

GRADED COVERING OF A SUPERMANIFOLD I. THE CASE OF A LIE SUPERGROUP

MIKOLAJ ROTKIEWICZ AND ELIZAVETA VISHNYAKOVA

Dedicated to the memory of Arkady Onishchik, 1933–2019

ABSTRACT. We generalize the Donagi and Witten construction of a first obstruction class for splitting of a supermanifold via differential operators using the theory of n -fold vector bundles and graded manifolds. Applying the generalized Donagi–Witten construction we obtain a family of embeddings of the category of supermanifolds into the category of n -fold vector bundles and into the category of graded manifolds. This leads to a realization of any non-split supermanifold in terms of a collection of vector bundles and some morphism between them. Further we study the images of these embeddings into the category of graded manifolds in the case of a Lie supergroup and a Lie superalgebra. We show that these images satisfy universal property of a graded covering or a graded semicovering.

1. INTRODUCTION

This is the first part of a series of papers about \mathbb{Z} -graded coverings of a supermanifold. In this paper we investigate the case of a Lie supergroup and its Lie superalgebra. In [DW2, Section 2] Donagi and Witten gave a description of the first obstruction class ω_2 for a supermanifold to be split via differential operators. More precisely, Donagi and Witten constructed an exact sequence of locally free sheaves with Atiyah class ω_2 . This is a very interesting and important observation that pure even vector bundles can keep certain information about the original (non-split) supermanifold. In the case a supermanifold has odd dimension 2, the exact sequence of Donagi and Witten keeps the whole information of this supermanifold.

In this paper we generalize this idea. We show that the Donagi and Witten exact sequence corresponds to a double vector bundle with some additional structure, the whole information of which can be reduced to a graded manifold of degree 2. Further we suggest to use a dual approach which leads to iterated differential forms, i.e. functions on the iterated antitangent bundle (as it is explained below) instead of differential operators, which leads to a simplification of the Donagi and Witten construction and suggests a natural generalization. For any (non-split) supermanifold \mathcal{M} of odd dimension $\leq n$ we construct a pure even geometric object, an n -fold vector bundle $Vb_n(\mathcal{M})$ which keeps the whole information about the original supermanifold. Moreover the obtained n -fold vector bundle possesses additional symmetries. We interpret this in the following way: *the n -fold vector bundle arising from a supermanifold, can be reduced to a graded manifold of degree n .*

Summing up we construct a family F_n , where $n = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$, of functors from the category of supermanifolds of odd dimension n to the category of n -fold vector bundles and to the category of graded manifolds of degree n , where the first functor F_2 is a modification of the Donagi–Witten construction. Each functor F_n

is a composition of four functors: the $(n - 1)$ -iteration of the antitangent functor \mathbf{T} , the functor split gr ($\text{gr}\mathcal{M}$ denotes the retract of the supermanifold \mathcal{M}), which is defined in the category of supermanifolds, the functor parity change π , which is defined in the category of n -fold vector bundles and another functor ι , which we call inverse that was discovered independently in [BGR] (under the name the diagonalization functor) and [Vi1]. We show that the functor F_n determines an embedding of the category of supermanifolds of odd dimension n (or smaller) into the category of graded manifolds of degree n . The functor F_∞ is a limit of functors F_n . This functor defines an embedding of the category of supermanifolds into the category of graded manifolds of any degree. This means that the graded manifold $F_\infty(\mathcal{M})$, the image of a (non-split) supermanifold \mathcal{M} , contains the whole information about the original supermanifold \mathcal{M} .

Moreover, for a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} we prove that $F_\infty(\mathcal{G})$ satisfies universal properties. These properties of $F_\infty(\mathcal{G})$ led us to introduce the notion of a graded covering of \mathcal{G} . That is: the covering space is unique up to isomorphism and every homomorphism from a graded Lie supergroup to the Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} can be lifted to $F_\infty(\mathcal{G})$. We also introduce a notion of a graded semicovering of \mathcal{G} . This explains the meaning of other functors F_n . Our covering (semicovering) is in some sense a "local diffeomorphism" of Lie supergroups. Further we show that the Lie superalgebra of $F'_\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ is an example of a loop algebra construction by Allison, Berman, Faulkner, and Pianzola [ABFP], see also [Eld]. The loop algebra was used by several authors to investigate graded-simple (Lie) algebras. Therefore results of our paper establish a connection between Donagi–Witten observation and pure algebraic results. Our method leads to a notion of a graded covering and semicovering of any supermanifold as well. However due to technical difficulties of all proofs in this case we present the general theory of covering and semicovering spaces for any supermanifold in the second part of this research [RV].

Our results are especially interesting in the complex-analytic (and algebraic) category. The reason is the following. According to the Batchelor–Gawedzki Theorem any smooth supermanifold is (non-canonically) split, that is its structure sheaf is isomorphic to the wedge power of a certain vector bundle. Therefore very often we can study geometry of a split supermanifold using geometry of vector bundles. This is not the case in the complex-analytic situation. The study of non-split supermanifolds was initiated in [Ber, Gr], where the first non-split supermanifold was described. Significant advances in this direction were achieved in the work of A.L. Onishchik. Interest in this problem arose again after Donagi and Witten's papers [DW1, DW2], where they proved that the moduli space of super Riemann surfaces is non-split (or more generally not projected). To each (non-split) supermanifold \mathcal{M} our functor F_n associates a graded manifold $F_n(\mathcal{M})$ and the image $F_n(\mathcal{M})$ keeps the whole information about the (non-split) supermanifold \mathcal{M} for sufficiently large n . Therefore we can study a non-split supermanifold in the category of graded manifolds using the tools of classical complex geometry due the fact that geometrically a graded manifold is a family of vector bundles.

Our method studying a supermanifold is interesting in the case of a split supermanifold as well, for instance if a split supermanifold possesses an additional structure. Any Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} is totally split, i.e. as a supermanifold it is a cartesian product of its underlying space \mathcal{G}_0 with a fixed odd vector space \mathfrak{g}_1 — the odd part of the Lie superalgebra of \mathcal{G} . However the supermanifold \mathcal{G} has an additional structure which can be non-trivial: the Lie supergroup structure. We show that any graded Lie supergroup $F_n(\mathcal{G})$ contains the whole information about the original supergroup \mathcal{G} for any n , non only for sufficiently large n . Moreover our

result is the first step toward a graded covering of a homogeneous supermanifold, which is very often non-split. For instance all (except of few exceptional cases) super-grassmannians and flag supermanifolds are non-split.

Acknowledgements: M. R. was partially supported by Capes/PrInt, UFMG. E. V. was partially supported by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) – Finance Code 001, (Capes-Humboldt Research Fellowship) and by Tomsk State University, Competitiveness Improvement Program. M. R. thanks UFMG Department of Mathematics for its kind hospitality during the realization of this work. The authors thank Peter Littelmann for his suggestion to investigate the case of a Lie supergroup and a Lie superalgebra. This helped us to understand the meaning of the functor F_∞ . We also thank Alexey Sharapov and Mikhail Borovoi for useful comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Lie superalgebras, graded Lie superalgebras and graded Lie superalgebras of type Δ . Throughout the paper we work over the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} . However the algebraic part of the paper holds true over any field of characteristic 0. We shall work in a supergeometry context. Our vector spaces are \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded, $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$, elements of $V_{\bar{i}} \setminus \{0\}$ are called homogenous of *parity* $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. For $v \in V_{\bar{i}}$ we write $|v| = \bar{i}$. Our main references on supergeometry, in particular on Lie superalgebras, are [Kac, BLMS, Ber, L] and [Var].

Definition 1. *A Lie superalgebra is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector superspace $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ with a graded bilinear operation $[\cdot, \cdot]$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1)
$$[x, y] = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x],$$
- (2)
$$[x, [y, z]] - (-1)^{|x||y|}[y, [x, z]] = [[x, y], z],$$

where x, y , and z are homogeneous elements.

In more general setting, if A is an abelian group, an A -graded Lie superalgebra is a vector space \mathfrak{g} graded by an abelian group A , $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{a \in A} \mathfrak{g}_a$, the bracket on \mathfrak{g} is A -graded, i.e. $[\mathfrak{g}_a, \mathfrak{g}_b] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{a+b}$, and satisfies a generalized Jacobi identity in which the sign $(-1)^{|x||y|}$ is replaced with $(-1)^{\delta(a)\delta(b)}$, where $\delta : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ is a group homomorphism.

Definition 2. *Let \mathfrak{g} be an A -graded Lie superalgebra. Then*

$$\text{supp}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{\alpha \in A \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq \{0\}\}.$$

In this paper most of the time we will work with \mathbb{Z}^r -graded Lie superalgebras. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are formal variables.

Definition 3. *A weight system is a pair (Δ, χ) , where Δ is a subset in $\mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_r$ satisfying the following properties*

- (1) $0 \in \Delta$ and $\alpha_i \in \Delta$, where $i = 1, \dots, r$;
- (2) if $\delta \in \Delta$ and $\delta = \sum_i a_i \alpha_i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $a_i \geq 0$,

and $\chi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\delta \mapsto |\delta|$, is a group homomorphism. We call $|\delta|$ the parity of δ . A weight system (Δ, χ) is called multiplicity free, if $\delta = \sum a_i \alpha_i \in \Delta$ implies $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let (Δ, χ) be a weight system. Then $\Delta = \Delta_{\bar{0}} \cup \Delta_{\bar{1}}$, where $\Delta_{\bar{0}}$ contains all even weights, while $\Delta_{\bar{1}}$ contains all odd ones. Note that 0 is always in $\Delta_{\bar{0}}$. A Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is called a graded Lie superalgebra of type (Δ, χ) , if \mathfrak{g} is a \mathbb{Z}^r -graded Lie superalgebra of type Δ and

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta_{\bar{0}}} \mathfrak{g}_{\delta}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta_{\bar{1}}} \mathfrak{g}_{\delta}.$$

Very often we will omit χ and write a Lie superalgebra of type Δ meaning a Lie superalgebra of type (Δ, χ) .

2.2. Supermanifolds, graded manifolds and n -fold vector bundles.

2.2.1. Supermanifolds. We consider a complex-analytic supermanifold in the sense of Berezin and Leites [Ber, L], see also [BLMS]. Thus, a supermanifold $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ of dimension $n|m$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded ringed space that is locally isomorphic to a superdomain in $\mathbb{C}^{n|m}$. Here the underlying space \mathcal{M}_0 is a complex-analytic manifold. The dimension n of the underlying manifold \mathcal{M}_0 is called *even dimension of \mathcal{M}* , while m is called *odd dimension of \mathcal{M}* . A morphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ between two supermanifolds is a morphism between \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded ringed spaces, this is, a pair $F = (F_0, F^*)$, where $F_0 : \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_0$ is a holomorphic mapping and $F^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow (F_0)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a homomorphism of sheaves of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded ringed spaces. A morphism F is called an isomorphism if F is invertible. A supermanifold \mathcal{M} is called split, if its structure sheaf is isomorphic to $\bigwedge \mathcal{E}^*$, where \mathcal{E} is a sheaf of sections of a certain vector bundle $\mathbb{E}[\bar{1}]$. (Here $\mathbb{E}[\bar{1}]$ means a usual vector bundle \mathbb{E} additionally assumed that its local sections are odd.) We see that in this case the structure sheaf is \mathbb{Z} -graded. A morphism of split supermanifolds is called split if it preserves the fixed \mathbb{Z} -gradings. Split supermanifolds with split morphisms form a category of split supermanifolds.

According to the Batchelor–Gawedzki Theorem any smooth supermanifold is split. This is not true in the complex-analytic case, see [Ber, Gr]. However we can define a functor from the category of supermanifolds to the category of split supermanifolds. Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{O})$ be a supermanifold. (Sometimes we will omit \mathcal{M} in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ if the meaning of \mathcal{O} is clear from the context.) Then its structure sheaf possesses the following filtration

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{J}^0 \supset \mathcal{J} \supset \mathcal{J}^2 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{J}^p \supset \dots,$$

where \mathcal{J} is the sheaf of ideals generated by odd elements in \mathcal{O} . We define

$$\text{gr}(\mathcal{M}) := (\mathcal{M}_0, \text{gr}\mathcal{O}),$$

where

$$\text{gr}\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathcal{J}^p / \mathcal{J}^{p+1}.$$

The supermanifold $\text{gr}(\mathcal{M})$ is split, that is its structure sheaf is isomorphic to $\bigwedge \mathcal{E}^*$, where $\mathcal{E}^* = \mathcal{J} / \mathcal{J}^2$ is a locally free sheaf. Since any morphism F of supermanifolds preserves the filtration (3), the morphism $\text{gr}(F)$ is defined. Summing up, the functor gr is a functor from the category of supermanifolds to the category of split supermanifolds, see for example [Vi2, Section 3.1] for details. We can apply the functor gr to a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} and we will get a split Lie supergroup $\text{gr}(\mathcal{G})$. Later we also will define a corresponding functor gr' for Lie superalgebras so that $\text{Lie} \circ \text{gr}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{gr}' \circ \text{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ for any Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} .

2.2.2. *Graded manifolds of type Δ , graded manifolds of degree n and r -fold vector bundles.* Let us start with a notion of a graded manifold of type (Δ, χ) , where (Δ, χ) is as in Definition 3. Again very often we will omit χ , and write a graded manifold of type Δ . Let

$$V = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} V_\delta, \quad V_{\bar{0}} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta_{\bar{0}}} V_\delta, \quad V_{\bar{1}} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta_{\bar{1}}} V_\delta.$$

Consider a \mathbb{Z}^r -graded ringed space $\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})$, where $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset V_0^*$ is an open set, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} := \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} S^*(V)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}_0}$ is the sheaf of smooth or holomorphic functions on \mathcal{U}_0 .

Definition 4. *We call the ringed space \mathcal{U} a graded domain of type (Δ, χ) and of dimension $\{n_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$, where $n_\delta = \dim V_\delta$.*

Let us choose a basis (x_i) in V_0 and a basis (ξ_j^δ) in any V_δ . Then the set $(x_i, \xi_j^\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}}$ is a system of local coordinates on \mathcal{U} . We assign the weight 0 and the parity $\bar{0}$ to x_i and the weight δ and the parity $|\delta|$ to ξ_j^δ . Such coordinates (x_i, ξ_j^δ) are called graded.

Definition 5. *A graded manifold of type (Δ, χ) and of dimension $\{n_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$ is a \mathbb{Z}^r -graded ringed space $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})$, that is locally isomorphic to a graded domain of type (Δ, χ) and of dimension $\{n_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$.*

A morphism of graded manifolds of type (Δ, χ) is a morphism of the corresponding \mathbb{Z}^r -graded ringed spaces.

If $\Delta = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\chi(1) = \bar{1}$, a graded manifold of type Δ is also called a *graded manifold of degree n* . (See [R] for more information about graded manifolds of degree n .) If Δ is multiplicity free, this is if $\delta = \sum_i a_i \alpha_i \in \Delta$ then $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, a graded manifold of type Δ is called an *r -fold vector bundle of type Δ* . This definition of an r -fold vector bundle is equivalent to a classical one as it was shown in [GR, Theorem 4.1].

Remark 6. *Now let Δ be as in Definition 3 and $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ satisfies the following property: if $\delta \in \Delta'$ and $\delta = \sum_i \delta_i$ for $\delta_i \in \Delta$, then δ_i are also in Δ' . In this case to any graded manifold \mathcal{N} of type Δ we can assign a graded manifold \mathcal{N}' of type Δ' . A detailed description of this well-known construction can be found for example in [Vi1, Section 4.1]. For instance to any graded manifold of degree n we can assign a graded manifold of degree $0 \leq n' < n$. In this case we have a natural morphism $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$, which is called projection.*

2.3. Lie supergroups and super Harish-Chandra pairs.

2.3.1. *Lie supergroups.* A *Lie supergroup* is a group object in the category of supermanifolds. In other words a Lie supergroup is a supermanifold $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})$ with three morphisms: $\mu : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ (the multiplication morphism), $\kappa : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ (the inversion morphism), $\varepsilon : (\text{pt}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ (the identity morphism). Moreover, these morphisms have to satisfy the usual conditions, modeling the group axioms. The underlying manifold \mathcal{G}_0 of \mathcal{G} is a smooth or complex-analytic Lie group. As in the theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, we can define the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ of a Lie group \mathcal{G} . By definition, \mathfrak{g} is the subalgebra of the Lie superalgebra of vector fields on \mathcal{G} consisting of all right invariant vector fields on \mathcal{G} . Any right invariant vector field $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ has the following form

$$(4) \quad Y = (Y_e \otimes \text{id}) \circ \mu^*,$$

where $Y_e \in (\mathfrak{m}_e/\mathfrak{m}_e^2)^*$ and \mathfrak{m}_e is the maximal ideal of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})_e$. Note that as the case of classical geometry the vector superspace $(\mathfrak{m}_e/\mathfrak{m}_e^2)^*$ may be identified with the vector superspace of all maps $D : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})_e \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the Leibniz rule. Further the map $Y_e \mapsto Y = (Y_e \otimes \text{id}) \circ \mu^*$ is an isomorphism of $(\mathfrak{m}_e/\mathfrak{m}_e^2)^*$ onto \mathfrak{g} .

Similarly we can define a *graded Lie supergroup of type Δ* as a group object in the category of graded manifolds of type Δ or a *graded Lie supergroup of degree n* as a group object in the category of graded manifolds of degree n . Let us consider some examples of Lie supergroups with an additional gradation in the structure sheaf.

Example 7 (Lie supergroup $GL(V)$). *The space of endomorphisms of a vector superspace $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ has a natural gradation by integer numbers $-1, 0, 1$:*

$$\text{End}(V) = \bigoplus_{i=-1,0,1} \text{End}^i(V),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}(V)^0 &= \text{End}(V_0) \oplus \text{End}(V_1), & \text{End}(V)^1 &= \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1), \\ \text{End}(V)^{-1} &= \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0) \end{aligned}$$

giving rise to a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. It integrates to the \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie supergroup $GL(V)$. Consider the case $\dim V = (1|1)$. The entries of a matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & \alpha \\ \beta & b \end{bmatrix} \in GL(\mathbb{K}^{1|1}),$$

constitute a graded coordinate domain, the subdomain of $\mathbb{K}^{2|2}$, the coordinates a, b are of degree 0, and α, β are of degrees -1 and 1 , respectively. The matrix multiplication respects the assigned grading, e.g. $m^*(\alpha) = a'\alpha'' + \alpha'b''$ is of degree $0 = 0 + 0 = 1 + (-1)$. Note that $GL(V)$ with this gradation is not a graded manifold of degree n , since this supermanifold possesses graded coordinates of negative degree.

Remark 8. *In this paper we denote by \mathbf{T} the **antitangent functor**. This means that $\mathbf{T}\mathcal{M}$ is the vector bundle obtained from the tangent bundle $\mathbf{T}\mathcal{M}$ by means of the parity functor. If (x^A) are even/odd coordinates on \mathcal{M} then the fiber coordinates on $\mathbf{T}\mathcal{M}$ will be denoted by (dx^A) and the parity of dx^A is the parity of x^A plus one modulo two.*

A canonical example of graded Lie supergroups of degree n comes from the tangent lifts.

Example 9. *Higher order tangent bundles, not to be confused with the related iterated tangent bundles, are the natural geometric home of higher derivative Lagrangian mechanics [B]. A higher order (k -order) tangent bundle $\mathbf{T}^k\mathcal{M}$ of a supermanifold \mathcal{M} can be defined in a natural way. By applying the functor \mathbf{T}^k to the Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} and the structure morphisms of \mathcal{G} we get a graded Lie supergroup of type (Δ, χ) , where $\Delta = \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ and $\chi(1) = \bar{0}$.*

Another interesting example of \mathbb{Z} -gradation on a Lie supergroup was noticed by V. Kac:

Example 10. *Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ be a vector superspace equipped with a non-degenerate even symmetric bilinear form Q , i.e. $Q(x, y) = (-1)^{|x||y|}Q(y, x)$ for homogeneous $x, y \in V$ and $Q : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is an even map. It follows that $V_0 \perp V_1$*

and Q restricted to $V_{\bar{0}}$ (resp. $V_{\bar{1}}$) is symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric). Thus the dimension of $V_{\bar{1}}$ is even and one can find lagrangian subspaces $L, L' \subset V_{\bar{1}}$ such that $V_{\bar{1}} = L \oplus L'$, where L' is naturally identified with the dual to L and $Q|_{V_{\bar{1}}}$ has the following natural form with respect to this decomposition:

$$Q((l_1, l'_1), (l_2, l'_2)) = l'_1(l_2) - l'_2(l_1),$$

where $l_i \in L$ and $l'_i \in L'$. The orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(V, Q)$ is spanned by homogeneous endomorphism $T : V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$Q(Tx, y) + (-1)^{|T||x|}Q(x, Ty) = 0$$

for any homogeneous $x, y \in V$. It follows that $\mathfrak{osp}(V, Q)_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{so}(V_{\bar{0}}) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(V_{\bar{1}})$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(V, Q)_{\bar{1}} = V_{\bar{0}} \otimes V_{\bar{1}}$. There are well known isomorphisms $\mathfrak{so}(W) = W \wedge W$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(W) = S^2W$. Assigning the weight 1 to L and the weight -1 to L' one find a canonical \mathbb{Z} -grading on $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$ with non-zero parts in degrees $\pm 2, \pm 1$ and 0:

$$\mathfrak{osp}(V) = S^2(L') \oplus (V_{\bar{0}} \otimes L') \oplus (L' \otimes L \oplus V_{\bar{0}} \wedge V_{\bar{0}}) \oplus (V_{\bar{0}} \otimes L) \oplus S^2L.$$

Thus the corresponding Lie supergroup, the orthosymplectic Lie supergroup, is also naturally \mathbb{Z} -graded.

2.3.2. Super Harish-Chandra pairs. To study a Lie supergroup one uses the theory of super Harish-Chandra pairs, see [Ber] and also [BCC, CCF, Vi3].

Definition 11. A super Harish-Chandra pair (G, \mathfrak{g}) consists of a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$, a Lie group G such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \text{Lie}(G)$, and an action α of G on \mathfrak{g} by automorphisms such that

- for any $g \in G$ the action $\alpha(g)$ restricted to $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ coincides with the adjoint action $Ad(g)$;
- the differential $(d\alpha)_e : \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \rightarrow T_e \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ at the identity $e \in G$ coincides with the adjoint representation ad of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ in \mathfrak{g} .

Super Harish-Chandra pairs form a category. The following theorem was proved in [Vi3] for complex-analytic Lie supergroups.

Theorem 12. The category of complex-analytic Lie supergroups is equivalent to the category of complex-analytic super Harish-Chandra pairs.

A similar result in the real case was obtained in [Kos], the algebraic case was treated by several authors, see for example [Gav, Mas, MS] and references therein. Theorem 12 implies that any Lie supergroup is globally split, that is, its structure sheaf is isomorphic to a wedge product of a certain trivial vector bundle. More explanation of this fact will be given later.

The notion of super Harish-Chandra pair has an obvious A -graded generalization, where A is an abelian group. Namely, we assume that \mathfrak{g} is an A -graded Lie superalgebra, the Lie algebra of G is \mathfrak{g}_0 , where \mathfrak{g}_0 is the part of \mathfrak{g} in degree 0, $\alpha(g) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, $g \in G$, is an automorphism of \mathfrak{g} , in particular it preserves the A -gradation and $d_e\alpha(X) = [X, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. In [JKPS, Theorem 5.6] it was noticed that an analogue of Theorem 12 holds true for graded Lie supergroups of degree n . We will also work with super Harish-Chandra pairs of type Δ . A super Harish-Chandra pairs of type Δ is a \mathbb{Z}^r -graded super Harish-Chandra pair (G, \mathfrak{g}) , where \mathfrak{g} is a \mathbb{Z}^r -graded Lie superalgebra of type Δ . Recall that in this case \mathbb{Z}^r is generated by the set Δ , with additional agreement about parities of generators of Δ , see Definition 3. In this case repeating argument [JKPS, Theorem 5.6], we get an analogue of Theorem 12 as well.

Example 13. Let $V = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n V_k$ be a non-negatively \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space with $\dim V < \infty$. Denote by $\text{End}_q(V)$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the space of endomorphisms $T : V \rightarrow V$ increasing the degree by q , i.e. $T : V \rightarrow V$ such that $T|_{V_i} : V_i \rightarrow V_{i+q}$. Thus $\underline{\text{End}}(V) = \bigoplus_{q=-n}^n \text{End}_q(V)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space. It will be considered as a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra with the bracket given by the graded commutator. The corresponding \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie supergroup $\text{GL}(V)$ has the body $G_0 = \times_{i=0}^n \text{GL}(V_i)$ and its sheaf of functions is given by the super symmetric algebra over $F = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \neq j \leq n} V_i \otimes V_j^*$, as $V_i \otimes V_j^* = \text{Hom}(V_i, V_j)^*$, i.e. $\mathcal{O}(U) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(U) \otimes \text{Sym } F$. The gradation in F is obvious, $|V_i \otimes V_j^*| = i - j$ and the group multiplication preserve this gradation. The graded Harish-Chandra pair corresponding to $\text{GL}(V)$ is $(G_0, \underline{\text{End}}(V))$ equipped with the adjoint action of G_0 on $\underline{\text{End}}(V)$. The language of Harish-Chandra pairs allows us to study some infinite dimensional generalizations of Lie supergroups in a convenient way. We simply drop the assumption that \mathfrak{g} has finite dimension. For example, if $V = \bigoplus_{k=0}^\infty V_k$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space such that $\dim V_k < \infty$, but the dimension of V can be infinite, then $\text{GL}(V)$ can be understood as a pair consisting of the direct sum of $\text{GL}(V_i)$, $G_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty \text{GL}(V_i)$ and the natural action of G_0 on $\underline{\text{End}}(V) = \bigoplus_{i,j \geq 0} \text{Hom}(V_i, V_j)$.

2.3.3. Construction of the Lie supergroup corresponding to a super Harish-Chandra pair. Let us remind a reader how to construct a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} (or a graded Lie supergroup of type Δ) using a given super (or of type Δ) Harish-Chandra pair (G, \mathfrak{g}) . Denote by $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ the universal enveloping algebra of Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{h} . We need to define a structure sheaf \mathcal{O} of \mathcal{G} . In the super and graded cases we, respectively, put

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{O} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{F}_G), \quad \mathcal{O} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{F}_G).$$

Here \mathcal{F}_G is the sheaf of (holomorphic) functions on G . Using the Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ we can define explicitly the multiplication morphism μ , the inversion morphism κ and the identity ε , see for instance [Vi3]. Indeed, assume that a super or graded of type Δ Harish-Chandra pair (G, \mathfrak{g}) is given. Let us define the supergroup structure of the corresponding Lie supergroup or graded Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} . Let $X \cdot Y \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, where X is from the first copy of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and Y from the second one, $f \in \mathcal{O}$, see (5), and $g, h \in G$. The following formulas define a multiplication morphism, an inverse morphism and an identity morphism respectively:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu^*(f)(X \cdot Y)(g, h) &= f(\alpha(h^{-1})(X) \cdot Y)(gh); \\ \kappa^*(f)(X)(g^{-1}) &= f(\alpha(g^{-1})(S(X)))(g); \\ \varepsilon^*(f) &= f(1)(e). \end{aligned}$$

Here S is the antipode map in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ considered as a Hopf algebra and α is as in the definition of a super Harish-Chandra pair.

2.4. (Skew-symmetric) double vector bundles. A double vector bundle (DVB, in short) is a graded manifold of type $\Delta = \{0, \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\}$. In this subsection we assume that the weights α and β are even, however later on we shall drop this assumption. Geometrically we can see a double vector bundle as a quadruple $(D; A, B; M)$ with the following diagram of morphisms

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & M \end{array},$$

where all maps are bundle projections and there are imposed some natural compatibility conditions, see [P, M].

In particular, D has two vector bundle structures, one over the manifold A and the second over B . Moreover, A, B are vector bundles over M called *the side bundles* of D . The compatibility condition can be easily expressed using Euler vector fields: $[\mathbb{E}_A, \mathbb{E}_B] = 0$ [GR]. Essentially, a DVB can be considered as a manifold equipped with two Euler vector fields, $D = (D; \mathbb{E}_A, \mathbb{E}_B)$, describing the vector bundle structure on *the legs* $D \rightarrow A, D \rightarrow B$ of D . This leads to many simplifications in the theory of **DVBs**.

The core C of **DVB** is defined as the intersection of the kernels of two bundle projections $D \rightarrow A$ and $D \rightarrow B$. It is a vector bundle over M with the Euler vector field defined by the restriction, $\mathbb{E}_A|_C = \mathbb{E}_B|_C$.

The *flip* of a DVB is obtained by interchanging the legs of D , i.e. the flip of $D = (D; \mathbb{E}_A, \mathbb{E}_B)$ is $(D; \mathbb{E}_B, \mathbb{E}_A)$.

Symmetric and skew-symmetric DVBs are DVBs $(D; A, B; M)$ with an involution $\sigma : D \rightarrow D$ satisfying a certain addition condition. They were introduced in [BGR] in order to recognize the image of *the linearization functor* which associates with a graded manifold of type $\Delta = \{0, \alpha, 2\alpha\}$ a DVB. Under this association graded manifolds of type Δ with even α (i.e., purely even graded bundles of degree 2) are in one-to-one correspondence with symmetric DVBs, while graded manifolds of type Δ with odd α (i.e., N -manifolds of degree 2) — with skew-symmetric DVBs. The results are extended to any order. For the purposes of this manuscript it is enough to recall a definition of skew-symmetric **DVBs**.

Definition 14. A *skew-symmetric DVB* is a pair (D, σ) consisting of a **DVB** D and an involution $\sigma : D \rightarrow D$ (i.e. $\sigma \circ \sigma = \text{id}$) such that

- (i) σ exchanges the legs of D , i.e. σ is a DVB morphism from D to the flip of D ,
- (ii) the restriction of σ to the core is minus the identity.

A morphism between skew-symmetric **DVBs** is assumed to intertwine with their involutions.

It follows immediately that the side bundles of a skew-symmetric DVB are isomorphic and that any skew-symmetric DVB admits an atlas with graded coordinates $(x^\alpha; y_\alpha^i, Y_\beta^j; z_{\alpha+\beta}^\mu)$ such that

- the transition functions for y^i and Y^i are the same and

$$z_{ij}^\mu = Q_\nu^\mu z^\nu + \frac{1}{2} Q_{ij}^\mu y^i Y^j$$

with $Q_{ij}^\mu = -Q_{ji}^\mu$,

- the involution σ has a form: $\sigma^*(y^i) = Y^i$ (hence $\sigma^*(Y^i) = y^i$) and $\sigma^*(z^\mu) = -z^\mu + \sigma_{ij}^\mu(x) y^i Y^j$ with $\sigma_{ij}^\mu = \sigma_{ji}^\mu$.

To any double vector bundle D (with the core C and side bundles A, B) we can assign a short exact sequence

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow \widehat{D} \rightarrow A \otimes B \rightarrow 0,$$

which is obtained by dualizing the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \text{pr}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\alpha+\beta}(D) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_C} C^* \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha+\beta}(D)$ is the $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -module of homogeneous functions on D of weight $\alpha+\beta$ and pr_C denotes the restriction of a function f to the core C , ie. $\text{pr}_C(f) = f|_C$. Locally, $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha+\beta}(D)$ is generated by the functions y_α^i, Y_β^j and $z_{\alpha+\beta}^\mu$. In other words, the dual to \widehat{D} is the vector bundle whose space of sections is $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha+\beta}(D)$.

If (D, σ) is skew-symmetric then we can decompose

$$\mathcal{A}^{\alpha+\beta}(D) = \mathcal{A}_+^{\alpha+\beta}(D) \oplus \mathcal{A}_-^{\alpha+\beta}(D),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^{\alpha+\beta}(D)$ consists of those $f \in \mathcal{A}^{\alpha+\beta}(D)$ such that $\sigma^*(f) = \varepsilon f$ and ε is plus or minus.

Theorem 15. *Let (D, σ) be a skew-symmetric **DVB** with side bundle $E \rightarrow M$. Then $\mathcal{A}_+^{\alpha+\beta}(D)$ coincides with the space of sections of $S^2 E^*$ while $\mathcal{A}_-^{\alpha+\beta}(D)$ gives rise to a short exact sequence*

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 E^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_-^{\alpha+\beta}(D) \rightarrow \Gamma(C^*) \rightarrow 0.$$

The skew-symmetric **DVB** (D, σ) can be reconstructed completely from the above sequence.

Proof. Let (x^a, y^i, Y^j, z^μ) be adopted coordinates for a skew-symmetric DVB (D, σ) . In these coordinates the decomposition of $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha+\beta}(D)$ is clear: $\mathcal{A}_+^{\alpha+\beta}(D)$ is locally generated by functions $y^i Y^j + y^j Y^i$ and $\mathcal{A}_-^{\alpha+\beta}(D)$ by z^μ and $y^i Y^j - y^j Y^i$. The projection on $\Gamma(C^*)$ is the same, it is given as the restriction to the core bundle of D . \square

2.5. Inverse limit of supermanifolds and Lie supergroups. We shall work with some types of infinite dimensional supermanifolds and Lie supergroups. There is no need to present a general theory as all examples we shall work with have a form of an inverse limit of supermanifolds (even with the same body \mathcal{M}_0):

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \xleftarrow{\text{pr}_1^2} \mathcal{M}_2 \xleftarrow{\text{pr}_2^3} \mathcal{M}_3 \leftarrow \dots$$

where $\mathcal{M}_i = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_i})$ and $\text{pr}_k^{k+1} : \mathcal{M}_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k$ is the projection of the graded manifold \mathcal{M}_{k+1} of degree $k+1$ to the graded manifold \mathcal{M}_k of degree k , see Remark 6. We define

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_k},$$

i.e. $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}$ if and only if there exist k such that $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_k}$. A morphism $f : \mathcal{M}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\infty$ is a family of morphisms $f = (f_k : \mathcal{M}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{a_k})$ where (a_k) is a non-decreasing sequence of positive integers and the family (f_k) is compatible with the projections:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{k+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{k+1}} & \mathcal{N}_{a_{k+1}} \\ \downarrow \text{pr}_k^{k+1} & & \downarrow \text{pr}_{a_k}^{a_{k+1}} \\ \mathcal{M}_k & \xrightarrow{f_k} & \mathcal{N}_{a_k} \end{array}$$

where $\text{pr}_{a_k}^{a_{k+1}}$ is the composition of projections pr_i^{i+1} for $i = a_{k+1} - 1$ to a_k .

The inverse limit of Lie supergroups has a Lie supergroup structure. We additionally have to assume that the projections pr_i^{i+1} are Lie supergroup homomorphisms. Also the inverse limit of Lie (super)algebras has a Lie (super)algebra structure thus

a Lie functor makes sense for inverse limit of Lie supergroups. For example a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_k$ is an inverse limit of Lie algebras \mathfrak{g}/I_k where $I_k = \mathfrak{g}_{k+1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{k+2} \oplus \dots$. There is one-to-one correspondence of the inverse limit of graded Lie supergroups and the inverse limit of their graded Harish-Chandra pairs.

3. THE DONAGI–WITTEN CONSTRUCTION, SUPERMANIFOLDS, DOUBLE VECTOR BUNDLES AND GRADED MANIFOLDS OF DEGREE 2

In [DW2, Section 2.1] Donagi and Witten gave a description of the first obstruction class $\omega = \omega_2$ via differential operators. In this section we remind the definition of ω_2 and the Donagi–Witten construction. Further we give an interpretation of the Donagi–Witten construction using the language of double vector bundles and graded manifolds of degree 2. At the end using differential forms instead of differential operators we simplify this construction, which allows us to find its higher analogue.

3.1. First obstruction class ω_2 . Let us describe the first obstruction class to splitting a supermanifold using results [Ber, Gr, Oni, R]. We follow the exposition of [Oni]. First of all consider a split supermanifold $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O} = \bigwedge \mathcal{E}^*$ and \mathcal{E} is the sheaf of sections of $\mathbb{E}[\bar{1}]$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}er\mathcal{O}$ the sheaf of vector fields on \mathcal{M} and by $\mathcal{D}er\mathcal{F}$ the sheaf of vector fields on the underlying space \mathcal{M}_0 . The sheaf $\mathcal{D}er\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{p \geq -1} \mathcal{D}er_p\mathcal{O}$ is naturally \mathbb{Z} -graded since $\bigwedge \mathcal{E}^*$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded. We have the following exact sequence

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}er_2\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}er\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$$

see [Oni, Formula (5)].

According Green [Gr] we can describe all non-split supermanifolds \mathcal{N} such that $\text{gr}(\mathcal{N}) \simeq \mathcal{M}$ using the sheaf of automorphisms $\mathcal{A}ut\mathcal{O}$ of \mathcal{O} . More precisely consider the following subsheaf of $\mathcal{A}ut\mathcal{O}$:

$$\mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O} = \{a \in \mathcal{A}ut\mathcal{O} \mid a(u) - u \in \mathcal{J}^2 \text{ for any } u \in \mathcal{O}\},$$

see also [Oni, Formula (17)]. Recall that \mathcal{J} is the sheaf of ideals generated by odd elements in \mathcal{O} . Denote by $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}^*)$ the group of automorphisms of \mathbb{E}^* . There is a natural action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}^*)$ on the sheaf $\mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O}$, see [Oni, Section 1.4]. This action induces an action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}^*)$ on the set $H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O})$. By Green [Gr] points of the set of orbits $H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O})/\text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}^*)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with isomorphism classes of supermanifolds \mathcal{N} such that $\text{gr}(\mathcal{N}) \simeq \mathcal{M}$. More precisely to any supermanifold \mathcal{N} such that $\text{gr}(\mathcal{N}) \simeq \mathcal{M}$ we can assign a class $\gamma \in H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O})$. If γ_i is the class corresponding to a supermanifold \mathcal{N}_i such that $\text{gr}(\mathcal{N}_i) \simeq \mathcal{M}$, where $i = 1, 2$. Then $\mathcal{N}_1 \simeq \mathcal{N}_2$ if and only if γ_1 and γ_2 are in the same orbit of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}^*)$.

In [R] the following map of sheaves was defined

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}er_2\mathcal{O},$$

see also [Oni, Formula (19)]. Combining the map (11) and the map $\mathcal{D}er_2\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}er\mathcal{F}$ from (10) we get the following map of cohomology sets

$$H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}er\mathcal{F})$$

and the corresponding map of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}^*)$ -orbits

$$H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \text{Aut}_{(2)}\mathcal{O})/\text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}^*) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \text{Der}\mathcal{F})/\text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}^*).$$

If $\gamma \in H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \text{Aut}_{(2)}\mathcal{O})$ corresponds to a non-split supermanifold \mathcal{N} , the image of γ in $H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \text{Der}\mathcal{F})$ is called *the first obstruction class* to splitting of \mathcal{N} and following [DW2, Section 2.1] we denote this class by ω_2 .

Consider the case when \mathcal{M} has odd dimension 2 in details. Since $\bigwedge^3 \mathcal{E}^* = \{0\}$, from (10) it follows that

$$\text{Der}_2\mathcal{O} \simeq \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \text{Der}\mathcal{F}.$$

In this case the map (11) is an isomorphism. Therefore we have the following set bijection

$$H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \text{Aut}_{(2)}\mathcal{O}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \text{Der}\mathcal{F})$$

and the corresponding bijection of the sets of orbits. Now Green's result [Gr] implies that ω_2 is the only obstruction for a supermanifold to be split in this case. In other words a supermanifold \mathcal{N} of odd dimension 2 such that $\text{gr}(\mathcal{N}) \simeq \mathcal{M}$ is split if and only if $\omega_2 = 0$. Note that the notion of a split and a projectable, see [DW1] for details, supermanifold coincide in this case.

3.2. The Donagi and Witten construction. In [DW2, Section 2.1] the first obstruction class ω_2 to splitting a supermanifold $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{O})$ was interpreted in terms of a certain sheaf of differential operators on \mathcal{M} . Namely, the obstruction class ω defined above is the Atiyah class of the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \text{TM} \rightarrow D_\omega \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 E \rightarrow 0$$

where sections of D_ω are identified with some factor of $\mathcal{D}_-^2|_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ where the meaning of the latter is explained below.

Let us remind this construction using charts and local coordinates. Consider two charts \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 on \mathcal{M} with non-empty intersection and with local coordinates (x_i, ξ_a) and (y_j, η_b) , where $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $a, b = 1, \dots, m$. Let in $\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2$ we have the following transition functions

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} y_j &= F_j + \frac{1}{2} G_j^{a_1 a_2} \xi_{a_1} \xi_{a_2} + \dots \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \\ \eta_b &= H_b^a \xi_a + \dots, \quad b = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

where $F_j, G_j^{a_1 a_2}, H_i^b$ are (holomorphic) functions depending only on even coordinates (x_i) .

If $D : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a differential operator let $[D] : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ denotes the composition of D with the projection $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{F}$.

Following Donagi and Witten, see [DW2, Section 2.1], we define the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_-^2|_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ on the underlying manifold \mathcal{M}_0 as a sheaf locally generated over \mathcal{F} by

$$\left\langle 1, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right], \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right], \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_a \partial \xi_b} \right] \right\rangle.$$

In [DW2, Theorem 2.5] it was shown that this definition does not depend on local coordinates. Note that the operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_a}$ anticommute, ie. $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_a \partial \xi_b} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_b \partial \xi_a}$. We

can get transition function for generators of $\mathcal{D}_-^2|_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ writing down the transition function for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_a \partial \xi_b}$ and then factorizing them by \mathcal{J} . Indeed,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial \eta_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_\alpha}; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \xi_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial \eta_\alpha}{\partial \xi_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_\alpha}.$$

Therefore modulo \mathcal{J} we have:

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_{a_1} \partial \xi_{a_2}} \right] = \left(\frac{\partial \eta_\alpha}{\partial \xi_{a_1}} \frac{\partial^2(y_j)}{\partial \xi_{a_1} \partial \xi_{a_2}} \right)_{\text{red}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right] - \left(\frac{\partial \eta_\alpha}{\partial \xi_{a_1}} \frac{\partial \eta_\beta}{\partial \xi_{a_2}} \right)_{\text{red}} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_\alpha \partial \eta_\beta} \right].$$

Compare with [DW2, Formula 2.13]. Using (12) we can write the transition functions for $\mathcal{D}_-^2|_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ explicitly.

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} x_i &= F_i^{-1}(y_j); \\ \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right] &= \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_i} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right]; \quad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_a} \right] = H_a^b \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_b} \right]; \\ \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_{b_1} \partial \xi_{b_2}} \right] &= -G_j^{b_1 b_2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right] - H_\alpha^{b_1} H_\beta^{b_2} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_\alpha \partial \eta_\beta} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in (13) it is more correct to use the index *red* for even coordinates, for example $(x_i)_{\text{red}}$. However we omit red for simplicity of notations. We conclude this subsection with the following important remark.

Remark 16. *Comparing Formulas (12) and (13), we see that Formulas (13) contain the whole information about Formulas (12) modulo \mathcal{J}^3 . In other words using Formulas (13) we can reconstruct Formulas (12) modulo \mathcal{J}^3 .*

One of purposes of this paper is to develop this observation. This leads to an idea to use the theory of n -fold vector bundles and the theory of graded manifolds of degree n to recover a supermanifolds of odd dimension greater than 2.

3.3. A geometric interpretation of the Donagi and Witten construction.

In this subsection we give a description of the geometric object with transition functions (13). We use the theory of double vector bundles and graded manifolds of degree 2.

Lemma 17. *Let \mathbb{D} be a vector bundle over M characterized by the space of sections, $\Gamma(\mathbb{D}) = \mathcal{D}_-^2|_{\mathcal{M}_0}$. Then the dual bundle \mathbb{D}^* has transition functions of a skew-symmetric **DVB**. More precisely, there exist a skew-symmetric **DVB** (D, σ) such that the space $\mathcal{A}_-^{\alpha+\beta}(D)$ coincides with the space of sections of the vector bundle \mathbb{D}^* .*

Proof. We simply transpose and reverse the formulas (13). □

The skew-symmetric **DVB** arising from the above lemma will be denoted by $\text{Vb}_2(\mathcal{M})$.

The Atiyah class of the exact sequence (8), that is the obstruction class of splitting of this sequence, is an element in $H^1(M; A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C)$. It will be also called the Atiyah class associated with the **DVB** D and denoted by $\text{At}(D)$. Note that the Atiyah class associated with any dual (vertical or horizontal) of D coincides with $\text{At}(D)$. Conversely if we have the sequence (8) we can reconstruct the double vector bundle D .

Now let \mathcal{M} be a supermanifold with transition function (12) and let $E = \text{Vb}_1(\mathcal{M})$. The Atiyah sequence associated with $D = \text{Vb}_2(\mathcal{M})$ (which is a double vector bundle with the same side bundles E and the core TM_0) is

$$0 \rightarrow \text{TM}_0 \rightarrow \widehat{D} \rightarrow E \otimes E \rightarrow 0.$$

The obstruction for splitting of this exact sequence is

$$\text{At}(\text{Vb}_2(\mathcal{M})) \in H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \text{T}(\mathcal{M}_0) \otimes E^* \otimes E^*).$$

Due to the decomposition $E \otimes E = \text{Sym}^2 E \oplus \bigwedge^2 E$, the Atiyah class $\text{At}(D)$, where $D = \text{Vb}_2(\mathcal{M})$, decomposes to $\text{At}_+(D) + \text{At}_-(D)$, where $\text{At}_+(D) \in H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \text{T}(\mathcal{M}_0) \otimes \text{Sym}^2 E^*)$ and $\text{At}_-(D) \in H^1(\mathcal{M}_0; \text{T}\mathcal{M}_0 \otimes \bigwedge^2 E^*)$. We are only interested with $\text{At}_-(D)$ because our DVB $\text{Vb}_2(\mathcal{M})$ is a skew-symmetric **DVB**, hence $\text{At}_+(D) = 0$.

The class $\text{At}_-(\mathcal{M})$ is here the same as the Atiyah class of the sequence (9) associated with the skew-symmetric **DVB** (D, σ) . It also coincides with the first obstruction class to splitting of the supermanifold \mathcal{M} as in [DW2, Section 2].

Later we will give a geometric interpretation of this fact.

3.4. A modification of the Donagi–Witten construction. In this subsection we suggest a different way how to obtain a double vector bundle with obstruction the class ω_2 . First of all to write Formulas (13) we need the transition functions (12) and their inverse. To avoid this inconvenience we can use differential forms instead of differential operators.

Let \mathcal{M} be a supermanifold as above. Consider as above two charts \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 with non-empty intersection on \mathcal{M} with local coordinates (x_i, ξ_a) and (y_j, η_b) and transition functions (12). Further consider the antitangent bundles $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})$ of \mathcal{M} and two charts $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{U}_1)$ and $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{U}_2)$ with standard coordinates $(x_i, \xi_a, dx_i, d\xi_a)$ and $(y_j, \eta_b, dy_j, d\eta_b)$, respectively. Thus $x_i, d\xi_a$ are even local coordinates in $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{U}_1)$, while ξ_a, dx_i are odd ones. In $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{U}_1) \cap \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{U}_2)$ we get the following transition functions.

$$\begin{aligned} y_j &= F_j + G_j^{a_1 a_2} \xi_{a_1} \xi_{a_2} + \cdots, & \eta_a &= H_a^b \xi_b + \cdots; \\ dy_j &= \sum_{b=1}^n (F_j)_{x_b} dx_b + \sum_{b=1}^n (G_j^{a_1 a_2})_{x_b} dx_b \xi_{a_1} \xi_{a_2} + G_j^{a_1 a_2} (d(\xi_{a_1}) \xi_{a_2} - \xi_{a_1} d(\xi_{a_2})) + \cdots; \\ d\eta_a &= \sum_{b=1}^n (H_a^i)_{x_b} dx_b \xi_j + H_a^b d\xi_b + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Here we denoted by $(F_j)_{x_b}$ the derivation of F_j by x_b . Now we apply the functor split gr to $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})$. In local coordinates this means that we factorize our transition functions by all terms that contain more than one odd variable. Then $\text{gr}\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})$ has the following transition functions

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad y_j &= F_j(x); \\ \eta_a &= H_a^b \xi_b; \\ dy_j &= \sum_{b=1}^n (F_j)_{x_b} dx_b + G_j^{a_1 a_2} (d(\xi_{a_1}) \xi_{a_2} - \xi_{a_1} d(\xi_{a_2})); \\ d\eta_a &= H_a^b d\xi_b. \end{aligned}$$

If we compare Formulas (14) with [Vo, Section 2.2, Formulas (9)-(12)], we see that a manifold with such transition functions is a double vector bundle, which we denote

by \mathbb{D} . We can see $\text{gr}\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})$ as a graded manifold of type $\Delta = \{0, \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\}$, where α is odd (the weight of ξ_a) and β is even (the weight of $d\xi$). Formulas (14) shows that all weights are well-defined. The double vector bundle

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{D}' & \longrightarrow & E[\bar{1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_0 \end{array}$$

is only slightly different from the double vector bundle $\text{Vb}_2(\mathcal{M})$. In our case one side bundle is pure even.

Remark 18. *By definition the composition of functors $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}$ is a functor from the category of supermanifolds to the category of (split) supermanifolds. However above implies that we can see the image of $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}$ as the category of double vector bundles (with some additional structure). For simplicity we will use the same notation $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}$ meaning a functor from the category of supermanifolds to the category of double vector bundles. Similarly in next sections we will consider the functor $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n)}$ as a functor from the category of supermanifolds to the category of n -fold vector bundles.*

Now we can go further and give an interpretation of ω_2 as the obstruction class of splitting of a graded manifold of degree 2. First of all let us change that parity of the side bundle \mathbb{E} . (In other words we apply the functor parity change, see [Vo].) To do this we need to rewrite (14) in the following form

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} y_j &= F_j; \\ \eta_a &= H_a^b \xi_b; \\ dy_j &= \sum_{b=1}^n (F_j)_{x_b} dx_b + G_j^{a_1 a_2} (\xi_{a_2} d(\xi_{a_1}) - \xi_{a_1} d(\xi_{a_2})); \\ d\eta_a &= H_a^b d\xi_b. \end{aligned}$$

and to change the parity of the weight β .

Now we use a result obtained by [JL, CM] for double vector bundles and by [BGR, Vi1] for n -fold vector bundles. Later we will call this step "to apply the functor inverse". In more details in [JL, CM] a functor was constructed from the category of graded manifolds of degree 2 to the category of double vector bundles with some additional structures. In [BGR, Vi1] an analogue of this result was obtained for graded manifolds of degree n and for graded manifolds of type Δ . (Note that in all these papers [JL, CM, BGR, Vi1] the categories of double vector bundles with additional structures are different. In this paper we follow approaches of [BGR, Vi1].) In [JL, CM] it was shown that this functor is an equivalence of the category of double vector bundles with some additional structures and the category of graded manifolds of degree 2. In [BGR, Vi1] it was shown that this functor is an equivalence of the category of n -fold vector bundles with some additional structures and the category of graded manifolds of degree n or of type Δ . The inversion of this functor, that is the functor from the category of n -fold vector bundles with some additional structures to the category of graded manifolds of degree n , we call the *functor inverse*. We will denote the functor inverse by ι .

In terms of local coordinates "to apply the functor inverse" means that we identify ξ_a with $d\xi_a$ in (16). We get

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} y_j &= F_j; \\ \theta_a &= H_a^i \zeta_i; \\ t_j &= \sum_{b=1}^n (F_j)_{x_b} z_b + 2G_j^{a_1 a_2} \zeta_{a_1} \zeta_{a_2}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtained transition functions of a graded manifold \mathcal{N} of degree 2, which we will denote also by $F_2(\mathcal{M})$. We assign the following weights to our local coordinates: x_i (weight 0); ζ_j (weight α); z_s (weight 2α). In other words, the transition functions (17) defines a graded manifold of type $\Delta = \{0, \alpha, 2\alpha\}$, where α is odd. Note that we can remove the coefficient 2 in (17). Indeed, it is enough to replace ζ_i by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\zeta'_i$ in any chart.

Remark 19. *Let us give another explanation of the procedure "to apply the functor inverse". Consider two graded domain \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 with graded coordinates (x_i, ζ_j, z_i) and (y_i, θ_j, t_i) , respectively, and with weights as above. Define transition functions $\mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2$ by (17). (Let us omit the coefficient 2.) Now we apply the tangent functor \mathbf{T} to \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 and to the morphism (17) and factorize the result by the sheaf of ideals locally generated by dx_i (or by dy_i). We get*

$$\begin{aligned} y_j &= F_j; \\ \theta_a &= H_a^i \zeta_i; \quad d\theta_a = H_a^i d\zeta_i; \\ dt_j &= \sum_{b=1}^n (F_j)_{x_b} dz_b + G_j^{a_1 a_2} (\zeta_{a_2} d(\zeta_{a_1}) - \zeta_{a_1} d(\zeta_{a_2})). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain Formulas (16) up to appropriate change of variables. The inversion of this procedure is called "to apply the functor inverse".

The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}} = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_q$ of \mathcal{N} is \mathbb{Z} -graded. Clearly $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_1 \cdot (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_1 \subset (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_2$. Therefore we can assign to \mathcal{N} the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_1 \cdot (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_1 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_2 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_2 / (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_1 \cdot (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

The Atiyah class of this sequence is represented by a cocycle $(G_J^{a_1 a_2})$ and coincides with the obstruction class of the double vector bundle (16). It is called the *obstruction class of the graded manifold $F_2(\mathcal{M})$ of degree 2*.

Let us summarize our results in the following theorem.

Theorem 20 (Main theorem about the first obstruction class). *The first obstruction class w_2 for a supermanifold \mathcal{M} coincides with the obstruction class $\text{At}_-(\text{Vb}_2(\mathcal{M}))$ of the skew-symmetric double vector bundle $\text{Vb}_2(\mathcal{M})$ and with the obstruction class of the graded manifold $F_2(\mathcal{M})$ of degree 2.*

Note that the map $\mathcal{M} \mapsto F_2(\mathcal{M})$ is a functor from the category of supermanifolds of odd dimension 2 to the category of graded manifolds of degree 2. Indeed, F_2 is a composition of four functors: \mathbf{T} , gr , π and ι . This functor defines an equivalence between the category of supermanifolds of odd dimension 2 and the category of graded manifolds of degree 2 with the following additional condition. If $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a graded manifold of degree 2, we additionally assume that the locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E} := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_2 / (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_1 \cdot (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_1$ is isomorphic to the sheaf of sections of $\mathbf{T}[\bar{1}](\mathcal{N}_0)$. In

this case we can choose local coordinates in the form (17). Using (17) we can write transition function for a supermanifold of odd dimension 2

$$\begin{aligned} y_j &= F_j + G_j^{a_1 a_2} \zeta_{a_1} \zeta_{a_2}; \\ \theta_a &= H_a^i \zeta_i. \end{aligned}$$

These transition functions satisfy the cocycle condition. Indeed, consider three charts $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ and \mathcal{U}_3 with $\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2 \cap \mathcal{U}_3 \neq \emptyset$. Denote by T_{ij} the transition function $T_{ij} : \mathcal{U}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_j$. Consider the following composition of maps

$$T_{31} \circ T_{23} \circ T_{12} =: R.$$

Since F_2 is a functor, we get

$$F_2(T_{31}) \circ F_2(T_{23}) \circ F_2(T_{12}) = F_2(R).$$

The composition $F_2(T_{31}) \circ F_2(T_{23}) \circ F_2(T_{12})$ is equal to id , since the cocycle condition for the graded manifold \mathcal{N} holds true. Therefore $F_2(R) = id$. The morphism $R : \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_1$ is completely defined by its image $F_2(R) = id$. Therefore, $R = id$.

Denote the category of supermanifold of odd dimension 2 by Smf_2 and the category of graded manifolds of degree 2 and of odd dimension 2 with the additional condition for $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_2 / (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_1 \cdot (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_1$ as above, by $\text{GrMan}_2\mathbf{T}$. Now we can summarize our results in the following theorem.

Theorem 21. *The categories Smf_2 and $\text{GrMan}_2\mathbf{T}$ are equivalent.*

In [RV] we generalize this theorem to the category of supermanifolds of any odd dimension.

Remark 22. *If our supermanifold \mathcal{M} has the odd dimension $m > 2$, we still can repeat the procedure above. Therefore the functor F_2 is a functor from the category of supermanifolds to the category of graded manifold of degree 2. However for any dimension the functor F_2 is not an embedding. In this case from a graded manifold of degree 2 we can recover a supermanifold module \mathcal{J}^3 .*

Summing up, in this section we showed that the first obstruction class to splitting of the supermanifold in the sense of [DW2, Section 2] coincides with the obstruction class of the splitting of the double vector bundle $\text{gr}\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})$ and with the obstruction class of the splitting of the graded manifold $F_2(\mathcal{M})$. A general splitting theory for supermanifolds of odd dimension m based on splitting of the corresponding m -fold vector bundles and graded manifolds of degree m will be developed in our oncoming paper.

4. A GENERALIZATION OF THE DONAGI–WITTEN CONSTRUCTION

In this section we give a construction of functors F_n , where $n = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$, from the category of supermanifolds to the category of graded manifolds of degree n . Due to the size of this paper and technical difficulty of the proof of the main result, the existence of the functor inverse ι for any supermanifold of the form $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})$, where \mathcal{M} is a supermanifold, we leave details of this proof to Part II of this paper. Here we give only the idea of the proof.

The functor F_n is again a composition of four functors: the $(n - 1)$ -times (or infinity many times for $n = \infty$) iterated antitangent functor $\mathbf{T}^{(n-1)} := \mathbf{T} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{T}$, the functor split gr , the functor parity change π and the functor inverse ι . If \mathcal{M} is a supermanifold, then $\mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M})$, $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M})$ are already defined. Denote by Δ the maximal multiplicity free weight system generated by an odd

weight α and by even weights $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}$, see Definition 3. We need the following propositions.

Proposition 23. *The supermanifold $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M})$ is an n -fold vector bundle of type Δ , where Δ is the maximal multiplicity free system generated by an odd weight α and by even weights $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}$.*

Proof. Let d_i , where $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, be the iterated de Rham differentials. They are vector fields in the structure sheaf of $\mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M})$. Denote by d_I , where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, the composition $d_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ d_{i_k}$. Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal generated by odd elements in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M})}$ and let us choose a chart \mathcal{U} on \mathcal{M} with local coordinates (x_a, ξ_b) . Then the corresponding standard local coordinates in the chart $\mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{U})$ are $(d_I(x_a), d_J(\xi_b))_{C(I), C(J) \leq n-1}$, where $C(I)$ is the cardinality of I . Hence the corresponding local coordinates in the chart $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{U})$ are $(d_I(x_a) + \mathcal{I}^2, d_J(\xi_b) + \mathcal{I}^2)_{C(I), C(J) \leq n-1}$. We assign the weight $\alpha + \beta_{i_1} + \dots + \beta_{i_k}$ to $d_I(Z) + \mathcal{I}^2$, where $Z \in \{x_a, \xi_b\}$, if $d_I(Z)$ is odd and the weight $\beta_{i_1} + \dots + \beta_{i_k}$ to $d_I(Z) + \mathcal{I}^2$ if $d_I(Z)$ is even.

It remains to prove that transition functions in $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M})$ preserve our weights. Let \mathcal{U}' be another chart on \mathcal{M} with coordinates (x'_a, ξ'_b) such that $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}' \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$x'_a = \sum_{C(K)=2k} F_K(x) \xi_K$$

be the expression of x'_a in coordinates of \mathcal{U} . Then $d_I(x'_a) + \mathcal{I}^2$ in coordinates of $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{U})$ is a sum of monomials in the following form.

$$(18) \quad H_{I,K}(x) d_{I_1}(x_{a_1}) \cdots d_{I_p}(x_{a_p}) d_{I_{p+1}}(\xi_{b_1}) \cdots d_{I_q}(\xi_{b_q}) + \mathcal{I}^2,$$

where $H_{I,K}(x)$ is a certain derivative of $F_K(x)$, which has weight 0, and (I_1, \dots, I_q) is a decomposition of the sequence I into q parts. We see that the weights of $d_I(x'_a) + \mathcal{I}^2$ and of (18) coincide. Similarly for $d_I(\xi'_b) + \mathcal{I}^2$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 24. *The functor $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}$ is an embedding of the category of supermanifolds of odd dimension n into the category of n -fold vector bundles of type Δ .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{N} be an n -fold vector bundle. Let us show that if a preimage \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{N} exists, it is unique. The idea of the proof is similar to the idea of the proof of Theorem 21. Assume that there exists another supermanifold \mathcal{M}' with $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M}')$. By construction we have $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}'_0 = \mathcal{N}_0$. Let us choose an atlas $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ on \mathcal{M} and an atlas $\{\mathcal{U}'_i\}$ on \mathcal{M}' such that $(\mathcal{U}_i)_0 = (\mathcal{U}'_i)_0$. Clearly such atlases there exist, since it is sufficient to choose any atlas on \mathcal{M}_0 of Stein domains. Now consider two charts \mathcal{U}'_1 and \mathcal{U}'_2 with $\mathcal{U}'_1 \cap \mathcal{U}'_2 \neq \emptyset$ on \mathcal{M}' . Denote by T' the transition function $T' : \mathcal{U}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'_2$ and by T the transition function $T : \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2$. By our assumption $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(T) = \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(T')$. But this implies that $T = T'$. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 25. *The question when the functor $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}$ possesses a preimage is treated in [RV].*

Now we can use results of [BGR] and [Vi1] to define the functor inverse. Note that these two results lead to two different approaches to the problem. Let us start with a description of results [BGR] or [Vi1]. In [BGR] a functor G_n was

constructed from the category of graded manifolds of degree n to the category of n -fold symmetric vector bundles. In [Vi1] a functor V_n was constructed from the category of graded manifolds of degree n to the category of n -fold vector bundles with $n - 1$ odd commutative homological vector fields. In both cases it was proven that these functors are equivalence of categories. In Section 3 we saw that due to the fact that the first obstruction class ω_2 is an element in

$$H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathbf{T}[\bar{1}])(\mathcal{M}_0) \otimes \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{E}^*$$

we can construct a graded manifold of degree 2 with transition functions (17) from a double vector bundle given by (16). In terms of [BGR] this means that the double vector bundle with the obstruction class ω_2 is symmetric and $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})$ is in the image of the functor G_2 constructed in [BGR]. Our functor inverse ι is the inversion of the equivalence G_2 . More generally we have

Theorem 26. *For a supermanifold \mathcal{M} of odd dimension n the image $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M})$ is a symmetric n -fold vector bundle. Therefore there exists a graded manifold \mathcal{N} of degree n such that $G_n(\mathcal{N}) \simeq \pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M})$. In other words the functor inverse $\iota = G_n^{-1}$ is defined on the image of the functor $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}$.*

Let us describe another approach based on results of [Vi1]. The graded supermanifold $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})$ possesses a natural odd homological vector field. Indeed, let d_R be the exterior derivative (de Rham differential) of the supermanifold \mathcal{M} . Clearly d_R is an odd homological vector field in the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})}$ of $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})$. Denote by \mathcal{I} the sheaf of ideals locally generated by all odd variables of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})}$. Then we have

$$d_R(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})} \quad \text{and} \quad d_R(\mathcal{I}^2) \subset \mathcal{I}.$$

Therefore we have an induced operator in the structure sheaf of $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})} = \text{gr} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathcal{I}^p / \mathcal{I}^{p+1}.$$

Clearly this induced operator is odd and homological. Hence the double vector bundle $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M})$ is a double vector bundle with a homological vector field. Therefore by [Vi1] there exists a graded manifold $V_2^{-1}(\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M}))$ of degree 2. This procedute can be generalized. Now we can formulate a general result.

Theorem 27. *For a supermanifold \mathcal{M} of odd dimension n the image $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M})$ is an n -fold vector bundle with $n-1$ commuting odd homological vector fields. Therefore there exists a graded manifold \mathcal{N} of degree n such that $V_n(\mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M})$. In other words the functor $\iota' = V_n^{-1}$ is defined on the image of $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}$.*

The idea of the proof is simple: the $(n - 1)$ -times iterated tangent functor leads to $n - 1$ commuting de Rham differentials, which induce $(n - 1)$ commuting odd homological vector fields on $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{M})$. We leave details of the proof to Part II of this paper. Note that in this case the functor parity change π is included in the functor V_n , therefore we do not need to apply it explicitly. We conclude this section with the following proposition

Proposition 28. *The functors $\iota \circ \pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}$ and $\iota' \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{(n-1)}$ are embeddings of the category of supermanifolds of odd dimension n to the category of graded manifolds of degree n .*

Proof. The proposition follows from Proposition 24, from the fact that π is an equivalence of categories of n -fold vector bundles and from Theorems 26 and 27. \square

The inverse limit of functors F_n is denoted by F_∞ . That is if \mathcal{M} is a supermanifold, we put $F_\infty(\mathcal{M}) := \varprojlim F_n(\mathcal{M})$ and the same for morphisms.

5. DONAGI–WITTEN FUNCTOR FOR LIE SUPERALGEBRAS AND LIE SUPERGROUPS

In this section, we adapt the results of Section 3 to the case of Lie superalgebras and Lie supergroups. We show that there is an analogue of the functor F_2 in the category of Lie superalgebras. We denote by sLieAlg the category of Lie superalgebras, by grLieAlg_n the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebras of type $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with $|1| = \bar{1}$ and by grLieAlg_∞ the category of non-negatively \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebras.

5.1. Donagi and Witten construction for Lie superalgebras. In this section we will construct a functor $F'_2 : \text{sLieAlg} \rightarrow \text{grLieAlg}_2$. In next sections we will use this construction to obtain a functor from the category of Lie superalgebras sLieAlg to the category grLieAlg_∞ . As in 3 the functor F'_2 is a composition of four functors, which we denote by \mathbf{T}' (*tangent*), gr' (*split*), π' (*parity change*) and ι' (*inverse*). (To distinguish the category of Lie superalgebras in the case we will use superscript. That is \mathbf{T}' instead of \mathbf{T} and so on.) Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ be a Lie superalgebra. Recall that to define a Lie superalgebra we need to define a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 , a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module \mathfrak{g}_1 and a symmetric \mathfrak{g}_0 -module map $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$ such that $[[Y, Y], Y] = 0$, for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$.

Tangent functor \mathbf{T}' . Since a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a vector superspace, its antitangent bundle is the following linear superspace

$$\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \text{d}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Here $\text{d}\mathfrak{g}$ denote a copy of \mathfrak{g} with reversed parity. In more details, $V = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \text{d}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a linear superspace with the underlying space $V_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \text{d}\mathfrak{g}_1$ and with the structure sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{V_0} \otimes S^*(\mathfrak{g}_1^* \oplus \text{d}\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$. If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, sometimes we will denote by $\text{d}(X)$ the corresponding element in $\text{d}(\mathfrak{g})$. Further the Lie superalgebra structure on $\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined as follows. The Lie bracket on the vector subspace $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \{0\}$ coincides with the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g} , further $\text{d}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a \mathfrak{g} -module, where the action of \mathfrak{g} coincide with the adjoint action up to sign

$$[X, \text{d}Y] := (-1)^{|X|} \text{d}([X, Y]).$$

and the product $[\text{d}(\mathfrak{g}), \text{d}(\mathfrak{g})] = 0$ is trivial.

Remark 29. *The Lie superalgebra $\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})$ sometimes is called in the literature a Takiff superalgebra, named after the author of [T]. This Lie superalgebra is a Lie superalgebra in the form $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[\tau]$, where τ is an odd element and $\mathbb{K}[\tau]$ are all polynomials in τ . Summing up, in our paper \mathbf{T}' is derived from both “Takiff” and “antitangent”.*

Functor split gr' . The functor gr' is defined as follows. For a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} we put $\text{gr}'(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g}'$, where \mathfrak{g}' is obtained from the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} putting $[\mathfrak{g}'_1, \mathfrak{g}'_1] = 0$. In [Vi2, Theorem 3], see also Theorem 36 below, it was shown that $\text{Lie}(\text{gr}\mathcal{G}) = \text{gr}'(\text{Lie}\mathcal{G})$ for any Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} . In other words \mathfrak{g}' is the Lie superalgebra of $\text{gr}(\mathcal{G})$, where \mathcal{G} is a Lie supergroup with the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} . Clearly gr' is defined on morphisms as well. Let us compute the composition of the functors $\text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'$.

Lemma 30. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie superalgebra. Then the Lie superalgebra $\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}))$ is equal to $\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})$ as \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules. And the following holds true for the Lie superalgebra multiplication*

$$[\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1] = 0, \quad [\mathfrak{g}_1, d(\mathfrak{g}_0)] = 0, \quad [d(\mathfrak{g}), d(\mathfrak{g})] = 0.$$

and $[Y_1, d(Y_2)] = -d([Y_1, Y_2])$ for $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$.

Remark 31. *Note that the Lie algebra $\text{gr}\mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{g})$ keeps all the information on the bracket on \mathfrak{g} . We can see the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{a} = \text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}))$ as a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebra of type $\{0, \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\}$, where α is odd and β is even. Indeed, we put*

$$\mathfrak{a}_0 := \mathfrak{g}_0, \quad \mathfrak{a}_\alpha := \mathfrak{g}_1, \quad \mathfrak{a}_\beta := d\mathfrak{g}_1, \quad \mathfrak{a}_{\alpha+\beta} := d\mathfrak{g}_0.$$

Lemma 30 implies that the multiplication in \mathfrak{a} is $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded.

Functor parity change π' . In previous sections we reminded how to define the functor π for double vector bundles. In this section we show that on the parity reversed double vector bundle $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$, where \mathcal{G} is a Lie supergroup, a Lie supergroup structure can be defined. We start with the case of Lie superalgebras.

We define the functor π' on the image of the composition of functors $\text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'$ as follows. The Lie superalgebra $\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}))$ possesses a parity reversion of the weight β . Indeed, by definition the Lie superalgebra $\pi'(\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})))$ is equal to $\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}))$ as \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules, but now we assume that elements X and $d(X)$ have the same parities. In other words we assume that d is even. More precisely, let $\mathfrak{a} = \text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}))$. Denote by \mathfrak{h} the vector superspace $\pi'(\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})))$. We have

$$\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathfrak{h}_\delta,$$

where $\Delta = \{0, \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\}$ with $|\alpha| = |\beta| = \bar{1}$. Let us define a Lie superalgebra structure on \mathfrak{h} of type Δ . We denote by $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{a}}$ the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{a} and by $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}$ the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{h} .

Proposition 32. *The Lie superalgebra structure on \mathfrak{h} is defines by the following data.*

- We set $[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{h}} = [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{a}}$ for all homogeneous X, Y , except for the case $X \in \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{h}_\beta$.
- For $X \in \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{h}_\beta$ we set $[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{h}} := [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{a}} = -[Y, X]_{\mathfrak{a}} =: [Y, X]_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Proof. Step 1. Clearly $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha+\beta}$ is a Lie algebra, namely it is a semidirect product of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{a}_0 and \mathfrak{a}_0 -module $\mathfrak{a}_{\alpha+\beta}$.

Step 2. \mathfrak{h}_1 is a \mathfrak{h}_0 -module. Clearly, \mathfrak{h}_1 is a \mathfrak{h}_0 -module and $\mathfrak{h}_{\alpha+\beta}$ acts trivially on \mathfrak{h}_1 .

Step 3. Note that the map $\mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_0$ induced by $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is an \mathfrak{h}_0 -module map. It remains only to check Jacobi identity for homogeneous $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\beta$. However the product of any three elements of this form is 0. \square

Later we will give another proof of this result. It is easy to check that π' is defined on the morphisms of the form $\text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\phi)$, where $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a morphism of Lie superalgebras.

Functor inverse ι' . Above we explained the meaning of the functor inverse ι for supermanifolds. Now we show that an analogue of this functor can be defined in the category of Lie superalgebras. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie superalgebra. Denote by

$\mathfrak{p} := \iota' \circ \pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})$ a \mathbb{Z} -graded subsuperspace of $\mathfrak{h} := \pi'(\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})))$ with support $\{0, 1, 2\}$, where $|1| = \bar{1}$, given by

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 := \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}, \quad \mathfrak{p}_1 = \{Y + dY \mid Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}\} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\beta}, \quad \mathfrak{p}_2 := \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Proposition 33. *The superspace \mathfrak{p} is a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra with support $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and with $|1| = \bar{1}$.*

Proof. The proposition follows from a direct calculation. For example let us show that $[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_1] \subset \mathfrak{p}_2$. For $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [Y_1 + dY_1, Y_2 + dY_2] &= [Y_1, Y_2] + [dY_1, Y_2] + [Y_1, dY_2] + [dY_1, dY_2] = \\ &= d[Y_1, Y_2] + d[Y_1, Y_2] = 2d[Y_1, Y_2] \in \mathfrak{p}_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that the functor ι' is defined only for Lie superalgebras of the form $\pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})$. Further, if $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a morphism of Lie superalgebras, then the morphism $\pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(f)$ can be restricted to the subalgebras $\iota' \circ \pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \iota' \circ \pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}_1)$. Summing up, we constructed the following functor

$$F'_2 := \iota' \circ \pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}' : \text{sLieAlg} \rightarrow \text{grLieAlg}_2.$$

This functor is an embedding of category sLieAlg into grLieAlg_2 .

Remark 34. (1) *It is necessary to apply the functor π' before the functor inverse. Indeed, if Y and dY , where $Y \in \mathfrak{p}_1$, have different parities, we will get*

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} [Y_1 + dY_1, Y_2 + dY_2] &= [Y_1, Y_2] + [dY_1, Y_2] + [Y_1, dY_2] + [dY_1, dY_2] = \\ &= d[Y_1, Y_2] - d[Y_1, Y_2] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *Another observation is the following. Lie superalgebras of the form $\text{gr}'(\mathfrak{k})$, where \mathfrak{k} is a Lie superalgebra possesses another parity reversion*

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\bar{1}} \longmapsto \mathfrak{k}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\bar{1}[\bar{1}]},$$

where $[\bar{1}]$ is the shift of parity by $\bar{1}$. In other words we assume that all odd elements are even. Clearly $\mathfrak{k}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\bar{1}[\bar{1}]}$ is a Lie algebra (not superalgebra). In this case the same argument, see (19), shows that the functor inverse loses information about original Lie superalgebra.

5.2. Donagi and Witten construction for Lie supergroups. In this section we develop the construction of Section 3 for Lie supergroups. In details, we apply the functor F_2 to a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} . Again F_2 is a composition of four functors: \mathbf{T} (tangent), gr (split), π (parity change) and ι (inverse).

Tangent functor \mathbf{T} . Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie supergroup with multiplication μ , inversion κ and identity e . Clearly, $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ is a Lie supergroup as the functor \mathbf{T} preserves products. Indeed, since \mathbf{T} is a functor the morphisms $\mathbf{T}(\mu)$, $\mathbf{T}(\kappa)$ and $\mathbf{T}(e)$ satisfy the Lie supergroup axioms.

Proposition 35. *The Lie superalgebra of the Lie supergroup $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ is equal to $\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. We shall follow the definition of the Lie functor of a Lie supergroup given in [BLMS]. Let $X_1, X_2 \in T_e\mathcal{G}$ be homogeneous. Any homogeneous vector $X \in T_e\mathcal{G}$

can be represented by a curve $g : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ where \mathcal{R} is $\mathbb{R}^{1|0}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{0|1}$ depending on the parity of X , so that

$$g^*(f) = f(e) + tX(f) \bmod I_t^2,$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}(U)$ defined in a neighbourhood $U \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ of e , where t is the distinguished coordinate on \mathcal{R} of parity $\bar{0}$ or $\bar{1}$, and $I_t = \langle t \rangle$ is the ideal generated by t . Say $X_1, X_2 \in \mathbf{T}_e \mathcal{G}$ are represented by curves $g_i : \mathcal{R}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, where $i = 1, 2$ and s, t be the distinguished coordinates on $\mathbb{R}_1, \mathbb{R}_2$, respectively. Then there exist $Z \in \mathbf{T}_e \mathcal{G}$ such that for $\Phi := g_1 g_2 (g_1)^{-1} (g_2)^{-1} : \mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ we have

$$\Phi^*(f) = f(e) + (-1)^{|X||Y|} t s Z(f) \bmod I_t^2 + I_s^2.$$

The bracket $[X_1, X_2]$ is defined as Z .

We are ready to describe the Lie superalgebra $\text{Lie}(\mathbf{T}\mathcal{G})$. Let (U, x^A) be a chart around $e \in U \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ with local coordinates (x^A) . Without loss of generality we may assume that $x^A(e) = 0$. The group law assures that the Taylor expansion of the multiplication map $m : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ has the form

$$m((x^A), (\underline{x}^A)) = (x^A + \underline{x}^A + \frac{1}{2} Q_{BC}^A x^C \underline{x}^B \bmod I),$$

where $I = \langle x^2, \underline{x}^2 \rangle$. Moreover, $Q_{BC}^A = -(-1)^{\bar{B}\bar{C}} Q_{BC}^A$. The inverse $(x^A)^{-1}$ is given by $(-x^A) \bmod I$. The multiplication on $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ is given by $\mathbf{T}(m)$, ie.

$$(x^A, \dot{x}^A) \cdot (\underline{x}^A, \dot{\underline{x}}^A) = \left(x^A + \underline{x}^A + \frac{1}{2} Q_{BC}^A x^C \underline{x}^B, \dot{x}^A + \dot{\underline{x}}^A + \frac{1}{2} Q_{BC}^A (\dot{x}^C \underline{x}^B + x^C \dot{\underline{x}}^B) \right).$$

The inverse of (x^A, \dot{x}^A) is $(-x^A, -\dot{x}^A)$ modulo I , hence

$$\begin{aligned} (x^A, \dot{x}^A) \cdot (\underline{x}^A, \dot{\underline{x}}^A) \cdot (-x^A, -\dot{x}^A) \cdot (-\underline{x}^A, -\dot{\underline{x}}^A) &\equiv \\ \left(x^A + \underline{x}^A + \frac{1}{2} Q_{BC}^A x^C \underline{x}^B, \dot{x}^A + \dot{\underline{x}}^A + \frac{1}{2} Q_{BC}^A (\dot{x}^C \underline{x}^B + x^C \dot{\underline{x}}^B) \right) &\cdot \\ \cdot \left(-x^A - \underline{x}^A + \frac{1}{2} Q_{BC}^A x^C \underline{x}^B, -\dot{x}^A - \dot{\underline{x}}^A + \frac{1}{2} Q_{BC}^A (\dot{x}^C \underline{x}^B + x^C \dot{\underline{x}}^B) \right) & \\ \equiv (Q_{BC}^A x^C \underline{x}^B, Q_{BC}^A (\dot{x}^C \underline{x}^B + x^C \dot{\underline{x}}^B)) \bmod I. & \end{aligned}$$

We read off from the last formula that $[\partial_{x^C}, \partial_{x^B}] = Q_{BC}^A \partial_{x^A}$, $[\partial_{\dot{x}^C}, \partial_{\dot{x}^B}] = Q_{BC}^A \partial_{\dot{x}^A}$, and $[\partial_{\dot{x}^A}, \partial_{\dot{x}^B}] = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

The following theorem was proved [Vi2].

Theorem 36. [Vi2, Theorem 3] *Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie supergroup corresponding to the super Harish-Chandra pair $(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{g})$, where \mathcal{G}_0 is the underlying space of \mathcal{G} and $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$. Then $\text{gr}(\mathcal{G})$ is a Lie supergroup corresponding to the following super Harish-Chandra pair $(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{g}')$, where $\mathfrak{g}' = \text{gr}'(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proposition 35 and Theorem 36 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 37. *Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie supergroup, then*

$$\text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}) = \text{Lie}(\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})).$$

As a consequence we get that the Lie supergroup $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ is a graded Lie supergroup of type $\{0, \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\}$, where $|\alpha| = \bar{1}$ and $|\beta| = \bar{0}$, with the graded Harish-Chandra pair $(\mathcal{G}_0, \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}))$ of the same type $\{0, \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\}$. (Compare also with Proposition 23.)

Functor parity change π . Let us describe the functor π using graded Harish-Chandra pairs. Let $(\mathcal{G}_0, \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}))$ be the graded Harish-Chandra pair of type $\{0, \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\}$ of the Lie supergroup $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ as above. In this case $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ is a group object in the category of double vector bundles. As we have seen in Section 3, the double vector bundle $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ possesses the following parity reversion: we change the parity of the weight β from even to odd. We denote this new vector bundle by $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$. Further we can define a Lie supergroup structure on $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ using the graded Harish-Chandra pair $(\mathcal{G}_0, \pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}))$ of type $\Delta = \{0, \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\}$, where $|\alpha| = |\beta| = \bar{1}$. Now the Lie supergroup $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathcal{G})$ is defined.

Let us describe the Lie supergroup morphisms of $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathcal{G})$ using the language of double vector bundles. If μ, κ and e are group morphisms of the Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} , then $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ is a group object in the category of double vector bundles with the structure morphisms $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mu)$, $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\kappa)$ and $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(e)$. Since the category of double vector bundles possesses the parity change: β even to β odd, we denote by $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ with $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mu)$, $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\kappa)$ and $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(e)$ the result of this parity change. Formulas (6) tells us that this definition coincides with the definition in terms of graded Harish-Chandra pairs.

Functor inverse ι . In Proposition 33 we saw that the Lie superalgebra $\pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})$ possesses a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie subsuperalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \iota' \circ \pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})$. We define by $\iota \circ \pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ the corresponding Lie subsupergroup. More precisely, we define $\iota \circ \pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ using the graded Harish-Chandra pairs $(\mathcal{G}_0, \iota' \circ \pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g}))$.

6. GENERALIZED DONAGI–WITTEN CONSTRUCTION FOR LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

In Section 4 we constructed an injective functor $F := F_\infty$ from the category of supermanifolds to the category of graded manifolds. In this section we show that this functor can be defined in the category of Lie supergroups. We start with Lie superalgebras. In more details we will construct a functor F' from the category of Lie superalgebras sLieAlg to the category of non-negatively \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie algebras grLieAlg_∞ . Further we will use these results for the category of Lie supergroups. Again the functor F' is a composition of four functors: the iterated antitangent functor \mathbf{T}'^∞ , the functor split gr' , the functor parity change π' and the functor inverse ι' .

6.1. Iterated tangent functor \mathbf{T}'^∞ . Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie superalgebra. Let us describe the superalgebra $\mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathbf{T}'^\infty := \mathbf{T}' \circ \mathbf{T}' \circ \dots$ is the infinitely many times iterated antitangent functor. Let us consider first twice iterated tangent functor $\mathbf{T}'^2(\mathfrak{g})$. By definition

$$\mathbf{T}'^2(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbf{T}'(\mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g} \oplus d_1(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g} \oplus d_1(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus d_2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus d_1(\mathfrak{g})).$$

We replaced d from Section 5.1 by d_1 and d_2 is the second de Rham differential. The multiplication in $\mathbf{T}' \circ \mathbf{T}'(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined in a natural way. Moreover we can easily verify the following lemma.

Lemma 38. *To obtain the multiplication in $\mathbf{T}'^2(\mathfrak{g})$ we can use the following rule: $[d_i X, d_j Y] = (-1)^{|X|} d_i d_j([X, Y])$ for any $X, Y \in \mathbf{T}'^2(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Corollary 39. *Since the operators d_i assumed to be odd, we have*

$$d_i d_i([X, Y]) = 0$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathbf{T}'^2(\mathfrak{g})$.

To define the functor T'^∞ we use de Rham differentials $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n, \dots$. Lemma 38 and Corrolary 39 imply the following lemma.

Lemma 40. *The Lie superalgebra $T'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ is an infinite dimensional Lie superalgebra with the underlying vector space*

$$\bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_p}(\mathfrak{g})$$

and multiplication defined by the following formula

$$[d_i X, d_j Y] = (-1)^{|X|} d_i d_j([X, Y])$$

for any $X, Y \in T'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$.

6.2. A connection with the functor of points for Lie superalgebras. For details about the functor of points for Lie superalgebras we refer for example to [Gav, Section 2.2.4]. Let us recall this construction. To any Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} we can associate a functor $L_{\mathfrak{g}}$ from the category of supercommutative algebras to the category of Lie algebras. It is defined as follows

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) = (A \otimes \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}},$$

where A is a super-commutative algebra. The product $A \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie superalgebra with the following multiplication

$$(20) \quad [a \otimes X, a' \otimes X'] := (-1)^{|X||a'|} a a' \otimes [X, X'].$$

Comparing with Lemma 40 we see that the Lie superalgebra $T'^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to the Lie superalgebra $\bigwedge(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. Clearly, $T'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to $\bigwedge(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, where $\bigwedge(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$ is the Grassmann algebra with infinitely many variables ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots . Now we see that

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}}(\bigwedge(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)) = T'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}.$$

6.3. Functors split gr' and parity change π' . The functor split is defined as above.

Remark 41. *The Lie superalgebra $gr' \circ T'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ is a graded Lie superalgebra with support Δ , where Δ is the maximal multiplicity free weight system generated by $\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k, \dots$. Here α is odd, while $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k, \dots$ are even. The grading is defined as follows. We assign the weight $\alpha + \beta_{i_1} + \dots + \beta_{i_p}$ to $d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_p}(Z)$, if $d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_p}(Z)$ is odd, and we assign the weight $\beta_{i_1} + \dots + \beta_{i_p}$ to $d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_p}(Z)$ if $d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_p}(Z)$ is even.*

For example elements of the subspace $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ have weight 0 and elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ have weight α .

Let us define the parity change functor π' . Let us take the Lie superalgebra $gr'(T'^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))$, where \mathfrak{g} is a Lie superalgebra. The functor parity change π' is defined as follows

$$\mathfrak{h} := \pi'(gr'(T'^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))) = gr'(T'^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))$$

as $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -modules. Further we assume that all operators d_i are even and again $d_i \circ d_i = 0$. In other words, this means that

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} := \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_p}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}), \quad \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} := \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_p}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}).$$

To simplify our presentation we will use the following notations

$$I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}, \quad J = \{j_1, \dots, j_q\}, \quad K = \{k_1, \dots, k_r\},$$

and d_I for $d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_p}$. We denote by $\sharp I := C(I) \bmod 2 = \bar{p}$ the cardinality of I modulo 2. The multiplication in $\mathfrak{h} = \pi'(\text{gr}'(\mathbb{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})))$ is defined by the following rules

(Rule 1) If $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$, we have $[d_I(\mathfrak{g}), d_J(\mathfrak{g})] = \{0\}$.

(Rule 2) If $\sharp I + \bar{i}$ and $\sharp J + \bar{j}$ are odd, we have $[d_I(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}}), d_J(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{j}})] = \{0\}$.

(Rule 3) In other cases we have $[d_I(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}}), d_J(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{j}})] = d_{I \cup J}([\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{j}}])$.

Theorem 42. *The superspace $\mathfrak{h} = \pi'(\text{gr}'(\mathbb{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})))$ is a Lie superalgebra.*

Proof. Step 1. Let us prove first that $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}$ is a Lie algebra. Consider the following subspace

$$\mathfrak{t} := \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_p}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}) \subset \text{gr}'(\mathbb{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Clearly, \mathfrak{t} is a Lie subsuperalgebra in $\text{gr}'(\mathbb{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))$ and this Lie superalgebra is split. This is $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{1}}$ with $[\mathfrak{t}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{1}}] = \{0\}$. Such Lie superalgebra possesses a parity reversion, see Remark 34 part (2). We can see that in notations of Remark 34 we have $\mathfrak{t}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{1}}[\bar{1}] = \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}$.

Step 2. Let us prove that $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ is an $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}$ -module. Let us take $d_I(X) \in d_I(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})$, $d_J(Y) \in d_J(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})$ and $d_K(Z) \in d_K(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})$ with $I \cap J = \emptyset$, $I \cap K = \emptyset$ and $J \cap K = \emptyset$. We consider the following cases

(1) Let $\sharp I = \sharp J = \bar{0}$, any K . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & [d_I(X), [d_J(Y), d_K(Z)]] + [d_J(Y), [d_K(Z), d_I(X)]] + [d_K(Z), [d_I(X), d_J(Y)]] = \\ & d_I \circ d_J \circ d_K([X, [Y, Z]]) + d_J \circ d_K \circ d_I([Y, [Z, X]]) + d_K \circ d_I \circ d_J([Z, [X, Y]]) = \\ & d_I \circ d_J \circ d_K([X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let $\sharp I = \bar{1}$, $\sharp J = \bar{0}$ and $\sharp K = \bar{0}$. Then

$$[d_I(X), [d_J(Y), d_K(Z)]] = [d_J(Y), [d_K(Z), d_I(X)]] = [d_K(Z), [d_I(X), d_J(Y)]] = 0.$$

(3) Let $\sharp I = \bar{1}$, $\sharp J = \bar{0}$ and $\sharp K = \bar{1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & [d_I(X), [d_J(Y), d_K(Z)]] + [d_J(Y), [d_K(Z), d_I(X)]] + [d_K(Z), [d_I(X), d_J(Y)]] = \\ & d_I \circ d_J \circ d_K([X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(4) Let $\sharp I = \sharp J = \bar{1}$ and $\sharp K = \bar{0}$. Then

$$[d_I(X), [d_J(Y), d_K(Z)]] = [d_J(Y), [d_K(Z), d_I(X)]] = [d_K(Z), [d_I(X), d_J(Y)]] = 0.$$

(5) Let $\sharp I = \sharp J = \sharp K = \bar{1}$. Then

$$[d_I(X), [d_J(Y), d_K(Z)]] = [d_J(Y), [d_K(Z), d_I(X)]] = [d_K(Z), [d_I(X), d_J(Y)]] = 0.$$

Step 3. Let us check Jacobi identity for elements from $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$. Let us take $d_I(X) \in d_I(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})$, $d_J(Y) \in d_J(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})$ and $d_K(Z) \in d_K(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})$ with $I \cap J = \emptyset$, $I \cap K = \emptyset$ and $J \cap K = \emptyset$ and consider the following cases

(1) Let $\sharp I = \sharp J = \bar{0}$, any K . Then

$$[d_I(X), [d_J(Y), d_K(Z)]] = [d_J(Y), [d_K(Z), d_I(X)]] = [d_K(Z), [d_I(X), d_J(Y)]] = 0.$$

(2) Let $\sharp I = \bar{0}$, $\sharp J = \bar{1}$ and $\sharp K = \bar{1}$. Then

$$[d_I(X), [d_J(Y), d_K(Z)]] + [d_J(Y), [d_K(Z), d_I(X)]] + [d_K(Z), [d_I(X), d_J(Y)]] = d_I \circ d_J \circ d_K([X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]]) = 0.$$

(3) Let $\sharp I = \sharp J = \sharp K = \bar{1}$. Then again

$$[d_I(X), [d_J(Y), d_K(Z)]] + [d_J(Y), [d_K(Z), d_I(X)]] + [d_K(Z), [d_I(X), d_J(Y)]] = d_I \circ d_J \circ d_K([X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]]) = 0.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 43. *It is unexpected that such a parity change can give a well-defined Lie superalgebra.*

6.4. Functor inverse l' . Our goal now is to define a \mathbb{Z} -graded subsuperalgebra $\mathfrak{p} := l' \circ \pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ T'^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})$ in $\pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ T'^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p}_0 &:= \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}; \\ \mathfrak{p}_1 &:= \text{diag}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \oplus \bigoplus_i d_i(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})); \\ \mathfrak{p}_2 &:= \text{diag}(\bigoplus_i d_i(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i<j} d_i d_j(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})); \\ \mathfrak{p}_3 &:= \text{diag}(\bigoplus_{i<j} d_i d_j(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i<j<k} d_i d_j d_k(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})); \\ &\dots \\ \mathfrak{p}_n &:= \text{diag}(\bigoplus_{C(I)=n-1} d_I(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{n}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{C(J)=n} d_J(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{n}})); \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Here $C(I)$ is the cardinality of I .

Proposition 44. *The subsuperspace \mathfrak{p} is a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie subsuperalgebra.*

Proof. Let us prove that $[\mathfrak{p}_i, \mathfrak{p}_j] \subset \mathfrak{p}_{i+j}$. Let us take $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{j}}$. Consider

$$\left[\sum_{C(I)=i-1} d_I(X) + \sum_{C(J)=i} d_J(X), \sum_{C(I')=j-1} d_{I'}(Y) + \sum_{C(J')=j} d_{J'}(Y) \right].$$

Using Rules (2) we get

$$\left[\sum_{C(I)=i-1} d_I(X), \sum_{C(I')=j-1} d_{I'}(Y) \right] = 0.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\sum_{C(I)=i-1} d_I(X), \sum_{C(J')=j} d_{J'}(Y) \right] + \left[\sum_{C(J)=i} d_J(X), \sum_{C(I')=j-1} d_{I'}(Y) \right] + \\ & \left[\sum_{C(J)=i} d_J(X), \sum_{C(J')=j} d_{J'}(Y) \right] = \\ & \underbrace{\left(\binom{i+j-1}{j} + \binom{i+j-1}{j-1} \right)}_{\binom{i+j}{i}} \sum_{C(K)=i+j-1} d_K([X, Y]) + \\ & \binom{i+j}{i} \sum_{C(K)=i+j} d_K([X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

If we have a morphism ψ of Lie superalgebras, then $\pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'^\infty(\psi)$ preserves subalgebras \mathfrak{p} 's. Therefore we can define ι' on morphisms. Therefore the functor ι' is defined on the image of the functor $\pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'^\infty$. Summing up, we constructed the following functor

$$F' := \iota' \circ \pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'^\infty : \text{sLieAlg} \rightarrow \text{grLieAlg}.$$

Remark 45. *The Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{p} is “locally isomorphic” to \mathfrak{g} in the following sense. We have $\mathfrak{p}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{g}_0$ as Lie superalgebras and $\mathfrak{p}_n \simeq \mathfrak{g}_n$ as \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules for any n .*

6.5. The functor F_∞ as a inverse limit. We can define the Lie superalgebras $\mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$, $\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))$, $\pi'(\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})))$ and $\iota'(\pi'(\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))))$ using the inverse limit. Indeed,

$$(21) \quad \mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g}) = \varprojlim \mathbf{T}'^n(\mathfrak{g});$$

$$(22) \quad \text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})) = \varprojlim \text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'^n(\mathfrak{g}));$$

$$(23) \quad \pi'(\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))) = \varprojlim \pi'(\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'^n(\mathfrak{g})));$$

$$(24) \quad \iota'(\pi'(\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})))) = \varprojlim \iota'(\pi'(\text{gr}'(\mathbf{T}'^n(\mathfrak{g})))).$$

Lemma 40 implies (21). Further, Equality (22) follows from definition of the functor gr' . To obtain (23) we can repeat Theorem 42 assuming that we have only n de Rham differentials d_i . Finally (24) follows from Proposition 44 again assuming that we have only n de Rham differentials d_i . Summing up,

$$F'(\mathfrak{g}) = F'_\infty(\mathfrak{g}) = \varprojlim F'_n(\mathfrak{g}).$$

7. GENERALIZED DONAGI–WITTEN CONSTRUCTION FOR LIE SUPERGROUPS

Again the functor F is a composition of four functors: the iterated tangent functor \mathbf{T}^∞ , the functor split gr , the functor parity change π and the functor inverse ι . Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie supergroup with the supergroup morphisms μ , κ and e .

7.1. Iterated antitangent functor \mathbf{T}^∞ . Above we considered the tangent functor \mathbf{T} applying to a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} . We saw that $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})$ is a Lie supergroup again. Therefore we can iterate this procedure and get the Lie supergroup

$$\mathbf{T}^2(\mathcal{G}) := \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G})).$$

By definition we put

$$\mathbf{T}^n(\mathcal{G}) := \mathbf{T} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{G}),$$

where on the left hand side the tangent functor \mathbf{T} is iterated n times. Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G}) &= \varprojlim \mathbf{T}^n(\mathcal{G}), \\ \mathbf{T}^\infty(\mu) &= \varprojlim \mathbf{T}^n(\mu), \quad \mathbf{T}^\infty(\kappa) = \varprojlim \mathbf{T}^n(\kappa), \quad \mathbf{T}^\infty(e) = \varprojlim \mathbf{T}^n(e), \end{aligned}$$

see Section 2.5. Clearly the infinite dimensional supermanifold $\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ is a Lie supergroup, since the morphisms $\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mu)$, $\mathbf{T}^\infty(\kappa)$ and $\mathbf{T}^\infty(e)$ satisfy the group axioms.

Let us describe $\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ in terms of graded Harish-Chandra pairs. Above we saw that

$$\mathbf{T}^n(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \bigwedge(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \bigwedge(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \otimes \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\bigwedge(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$ is the Grassmann algebra with infinitely many variables ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots labeled by natural numbers. We can identify the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})}$ of $\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ with

$$\text{Hom}'_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathfrak{g})), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathfrak{g})), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}),$$

where Hom' are all $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -homomorphisms that are zero on an ideal generated by

$$\bigoplus_{q>0} \bigwedge^q(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \dots) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))$$

for some $n \geq 1$.

7.2. Functor split gr , functor parity change π and functor inverse ι . The functor gr for $\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ is defined as above. More precisely, let \mathcal{J} be the sheaf of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})}$ generated by odd elements. We get a filtration in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})}$ by the subsheaves \mathcal{J}^p , where $p \geq 0$. The corresponded graded sheaf we denote by $\text{gr}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})}$. By definition $\text{gr}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})}$ is the structure sheaf of $\text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G}))$. In terms of graded Harish-Chandra pairs we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G}))} = \text{Hom}'_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}),$$

where Hom' are all $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -homomorphisms that are zero on some ideal generated by

$$\text{gr}' \left(\bigoplus_{q>0} \bigwedge^q(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \dots) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \right) \subset \mathcal{U}(\text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})), \quad n \geq 1.$$

The supermanifold $\text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G}))$ is an ∞ -fold vector bundle of type Δ , where Δ is generated by an odd weight α and even weights β_1, β_2, \dots , see Proposition 23. Since $\text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ is a graded Lie superalgebra of type Δ , the universal enveloping algebra

$$\mathcal{U}(\text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})) = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}\beta_1 \times \dots} \mathcal{U}(\text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))_\delta$$

is $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots$ -graded. We have

$$(25) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G}))} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}\beta_1 \times \dots} (\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G}))})_\delta,$$

where

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G}))})_\delta = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))_\delta, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}).$$

Note that in this formula we can omit $'$ and write simply Hom . We can use the equality (25) as a definition of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G}))}$. Since gr is a functor, the structure morphisms in $\text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G}))$ are graded as well. In terms of inverse limit we have $\text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})) = \varprojlim \text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^n(\mathcal{G}))$.

To define the functor π we change parities in any $\text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^n(\mathcal{G})$. In terms of graded Harish-Chandra pairs we get

$$\mathcal{O}_{\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})} = \text{Hom}'_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}),$$

where Hom' are all $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -homomorphisms that are zero on some ideal generated by

$$\pi' \circ \text{gr}' \left(\bigoplus_{q>0}^q (\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \dots) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \right) \subset \mathcal{U}(\pi' \circ \text{gr}' \circ \mathbf{T}'^\infty(\mathfrak{g})), \quad n \geq 1.$$

In terms of inverse limit again we have $\pi \circ \text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})) = \varprojlim \pi \circ \text{gr}(\mathbf{T}^n(\mathcal{G}))$. The Lie supergroup $\pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ is a graded Lie supergroup of type $\pi(\Delta)$, where $\pi(\Delta)$ is the maximal multiplicity free system generated by odd weights $\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots$

A similar idea we use for functor ι . Recall that $\mathbf{F} := \iota \circ \pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^\infty$ and we denote $\mathbf{F}_n := \iota \circ \pi \circ \text{gr} \circ \mathbf{T}^{n-1}$. First of all we define the graded Lie supergroup $\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{G})$ of degree n (that is of type $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with $|1| = \bar{1}$) as the \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie supergroup corresponding to the \mathbb{Z} -graded Harish-Chandra pair $(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathbf{F}'_n(\mathfrak{g}))$. For any n we have a natural homomorphism $\mathbf{F}'_{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}'_n(\mathfrak{g})$. This induces a homomorphism of enveloping algebras

$$\Phi_n : \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{F}'_{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{F}'_n(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Therefore we have a natural map of sheaves

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{G})} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{F}'_n(\mathfrak{g})), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}_{n+1}(\mathcal{G})} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{F}'_{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0})$$

Now we can identify the structure sheaf of $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{G})$ with

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{G})} = \text{Hom}'_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{F}'(\mathfrak{g})), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}),$$

where Hom' are all $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -homomorphisms that are zero on some $\text{Ker}(\Phi_n)$. Further we see that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{G})}$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded. Indeed,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{G})} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{G})})_n = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{F}'(\mathfrak{g}))_n, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}).$$

The graded Lie supergroup morphisms can be defined by formulas (6) or using inverse limit. In terms of inverse limit again we have $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{G}) = \varprojlim \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{G})$.

8. COVERINGS AND SEMICOVERINGS OF A LIE SUPERALGEBRA AND A LIE SUPERGROUP

In this section we give a definition of a covering and a semicovering of a Lie superalgebra and a Lie supergroup. Further we show that the generalized Donagi–Witten construction leads to a construction of a covering and semicovering spaces of a Lie superalgebra and a Lie supergroup. The case of any supermanifold will be considered in [RV].

8.1. Coverings and semicoverings of a Lie superalgebra. We start with Lie superalgebras. Throughout this subsection we fix a surjective homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ of abelian groups.

8.1.1. A ϕ -covering of a B -graded Lie superalgebra along a homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$.

Definition 46. A ϕ -covering of a B -graded Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} along a surjective homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ of abelian groups is an A -graded superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathfrak{p}_\alpha$ together with a homomorphism $\Pi' : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\Pi'|_{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha} : \mathfrak{p}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\phi(\alpha)}$ is a linear bijection for any $\alpha \in A$.

Note that the bracket on \mathfrak{p} is fully determined by the bracket on \mathfrak{g} . Indeed, for $X \in \mathfrak{p}_\alpha, X' \in \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha'}$ we have $\Pi'([X, X']_{\mathfrak{p}}) = [\Pi'(X), \Pi'(X')]_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\phi(\alpha+\alpha')}$, hence

$$[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\Pi'_{\alpha+\alpha'}|_{\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha+\alpha'}})^{-1}([\Pi'(X), \Pi'(X')]_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

Also $\Pi'_\alpha = \Pi'|_{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha} : \mathfrak{p}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\phi(\alpha)}$ is a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module map, where we identify the Lie superalgebras \mathfrak{p}_0 and \mathfrak{g}_0 via Π_0 .

Proposition 47. Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}$ be an A - and a B -graded Lie superalgebras, respectively. Let $\psi : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a B -graded homomorphism of Lie superalgebras¹, and let \mathfrak{p} be a covering of \mathfrak{g} along $\phi : A \rightarrow B$. Then there exists a unique A -graded homomorphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathfrak{p} \\ & \exists! \Psi \nearrow & \downarrow \Pi' \\ \mathfrak{a} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathfrak{g} \end{array}$$

Proof. We define Ψ as a linear map such that $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}_s) \subset \mathfrak{p}_s$ for any $s \in A$ and such that $\Pi' \circ \Psi = \psi$. Let us check that Ψ is a homomorphism. Indeed, let us take $X \in \mathfrak{a}_s$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi'([\Psi(X), \Psi(Y)]) &= [\Pi' \circ \Psi(X), \Pi' \circ \Psi(Y)] = [\psi(X), \psi(Y)] = \\ &= \psi([X, Y]) = \Pi' \circ \Psi([X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

Since by definition of Ψ both $\Psi([X, Y])$ and $[\Psi(X), \Psi(Y)]$ are in \mathfrak{p}_{s+t} and Π' is locally bijective, we get the equality $[\Psi(X), \Psi(Y)] = \Psi([X, Y])$. \square

Proposition 48. Let $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a homomorphism of B -graded Lie superalgebras. Then there exists unique homomorphism F of ϕ -coverings \mathfrak{p} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{p} & \xrightarrow{F} & \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \downarrow \Pi' & & \downarrow \tilde{\Pi}' \\ \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{f} & \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \end{array}$$

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 47, just take $\psi = f \circ \Pi'$. \square

From Proposition 48 it follows that ϕ -coverings are unique up to isomorphism.

¹Any A -graded Lie superalgebra is automatically B -graded.

8.1.2. *A ϕ -covering and ϕ -semicovering with support C .* Let A and B be abelian groups, $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective homomorphism and $C \subset A$ be a subset.

Definition 49. *A ϕ -covering with support C of a B -graded Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} along a surjective homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is an A -graded superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathfrak{p}_\alpha$ with $\text{supp}(\mathfrak{p}) = C$ such that $\phi(C) = B$ together with a surjective homomorphism $\Pi' : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\Pi'|_{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha} : \mathfrak{p}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\phi(\alpha)}$ is a linear bijection for any $\alpha \in C$.*

Let A, B, C and ϕ be as above, \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}' be a A -graded and B -graded Lie superalgebra, respectively.

Definition 50. *A map $\Psi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ is called a partial homomorphism if $\Psi([X, Y]) = [\Psi(Y), \Psi(Y)]$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ such that α, β and $\alpha + \beta$ are in C .*

Definition 51. *A ϕ -semicovering with support C of a B -graded Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} along a surjective homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is an A -graded Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in C} \mathfrak{p}_\alpha$ with $\text{supp}(\mathfrak{p}) = C$ such that $\phi(C) = B$ together with a surjective partial homomorphism $\Pi' : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\Pi'|_{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha} : \mathfrak{p}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\phi(\alpha)}$ is a linear bijection for any $\alpha \in C$.*

For a ϕ -covering and ϕ -semicovering with support C of a B -graded Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} we can prove analogues of Propositions 47 and 48.

Proposition 52. (1) *Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}$ be an A - and B -graded Lie superalgebras, respectively, and $\text{supp}(\mathfrak{a}) = C$. Let $\psi : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a B -graded homomorphism of Lie superalgebras, and let \mathfrak{p} be a ϕ -covering (or ϕ -semicovering) with support C of \mathfrak{g} . Then there exists a unique A -graded homomorphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ such that $\psi = \Pi' \circ \Psi$.*

(2) *Let $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a homomorphism of B -graded Lie superalgebras. Then there exists unique homomorphism F of ϕ -coverings (or ϕ -semicoverings) \mathfrak{p} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ with support C such that $f \circ \Pi' = \tilde{\Pi}' \circ F$.*

Proof. We define Ψ as a linear map such that $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}_s) \subset \mathfrak{p}_s$ for any $s \in C$ and such that $\Pi' \circ \Psi = \psi$. Now we just repeat arguments of the proofs of Propositions 47 and 48. One non-trivial point is the proof that Ψ is a homomorphism in the case of a semicovering. We have for $X \in \mathfrak{a}_s$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_t$, where $s, t, s + t \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi'([\Psi(X), \Psi(Y)]) &= [\Pi' \circ \Psi(X), \Pi' \circ \Psi(Y)] = [\psi(X), \psi(Y)] = \\ &= \psi([X, Y]) = \Pi' \circ \Psi([X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

Since by definition of Ψ both $\Psi([X, Y])$ and $[\Psi(X), \Psi(Y)]$ are in \mathfrak{p}_{s+t} and Π' is locally bijective, we get the equality $[\Psi(X), \Psi(Y)] = \Psi([X, Y])$. In the case $s, t \in C$, but $s + t \notin C$, we have

$$[\Psi(X), \Psi(Y)] = \Psi([X, Y]) = 0.$$

□

From Proposition 52 it follows that ϕ -coverings (and ϕ -semicoverings) with support C are unique up to isomorphism.

8.2. A covering and a semicovering of a Lie supergroup. Unlike the notion of a covering of a Lie superalgebra, as far as we know, the notion of a covering of a Lie supergroup was never considered in the literature before. One possible way to give a definition of a covering of a Lie supergroup is to use the graded covering of the corresponding Lie superalgebra. However we suggest a different way that is closer to the notion of a topological covering space and this approach can be used to define a covering for any supermanifold, see [RV].

We start with a definition of a semicovering spaces for a Lie supergroup. In Section 9 we will show that for any Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} the image $F(\mathcal{G})$ is a $(\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -covering of \mathcal{G} with support $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. In Section 10 we will give a simple explicit construction of a covering of any matrix Lie supergroup. Note that in general such a simple construction for a Lie supergroup is not applicable for the case of a supermanifold. Recall that if \mathcal{M} is a supermanifold, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ its structure sheaf and by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ the structure sheaf of the underlying space \mathcal{M}_0 .

8.2.1. A semicovering of a Lie supergroup. Let \mathcal{P} be a graded Lie supergroup of degree n (or equivalently of type $C = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with $|1| = \bar{1}$) with multiplication morphism ν and \mathcal{G} be a Lie supergroup with multiplication morphism μ . Assume in addition that $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{G}_0$.

Definition 53. A sum of n morphisms $\Pi^n = \bigoplus_{c=0}^n \Pi_c$, where

$$\Pi_c = (id, \Pi_c^*) : (\mathcal{G}_0, (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}})_c) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}), \quad c \in C,$$

and Π_c^* is a morphism of sheaves of vector spaces, is called a partial homomorphism of \mathcal{P} to \mathcal{G} with support C if

$$\Pi_c^*(f \cdot g) = \sum_{c_1+c_2=c} \Pi_{c_1}^*(f) \cdot \Pi_{c_2}^*(g), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}, \quad c, c_i \in C,$$

and

$$\nu^* \circ \Pi_c^* = \sum_{c_1+c_2=c} (\Pi_{c_1}^* \times \Pi_{c_2}^*) \circ \mu^* \quad \text{for any } c, c_i \in C.$$

Sometimes we will write a partial homomorphism Π^n of \mathcal{P} to \mathcal{G} in the form $\Pi^n : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Note that the sheaf morphism $(\Pi^n)^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is in general not defined.

Definition 54. A $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ -semicovering with support $C = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} is a graded Lie supergroup \mathcal{P} of degree n with $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{G}_0$ together with a partial homomorphism $\Pi^n : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that we can choose atlases $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ and $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}$ on \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{P} , respectively, with the same base space $(\mathcal{U}_i)_0 = (\mathcal{V}_i)_0$, with even and odd coordinates (x_a, ξ_b) in \mathcal{U}_i and with graded coordinates (y_a^s, η_b^t) , where $s \in C$ is an even integer and $t \in C$ is an odd integer, in \mathcal{V}_i such that

$$\Pi_s^*(x_a) = y_a^s, \quad \Pi_t^*(\xi_b) = \eta_b^t.$$

8.2.2. A covering of a Lie supergroup. Denote by $\mathcal{P} = \varprojlim \mathcal{P}_n$ the inverse limit of graded Lie supergroups \mathcal{P}_n of degree n with the same underlying space $(\mathcal{P}_n)_0 = \mathcal{G}_0$.

Definition 55. A $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ -covering with support $C = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} is a Lie supergroup $\mathcal{P} = \varprojlim \mathcal{P}_n$ together with a Lie supergroup homomorphism $\Pi = \varprojlim (\Pi^k) : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $\Pi^k : \mathcal{P}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a semicovering with support $C = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ for any $k \geq 0$.

Remark 56. *Definition 55 implies that in the case of a $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ -covering $\Pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with support $C = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ we can choose "atlases" $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ and $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}$ on \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{P} , respectively, with the same base space $(\mathcal{U}_i)_0 = (\mathcal{V}_i)_0$, with even and odd coordinates (x_a, ξ_b) in \mathcal{U}_i and with graded coordinates (y_a^s, η_b^t) , where s is an even integer and t is an odd integer, in \mathcal{V}_i such that*

$$pr_s \circ \Pi^*(x_a) = y_a^s, \quad pr_t \circ \Pi^*(\xi_b) = \eta_b^t,$$

where $pr_q : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}})_q$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, is the natural projection. In this case each \mathcal{V}_i is an "infinite graded domain", which we understand as inverse limit of the corresponding finite graded domains.

Sometimes we will call a $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ -covering with support $C = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} simply a $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering of \mathcal{G} .

Remark 57. *It looks more natural to give a definition of a covering space with support \mathbb{Z} . The study of \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie supergroups (not necessary non-negatively \mathbb{Z} -graded) was announced in [KPS]. In the case of any \mathbb{Z} -graduation graded coordinates may be not nilpotent, this leads to significant difficulties, see [CGP]. Therefore a definition of a covering space with support \mathbb{Z} we leave for a future research.*

Remark 58. *Our definition implies that in some sense \mathcal{P} is locally diffeomorphic to \mathcal{G} . Indeed, let us choose $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ assuming for example that q is even. Then the sheaf morphism $pr_s \circ \Pi^*$ determines a sheaf isomorphism of $S^*(x_a)|_{(\mathcal{U}_i)_0} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}$ and $S^*(y_a^s)|_{(\mathcal{U}_i)_0}$. Here $S^*(z_a)$ is the supersymmetric algebra generated by z_a . And similarly in the case of odd coordinates. In other words we have the following isomorphism of superdomains*

$$((\mathcal{U}_i)_0, S^*(x_a)) \simeq ((\mathcal{V}_i)_0, S^*(y_a^s)), \quad ((\mathcal{U}_i)_0, S^*(\xi_b)) \simeq ((\mathcal{V}_i)_0, S^*(\eta_b^t)).$$

We say that a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} possesses an additional non-negative \mathbb{Z} -grading if its structure sheaf possesses a \mathbb{Z} -grading $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})_q$ and all Lie supergroup morphisms are \mathbb{Z} -graded. In this paper we assume in addition that $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})_q \subset (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})_{\bar{q}}$. Note that a Lie supergroup with a \mathbb{Z} -grading is not the same as a graded Lie supergroup of degree n . Now we can prove that our covering satisfies the same universal properties as a covering for a Lie superalgebra.

Theorem 59. *Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie supergroup with an additional non-negative \mathbb{Z} -grading or a graded Lie supergroup of degree n and \mathcal{G}' be a Lie supergroup. Let $\psi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ be a Lie supergroup homomorphism and let \mathcal{P}' be a $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering of \mathcal{G}' . Then there exists a unique homomorphism of Lie supergroups $\Psi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$, which preserves the \mathbb{Z} -gradings, such that the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{P}' \\ & \exists! \Psi \nearrow & \downarrow \Pi \\ \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{G}' \end{array}$$

Proof. We split the proof into steps.

Step 1. We put $W_i := \psi^{-1}((\mathcal{U}_i)_0)$. Let us define first $(\Psi_{W_i})^*$ for any i using coordinates from Definition 54. We put

$$(\Psi_{W_i})^*(y_a^s) := pr_s \circ \psi^*(x_a) \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})_s, \quad (\Psi_{W_i})^*(\eta_b^t) := pr_t \circ \psi^*(\xi_b) \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})_t,$$

where $pr_q : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})_q$. By [L, Section 2.1.7, Theorema] we defined a morphism $\Psi_{W_i} : (W_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}'}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_i$ of superdomains.

Step 2. The morphism Ψ_{W_i} satisfies the following equality

$$(26) \quad (\Psi_{W_i})^*(pr_s \circ \Pi^*(F)) = pr_s \circ \psi^*(F),$$

where $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}'|(\mathcal{U}_i)_0}$. First of all assume that F is a polynomial in (x_a, ξ_b) . It is sufficient to assume that

$$F = x_{a_1} \cdots x_{a_k} \xi_{b_1} \cdots \xi_{b_l}$$

is a monomial. By Definition 55 we have

$$\Pi^*(x_a) = \sum_{s=2q} y_a^s, \quad \Pi^*(\xi_b) = \sum_{t=2q+1} \eta_b^t.$$

(Here for simplicity of notations we write infinite sums. We understand this sum as an inverse limit.) Further, we get

$$pr_s \circ \Pi^*(x_{a_1} \cdots x_{a_k} \xi_{b_1} \cdots \xi_{b_l}) = \sum_{\sum_i s_i + \sum_j t_j = s} y_{a_1}^{s_1} \cdots y_{a_k}^{s_k} \eta_{b_1}^{t_1} \cdots \eta_{b_l}^{t_l}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_{W_i})^*(pr_s \circ \Pi^*(F)) &= \\ &= \sum_{\sum_i s_i + \sum_j t_j = s} (\Psi_{W_i})^*(y_{a_1}^{s_1}) \cdots (\Psi_{W_i})^*(y_{a_k}^{s_k}) (\Psi_{W_i})^*(\eta_{b_1}^{t_1}) \cdots (\Psi_{W_i})^*(\eta_{b_l}^{t_l}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} pr_s \circ \psi^*(F) &= pr_s \circ \psi^*(x_{a_1} \cdots x_{a_k} \xi_{b_1} \cdots \xi_{b_l}) = \\ &= \sum_{\sum_i s_i + \sum_j t_j = s} pr_{s_1} \circ \psi^*(x_{a_1}) \cdots pr_{s_k} \circ \psi^*(x_{a_k}) pr_{t_1} \circ \psi^*(\xi_{b_1}) \cdots pr_{t_l} \circ \psi^*(\xi_{b_l}). \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows from the definition of Ψ_{W_i} . If morphisms coincide on all polynomials, a standard argument, see [L], implies the result for any functions $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}'}$.

Step 3. We have to show that $\Psi_{W_i} = \Psi_{W_j}$ in $W_i \cap W_j$. Let $\tilde{x}_a = H(x_a, \xi_b)$ in $\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j$ and $\tilde{y}_a^s = pr_s \circ \psi^*(x_a)$. We have by Step 2

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_{W_j})^*(\tilde{y}_a^s) &= (\Psi_{W_j})^*(pr_s \circ \Pi^*(\tilde{x}_a)) = (\Psi_{W_j})^*(pr_s \circ \Pi^*(H(x_a, \xi_b))) = \\ &= pr_s \circ \psi^*(H(x_a, \xi_b)) = (\Psi_{W_i})^*(pr_s \circ \Pi^*(H(x_a, \xi_b))). \end{aligned}$$

Now we can define the morphism Ψ by $\Psi|_{W_i} := \Psi_{W_i}$.

Step 4. Let us prove that Ψ is a homomorphism of Lie supergroups. Denote by $\mu_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\mu_{\mathcal{G}'}$ and by $\mu_{\mathcal{P}}$ the multiplication morphism in \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{G}' and \mathcal{P} , respectively. We have to show that

$$(27) \quad (\Psi^* \times \Psi^*) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{P}}^* = \mu_{\mathcal{G}}^* \circ \Psi^*.$$

Clearly it is sufficient to show (27) only for coordinates (y_a^s, η_b^t) . Step 2 implies that

$$\Psi^* \circ pr_s \circ \Pi^* = pr_s \circ \psi^*.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathcal{G}}^* \circ \Psi^*(y_a^s) &= \mu_{\mathcal{G}}^* \circ \Psi^* \circ pr_s \circ \Pi^*(x_a) = \mu_{\mathcal{G}}^* \circ pr_s \circ \psi^*(x_a) = pr_s \circ \mu_{\mathcal{G}}^* \circ \psi^*(x_a) = \\ &= pr_s \circ (\psi^* \times \psi^*) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{G}'}^*(x_a) = (\Psi^* \times \Psi^*) \circ pr_s \circ (\Pi^* \times \Pi^*) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{G}'}^*(x_a) = \\ &= (\Psi^* \times \Psi^*) \circ pr_s \circ \mu_{\mathcal{G}}^* \circ \Pi^*(x_a) = (\Psi^* \times \Psi^*) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{G}}^* \circ pr_s \circ \Pi^*(x_a) = (\Psi^* \times \Psi^*) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{G}}^*(y_a^s). \end{aligned}$$

For η_b^t the proof is similar. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 60. *If a $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering of a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} exists, it is unique up to isomorphism.*

Proof. In Theorem 59 we assume that \mathcal{G} is finite dimensional. However the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 59 implies that we can replace \mathcal{G} by a $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering of \mathcal{G} . Therefore we get the result. \square

If there exists a $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering $\Pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} , then from Definition 55 it follows that there exists a $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ -semicovering of \mathcal{G} with support $C = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for any n . Indeed, let \mathcal{P}_n be the graded Lie supergroup of degree n as in Definition 55. Then by Definition 55, Π^n is a partial homomorphism. Further, for any Lie supergroups homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, where \mathcal{G}' is a graded Lie supergroup of degree n , there exists unique Lie supergroup homomorphism (not a partial homomorphism!) $\Psi_n : \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $pr_s \circ \psi^* = \Psi_n^* \circ \Pi_s$.

We finalize this section with the following proposition.

Proposition 61. *Let $\mathcal{G}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be Lie supergroups and $\mathcal{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ be their $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -coverings, respectively. Let $\psi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be a homomorphism of Lie supergroups. Then there exists unique Lie supergroup homomorphism Ψ of $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -coverings \mathcal{P} to $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ such that the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \\ \downarrow \Pi & & \downarrow \Pi \\ \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \end{array}$$

Proof. Locally we put

$$\Psi^*(\tilde{y}_a^s) := pr_s \circ \Pi^* \circ \psi^*(\tilde{x}_a), \quad \Psi^*(\tilde{\eta}_b^t) := pr_t \circ \Pi^* \circ \psi^*(\tilde{\xi}_b).$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem (59). \square

Later we will prove that such a covering exists for any Lie supergroup. More precisely we will show that $F(\mathcal{G})$ is a covering of a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} .

9. EXISTENCE OF A $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -COVERING OF A LIE SUPERALGEBRA AND A LIE SUPERGROUP

In this section we will show that the F' -image of a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} and the F -image a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} are $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -coverings of \mathfrak{g} and \mathcal{G} , respectively. We start with a Lie superalgebra.

9.1. A $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering of a Lie superalgebra. In this section we will show that the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} := F'(\mathfrak{g})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering of \mathfrak{g} , see Definition 49. We are ready to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 62. *For any Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = F'(\mathfrak{g})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering of \mathfrak{g} .*

Proof. In notations of Proposition 44 the homomorphism $\Pi' : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is defined as follows

$$\Pi' \left(\sum_{\#I=i-1} d_I(X) + \sum_{\#J=i} d_J(X) \right) = \frac{1}{i!} X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}}.$$

Denote $Z' := \sum_{\#I=i-1} d_I(Z) + \sum_{\#J=i} d_J(Z) \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ for any $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}}$. Using arguments from the proof of Proposition 44 we see that

$$\Pi'([X', Y']) = \frac{1}{i!j!} [X, Y] = [\Pi'(X'), \Pi'(Y')]$$

for any $X' \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ and $Y' \in \mathfrak{p}_j$. Clearly $\Pi'|_{\mathfrak{p}_n} : \mathfrak{p}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{n}}$ is a bijective linear map for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. \square

In Proposition 52 we proved that if $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras, then there exists unique Lie algebra homomorphism $\bar{f} : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}'$ such that $f \circ \Pi' = \Pi' \circ \bar{f}$. Now we can construct \bar{f} explicitly. We put $\bar{f} = F'(f)$.

We conclude this section with the following observation.

Proposition 63. *The Lie superalgebra $F'_n(\mathfrak{g})$ is a semicovering with support $\{0, \dots, n\}$ of the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} along the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

9.2. A $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering of a Lie supergroup. Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie supergroup with the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} and \mathcal{P} be a graded Lie supergroup with the graded Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{p} and with $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{G}_0$. We put $\mathfrak{p}_{(1)} := \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \dots$. By the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem we have the following sheaf isomorphisms

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^*(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}) \simeq S^*(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}, \\ (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}})_q &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})_q, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}_0}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^q(\mathfrak{p}_{(1)}), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}_0}) \simeq \\ &\simeq (S^q(\mathfrak{p}_{(1)}))^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. The isomorphism (28) leads to a certain choice of global odd and global graded coordinates on \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{P} , respectively. Indeed, let (ξ_i) be a basis in $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*$ and let (ζ_b^q) be a basis in \mathfrak{p}_q^* , where $q \geq 1$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*$ is a direct term in $S^*(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*)$, we assume that $\xi_i \in S^*(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*)$, and similarly $\zeta_b^q \in S^q(\mathfrak{p}_{(1)})^*$. Then the system (ξ_i) is a system of global odd coordinates on \mathcal{G} , and (ζ_b^q) , where $q \geq 1$ is the degree of the coordinate ζ_b^q , is a system of global graded coordinates of \mathcal{P} . We will call such coordinates of a (graded) Lie supergroup *standard*. Note that in general we cannot choose global coordinates of degree 0.

We need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 64. *Let us fix a graded chart \mathcal{U} on a graded Lie supergroup \mathcal{P} with standard graded coordinates (ζ_b^q) , where $q \geq 1$. Denote by θ_c^q the basis in \mathfrak{p}_q dual to the basis (ζ_b^q) of \mathfrak{p}_q^* . Let $f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})_p$ be a graded function of degree p . If $f(\theta_c^p) = 0$ for any c , then f is a sum of products of coordinates of degree $p' < p$.*

Proof. By definition of standard coordinates, f is a sum of products of coordinates of degree $p' < p$ with respect to the coordinates (ζ_b^q) . Therefore the same holds for any other coordinates. \square

Lemma 65. *Let \mathcal{U} be a graded superdomain with graded coordinates (θ_b^q) , where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the degree of a coordinate. The system $(\tilde{\theta}_b^q)$, where*

$$\tilde{\theta}_b^q = \theta_b^q + \sum_{q_1 + \dots + q_k = q} f_{i_1 \dots i_k} \theta_{i_1}^{q_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}^{q_k}, \quad f_{i_1 \dots i_k} = f_{i_1 \dots i_k}(\theta_a^0),$$

is a new graded coordinate system in \mathcal{U} .

Proof. By induction. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 66. *For any Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} the image $F(\mathcal{G})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering of \mathcal{G} .*

Proof. Denote $\mathcal{P} := F(\mathcal{G})$. We have a natural homomorphism of Lie supergroups $\Pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^* &: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}), \\ \Pi^*(f)(X) &= f(\Pi'(X)), \quad f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}), \quad X \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Pi' : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the differential of Π . (With the same letter we denote the corresponding homomorphism of the universal enveloping algebras.) Let us choose an atlas \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{G} and let us fix $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{A}$ with coordinates (x_a, ξ_b) , where ξ_b are standard global odd coordinates.

Let us choose a basis Z_1, \dots, Z_n in \mathfrak{g}_0 and a basis T_1, \dots, T_m in \mathfrak{g}_1 , dual to the basis (ξ_b) . Let Z_1^s, \dots, Z_n^s is the corresponding basis in \mathfrak{p}_s , where s is even, and T_1^t, \dots, T_m^t is the corresponding basis in \mathfrak{p}_s , where t is odd. Further let us identify x_a with elements in $X_a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$, where

$$X_a(1) = x_a, \quad X_a(T_j) = 0, \quad X_a(Z_j) = \tilde{Z}_j(x_a)_{red}.$$

Here \tilde{Z}_j is the fundamental vector field on \mathcal{G} corresponding to Z_j and $\tilde{Z}_j(x_a)_{red}$ is the image of the function $\tilde{Z}_j(x_a)$ via the natural projection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}$.

Let us define an atlas $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}$ as in Definition 55 and 54. We put

$$(29) \quad y_a^s := pr_s \circ \Pi^*(x_a), \quad \eta_b^t := pr_t \circ \Pi^*(\xi_b)$$

for s even and t odd. Let us check that (x_a, y_a^s, η_b^t) are graded coordinates in \mathcal{P} . According above we may choose standard graded coordinates $(x_a, \tilde{y}_a^s, \tilde{\eta}_b^t)$, where $x_a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}$ are as above, and

$$\tilde{y}_i^s(Z_j^s) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \tilde{y}_i^s(T_j^t) = 0, \quad \tilde{\eta}_i^t(T_j^t) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \tilde{\eta}_i^t(Z_j^s) = 0.$$

And we denote by the same letter \tilde{y}_i^s the corresponding elements in $(S^s(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_0))^*$ (or by $\tilde{\eta}_i^t$ the corresponding elements in $(S^t(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_0))^*$).

Let us show that the coordinates $(x_a, \tilde{y}_a^s, \tilde{\eta}_b^t)$ may be expressed via (x_a, y_a^s, η_b^t) . This will imply that the system (x_a, y_a^s, η_b^t) is a system of local coordinates as well. We have

$$\eta_b^t(T_j^t) = \Pi^*(\xi_b)(T_j^t) = \xi_b(\Pi'(T_j^t)) = \xi_b(T_j) = \delta_{bj}.$$

Similarly, checking other values of $\eta_b^t(A)$ and $\tilde{\eta}_b^t(A)$, where $A \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})_t$, we get $\eta_b^t = \tilde{\eta}_b^t$. Further, the systems of vector fields $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_a})$ and (\tilde{Z}_a) are both local bases of the sheaf of vector fields on \mathcal{G} . Therefore the matrix $A = (\tilde{Z}_i(x_j))_{red}$ is invertible. Let $B = A^{-1}$. Consider $\sum_a B_a^i y_a^s$. Then

$$\sum_a B_a^i y_a^s(Z_j^s) = \sum_a B_a^i \tilde{Z}_j(x_a) = \delta_{ij} = \tilde{y}_i^s(Z_j^s).$$

By Lemma 64 the difference $\sum_a B_a^i y_a^s - \tilde{y}_i^s$ is a sum of products of coordinates of degrees smaller than s . By Lemma 65 and induction of s we conclude that \tilde{y}_i^s may be expressed via y_a^s . The proof is complete. \square

9.3. A semicovering of a Lie supergroup with support $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. As we have seen after Definition 54, we can construct a semicovering of a Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} with support $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ using a $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering of \mathcal{G} . The definition of the functor F implies that $F_n(\mathcal{G})$ is a semicovering of \mathcal{G} with support $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

Remark 67. *The functor F_n is an embedding of the category of Lie supergroups to the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie supergroups with support $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Clearly we can recover the Lie supergroup structure on the supermanifold \mathcal{G} using the Lie supergroup structure of $F_n(\mathcal{G})$.*

10. LOOP SUPERALGEBRAS

In this section we give an explicit matrix realization of a $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ -covering for a finite dimensional Lie superalgebra and for a matrix Lie supergroup. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie superalgebra and $\mathfrak{p} := F'(\mathfrak{g})$. We can easily see that \mathfrak{p} is a subalgebra of a loop algebra in the sense [ABFP, Definition 3.1.1], see also [Eld]. Indeed, clearly we have

$$\mathfrak{p} \simeq \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}} \otimes t^i, \quad \mathfrak{p}_i \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}} \otimes t^i,$$

where t is a formal even variable. (We will get a definition of a loop algebra if we replace $i \geq 0$ by $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.)

Now consider the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{K})$. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = F'(\mathfrak{g})$ possesses a simple matrix realization. This implies by Ado's Theorem that we have such a matrix realization for any finite dimensional Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{K})$. Recall that the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{K})$ contains all matrices in the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where A is $m \times m$ -matrix and D is $n \times n$ -matrix over \mathbb{K} . Then the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{p} contains all matrices in the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ C_1 & D_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ A_2 & B_1 & A_1 & 0 & \cdots \\ C_2 & D_2 & C_1 & D_1 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here A_i are $m \times m$ -matrices, D_i are $n \times n$ -matrices, B_i are $m \times n$ -matrices, C_i are $n \times m$ -matrices over \mathbb{K} and so on. We have

$$\mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{p}_n, \quad \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}},$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}}$ contains all matrices with $B_i = 0$ and $C_j = 0$, $\mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}$ contains all matrices with $A_i = 0$ and $D_j = 0$. The \mathbb{Z} -grading of \mathfrak{p} has natural meaning: \mathfrak{p}_0 contains all matrices with $B_i = 0$, $C_i = 0$ for any i , $A_j = 0$ and $D_j = 0$ for $j > 1$; \mathfrak{p}_1 contains all matrices with $A_i = 0$, $D_i = 0$ for any i , $C_j = 0$ and $B_j = 0$ for $j > 1$.

Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie supersubgroup in the general linear Lie supergroup $\mathrm{GL}_{m|n}(\mathbb{K})$. Using our results for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}(\mathbb{K})$ we can explicitly construct $F(\mathrm{GL}_{m|n}(\mathbb{K}))$. Indeed, let $\mathrm{GL}_{m|n}(\mathbb{K})$ has the following coordinate superdomain \mathcal{U}

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & \Xi \\ H & Y \end{pmatrix},$$

where $X \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{K})$, $Y \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ are matrices of even coordinates of \mathcal{U} and Ξ , H are matrices of odd coordinates. Now $F(\mathrm{GL}_{m|n}(\mathbb{K}))$ has the following coordinate superdomain

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ H_1 & Y_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ X_2 & \Xi_1 & X_1 & 0 & \cdots \\ \Xi_2 & Y_2 & H_1 & Y_1 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

$X_i \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{K})$, $Y_j \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ are matrices of even coordinates of $F(\mathrm{GL}_{m|n}(\mathbb{K}))$ and Ξ_s , H_t are matrices of odd coordinates. The Lie supergroup multiplication is given

by usual matrix multiplication. A similar idea can be used to construct explicitly $F(\mathcal{G})$ for any matrix Lie supergroup \mathcal{G} .

We can give a matrix realization of the Lie superalgebras $F'_n(\mathfrak{g})$, $n \geq 2$. The Lie superalgebra $F'_n(\mathfrak{g})$ contains all matrices in the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ C_1 & D_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_2 & B_1 & A_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ C_l & D_l & C_{l-1} & \cdots & D_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ C_1 & D_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_2 & B_1 & A_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ A_{r+1} & B_r & A_r & \cdots & A_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for $n = 2l - 1$ and for $n = 2r$, respectively. Similarly for matrix Lie supergroups. Note that in general these constructions are not applicable for supermanifolds.

REFERENCES

- [ABFP] *Bruce Allison, Stephen Berman, John Faulkner, and Arturo Pianzola*, Realization of graded-simple algebras as loop algebras, *Forum Math.* 20 (2008), no. 3, 395–432.
- [BCC] *Balduzzi L., Carmeli C., Cassinelli G.* Super G -spaces. Symmetry in mathematics and physics, 159176, *Contemp. Math.*, 490, AMS Providence, RI, 2009.
- [Ber] *F. A. Berezin*. Introduction to algebra and analysis with anticommuting variables. V. P. Palamodov, ed., Moscow State University Press, Moscow, 1983. Expanded transl. into English: Introduction to superanalysis. A. A. Kirillov, ed., D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987
- [BLMS] J. Bernstein, D. Leites, V. Molotkov, V. Shander, Seminar of Supersymmetries: volume 1 (edited by D. Leites) Moscow, Russia: Moscow Center of Continuous Mathematical Education (MCCME)
- [BGR] *A. Bruce, J. Grabowski and M. Rotkiewicz*, Polarisation of graded bundles. *SIGMA* 12 (2016), 106.
- [B] *A. Bruce*, On curves and jets on supermanifolds, *Arch. Math.* (2), 2014.
- [CCF] *C. Carmeli, L. Caston, R. Fiorese*, Mathematical foundations of supersymmetry, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics, European Math. Soc., Zurich, 2011.
- [CM] *del Carpio-Marek. F.* Geometric structure on degree 2 manifolds. PhD-thesis, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 2015.
- [CW] *S.-J. Cheng, W. Wang*. Dualities and representations of Lie superalgebras. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 144. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [CGP] *Tiffany Covolo, Janusz Grabowski, Norbert Poncin* The category of Z_2^n -supermanifolds. *J. Math. Phys.* 57 (2016), 073503 (16pp).
- [Ber] *Deligne P., Morgan J.W.* Notes on supersymmetry (following Joseph Bernstein), *Quantum Fields and Strings: A Course for Mathematicians*, Vols. 1,2 (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), 41-97. American Mathematical Society. Providence, R.I. 1999.
- [Eld] Alberto Elduque, *Graded-simple algebras and cocycle twisted loop algebras*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147 (2019), 2821-2833.
- [Gav] F. Gavarini, Global splittings and super Harish-Chandra pairs for affine supergroups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 368 (2016), 3973-4026.
- [Gr] *P. Green*, On holomorphic graded manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 85 (1982), 587-590.
- [DW1] *R. Donagi, E. Witten* Supermoduli Space Is Not Projected. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 90 (2015) 19-72.
- [DW2] *R. Donagi, E. Witten* Super Atiyah classes and obstructions to splitting of supermoduli space. *Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly* Volume 9 (2013) Number 4, Pages: 739 – 788.
- [GR] *Grabowski, J., Rotkiewicz, M.* Higher vector bundles and multi-graded symplectic manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.*, Volume 59, Issue 9, 2009, Pages 1285-1305.
- [JKPS] *B. Jubin, A. Kotov, N. Poncin, V. Salnikov*, Differential graded Lie groups and their differential graded Lie algebras, arXiv:1906.09630.
- [Kac] *V. Kac*. Lie superalgebras, *Advances in Mathematics*, Volume 26, Issue 1, October 1977, Pages 8-96.
- [Kos] *Kostant B.* Graded manifolds, graded Lie theory, and prequantization. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 570. Berlin e.a.: Springer-Verlag, 1977. P. 177-306.

- [KPS] *Alexei Kotov, Norbert Poncin, and Vladimir Salnikov*, On the structure of graded Lie groups. In preparation.
- [JL] *Jotz Lean, M.* N-manifolds of degree 2 and metric double vector bundles, arXiv:1504.00880.
- [L] *Leites D.A.* Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 1980, Volume 35, Issue 1(211), 3-57
- [M] *K. C. H. Mackenzie* General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids, Cambridge University Press, 2005
- [Mas] *A. Masuoka*, Harish-Chandra pairs for algebraic affine supergroup schemes over an arbitrary field, Transform. Groups 17 (2012), no. 4, 1085–1121.
- [MS] *A. Masuoka, T. Shibata*, Algebraic supergroups and Harish-Chandra pairs over a commutative ring, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 369 (2017), 3443–3481.
- [Oni] *A.L. Onishchik*. A Construction of Non-Split Supermanifolds. Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry 16(4): P. 309-333.
- [P] *Pradines J.*, Représentation des jets non holonomes par des morphismes vectoriels doubles soudés, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A*, **278** (1974), 1523–1526.
- [R] *M.J. Rothstein*. “Deformations of Complex Supermanifolds.” Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 95, no. 2, 1985, pp. 255–260.
- [R] *Roytenberg, D.* On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids. Contemp. Math., Vol. 315, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2002).
- [RV] *M. Rotkiewicz and E. Vishnyakova*. Graded covering of a supermanifold II. The general case.
- [Sch] *M. Scheunert*. Generalized Lie algebras. Journal of Mathematical Physics 20, 712 (1979).
- [T] *S.J. Takiff*. Rings of invariant polynomials for a class of Lie algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 160 (1971), 249-262.
- [Var] *V. S. Varadarajan*. Supersymmetry for Mathematicians. Courant Lecture Notes, Volume: 11; 2004; 300 pp.
- [Vi1] *E. Vishnyakova*. Graded manifolds of type Δ and n -fold vector bundles, Letters in Mathematical Physics 109 (2), 243-293.
- [Vi2] *E. Vishnyakova*. On holomorphic functions on a compact complex homogeneous supermanifold. Journal of Algebra 350 (1), 2012, 174-196.
- [Vi3] *E. Vishnyakova*. On complex Lie supergroups and split homogeneous supermanifolds. Transformation Groups 16 (2011), no. 1, 265-285.
- [Vo] *Th.Th. Voronov*, Q-Manifolds and Mackenzie Theory. Commun. Math. Phys. 315, 279-310 (2012).

E. V.: Departamento de Matemática, Instituto de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Antônio Carlos, 6627, CEP: 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, BRAZIL, Institute of Mathematics, University of Cologne, Weyertal 86-90, 50931 Cologne, GERMANY, and Laboratory of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Tomsk State University, Tomsk 634050, RUSSIA.

email: VishnyakovaE@googlemail.com

M. Rotkiewicz: Department of Mathematics, Warsaw University, Warsaw, Poland.

email: mrotkiew@mimuw.edu.pl