular sheaf and $T_p E = \varprojlim_n E[p^n]$ the Tate module over Y_{K_p} . We have the following theorem

Theorem 1.0.1 (Faltings). *Let $k \geq 0$, there exists a Galois and Hecke equivariant isomorphism*

$$(1.1) \quad H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_{K_p, \mathbb{C}_p}, \text{Sym}^k T_p E) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p(1) = H^0(X_{K_p, \mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{k+2}) \oplus H^1(X_{K_p, \mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{-k})(k+1)$$

called the Eichler-Shimura (ES) decomposition.

The first result of this paper is a different proof of the Eichler-Shimura decomposition using BGG methods and the Hodge-Tate period map. Our proof is the pro-étale analogous of the BGG decomposition for the modular curve for the de Rham cohomology, see Theorem 5.5. of [FC90, Ch. 5]. Let us develop the ideas behind the proof:

Let $X_\infty := \varprojlim_{K_p} X_{K_p}$, in [Sch15] Scholze has proven that X_∞ has a natural structure of perfectoid adic space which we call the perfectoid modular curve. Moreover, he constructed a $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant Hodge-Tate period map

$$\pi_{\text{HT}} : X_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1,$$

where we see $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$ as the quotient $\mathbf{B} \backslash \text{GL}_2$ with \mathbf{B} the upper triangular Borel. Let $\pi_{K_p} : X_\infty \rightarrow X_{K_p}$ be the natural map, we can see X_∞ as a pro-Kummer-étale K_p -torsor over X_{K_p} . We let $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_{K_p}}$ denote the completed constant \mathbb{Z}_p -sheaf and the completed structural sheaf over $X_{K_p, \text{prokét}}$. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p(1) = \varprojlim_n \mu_{p^n}$ be the Tate twisted and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{K_p}(i)$ the i -th twist of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_{K_p}}$. We see the Tate module as a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -local system over $Y_{K_p, \text{prokét}}$. By [DLLZ19, Theo. 4.6.1], $T_p E$ admits a natural extension over the pro-Kummer-étale site of X_{K_p} which we keep writing in the same way. The Hodge-Tate map is defined from the Hodge-Tate exact sequence (we write $X = X_{K_p}$)

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_E^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \xrightarrow{\text{HT}^\vee} T_p E \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} \omega_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow 0,$$

which is the variation in families of the classical Hodge-Tate decomposition for elliptic curves (cf. [Tat67]), via the universal trivialization of the Tate module $\Psi : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} T_p E$ over X_∞ .

The $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariance of π_{HT} permits ourselves to reconstruct (1.2) from a short exact sequence of GL_2 -equivariant sheaves over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$. Indeed, let $\mathbf{B}\text{-Rep}^{\text{fd}}$ be the category of finite dimensional algebraic \mathbf{B} -representations, $\text{GL}_2\text{-VB}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1}$ the category of GL_2 -equivariant vector bundles (VB) over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$, and $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)\text{-}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_\infty}\text{-Mod}$ the category of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant modules over X_∞ . We have the following functors

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{B}\text{-Rep}^{\text{fd}} & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_2\text{-VB}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\text{HT}}^*} & \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)\text{-}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_\infty}\text{-Mod} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_{K_p}}\text{-Mod} \\ V & \longmapsto & \text{GL}_2 \times^{\mathbf{B}} V & & \mathcal{F} & \longmapsto & (\pi_{K_p, *})^{K_p} \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{V}|_{[\mathbf{B}]} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{V} & & & & \end{array}$$

with $\text{GL}_2 \times^{\mathbf{B}} V$ being the quotient of $\text{GL}_2 \times V$ by the left diagonal action of \mathbf{B} . Let \mathcal{V} be a GL_2 -equivariant VB over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$, in the following we shall denote $\Upsilon(\mathcal{V})$ for the sheaf $(\pi_{K_p, *}(\pi_{\text{HT}}^*(\mathcal{V})))^{K_p}$ over $X_{K_p, \text{prokét}}$. Let $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{B}$ be the diagonal torus and $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be a character, we see κ as a \mathbf{B} -representation by letting the unipotent radical of \mathbf{B} act trivially. Let $W = \{1, w_0\}$ be the Weyl group of GL_2 . We denote by $\mathcal{L}(\kappa)$ the GL_2 -equivariant sheaf over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$ obtained from $w_0(\kappa)$ via the previous functor. Let St be the standard representation of GL_2 , it has a \mathbf{B} -filtration

$$(1.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow (1, 0)\mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{St} \rightarrow (0, 1)\mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

By construction of π_{HT} , the pullback of (1.4) via the functor (1.3) is equal to the Hodge-Tate-exact sequence (1.2). In particular, one obtains that $\Upsilon(\text{Sym}^k \text{St} \otimes_{\mathbb{P}^1}) = \text{Sym}^k T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ and that $\Upsilon(\mathcal{L}(\kappa)) = \omega_E^{k_1 - k_2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(k_1)$.

The functor (1.3) naturally extends to the category $\mathbf{B}\text{-Rep}$ of algebraic representations of \mathbf{B} , i.e. representations which are written as a colimit of finite dimensional algebraic representations. Let $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be a dominant weight (i.e. $k_1 \geq k_2$) and V_κ the irreducible representation of GL_2 of highest weight κ (isomorphic to $\text{Sym}^{k_1 - k_2} \text{St} \otimes (\det)^{k_2}$). We have the following theorem

Theorem 1.0.2. *Let $\alpha = (1, -1)$ and $\text{BGG}(\kappa)$ be the dual BGG complex of κ (see §3.4)*

$$0 \rightarrow V_\kappa \rightarrow V(\kappa) \rightarrow V(w_0(\kappa) - \alpha) \rightarrow 0.$$

The pullback of $\text{BGG}(\kappa)$ via the functor (1.3) is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k_1 - k_2} T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(k_2) \rightarrow \omega_E^{k_2 - k_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}}(k_1) \rightarrow \omega_E^{k_1 - k_2 + 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}}(k_2 - 1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}} = \text{gr}^0 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log}$ is Scholze's relative (log) de Rham period sheaf (see [Sch13a, §5] or [DLLZ18, §2.2]). Furthermore, let $\nu_{\text{an}} : X_{K_p, \mathbb{C}_p, \text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{K_p, \mathbb{C}_p, \text{an}}$ be the projection of sites. We have

$$R\nu_{\text{an}, *}(\text{Sym}^k T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) = \omega_E^{-k}(k)[0] \oplus \omega_E^{k+2}(-1)[-1].$$

Taking H^1 -cohomology in the analytic site of $X_{K_p \mathbb{C}_p}$ we recover the Eichler-Shimura decomposition of Theorem 1.0.1.

The proof of the previous theorem is a consequence of the isomorphism between $T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes \omega_E$ and the Faltings extension $\mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log}^+$, cf. Proposition 5.1.2. This isomorphism was already known by Faltings, and used in his proof of the Eichler-Shimura decomposition. What is new in this approach is the fact that one can compute explicitly the derived pushforward from the pro-Kummer-étale site to the analytic site of the sheaf $\mathrm{Sym}^k T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ in terms of coherent sheaves, and not only a spectral sequence. It is worth to mention that the isomorphism between the twist of the Tate module and the Faltings extension was used by Lue Pan in [Pan20] to compute the relative Sen operator of the modular curve.

The second goal of this paper is the interpolation of the Eichler-Shimura decomposition (1.1). One of the two maps of the ES maps was previously constructed by Andreatta-Iovita-Stevens in [AIS15]. The strategy followed in this document is close to the construction of the Eichler-Shimura map for Shimura curves in [CHJ17]. Roughly speaking, we extend the functor (1.3) to a functor which sends locally analytic sheaves over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$ to overconvergent sheaves over the modular curve. In this way we can interpolate all the terms appearing in the Hodge-Tate exact sequence (1.2): we get overconvergent modular sheaves whose cohomology are the object of study in the Higher Coleman theory developed by Boxer-Pilloni [BP20a, BP20b]. The interpolation of the symmetric powers will be given by locally analytic principal series or locally analytic distributions as in [AS08]. Finally, the Hodge-Tate maps HT and HT^\vee can be put in families, obtaining the dlog map of [AIS15] as a particular case.

Let us sketch the main steps of the construction. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n$ be rational numbers. From now on we take $X = X_{K_p} = X_0(p^n)$. Let

$$\mathrm{Iw}_n := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^n \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}$$

be the Iwahori subgroup modulo p^n , in such a way that $\pi_{\mathrm{Iw}_n} : X_\infty \rightarrow X_{\mathrm{Iw}_n} = X_0(p^n)$ is Galois of Galois group Iw_n . Let (R, R^+) be a uniform Tate algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p which we may assume to be sheafy (i.e. such that the pre-sheaf of rational functions in $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is an actual sheaf). Let $T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ denote the \mathbb{Z}_p -points of the diagonal torus and $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2) : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ -analytic character (cf. Proposition 3.2.1). We denote by $R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{két}}^+$ the p -adically complete tensor product in the Kummer-étale site of X , and by $R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_X^+$ its inverse image to $X_{\mathrm{prokét}}$. We let $R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_X^+ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$ be the p -adically complete tensor product of R^+ and the completed sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$. We highlight that $R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_X^+ \mathcal{O}_X^+ \neq R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_X^+ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$. Given a character $\lambda : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ we denote by $R^+(\lambda)$ the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -module induce by the composition $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \xrightarrow{\lambda} R^{+, \times}$. Finally, we write $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+(\lambda) := R^+(\lambda) \widehat{\otimes}_X^+ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$. We use similar notations and definitions for the structural sheaves \mathcal{O}_X and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$.

We begin with the construction of all the locally analytic sheaves over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$: for $w \in W = \{1, w_0\}$ we define overconvergent neighbourhoods of its Iw_n -orbit $U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$. The affinoid subspaces $U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$ admit a section of the projection map $\mathrm{GL}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2 = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$. In particular the \mathbf{T} -torsor $\mathbf{N} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2$, where \mathbf{N} is the unipotent radical of \mathbf{B} , has a trivialization over $U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$. Moreover, the open subspace $U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$ is stable by the δ -analytic neighbourhood of Iw_n (cf. Definition 3.0.1), which will provide a refinement of the first arrow of the dictionary (1.3) for δ -analytic representations of \mathbf{B} . Then, we define a $R \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\chi)$ in the analytic site of $U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$ in the same way we have defined the line bundles $\mathcal{L}(\kappa)$ for $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$. We define the δ -analytic principal series of weight χ to be the R -Banach space

$$A_\chi^\delta = \Gamma(U_{w_0}(\delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n, \mathcal{L}(\chi)),$$

we let D_χ^δ be its dual. The space A_χ^δ has a natural action by Iw_n , so that one can consider it as a constant Iw_n -equivariant sheaf $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$. Concretely, we see A_χ^δ and D_χ^δ as sheaves in the proétale site of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$, denoted by \mathcal{A}_χ^δ and \mathcal{D}_χ^δ respectively, as in Definition 2.2.3.

It is easy to construct equivariant maps

$$\begin{aligned} \chi R &\xrightarrow{\iota} A_\chi^\delta \text{ for } \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap \mathrm{Iw}_n \\ A_\chi^\delta &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{w_0}} \chi R \text{ for } w_0^{-1} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_0 \cap \mathrm{Iw}_n, \end{aligned}$$

with ι being the highest weight vector, and ev_{w_0} the evaluation at w_0 . We prove that these maps give rise maps of proétale Iw_n -equivariant sheaves

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(w_0(\chi)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \text{ over } U_1(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n \\ \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\chi) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \text{ over } U_{w_0}(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n. \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to translate all the previous constructions to the modular curve $X = X_0(p^n)$. We start defining the strict neighbourhoods of the w -ordinary locus $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$; they are equal to $\pi_{\mathrm{Iw}_n}^{-1}(\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n))$.

The second object we descent to X are the overconvergent modular sheaves ω_E^χ ; they are line $R\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ -line bundles in the étale site of $X_{w, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$. We refer to [BP20b] for the general construction of these sheaves via a descent of refinement of the modular torsor. We will follow essentially the same idea of *loc. cit.*, except that in some occasions we use more classical arguments via the canonical group. The dictionary (1.3) gives then

$$(1.6) \quad \Upsilon(\mathcal{L}(\chi)) = \omega_E^\chi \otimes_{R\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\chi_2)$$

We highlight that the Galois equivariance of the functor (1.6) was already encoded in the modular torsors.

We continue with the pullback of the δ -analytic principal series and distributions, seen as Iw_n -equivariant proétale sheaves over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$. They define sheaves over $X_{\text{prokét}}$ via Υ in (1.3) which we keep denoting \mathcal{A}_χ^δ and \mathcal{D}_χ^δ respectively. Finally, we pullback the maps (1.5) obtaining Galois equivariant overconvergent Hodge-Tate maps of pro-Kummer-étale sheaves

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\chi_1) &\xrightarrow{\text{HT}^\vee} \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \text{ over } X_{1, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon) \\ \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X &\xrightarrow{\text{HT}} \omega_E^\chi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\chi_2) \text{ over } X_{w_0, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

similarly for \mathcal{D}_χ^δ by taking duals. Taking pro-Kummer-étale cohomology one obtains the following theorem (cf. Theorem 5.3.2), stated for δ -principal series

Theorem 1.0.3. *We keep the previous notations. The following holds*

(1) *There are overconvergent Eichler-Shimura maps*

$$(1.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)})_\epsilon(\chi_1) \xrightarrow{ES_A^\vee} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) \xrightarrow{ES_A} H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha})_\epsilon(\chi_2 - 1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, the composition $ES_A \circ ES_A^\vee$ is zero.

(2) *Assume that $\mathcal{V} = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is an affinoid subspace of the weight space \mathcal{W}_T of T , and let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathcal{V}$ be a dominant weight of \mathbf{T} . Let $\alpha = (1, -1) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ and let $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{un}$ be the universal character of \mathcal{V} . The following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)})_\epsilon(\chi_1) & \xrightarrow{ES_A^\vee} & H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) & \xrightarrow{ES_A} & H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha})_\epsilon(\chi_2 - 1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)})_\epsilon(k_1) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\kappa^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) & \longrightarrow & H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha})_\epsilon(k_2 - 1) \\ \downarrow \text{Cor} & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \text{Res} \\ H_{\text{an}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)})_\epsilon(k_1) & \xrightarrow{ES^\vee} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p & \xrightarrow{ES} & H_{\text{an}}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha})_\epsilon(k_2 - 1) \end{array}$$

- (3) *The maps of (2) are Galois and U_p^t equivariant with respect to the good normalizations of the U_p^t -operators. In particular, the diagram above restricts to the finite slope part with respect to the U_p^t action.*
- (4) *Let $h < k_1 - k_2 + 1$. There exists an open affinoid $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$ containing κ such that the $(\leq h)$ -slope part of the restriction of (1.7) to \mathcal{V}' is a short exact sequence of finite free $\mathbb{C}_p \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}')$ -modules.*
- (5) *Keep the hypothesis of (4), and let χ be the universal character of \mathcal{V}' . Let $\tilde{\chi} = \chi_1 - \chi_2 + 1 : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$, and $b = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=1} \tilde{\chi}(t)$. Then we have a Galois-equivariant split of the localizations at b*

$$H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X)_{\leq h}^b = [H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)})_{\leq h}(\chi_1)]_b \oplus [H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha})_{\leq h}(\chi_2 - 1)]_b.$$

The group $H_w^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, -)_\epsilon$ is the overconvergent cohomology and $H_{w,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, -)_\epsilon$ the overconvergent cohomology with closed supports around the w -ordinary locus of X , see [BP20a] and Definition 4.2.10 down below. A similar statement holds for the distribution sheaves \mathcal{D}_χ^δ , in this case the overconvergent Eichler-Shimura map of [AIS15] is $ES_{\mathcal{D}}$.

We finish the paper with the compatibility of the overconvergent ES maps (1.7) with the Poincaré and Serre pairings. Let $Y = Y_0(p^n)$ and $H_{\text{proét},i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta)$ the interior cohomology of \mathcal{A}_χ^δ (resp. for \mathcal{D}_χ^δ), cf §5.1. Let $D = X \setminus Y$ be the cusp divisor and $\omega_E^\chi(-D) = \omega_E^\chi \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$. One can define Poincaré and Serre pairings between the overconvergent cohomologies

$$(1.8) \quad \langle -, - \rangle_P : H_{\text{proét},i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta(1)) \times H_{\text{proét},i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}')$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad \langle -, - \rangle_S : H_{w,c}^1(X, \omega_E^{-\chi})_\epsilon \times H_w^0(X, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha}(-D))_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}'),$$

see [BP20a] for the perfectness in the limit of the second pairing.

- Theorem 1.0.4.** (1) *The Theorem 1.0.3 holds for the interior cohomology $H_{\text{proét},i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta)$ and the overconvergent cohomology $H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha}(-D))_\epsilon$ (resp. for \mathcal{D}_χ^δ and $H_1^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{-w_0(\chi)+\alpha}(-D))$).*
- (2) *The Poincaré and Serre pairings (1.8) and (1.9) are compatible with the U_p^t -operators and the overconvergent Eichler-Shimura maps.*
- (3) *Let $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}_T$ be an open affinoid, and let χ be the universal character of \mathcal{V} . Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathcal{V}$ be a dominant weight and fix $h < k_1 - k_2 + 1$. There exists an open affinoid $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$ containing κ such that the $(\leq h)$ -part of the pairings (1.8) and (1.9) are perfect pairings of finite free $\mathbb{C}_p \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}')$ -modules compatible with the Eichler-Shimura decomposition.*

The outline of the document is the following. In Section 2 we briefly recall the period sheaves over the pro-Kummer-étale site of an fs log adic space, cf. [Sch13a, DLLZ19, DLLZ18]. Then, we set the conventions of the representation theory of GL_2 and construct the dictionary (1.3).

In Section 3 we develop the overconvergent theory over the flag variety. We define the affinoid subspaces $U_w(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n$ and the sheaves $\mathcal{L}(\chi)$. We construct the δ -analytic principal series A_χ^δ and the maps (1.5). We recall some facts of the BGG theory for irreducible representations of GL_2 , in particular we define the dual BGG complex $\text{BGG}(\kappa)$.

Then in Section 4, we translate all the previous constructions from $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$ to the modular curves via π_{HT} . We define the strict neighbourhoods of the w -ordinary locus, the overconvergent modular sheaves and the overconvergent Hodge-Tate maps. We give the good normalization of the Hecke operators and show that the HT-maps are compatible with the normalized U_p -correspondance.

Finally, in Section 5, we show how to obtain the classical ES decomposition from the dual BGG complex, proving Theorems 1.0.2 and 1.0.1. We recall the Faltings proof of the compatibility of the classical Eichler-Shimura decomposition with Poincaré and Serre duality. Next, we construct the overconvergent ES maps and obtain Theorem 1.0.3. We end with the constructions of the residues of the H^1 -cohomology of \mathcal{A}_χ^δ and \mathcal{D}_χ^δ for certain cusps (depending on w), and prove that the interior cohomology factors through the kernel of the residue maps. We show that the overconvergent ES maps restrict to the interior cohomology and obtain Theorem 1.0.4.

Acknowledgements. None of this work could ever be possible without the support of my advisor Vincent Pilloni, I want to express my deep gratitude for the many hours he dedicated explaining me the theory of overconvergent modular forms. I want to thank professors Adrian Iovita and Fabrizio Andreatta for the very fruitful exchanges during the spring of 2020, and the opportunity to give a talk on this subject in the Workshop of Higher Coleman Theory. Finally, I want to thank Joaquin Rodrigues Jacinto, George Boxer and Damien Junger for the many discussions of the subject. This paper was written while the author was a PhD student at the ENS de Lyon.

Notation. Throughout this document we fix a prime number p , we fix an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p and denote by \mathbb{C}_p its p -adic completion. We will mainly work with adic spaces over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ which are either locally topologically of finite type over a non-archimedean extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p , or with perfectoid spaces. An adic space will be denote by Roman font letters X, Y, Z, W, V , etc. except in Definition 3.2.3 where we will use \mathcal{W}_Π for the weight space attached to a finite \mathbb{Z}_p -module Π . In general we will denote by \mathcal{V} an affinoid admitting a character of Π , i.e. for affinoids endowed with a map $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\Pi$. We will denote the algebraic schemes over K as $X^{\text{alg}}, Y^{\text{alg}}, Z^{\text{alg}}$, etc., in such a way that X is the p -adic analytification of X^{alg} to an adic space over $\text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$. We will use Calligraphy font $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}$, etc. for sheaves over different sites of our objects.

For affine algebraic groups over \mathbb{Q}_p we will use bold font $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{T}$, etc. , we denote its analytification to an adic space over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ by $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}$, etc. Moreover, if \mathbf{H} admits an integral model \mathbf{H}^0 over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$ we denote by \mathcal{H}^0 the rigid generic fiber of the p -adic completion of \mathbf{H}^0 . We have an open immersion $\mathcal{H}^0 \subset \mathcal{H}$. If \mathbf{H} admits an integral model we will write $H = \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for its \mathbb{Z}_p -points, we define in a similar way G, B, T , etc if any of these groups admit an integral model.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Period sheaves in the pro-Kummer-étale site. Let K be a discretely valued field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K and perfect residue field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$. Let C/K denote the p -adic completion of the algebraic closure of K , and let $G_K = \text{Gal}(C/K)$ denote the absolute Galois group of K . Throughout this document we will work with adic spaces which are either locally topologically of finite type over a non archimedean field, or perfectoid spaces over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, all of them are étale sheafy in the sense that their étale site admits a basis by affinoid adic spaces stable under rational localizations and finite étale maps (cf. [Hub96, §1.7] and [SW20, §1.7]). We consider the proétale site as in [Sch13a] and its generalisation to the pro-Kummer-étale site in [DLLZ19].

Let X be an adic space as before. we denote by $X_{\text{an}}, X_{\text{ét}}$ and $X_{\text{proét}}$ the analytic, étale and proétale site of X respectively. If X is endowed with a log structure we write $X_{\text{két}}$ and $X_{\text{prokét}}$ for the Kummer-étale and the pro-Kummer-étale. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{X,\text{an}}, \mathcal{O}_{X,\text{ét}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X,\text{két}}$ the structural sheaves in the sites of X , similarly for

the bounded structural sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{X,-}^+$. Let $\nu : X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$ be the natural projection of sites, we briefly recall the definition of the structural and period sheaves over the proétale site of X , see §6 of [Sch13a]:

- 0) The structural sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X^+ = \nu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\text{ét}}^+)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X = \nu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\text{ét}})$.
- 1) The completed structural sheaves $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ = \varprojlim_s \mathcal{O}_X^+/p^s$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = \mathcal{O}_X^+[\frac{1}{p}]$.
- 2) The tilted sheaves $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\flat,+} = \varprojlim_{\Phi} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ = \varprojlim_{\Phi} \mathcal{O}_X^+/p$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\flat} = \varprojlim_{\Phi} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{W}}$ where $\Phi : x \mapsto x^p$.
- 3) The Fontaine's period sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},X}$ defined as the derived p -adic completion of the Witt vectors $W(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\flat,+})$, the sheaf $\mathbb{B}_{\text{inf},X} = \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},X}[\frac{1}{p}]$.

There is a natural surjective map $\theta : \mathbb{B}_{\text{inf},X} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ which locally on perfectoid objects $\text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is the Fontaine's map

$$\theta : W(R^{\flat,+})[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow R \quad \theta([(x_n)]) = \lim_n \tilde{x}_n^{p^n}$$

where $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in R^{\flat,+} = \varprojlim_{\Phi} R^+/p$ and \tilde{x}_n is any lift of x_n to R^+ . The kernel of θ is, locally proétale, generated by a non zero divisor ξ . For example, if $\text{Spa}(R, R^+) \in X_{\text{proét}}$ admits a map to $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}}, \mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{cyc}})$, and $\epsilon = (\zeta_{p^n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a compatible sequence of p -th power roots of unit, then $\omega = \frac{[\epsilon]-1}{[\epsilon]^{1/p}-1}$ is a generator of $\ker(\theta)$.

- 4) We have the de Rham period sheaf $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},X}^+ = \varprojlim_s \mathbb{B}_{\text{inf},X}/(\ker \theta)^s$. We endow $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},X}^+$ with the decreasing filtration induced by $\ker \theta$. We set $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},X} = \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},X}^+[\frac{1}{\xi}]$ and define $\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},X} = \xi^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},X}^+$ locally proétale.

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}_p(1) = \varprojlim_n \mu_{p^n}(C)$ the twisted Tate module where G_K acts via the cyclotomic character $\chi_{\text{cyc}} : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$. We will denote by \mathbb{Z}_p the constant sheaf over $X_{\text{ét}}$ and its inverse image to $X_{\text{proét}}$, we will write $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$ for the p -adic completion of \mathbb{Z}_p on the proétale site. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf over $X_{C,\text{proét}}$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(i) = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p(i)$ its i -th Tate twist, if \mathcal{F} is a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -module then $\mathcal{F}(i) = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p(i)$. We denote $B_{\text{dR}}^+ = \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$, the element $\log([\epsilon])$ converges to an element $t \in B_{\text{dR}}^+$ for which G_K acts on via multiplication by the cyclotomic character. Moreover, t generates $\ker \theta$ which shows that $\text{gr}^i \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},X} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(i)$.

- 5) Let $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR},X}^+$ be the sheafification of the pre-sheaf which sends an affinoid perfectoid $\text{Spa}(R, R^+) = \varprojlim_i \text{Spa}(R_i, R_i^+)$ to the direct limit of the $(\ker \tilde{\theta})$ -adic completion of

$$(R_i^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{W(\mathbb{F})} \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},X}(R, R^+))[\frac{1}{p}],$$

where $\tilde{\theta}$ is the map sending $r_i \otimes \alpha \mapsto r_i \theta(\alpha)$, and the completed tensor product is with respect to the p -adic topology. We endow $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR},X}^+$ with the $(\ker \tilde{\theta})$ -adic filtration. We define $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR},X} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR},X}^+[\frac{1}{\xi}]$ and $\text{Fil}^r \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR},X} = \sum_{i+j=r} \xi^i \text{Fil}^j \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR},X}^+$.

In the following we shall omit the subscript X . Let X be an fs log-smooth adic space over $\text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$ whose log structure is given by a morphism of sheaves of monoids $\alpha : \mathcal{M}_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,\text{ét}}$ over the étale site of X , see Definition 2.2.2 of [DLLZ19]. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{két}} : X_{\text{két}} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ be the sheaf of monoids of the Kummer-étale site mapping $U \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{ét},U}(U)$.

We define the following sheaves over the pro-Kummer-étale site of X , see [DLLZ19, DLLZ18].

- 0) We let \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{O}_X^+ , and \mathcal{O}_X to be the inverse image of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{két}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{X,\text{két}}^+$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X,\text{két}}$ from the Kummer-étale to the pro-Kummer-étale site respectively.
- 1) We let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ = \varprojlim_s \mathcal{O}_X^+/p^s$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+[\frac{1}{p}]$ the completed structural sheaves of the pro-Kummer-étale site. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ be the completed log structure of X , i.e. the pushout $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\times} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\times} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1}} \mathcal{M}$ of saturated monoids.

We can recover the sheaf $\mathcal{M}_{\text{két}}$ from the sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$, in fact we have the following lemma

Lemma 2.1.1. *Let $\nu : X_{\text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{két}}$ be the projection of sites. We have $\nu_* \widehat{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{két}}$.*

Proof. As $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\times}$ and \mathcal{O}_X^{\times} are sheaves on groups, and \mathcal{M} is a saturated monoid, $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is equal to the quotient $(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\times} \oplus \mathcal{M})/\mathcal{O}_X^{\times}$ with respect to the inclusion $(-\iota, \alpha^{-1})$. Then, since $R\nu_* \mathcal{O}_X^{\times} = \mathcal{O}_{X,\text{két}}^{\times}$, one gets

$$\nu_*((\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\times} \oplus \mathcal{M})/\mathcal{O}_X^{\times}) = (\nu_*(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\times}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{\text{két}})/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W},\text{két}}^{\times} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{két}}.$$

□

- 2) We define the tilted sheaves $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\flat,+} = \varprojlim_{\Phi} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ = \varprojlim_{\Phi} \mathcal{O}_X^+/p$, $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\flat} = \varprojlim_{\Phi} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\flat} = \varprojlim_{\Phi} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. We have a natural map of multiplicative monoids $\alpha^{\flat} : \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\flat}$.
- 3) The Fontaine's period sheaves \mathbb{A}_{inf} , \mathbb{B}_{inf} , \mathbb{B}_{dR}^+ and \mathbb{B}_{dR} are defined in the same way as for the proétale site. Moreover, there is a θ -map onto $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ whose kernel is locally proétale generated by a non-zero divisor ξ , and the sheaves \mathbb{B}_{dR}^+ and \mathbb{B}_{dR} are filtered with graded pieces $\text{gr}^i \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(i)$.

Next, we recall the construction of the relative de Rham sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log,X}^+$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log,X}$ over $X_{\mathrm{prok\acute{e}t}}$ [DLLZ18, §2.2]. We write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}$ if X is clear from the context.

Construction 2.1.2. In the following we see \mathcal{M} as a multiplicative monoid. Let $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ be a log perfectoid affinoid space in $X_{\mathrm{prok\acute{e}t}}$, and $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+) = \varprojlim_i \mathrm{Spa}(R_i, R_i^+)$ a pro-Kummer-étale presentation over X modeled in a p -divisible monoid $M = \varprojlim_i M_i$. Consider the monoid algebra

$$(2.1) \quad (R_i \widehat{\otimes}_{W(\mathbb{F})} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}(R, R^+)) [M_i \times_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(R, R^+)} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^b(R, R^+)] \left[\frac{1}{p} \right],$$

the completed tensor product being with respect to the p -adic topology. Given an element $a \in M_i \times_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(R, R^+)} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^b(R, R^+)$ we denote by $e^{\log a}$ its image in the monoid algebra. Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal of (2.1) generated by $\{\alpha(a) \otimes 1 - (1 \otimes [\alpha^b(a)])e^{\log a}\}_a$ for $a \in M_i \times_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^b$. Let \tilde{S}_i denote the quotient of (2.1) by \mathcal{I} . We consider the map $\tilde{\theta}_{\log} : \tilde{S}_i \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(R, R^+) = R$ sending $e^{\log a} \mapsto 1$, and whose restriction to $R_i \widehat{\otimes}_{W(\mathbb{F})} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}(R, R^+)$ is the map $\tilde{\theta}$. Let S_i denote the $(\ker \tilde{\theta})$ -adic completion of \tilde{S}_i .

We define the relative log de Rham period sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+$ to be the sheafification of the presheaf

$$\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+) \rightsquigarrow \varinjlim_i S_i.$$

We endow $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+$ with the $(\ker \tilde{\theta}_{\log})$ -filtration. We define $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+[\frac{1}{\xi}]$ endowed with the convolution filtration as for the de Rham sheaf in the proétale case. Finally, we denote $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}_{\log}}(i) = \mathrm{gr}^i \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}_{\log}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}_{\log}}(0)$.

Remark 2.1.3. We do not work with the completed de Rham sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}$ of [DLLZ18]. Indeed, the main results of *loc. cit.* hold for the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+[\xi^{-1}]$, proper log-smooth maps and the higher derived images of the constant sheaf $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$. In fact, the same arguments of [Sch13a] can be apply to this situation. Moreover, the sheaves we are interested in are constructed in this form, see §2.2 and §5.1 down below.

Let $\Omega_X^1(\log)$ denote the sheaf of log differentials of X [DLLZ19, §3]. The log connection $(d, \delta) : \mathcal{O}_X[\mathcal{M}] \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log)$ has a natural extension to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+$ in the following way: for $x = (s \otimes \gamma)e^{\log a}$ in the monoid algebra (2.1) we define $\nabla(x) = \gamma e^{\log a} ds + (s \otimes \gamma) \delta(a) e^{\log a}$, where $\delta(a) = \delta(\mathrm{pr}_1(a))$ is the projection in the first component. As $\ker \tilde{\theta}_{\log}$ is generated by $\ker \tilde{\theta}$ and the elements $e^{\log a} - 1$ with $a \in M_i \times_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^b$, one easily checks that $\nabla(\ker \tilde{\theta}_{\log})^k \subset \ker \tilde{\theta}_{\log}^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log) \subset S_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log)$. By completing with respect to $\ker \tilde{\theta}_{\log}$, and taking direct limits on i , one obtains an integrable log-connection

$$\nabla_{\log} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log)$$

satisfying Griffiths transversality. We get a log de Rham complex

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+ \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\log}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log) \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\log}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^d(\log) \rightarrow 0.$$

By inverting ξ one has a log connection for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}$. Then, taking graded pieces one gets a Higgs bundle structure for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}_{\log}}$ [DLLZ18].

One of the most important features of (2.2) is that the Poincaré lemma holds [DLLZ18, Cor. 2.4.2]. It is a formal consequence of the fact that locally pro-Kummer-étale, the ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^+$ is a power series ring over $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$, [DLLZ18, Prop. 2.3.15] and [Sch13a, Prop. 6.10].

2.2. The geometric setup. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the profinite completion of \mathbb{Z} and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p \subset \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ the prime-to- p completion. From now on we fix a neat compact open subgroup $K^p \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$ (for example $K^p = \Gamma_1(N)$ with $N \geq 5$). Let $n \geq 0$, we denote by $\Gamma(p^n)$, $\Gamma_1(p^n)$ and $\Gamma_0(p^n)$ the principal congruence subgroups

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(p^n) &= \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : g \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}\} \\ \Gamma_1(p^n) &= \left\{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^n}\right\} \\ \Gamma_0(p^n) &= \left\{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^n}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $K_p \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be a compact open subgroup, we denote by $Y_{K_p}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ and $X_{K_p}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ the modular and compactified modular curves of level $K^p K_p$ over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Q}_p$ [DR73]. We let $Y^{\mathrm{alg}}(p^n)$, $Y_1^{\mathrm{alg}}(p^n)$ and $Y_0^{\mathrm{alg}}(p^n)$ denote the modular curves of level at p $\Gamma(p^n)$, $\Gamma_1(p^n)$ and $\Gamma_0(p^n)$ respectively (similarly for the compactified modular curves). We let Y_{K_p} and X_{K_p} denote their p -adic analytification to adic spaces over $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ [Hub96]. We endow X_{K_p} with the log structure defined by the cusp divisor $D = X_{K_p} \setminus Y_{K_p}$.

Let $E^{\text{alg}}/Y_{K_p}^{\text{alg}}$ be the universal elliptic curve and $E^{\text{alg,sm}}/X_{K_p}^{\text{alg}}$ be its extension to a semi-abelian scheme. Let $e : X_{K_p}^{\text{alg}} \rightarrow E^{\text{alg,sm}}$ be the unit section and $\omega_E = e^* \Omega_{E^{\text{alg,sm}}/X_{K_p}^{\text{alg}}}^1$ the modular sheaf. The semi-abelian scheme $E^{\text{alg,sm}}$ has a relative compactification to a log smooth morphism $f : \overline{E}^{\text{alg}} \rightarrow X_{K_p}^{\text{alg}}$ [DR73]. The analytification \overline{E} of $\overline{E}^{\text{alg}}$ is a log adic space, and the map $f : \overline{E} \rightarrow X_{K_p}$ is a proper log smooth morphism of adic spaces. Given an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by $\omega_E^k = \omega_E^{\otimes k}$ the modular sheaf of weight k .

Let $E[p^n]/Y_{K_p}$ be the local system of p^n -torsion points of the universal elliptic curve. The étale sheaf $E[p^n]$ has a natural extension to a Kummer-étale local system over X_{K_p} which by an abuse of notation we write also as $E[p^n]$. Namely, let $j_{\text{két}} : Y_{K_p, \text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{K_p, \text{két}}$ be the natural map of sites, then by purity on p -torsion local systems ([DLLZ19, Theo. 4.6.1]) $Rj_{\text{két},*} E[p^n]$ is concentrated in degree 0 and $j_{\text{két},*} E[p^n]$ is a Kummer-étale local system. Moreover, let $\mu_{p^n, \overline{E}}$ be the local system of p^n -th roots of unity over \overline{E} . Using the principal polarisation of E and purity on local systems one obtains

$$E[p^n] = \text{Pic}^0(\overline{E}/X)[p^n] = R^1 f_{\text{két},*}(\mu_{p^n, \overline{E}}).$$

The Tate module is the sheaf over $X_{\text{prokét}}$ defined as the inverse limit $T_p E = \varprojlim_n E[p^n]$. By Lemma 3.18 of [Sch13a] one has $T_p E = R\varprojlim_n E[p^n]$ and

$$T_p E = R^1 f_{\text{prokét},*} T_p \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{E}},$$

where $T_p \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{E}} = \varprojlim \mu_{p^n, \overline{E}} = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p(1)$.

From now on an space without underlying log structure is endowed with the trivial one. Fiber products are always fiber products of fs log adic spaces unless otherwise specified, cf. [DLLZ19, Prop. 2.3.27].

2.2.1. The Hodge-Tate period map. Let $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}}$ be the p -adic completion of the p -adic cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})$. In [Sch15] Scholze proved that the inverse limit $X(p^\infty) = \varprojlim_n X(p^n)$ has a structure of perfectoid space. Furthermore, he constructed a Hodge-Tate period map $\pi_{\text{HT}} : X(p^\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$ parametrising the Hodge-Tate filtration of elliptic curves at each geometric point. More precisely, we have the following theorem

Theorem 2.2.1 (Theorem III.3.18 [Sch15]). *There exists a perfectoid space $X(p^\infty)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}}$ satisfying the tilde limit property of [SW13, Def. 2.4.1]*

$$X(p^\infty) \sim \varprojlim_n X(p^n).$$

Moreover, there is a $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant Hodge-Tate period map

$$\pi_{\text{HT}} : X(p^\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$$

such that for any open rational subset U of $U_0 = \{[x : y] \mid |x/y| \leq 1\}$ or $U_1 = \{[x : y] \mid |y/x| \leq 1\}$ of \mathbb{P}^1 , the inverse image $\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(U) \subset X(p^\infty)$ is an affinoid perfectoid subspace, and there is $n \gg 0$ and an open affinoid $V_n \subset X(p^n)$ whose pullback to $X(p^\infty)$ is equal to $\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(U)$.

Let us briefly recall how π_{HT} is defined. Let $X = X_{K_p}$ be the compactified modular curve of level $K^p K_p$ and $f : \overline{E} \rightarrow X$ the relative compactification of the universal elliptic curve. The primitive comparison Theorem ([Sch13a, Cor. 5.11] or [DLLZ19, Theo. 6.2.1]) gives an isomorphism

$$R^1 f_{\text{prokét},*} (T_p \mathbb{G}_m \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\overline{E}}) = T_p E \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X.$$

On the other hand, we have a natural boundary map

$$R^1 f_{\text{an},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \rightarrow R^1 f_{\text{prokét},*} (T_p \mathbb{G}_m \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\overline{E}}).$$

Deformation theory provides an isomorphism

$$R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{E}} = \text{Lie } \omega_{E^{\text{sm}}} = \omega_E^1.$$

Taking $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1)$ -duals, and using the principal polarisation of E one obtains a map

$$T_p E \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \omega_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X.$$

Joining both maps one obtains the Hodge-Tate exact sequence (see [Tat67] and [Sch15, Prop. III.3.1])

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_E^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \xrightarrow{\text{HT}^\vee} T_p E \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} \omega_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, the perfectoid space $X(p^\infty)$ trivializes the Tate module $T_p E$, let $\psi^{\text{un}} : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} T_p E$ be the universal trivialization, the pullback of (2.3) by $\psi^{\text{un}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X(p^\infty)}$ gives a line subbundle $\mathcal{L}_{\text{HT}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X(p^\infty)}^{\oplus 2}$ which defines the morphism π_{HT} .

2.2.2. *Representation theory of GL_2 .* Let $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathrm{GL}_2$ be the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices, $\mathbf{N} \subset \mathbf{B}$ its unipotent radical and $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{B}$ the diagonal torus. Let $\overline{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ be the opposite Borel of lower triangular matrices and its unipotent radical respectively. We let $W = \{1, w_0\}$ denote the Weyl group of GL_2 , it acts on \mathbf{T} by permutation of the diagonal components, we take $w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as a representative of w_0 .

Let $X^*(T)$ be the character group of T , it is identified with $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ via the isomorphism $\mathbb{G}_m^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{T} : (t_1, t_2) \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2)$. We see a character $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ as a character of \mathbf{B} or $\overline{\mathbf{B}}$ by extending trivially to the unipotent radicals. We write \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{b} , $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}$, \mathfrak{n} , $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ and \mathfrak{h} for the Lie algebras of GL_2 , \mathbf{B} , $\overline{\mathbf{B}}$, \mathbf{N} , $\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ and \mathbf{T} respectively. We see \mathfrak{g} as a GL_2 -representation via the adjoint action $(g, X) \mapsto gXg^{-1}$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Its restriction to \mathbf{T} induces the weight decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \overline{\mathfrak{n}} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, where \mathbf{T} acts on \mathfrak{n} and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ by the character $\alpha = (1, -1)$ and $-\alpha$ respectively. Let $\alpha^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ be the cocharacter $\alpha^\vee(t) = \mathrm{diag}(t, t^{-1})$, we say that a character $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(T)$ is dominant if $\langle \kappa, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0$, i.e. if $k_1 \geq k_2$. We denote by $X^*(\mathbf{T})^+$ the cone of dominant weights. A dominant weight is of the form $k\alpha \otimes \det^s$ for unique $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{GL}_2 / \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the analytification of $\mathrm{GL}_2 / \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $\mathcal{GL}_2^0 \subset \mathcal{GL}_2$ the open subgroup whose (R, R^+) -points are given by

$$\mathcal{GL}_2^0(R, R^+) = \mathrm{GL}_2(R^+).$$

We use similar notation for the upper and lower Borel subgroups of GL_2 , their unipotent radicals and the diagonal torus. Let $\mathbb{G}_a \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{1, \mathrm{an}}$ be the analytic additive group and $\mathbb{G}_a^+ \subset \mathbb{G}_a$ the unit disc subgroup, i.e. $\mathbb{G}_a^+ = \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p\langle T \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_p\langle T \rangle)$.

Let $FL := \mathbf{B} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2$ be the flag variety of GL_2 , we denote by \mathcal{FL} its analytification. There is a GL_2 -equivariant isomorphism $FL = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$ induced by the right action

$$[x : y] \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = [ax + cy : bx + dy],$$

and taking $[0 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$ as base point. Since FL is proper, there are isomorphisms [Hub96, Prop. 1.9.6]

$$\mathcal{FL} = \mathcal{B}^0 \backslash \mathcal{GL}_2^0 = \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{GL}_2.$$

Let St be the left standard representation of GL_2 . There is a complete description of the isomorphism classes of irreducible algebraic representation of GL_2 in terms of dominant weights [Mil17]

$$\begin{aligned} X^*(\mathbf{T})^+ &\longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}\text{-Rep } \mathrm{GL}_2 / \sim \\ \kappa = (k_1, k_2) &\mapsto V_\kappa := \mathrm{Sym}^{k_1 - k_2} \mathrm{St} \otimes \det^{k_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The representation V_κ is the irreducible representation of highest weight $\kappa = (k_1, k_2)$. Let V be a representation of GL_2 , we denote by V^\vee its contragredient representation: let $f \in V^\vee$ and $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2$, then $(gf)(v) = f(g^{-1}v)$ for $v \in V$. For irreducible representations we have isomorphisms

$$(2.4) \quad V_\kappa^\vee \cong V_{-w_0(\kappa)} = \mathrm{Sym}^{k_1 - k_2} \mathrm{St} \otimes \det^{-k_1}.$$

A representative of V_κ can be constructed as a Borel induction:

$$(2.5) \quad V_\kappa = \{f : \mathrm{GL}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \mid f(bg) = w_0(\kappa)(b)f(g) \text{ for } b \in \mathbf{B}\}.$$

Indeed, let $v_0^\vee \in V_\kappa^\vee$ be a highest weight vector, and $\langle -, - \rangle$ denote the natural pairing of V_κ^\vee and V_κ . Then

$$v \mapsto f_v : g \mapsto \langle v_0^\vee, gv \rangle$$

defines an isomorphism as in (2.5). We can describe V_κ using the opposite Borel instead:

$$V_\kappa = \{f : \mathrm{GL}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \mid f(\overline{b}g) = \kappa(\overline{b})f(g) \text{ for } \overline{b} \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}\},$$

the isomorphism being $f \mapsto L_{w_0}f$, where $(L_{w_0}f)(g) = f(w_0g)$.

Let VB_{FL} denote the category of vector bundles (VB) over FL with linear morphisms. We let $\mathrm{GL}_2\text{-VB}_{FL}$ be the subcategory of VB_{FL} whose objects are GL_2 -equivariant vector bundles, and whose morphisms are GL_2 -equivariant linear maps. Let $\mathrm{Alg}\text{-}\mathbf{B}\text{-Rep}$ be the category of finite dimensional algebraic representations of \mathbf{B} . There is an equivalence of categories

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{Alg}\text{-}\mathbf{B}\text{-Rep} &\simeq \mathrm{GL}_2\text{-VB}_{FL} \\ W &\mapsto \mathcal{W} := \mathrm{GL}_2 \times^{\mathbf{B}} W \\ V := \mathcal{V}|_{[1]} &\leftarrow \mathcal{V} \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times^{\mathbf{B}} W$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times W$ by the left \mathbf{B} -action: $(g, w) \sim (bg, bw)$, and $[1] \in FL$ is the class of $1 \in \mathrm{GL}_2$. Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(\kappa)$ the GL_2 -equivariant line bundle over FL given by $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times^{\mathbf{B}} w_0(\kappa)$. Note that if κ is dominant then V_κ is equal to the global sections of $\mathcal{L}(\kappa)$. If W is the restriction of a GL_2 -representation to \mathbf{B} , then \mathcal{W} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{FL}^{\dim W}$ as a VB over FL , but not as a GL_2 -equivariant VB in general. In particular, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\det)$ attached to $\det = (1, 1)$ is trivial. Moreover, the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{L}(\kappa)$ only depends on $k_1 - k_2$; it is isomorphic to the twisted sheaf $\mathcal{O}(k_1 - k_2)$ over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$.

Let $\widetilde{FL} = \mathbf{N} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2$ be the natural left \mathbf{T} torsor over FL , and let $\pi : \widetilde{FL} \rightarrow FL$ be the projection map. We have

$$\mathcal{L}(\kappa) = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{FL}}[-w_0(\kappa)].$$

Indeed, let $U \subset FL$ be an open subscheme, then $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{FL}}(U)$ is the ring of regular functions $f \in \mathbf{BU} \subset \mathrm{GL}_2$ such that $f(nx) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{BU}$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. The action of \mathbf{T} over $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{FL}}(U)$ is given by the left regular action:

$$(t \cdot f)(x) = f(t^{-1}x).$$

Therefore, $f \in \mathcal{L}(\kappa)$ if and only if $(t \cdot f) = -w_0(\kappa)(t)f$. The previous shows that

$$\widetilde{FL} \cong \underline{\mathrm{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_{FL}, \mathcal{L}(0, 1)) \times \underline{\mathrm{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_{FL}, \mathcal{L}(1, 0))$$

as GL_2 -equivariant left \mathbf{T} -torsors over FL .

2.2.3. Pullbacks via π_{HT} .

Construction 2.2.2. Let X_{K_p} be the modular curve of level K_p , so that $X(p^\infty) \rightarrow X_{K_p}$ is a pro-Kummer-étale torsor of Galois group K_p . Let $K_p\text{-Sh}(X(p^\infty)_{\mathrm{prokét}})$ be the category of K_p -equivariant sheaves over the pro-Kummer-étale site of the perfectoid modular curve. There is a natural equivalence of categories

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sh}(X_{K_p, \mathrm{prokét}}) &\simeq K_p\text{-eq}(\mathrm{Sh}(X(p^\infty)_{\mathrm{prokét}})) \\ \mathcal{F} &\mapsto \mathcal{F}|_{X(p^\infty)} \\ (p_*\mathcal{G})/K_p &\leftarrow \mathcal{G}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the π_{HT} -map gives rise a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon : K_p\text{-eq}(\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{prokét}})) &\rightarrow K_p\text{-eq}(\mathrm{Sh}(X(p^\infty)_{\mathrm{prokét}})) = \mathrm{Sh}(X_{K_p, \mathrm{prokét}}) \\ \mathcal{F} &\mapsto \pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \mapsto (\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}))/K_p. \end{aligned}$$

If we restrict to GL_2 -equivariant $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ -vector bundles and compose with the functor (2.6) one gets

$$\Upsilon : \mathrm{Alg}\text{-}\mathbf{B}\text{-Rep} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2\text{-VB}_{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^*} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)\text{-}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\text{-VB}_{X(p^\infty)} \xrightarrow{(\)/K_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}\text{-VB}_{X_{K_p}}.$$

with $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^*(\mathcal{W}) = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X(p^\infty)} \otimes_{\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}} \pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$. Given $W \in \mathrm{Alg}\text{-}\mathbf{B}\text{-Rep}$ with induced vector bundle W over \mathcal{F} , we denote $\Upsilon(W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \Upsilon(W)$ its image by the previous functor.

Definition 2.2.3. Let $K_p \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be a compact open subgroup and S be a topological space endowed with a continuous action of K_p . We define the sheaf $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ on $X_{K_p, \mathrm{prokét}}$ to be the K_p -equivariant sheaf over $X(p^\infty)$ defined at an object $U \in X(p^\infty)_{\mathrm{prokét}}$ as

$$(\underline{\mathcal{S}}) = C^{\mathrm{cont}}(|U|, \underline{\mathcal{S}}).$$

Let V be a finite dimensional GL_2 -representation, we write \underline{V} for the pro-Kummer-étale local system defined by V via $X(p^\infty) \rightarrow X_{K_p}$, in this case we have $\Upsilon(V) = \underline{V} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{K_p}$.

Example 2.2.4. Let $X = X_{K_p}$. Let St be the standard representation of GL_2 , and consider its \mathbf{B} -filtration

$$0 \rightarrow (1, 0)\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{St} \rightarrow (0, 1)\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

It induces a short exact sequence of GL_2 -equivariant VB over \mathcal{F}

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathrm{St} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(1, 0) \rightarrow 0$$

which we take as the universal filtration of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}^2$. Then, by construction of π_{HT} , the pullback of (2.7) is the Hodge-Tate filtration (2.3). In particular,

$$\Upsilon(\mathcal{L}(1, 0)) = \omega_E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X, \quad \Upsilon(\mathcal{L}(0, 1)) = \omega_E^{-1} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \text{ and } \Upsilon(\mathrm{St} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}) = T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X.$$

For a character $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ we denote

$$\omega_E^\kappa := \omega_E^{k_1 - k_2},$$

with this convention we have

$$(2.8) \quad \Upsilon(\mathcal{L}(\kappa)) = \omega_E^\kappa \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(k_2).$$

3. OVERCONVERGENT THEORY OVER THE FLAG VARIETY

We keep the notation of §2. We let \mathbb{C}_p be the p -adic completion of an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ its valuation ring. Given $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$ we denote $p^\delta \in \mathbb{C}_p$ an element with p -adic valuation $|p^\delta| = |p|^\delta$.

Definition 3.0.1. Let \mathbf{H}^0 be an affine group scheme over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$, \mathcal{H} the analytification of $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^0$ and \mathcal{H}^0 the rigid generic fiber of the p -adic completion of \mathbf{H}^0 , we denote $H = \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

- (1) Let $m \geq 1$ be a positive integer, we set $H_m := \ker(\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}))$.
- (2) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, we define $\mathcal{H}(\delta) \subset \mathcal{H}^0$ as the open subgroup whose (R, R^+) -points are given by

$$\mathcal{H}(\delta)(R, R^+) = \ker(\mathbf{H}(R^+) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(R^+/p^\delta R^+)).$$

Let Z be an fs log adic space over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, it is straightforward to check that the following pre-sheaves over $Z_{\text{prokét}}$ are sheaves:

$$\mathcal{H}_Z := \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{O}_Z), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_Z := \mathbf{H}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z), \quad \mathcal{H}_Z^0 := \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_Z^+) \text{ and } \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_Z^0 := \mathbf{H}^0(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z^+)$$

- (3) We define the δ -neighbourhoods of the identity in \mathcal{H}_Z and $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_Z$ to be the subgroups

$$\mathcal{H}(\delta)_Z := \ker(\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_Z^+) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_Z^+/p^\delta)) \text{ and } \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\delta)_Z := \ker(\mathbf{H}^0(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z^+) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^0(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z^+/p^\delta)).$$

We say that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_Z$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_Z^0$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\delta)_Z$) is the completion of \mathcal{H}_Z (resp. \mathcal{H}_Z^0 and $\mathcal{H}(\delta)_Z$) in the pro-Kummer-étale site of Z .

- (4) Let W be an adic space topologically of finite type over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let Z be an fs log adic space over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. We denote by W_Z the inverse image to $Z_{\text{prokét}}$ of the sheaf represented by W in the Kummer-étale site of Z . We let \widehat{W}_Z be the completion of \mathcal{M}_W in $\mathcal{W}_{\text{prokét}}$, in other words, \widehat{W}_Z is the sheafification of the pre-sheaf whose points at an affinoid perfectoid $\text{Spa}(R, R^+) \in Z_{\text{prokét}}$ are equal to $W(R, R^+)$.

If Z is clear from the context we omit the subscript of sheaves in (3) and (4) above.

Remark 3.0.2. Let W and Z as in (4) above, let $U \in Z_{\text{prokét}}$ be an affinoid perfectoid with $U = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ and $U = \varprojlim_i \text{Spa}(R_i, R_i^+)$ a pro-Kummer-étale presentation. Then $W_Z(U) = \varprojlim_i W(R_i, R_i^+)$ while $\widehat{W}_Z(U) = W(R, R^+)$, that's the reason we think of \widehat{W}_Z as a completion of W_Z .

Example 3.0.3. (1) Let \mathbf{H}^0 be the diagonal torus \mathbf{T}^0 or the upper unipotent \mathbf{N}^0 , we have

$$\mathcal{T}(\delta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^\delta \mathbb{G}_a^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + p^\delta \mathbb{G}_a^+ \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(\delta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p^\delta \mathbb{G}_a^+ \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer, we have

$$T_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^m \mathbb{Z}_p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + p^m \mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } N_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p^m \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (2) Let $\delta > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{GL}_2(\delta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^\delta \mathbb{G}_a^+ & p^\delta \mathbb{G}_a^+ \\ p^\delta \mathbb{G}_a^+ & 1 + p^\delta \mathbb{G}_a^+ \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 3.0.4. Let \mathcal{H} be an analytic group over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and Z an adic space.

- (1) Let \mathfrak{M} be a \mathcal{H}_Z -torsor over $Z_{\text{prokét}}$, we define its completion as the $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ -torsor $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}} := \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_Z \times^{\mathcal{H}_Z} \mathfrak{M}$.
- (2) Let $f : Z' \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism of adic spaces, and $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$ a $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ -torsor on $Z_{\text{prokét}}$. We define its pullback to a $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ -torsor on $Z'_{\text{prokét}}$ as $f^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}) = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{Z'} \times^{f^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_Z)} f^{-1}(\widehat{\mathfrak{M}})$ (similar definition for \mathcal{H}_Z -torsors).

Let $n \geq 1$ and $\text{Iw}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^n \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix} \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. The group Iw_n is the completion of $\Gamma_0(p^n)$ by congruence subgroups modulo powers of p . Thus, the perfectoid modular curve $X(p^\infty)$ is a pro-Kummer-étale Iw_n -torsor over $X_0(p^n)$.

Definition 3.0.5. Let $\epsilon \geq \delta$ be positive rational numbers.

- (1) We denote

$$\mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon, \delta) := \mathcal{N}(\delta) \times \mathcal{T}(\delta) \times \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{GL}_2^0.$$

- (2) Suppose that $\delta \geq n$. The δ -neighbourhood of Iw_n in \mathcal{GL}_2^0 is the open subgroup

$$\mathcal{Iw}_n(\delta) := \text{Iw}_n \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta) = \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta) \text{Iw}_n.$$

The analytic group $\mathcal{Iw}_n(\delta)$ will be called an affinoid Iwahori subgroup of \mathcal{GL}_2^0 .

3.1. Open affinoid subspaces of the flag variety. Let $W = \{1, w_0\}$ be the Weyl group of GL_2 and $w \in W$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be rational and consider the ϵ -analytic neighbourhood of w

$$w\mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{GL}_2^0,$$

we denote by $U_w(\epsilon)$ its image into $\mathcal{F}\ell$.

Lemma 3.1.1. (1) *The collection $\{U_w(\epsilon)\}_\epsilon$ of open subspaces of $\mathcal{F}\ell$ is a basis of open affinoid neighbourhoods of $w \in \mathcal{F}\ell$. Moreover, we have a decomposition*

$$\mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon) = \mathcal{N}(\epsilon) \times \mathcal{T}(\epsilon) \times \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon) = \mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon, \epsilon).$$

and a natural isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon)w \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{z_0}(\epsilon).$$

(2) *The Iwahori subgroups admit Iwahori decompositions (cf Definition 3.0.1 for the conventions)*

$$\mathrm{Iw}_n(\epsilon) = (\overline{N}_n \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon)) \times (T\mathcal{T}(\epsilon)) \times (N\mathcal{N}(\epsilon))$$

(3) *Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n \geq 1$. We have Iwahori decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon, \delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n &= (N\mathcal{N}(\delta)) \times (T\mathcal{T}(\delta)) \times (\overline{N}_n \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon)) \\ \mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon, \delta)w_0 \mathrm{Iw}_n &= (N_n \mathcal{N}(\delta)) \times (T\mathcal{T}(\delta)) \times (\overline{N\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon))w_0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove (2), the points (1) and (3) are done in a similar way. It suffices to show the equality at (R, R^+) -points, with (R, R^+) a uniform affinoid $(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ -algebra. By definition we have

$$\mathrm{Iw}_n(\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^\epsilon \mathbb{G}_a^+) & \mathbb{Z}_p + p^\epsilon \mathbb{G}_a^+ \\ p^n \mathbb{Z}_p + p^\epsilon \mathbb{G}_a^+ & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^\epsilon \mathbb{G}_a^+) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Iw}_n(\epsilon)(R, R^+) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^\epsilon R^+) & \mathbb{Z}_p + p^\epsilon R^+ \\ p^n \mathbb{Z}_p + p^\epsilon R^+ & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^\epsilon R^+) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $g \in \mathrm{Iw}_n(\epsilon)(R, R^+)$. Writing

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x_3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and solving the equations one finds $x_3 \in p^n \mathbb{Z}_p + p^\epsilon R^+$, x_1 and $x_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^\epsilon R^+)$, and $x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p + p^\epsilon R^+$ which gives (1). \square

In a first approximation to describe the dynamics of the U_p -operators (cf. §4.1), we need to understand the action of $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over $\mathcal{F}\ell = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$. This action has only two fixed points, represented by the elements of the Weyl group $W = \{1, w_0\}$. We have the following lemma

Lemma 3.1.2. *Let $\varpi = \mathrm{diag}(1, p)$. The following holds*

- (1) $U_1(\epsilon)\varpi = U_1(\epsilon - 1)$ and $U_{w_0}(\epsilon)\varpi = U_{w_0}(\epsilon + 1)$.
- (2) Let $\epsilon \geq n \geq 1$, then $U_1(\epsilon)\mathrm{Iw}_n\varpi = U_1(\epsilon - 1)\mathrm{Iw}_{n-1}$ and $U_{w_0}(\epsilon)\mathrm{Iw}_n\varpi = U_{w_0}(\epsilon + 1)N_1$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.1.1 and the computation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & pb \\ p^{-1}c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

\square

Let $\widetilde{FL} = \mathbf{N} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2$ be the \mathbf{T} -torsor over FL . We denote $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}\ell} = \mathcal{N} \backslash \mathcal{GL}_2$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}\ell}^0 = \mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{GL}_2^0$. The \mathcal{T} -torsor $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}\ell}$ is the rigid space defined by the generic fiber of \widetilde{FL} , while the \mathcal{T}^0 -torsor $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}\ell}^0$ is the rigid generic fiber of the p -adic completion of \widetilde{FL} .

Definition 3.1.3. Let $w \in W$ and $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n$ be positive rational numbers. We define the following trivial $\mathcal{T}(\delta)$ -torsor over $U_w(\epsilon)$

$$\widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) := \mathcal{N}(\delta) \backslash \mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon, \delta)w = \mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{T}(\delta) \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon)w \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(\delta) \times \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon)w.$$

The space $\widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n$ is trivial $T\mathcal{T}(\delta)$ -torsor over $U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$.

Remark 3.1.4. Suppose that $\delta \geq n$, by Lemma 3.1.1 we have the decomposition

$$\tilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n \leftarrow \begin{cases} T\mathcal{T}^0(\delta) \times \overline{N}_n \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon) & \text{if } w = 1 \\ w_0(T\mathcal{T}(\delta) \times N\mathcal{N}(\epsilon)) & \text{if } w = w_0 \end{cases}.$$

There are commutative diagrams of torsors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\ell^0 \\ \tau(\delta) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{T}^0 \\ U_w(\epsilon) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}\ell^0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\ell^0 \\ T\mathcal{T}(\delta) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{T}^0 \\ U_w(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}\ell^0. \end{array}$$

We let $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the subgroup generated by $c = \text{diag}(p, p)$ and $\varpi = \text{diag}(1, p)$. Set $\Lambda^+ \subset \Lambda$ be the multiplicative monoid generated by $c^{\pm 1}$ and ϖ . To interpolate the U_p operators we have to normalize the action of Λ over the torsors of the previous definition. We normalize the action of Λ over $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\ell$ by considering the quotient $\Lambda \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\ell$, it is a $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{T}$ -torsor over $\mathcal{F}\ell$.

Lemma 3.1.5. *The maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) &\rightarrow \mathcal{N}\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{N}\Lambda \mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon, \delta)w \subset \Lambda \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\ell \\ \tilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n &\rightarrow \mathcal{N}\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{N}\Lambda \mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon, \delta)w \text{Iw}_n \subset \Lambda \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\ell \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms of adic spaces. Moreover,

$$\tilde{U}_1(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n \varpi = \tilde{U}_1(\epsilon - 1, \delta) \text{Iw}_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{U}_{w_0}(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n \varpi = \tilde{U}_{w_0}(\epsilon + 1, \delta) TN_1$$

where in the first equation we assume $\delta \leq \epsilon - 1$.

Proof. The second statement follows from Lemma 3.1.2. To prove the first statement, it suffices to take $w = 1$. Note that $\mathcal{N}\Lambda \mathcal{T}(\delta) \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{N}\lambda \mathcal{T}(\delta) \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon)$. Then, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{T}(\delta) \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon) = \mathcal{N} \backslash \mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}(\delta) \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\epsilon)$ which is obvious by the Iwahori decomposition. \square

3.2. Overconvergent line bundles. Let $T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ be an affinoid adic space with R a uniform Tate \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a continuous character. We need the following elementary proposition

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let \mathcal{V} and χ as above. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that χ extends to a character*

$$(3.1) \quad \chi : T\mathcal{T}(\delta) \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m.$$

If the previous holds we say that χ is a δ -analytic character of T .

Proof. Writing \mathbf{T} as product of multiplicative groups, it is enough to prove the proposition for a character $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$. By continuity, there is $n \geq 2$ such that $|\chi(1 + p^n) - 1| < |p|^{1/(p-1)}$. On the other hand, we have an isomorphism of groups $1 + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\log} p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$ mapping $1 + p^n$ to a generator. Then, for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have

$$\chi((1 + p^n)^x) = (\chi(1 + p^n))^x = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{x}{k} (\chi(1 + p^n) - 1)^k.$$

The bound on $|\chi(1 + p^n) - 1|$ implies that

$$\frac{|\chi(1 + p^n) - 1|^k}{|k!|} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

This shows that the function $x \mapsto \chi((1 + p^n)^x)$ is analytic, and defines a character

$$\chi : (1 + p^n \mathbb{G}_a^+) \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m.$$

\square

Remark 3.2.2. The same proof shows that given a finite \mathbb{Z}_p -module Π of rank s and a character $\chi : \Pi \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$, there exists a family of free elements $\{e_1, \dots, e_s\} \subset \Pi$ and $\delta > 0$ such that χ extends to an analytic character

$$\chi : \Pi \left(\prod_{i=1}^s (1 + p^\delta \mathbb{G}_a^+) e_i \right) \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m.$$

Definition 3.2.3. Let Π be a finite \mathbb{Z}_p -module and let $\mathfrak{W}_\Pi = \mathrm{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Pi]]$ denote the weight space of its Iwasawa algebra. We denote by \mathcal{W}_Π its rigid generic fiber over $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. The space \mathcal{W}_Π is described in (S, S^+) -points by

$$\mathcal{W}_\Pi(S, S^+) = \bigcup_{S_0 \subset S^+} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\Pi, S_0^\times) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\Pi, S^+)$$

where S_0 runs over all the subrings of definition of S contained in S^+ (the last equality is follows from the fact that Π is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z}_p).

In the following we will take $\Pi = T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ or $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+) \subset \mathcal{W}_T$ be an open affinoid subspace. The universal character $\chi^{\mathrm{un}} : T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[[[T]]]^\times$ restricts to a character $\chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{un}} : T \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ which, by the previous proposition, extends to a δ -neighbourhood of T in \mathcal{T}^0 for some $\delta > 0$. The same holds for open affinoid subspaces of the weight space $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$.

Definition 3.2.4. Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ be a uniform affinoid space over $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ -analytic character. Let $w \in W = \{1, w_0\}$ be an element in the Weyl group of GL_2 , and consider the open neighbourhood $U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$ of w of §3.1. We define the $R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathrm{an}}^+$ -line bundle $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)_\epsilon$ over $U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$ to be the quotient

$$\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)_\epsilon = T\mathcal{T}(\delta) \backslash (\widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n \times w_0(\chi) R^+),$$

see Definition 3.1.3. We denote $\mathcal{L}(\chi)_\epsilon := \mathcal{L}^+(\chi)_\epsilon[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Remark 3.2.5. The sheaf $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)_\epsilon$ is completely determined by its global sections as $\widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta)$ is a trivial $T\mathcal{T}(\delta)$ -torsor. Indeed $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)_\epsilon$ is the trivial line bundle whose global sections are functions $f : w \mathrm{Iw}_n \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying the following conditions:

- i. f extends to an analytic function of $\mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon, \delta) w \mathrm{Iw}_n$.
- ii. $f(bx) = w_0(\chi)(b)f(x)$ for $b \in \mathcal{B} \cap w \mathrm{Iw}_n(\delta) w^{-1}$.

From now on all the affinoid pairs (R, R^+) endowed with a character of T will be supposed to be sheafy, i.e. we suppose that $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is an adic space. In fact, we will be only interested in $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ an open affinoid of the weight space of T , or $(R, R^+) = (K, \mathcal{O}_K)$ a non-archimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .

Proposition 3.2.6. *With the notation of the Definition 3.2.4, the following holds*

- (1) Let ϵ be fixed. The formation of $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)_\epsilon$ is independent of $\epsilon \geq \delta' \geq \delta$.
- (2) If $\epsilon' \geq \epsilon$, then $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)_\epsilon|_{U_w(\epsilon') \mathrm{Iw}_n} = \mathcal{L}^+(\chi)_{\epsilon'}$.
- (3) Let $\phi : (R, R^+) \rightarrow (R', R'^+)$ be a map of uniform affinoid rings, then $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)_\epsilon \widehat{\otimes}_{R^+, \phi} R'^+ = \mathcal{L}^+(\phi \circ \chi)_\epsilon$.

Proof. The proposition follows from the compatibility with respect to δ and ϵ of the isomorphisms

$$\widetilde{U}(\epsilon, \delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n \cong \begin{cases} T\mathcal{T}(\delta) \times \overline{N}_n \overline{N}(\epsilon) & \text{if } w = 1 \\ w_0(T\mathcal{T}(\delta) \times N\mathcal{N}(\epsilon)) & \text{if } w = w_0 \end{cases} \quad U_w(\epsilon) \cong \begin{cases} \overline{N}_n \overline{N}(\epsilon) & \text{if } w = 1 \\ N\mathcal{N}(\epsilon) & \text{if } w = w_0 \end{cases},$$

and the obvious functoriality with respect to the affinoid algebra (R, R^+) . \square

As the above proposition shows, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\chi)_\epsilon$ is independent of ϵ and δ provided we work over a small enough neighbourhood of $w \in W$. In the following we will simply write $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)$ instead of $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)_\epsilon$. Let $c = \mathrm{diag}(p, p)$ and $\varpi = \mathrm{diag}(1, p)$. We now define a normalized action of $\Lambda^+ = \langle c^{\pm 1}, \varpi \rangle$ over $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)$. By Lemma 3.1.5 we can see $U_w(\epsilon, \delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n$ as a subspace of $\Lambda \backslash \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\ell$

Definition 3.2.7. We let $c^{\pm 1}$ act trivially on $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)$. We let ϖ act on $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)$ as the pullback of right multiplication on $\widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n \subset \Lambda \backslash \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\ell$. More precisely, let $f : \widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \rightarrow U_w(\epsilon)$ be the projection and consider the right multiplication by ϖ

$$R_\varpi : \widetilde{U}_1(\epsilon, \delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n \rightarrow \widetilde{U}_1(\epsilon - 1, \delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n \quad \text{and} \quad R_\varpi : \widetilde{U}_{w_0}(\epsilon, \delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n \rightarrow \widetilde{U}_{w_0}(\epsilon + 1, \delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n.$$

Since the map R_ϖ commutes with the left action of $T\mathcal{T}(\delta)$, it induces a pullback map between the sheaves $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi) := f_* \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n}^+[-w_0(\chi)]$ which we define as the action of ϖ . Notice that, depending on w , ϖ expands or contracts the domain of $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)$.

3.3. Locally analytic principal series. Let $\delta \geq n \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ be a uniform affinoid space over $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ -analytic character. We use the line bundles $\mathcal{L}(\chi)$ over $U_{w_0}(\delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n$ to define δ -analytic principal series and distributions of weight χ . We will follow the conventions of [AS08].

Definition 3.3.1. We define the left $R^+[[\mathrm{Iw}_n]]$ -module of δ -analytic principal series of weight χ as the global sections

$$A_\chi^{\delta, +} := \Gamma(U_{w_0}(\delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n, \mathcal{L}^+(\chi)), \quad A_\chi^\delta = \Gamma(U_{w_0}(\delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n, \mathcal{L}(\chi))$$

endowed with the right regular action. Suppose that χ is δ' -analytic for $\delta \geq \delta'$, by remark 3.2.5 we can describe $A_\chi^{\delta, +}$ as the R^+ -module of functions $f : \mathrm{Iw}_n \rightarrow R^+$ such that

- i. f extends to an analytic function of $\mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \text{Iw}_n$,
- ii. $f(bx) = w_0(\chi)(b)f(x)$ for $b \in \mathcal{B} \cap w_0 \text{Iw}_n(\delta') w_0^{-1}$.

The left $R^+[[\text{Iw}_n]]$ -module of δ -analytic distributions of weight χ is by definition

$$D_\chi^{\delta,+} := \text{Hom}_{R^+}(A_\chi^{\delta,+}, R^+), \quad D_\chi^\delta = D_\chi^{\delta,+} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$$

endowed with the contragradient action.

Remark 3.3.2. The space $N\mathcal{N}(\delta)$ is a disjoint union of closed discs (cf. Example 3.0.3), in particular $\mathcal{O}^+(N\mathcal{N}(\delta))$ is an ON \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra. Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an ON basis of $\mathcal{O}^+(N\mathcal{N}(\delta))$, using the Iwahori decomposition one has isomorphisms of R^+ -modules

$$A_\chi^{\delta,+} \cong \widehat{\bigoplus}_{i \in I} R^+ e_i \quad \text{and} \quad D_\chi^{\delta,+} \cong \prod_{i \in I} R^+ e_i^\vee.$$

Remark 3.3.3. It is easy to compare the δ -analytic principal series and distributions defined above with those used in [AIS15]. Let $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+,\times}$ be a character as above written as $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2)$. Consider the set $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ endowed with the right multiplication by Iw_n and the left multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . We let $A_{\chi_1 - \chi_2}^{\delta,+}$ be the space of functions $f : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow R^{+,\times}$ satisfying the following conditions

- i. $f|_{1 \times \mathbb{Z}_p}$ extends to an analytic function of $\mathbb{Z}_p + p^\delta \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$,
- ii. $f(tx) = (\chi_1 - \chi_2)(t)f(x)$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Note that $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ endowed with the action of Iw_n and \mathbb{Z}_p^\times is isomorphic to the quotient

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p^n \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix} \backslash \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^n \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p^n \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix} \backslash \text{Iw}_n.$$

Thus, we have an isomorphism

$$A_\chi^{\delta,+} = A_{\chi_1 - \chi_2}^{\delta,+} \otimes (\det)^{\chi_2}.$$

Let $c = \text{diag}(p, p)$, $\varpi = \text{diag}(1, p)$, $\Lambda = \langle c^{\pm 1}, \varpi^{\pm 1} \rangle \subset \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\Lambda^+ = \langle c^{\pm 1}, \varpi \rangle$ and $\Lambda^- = \langle c^{\pm 1}, \varpi^{-1} \rangle$. We want to endow $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ with an action of Λ^+ compatible with the one of Iw_n (dually, we want to endow $D_\chi^{\delta,+}$ with an action of Λ^-). Let $\epsilon \geq \delta$, by Lemma 3.1.5 we can see $\tilde{U}_{w_0}(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n$ as a subspace of $\Lambda \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\ell$. Moreover, we have

$$\tilde{U}_{w_0}(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n \varpi \text{Iw}_n = \tilde{U}_{w_0}(\epsilon + 1, \delta) \text{Iw}_n \subset \tilde{U}_{w_0}(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n.$$

This leads to the following lemma

Lemma 3.3.4. *Let $\delta \geq \delta'$ and $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+,\times}$ a δ' -analytic character. There is an action of the multiplicative monoid $\Sigma^+ := \text{Iw}_n \Lambda^+ \text{Iw}_n$ over $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ which is induced by right multiplication on $U_{w_0}(\delta, \delta') \text{Iw}_n$. Furthermore, the action of ϖ on $A_\chi^{\delta+1,+}$ factors through $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$. Dually, there is an action of $\Sigma^- := \text{Iw}_n \Lambda^- \text{Iw}_n$ over $D_\chi^{\delta,+}$. The action of ϖ^{-1} on $D_\chi^{\delta,+}$ factors through $D_\chi^{\delta+1,+}$. In particular, ϖ and ϖ^{-1} are compact operators of $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ and $D_\chi^{\delta,+}$ respectively.*

Proof. To define the action of Σ^+ over $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ it is enough to extend the character χ to Λ by setting $\chi(c) = \chi(\varpi) = 1$. Note that the inclusion $\tilde{U}_{w_0}(\delta, \delta') \subset \Lambda \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\ell$ is stable by multiplication of Σ^+ . Then, the action is defined by taking pullbacks of $-w_0(\chi)$ -equivariant functions of the $T\mathcal{T}(\delta')$ -torsor $\tilde{U}_{w_0}(\delta, \delta') \rightarrow U_{w_0}(\delta)$ (i.e. of the sheaf $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)$).

It remains to study the action of ϖ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U}_{w_0}(\delta, \delta') \text{Iw}_n & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & \tilde{U}_{w_0}(\delta + 1, \delta') \text{Iw}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U_{w_0}(\delta) \text{Iw}_n & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & U_{w_0}(\delta + 1) \text{Iw}_n. \end{array}$$

Taking global sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)$ we get an action of ϖ over $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ which is explicitly given by

$$(\varpi f)(x) = f(\varpi^{-1} x \varpi).$$

Moreover, it factors through $\varpi : A_\chi^{\delta+1,+} \rightarrow A_\chi^{\delta,+} \subset A_\chi^{\delta+1,+}$, which shows that it is a compact operator as $U_{w_0}(\delta + 1) \rightarrow U_{w_0}(\delta)$ is a strict immersion. The statements for $D_\chi^{\delta,+}$ are clear by taking duals. \square

In the definition of overconvergent modular symbols we are going to consider $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ and $D_\chi^{\delta,+}$ as sheaves over the pro-Kummer-étale site of $X_0(p^n)$, the strategy is to use the construction 2.2.2 and Definition 2.2.3. In order to study the pro-Kummer-étale cohomology of these sheaves we need to construct a devissage to finite local systems of the modular curve. We know that $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ and $D_\chi^{\delta,+}$ have natural underlying topologies (the p -adic topology and the weak topology respectively). Furthermore, they have presentations as projective limits of

inductive limits of finite Iw_n -modules. Using such a presentation we can describe the sheaves $\underline{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ and $\underline{D}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ over $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathrm{proét}}$ as projective limits of inductive limits of finite constant sheaves, then we take the inverse image via $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}} : X(p^\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell$ and the Iw_n -equivariance to define sheaves over $X_0(p^n)$, one then gets the desired devissage. We need a couple of lemmas.

Lemma 3.3.5. *Let (F, \mathcal{O}_F) be a non archimedean field. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ be an affinoid adic analytic group over F , and $Z = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ an affinoid adic space topologically of finite type over $\mathrm{Spa}(F, \mathcal{O}_F)$. Let $\Theta : \mathcal{H} \times Z \rightarrow Z$ be an action of \mathcal{H} over Z . Then for all $N > 0$ there exists a neighbourhood $1 \in U \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that for all $g \in U$, $z \in Z$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}^+(Z)$ we have $|f(z) - f(gz)| \leq |p|^N$.*

Proof. As $\mathcal{O}^+(Z) = R^+$ is topologically of finite type over \mathcal{O}_F , it suffices to prove the proposition for a single $f \in R^+$. Let $\Theta^* : R^+ \rightarrow (A^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} R^+)^+$ be the pullback of the multiplication map. Let $V \subset \mathcal{H} \times Z$ be the open affinoid subspace defined by the equation

$$|1 \otimes f - \Theta^*(f)| \leq |p|^N.$$

As V contains $1 \times Z$ and this is a quasi-compact closed subset of $\mathcal{H} \times Z$, there exists $1 \in U_f \subset U$ such that $U_f \times Z \subset V$. Therefore, for all $g \in U_f$ and $z \in Z$ we have $|f(z) - f(gz)| \leq |p|^N$. \square

Lemma 3.3.6. *Let $s \geq 1$ be an integer. There exists a positive integer r such that $\Gamma(p^{s+r}) = \ker(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^{s+r}\mathbb{Z}))$ acts trivially on $A_\chi^{\delta,+}/p^s A_\chi^{\delta,+}$. In particular, we can write $A_\chi^{\delta,+} = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_i F_{s,i}$ where $F_{s,i}$ are finite Iw_n -modules.*

Proof. It is enough to show that there exists $r > 0$ such that $T_{s+r}N_{s+r}$ acts trivially on $A_\chi^{\delta,+}/p^s A_\chi^{\delta,+}$. Let $f \in A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ and $g = tn \in TN$, then $(gf)(x) = \chi(t)f(t^{-1}xtn)$ for all $x \in NN(\delta) \cong U_{w_0}(\delta)\mathrm{Iw}_n$. Since χ is continuous, we can find $r_1 \gg 0$ such that $\chi(t) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^s}$ for all $t \in T_{r_1}$. Applying Lemma 3.3.5, one finds $r_2 \gg 0$ such that $f(t^{-1}xtn) \equiv f(x) \pmod{p^s}$ for all $x \in NN(\delta)$ and $tn \in T_{r_2}N_{r_2}$. Taking $r = \max\{r_1, r_2\}$ we have the first part of the lemma. The second statement follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Iw}_n/\Gamma(p^{s+r})$ is a finite group. \square

In order to write $D_\chi^{\delta,+}$ as a projective limit of inductive limits of finite Iw_n -modules we first define a filtration

Definition 3.3.7. We define $\mathrm{Fil}^s D_\chi^{\delta,+}$ to be the kernel of $D_\chi^{\delta,+} \rightarrow D_\chi^{\delta-1,+}/p^s D_\chi^{\delta-1,+}$.

Lemma 3.3.8. *We have an isomorphism $D_\chi^{\delta,+} = \varprojlim_s D_\chi^{\delta,+}/\mathrm{Fil}^s D_\chi^{\delta,+}$. Moreover, $D_\chi^{\delta,+}/\mathrm{Fil}^s D_\chi^{\delta,+}$ is a finite R^+ -module and the inverse limit topology is the weak topology. In particular, writing $D_\chi^{\delta,+}/\mathrm{Fil}^s D_\chi^{\delta,+} = \varinjlim_i F'_{s,i}$ with $F'_{s,i}$ a finite Iw_n -module, we get $D_\chi^{\delta,+} = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_i F'_{s,i}$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the injective map $D_\chi^{\delta,+} \rightarrow D_\chi^{\delta-1,+}$ is the dual of a compact map of ON R^+ -modules. \square

Corollary 3.3.9. *Let F denote $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ or $D_\chi^{\delta,+}$, and let $F = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_i F_{s,i}$ be the presentation as a projective limit of a direct limit of finite Iw_n -modules of Lemmas 3.3.6 and 3.3.8. Then the sheaf \underline{F} of Definition 2.2.3 can be written as*

$$\underline{F} = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_i \underline{F}_{s,i}$$

in the proétale site of $\mathcal{F}\ell$.

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathrm{proét}}$ be an affinoid perfectoid. As the presentation $F = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_i F_{s,i}$ is compatible with the topology of each sheaf (the p -adic or the weak topology), it is enough to show that

$$C^{\mathrm{cont}}(|U|, \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_i F_{s,i}) = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_i C^{\mathrm{cont}}(|U|, F_{s,i}),$$

but this is clear as $|U|$ is a spectral space. \square

We end this subsection relating the δ -analytic principal series and distributions with the algebraic representations of GL_2 . Let $\delta \geq \delta'$, we have a Iw_n -equivariant map of torsors

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{U}_{w_0}(\delta, \delta') \mathrm{Iw}_n & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^0 \\ T\mathcal{T}(\delta') \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{T}^0 \\ U_{w_0}(\delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}. \end{array}$$

Let $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be a dominant character, $\mathcal{L}(\kappa)$ the line bundle over $\mathcal{F}\ell$ defined by the character $w_0(\chi)$ and the functor (2.6). Moreover, let $\mathcal{L}^+(\kappa) \subset \mathcal{L}(\kappa)$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+$ -subsheaf defined by the lattice $w_0(\kappa)\mathbb{Z}_p \subset w_0(\kappa)\mathbb{Q}_p$ via $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell$, we set $V_\kappa^+ := \Gamma(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{L}^+(\kappa))$. Recall from §2 that $V_\kappa = \Gamma(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{L}(\kappa))$ is the irreducible representation of GL_2 of maximal weight κ .

Proposition 3.3.10. *Let $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be a dominant weight.*

- (1) *There is a natural Iw_n -equivariant inclusion $V_\kappa^+ \rightarrow A_\kappa^{\delta,+}$ for all $\delta \geq n$.*
- (2) *There is a natural Iw_n -equivariant map $D_\kappa^{\delta,+} \rightarrow V_\kappa^{+,\vee} = V_{-w_0(\kappa)}^+$ which becomes surjective after inverting p .*

Proof. From diagram (3.2), taking global sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\kappa)$ we obtain a natural Iw_n -equivariant map $V_\kappa^+ \rightarrow A_\kappa^{\delta,+}$ which is clearly an inclusion. The map $D_\kappa^{\delta,+} \rightarrow V_{-w_0(\kappa)}^+$ is defined by taking duals. \square

Remark 3.3.11. Let $c = \text{diag}(p, p)$ and $\varpi = \text{diag}(1, p)$, let $\Lambda^+ = \langle c^{\pm 1}, \varpi \rangle$ and $\Lambda^- = \langle c^{\pm 1}, \varpi^{-1} \rangle$ be multiplicative monoids. Set $\Sigma^+ = Iw_n \Lambda^+ Iw_n$ and $\Sigma^- = Iw_m \Lambda^- Iw_n$. In order to make the maps of Proposition 3.3.10 Σ^\pm -equivariant we have to normalize the action of Λ on V_κ^+ . Namely, we let $t \in \Lambda$ act on V_κ^+ by $(tf)(x) := \frac{1}{\kappa(t)} f(xt)$. Thus, under this normalization, the maps $V_\kappa^+ \rightarrow A_\kappa^{\delta,+}$ and $D_\kappa^{\delta,+} \rightarrow V_{-w_0(\kappa)}^+$ are Σ^+ and Σ^- -equivariant respectively.

Definition 3.3.12. Let (R, R^+) be a uniform Tate \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+,\times}$ a δ -analytic character.

- (1) We define the Iw_n -equivariant sheaf over $\mathcal{F}l_{\text{proét}}$

$$\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+} = \underline{A}_\chi^{\delta,+} = \varprojlim_s \underline{(A_\chi^{\delta,+}/p^s A_\chi^{\delta,+})} \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta = \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}[\frac{1}{p}] = \underline{A}_\chi^\delta.$$

We let $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}l}^+$ be the completed tensor product with respect to the p -adic topology.

- (2) We define the Iw_n -equivariant sheaf over $\mathcal{F}l_{\text{proét}}$

$$\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+} = \underline{D}_\chi^{\delta,+} = \varprojlim_s \underline{D_\chi^{\delta,+}/\text{Fil}^s} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta = \mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+}[\frac{1}{p}] = \underline{D}_\chi^\delta.$$

We let $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}l}^+$ be the completed tensor product with respect to the filtration of $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+}$.

Proposition 3.3.13. *The sheaves $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}l}^+$ and $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}l}^+$ admit an $\widehat{Iw}_n(\delta)$ -equivariant action compatible with the $Iw_n(\delta)$ -action over the flag variety.*

Proof. See Definition 3.0.1 for the description of the completed sheaf $\widehat{M}_{\mathcal{F}l}$. First, we show that the sheaf $\widehat{Iw}_n(\delta)_{\mathcal{F}l}$ is compatible with the action of $Iw_n(\delta)$ over $\mathcal{F}l$ and the right multiplication. It is enough to prove that $\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_{2,\mathcal{F}l}$ is \mathcal{GL}_2 -equivariant for the right multiplication and the action over $\mathcal{F}l$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{F}l \times \mathcal{GL}_2 & \\ \text{pr}_1 \swarrow & & \searrow m \\ \mathcal{F}l & & \mathcal{F}l \end{array}$$

where pr_1 is the projection onto the first factor and m the multiplication map. A \mathcal{GL}_2 -equivariant action over $\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_{2,\mathcal{F}l}$ is the same as an isomorphism

$$\text{pr}_1^*(\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_{2,\mathcal{F}l}) \xrightarrow{\sim} m^*(\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_{2,\mathcal{F}l})$$

of $\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2$ -torsors over $(\mathcal{F}l \times \mathcal{GL}_2)_{\text{proét}}$ satisfying a cocycle condition. Let $\text{Spa}(S, S^+)$ be a perfectoid affinoid space in $(\mathcal{F}l \times \mathcal{GL}_2)_{\text{proét}}$, we have induced maps $x_0 : \text{Spa}(S, S^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l$ and $g_0 : \text{Spa}(S, S^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{GL}_2$. We define the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GL}_2(S) \cong \text{pr}_1^*(\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_{2,\mathcal{F}l})(S, S^+) &\xrightarrow{\sim} m^*(\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_{2,\mathcal{F}l}) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2(S, S^+) \cong \text{GL}_2(S) \\ g &\mapsto gg_0 \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check that this isomorphism provides the desired action.

Assume that χ is δ' -analytic for $\delta \geq \delta'$. We want to describe the sheaf $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}l}^+$ as $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}l}^+$ -valued functions over the sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{Iw}_n$ satisfying the conditions of Definition 3.3.1. By Lemma 3.1.1 we have an Iwahori decomposition

$$\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{Iw}_n = (\underline{N}_n \widehat{N}(\delta'))_{\mathcal{F}l} \times (\underline{T} \widehat{T}(\delta'))_{\mathcal{F}l} \times (\underline{N} \widehat{N}(\delta))_{\mathcal{F}l} w_0.$$

We define $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ over $\mathcal{F}l_{\text{proét}}$ to be the sheaf whose points at an affinoid perfectoid $U = \text{Spa}(S, S^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l$ is the space of functions $f \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}(U) \subset \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{Iw}_n|_U, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_U^+)$ satisfying:

- i. f is induced by an analytic function $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{Iw}_n, \mathbb{G}_a^+) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_U^+ = \mathcal{O}^+(\mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{Iw}_n) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_U^+$.
- ii. $f(bx) = w_0(\chi)(b)f(x)$ for $b \in \widehat{B} \cap w_0 \widehat{Iw}_n(\delta') w_0^{-1}|_U$.

The Iwahori decomposition of $\mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta')w_0 \text{Iw}_n$ shows that we have an isomorphism of sheaves over $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{proét}}$

$$\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+} \cong \mathcal{O}^+(w_0 \mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}(\delta)) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+.$$

By Remark 3.3.2 we see that the natural map $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ is in fact an isomorphism of Iw_n -equivariant sheaves. Since $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ has a natural $\widehat{\text{Iw}}_n(\delta)$ -equivariant action induced by the right regular action, we have proven the proposition for $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+$. We obtain the result for $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+$ by taking $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+$ -duals (recall that the completion in this case is with respect to the weak topology, cf. Lemma 3.3.8). \square

Corollary 3.3.14. *Let $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be a dominant character. The maps $V_\kappa^+ \rightarrow A_\kappa^{\delta,+}$ and $D_\kappa^{\delta,+} \rightarrow V_{-w_0(\kappa)}^+$ induce morphisms of $\widehat{\text{Iw}}_n(\delta)$ -equivariant sheaves over $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{proét}}$*

$$\underline{V}_\kappa^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\kappa^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+ \text{ and } \underline{D}_\kappa^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+ \rightarrow \underline{V}_{-w_0(\kappa)}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+.$$

Proof. In the notation of the previous proposition, it is enough to consider the inclusion of sheaves over $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{proét}}$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2(\delta, \delta')w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n \subset \widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2$$

and take analytic functions with values in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^+$ satisfying $f(bx) = w_0(\kappa)(b)f(x)$ for $b \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}$. \square

3.4. The dual BGG complex and maximal weight vector maps. We begin this subsection with a brief construction of the dual BGG complexes for GL_2 , we refer to [Hum08] for a more general treatment of the subject. Let $W = \{1, w_0\}$ be the Weyl group of GL_2 and $\mathbf{B}w_0\mathbf{N} \subset \text{GL}_2$ the big cell. We have a commutative diagram of torsors

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B}w_0\mathbf{N} & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_2 \\ \mathbf{B} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B} \backslash \mathbf{B}w_0\mathbf{N} & \longrightarrow & FL \end{array}$$

where the left vertical arrow is in fact a trivial \mathbf{B} . Let $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be a character and $\mathcal{L}(\kappa)/FL$ the line bundle attached to $w_0(\kappa)$ by the functor (2.6), in other words, the line bundle given by the quotient $\mathbf{B} \backslash (\text{GL}_2 \times w_0(\kappa))$. If κ is dominant, let $V_\kappa := \Gamma(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{L}(\kappa))$ be the irreducible representation of GL_2 of maximal weight κ .

Definition 3.4.1. We define the $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{B})$ -representation $V(\kappa) := \Gamma(\mathbf{B} \backslash \mathbf{B}w_0\mathbf{N}, \mathcal{L}(\kappa))$, where the action of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{B})$ is induced by the right regular action on the big cell.

As \mathbf{B} -module, $V(\kappa)$ is a twist of the algebra of regular functions of $w_0\mathbf{N}$. Indeed, there is an isomorphism $\mathbf{B} \backslash \mathbf{B}w_0\mathbf{N} \cong w_0\mathbf{N}$, and one has

$$(3.4) \quad V(\kappa) = \kappa \otimes V(1) = \kappa \otimes \Gamma(w_0\mathbf{N}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{N}}),$$

where the action of \mathbf{B} over $\Gamma(w_0\mathbf{N}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{N}})$ is induced by the adjoint action $(n, b) \mapsto b^{-1}nb$ for $(n, b) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{B}$.

Remark 3.4.2. The $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{B})$ -module $V(\kappa)$ is in fact the admissible dual of the Verma module of maximal weight κ , see §3.10 of [AS08].

Let κ be a dominant weight. Taking the global sections of $\mathcal{L}(\kappa)$ in the diagram (3.3), one obtains a map

$$V_\kappa \rightarrow V(\kappa).$$

Writing $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, and $\mathbb{G}_a \cong \mathbf{N}$ via $X \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, the map of V_κ in $V(\kappa)$ is identified in (3.4) with the inclusion $\mathbb{Q}_p[X]_{\leq k_1 - k_2} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p[X] \cong C(w_0\mathbf{N}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ of polynomials of degree $\leq k_1 - k_2$. We have the following proposition

Proposition 3.4.3. *Let $\alpha = (1, -1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \cong X^*(\mathbf{T})$ and $\kappa = (k_1, k_2)$ a dominant weight. There is a short exact sequence of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{B})$ -representations*

$$\text{BGG}(\kappa) : 0 \rightarrow V_\kappa \rightarrow V(\kappa) \rightarrow V(w_0(\kappa) - \alpha) \rightarrow 0$$

called the dual BGG complex of weight κ . As \mathbf{B} -representations it is identified with the short exact sequence

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \kappa \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p[X]_{\leq k_1 - k_2} \rightarrow \kappa \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p[X] \xrightarrow{\left(\frac{d}{dX}\right)^{k_1 - k_2 + 1}} (w_0(\kappa) - \alpha) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p[X] \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We have a weight decomposition of $V(\kappa)$ with respect to \mathbf{T}

$$V(\kappa) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\kappa - n\alpha)\mathbb{Q}_p,$$

where $(\kappa - n\alpha)\mathbb{Q}_p$ is identified with $\kappa \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p X^n$ under the isomorphism (3.4). As V_κ is the irreducible representation of maximal weight κ , it has a weight decomposition $V_\kappa \cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq n \leq k_1 - k_2} (\kappa - n\alpha)\mathbb{Q}_p$. This shows that $V_\kappa \subset V(\kappa)$

is identified with the inclusion $\kappa \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p[X]_{\leq k_1 - k_2} \subset \kappa \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$. As $\kappa \otimes X^{k_1 - k_2 + 1}$ has weight $(w_0(\kappa) - \alpha)$, the isomorphism of $\mathrm{BGG}(\kappa)$ with (3.5) as \mathbf{B} -representations is clear. \square

Let $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be a character, note that there are natural maps

$$(3.6) \quad \kappa \xrightarrow{L} V(\kappa) \text{ as } \mathbf{B}\text{-modules, and } V(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{w_0}} \kappa \text{ as } \overline{\mathbf{b}}\text{-modules.}$$

In fact, the action of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \overline{\mathbf{n}}$ maps $\kappa \otimes X^n$ to $(k_1 - k_2 - n)(\kappa \otimes X^{n+1})$. They are the maximal weight vector and minimal weight quotient of $V(\kappa)$ with respect to the actions of \mathbf{B} and $\overline{\mathbf{b}}$ respectively. Furthermore, recall that the δ -analytic principal series A_κ^δ is by definition the global sections of $\mathcal{L}(\kappa)$ restricted to the open analytic subspace $U_{w_0}(\delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$. Since $U_{w_0}(\delta) \mathrm{Iw}_n \subset (\mathbf{B} \backslash \mathbf{B} w_0 \mathbf{N})^{\mathrm{an}} \subset \mathcal{F}$, there is a natural (\mathfrak{g}, B) -inclusion $V(\kappa) \subset A_\kappa^\delta$ which can be improved to an equivariant $(\mathfrak{g}, B\Lambda^+)$ -inclusion by normalizing the action of $c = \mathrm{diag}(p, p)$ and $\varpi = \mathrm{diag}(1, p)$ on $V(\kappa)$ as in Remark 3.3.11. The maps (3.6) can be extended to maps between locally analytic characters and a locally analytic principal series:

Proposition 3.4.4. *Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n$. Let (R, R^+) be a uniform Tate \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $\chi : T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow R^{\times, +}$ a δ -analytic character as in §3.3.*

- (1) *There is a $\mathcal{B} \cap \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\delta)$ -equivariant map $\iota : \chi R^+ \rightarrow A_\chi^{\delta, +}$ (the maximal weight vector map). Moreover, it induces a morphism of $\widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\epsilon)$ -equivariant sheaves over $U_1(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$.*

$$\mathcal{L}^+(w_0(\chi)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}^+ \rightarrow A_\chi^{\delta, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}^+.$$

Dually, we have equivariant maps $D_\chi^{\delta, +} \rightarrow (-\chi)R^+$ and $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^+(-w_0(\chi)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}^+$.

- (2) *There is a $\overline{\mathcal{B}} \cap \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\delta)$ -equivariant map $\mathrm{ev}_{w_0} : A_\chi^{\delta, +} \rightarrow \chi R^+$ (the minimal weight vector quotient). Moreover, it induces a morphism of $\widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\epsilon)$ -equivariant sheaves over $U_{w_0}(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$.*

$$A_\chi^{\delta, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^+(\chi) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}^+.$$

Dually, we have equivariant maps $(-\chi)R^+ \rightarrow D_\chi^{\delta, +}$ and $\mathcal{L}^+(-\chi) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}^+$.

Proof. Let $w \in W = \{1, w_0\}$. By duality it is enough to construct the maps for the δ -analytic principal series. It is obvious that the maps ι and ev_{w_0} are morphisms of $B \cap \mathrm{Iw}_n$ and $\overline{B} \cap \mathrm{Iw}_n$ -modules respectively. Moreover, it is clear that they extend to a morphism of $\mathcal{B} \cap \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\delta)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}} \cap \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\delta)$ -representations in the sense that for any affinoid ring (S, S^+) , the extension of scalars to S^+ of the maps ι and ev_{w_0} commutes with the action of $(\mathcal{B} \cap \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\delta))(S, S^+)$ and $(\overline{\mathcal{B}} \cap \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\delta))(S, S^+)$ respectively. Denote $Z_w := \mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon, \delta) w \mathrm{Iw}_n$, the quotient maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} \cap \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\delta) \backslash (Z_1 \times \chi R^+) &\rightarrow \mathcal{B} \cap \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\delta) \backslash (Z_1 \times A_\chi^{\delta, +}) \\ (\mathcal{B} \cap w_0 \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\delta) w_0^{-1}) \backslash (Z_{w_0} \times A_\chi^{\delta, +}) &\rightarrow (\mathcal{B} \cap w_0 \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\delta) w_0^{-1}) \backslash (Z_{w_0} \times w_0(\chi) R^+) \end{aligned}$$

induce maps $\mathcal{L}(w_0(\chi)) \rightarrow A_\chi^{\delta, +} |_{\mathrm{an}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}^+$ and $A_\chi^{\delta, +} |_{\mathrm{an}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^+(\chi)$ over the analytic site of $U_1(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$ and $U_{w_0}(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$ respectively. Their p -adic completions in the pro-étale site give the maps of the proposition. \square

Second proof. Let us provide a slightly different proof whose ideas are used in the forthcoming sections. We assume that χ is δ' -analytic for $\delta \geq \delta'$. We saw in Proposition 3.3.13 that the sheaf $A_\chi^{\delta, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\chi^+$ occurs as the sheaf of $w_0(\chi)$ -equivariant analytic functions of a trivial sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \mathrm{Iw}_n$ -sheaf for the left action of $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} \cap w_0 \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\delta') w_0^{-1}$. In the next we will construct the maps of the abelian sheaves by first constructing maps between analytic spaces over $U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$.

Let $Z_w = \mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon) w \mathrm{Iw}_n$, consider the following map of left $\mathcal{B} \cap w \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\epsilon) w^{-1}$ -spaces

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_w \times (\mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \mathrm{Iw}_n w^{-1}) &\rightarrow Z_w \times (\mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \mathrm{Iw}_n)_0 \\ (x, g) &\mapsto (x, gx) \end{aligned}$$

where the action of $b \in \mathcal{B} \cap w \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\epsilon) w^{-1}$ is $b(x, g) = (bx, gb^{-1})$ in the left hand side, and $b(x, g) = (bx, g)$ in the right hand side¹. By the Iwahori decomposition (Lemma 3.1.1) we know that

$$\mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \mathrm{Iw}_n \cong (T\mathcal{T}(\delta')) \times (\overline{N\mathcal{N}}(\delta)) w_0.$$

Therefore, the map (3.7) is well defined and it is in fact an isomorphism. On the other hand, we have maps of $\mathcal{B} \cap w \widehat{\mathrm{Iw}}_n(\epsilon) w^{-1}$ -equivariant spaces

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{w_0} \times T\mathcal{T}(\delta') &= Z_{w_0} \times N\mathcal{N}(\delta') \backslash B\mathcal{B}(\delta') \subset Z_{w_0} \times (\mathcal{N} \backslash \mathcal{N} \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \mathrm{Iw}_n w_0^{-1}) \\ Z_1 \times (\mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \mathrm{Iw}_n) &\rightarrow Z_1 \times (\mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \mathrm{Iw}_n \mathcal{N}^0 / \mathcal{N}^0) \cong Z_1 \times w_0 T\mathcal{T}(\delta') \end{aligned}$$

¹The zero subscript in the right hand side only means that the action of the Borel is trivial

Composing the previous maps with the isomorphism (3.7) we obtain $\mathcal{B} \cap w \mathcal{I}w_n(\epsilon)w^{-1}$ -equivariant maps

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{w_0} \times T\mathcal{T}(\delta) &\rightarrow Z_{w_0} \times (\mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \mathcal{I}w_n)_0 \\ &\quad (x, t) \mapsto (w_0 x, tx) \\ Z_1 \times (\mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \mathcal{I}w_n)_0 &\rightarrow Z_1 \times w_0 T\mathcal{T}(\delta) \\ (x, w_0 g) &\mapsto (x, w_0 g x^{-1}) = (x, w_0 \bar{n}_{x,g} t_{x,g} n_{x,g}) \mapsto (x, w_0 t_{x,g}), \end{aligned}$$

where $g x^{-1} = \bar{n}_{x,g} t_{x,g} n_{x,g}$ is the Iwahori decomposition of $g x^{-1}$, where the action on $T\mathcal{T}(\delta)$ is $b \cdot t = t t_b^{-1}$ with t_b the image of b in the torus. Dividing by the action of the Borel we obtain maps of $\mathcal{I}w_n(\epsilon)$ -equivariant spaces over $U_w(\epsilon) \mathcal{I}w_n$

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_{w_0}(\epsilon, \delta') \mathcal{I}w_n &\rightarrow U_{w_0}(\epsilon) \mathcal{I}w_n \times \mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \mathcal{I}w_n \\ U_1(\epsilon) \mathcal{I}w_n \times \mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \mathcal{I}w_n &\rightarrow \tilde{U}_1(\epsilon, \delta') \mathcal{I}w_n. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, we have an isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cong \mathcal{B} \backslash (\mathcal{GL}_2 \times \mathcal{T})$ where the action of $b \in \mathcal{B}$ on $(g, t) \in \mathcal{GL}_2 \times \mathcal{T}$ is given by $b(g, t) = (bg, t t_b^{-1})$. Notice that the first map is equivariant for the left multiplication of $T\mathcal{T}(\delta)$ while the second map is w_0 -equivariant. Taking completions in the proétale site of $U_w(\epsilon) \mathcal{I}w_n$, and analytic functions in $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{T\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\delta)}(-, w_0(\chi) \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}})$, one obtains the desired maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+} \hat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^+ &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}^+(\chi) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^+ \text{ over } U_{w_0}(\epsilon) \mathcal{I}w_n \\ \mathcal{L}^+(w_0(\chi)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^+ &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+} \hat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^+ \text{ over } U_1(\epsilon) \mathcal{I}w_n. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be a dominant weight and V_κ the irreducible representation of GL_2 of maximal weight κ . The maximal and minimal weight vector of V_κ induce maps of \mathbf{B} -representations

$$V_\kappa \rightarrow w_0(\kappa) \mathbb{Q}_p \text{ and } \kappa \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow V_\kappa.$$

Passing through the functor (2.6) one gets maps of \mathcal{GL}_2 -equivariant sheaves over \mathcal{F}

$$V_\kappa \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\kappa) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}(w_0(\kappa)) \rightarrow V_\kappa \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Recall that we have integral structures $\mathcal{L}^+(\kappa)$ and $V_\kappa^+ = \Gamma(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}^+(\kappa))$ which are compatible with the previous maps. We have the following corollary

Corollary 3.4.5. *Let $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be a dominant character. There are commutative diagrams of $\widehat{\mathcal{I}w}_n(\delta)$ -equivariant sheaves*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_\kappa^{\delta,+} \hat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^+ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}^+(\kappa) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^+ \\ \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ \underline{V}_\kappa^+ \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^+ & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}^+(w_0(\kappa)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^+ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_\kappa^{\delta,+} \hat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^+ \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & \underline{V}_\kappa^+ \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^+ \end{array}$$

A similar statement holds for $\mathcal{D}_\kappa^{\delta,+}$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the commutativity of the diagrams at the level of $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{I}w_n(\delta)$ -representations for $w = 1$ (resp. as $\bar{\mathcal{B}} \cap \mathcal{I}w_n(\delta)$ -representations for $w = w_0$) which is easy to check. □

Corollary 3.4.6. *Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n$. Let (R, R^+) be an uniform affinoid Tate algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p and $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ' -analytic character. The maps of Proposition 3.4.4 are compatible with the action of Λ^+ for $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}$, and with the action of Λ^- for $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+}$.*

Proof. Assume that the character is δ' -analytic for $\delta \geq \delta'$. It is enough to check that the maps of spaces in (3.8) are compatible with the action of Λ . But this follows from the normalization of the action of Λ in $\Lambda \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and Lemma 3.1.5. □

4. OVERCONVERGENT THEORY OVER THE MODULAR CURVES

The theory of the canonical group is fundamental in the construction of overconvergent modular forms and the perfectoid modular curve. One of the main ideas of the recent work of Boxer-Pilloni in higher Coleman theory [BP20b] is to use the Hodge-Tate period map and the perfectoid Shimura variety to develop an overconvergent theory of automorphic forms. Following their ideas, one can describe the overconvergent modular forms only via Hodge theory and the Hodge-Tate period morphism, not using explicitly the canonical group but in the construction of the perfectoid modular curve.

The goal of this section is to translate the overconvergent (or locally analytic) objects over \mathcal{F} defined in §3 into overconvergent objects over the modular curves via the Hodge-Tate period map. Using this method, we will define the sheaves of overconvergent modular forms of [Pil13] and [AIS14], the overconvergent modular symbols of [AS08], and the overconvergent dlog map of [AIS15] interpolating the Hodge-Tate map $\mathrm{HT}^k : \mathrm{Sym}^k T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \omega_E^k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$. Moreover, we also construct a “dual” of the above map interpolating $\mathrm{HT}^{\vee, k} : \omega^{-k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^k T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$. Later in §5, we will use these maps to construct the interpolations of the Eichler-Shimura decomposition, recovering the “first half” of [AIS15]. We keep the conventions of §2.

4.1. Overconvergent neighbourhoods of the ordinary locus. We start with the definition of the overconvergent of neighbourhoods of the ordinary locus of $X_0(p^n)$. Let $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}} : X(p^\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$ be the Hodge-Tate period map and $\mathrm{pr} : X(p^\infty) \rightarrow X_0(p^n)$ the natural projection map. Let $\overline{X(p^\infty)^{\mathrm{ord}}} \subset X(p^\infty)$ be the closure of the ordinary locus of the perfectoid modular curve, similarly we denote by $\overline{X_0(p^n)^{\mathrm{ord}}}$ the closure of the ordinary locus of $X_0(p^n)$. By [Sch15] we know that $\overline{X(p^\infty)^{\mathrm{ord}}} = \pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. In particular, we can see the topological space $\overline{X_0(p^n)^{\mathrm{ord}}}$ as $\mathrm{pr}(\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}_p)))$

Let $C_n^{\mathrm{can}} \subset E[p^n]$ be the canonical subgroup over $\overline{X_0(p^n)^{\mathrm{ord}}}$ and $w \in W = \{1, w_0\}$. We let $\overline{X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}^{\mathrm{ord}}} \subset \overline{X_0(p^n)^{\mathrm{ord}}}$ denote the w -ordinary locus, i.e. the ordinary locus where C_n^{can} has relative position w with respect to the universal group $H_n \subset E[p^n]$. In other words, $\overline{X_{1, \mathrm{Iw}_n}^{\mathrm{ord}}}$ is the ordinary locus where $C_n^{\mathrm{can}} = H_n$ and $\overline{X_{w_0, \mathrm{Iw}_n}^{\mathrm{ord}}}$ the locus where $C_n^{\mathrm{can}} \cap H_n = 0$. We can also describe the closed subspace $\overline{X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}^{\mathrm{ord}}}$ as $\mathrm{pr}(\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(w \mathrm{Iw}_n))$.

Let $\epsilon \geq n \geq 1$ and $w \in W = \{1, w_0\}$. In §3.1 we have defined overconvergent affinoid neighbourhoods $w \in U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$.

Definition/Proposition 4.1.1. There exists a unique open affinoid subspace $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon) \subset X_0(p^n)$ such that $\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)) = \pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n)$. Moreover, it satisfies the following properties:

- i. $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon') \subset X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$ is a strict immersion for $\epsilon' > \epsilon$.
- ii. $\{X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)\}_\epsilon$ is a basis of strict neighbourhoods of $\overline{X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}^{\mathrm{ord}}}$.

Proof. By Theorem III 3.18 of [Sch15] we know that $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n)$ is an affinoid perfectoid subspace, and that there is some finite level $K_p \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and some open affinoid subset $U \subset X_{K_p}$ whose preimage to $X(p^\infty)$ is $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n)$. As the underlying topological space of the affinoid perfectoid is Iw_n -invariant, we can actually take $K_p = \mathrm{Iw}_n$ and we have $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon) = U$. Let $\epsilon' > \epsilon$. Since $X_0(p^n)$ is proper, to see that $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon') \subset X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$ is a strict immersion it suffices to prove that $\overline{X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon')} \subset X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$. This is a consequence of the construction and the fact that $\overline{U_w(\epsilon') \mathrm{Iw}_n} \subset U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$. Finally, to prove that $\{X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)\}_\epsilon$ is a basis of strict neighbourhoods of $\overline{X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}^{\mathrm{ord}}}$ it is enough to notice that they are quasi-compact open subsets of a qcqs adic space, and that $\bigcap_\epsilon X_w(\epsilon) = \overline{X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}^{\mathrm{ord}}}$. The last equality is a consequence of the equality $w \mathrm{Iw}_n = \bigcap_\epsilon U_w(\epsilon) \mathrm{Iw}_n$ and the description of the ordinary locus of the perfectoid modular curve as $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. \square

We briefly recall some properties of the canonical group in the overconvergent neighbourhoods of the canonical locus, see [Far11] and [Sch15, §III.2].

Theorem 4.1.2. *We let X denote the modular curve $X_0(p^n)$. The following holds*

- (1) *Let $m \geq n$ be an integer. There exists $\epsilon \geq n$ such that $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$ admits a canonical subgroup of order p^m .*
- (2) *Let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_0(p^n)$ be the formal integral modular curve of level $K^p \mathrm{Iw}_n$ over $\mathrm{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p$, cf. [KM85]. Let $\omega_{E, \mathfrak{X}}$ be the modular sheaf over \mathfrak{X} , and $\omega_{E, \mathfrak{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\mathfrak{X}^+$ the induced integral structure of ω_E . The map $\mathrm{HT} : T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \omega_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ restricts to a map*

$$T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ \rightarrow \omega_{E, \mathfrak{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\mathfrak{X}^+$$

with cokernel of p -torsion.

- (3) *Let $m \geq n$ and $\epsilon \geq n$ such that $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$ admits a canonical subgroup of order p^m . There is $0 < r < 1$ such that the restriction of the Hodge-Tate map to $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$ factors through*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ & \longrightarrow & \omega_{E, \mathfrak{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\mathfrak{X}^+ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_m^{\mathrm{can}, \vee} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ / p^{m-r} & \longrightarrow & \omega_{E, \mathfrak{X}} / p^{m-r} \end{array}$$

where $C_m^{\mathrm{can}, \vee}$ is the Cartier dual of the canonical group C_m^{can} .

Proof. Part (1) is of Théorème 6 (1) in [Far11] where there are explicit bounds for ϵ . Parts (2) and (3) follows by Théorème 6 (7) and Théorème 4 of *loc. cit.*. See [AIP15, §3] for more details. \square

The modular curve $Y_0(p^n) \subset X_0(p^n)$ parametrises (E, H_n, ψ_N) where E is an elliptic curve E , ψ_N is some prime-to- p level structure, and $H_n \subset E[p^n]$ is a cyclic subgroup of order p^n . Let $\varpi = \text{diag}(1, p)$, we finish this subsection with the dynamics of the U_p -correspondance of X_n only for the underlying topological space

Definition 4.1.3. Let $X = X_0(p^n)$. The U_p -correspondance of X is the finite flat correspondance C

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & C & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ X & & X \end{array}$$

parametrizing (E, ψ_N, H_n, H') , where $(E, H_n, \psi_N) \in X$ and $H' \subset E[p]$ is a cyclic subgroup of order p such that $H_n \cap H' = 0$. We define $p_1(E, \psi_N, H_n, H') = (E, \psi_N, H_n)$ and $p_2(E, \psi_N, H_n, H') = (E/H', \overline{\psi}_N, \overline{H}_n)$, where $\overline{\psi}_N$ and \overline{H}_n are the images of ψ_N and H_n in the quotient E/H' . Let $\pi : p_1^*E \rightarrow p_2^*E$ be the universal isogeny over C and $\pi^\vee : p_2^*E \rightarrow p_1^*E$ its dual. For a subspace $Z \subset X$ we denote $U_p(Z) = p_1(p_2^{-1}(Z))$ and $U_p^t(Z) = p_2(p_1^{-1}(Z))$.

Lemma 4.1.4. *Let $\epsilon \geq n$, the following holds*

- (1) $U_p^t(X_{1, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)) \subset X_{1, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon + 1)$ and $U_p(X_{1, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)) \supset X_{1, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon - 1)$ if $\epsilon \geq n + 1$.
- (2) $U_p(X_{w_0, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)) \subset X_{w_0, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon + 1)$ and $U_p^t(X_{w_0, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)) \supset X_{w_0, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon - 1)$ if $\epsilon \geq n + 1$.

Proof. Let $X_\infty = X(p^\infty)$ be the perfectoid modular curve parametrising $(E, \psi_N, (e_1, e_2))$ where $(E, \psi_N) \in X(1)$ and (e_1, e_2) is a basis of $T_p E$. Let $C_\infty = X_\infty \times_{X, p_1} C$. The perfectoid curve C_∞ parametrizes $(E, \psi_N, (e_1, e_2), H')$ where $(E, \psi_N, (e_1, e_2)) \in X_\infty$ and $H' \subset E[p^n]$ is a cyclic subgroup of order p such that $\langle \overline{e}_1 \rangle \cap H' = 0 \pmod p$. Write $C_\infty = \bigsqcup_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} C_{\infty, a}$ with $C_{\infty, a}$ the locus where $H' = \langle \overline{e}_2 + a\overline{e}_1 \rangle$. Note that the map $p_1 : C_{\infty, a} \rightarrow X_\infty$ is an isomorphism for all a . We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \bigsqcup_a C_{\infty, a} & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ X_\infty & & X_\infty \end{array}$$

with $p_1(E, \psi_N, (e_1, e_2), H') = (E, \psi_N, (e_1, e_2))$ and $p_2(E, \psi_N, (e_1, e_2), H') = (E/H', \overline{\psi}_N, (\pi(e_1), \tilde{e}_2))$, such that the restriction of p_2 to $C_{\infty, a}$ is given by $\tilde{e}_2 = \frac{1}{p}(\pi(e_2) + a\pi(e_1))$ for $0 \leq a < p$ lifting a . Let $U_{p, a} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$. Composing with the Hodge-Tate period map $\pi_{\text{HT}} : X_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C_{\infty, a} & \\ \pi_{\text{HT}} \circ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_{\text{HT}} \circ p_2 \\ \mathcal{F}\ell & \xleftarrow{U_{p, a}} & \mathcal{F}\ell \end{array}$$

By Lemma 3.1.2 we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & [(\pi_{\text{HT}} \circ p_1)((\pi_{\text{HT}} \circ p_2)^{-1}(U_{w_0}(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n))] \cdot \text{Iw}_n \subset U_{w_0}(\epsilon + 1) \text{Iw}_n \\ & [(\pi_{\text{HT}} \circ p_1)((\pi_{\text{HT}} \circ p_2)^{-1}(U_1(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n))] \cdot \text{Iw}_n \supset U_1(\epsilon - 1) \text{Iw}_n \\ & [(\pi_{\text{HT}} \circ p_2)((\pi_{\text{HT}} \circ p_1)^{-1}(U_{w_0}(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n))] \cdot \text{Iw}_n \supset U_{w_0}(\epsilon - 1) \text{Iw}_n \\ & [(\pi_{\text{HT}} \circ p_2)((\pi_{\text{HT}} \circ p_1)^{-1}(U_1(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n))] \cdot \text{Iw}_n \subset U_1(\epsilon + 1) \text{Iw}_n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, there is a natural map of correspondances

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_\infty & \xleftarrow{p_1} & C_\infty & \xrightarrow{p_2} & X_\infty \\ \text{pr} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr} \\ X & \xleftarrow{p_1} & C & \xrightarrow{p_2} & X \end{array}$$

with both squares being cartesian. The lemma follows from the equations (4.2) and the equality $X_{w, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon) = \text{pr}(\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(U_w(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n))$. \square

Corollary 4.1.5. *Let $\overline{X}_{1, \text{Iw}_n}^{\text{ord}} \subset U$ be an open quasi-compact overconvergent neighbourhood of the 1-ordinary locus of X . Suppose that $\overline{X}_{w_0, \text{Iw}_n}^{\text{ord}} \subset X \setminus U$ is an overconvergent neighbourhood. Then there exists $M \gg 0$ such that $(U_p^t)^M(U) \subset U$ is a strict open immersion. We have a similar behaviour with U_p and exchanging 1 and w_0 .*

Proof. The affinoids $X_{w, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$ form a basis of overconvergent neighbourhoods of $\overline{X}_{w, \text{Iw}_n}^{\text{ord}}$. Hence, there is $\epsilon > n$ such that $X_{w_0, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon) \subset X \setminus U$ and $X_{1, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon) \subset U$. It is enough to show that there exists $M \gg 0$ such that $X \setminus (U_p^t)^M(X_{w_0, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)) \subset X_{1, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon + 1)$. Working over the perfectoid modular curve and the perfectoid finite flat correspondance of the previous lemma, the question is reduced to show that there exists $M \gg 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}\ell \setminus \left(U_{w_0}(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n \begin{pmatrix} p^M & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \subset U_1(\epsilon + 1) \text{Iw}_n.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the isomorphism mapping a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ to $(c : d)$. Then $U_{w_0}(\epsilon)Iw_n$ contains the locus $\{(x : y) \mid |y/x| \leq |p|^\epsilon\}$. We get

$$\{(x : y) \mid |y/x| \leq |p|^\epsilon\} \begin{pmatrix} p^M & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \{(x : y) \mid |y/x| \leq |p|^{\epsilon-M}\},$$

its complement is the locus $\{(x : y) \mid |x/y| < |p|^{M-\epsilon}\}$, taking $M > 2\epsilon + 1$ we have the desired result. \square

4.2. The sheaf of overconvergent modular forms. The goal of this subsection is to define the sheaf of overconvergent modular forms at the overconvergent neighbourhoods of the w -ordinary locus of $X_0(p^n)$. Given an uniform affinoid Tate algebra (R, R^+) and a δ -analytic character $\chi : T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ we construct (for $\epsilon \gg 0$) a $R \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{X_{Iw_n}(\epsilon), \text{an}}$ -line bundle ω_E^X over $X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon)$ interpolating the classical modular sheaves ω_E^κ for $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$. To achieve this goal we first construct an overconvergent torsor of modular forms $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta)$ whose $-w_0(\chi)$ -equivariant sections give the line bundle ω_E^X , cf. Definition 4.2.8. The torsor $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta)$ is essentially the image of $\Upsilon(\widehat{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta)) := \pi_{\text{HT}}^*(\widehat{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta))/Iw_n$ (see Construction 2.2.2) from the pro-Kummer-étale site of $X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon)$ to its étale site, cf. Proposition 4.2.7. As a consequence we will prove that the sheaves over $X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon)_{\text{prokét}}$ arising from $\mathcal{L}(\chi)$ via the functor Υ of §2.2 are written in terms of ω_E^X and Tate twists, cf. Proposition 4.2.9.

Let $\mathcal{F}\ell$ be the flag variety of GL_2 , $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}\ell}^0 = \mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{GL}_2^0$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}\ell} = \mathcal{N} \backslash \mathcal{GL}_2$ the natural \mathcal{T}^0 and \mathcal{T} torsors over $\mathcal{F}\ell$. We see both torsors as \mathcal{GL}_2^0 (resp. \mathcal{GL}_2)-equivariant sheaves in the étale site of $\mathcal{F}\ell$ as usual. We denote in the same way their extension to the proétale site and by $\widehat{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}\ell}}^0$ and $\widehat{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}\ell}}$ their completions, see Definition 3.0.1. Let $f : \widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell$ be the projection map and $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ an algebraic weight. By definition we have $\mathcal{L}^+(\kappa) = f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}^0}^+[-w_0(\kappa)])$ with respect to the left regular action of \mathcal{T}^0 . In other words, we have isomorphisms of torsors

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}^0 &= \underline{\text{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}^0}^+, \mathcal{L}^+(0, 1)) \times \underline{\text{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}^0}^+, \mathcal{L}^+(1, 0)). \\ \widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell} &= \underline{\text{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}}, \mathcal{L}(0, 1)) \times \underline{\text{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}}, \mathcal{L}(1, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $K_p \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be an open subgroup and X_{K_p} the modular curve of level $K^p K_p$.

Definition 4.2.1. We define the modular \mathcal{T} -torsor over the analytic site of X_{K_p} as

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}, \text{an}} := \underline{\text{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_E^{-1}) \times \underline{\text{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_E)$$

whose left \mathcal{T} -action is induced by the actions of \mathbb{G}_m over ω_E^{-1} and ω_E . The torsor has a natural enhancement to a torsor over the Kummer-étale site of X_{K_p} denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}, \text{két}}$. We see the torsor as a sheaf \mathcal{T}_{mod} in the pro-Kummer-étale site by taking the inverse image of $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}, \text{két}}$ via the projection map $X_{K_p, \text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{K_p, \text{két}}$.

We let $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(1, 0)$ denote the Tate twist

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(1, 0) = \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p(1)^\times & 0 \\ 0 & \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p(1)^\times = \underline{\text{Isom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p(1))$.

Proposition 4.2.2 ([CS17, Prop. 2.3.9]). *Let $X = X_{K_p}$. There is a Galois-equivariant isomorphism of $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ -torsors*

$$\Upsilon(\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}) = \widehat{\mathcal{T}}(1, 0) \times^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}.$$

Proof. Let St be the standard representation of GL_2 and consider the short exact sequence of GL_2 -equivariant sheaves over $\mathcal{F}\ell$ (Example 2.2.4)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(0, 1) \rightarrow \text{St} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(1, 0) \rightarrow 0.$$

We have $\Upsilon(\mathcal{L}(0, 1)) = \omega_E^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1)$ and $\Upsilon(\mathcal{L}(1, 0)) = \omega_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$. The proposition follows from the equation (4.3) and the definition of \mathcal{T}_{mod} . \square

In order to construct overconvergent modular sheaves we have to find refinements of the torsor $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}, \text{an}}$. It turns out that the torsor \mathcal{T}_{mod} admits an integral reduction locally étale on $X(p^n)$ as is shown in the following theorem

Theorem 4.2.3 ([BP20b, §4.6]). *There exists an étale $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}, \text{ét}}^0$ -torsor $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}, \text{ét}}^0$ over $X = X_{K_p}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}} = \mathcal{T} \times^{\mathcal{T}_{\text{ét}}^0} \mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}, \text{ét}}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Upsilon(\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}^0) = \widehat{\mathcal{T}}(1, 0) \times^{\mathcal{T}_{\text{ét}}^0} \mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}, \text{ét}}^0.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}, \text{két}}^0$ its pullback to a torsor over the Kummer-étale site and by $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}^0$ the inverse image of $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}, \text{két}}^0$ to the pro-Kummer-étale site of X_{K_p} .

Remark 4.2.4. The existence of the integral torsor holds in greater generality when the reductive group G is quasi-split over \mathbb{Q}_p . We will sketch the original construction involving the canonical subgroup, see [AIP15] for more details.

Before proving Theorem 4.2.3 we need to define some sheaves over the pro-Kummer-étale site of the modular curve.

Definition 4.2.5. Let $X = X_{K_p}$ be the modular curve as above. Let $\text{HT} : T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \omega_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ be the Hodge-Tate period map. We define the integral modular sheaves over $X_{\text{prokét}}$ as

$$\widehat{\omega}_E^+ := \text{HT}(T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+) \text{ and } \omega_E^+ := \omega_E \cap \widehat{\omega}_E^+.$$

Proposition 4.2.6. (1) *The sheaf ω_E^+ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X,\text{ét}}^+$ -module.*

(2) *We have $\omega_E^+[\frac{1}{p}] = \omega_E$ and $\omega_E^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_E^+ = \widehat{\omega}_E^+$ over $X_{\text{prokét}}$. In particular, $\omega_E^+/p^s = \widehat{\omega}_E^+/p^s$ for all $s > 0$.*

Proof. Let D denote the cusp divisor. By Theorem 4.1.2 the Hodge-Tate map restricts to a map

$$\text{HT} : T_p E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^+ \rightarrow \omega_{E,x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_x} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$$

with torsion cokernel. In particular, by Nakayama's lemma one shows that the restriction of ω_E^+ to $X \times_{X(1)} (X(Np) \setminus D)$ is locally free for the analytic topology. It remains to show that it is locally free for the étale topology around the cusps. Let $D^{ac} \subset D \subset X_1(p)$ be the locus of the cusps where the universal group is not canonical. Then $X_1(p) \setminus D^{ac}$ is étale surjective over $X(1)$ and by Nakayama's lemma the restriction of ω_E^+ to $X \times_{X(1)} (X_1(p) \setminus D^{ac})$ is locally free around the cusps, this proves (1). Part (2) is clear because $\omega_E^+ \subset \omega_{x,E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_x} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$ has torsion cokernel and $\omega_E^+ \subset \widehat{\omega}_E^+$ is dense when restricted to the perfectoid modular curve. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2.3. Define the \mathcal{T}^0 -torsor over $X_{\text{prokét}}$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}^0 = \underline{\text{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_X^+, \omega_E^{+,-1}) \times \underline{\text{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_X^+, \omega_E^+).$$

By Proposition 4.2.6 the torsor $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}^0$ is trivial locally for the étale topology of X . Moreover, since $\omega_E^+[\frac{1}{p}] = \omega_E$ we have $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}} = \mathcal{T} \times^{\mathcal{T}^0} \mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}^0$. On the other hand, by Example 2.2.4 we have

$$\Upsilon(\mathcal{L}^+(1, 0)) = \widehat{\omega}_E^+ \text{ and } \Upsilon(\mathcal{L}^+(0, 1)) = \widehat{\omega}_E^+(1).$$

By the equation (4.3) one obtains the isomorphism of torsors

$$\Upsilon(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^0) = \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^0(1, 0) \times^{\mathcal{T}^0} \mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}^0.$$

\square

We do not expect that the torsor $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}^0$ can be refined to a smaller torsor over the whole modular curve. This property already makes an appear over the flag variety where to find reductions of the torsor $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^0$ over \mathcal{F} we need to restrict ourselves to affinoid subspaces admitting a section to $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{L}_2^0$. The strategy of constructing objects over the modular curves via pullbacks from the flag variety carries us to the following definition

Definition/Proposition 4.2.7. Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n \geq 1$ and $w \in W = \{1, w_0\}$. Consider the trivial $T\mathcal{T}(\delta)$ -torsor of §3.1

$$\widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n \rightarrow U_w(\epsilon)$$

and its completion to an $\underline{T}\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\delta)$ -torsor

$$\widehat{\widetilde{U}}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n := \underline{T}\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\delta) \times^{T\mathcal{T}(\delta)} \widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n.$$

The restriction of $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}^0$ to $X_{w, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$ admits a reduction to an étale $T\mathcal{T}(\delta)$ -torsor $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta)$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \Upsilon(\widehat{\widetilde{U}}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n) = \underline{T}\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\delta)(1, 0) \times^{T\mathcal{T}(\delta)} \mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta),$$

where $\underline{T}\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\delta)(1, 0)$ is the Tate twist of $\underline{T}\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\delta)$ by $\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p(1)^\times & 0 \\ 0 & \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. Similarly as for the torsor $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}^0$, one can construct $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta)$ by a pro-finite-étale descent argument on topological spaces, cf. [BP20b]. We provide the more classical construction involving the canonical subgroup, see [AIS14] and [AIP15] for example.

Let $m \geq \delta + 2$ be an integer, by Theorem 4.1.2 there exists $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n$ such that $X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon)$ admits a canonical subgroup of order p^m . The same theorem says that we have a factorisation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} & \omega_{E, \mathfrak{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_m^{\text{can}, \vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}/p^{\delta+1} & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} & \omega_{\mathfrak{X}}/p^{\delta+1}. \end{array}$$

Define the étale sheaf

$$\omega_E^\times(\delta) := \{v \in \omega_{E, \mathfrak{X}} \mid \bar{v} \in \text{HT}(C_m^{\text{can}, \vee}) \pmod{p^\delta}\}.$$

By Proposition 4.2.6 $\omega_E^\times(\delta)$ is an étale $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^\delta \mathbb{G}_a^+)$ -torsor over $X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon)$. Let

$$\omega_E^{\times, -1} = \underline{\text{Isom}}(\omega_E^\times(\delta), \mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^\delta \mathbb{G}_a^+))$$

be the dual torsor. We define the $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}(\delta)$ -torsor

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta) := \omega_E^{\times, -1}(\delta) \times \omega_E^\times(\delta).$$

Then $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta)$ is an étale $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}(\delta)$ -torsor which by construction satisfies $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}^0 = \mathcal{T}^0 \times^{T\mathcal{T}(\delta)} \mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta)$. Finally, since $\omega_E^+/p^\delta = \widehat{\omega}_E^+/p^\delta$ and the pullback of

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^+(0, 1) \rightarrow \text{St} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^+(1, 0) \rightarrow 0$$

via $\Upsilon = \pi_{\text{HT}}^*(-)/Iw_n$ is equal to

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\omega}_E^{+, -1}(1) \rightarrow T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ \rightarrow \widehat{\omega}_E^+ \rightarrow 0$$

one deduces

$$\Upsilon(\widehat{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) Iw_n) = \underline{\mathcal{T}}\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\delta)(1, 0) \times^{T\mathcal{T}(\delta)} \mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta).$$

□

Definition 4.2.8. Let (R, R^+) be a uniform affinoid Tate \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra, and $\chi : T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ -analytic character. We define the overconvergent modular sheaf of weight χ over $X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon)_{\text{ét}}$ as the space of equivariant functions

$$\omega_{E, \text{ét}}^{+, \chi} := \underline{\text{Hom}}_{T\mathcal{T}(\delta)}(\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta), w_0(\chi) R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, \text{ét}}^+}^+), \quad \omega_{E, \text{ét}}^\times = \omega_{E, \text{ét}}^{+, \chi} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right].$$

We denote by $\omega_E^{+, \chi}$ and ω_E^\times the extension of $\omega_{E, \text{ét}}^{+, \chi}$ and $\omega_{E, \text{ét}}^\times$ to the pro-Kummer-étale site as \mathcal{O}_X^+ and \mathcal{O}_X -modules respectively. We also let $R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X^+}^+$ be the inverse image of $R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, \text{két}}^+}^+$ to the pro-Kummer-étale site. Note that $R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X^+}^+ \neq R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X^+}^+$. Indeed, if $U = \varprojlim_i U_i$ is an affinoid perfectoid with pro-Kummer-étale presentation then

$$(R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X^+}^+)(U) = \varprojlim_i R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X^+}^+(U_i) \text{ and } (R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X^+}^+)(U) = R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X^+}^+(U).$$

Proposition 4.2.9. Let (R, R^+) and δ be as in Definition 4.2.8, write $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2)$. Let $\chi_{\text{cyc}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be the cyclotomic character and $\chi_2 \circ \chi_{\text{cyc}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ its composition with χ_2 . We set $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+(\chi_2) := R^+(\chi_2 \circ \chi_{\text{cyc}}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X^+}^+$. There is a Galois equivariant isomorphism of sheaves over $X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon)_{\text{prokét}}$

$$\omega_E^{+, \chi} \otimes_{R^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X^+}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+(\chi_2) = \Upsilon(\mathcal{L}^+(\chi)).$$

Proof. This follows from (4.4) of Proposition 4.2.7, see Definition 3.2.4. □

We can finally define the overconvergent modular forms and the overconvergent cohomology classes appearing in Coleman and Higher Coleman theory. We refer to [Urb11] for the notion of perfect Banach complexes and compact operators of perfect Banach complexes. See [Sta20, Tag 0A39] for the definition of cohomology with supports in a closed subspace.

Definition 4.2.10. Let (R, R^+) be a uniform Tate \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ -analytic character. Let $w \in W = \{1, w_0\}$.

- (1) The overconvergent modular forms of weight χ over $X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon)$ is the cohomology complex

$$R\Gamma_w(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\times)_\epsilon := R\Gamma_{\text{an}}(X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon), \omega_E^\times).$$

We denote $H_w^0(X_0(N, p^n), \omega_E^\times)_\epsilon := H^0(X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon), \omega_E^\times)$.

- (2) Let $X_{w, Iw_n}(> \epsilon) = \bigcup_{\epsilon' > \epsilon} X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon')$. We define the overconvergent cohomology with supports to be the complex

$$R\Gamma_{w, c}(X_0(N, p^n), \omega_E^\times)_\epsilon := R\Gamma_{\text{an}, \overline{X_{w, Iw_n}(> \epsilon+1)}}(X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon), \omega_E^\times).$$

We denote $H_{w, c}^1(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\times)_\epsilon = H^1_{\text{an}, \overline{X_{w, Iw_n}(> \epsilon+1)}}(X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon), \omega_E^\times)$.

Remark 4.2.11. We can define the previous cohomologies for any complex in the analytic site of $X_0(p^n)$.

- Proposition 4.2.12.** (1) *The restriction of ω_E^χ to the analytic site of $X_{w, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$ is an ON Banach sheaf attached to their global sections.*
- (2) *The cohomology complexes $R\Gamma_w(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon$ and $R\Gamma_{w,c}(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon$ are represented by perfect Banach complexes of length $[0, 1]$. Moreover, we have quasi-isomorphisms*

$$H_w^0(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon[0] \simeq R\Gamma_w(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon \text{ and } H_{w,c}^1(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon[-1] \simeq R\Gamma_{w,c}(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon.$$

Proof. Part (2) is a consequence of part (1) as is shown in [BP20b, Lem. 5.2]. For part (1) we can assume that the character χ is the universal character of an open affinoid \mathcal{V} of the weight space of $T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then, one uses the fact that $\omega_E^{+, \chi}$ is trivial locally étale over $U_w(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n$ and the main result of [BG98] to prove that ω_E^χ can be seen as a line bundle over $(U_w(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n) \times \mathcal{V}$, the proposition follows. \square

4.2.1. Classical Hecke operators. We end this section with the definition of the U_p -operators for the overconvergent modular forms. First, let us recall the definition for the classical modular sheaves. Let $X = X_0(p^n)$ and $X \xleftarrow{p_1} C \xrightarrow{p_2} X$ the U_p -correspondance. We let $\pi : p_1^*E \rightarrow p_2^*E$ be the universal isogeny over C and $\pi^\vee : p_2^*E \rightarrow p_1^*E$ its dual. We denote by $\pi^* : p_2^*\omega_E \rightarrow p_1^*\omega_E$ and $\pi_* : p_1^*\omega_E^{-1} \rightarrow p_2^*\omega_E^{-1}$ the pullback and pushforward maps of π (resp. for π^\vee). For a quasicoherent sheaf \mathcal{F} over X we let $\text{Tr}_{p_i} : p_{i,*}p_i^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the trace map of p_i . Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be a character of \mathbf{T} , recall that we have made the convention $\omega_E^\kappa = \omega_E^{k_1} \otimes \omega_E^{-k_2} = \omega_E^{k_1 - k_2}$.

Definition 4.2.13. The Hecke operator $U_{p,\kappa}$ acting over $R\Gamma(X, \omega_E^\kappa)$ is the composition

$$R\Gamma(X, \omega_E^\kappa) \xrightarrow{p_2^*} R\Gamma(C, p_2^*\omega_E^\kappa) \xrightarrow{(\pi^{\vee,*,-1})^{\otimes k_1} \otimes (\pi_*^{-1})^{\otimes k_2}} R\Gamma(C, p_1^*\omega_E^\kappa) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{p_1}} R\Gamma(X, \omega_E^\kappa).$$

We define the $U_{p,\kappa}^t$ operator shifting the roles of p_1 and p_2 , and composing with the map $(\pi^{\vee,*})^{\otimes k_1} \otimes (\pi_*)^{\otimes k_2}$.

Remark 4.2.14. The $U_{p,\kappa}$ above is equal to the operator $p^{-k_1}U_{p,k_1-k_2}^{\text{naive}}$ of [BP20b]. Indeed, $(\pi^{\vee,*,-1})^{\otimes k_1} = p^{-k_1}(\pi^*)^{\otimes k_1}$ and $(\pi_*^{-1})^{\otimes k_2} = (\pi^*)^{\otimes -k_2}$. In other words, $U_{p,\kappa}^{\text{naive}} = U_{p,(0,-k)}$.

Let us justify this definition of the Hecke operators, they are normalized by the $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariance of $\pi_{\text{HT}} : X(p^\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Let E be the universal elliptic curve and E^\vee its dual, in the next discussion we will not identify both elliptic curves. The Hodge-Tate exact sequence of E has the form

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_E^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \xrightarrow{\text{HT}^\vee} T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} \omega_{E^\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow 0,$$

and if $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ is an algebraic weight, we set $\omega_E^\kappa = \omega_{E^\vee}^{k_1} \otimes \omega_E^{-k_2}$.

Let $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \rightarrow T_p E$ be the universal trivialization over $X(p^\infty)$ and $V_p E = T_p E[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let $g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, the action of g on $X_0(N, p^\infty)$ is given by right composition $\psi \mapsto \psi \circ g$. Let g_*E be the image of the universal elliptic curve by the action of g . Then, there exists a unique quasi-isogeny $\pi : E \dashrightarrow g_*E$ making the following diagram commutative

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q}_p^2 & \xrightarrow{g_*\psi} & V_p g_*E \\ g \uparrow & & \uparrow V_p \pi \\ \mathbb{Q}_p^2 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & V_p E. \end{array}$$

Let $\pi^\vee : g_*E^\vee \dashrightarrow E^\vee$ be the dual of π . We have a commutative square of Hodge-Tate exact sequences

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega_E^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}^\vee} & V_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} & \omega_{E^\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \pi_* & & \downarrow V_p \pi & & \downarrow \pi^{\vee,*} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega_{g_*E}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}^\vee} & V_p g_*E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} & \omega_{g_*E^\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

similarly for π^\vee . Let St be the left standard representation of GL_2 , recall from §2.2 that we have a right GL_2 -equivariant exact sequence of sheaves over \mathcal{H}

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(0, 1) \rightarrow \text{St} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(1, 0) \rightarrow 0,$$

whose pullback via π_{HT} is the upper row of (4.6). The action of $g : \text{St} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}} \rightarrow g_*(\text{St} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}}) = \text{St} \otimes_{g_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}}$ is given by left multiplication on St and the usual action over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Thus, the action of g over the Hodge-Tate exact sequence translates in the diagram (4.6). In particular, the action of g over ω_{E^\vee} and ω_E^{-1} is given by $\pi^{\vee,*}$ and π_* respectively.

In the situation of Definition 4.2.13, we can work at perfectoid level with the correspondance C_∞ of Lemma 4.1.4. There are maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q}_p^2 & \longrightarrow & V_p p_2^* E \\ U_{p,a} \uparrow & & \uparrow V_p \pi \\ \mathbb{Q}_p^2 & \longrightarrow & V_p p_1^* E \end{array}$$

with $U_{p,a} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ and $0 \leq a < p$. Thus, the action of U_p^t is given by the double coset $[Iw_n \varpi Iw_n]$ and is induced by $(\pi^{\vee,*})^{\otimes k_1} \otimes (\pi_*)^{\otimes k_2} : p_1^* \omega_E^k \rightarrow p_2^* \omega_E^k$. Dually, the action of U_p is defined using the quasi-isogeny π^{-1} , equivalently it is given by the double coset $[Iw_n \varpi^{-1} Iw_n]$, and induced by $(\pi^{\vee,*,-1})^{\otimes k_1} \otimes (\pi_*^{-1})^{\otimes k_2} : p_2^* \omega_E^k \rightarrow p_1^* \omega_E^k$.

Remark 4.2.15. We highlight that to define the Hecke action over the modular sheaves we have to fix a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ action at infinite level, this action arises naturally from the pullback of a GL_2 -equivariant sheaf over the flag variety. In particular, ω_E^k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be endowed with different Hecke actions, all of them differing by a power of p , the choice of an isomorphism $\omega_E^k \cong \omega_E^{(k_1, k_2)}$ with $k_1 - k_2 = k$ fixes such an action.

Before defining the U_p -operators for overconvergent modular forms let us show how the operators $U_{p,\kappa}$ are described using the torsor $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{mod}} = \underline{\mathrm{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_E^{-1}) \times \underline{\mathrm{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_E)$. By Proposition 4.2.2 we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(1, 0) \times^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{mod}} = \pi_{HT}^*(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}\ell)$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{F}}\ell = \underline{\mathrm{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}, \mathcal{L}(0, 1)) \times \underline{\mathrm{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}, \mathcal{L}(1, 0)).$$

Let $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, from diagram (4.5) one deduces that the action of g on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{mod}}$ is given by

$$\pi_* \times \pi^{\vee,*} : \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{mod}} \rightarrow g_* \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{mod}}.$$

Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be an algebraic weight, taking $w_0(\kappa)$ -equivariant functions in (4.7) and then duals one recovers the map $(\pi^{\vee,*})^{\otimes k_1} \otimes (\pi_*)^{\otimes k_2} : \omega_E^k \rightarrow g_* \omega_E^k$.

4.2.2. Overconvergent Hecke operators. Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n$. By Lemma 4.1.4 the U_p -correspondance restricts to the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & p_1^{-1}(X_{1, Iw_n}(\epsilon)) & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ X_{1, Iw_n}(\epsilon) & & X_{1, Iw_n}(\epsilon + 1) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & p_2^{-1}(X_{w_0, Iw_n}(\epsilon)) & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ X_{w_0, Iw_n}(\epsilon + 1) & & X_{w_0, Iw_n}(\epsilon). \end{array}$$

Moreover, we have the inclusions $p_1(p_2^{-1}(X_{1, Iw_n}(\epsilon))) \supset X_{1, Iw_n}(\epsilon - 1)$ and $p_2(p_1^{-1}(X_{w_0, Iw_n}(\epsilon))) \supset X_{w_0, Iw_n}(\epsilon - 1)$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{mod}}(\delta)$ be the $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}(\delta)$ -torsor of overconvergent modular forms (see Definition 4.2.7), and $\widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) Iw_n$ the $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}(\delta)$ -torsor over $U_w(\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{F}\ell$ (see Definition 3.1.3). We have proven in Lemma 3.1.5 that

$$\widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) = \mathcal{N}\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{N}\Lambda \mathcal{GL}_2(\epsilon, \delta) w_0 Iw_n \subset \Lambda \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{F}}\ell.$$

Definition/Proposition 4.2.16. There are well defined maps of torsors

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \pi_*^{\vee} \times \pi^* : p_2^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{mod}}(\delta)) &\rightarrow p_1^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{mod}}(\delta)) \text{ over } p_1^{-1}(X_{1, Iw_n}(\epsilon)) \\ \pi_*^{\vee} \times \frac{1}{p} \pi^* : p_2^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{mod}}(\delta)) &\rightarrow p_1^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{mod}}(\delta)) \text{ over } p_2^{-1}(X_{w_0, Iw_n}(\epsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

Let (R, R^+) be a uniform Tate \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ -analytic character. Taking $w_0(\chi)$ -equivariant functions with respect to the above maps, and their duals, we obtain maps of overconvergent modular forms

$$\pi_{-\chi}^{\vee} : p_1^*(\omega_E^{-\chi,+}) \rightarrow p_2^*(\omega_E^{-\chi,+}) \text{ and } \pi_{\chi} : p_2^*(\omega_E^{\chi,+}) \rightarrow p_1^*(\omega_E^{\chi,+}).$$

(1) We define the U_p -operator over $R\Gamma_1(X_0(N, p^n, \cdot), \omega_E^{\chi})_{\epsilon}$ to be the composition

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_1(X_0(N, p^n, \cdot), \omega_E^{\chi})_{\epsilon} &= R\Gamma(X_{1, Iw_n}(\epsilon), \omega_E^{\chi}) \xrightarrow{p_2^*} R\Gamma(p_2^{-1}(X_{1, Iw_n}), p_2^* \omega_E^{\chi}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{-\chi}} R\Gamma(p_2^{-1}(X_{1, Iw_n}), p_1^* \omega_E^{\chi}) \rightarrow \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_{p_1}} R\Gamma(p_1(p_2^{-1}(X_{1, Iw_n}(\epsilon))), \omega_E^{\chi}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} R\Gamma(X_{1, Iw_n}(\epsilon - 1), \omega_E^{\chi}) = R\Gamma_1(X_0(N, p^n, \cdot), \omega_E^{\chi})_{\epsilon-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We define in a similar way the operator $U_p^t : R\Gamma_{w_0}(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^{\chi,+})_{\epsilon} \rightarrow R\Gamma_{w_0}(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^{\chi,+})_{\epsilon-1}$ switching the roles of p_1 and p_2 , and using π_{χ}^{\vee} instead of π_{χ} .

(2) We define the U_p -operator over $R_{w_0,c}\Gamma(X_0(N,p^n),\omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon$ to be the composition

$$\begin{aligned} R_{w_0,c}\Gamma(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^{\chi,+})_\epsilon &= R\Gamma_{\overline{X_{w_0,Iw_n}(>\epsilon+1)}}(X_{w_0,Iw_n}(\epsilon),\omega_E^\chi) \xrightarrow{p_2^*} R\Gamma_{p_2^{-1}(\overline{X_{w_0,Iw_n}(>\epsilon+1)})}(p_2^{-1}(X_{w_0,Iw_n}(\epsilon)),p_2^*\omega_E^\chi) \rightarrow \\ &\xrightarrow{\pi_\chi} R\Gamma_{p_2^{-1}(\overline{X_{w_0,Iw_n}(>\epsilon+1)})}(p_2^{-1}(X_{w_0,Iw_n}(\epsilon)),p_1^*\omega_E^\chi) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{p_1}} R\Gamma_{p_1(p_2^{-1}(\overline{X_{w_0,Iw_n}(>\epsilon+1)))}(p_1(p_2^{-1}(X_{w_0,Iw_n}(\epsilon))),\omega_E^\chi) \rightarrow \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{Cor}} R\Gamma_{\overline{X_{w_0}(>\epsilon+2)}}(X_{w_0,Iw_n}(\epsilon),\omega_E^\chi) = R\Gamma_{w_0,c}(X_0(N,p^n),\omega_E^\chi)_{\epsilon+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We define in a similar way the operator $U_p^t : R\Gamma_{1,c}(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon \rightarrow R\Gamma_{1,c}(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\chi)_{\epsilon+1}$ switching the roles of p_1 and p_2 , and using π_χ^\vee instead of π_χ .

Remark 4.2.17. In the definition of the Hecke operators we always consider analytic cohomology, i.e. cohomology in the analytic site of X .

Proof. By the discussion before Remark 4.2.15 and Proposition 4.2.7, one reduces the problem to translating the action of $U_{p,a} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ over $\tilde{U}_w(\epsilon,\delta)Iw_n$ to $\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta)$ via π_{HT} . Indeed, the Hecke operators for modular forms are constructed via the $w_0(\chi)$ -equivariant functions of a Hecke correspondence between the torsors. Let $c = \text{diag}(p,p)$, $\varpi = \text{diag}(1,p)$ and $\Lambda = \langle c^{\pm 1}, \varpi^{\pm 1} \rangle \subset \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$. Let us recall that $\underline{\mathcal{T}}(\delta)(-1,0) \times^{T\mathcal{T}(\delta)} \mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta) = \Upsilon(\tilde{U}_w(\epsilon,\delta)Iw_n)$ and that

$$\tilde{U}_w(\epsilon,\delta)Iw_n \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}\Lambda \backslash (\mathcal{N}) \times (\Lambda T\mathcal{T}(\delta)) \times (\overline{N_n N}(\epsilon)) & \text{if } w = 1 \\ \mathcal{N}\Lambda \backslash (\mathcal{N}) \times (\Lambda T\mathcal{T}(\delta)) \times (\overline{N N}(\epsilon))_{w_0} & \text{if } w = w_0, \end{cases}$$

Then, the normalized action of $U_{p,a}$ on the right of $\tilde{U}_w(\epsilon,\delta)Iw_n$ is given by

$$x \cdot U_{p,a} = w\varpi^{-1}w^{-1}xU_{p,a}$$

when seen as a subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{N} \backslash \mathcal{GL}_2$.

As $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \underline{\text{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{L}(0,1)) \times \underline{\text{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{L}(1,0))$, by the equation (4.4) and the diagram (4.5) the normalized action of $U_{p,a}$ pullbacks via π_{HT} to isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_* : p_1^*\omega_E^{-1} &\rightarrow p_2^*\omega_E^{-1} \text{ and } \frac{1}{p}\pi^{\vee,*} : p_1^*\omega_E \rightarrow p_2^*\omega_E \text{ if } w = 1 \\ \frac{1}{p}\pi_* : p_1^*\omega_E^{-1} &\rightarrow p_2^*\omega_E^{-1} \text{ and } \pi^{\vee,*} : p_1^*\omega_E \rightarrow p_2^*\omega_E \text{ if } w = w_0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the inverses one obtains the desired isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p}\pi_*^\vee \times \pi^* : p_2^*(\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta)) &\rightarrow p_1^*(\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta)) \text{ if } w = 1 \\ \pi_*^\vee \times \frac{1}{p}\pi^* : p_2^*(\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta)) &\rightarrow p_1^*(\mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta)) \text{ if } w = w_0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2.18. *The U_p -operators acting on overconvergent modular forms and overconvergent cohomology classes are compact operators of Banach complexes.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the restriction and correstriction maps

$$R\Gamma_w(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\chi)_{\epsilon+1} \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} R\Gamma_w(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon \text{ and } R\Gamma_{w,c}(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\text{Cor}} R\Gamma_{w,c}(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\chi)_{\epsilon+1}$$

are compact maps of Banach complexes. □

Corollary 4.2.19. *The finite slope part of $H_w^0(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon$ (resp. of $H_{w,c}^1(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon$) with respect to the U_p -operators is independent of ϵ .*

We end this section with some bounds for the finite slope cohomology.

Lemma 4.2.20 ([BP20b, Lemma 5.3]). *Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be a character.*

- (1) *The $U_{p,\kappa}$ operator has slopes $\geq 1 - k_1$ on $R\Gamma_1(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon$ and slopes $\geq -k_2$ on $R\Gamma_{w_0,c}(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon$.*
- (2) *The $U_{p,\kappa}^t$ operator has slopes $\geq -k_2$ on $R\Gamma_{1,c}(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon$ and slopes $\geq 1 - k_1$ on $R\Gamma_{w_0}(X_0(p^n),\omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon$.*

Proof. It follows from the bounds of *loc. cit.*, Remark 4.2.14, and the fact that U_p^t is the dual of U_p . □

Definition 4.2.21. Let (R, R^+) be a uniform Tate \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ -analytic character. Let $\kappa \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$. We define normalizations of U_p and U_p^t

$$U_p^{good} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p}U_{p,\chi} & \text{over } R\Gamma_1(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon, \\ U_{p,\chi} & \text{over } R\Gamma_{w_0,c}(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon, \\ p^{-\min\{1-k_1, -k_2\}}U_{p,\kappa} & \text{over } R\Gamma(X_0(p^n), \omega^k) \end{cases}$$

$$U_p^{t,good} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p}U_{p,\chi}^t & \text{over } R\Gamma_{w_0}(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon, \\ U_{p,\chi}^t & \text{over } R\Gamma_{1,c}(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon \\ p^{-\min\{1-k_1, -k_2\}}U_{p,\kappa}^t & \text{over } R\Gamma(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^k). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.2.22. Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ be an algebraic weight.

- (1) The U_p^{good} -operator has slopes ≥ 0 on $H_1^0(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon$ and $H_{w_0,c}^1(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon$.
- (2) The $U_p^{t,good}$ operator has slopes ≥ 0 on $H_{w_0}^0(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon$ and $H_{1,c}^1(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon$.

Futhermore, we have isomorphisms of small slope cohomologies

$$H_1^0(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon^{U_p^{good} < k_1 - k_2 - 1} = H^0(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon^{U_p^{good} < k_1 - k_2 - 1},$$

$$H_{w_0,c}^1(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon^{U_p^{good} < 1 + k_2 - k_1} = H^1(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon^{U_p^{good} < 1 + k_2 - k_1},$$

$$H_{w_0}^0(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon^{U_p^{t,good} < k_1 - k_2 - 1} = H^0(X, \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon^{U_p^{t,good} < k_1 - k_2 - 1},$$

$$H_{1,c}^1(X_0(p^n), \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon^{U_p^{t,good} < 1 + k_2 - k_1} = H^1(X, \omega_E^\kappa)_\epsilon^{U_p^{t,good} < 1 + k_2 - k_1}.$$

Proof. Let $X = X_0(p^n)$. The theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.2.20, Corollary 4.1.5, and the distinguished triangle

$$R\Gamma_{an, X \setminus X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon)}(X, \omega_E^\kappa) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{an}(X, \omega_E^\kappa) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{an}(X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon), \omega_E^\kappa) \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

□

4.3. Overconvergent modular symbols. Let $X = X_0(p^n)$ be the modular curve of level $K^p Iw_n$. Let (R, R^+) be a uniform Tate \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $\chi : T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ -analytic character. Let $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ be the δ -analytic principal series of weight χ defined in §3.3, and $D_\chi^{\delta,+} = \text{Hom}_{R^+}(A_\chi^{\delta,+}, R^+)$ its dual. We have associated to $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ and $D_\chi^{\delta,+}$ Iw_n -equivariant sheaves $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ over $\mathcal{F}l_{\text{proét}}$, cf. Definition 3.3.12. Let $\pi_{\text{HT}} : X(p^\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l$ be the Hodge-Tate period map. By an abuse of notation we will write $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ for the pro-Kummer-étale sheaves over $X_0(p^n)$ defined by the Iw_n -equivariant pro-Kummer-étale sheaves $\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+})$ and $\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+})$ respectively, i.e. the sheaves $\Upsilon(\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+})$ and $\Upsilon(\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+})$ over $X_0(p^n)_{\text{prokét}}$, see Construction 2.2.2. We denote $A_\chi^\delta = A_\chi^{\delta,+}[\frac{1}{p}]$ and $D_\chi^\delta = D_\chi^{\delta,+}[\frac{1}{p}]$. By Lemmas 3.3.6 and 3.3.8 we have a devissage of the R^+ -modules $A_\chi^{\delta,+}$ and $D_\chi^{\delta,+}$ of the form $\varprojlim_s \varinjlim_i \mathcal{F}_{s,i}$, where the $\mathcal{F}_{s,i}$ are finite Iw_n -modules. Then, we have finite local systems $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{s,i}$ over $X_0(p^n)_{\text{prokét}}$ and one can write $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ or $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ as $\varprojlim_s \varinjlim_i \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{s,i}$, see Corollary 3.3.9.

Definition 4.3.1. We define the overconvergent modular symbols as the cohomology complexes

$$R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta) \text{ and } R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta).$$

We want to show that the modular symbols are represented by good topological complexes. We need the following theorem of Borel-Serre.

Theorem 4.3.2 ([BS73]). Let $\Gamma \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the level of the modular curve $X_0(p^n)$. There exists a finite free resolution of the trivial representation Γ by a complex $P^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]^{r_d} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]^{r_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]^{r_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 4.3.3 ([AS08]). The overconvergent modular symbols are represented by the complexes

$$R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^{+, \delta}) \simeq \text{Hom}_\Gamma(P^\bullet, \mathcal{A}_\chi^{+, \delta})$$

$$R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^{+, \delta}) \simeq \text{Hom}_\Gamma(P^\bullet, \mathcal{D}_\chi^{+, \delta})$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} denote $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}$ or $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+}$, we let \mathcal{F}_s denote $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta,+}/p^s$ or $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+}/\text{Fil}^s$. We can write $\mathcal{F}_s = \varinjlim_i \mathcal{F}_{s,i}$ where $\mathcal{F}_{s,i}$ are finite local systems over $X_0(p^n)$. By Lemma 3.18 of [Sch13a] we have $\mathcal{F} = R\lim_s \text{hocolim}_i \mathcal{F}_{s,i}$ (see [Sta20, Tag 0A5K] for the definition of homotopic colimits).

Let $\mathbb{C}_p \cong \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism of fields, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F}) &= R\varprojlim_s \text{hocolim}_i R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F}_{s,i}) \\
&= R\varprojlim_s \text{hocolim}_i R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(Y_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F}_{s,i}) \\
&= R\varprojlim_s \text{hocolim}_i R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(Y_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{alg}}, \mathcal{F}_{s,i}) \\
&= R\varprojlim_s \text{hocolim}_i R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(Y_0(p^n)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{F}_{s,i}) \\
&= R\varprojlim_s \text{hocolim}_i \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P^{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}_{s,i}) \\
&= \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P^{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}).
\end{aligned}$$

The first equality is formal from the definition of derived limits and homotopic colimits, and the fact that $X_0(p^n)$ is qcqs in the pro-Kummer-étale site, see [Sta20, Tag 0739]. The second equality is the purity of torsion local systems [DLLZ19, Theo. 4.6.1]. The third equality is the comparison of étale cohomology between an algebraic scheme and its p -adic analytification [Hub96]. The fourth equality is Artin's comparison theorem [Art68]. Finally, the last two equalities are a consequence of Theorem 4.3.2, the fact that $\pi_1(Y_0(p^n)(\mathbb{C})) = \Gamma$ and that the universal cover of $Y_0(p^n)(\mathbb{C})$ is contractible. \square

Corollary 4.3.4. *Let $X = X_0(p^n)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\chi}^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\chi}^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$ denote the completed tensor products with respect to the p -adic topology of $\mathcal{A}_{\chi}^{\delta,+}$ and the filtration of $\mathcal{D}_{\chi}^{\delta,+}$. There are almost quasi-isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned}
R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_{\chi}^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+) &= {}^{ae} \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P^{\bullet}, \mathcal{A}_{\chi}^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{C}_p}) \\
R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_{\chi}^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+) &= {}^{ae} \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P^{\bullet}, \mathcal{D}_{\chi}^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{C}_p}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The corollary follows from the devissage in the proof of the previous proposition and the primitive comparison theorem [Sch13a, Theo. 5.1] and [DLLZ19, Theo. 6.2.1]. \square

Next, we define the U_p -operators for overconvergent modular symbols. To keep the construction in the same direction as for overconvergent modular forms, we will use the U_p -correspondance and certain sheaves over $X_0(p^n)_{\text{proét}}$ defined by the open subspaces $\mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \text{Iw}_n \subset \mathcal{GL}_2^0$, cf. Definition 3.0.5 for the open affinoids $\mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta')$.

Definition 4.3.5. Let $\delta \geq \delta' \geq n$. We see $\mathcal{GL}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \text{Iw}_n$ as a right $\text{Iw}_n(\delta)$ -equivariant sheaf over \mathcal{F} , we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n$ its completion to a proétale sheaf, see Definition 3.0.1. We define the sheaf over $X_0(p^n)_{\text{prokét}}$

$$\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')} := \Upsilon(\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n) = \pi_{\text{HT}}^*(\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n) / \text{Iw}_n,$$

equivalently, as the quotient sheaf $(X(p^\infty) \times \widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n) / \text{Iw}_n$ with respect to right multiplication in both components. We also write $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta)} = \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta)}$.

Remark 4.3.6. The sheaf $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')}$ has a left action by $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_X^0 \cap w_0 \widehat{\text{Iw}}_n(\delta')_X w_0^{-1}$ which at perfectoid level is given by left multiplication on $\widehat{\mathcal{GL}}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n$.

Let $H_n \subset E[p^n]$ be the universal subgroup over $X_0(p^n)$. The sheaf $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta)}$ has the following modular description:

Proposition 4.3.7. *Let $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n}$ be the pro-Kummer-étale sheaf over $X_0(p^n)$ given by the quotient $(X(p^\infty) \times w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n) / \text{Iw}_n$. There is a natural isomorphism of left $w_0 \text{Iw}_n w_0^{-1}$ -torsors*

$$(4.8) \quad \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n} = \underline{\text{Isom}}((H_n, T_p E), (0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_p^2)).$$

Furthermore, $w_0 \widehat{\text{Iw}}_n(\delta)_X w_0^{-1} \times w_0 \text{Iw}_n w_0^{-1} \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n} = \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta)}$.

Proof. Let $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \rightarrow T_p E$ be the universal trivialization over $X(p^\infty)$. Write $\widehat{\text{Tor}}'_{\text{Iw}_n}$ for the right-hand-side of (4.8). Let $f \in \widehat{\text{Tor}}'_{\text{Iw}_n}$, note that the isomorphism $f \circ \psi : \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ is induced by left multiplication by an element of $w_0 \text{Iw}_n$. This provides a map

$$\widehat{\text{Tor}}'_{\text{Iw}_n} |_{X_0(p^n)} \rightarrow X(p^\infty) \times w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n$$

which descends to (4.8). \square

Remark 4.3.8. Let $\delta \geq \delta' \geq n$ and $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ' -analytic character. In §3.3 we have proven that the sheaf $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$ over $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{proét}}$ is constructed by taking the analytic functions of the sheaf $(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{L}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n)_{\mathcal{F}\ell}$ which are $w_0(\chi)$ -equivariant with respect to the left multiplication of $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} \cap w_0 \widehat{\text{Iw}}_n(\delta') w_0^{-1}$, see Definitions 3.3.1 and Proposition 3.3.13. The same holds for the abelian sheaf $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$ and the sheaf $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')}$. More precisely, $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$ is the sheaf of functions $f : \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')} \rightarrow R^+ \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$ satisfying the following conditions

- i. $f|_{X(p^\infty)} : \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')}|_{X(p^\infty)} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{L}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n \rightarrow R^+ \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$ is a function in $\mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{L}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n) \widehat{\otimes} (R^+ \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+)$.
- ii. $f(bx) = w_0(\chi)(b)f(x)$ for $x \in \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')}$ and $b \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}} \cap w_0 \widehat{\text{Iw}}_n(\delta) w_0^{-1}$.

4.3.1. *Hecke operators.* Let $X = X_0(p^n)$ and let $X \xleftarrow{p_1} C \xrightarrow{p_2} X$ be the U_p -correspondance. Let $X_\infty = X(p^\infty)$ be the perfectoid modular curve. To define the U_p -operator of modular symbols we need to construct a map of sheaves following the idea of Definition 4.2.16.

Proposition 4.3.9. *Let $\delta \geq \delta' \geq n$. There is a left $\underline{\text{T}}\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\delta')$ -equivariant map of sheaves*

$$(4.9) \quad \pi_{\text{Iw}_n} : p_2^*(\underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')}) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1^*(\underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta+1, \delta')})$$

induced by the right multiplication $\widetilde{U}_{w_0}(\delta, \delta') \varpi \subset \widetilde{U}_{w_0}(\delta+1, \delta')$.

Proof. Let $C_\infty = C \times_{p_1, X} X_\infty$ be the correspondance over X_∞ constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.1.4. It is enough to define a $\underline{\text{T}}\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\delta)$ equivariant map of sheaves

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash (\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{L}_2(\delta+1, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n)_C &= p_2^*(\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta+1, \delta')}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\text{Iw}_n}} \\ &\rightarrow p_1^*(\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')}) = \underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash (\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{L}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n)_C. \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 \leq a < p$ and let $C_{\infty, a} \subset C_\infty$ be the locus where we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C_{\infty, a} & \\ \pi_{\text{HT} \circ p_1} \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_{\text{HT} \circ p_2} \\ \mathcal{F}\ell & \xleftarrow{U_{p, a}} & \mathcal{F}\ell \end{array}$$

with $U_{p, a} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$. The action by $U_{p, a}$ over the constant sheaf $\underline{N}\mathcal{N}(\delta') \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{L}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n$ over $\mathcal{F}\ell$ translates to the right multiplication by $U_{p, a}$ over $C_{\infty, a}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash p_2^*(\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')}) &= \underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash (\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{L}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n)_C \xrightarrow{U_{\infty, a}} \\ &\rightarrow \underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash (\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{L}_2(\delta+1, \delta') w_0 \underline{\text{Iw}}_n)_C = \underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash p_1^*(\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta+1, \delta')}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking Iw_n -invariants from C_∞ to C one gets the map (4.9). \square

Corollary 4.3.10. *Let $\delta \geq \delta' \geq n$. Let (R, R^+) be a uniform Tate algebra and $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ' -analytic character. We have maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathcal{A}}^\vee : p_1^*(\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta+1, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+) &\rightarrow p_2^*(\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+) \\ \pi_{\mathcal{D}} : p_2^*(\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+) &\rightarrow p_1^*(\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta+1, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+). \end{aligned}$$

arising from the map π_{Iw_n} in (4.9).

Proof. Taking analytic $\underline{\text{T}}\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\delta')$ -functions with values in $w_0(\chi)R^+ \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$ in the equation (4.9) we obtain the map $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^\vee$. The map $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}$ is obtained by taking duals. \square

Remark 4.3.11. The proof of Proposition 4.3.9 also works for the proétale sheaves $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta, +}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta, +}$ and the torsor $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{\text{Iw}_n}$, (4.8) of Proposition 4.3.7. In particular, we also have maps $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^\vee : p_1^* \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta+1, +} \rightarrow p_2^* \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta, +}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta+1, +} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta, +}$, and moreover their extensions of scalars by $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$ are equal to the maps of the previous corollary.

Definition 4.3.12. (1) We define the U_p^t -operator acting over $R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{C_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta, +})$ to be the composition

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{C_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta+1, +}) &\xrightarrow{p_1^*} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(C_{C_p}, p_1^*(\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta+1, +})) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^\vee} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(C_{C_p}, p_2^* \mathcal{A}_{\text{Iw}_n}^{\delta, +}) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{p_2}} \\ &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{C_p}, \mathcal{A}_{\text{Iw}_n}^{\delta, +}) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{C_p}, \mathcal{A}_{\text{Iw}_n}^{\delta+1, +}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta, +} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$.

(2) We define the U_p -operator acting over $R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+})$ to be the composition

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+}) &\xrightarrow{P_2^*} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(C_{\mathbb{C}_p}, p_2^*(\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+})) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{D}}} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(C_{\mathbb{C}_p}, p_1^*\mathcal{D}_{I_{w_n}}^{\delta+1,+}) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{p_1}} \\ &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_{I_{w_n}}^{\delta+1,+}) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_{I_{w_n}}^{\delta,+}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $\mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta,+} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+$.

Lemma 4.3.13. *The U_p -operators on overconvergent modular symbols are compact.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.3.3 and the fact that $A_\chi^{\delta+1} \rightarrow A_\chi^\delta$ and $D_\chi^{\delta+1} \rightarrow D_\chi^\delta$ are compact maps. \square

Corollary 4.3.14. *We have isomorphisms of finite slope cohomology*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta)^{fs} &= H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\delta+1})^{fs} \\ H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^{\delta+1})^{fs} &= H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta)^{fs}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $\mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ and $\mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$. Moreover, we have almost isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta)^{fs} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} &=^{ae} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X)^{fs} \\ H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta)^{fs} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} &=^{ae} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X)^{fs}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from Lemma 4.3.13 and the finite slope theory of compact operators of Banach complexes, cf. [Buz07] or [Urb11]. The second statement follows from the almost quasi-isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} &=^{ae} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+) \\ R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} &=^{ae} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+) \end{aligned}$$

\square

The following lemma provides a bound for the action of the U_p -operators for overconvergent modular symbols.

Lemma 4.3.15 ([AS08, Theo. 3.11.1]). *Let $\varpi = \text{diag}(1, p)$ and let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})^+$ be a dominant weight and V_κ the irreducible representation of GL_2 of maximal weight κ . Let $\psi_\kappa : V_\kappa \rightarrow A_\kappa^\delta$ be the natural inclusion and $\psi_\kappa^\vee : D_\kappa^\delta \rightarrow V_{-w_0(\kappa)}$ its dual. Then*

$$\|\varpi\|_{\text{coker } \psi_\kappa} \leq |p|^{k_1 - k_2 + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varpi^{-1}\|_{\text{ker } \psi_\kappa^\vee} \leq |p|^{k_1 - k_2 + 1}.$$

Proof. Let $V(\kappa) = \kappa \otimes \Gamma(\mathbf{B}w_0\mathbf{N})$, it is a dense subrepresentation of A_κ^δ whose action by ϖ is given by $(\varpi \cdot f)(x) = f(\varpi^{-1}x\varpi)$. We proved in Proposition 3.4.3 that $V_\kappa \subset V(\kappa)$. In particular, $V(\kappa)/V_\kappa$ is a dense subspace of $\text{coker } \psi_\kappa$. We have the weight decomposition

$$V(\kappa)/V_\kappa = \bigoplus_{n \geq k_1 - k_2 + 1} \kappa \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p T^n$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{N}) = \mathbb{Q}_p[T]$. Since $\varpi \cdot (\kappa \otimes T^n) = p^n(\kappa \otimes T^n)$, we obtain by density that $\|\varpi\|_{\text{coker } \psi_\kappa} \leq |p|^{k_1 - k_2 + 1}$. One deduces the second inequality by taking duals. \square

We deduce the following classicity result for modular symbols

Theorem 4.3.16. *Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})^+$ be a dominant weight. The maps $D_\kappa^\delta \rightarrow V_{-w_0(\kappa)}$ and $V_\kappa \rightarrow \widehat{A}_\kappa^\delta$ induce isomorphisms of the $(< k_1 - k_2 + 1)$ -slope part for the action of the (normalized) U_p -operators*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\kappa^\delta)^{U_p^{<k_1-k_2+1}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_{-w_0(\kappa)})^{U_p^{<k_1-k_2+1}} \\ H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa)^{U_p^{<k_1-k_2+1}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\kappa^\delta)^{U_p^{<k_1-k_2+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.3.15 and the definition of the Hecke operators via correspondances. Notice that we are considering the U_p and U_p^t on $R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_0(p^n)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa)$ by normalizing the action of ϖ as in Remark 3.3.11. \square

4.4. The overconvergent Hodge-Tate maps. We end with this section with the definition of overconvergent HT-maps interpolating the morphisms $\text{HT}^k : \text{Sym}^k T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \omega_E^k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ and $\text{HT}^{k,\vee} : \omega_E^{-k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(k) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$.

Definition 4.4.1. Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n$, (R, R^+) a uniform affinoid Tate \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2) : T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow R^{+,\times}$ a δ -analytic character.

(1) We define the map over $X_{1, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$

$$\text{HT}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\chi, \vee} : \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)} \otimes_{R \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\chi_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\chi}^{\delta} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$$

to be the pullback of the maximal weight vector map $\mathcal{L}(w_0(\chi)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\chi}^{\delta} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}$ over $U_1(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n \subset \mathcal{F}\ell$ via $\Upsilon = \pi_{\text{HT}}^*(-)/K_p$, cf. Proposition 3.4.4. We define the map $\text{HT}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\chi} : \mathcal{D}_{\chi}^{\delta} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \omega_E^{-w_0(\chi)} \otimes_{R \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(-\chi_1)$ as the dual of $\text{HT}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\chi}$.

(2) We define the map over $X_{w_0, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$

$$\text{HT}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\chi} : \mathcal{A}_{\chi}^{\delta} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \omega_E^{\chi} \otimes_{R \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\chi_2)$$

to be the pullback of the minimal weight vector map $\mathcal{A}_{\chi}^{\delta} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\chi)$ over $U_{w_0}(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n \subset \mathcal{F}\ell$ via $\Upsilon = \pi_{\text{HT}}^*(-)/K_p$. We define the map $\text{HT}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\chi, \vee} : \omega_E^{-\chi} \otimes_{R \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(-\chi_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\chi}^{\delta} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ as the dual of $\text{HT}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\chi}$.

Proposition 4.4.2. Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq \delta' \geq n$ and denote $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{mod}}(\delta') := \underline{\mathcal{T}}(\delta')(1, 0) \times^{T\mathcal{T}(\delta')} \mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta')$. The maps HT^{χ} and $\text{HT}^{\chi, \vee}$ are compatible with respect to the U_p -correspondance. More precisely, we have $\underline{\mathcal{T}}(\delta)$ -equivariant maps of sheaves

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HT} : \underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')} &\rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{mod}}(\delta') \text{ over } X_{1, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon) \\ \text{HT}^{\vee} : w_0 \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{mod}}(\delta') &\rightarrow \underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')} \text{ over } X_{w_0, \text{Iw}_n}(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_1^*(\underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')}) & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} & p_1^*(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{mod}}(\delta')) & & p_1^*(w_0 \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{mod}}(\delta')) & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}^{\vee}} & p_1^*(\underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')}) \\ \pi_{\text{Iw}_n} \uparrow & & \uparrow \frac{1}{p} \pi_*^{\vee} \times \pi^* & & \frac{1}{p} \pi^* \times \pi_*^{\vee} \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi_{\text{Iw}_n} \\ p_2^*(\underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')}) & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} & p_2^*(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{mod}}(\delta')) & & p_2^*(w_0 \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{mod}}(\delta')) & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}^{\vee}} & p_2^*(\underline{N}_n \widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\delta') \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')}). \end{array}$$

Proof. The maps HT and HT^{\vee} are defined as the pullbacks via $\Upsilon = \pi_{\text{HT}}^*(-)/K_p$ of the (completion of the) maps of sheaves

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{U}_{w_0}(\epsilon, \delta') \text{Iw}_n &\rightarrow U_{w_0}(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n \times \mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{G}\mathcal{L}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \text{Iw}_n \\ U_1(\epsilon) \text{Iw}_n \times \mathcal{N}^0 \backslash \mathcal{N}^0 \mathcal{G}\mathcal{L}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \text{Iw}_n &\rightarrow \widetilde{U}_1(\epsilon, \delta') \text{Iw}_n. \end{aligned}$$

of the equation (3.8) in the proof of Proposition 3.4.4. Indeed, by Definition/Proposition 4.2.7 we have

$$\Upsilon(\widetilde{U}_w(\epsilon, \delta) \text{Iw}_n) = \underline{\mathcal{T}}(\delta)(1, 0) \times^{T\mathcal{T}(\delta)} \mathcal{T}_{\text{mod}}(\delta),$$

and by definition $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{Iw}_n(\delta, \delta')} = \Upsilon((\widehat{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{L}_2(\delta, \delta') w_0 \text{Iw}_n)_{\mathcal{F}\ell})$. The equivariance with respect to the U_p -correspondance follows from the compatibility of (4.10) with respect to right multiplication by $\Sigma^+ = \text{Iw}_n \Lambda^+ \text{Iw}_n$. Finally, one obtains the compatibility of the Hecke correspondance between the overconvergent modular sheaves and the δ -analytic principal series or the δ -analytic distributions by taking analytic $w_0(\chi)$ -equivariant functions. \square

Corollary 4.4.3. The maps HT^{χ} and $\text{HT}^{\chi, \vee}$ of Definition 4.4.1 are Galois equivariant.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the maps HT and HT^{\vee} of the previous proposition are Galois equivariant since they are constructed as the pullback of a Iw_n -equivariant map of Iw_n -sheaves over $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{proét}}$. \square

5. p -ADIC EICHLER-SHIMURA DECOMPOSITIONS

Let $K^p \subset \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$ be a neat compact open subgroup and $K_p \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ an open subgroup. Let $Y = Y_{K^p}$ and $X = X_{K_p}$ be the affine and compactified modular curves of level $K^p K_p$ over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. We denote by $D = X \setminus Y$ the cusp divisor. Let $f : E^{sm} \rightarrow X$ be the semi-abelian scheme extending the universal elliptic curve over Y , and \overline{E} its relative compactification to a log smooth adic space over X . We denote by $\text{DR}_X(\overline{E})$ the relative log de Rham complex of \overline{E} over X , and $\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 := R^1 f_{an,*}(\text{DR}_X(\overline{E}))$ the first relative de Rham cohomology group. The sheaf $\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1$ is endowed with a log connection

$$\nabla : \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log)$$

and a filtration $0 \rightarrow \omega_E \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 \rightarrow \omega_E^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ with $\text{Fil}^0 \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 = \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1$, $\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 = \omega_E$ and $\text{Fil}^2 \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 = 0$, satisfying Griffiths transversality. This last section is dedicated to the construction of the dual BGG decomposition for the

étale cohomology the modular curve. We start with a proof of Faltings' Eichler-Shimura (ES) decomposition of local systems V_κ (cf. [Fal87]), via π_{HT} and the dual BGG resolution of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{B})$ -modules. The proof is a geometric improvement of the argument used in *loc. cit.*, which profits the Hodge-Tate period map to construct a resolution of $V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ via the dual BGG resolution of V_κ , see §3.4. Next, we use the overconvergent HT maps to define overconvergent ES maps. We will recover the results of [AIS15] as well as a map from the higher cohomology with supports of overconvergent modular forms to overconvergent modular symbols. Finally, we show that the overconvergent ES maps are compatible with the Poincaré and Serre duality pairings, and that for small slope we have a perfect pairing.

5.1. A proétale dual BGG decomposition. We state the main theorem of the section

Theorem 5.1.1 (Faltings). *Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})^+$ be a dominant weight and V_κ the irreducible representation of maximal weight κ , by an abuse of notation we denote as V_κ the pro-Kummer-étale local system over X defined by V_κ . Let $\alpha = (1, -1) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$. There is a Hecke and Galois equivariant isomorphism*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{C}_p = H_{\text{an}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)})(k_1) \oplus H_{\text{an}}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha})(k_2 - 1)$$

One of the main ingredients of the proof of the previous theorem is an explicit relation between the Faltings extension $\text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log}^+$ and the Tate module $T_p E$.²

Proposition 5.1.2 ([Fal87, Theo. 5]). *There is an isomorphism of extensions*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}^\vee} & T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_E \otimes \omega_E & \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} & \omega_E^2 \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow -KS & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) & \longrightarrow & \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log}^+ & \longrightarrow & \Omega_X^1(\log) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where KS is the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism.

Proof. We will provide a modern proof of this theorem using the pro-Kummer-étale site and the comparison between (Kummer)-étale local systems and filtered vector bundles with integral connection (satisfying Griffiths transversality). We refer to §2.1 for the definition of the period sheaves we use down below. Let $\theta : \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, X}^+ \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ be the Fontaine's map and ξ a generator of $\ker \theta$. First, let us recall how the de Rham comparison theorem for the Tate module is easily deduce using the period sheaves (and the main results of §8 in [Sch13a]). Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf over the structural sheaf or a de Rham period sheaf, and assume that \mathcal{F} is endowed with an integral log connection ∇ , we denote by $\text{DR}(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ the log de Rham complex of \mathcal{F} .

We have a quasi-isomorphism of complexes over $\overline{E}_{\text{proket}}$

$$T_p \mathbb{G}_m \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \overline{E}} \simeq T_p \mathbb{G}_m \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \text{DR}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log, \overline{E}}, d) = \text{DR}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log, \overline{E}}, d)(1).$$

Taking $R^1 f_{\text{proket}, *}$ one obtains by [DLLZ18, Theo 3.2.7 (5)] or [Sch13a, Theo. 8.8]

$$(5.1) \quad T_p E \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, X} \simeq T_p E \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \text{DR}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log, X}, d) \cong \text{DR}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log, X}, \nabla)(1).$$

Let $\mathbb{M} := T_p E(-1) \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, X}^+ = (T_p E(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log, X}^+)^{\nabla=0}$ and $\mathbb{M}_0 = (\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log, X}^+)^{\nabla=0}$. Both \mathbb{M}_0 and \mathbb{M} are $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, X}^+$ -lattices of $T_p E(-1) \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, X}$. The (decreasing) Hodge Filtration of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1$ is concentrated in degrees 0 and 1, and equal to

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_E \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 \rightarrow \omega_E^{-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies that $\xi \mathbb{M} \subset \mathbb{M}_0 \subset \mathbb{M}$, and that $(\text{Fil}^1(\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log, X}^+))^{\nabla=0} = \xi \mathbb{M}$. Then, Proposition 7.9 of [Sch13a] implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_0 / \xi \mathbb{M} &= \text{gr}^0 \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = \omega_E^{-1} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \\ \mathbb{M} / \mathbb{M}_0 &= \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(-1) = \omega_E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(-1). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$0 \rightarrow \xi \mathbb{M}_0 / \xi^2 \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \xi \mathbb{M} / \xi^2 \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \xi \mathbb{M} / \xi \mathbb{M}_0 \rightarrow 0$$

is just the Hodge-Tate exact sequence of $T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ (note the multiplication by ξ induced by the Tate twist in (5.1)), and

$$0 \rightarrow \xi \mathbb{M} / \xi \mathbb{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_0 / \xi \mathbb{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_0 / \xi \mathbb{M} \rightarrow 0$$

is the Hodge exact sequence of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1 \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$.

²The next proposition is the most important input to compute the relative Sen operator for the modular curve in Lue Pan's locally analytic vectors, cf. [Pan20].

Consider the map of short exact sequences

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{M} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+ & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathbb{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+ \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{M}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{M}_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+ & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathbb{M}_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+ \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and let $\tilde{\theta} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+ \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ be the Fontaine's map.

Taking the first graded piece in the upper short exact sequence one finds

$$0 \rightarrow \xi \mathbb{M} / \xi^2 \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{M} \otimes (\ker \tilde{\theta})}{\mathbb{M} \otimes (\ker \tilde{\theta})^2} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \frac{\mathbb{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+}{\mathbb{M} \otimes (\ker \tilde{\theta})} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\xi \mathbb{M} \subset \mathbb{M}_0$, taking the intersection with the image of the lower short exact sequence in (5.2) one obtains a short exact sequence

$$(5.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{\xi \mathbb{M}}{\xi^2 \mathbb{M}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{M}_0 \otimes (\ker \tilde{\theta}) + \xi \mathbb{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+}{\mathbb{M}_0 \otimes (\ker \tilde{\theta})^2 + \xi \mathbb{M} \otimes (\ker \tilde{\theta})} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \frac{\mathbb{M}_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+}{\mathbb{M}_0 \otimes (\ker \tilde{\theta}) + \xi \mathbb{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) \rightarrow 0$$

The right term of (5.3) is equal to $\mathbb{M}_0 / \xi \mathbb{M} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) = \omega_E^{-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$. The middle term is equal to

$$\mathrm{gr}^1(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+) = \omega_E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \oplus \omega_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+.$$

Note that the restriction of ∇ to $\omega_E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ is the Kodaira-Spencer map by definition. Indeed, if $\nabla : \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^1 \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log)$ is the connection, taking the first graded piece we get the map

$$KS : \omega_E \rightarrow \omega_E^{-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log).$$

Therefore, we have constructed a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{HT} \oplus \alpha} \omega_E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \oplus \omega_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+ \xrightarrow{KS \oplus \nabla} \omega_E^{-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega_E^{-1} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{HT}^\vee} & T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{HT}} & \omega_E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow -KS \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega_E^{-1} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) & \longrightarrow & \omega_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log, X}^+ & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \omega_E^{-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

which implies the proposition. \square

In §2.1 we defined the period sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Clog}}$ as the 0-th graded piece of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log}$. It can also be described as

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Clog}} = \varinjlim_n \xi^{-n} \mathrm{gr}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log}^+.$$

Let \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{b} , \mathfrak{n} and \mathfrak{h} denote the Lie algebra of GL_2 , \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{T} respectively. We refer to §3.4 for the definition of the BGG short exact sequence and §2.2 for the conventions regarding pullbacks of GL_2 -equivariant sheaves over the flag variety via π_{HT} . For a character $\kappa \in X(\mathbf{T})$, let $V(\kappa) = \{f : \mathbf{B}w_0\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1 \mid f(bg) = \kappa(b)f(g)\}$, and denote by $\mathcal{V}(\kappa)$ the GL_2 -equivariant quasi-coherent sheaf over $\mathcal{F}\ell$ defined by $V(w_0(\kappa))$ via the functor (2.6). Let $\Upsilon = \pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^*(-)/K_p$ be the functor of the Construction 2.2.2. We have the following lemma

Lemma 5.1.3. *There is a natural isomorphism of sheaves over $X_{\mathrm{prokét}}$*

$$\Upsilon(\mathcal{V}(0)) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Clog}}.$$

Proof. Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})^+$ be a dominant weight and V_κ the irreducible representation of maximal weight κ . By Proposition 3.4.3 we have an inclusion $V_\kappa \subset V(\kappa)$, where under the identification $V(\kappa) \cong \kappa \otimes \mathcal{O}(w_0\mathbf{N})$, the representation V_κ maps bijectively onto the polynomials of degree $\leq k_1 - k_2$. Let $\kappa_0 = (1, 0)$ and $V_{\kappa_0} = \mathrm{St}$ be the standard representation. The previous implies that we have an isomorphism of \mathbf{B} -modules

$$V(0) = \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathrm{St} \otimes -\kappa_0)$$

where if v_0 denotes the function in $V(\kappa_0)$ whose restriction to $w_0\mathbf{N}$ is constant equal to 1, the transition maps are given by $v \mapsto v \otimes v_0(-\kappa_0)$. We obtain a GL_2 -equivariant isomorphism of quasi-coherent sheaves over $\mathcal{F}\ell$

$$\mathcal{V}(0) = \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathrm{St} \otimes \mathcal{V}(0, -1)).$$

Taking pullbacks by π_{HT} and using Proposition 5.1.2, we obtain an isomorphism of sheaves over $X_{\text{prokét}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(\mathcal{V}(0)) &= \varinjlim_n \text{Sym}^n(T_p E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes \omega_E(-1)) \\ &= \varinjlim_n \text{Sym}^n(\xi^{-1} \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR,log}}^+) \\ &= \varinjlim_n \xi^{-n} \text{gr}^n \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR,log}}^+ \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{\text{log}}(0). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.1.4. *Let $\alpha = (1, -1)$. Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})^+$ be a dominant weight and $\text{BGG}(\kappa)$ the BGG complex of Section 3.4*

$$\text{BGG}(\kappa) : 0 \rightarrow V_\kappa \rightarrow V(\kappa) \rightarrow V(w_0(\kappa) - \alpha) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\text{BGG}(\kappa)_{\mathcal{F}\ell}$ be the GL_2 -equivariant complex of sheaves defined by $\text{BGG}(\kappa)$. We have an isomorphism of complexes over $X_{\text{prokét}}$

$$\Upsilon(\text{BGG}(\kappa)_{\mathcal{F}\ell}) = [0 \rightarrow V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{log}}(k_1) \rightarrow \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{log}}(k_2 - 1) \rightarrow 0].$$

Proof. Note that $V(\kappa) = \kappa \otimes V(0)$. The theorem follows from Lemma 5.1.3 and the fact that $\pi_{HT}^* \mathcal{L}(\kappa) = \omega_E^\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(k_2)$. □

Corollary 5.1.5. *Let $\nu : X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \text{két}}$ be the projection of sites. In the notation of the previous theorem we have*

$$R\nu_*(V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) = \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)}(k_1)[0] \oplus \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha}(k_2 - 1)[-1].$$

Proof. By [DLLZ18, Lem. 3.3.15] or [Sch13a, Prop. 6.16] we know that $R\nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\text{log}} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \text{két}}}$. Therefore,

$$R\nu_*(V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) = [\omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)} \rightarrow \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha}],$$

but the above map is zero, as the sheaf $\omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)}$ already factors through $V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ via $\text{HT}^{\kappa, \vee} : \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(k_1) \rightarrow V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$. The corollary follows. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1.1. By the previous corollary we have

$$(5.4) \quad R\nu_*(V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) = \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)}(k_1)[0] \oplus \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha}[-1]$$

over the Kummer-étale site of $X_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. Projecting to the analytic site, and using that the modular sheaves are coherent, the equation (5.4) holds true over $X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \text{an}}$. Taking analytic cohomology for X , purity on torsion local systems [DLLZ19, Theo 4.6.1], and the primitive comparison theorem, one obtains

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p = R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) = R\Gamma_{\text{an}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)}(k_1)) \oplus R\Gamma_{\text{an}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha}(k_2 - 1)[-1]).$$

The theorem follows by taking H^1 -cohomology. □

5.1.1. Interior cohomology. Let $j : Y \rightarrow X$ and $\iota : D \rightarrow X$ be the immersions of the affine modular curve and the cusps divisors in X . Let \mathbb{L} be a finite étale local system over Y , and let $R\Gamma_{\text{ét}, c}(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{L}) = R\Gamma_{\text{ét}, c}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, j_{\text{ét}, !} \mathbb{L})$ be the étale cohomology with compact supports of Y . The Lemma 4.4.27 of [DLLZ19] implies that the cohomology with compact supports of \mathbb{L} can be computed in the Kummer-étale site, i.e. we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, j_{\text{ét}, !} \mathbb{L}) = R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, j_{\text{két}, !} \mathbb{L}).$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf over $Y_{\text{proét}}$ which is written as $\mathcal{F} = \varprojlim_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \varinjlim_i \mathcal{F}_{s, i}$ where $\mathcal{F}_{s, i}$ are étale local systems of Y , and such that the projective limit satisfies the Mittag-Leffler property. We can define $j_! \mathcal{F} := \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_i j_{\text{két}, !} \mathcal{F}_{s, i}$ and $R\Gamma_{c, \text{proét}}(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F}) := R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, j_! \mathcal{F})$. As $j_{\text{két}, !}$ is exact we get

$$R\Gamma_{c, \text{prokét}}(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Rlim}_s \text{hocolim}_i R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, j_{\text{két}, !} \mathcal{F}_{s, i}).$$

Definition 5.1.6. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf over $Y_{\text{proét}}$ as above satisfying $Rj_{\text{két}, *}\mathcal{F} = j_{\text{két}, *}\mathcal{F}$. We define the i -th interior cohomology group of \mathcal{F} , denoted by $H_i^i(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F})$, to be the image of $H_{c, \text{proét}}^i(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F})$ in $H_{\text{prokét}}^i(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, j_* \mathcal{F}) = H_{\text{proét}}^i(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F})$.

Lemma 5.1.7. *Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})^+$ be a dominant weight. Let $\xi \in D$ be a (geometric) cusp endowed with the induced log structure from X . Let $\gamma \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be an element such that $\gamma^{-1} B \gamma \cap K_p$ is the stabilizer of ξ in K_p , and let N_γ denote its unipotent radical. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(\xi, V_\kappa|_\xi) = R\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(N_\gamma, V_\kappa).$$

Moreover,

$$H^i(N_\gamma, V_\kappa) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i > 1 \\ \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)}|_\xi(k_1) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \omega_E^\kappa|_\xi(k_2 - 1) & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First note that a cusp belongs to the ordinary locus of X . To compute the pro-Kummer-étale cohomology of V_κ at the log point ξ , it suffices to find a log perfectoid point $\tilde{\xi}$ over ξ trivializing V_κ . If $\tilde{\xi}$ is Galois of group Π over ξ , then a Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence will give

$$R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(\xi, V_\kappa|_\xi) = R\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(\Pi, V_\kappa|_\xi).$$

Consider the fiber $X(p^\infty)_\xi$ of ξ in the perfectoid modular curve, any point $\xi_0 \in X(p^\infty)_\xi$ satisfies $\pi_{\text{HT}}(\xi_0) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. In particular, there exists a section $\xi \rightarrow X(p^\infty)_\xi/N_\gamma$ by taking an adequate basis of $\omega_E|_\xi$ via the canonical group. Using this section, the fiber of ξ in over $X(p^\infty)_\xi \rightarrow (X(p^\infty)_\xi/N_\gamma)$ gives the log perfectoid point $\tilde{\xi}$. In particular

$$R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(\xi, V_\kappa|_\xi) = R\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(N_\gamma, V_\kappa).$$

On the other hand, the pro-finite group N_γ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ as we are taking p -th power roots of an element in the monoid $\mathcal{M}_\chi|_\xi$ defining the log structure. Without loss of generality we suppose that $N_\gamma \subset N$ is an open subgroup. Let $n_\alpha \in N_\gamma$ be such that $n_\alpha - 1$ is a positive multiple of the standard basis of $\text{Lie } \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$H^i(N, V_\psi) = \begin{cases} \ker(V_\kappa \xrightarrow{n_\psi - 1} V_\kappa) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \text{coker}(V_\psi \xrightarrow{n_\kappa - 1} V_\kappa) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 1. \end{cases}$$

The lemma follows from the \mathbf{B} -filtration of V_κ . \square

Proposition 5.1.8 ([Fal87, Lemma 4.1]). *Let $\alpha = (1, -1) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$. We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{két}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p & \xrightarrow{ES} & H_{\text{an}}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha})(k_2 - 1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res} \\ \prod_{\xi \in D} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(\xi, V_\kappa|_\xi) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \prod_{\xi \in D} \omega_E^\kappa|_\xi(k_2 - 1) \end{array}$$

where the residue map is induced by the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism $KS : \omega_E^\alpha \cong \Omega_X^1(\log)$. In particular, the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism induces a Galois-equivariant decomposition

$$H_{\text{ét}, i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p = H_{\text{an}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)})(k_1) \oplus H_{\text{an}}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha}(-D))(k_2 - 1),$$

where $\omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha}(-D)$ is the sheaf of cuspidal modular forms of weight $\kappa + \alpha$.

Proof. The Galois equivariance is clear from the constructions, we will omit the Tate twists in the following argument.

It is enough to prove the commutativity for one $\xi \in D$. Without loss of generality we can assume that the monodromy of ξ is $N = \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Since the cohomology of ι^*V_κ depends only on a neighbourhood of ξ , the map $H_{\text{két}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow H_{\text{prokét}}^1(\xi, V_\kappa|_\xi)$ factors through $H^0(U, R^1\nu_*(V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X)) = H^0(U, \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha})$ for some affinoid neighbourhood U of ξ not containing other cusp. By Theorem 5.1.4 we have a resolution of $V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$

$$0 \rightarrow V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\log}} \rightarrow \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\log}} \rightarrow 0.$$

which arises from the dual BGG complex

$$\text{BGG}(\kappa) : 0 \rightarrow V_\kappa \rightarrow V(\kappa) \rightarrow V(w_0(\kappa) - \alpha) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let S be the variable of $w_0\mathbf{N}$ and identify $V(0) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbf{B}w_0\mathbf{B}) = \mathcal{O}(w_0\mathbf{N})$. By Proposition 3.4.3 we have an identification of $\text{BGG}(\kappa)$ with the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \kappa \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p[S]_{\leq k_1 - k_2} \rightarrow \kappa \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p[S] \xrightarrow{\left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^{k_1 - k_2 + 1}} (w_0(\kappa) - \alpha) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p[S] \rightarrow 0$$

Let $w_0(\kappa) \in w_0(\kappa)\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $v \in (-\alpha)\mathbb{Q}_p$ be the generators 1 in each representation. After taking the pullback $\pi_{\text{HT}}^*(\mathcal{L}(\alpha)) = \omega_E^\alpha \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ and composing with the Kodaira-Spencer map, v becomes the element dq/q at the cusp ξ . Then, $w_0(\kappa) \otimes v \in V(w_0(\kappa) - \alpha)$ is invariant under the action of N and defines a class in $H_{\text{két}}^1(\xi, V_\kappa)$ given as follows:

Let $n_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N$, the element $\frac{1}{k_1 - k_2 + 1} \kappa \otimes T^{k_1 - k_2 + 1}$ is a lift of $w_0(\kappa) \otimes v$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (n_\alpha - 1) \frac{1}{k_1 - k_2 + 1} \kappa \otimes T^{k_1 - k_2 + 1} &= \frac{1}{k_1 - k_2 + 1} \kappa \otimes ((T + 1)^{k_1 - k_2 + 1} - T^{k_1 - k_2 + 1}) \\ &\equiv \kappa \otimes T^{k_1 - k_2} \pmod{(n_\alpha - 1)V_k} \\ &= w_0(\kappa) \in w_0(\kappa)\mathbb{C}_p. \end{aligned}$$

This translates in the residue map when taking the associates sheaves over ξ .

Finally, the Eichler-Shimura decomposition for the interior cohomology follows from the distinguished triangle

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét},c}(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(D_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \iota^* V_\kappa) \xrightarrow{\pm}$$

and the Eichler-Shimura decomposition of $H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa)$. \square

5.2. Classical Eichler-Shimura decomposition and duality. To prove the compatibility of Poincaré and Serre duality it suffices to show the compatibility of Poincaré and Serre duality traces for algebraic curves in the pro-étale framework. We recall the Lemma 3.24 of [Sch13b]

Lemma 5.2.1. *Let Z be a proper smooth adic space over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{C}_p, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p})$. Consider the exact sequence in $Z_{\text{proét}}$*

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p(1) \rightarrow \varprojlim_p \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0.$$

The boundary map $\mathbb{G}_{m,et} \rightarrow R^1\nu_*\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ induces a commutative diagram of sheaves over \mathcal{W}_{et}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_{m,et} & \longrightarrow & R^1\nu_*\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p(1) \\ \downarrow \text{dlog} & & \downarrow \\ \Omega_{Z,et}^1 & \xrightarrow{FE} & R^1\nu_*\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1). \end{array}$$

Furthermore, the lower horizontal map is induced by the Faltings's extension if Z arises from a base change of a rigid space over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proposition 5.2.2. *Let Z^{alg} be a proper smooth curve over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}_p)$, and let Z be its analytification over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{C}_p, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p})$. Let $\nu : Z_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow Z_{\text{ét}}$ be the projection of sites. The following holds*

- (1) $H_{\text{proét}}^2(Z, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1)) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(Z, R^1\nu_*\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1))$.
- (2) Let $\text{Tr}_P : H_{\text{ét}}^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ denote the Poincaré duality trace. Then the composition

$$H^1(Z, \Omega_Z^1) \xrightarrow{FE} H_{\text{proét}}^2(Z, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z(1)) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{C}_p \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_P} \mathbb{C}_p$$

is the Serre duality Trace.

Proof. Consider the spectral sequence

$$H_{\text{ét}}^p(Z, R^q\nu_*\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z(1)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{proét}}^{p+q}(Z, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z(1)).$$

We know that $R^p\nu_*\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{W}}(1)$ is a coherent sheaf for all p , then $H_{\text{ét}}^p(Z, R^p\nu_*\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z(1)) = 0$ for $q > 1$. The degeneracy of the spectral sequence implies part (1).

For (2) recall that the isomorphism $H_{\text{ét}}^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{C}_p = H_{\text{proét}}^2(Z, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z(1))$ is induced by the inclusion $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p(1) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z(1)$. By Lemma 5.2.1 we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(Z^{\text{alg}}, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(Z^{\text{alg}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \\ \downarrow \text{dlog} & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{ét}}^1(Z, \Omega_{Z,et}^1) & \xrightarrow{FE} & H_{\text{ét}}^2(Z, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z(1)) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_P} \mathbb{C}_p \end{array}$$

Since the image of dlog is dense (as Z arises from an algebraic curve), it suffices to show that for $\alpha \in H^1(Z^{\text{alg}}, \mathbb{G}_m)$, the composition $\text{Tr}_P \circ FE(\text{dlog}(\alpha))$ is the Serre duality trace. Let $\mathcal{L}/Z^{\text{alg}}$ be a line bundle, we can see \mathcal{L} as a subsheaf of the rational functions $\mathcal{K}_{Z^{\text{alg}}}$ of Z^{alg} . Let $\{U_i\}_i$ be a covering of Z^{alg} by affine schemes trivializing \mathcal{L} , and $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i} = \mathcal{O}_{U_i} e_i \subset \mathcal{K}_{Z^{\text{alg}}}$ a trivialization. We write as usual $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$. Let $c_{ij} = e_i e_j^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}^\times$, then $\text{dlog}(\mathcal{L}) = (\frac{dc_{ij}}{c_{ij}})_{ij} \in H^1(Z, \Omega_{Z,et}^1)$. We have that

$$\text{Tr}_P \mathcal{L} = \sum_{x \in Z^{\text{alg}}} v_x(\mathcal{L})$$

where for $x \in U_i$, $v_x(\mathcal{L}) = v_x(e_i)$ is the valuation at x . On the other hand, the Serre trace of $\mathrm{dlog}(\mathcal{L})$ is

$$\sum_{x \in X} \mathrm{res}_x(\mathrm{dlog}(\mathcal{L}))$$

where $\mathrm{res}_x(\mathrm{dlog}(\mathcal{L}))$ is the residue at x of $\mathrm{dlog} e_i$ for $x \in U_i$. But we know that

$$\mathrm{res}_x(\mathrm{dlog} e_i) = v_x(e_i),$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.2.3. *The Poincaré pairing*

$$H_{\acute{e}t,i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa)(1) \times H_{\acute{e}t,i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_{-w_0(\kappa)}) \xrightarrow{\cup} H_{\acute{e}t,i}^2(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_P} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

and the Serre pairing

$$H_{\mathrm{an}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{-\kappa}) \times H_{\mathrm{an}}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha}(-D)) \xrightarrow{KS \circ \cup} H_{\mathrm{an}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \Omega_X^1) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_S} \mathbb{C}_p$$

(resp. $\omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)}$ and $\omega_E^{-w_0(\kappa)}$) are compatible with the Eichler-Shimura decomposition.

Proof. By definition of interior cohomology we are reduce to prove that the Poincaré and Serre duality traces are compatible over X , which is exactly Proposition 5.2.2. \square

5.3. The overconvergent Eichler-Shimura maps. Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. In the next two sections we will take $Y = Y_0(p^n)$ and $X = X_0(p^n)$ the modular curves of level $K^p \mathrm{Iw}_n$. Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n$, (R, R^+) a uniform affinoid Tate \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2) : T \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ -analytic character. Let $w \in W = \{1, w_0\}$ be an element in the Weyl group of GL_2 and $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$ the ϵ -neighbourhood of the w -ordinary locus defined in §4.1. Let ω_E^κ be the sheaf of overconvergent modular forms over $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$ (§4.2), \mathcal{A}_χ^δ and \mathcal{D}_χ^δ the sheaves of δ -analytic principal series and distributions (§4.3). In Section 4.4 we have defined HT morphisms between the previous sheaves, interpolating the HT maps $V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \omega_E^\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(k_2 - 1)$ and $\omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)}(k_1) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow V_\kappa \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$. We have the following lemma

Lemma 5.3.1. *Let $\alpha = (1, -1) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$. The overconvergent Hodge-Tate maps (Definition 4.4.1) give rise Galois and U_p^t -equivariant maps of cohomology (with the good normalizations for the overconvergent modular forms, see Definition 4.2.21)*

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{\mathrm{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) &\xrightarrow{ES_A} H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha})_\epsilon(\chi_2 - 1) \\ H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)})_\epsilon(\chi_1) &\xrightarrow{ES_A^\vee} H_{\mathrm{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X). \end{aligned}$$

Dually, we have Galois and U_p -equivariant maps of cohomology

$$\begin{aligned} H_{w_0,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{-\chi})_\epsilon(-\chi_2) &\xrightarrow{ES_D^\vee} H_{\mathrm{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) \\ H_{\mathrm{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) &\xrightarrow{ES_D} H_1^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{-w_0(\chi)+\alpha})_\epsilon(-\kappa_1 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\nu_{\mathrm{an}} : X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \mathrm{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \mathrm{an}}$ be the natural projection. First, let us show that $R\nu_{\mathrm{an},*}(\omega_E^\chi \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) = \omega_E^\chi[0] \oplus \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha}(-1)[0]$. By Theorem 4.2.3 the sheaf $\omega_E^{\chi,+}$ is an ON- $\mathcal{O}_{X, \acute{e}t}^+$ sheaf locally for the étale topology of X . Let $\nu_{\mathrm{két}} : X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \mathrm{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \mathrm{két}}$ be the natural projection of sites. Then, locally étale, we can write $\omega_E^{\chi,+} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = \bigoplus_i \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+ e_i$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} R\nu_{\mathrm{két},*}(\omega_E^\chi \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) &= R\nu_{\mathrm{két},*}(\omega_E^{\chi,+} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+) \Big|_{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= R\varprojlim_s R\nu_{\mathrm{két},*}(\bigoplus (\mathcal{O}_X^+/p^s) e_i) \Big|_{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= R\varprojlim_s \bigoplus_s (R\nu_{\mathrm{két},*} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+/p^s) e_i \Big|_{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \omega_E^\chi \widehat{\otimes}^L R\nu_{\mathrm{két},*} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Theorem 5.1.4 we know that $R\nu_{\mathrm{két}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = \mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{két}}[0] \oplus \omega_E^\alpha(-1)[-1]$. Lemmas [Sch13a, 5.5] or [DLLZ19, 6.17] imply that the integral structure defined by $R\nu_{\mathrm{két},*}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+)$ defines the same topology of those given by $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{két}}^+[0] \oplus \omega_E^{\alpha,+}(-1)[-1]$. Therefore

$$R\nu_{\mathrm{két},*}(\omega_E^\chi \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) = \omega_E^\chi[0] \oplus \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha}(-1)[0]$$

over the Kummer-étale site of $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_m}(\epsilon)$. Finally, let $\mu_{\mathrm{két}} : X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \mathrm{két}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \mathrm{an}}$ be the projection map. In order to descent to the analytic site we recall that ω_E^χ is a projective Banach sheaf over $X_{w, \mathrm{Iw}_n}(\epsilon)$ (cf. [BP20b, §5.5.2]).

Thus, it is a direct summand of an ON Banach sheaf $\widehat{\bigoplus}_i \mathcal{O}_X$ over $X_{w, Iw_n}(\epsilon)$. But we know that the Kummer-étale cohomology of \mathcal{O}_X^+ in affinoids admitting an Kummer-étale map to a torus $\mathbb{T} = \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p\langle T^{\pm 1} \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_p\langle T^{\pm 1} \rangle)$ or a disc $\mathbb{D} = \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p\langle U \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_p\langle U \rangle)$ has bounded torsion (using the Lemma 5.5 of [Sch13a] for example). A similar argument as before using derived limits shows that $R\mu_{\text{két},*}(\widehat{\bigoplus}_i \mathcal{O}_{X,\text{két}}) = \widehat{\bigoplus}_i \mathcal{O}_{X,\text{an}}$, whence $R\mu_{\text{két},*} \omega_E^\chi = \omega_E^\chi$.

Consider the overconvergent HT maps of §4.4

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\chi_1) &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \text{ over } X_{1, Iw_n}(\epsilon) \\ \mathcal{A}_{Iw_n}^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X &\rightarrow \omega_E^\chi \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\chi_2) \text{ over } X_{w_0, Iw_n}(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the projection from the pro-Kummer-étale site to the analytic site one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)} \widehat{\otimes} R\nu_{\text{an},*} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\chi_1) &\rightarrow R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) \text{ over } X_{1, Iw_n}(\epsilon) \\ R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) &\rightarrow \omega_E^\chi \widehat{\otimes} R\nu_{\text{an},*} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\chi_2) \text{ over } X_{w_0, Iw_n}(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the cohomologies of Definition 4.2.10 we obtain maps

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{1,c}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)})_\epsilon(\chi_1) \oplus R\Gamma_{1,c}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)+\alpha})_\epsilon(\chi_1 - 1)[-1] &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{1,c}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\mathcal{A}^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon \\ R\Gamma_{w_0}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\mathcal{A}^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon &\rightarrow R\Gamma_{w_0}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon(\chi_2) \oplus R\Gamma_{w_0}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha})_\epsilon(\chi_2 - 1)[-1]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have restriction and corestriction maps

$$(5.6) \quad R\Gamma_{1,c}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\mathcal{A}^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\text{Cor}} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^+) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} R\Gamma_{w_0}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\mathcal{A}^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon.$$

Taking H^1 -cohomology and the composition of the previous morphisms, one obtains the maps (5.5). A similar construction yields for the distributions \mathcal{D}_χ^δ . The Galois equivariance is clear as the HT-maps are Galois equivariant. The compatibility with respect to the good normalization of the U_p -operators follows from Proposition 4.4.2 and the equality $U_{p,\alpha}^{\text{good}} = U_{p,\alpha}$, see Definition 4.2.21. \square

We can finally state the main theorem of this paper. We will focus in the case of the δ -analytic principal series, the statements and the proof for the distributions being totally analogous, cf. [AIS15, Theo. 6.1].

Theorem 5.3.2. *Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n$, (R, R^+) an uniform affinoid Tate \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $\chi : T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ -analytic character of the \mathbb{Z}_p -points of the diagonal torus of GL_2 . The following holds*

- (1) *The composition of the Eichler-Shimura maps $ES_A \circ ES_A^\vee$ is zero:*

$$(5.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)})_\epsilon(\chi_1) \xrightarrow{ES_A^\vee} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) \xrightarrow{ES_A} H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha})_\epsilon(\chi_2 - 1) \rightarrow 0.$$

- (2) *Assume that $\mathcal{V} = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is an affinoid subspace of the weight space \mathcal{W}_T of T , and let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathcal{V}$ be a dominant weight of \mathbf{T} . Let $\alpha = (1, -1) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ and let $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}$ be the universal character of \mathcal{V} . The following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)})_\epsilon(\chi_1) & \xrightarrow{ES_A^\vee} & H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) & \xrightarrow{ES_A} & H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha})_\epsilon(\chi_2 - 1) \\ & \searrow & \text{Cor} \nearrow & \searrow & \text{Res} \nearrow \\ & H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon & & H_{w_0}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon & \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)})_\epsilon(k_1) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\kappa^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) & \longrightarrow & H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha})_\epsilon(k_2 - 1) \\ & \downarrow \text{Cor} & \downarrow & & \uparrow \text{Res} \\ H_{\text{an}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\kappa)})_\epsilon(k_1) & \xrightarrow{ES^\vee} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, V_\kappa) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p & \xrightarrow{ES} & H_{\text{an}}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha})_\epsilon(k_2 - 1) \end{array}$$

- (3) *The maps of (2) are Galois and U_p^t equivariant with respect to the good normalizations (Definition 4.2.21). In particular, the diagram above restricts to the finite slope part with respect to the U_p^t action.*
- (4) *Let $h < k_1 - k_2 + 1$. There exists an open affinoid $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$ containing κ such that the $(\leq h)$ -slope part of the restriction of (5.7) to \mathcal{V}' is a short exact sequence of finite free $\mathbb{C}_p \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}')$ -modules.*
- (5) *Keep the hypothesis of (4), and let χ be the universal character of \mathcal{V}' . Let $\tilde{\chi} = \chi_1 - \chi_2 + 1 : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$, and $b = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=1} \tilde{\chi}(t)$. Then we have a Galois-equivariant split of the localizations at b*

$$H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X)_b^{\leq h} = [H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)})_\epsilon^{\leq h}(\chi_1)]_b \oplus [H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha})_\epsilon^{\leq h}(\chi_2 - 1)]_b.$$

Proof. Part (1) follows from the fact that the composition of the restriction and corestriction maps (5.6) is zero.

Parts (2) and (3) follows from Lemma 5.3.1, and the compatibility of the formation of \mathcal{A}_χ^δ and ω_E^χ with the character χ . The commutation of the lower diagram is a direct consequence of the constructions and the Corollary 3.4.5.

For part (4) we follow the same arguments of [AIS15]: the finite slope theory (cf. [Urb11, Buz07]) implies that there is an affinoid open subspace $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$ containing κ such that the $(\leq h)$ -part of the sequence (5.7) restricted to \mathcal{V}' is a sequence of finite free $\mathbb{C}_p \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}')$ -modules. Moreover, by the classicity theorems 4.2.22 and 4.3.16, and the classical Eichler-Shimura decomposition (Theorem 5.1.1), we can take \mathcal{V}' such that the sequence (5.7) is short and exact.

Finally, we briefly sketch the argument for part (5). Let \mathcal{V}' be as in (4), let $R = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}')$, and consider the short exact sequence of the $(\leq h)$ -part of (5.7). Taking basis and tensoring with the Tate twist $R(1 - \chi_2)$ we are left to prove that the localisation by b of $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{C}_p \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R(\chi_1 - \chi_2 + 1))$ vanishes. By almost étale descent one has

$$(5.8) \quad H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{C}_p \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R(\chi_1 - \chi_2 + 1)) = H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}}/\mathbb{Q}_p), \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R(\chi_1 - \chi_2 + 1)).$$

We identify $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with \mathbb{Z}_p^\times via χ_{cyc} . By Sen theory, to show that (5.8) is of b -torsion it is enough to prove that $H^1(\text{Lie } \mathbb{Z}_p^\times, R(\chi_1 - \chi_2 + 1))_b = 0$, but this is clear as $H^1(\text{Lie } \mathbb{Z}_p^\times, R(\chi_1 - \chi_2 + 1)) \cong R/bR$. \square

5.4. Compatibility with Poincaré and Serre duality. Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n$, (R, R^+) a uniform affinoid Tate algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p and $\chi : T \rightarrow R^{+, \times}$ a δ -analytic character. Let $\alpha = (1, -1) \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$. Let $Y = Y(p^n)$ and $X = X(p^n)$ denote the affine and compact modular curves of level $K^p \text{Iw}_n$ and $D = X \setminus Y$ the cusp divisor. We finish this paper with a construction of the Poincaré pairing for modular symbols, then we prove its compatibility with the Eichler-Shimura decomposition and the Serre pairing for overconvergent modular forms. First, we need a lemma

Lemma 5.4.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a proétale sheaf over Y with is written as $\mathcal{F} = \varprojlim_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \varinjlim_i \mathcal{F}_{s,i}$ with $\mathcal{F}_{s,i}$ finite torsion local systems, such that the projective limit satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. We have a distinguished triangle*

$$R\Gamma_c(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(D_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \iota^* j_* \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{+}.$$

Proof. By definition and Lemma 3.18 of [Sch13a] we have

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_c(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F}) &= R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_i j_! \mathcal{F}) \\ &= R\varprojlim_s \text{hocolim}_i R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, j_! \mathcal{F}_{s,i}) \\ &= R\varprojlim_s \text{hocolim}_i R\Gamma_c(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F}_{s,i}). \end{aligned}$$

We have natural distinguished triangles

$$(5.9) \quad R\Gamma_c(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{F}_{s,i}) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, j_* \mathcal{F}_{s,i}) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(D_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \iota^* j_* \mathcal{F}_{s,i}) \xrightarrow{+}.$$

As j_* is exact for torsion local systems (see [DLLZ19, Theo. 4.6.1]), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} R\varprojlim_s \text{hocolim}_i R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, j_* \mathcal{F}_{s,i}) &= R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, j_* \mathcal{F}) \\ R\varprojlim_s \text{hocolim}_i R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(D_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \iota^* j_* \mathcal{F}_{s,i}) &= R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(D_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \iota^* j_* \mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking homotopic colimits and derived limits in (5.9) we get the lemma. \square

We now prove the analogous of Proposition 5.1.8 for overconvergent modular symbols. Let $w \in W = \{1, w_0\}$ and $X_{w, \text{Iw}_n}^{\text{ord}}$ be the w -ordinary locus of X (cf. §4.1). Denote $D_w = D \cap X_{w, \text{Iw}_n}^{\text{ord}}$.

Lemma 5.4.2. (1) *Let $\xi \in D_{w_0}$ be a \mathbb{C}_p -point endowed with the induced log structure from X . There is a natural Galois equivariant map*

$$H_{\text{prokét}}^1(\xi, \mathcal{A}_\kappa^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\xi) \rightarrow \omega_E^\chi|_\xi(\chi_2 - 1)$$

such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) & \xrightarrow{ES_A} & H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha})_\epsilon(\chi_2 - 1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res} \\ H_{\text{prokét}}^1(\xi, \mathcal{A}_\kappa^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\xi) & \longrightarrow & \omega_E^\chi|_\xi(\chi_2 - 1). \end{array}$$

(2) *Let $\xi \in D_1$ be as above. There is a natural Galois equivariant map*

$$H_{\text{prokét}}^1(\xi, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\xi) \rightarrow \omega_E^{-w_0(\chi)}|_\xi(-\chi_1 - 1).$$

such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) & \xrightarrow{ES_{\mathcal{D}}} & H_1^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{-w_0(\chi)+\alpha})_\epsilon(-\chi_1 - 1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res} \\ H_{\text{prokét}}^1(\xi, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\xi) & \longrightarrow & \omega_E^{-w_0(\chi)}|_\xi(-\chi_1 - 1) \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be such that $\gamma^{-1}B\gamma \cap \text{Iw}_n$ is the stabilizer of ξ in Iw_n , and let N_γ denote its unipotent radical. By the proof of Lemma 5.1.7 we know that the pro-Kummer-étale cohomology of \mathcal{A}_χ^δ (resp. \mathcal{D}_χ^δ) at ξ is just the continuous group cohomology of A_χ^δ (resp. \mathcal{D}_χ^δ) with respect to N_γ . Furthermore, after twisting by an element of Iw_n we may assume that $\gamma = w \in W$. We fall in two different cases

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{N}_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p^n x & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}.$$

Let S denote the variable of $w_0\mathbf{N}$. An element $f \in \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\xi$ can be written as

$$f(S) = w_0(\chi) \otimes h(S),$$

where $h(S)$ is an analytic function of the affinoid space $w_0N\mathcal{N}(\delta)$. Let n_α and \overline{n}_α be the generators of N and \overline{N} given by $n_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\overline{n}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (n_\alpha - 1)f(S) &= w_0(\chi) \otimes (h(S+1) - h(S)) \\ (p^n \overline{n}_\alpha - 1)\varphi &= w_0(\chi) \otimes ((1 + p^n S)^{x_1 - x_2} h(S/(1 + p^n S)) - h(S)) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $w_0(\chi) \otimes 1 \in \ker(n_\alpha - 1)$, and that the evaluation at $T = 0$ gives rise a map $\mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\xi / (p^n \overline{n}_\alpha - 1) \rightarrow (w_0(\chi) \otimes 1)\mathbb{C}_p$. This translates to maps

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(N, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_p) &\rightarrow (-w_0(\chi) \otimes 1)\mathbb{C}_p = \omega_E^{-w_0(\chi)}|_\xi \text{ for } \xi \in D_1 \\ H^1(\overline{N}_n, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_p) &\rightarrow (w_0(\chi) \otimes 1)\mathbb{C}_p = \omega_E^x|_\xi \text{ for } \xi \in D_{w_0}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last equality, note that the action of $b = tn \in B = TN$ over function $-w_0(\chi) \otimes 1$ is given by

$$b \cdot (-w_0(\chi) \otimes 1) = -w_0(\chi) \otimes 1(w_0 b) = -\chi(t)(-w_0(\chi) \otimes 1),$$

and the action of $\overline{b} = t\overline{n} \in \overline{B} \cap \text{Iw}_n = T\overline{N}_n$ is

$$\overline{b} \cdot (w_0(\chi) \otimes 1) = (w_0(\chi) \otimes 1)(w_0 \overline{b}) = \chi(t)(w_0(\chi) \otimes 1).$$

Thus, the sheaves they define are in fact $\omega_E^{-w_0(\chi)}$ for $\xi \in D_1$ and ω_E^x for $\xi \in D_{w_0}$. These maps make the diagrams of (1) and (2) commutative by the definition of the HT maps. \square

Remark 5.4.3. It would be interesting to compute explicitly $\varinjlim_\delta H_{\text{prokét}}^i(\xi, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\xi)$ and $\varprojlim_\delta H_{\text{prokét}}^i(\xi, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\xi)$ for all $\xi \in D$. Their descriptions depend on solving certain differential equations.

Corollary 5.4.4. *With the hypothesis of Theorem 5.3.2, we have Galois and Hecke equivariant Eichler-Shimura maps for the interior cohomology of modular symbols*

(5.10)

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{w_0(\chi)})_\epsilon(\chi_1) \xrightarrow{ES_A^\vee} H_{\text{proét},i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) \xrightarrow{ES_A} H_{w_0}^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha}(-D))_\epsilon(\chi_2 - 1) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{w_0,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{-\chi})_\epsilon(-\chi_2) \xrightarrow{ES_{\mathcal{D}}^\vee} H_{\text{proét},i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) \xrightarrow{ES_{\mathcal{D}}} H_1^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{-w_0(\chi)+\alpha}(-D))(-\chi_1 - 1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

where D is the cusp divisor. Furthermore, the following holds

- (1) The maps (5.10) are a sequence, i.e. the composition of two consecutive arrows is zero.
- (2) Let $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}_T$ be an open affinoid of the weight space of $T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, let $R = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V})$ and χ be the universal character over \mathcal{V} . Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathcal{V}$ be a dominant weight and $h < k_1 - k_2 + 1$. Then there exists an affinoid subspace $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$ containing κ such that the $(\leq h)$ -slope part of (5.10) is a short exact sequence of finite free $\mathbb{C}_p \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}')$ -modules.
- (3) With the hypothesis as in (2), suppose that $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}'$. Let $\tilde{\chi} = \chi_1 - \chi_2 + 1$ and $b = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=1} \tilde{\chi}(t)$. Then the localization at b of the $(\leq h)$ -slope part of (5.10) splits as a Galois representation.

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemmas 5.4.1 and 5.4.2, Theorem 5.3.2 and the definition of interior cohomology (Definition 5.1.6). \square

5.4.1. *The pairings.* Let $\epsilon \geq \delta \geq n$, (R, R^+) and χ be as in previous sections. By construction there is a natural pairing between the δ -principal series and distributions

$$A_\chi^\delta \times D_\chi^\delta \rightarrow R.$$

It is easy to see that they induce a Poincaré pairing for the interior cohomology

$$\langle -, - \rangle_P : H_{\text{proét},i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta(1)) \times H_{\text{proét},i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta) \rightarrow H_{\text{proét},i}^2(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R(1)) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_P} R$$

where the first arrow is a Yoneda pairing, and the last arrow is induced by the Poincaré trace $H_{\text{ét},i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_P} \mathbb{Z}_p$.

On the other hand, in [AIP15] the authors define overconvergent Serre pairings in families

$$\langle -, - \rangle_S : H_{w,c}^1(X, \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon \times H_w^0(X, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha}(-D))_\epsilon \rightarrow R$$

compatible with the classical Serre pairings. They are constructed by taking the Yoneda's product

$$\cup : H_{w,c}^1(X, \omega_E^{-\kappa})_\epsilon \times H_w^0(X, \omega_E^{\kappa+\alpha}(-D))_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\cup} H_{w,c}^1(X, \omega_E^\alpha(-D))_\epsilon \cong H_{w,c}^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \widehat{\otimes} R)_\epsilon$$

and composing with the Serre trace map of X

$$\text{Tr}_S : H_{w,c}^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \widehat{\otimes} R)_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\text{Cor}} H_{\text{an}}^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \widehat{\otimes} R) \rightarrow R.$$

Theorem 5.4.5. *Keep the notation of Theorem 5.3.2. The following holds*

- (1) *The Poincaré and Serre pairings of overconvergent cohomologies are compatible with the good normalizations of the U_p -operators (Definition 4.2.21). Moreover, they are compatible with the Eichler-Shimura maps of Corollary 5.4.4.*
- (2) *Let $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}_T$ be an open affinoid, and let $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}$ be the universal character of \mathcal{V} . Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathcal{V}$ and fix $h < k_1 - k_2 + 1$. There exists an open affinoid $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$ containing κ such that we have perfect pairings of finite free $\mathbb{C}_p \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}')$ -modules*

$$\langle -, - \rangle_P : H_{\text{proét},i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta(1))^{\leq h} \times H_{\text{proét},i}^1(Y_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta)^{\leq h} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}')$$

and

$$\langle -, - \rangle_S : H_{w,c}^1(X, \omega_E^\chi)_\epsilon^{\leq h} \times H_w^0(X, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha}(-D))_\epsilon^{\leq h} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}')$$

compatible with the Eichler-Shimura exact sequence.

Proof. The Hecke operators are compatible with the pairings by their definition via finite flat correspondances, see Definitions 4.2.16 and 4.3.12.

In the following we forget the Galois action. Let $\nu_{\text{an}} : X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}_p, \text{an}}$ be the projection of sites. We have a commutative diagram of Yoneda's products

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{1,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\omega_E^{w_0(\chi)} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon \times H_1^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\omega_E^{-w_0(\kappa)} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon & \longrightarrow & H_{1,c}^2(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(R\widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Cor} \\ H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) \times H_{\text{prokét}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathcal{D}_\chi^\delta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{prokét}}^2(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \text{Cor} \\ H_{w_0}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\omega_E^\chi \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon \times H_{w_0,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\omega_E^{-\chi} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon & \longrightarrow & H_{w_0,c}^2(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(R\widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon \end{array}$$

On the other hand, we also have compatible pairings provided by the Faltings extension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{w,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{-\chi})_\epsilon \times H_w^0(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \omega_E^{\chi+\alpha})_\epsilon & \xrightarrow{\text{Cor} \circ \cup} & H_{\text{an}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\widehat{\otimes} \Omega_X^1(\log)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow FE \\ H_{w_0,c}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\omega_E^{-\chi} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon \times H_{w_0}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\nu_{\text{an},*}(\omega_E^\chi \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X))_\epsilon & \xrightarrow{\text{Cor} \circ \cup} & H_{\text{prokét}}^2(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, R\widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X). \end{array}$$

The compatibility of Poincaré and Serre traces (Proposition 5.2.2) implies part (1) by taking the interior cohomology of the diagrams above. Part (2) follows the same lines of the proof of Theorem 5.3.2 and Corollary 5.4.4 using the fact that the pairings are perfect for the classical Eichler-Shimura decomposition (Corollary 5.2.3). \square

REFERENCES

- [AIP15] Fabrizio Andreatta, Adrian Iovita, and Vincent Pilloni. p -adic families of Siegel modular cuspforms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 181(2):623–697, 2015.
- [AIS14] Fabrizio Andreatta, Adrian Iovita, and Glenn Stevens. Overconvergent modular sheaves and modular forms for \mathbf{GL}_2/F . *Israel J. Math.*, 201(1):299–359, 2014.
- [AIS15] Fabrizio Andreatta, Adrian Iovita, and Glenn Stevens. Overconvergent Eichler-Shimura isomorphisms. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 14(2):221–274, 2015.
- [Art68] M. Artin. The étale topology of schemes. In *Proc. Internat. Congr. Math. (Moscow, 1966)*, pages 44–56. Izdat. “Mir”, Moscow, 1968.
- [AS08] Avner Ash and Glenn Stevens. p -adic deformations of arithmetic cohomology. *preprint*, 2008.
- [BG98] Siegfried Bosch and Ulrich Görtz. Coherent modules and their descent on relative rigid spaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 495:119–134, 1998.
- [BP20a] George Boxer and Vincent Pilloni. Higher Coleman theory, 2020.
- [BP20b] George Boxer and Vincent Pilloni. Higher Hida and Coleman theories on the modular curve, 2020.
- [BS73] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre. Corners and arithmetic groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 48:436–491, 1973.
- [Buz07] Kevin Buzzard. *Eigenvarieties*, pages 59–120. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [CHJ17] Przemysław Chojecki, D. Hansen, and C. Johansson. Overconvergent modular forms and perfectoid Shimura curves. *Doc. Math.*, 22:191–262, 2017.
- [CS17] Ana Caraiani and Peter Scholze. On the generic part of the cohomology of compact unitary Shimura varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 186(3):649–766, 2017.
- [DLLZ18] Hansheng Diao, Kai-Wen Lan, Ruochuan Liu, and Xinwen Zhu. Logarithmic Riemann-Hilbert correspondences for rigid varieties, 2018.
- [DLLZ19] Hansheng Diao, Kai-Wen Lan, Ruochuan Liu, and Xinwen Zhu. Logarithmic adic spaces: some foundational results, 2019.
- [DR73] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport. Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques. In *Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 143–316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349, 1973.
- [Fal87] Gerd Faltings. Hodge-Tate structures and modular forms. *Math. Ann.*, 278(1-4):133–149, 1987.
- [Far11] Laurent Fargues. La filtration canonique des points de torsion des groupes p -divisibles. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 44(6):905–961, 2011. With collaboration of Yichao Tian.
- [FC90] Gerd Faltings and Ching-Li Chai. Degeneration of abelian varieties, volume 22 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, 3, 1990.
- [Hub96] Roland Huber. *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces*. Aspects of Mathematics, E30. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996.
- [Hum08] James E. Humphreys. *Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category \mathcal{O}* , volume 94 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [KM85] Nicholas M. Katz and Barry Mazur. *Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves*, volume 108 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985.
- [Mil17] J. S. Milne. *Algebraic groups*, volume 170 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017. The theory of group schemes of finite type over a field.
- [Pan20] Lue Pan. On locally analytic vectors of the completed cohomology of modular curves, 2020.
- [Pil13] Vincent Pilloni. Formes modulaires surconvergentes. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, 63(1):219 – 239, 2013.
- [Sch13a] Peter Scholze. p -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties. *Forum Math. Pi*, 1:e1, 77, 2013.
- [Sch13b] Peter Scholze. Perfectoid spaces: a survey. In *Current developments in mathematics 2012*, pages 193–227. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.
- [Sch15] Peter Scholze. On torsion in the cohomology of locally symmetric varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 182(3):945–1066, 2015.
- [Sta20] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2020.
- [SW13] Peter Scholze and Jared Weinstein. Moduli of p -divisible groups. *Camb. J. Math.*, 1(2):145–237, 2013.
- [SW20] Peter Scholze and Jared Weinstein. *Berkeley Lectures on p -adic Geometry: (AMS-207)*. Princeton University Press, 2020.
- [Tat67] J. T. Tate. p -divisible groups. In *Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966)*, pages 158–183. Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [Urb11] Eric Urban. Eigenvarieties for reductive groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(3):1685–1784, 2011.

•