

**TOWARD THE GEOMETRIC  $P = W$  CONJECTURE FOR THE  
5-PUNCTURED SPHERE IN RANK 2**

SZILÁRD SZABÓ

ABSTRACT. We use abelianization of Higgs bundles away from the ramification divisor and fiducial solutions to analyze the large scale behaviour of Fenchel–Nielsen co-ordinates for the moduli space of rank 2 Higgs bundles on the Riemann sphere with 5 punctures, and prove a result pointing in the direction of the Geometric  $P = W$  conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

The  $P = W$  conjecture is a major open problem in non-abelian Hodge theory, formulated by M. de Cataldo, T. Hausel and L. Migliorini [2] as a correspondence between the perverse Leray filtration induced by the Hitchin map on the cohomology of a Dolbeault moduli space and the weight filtration of Deligne’s mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of the associated character variety (Betti space). The recent years have brought intense activity toward establishing various cases of the  $P = W$  conjecture. First, in the same paper where the conjecture was made, de Cataldo, Hausel and Migliorini proved it in rank 2. As an important further contribution, M. de Cataldo, D. Maulik and J. Shen [3] established it for curves of genus 2. C. Felisetti and M. Mauri [4] proved it for character varieties admitting a symplectic resolution, i.e. in genus 1 and arbitrary rank and genus 2 and rank 2. The author has established the conjecture for complex 2-dimensional moduli spaces of rank 2 Higgs bundles with irregular singularities over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  corresponding to the Painlevé cases [26]. J. Shen and Z. Zhang [23] proved it for five infinite families of moduli spaces of parabolic Higgs bundles over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ .

The  $P = W$  conjecture has been generalized in various interesting contexts. A. Harder showed a similar statement for elliptic Lefschetz fibrations using methods coming from toric surfaces [8, Theorem 4.5]. Z. Zhang [28] found a similar phenomenon for the weight filtration of certain 2-dimensional cluster varieties and the perverse filtration of elliptic fibrations with constrained singular fibers. A motivation for the  $P = W$  conjecture was the so-called curious hard Lefschetz conjecture of T. Hausel, E. Letellier and F. Rodriguez-Villegas [9]. The curious hard Lefschetz property has been confirmed by A. Mellit [17].

Among the generalizations and analogues of the  $P = W$  conjecture is an intriguing geometric counterpart formulated by L. Katzarkov, A. Noll, P. Pandit and C. Simpson [13, Conjecture 1.1] and C. Simpson [25, Conjecture 11.1]; this version is now called Geometric  $P = W$  conjecture. Roughly speaking, the Geometric  $P = W$  conjecture states that a certain continuous map  $\Phi \circ \text{RH} \circ \psi \circ \sigma$  from a neighbourhood of infinity in the Hitchin base to the topological space of the dual boundary complex of the character variety is a homotopy equivalence. For details, see Section 2. An immediate consequence of validity of this conjecture is that the

homotopy type of the topological space of the dual boundary complex of the character variety is that of a sphere of given dimension, therefore finding this homotopy type is a first consistency check of the conjecture.

The Geometric  $P = W$  conjecture has also attracted considerable attention in recent times. A. Komyo [14] used an explicit geometric description to prove that the homotopy type of the dual boundary complex of the character variety for  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  with 5 logarithmic points and group  $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is that of the 3-sphere. C. Simpson [25] generalized Komyo's result to the case of arbitrarily many logarithmic points on  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ , in rank 2, by proving that the homotopy type of the dual boundary complex is that of  $S^{2n-7}$ ; for this purpose, he introduced Fenchel–Nielsen type co-ordinates that will be widely used in this paper. M. Mauri, E. Mazzone and M. Stevenson [16, Theorem 6.0.1] used Berkovich space techniques to show that the dual boundary complex of a log-Calabi–Yau compactification of the  $\mathrm{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  character variety of a 2-torus is homeomorphic to  $S^{2n-1}$ . L. Katzarkov, A. Harder and V. Przyjalkowski have formulated a version of the cohomological  $P = W$  conjecture for log-Calabi–Yau manifolds and their mirror symmetric pairs, and in [12, Section 4] discussed a geometric version thereof. The author established the Geometric  $P = W$  conjecture in the Painlevé cases in [26], [27] via asymptotic abelianization of solutions of Hitchin's equations. In joint work with A. Némethi [21], the author gave a second proof for the same cases using different techniques, namely plumbing calculus. As far as the author is aware, up to date these latter articles are the only ones in which the full assertion of the Geometric  $P = W$  conjecture has been confirmed, rather than just its implication on the homotopy type of the dual boundary complex. It is noteworthy that the geometrical understanding of the moduli spaces developed in [21, Section 6] is quite reminiscent to the description of the weight filtration in terms of dual torus fibrations appearing in [12, Section 4] (up to the difference that the latter paper deals with the case of a smooth elliptic anti-canonical divisor rather than a singular one).

In this paper we study the moduli space of Higgs bundles and character variety of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  with 5 logarithmic points in rank 2. The purpose of this paper is to prove

**Theorem 1.** *There exists a value of the map*

$$\Phi \circ \mathrm{RH} \circ \psi \circ \sigma: S^3 \rightarrow S^3$$

*whose preimages lie in a tubular neighbourhood of a curve  $C \subset S^3$ , and the derivative of the map at one of the preimages is invertible.*

For details, see Section 2.5. This is weaker than what the Geometric  $P = W$  conjecture would state in this case. Namely, if we knew in addition that the referred value has a single preimage, that would imply that the map is a homotopy equivalence. We suspect that this is the case, however we are unable to prove it at this stage.

Our approach will be analogous to that of our previous work [26], [27]. Namely, we will make use of results of T. Mochizuki [19], L. Fredrickson, R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda and H. Weiss [5] and R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss and F. Witt [15] describing the large-scale behaviour of solutions of Hitchin's equations, combined with C. Simpson's Fenchel–Nielsen type co-ordinates of the character variety and the combinatorial understanding of its compactification [25]. The studies in [5] and [15] were inspired by physical considerations pertinent to the WKB-analysis of Hitchin's equations given by D. Gaiotto, G. Moore and A. Neitzke [6] (for a

treatment of more mathematical flavour see also [19]). Interestingly, it will become clear from our proof that — just as it is the case in WKB analysis — integrals of the canonical Liouville 1-form along some paths in the spectral cover govern the behaviour of the maps that we need to consider. In this sense, our results may be considered as the refinement of WKB analysis to the regions where the sheets of the spectral curve are not separated away from each other. This generalization is made possible by the precise results of [5] and [15]. Many of the technical results of this paper are valid for an arbitrary number  $n \geq 5$  of parabolic points.

## 2. BASIC NOTATIONS AND PREPARATORY RESULTS

**2.1. Moduli spaces of tame harmonic bundles.** Consider  $X = \mathbb{C}P^1$  with coordinates  $z$  and  $w = z^{-1}$ , endowed with the standard Riemannian metric. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $K$  the sheaves of holomorphic functions and holomorphic 1-forms respectively on  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . We set

$$t_0 = -\frac{1}{k}, \quad t_1 = 0, \quad t_2 = 1, \quad t_3 = -1, \quad t_4 = \frac{1}{k} \quad (2.1)$$

for some  $0 < k < 1$ . These choices will not be used until Section 6.1, so the results of all preceding sections are valid for any quintuple of distinct points in  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . We consider the simple effective divisor

$$D = t_0 + t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4$$

and set

$$L = K(D).$$

By an abuse of notation, we will also denote by  $D$  the support set of  $D$ . Finally, we fix a point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus D$ . Much of the following discussion has a straightforward generalization to simple effective divisors of higher length too.

For  $0 \leq j \leq 4$  we fix

$$\alpha_j^- = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \alpha_j^+ = \frac{3}{4} \quad (2.2)$$

that will serve as parabolic weights in the Dolbeault complex structure. Notice that

$$\sum_{j=0}^4 (\alpha_j^- + \alpha_j^+) = 5.$$

We will write

$$\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_j^-, \alpha_j^+)_{j=0}^4.$$

The basic object of our study will be a certain Hodge moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Hod}}$  of *tame harmonic bundles* [24] of rank 2 and parabolic degree 0 on  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  with singularities at  $D$ . We will describe this moduli space from two perspectives called the Dolbeault and the de Rham moduli spaces. Consider a smooth vector bundle  $V$  of rank 2 and degree  $-5$  over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . Then, the equations defining harmonic bundles are *Hitchin's equations* [11]

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}\theta = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

$$F_h + [\theta, \theta^\dagger] = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for a  $(0, 1)$ -connection  $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}$  on  $V$ , a Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $V$  and a section  $\theta$  of  $\text{End}(V) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{C}P^1}^{1,0}$  over  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus D$ , where  $F_h$  is the curvature of the Chern connection  $\nabla_h$  associated to  $(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}, h)$  and  $\theta^\dagger$  is the section of  $\text{End}(V) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{C}P^1}^{0,1}$  obtained by taking

the adjoint of the endomorphism part of  $\theta$  with respect to  $h$  and the complex conjugate of its form-part. The reason of the terminology “harmonic bundle” is the fact that the equations imply that the map  $h$  is harmonic from (the universal cover of) the Riemann surface to the symmetric space  $\mathrm{Gl}_2(\mathbb{C})/\mathrm{U}(2)$ . The behaviour of  $\theta$  and  $h$  is assumed to satisfy the so-called *tameness* condition at each  $t_j$ , namely  $h$  should admit a lift along any ray to  $t_j$  which grows at most polynomially in Euclidean distance.

Hitchin’s equations are presented above from the Dolbeault point of view. Let us first describe the boundary behaviour of the data from this perspective. Let us denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the holomorphic vector bundle  $(V, \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}})$  on  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus D$ . It turns out that there exists an extension of the holomorphic bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $D$  such that the Higgs field has at most logarithmic poles at  $D$ . A *parabolic structure* on  $\mathcal{E}$  at  $D$  is by definition a filtration

$$0 \subset \ell_j \subset \mathcal{E}|_{t_j} \quad (2.5)$$

of the fiber of  $\mathcal{E}$  at every  $t_j \in D$  that is stabilized by  $\mathrm{res}_{t_j} \theta$ . We assume that the Higgs field  $\theta$  is *strongly parabolic*, meaning that the action of  $\mathrm{res}_{t_j} \theta$  both on  $\ell_j$  and on  $\mathcal{E}|_{t_j}/\ell_j$  is trivial. Then, in the Dolbeault complex structure  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Hod}}$  parameterizes  $\bar{\alpha}$ -stable parabolic Higgs bundles with Higgs field having at most logarithmic poles at  $D$  such that the eigenvalues of the residue of the associated Higgs field at  $t_j$  vanish and the parabolic weights of the underlying holomorphic vector bundle in the Dolbeault picture at  $t_j$  are equal to  $\alpha_j^{\pm}$ . The latter assumption on parabolic weights encodes a certain growth behaviour of the evaluation of the metric  $h$  on elements of a local holomorphic trivialization. The moduli space of such logarithmic parabolic Higgs bundles is known to be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -analytic manifold

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Dol}}(\vec{0}, \vec{\alpha})$$

called *Dolbeault moduli space*, whose underlying smooth manifold is  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Hod}}$ .

Let us now turn to the de Rham point of view. It is known that if  $(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}, h, \theta)$  is a tame harmonic bundle then the connection

$$\nabla = \nabla_h + \theta + \theta^\dagger$$

is integrable, and the underlying holomorphic vector bundle admits an extension over  $D$  with respect to which  $\nabla^{1,0}$  has regular singularities. The associated de Rham moduli space parameterizes  $\vec{\beta}$ -stable parabolic integrable connections on  $V$  with regular singularities near the punctures  $t_j$ , with eigenvalues of its residue given by

$$\mu_j^{\pm} = \alpha_j^{\pm} \quad (2.6)$$

and parabolic weights given by

$$\beta_j^{\pm} = \alpha_j^{\pm}. \quad (2.7)$$

Again, a parabolic structure on the underlying holomorphic vector bundle at  $D$  is defined as a flag of its fiber over  $t_j \in D$  that is stabilized by  $\mathrm{res}_{t_j} \nabla^{1,0}$  and such that its action on the first graded piece of the filtration be  $\mu_j^-$ . The *de Rham moduli space* of such parabolic integrable connections with regular singularities will be denoted by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\beta});$$

it is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -analytic manifold with underlying smooth manifold  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Hod}}$ .

It follows from the above discussion that there exists a canonical diffeomorphism

$$\psi: \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Dol}}(\vec{0}, \vec{\alpha}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\beta}) \quad (2.8)$$

called *non-abelian Hodge correspondence*.

## 2.2. Character variety, Riemann–Hilbert correspondence, Simpson’s map.

We will need a third point of view of harmonic bundles, called Betti side. The *Betti moduli space* (or character variety)  $\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})$  parameterizes filtered local systems on  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus D$  with prescribed conjugacy class of its monodromy around every  $t_j$  and growth order of parallel sections on rays emanating from the punctures, up to simultaneous conjugation by elements of  $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . We will now describe the value and role of parameters  $\vec{c}$ . Namely, the monodromy transformation of an integrable connection  $\nabla$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\beta})$  along a positively oriented simple loop in  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  separating  $t_j$  from the other singular points has eigenvalues

$$c_j^\pm = \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu_j^\pm) = \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha_j^\pm) = \pm\sqrt{-1} \quad (2.9)$$

and all weights of the associated filtration equal to 0. We notice that for any  $1 \leq i \leq 4$  and any  $\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq 4$  we have

$$c_0^{\epsilon_0} \cdots c_i^{\epsilon_i} \in \{\pm\sqrt{-1}\},$$

in particular

$$c_0^{\epsilon_0} \cdots c_i^{\epsilon_i} \notin \{\pm 1\}.$$

Said differently, the vector  $\vec{c}$  satisfies Simpson’s *very genericity* Condition [25, 7.1].

It is known that the map

$$\mathrm{RH}: \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\beta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0}) \quad (2.10)$$

mapping any integrable connection to its (filtered) local system of vector spaces is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -analytic isomorphism, called *Riemann–Hilbert map*.

It is known that  $\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})$  is an affine algebraic variety, which is smooth by the generic choices of the parameters. We will denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})$  a smooth compactification by a simple normal crossing divisor  $D_B$ . Such a compactification exists by Nagata’s compactification theorem [20] combined with Hironaka’s theorem on the existence of resolutions of singularities in characteristic 0 [10].

**Definition 1.** *The dual complex of  $D_B$  is the simplicial complex  $\mathbb{D}D_B$  whose vertices are in bijection with irreducible components of  $D_B$ , and whose  $k$ -faces are formed by  $(k+1)$ -tuples of vertices such that the intersection of the corresponding components is non-empty. We will denote the  $k$ -skeleton of  $\mathbb{D}D_B$  by  $\mathbb{D}_k D_B$ , and the topological realization of  $\mathbb{D}D_B$  by  $|\mathbb{D}D_B|$ .*

We will require  $D_B$  to be a very simple normal crossing divisor, meaning that any such non-empty intersection of components is connected. The above procedure may be applied to any quasi-projective smooth variety  $X$ , and an important result due to Danilov [1] states that the homotopy type of the simplicial complex is independent of the chosen compactification. We will apply it to  $\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})$ , and we will call the resulting simplicial complex its *dual boundary complex*, denoted by  $\mathbb{D}\partial\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})$ . A. Komyo [14] showed that for character varieties of rank 2 representations with  $k = 5$  parabolic points the homotopy type of the dual boundary complex is that of the sphere  $S^3$ . C. Simpson [25] generalized this result to character varieties of the complement of  $k \geq 5$  parabolic points, by showing that for  $X = \mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})$  the dual boundary complex is homotopy equivalent to the sphere  $S^{2k-7}$ .

Following C. Simpson [25], one can define a neighbourhood  $N_B$  of  $D_B$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})$  and a map

$$\Phi: N_B^* \rightarrow |\mathbb{D}\partial\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})|, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $N_B^* = N_B \setminus D_B$ . For convenience of the reader let us recall the construction. For any vertex  $m$  in  $\mathbb{D}\partial\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})$  let  $D_m$  denote the corresponding irreducible component of  $D_B$ , and let  $N_m$  be a sufficiently small tubular neighbourhood of  $D_m$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})$  so that

$$N_{m_0} \cap \cdots \cap N_{m_k} \neq \emptyset \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad D_{m_0} \cap \cdots \cap D_{m_k} \neq \emptyset. \quad (2.12)$$

We then set

$$N_B = \cup_{m \in \mathbb{D}_0\partial\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})} N_m,$$

and pick a partition of unity  $(\phi_m)_{m \in \mathbb{D}_0\partial\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})}$  subordinate to the corresponding covering of  $N_B^*$ . For  $x \in N_B$  we then let

$$\Phi(x) = (\phi_m(x))_{m \in \mathbb{D}_0\partial\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{D}_0\partial\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})}.$$

Then, because of the assumptions that  $0 \leq \phi_m \leq 1$  and

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_0\partial\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})} \phi_m \equiv 1$$

the map  $\Phi$  takes values in the standard simplex  $\Delta_N$  of dimension  $N = \#\mathbb{D}_0\partial\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0}) - 1$ . Moreover, the assumption (2.12) implies that the image of  $\Phi$  lies within a simplicial subcomplex of  $\Delta_N$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}\partial\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})$ .

### 2.3. Hitchin maps and bases.

**Proposition 2.** (1) *For every strongly parabolic  $\vec{\alpha}$ -stable Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$  with logarithmic singularities at  $D$  we have*

$$\text{tr}(\theta) \equiv 0.$$

(2) *There exists a linear subspace*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{q : q(t_j) = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq j \leq 4\} \subset H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1, L^{\otimes 2}) \cong \mathbb{C}^7$$

*of dimension 2 over  $\mathbb{C}$  such that an  $\vec{\alpha}$ -stable Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$  with logarithmic singularities at  $D$  is strongly parabolic if and only if*

$$\det(\theta) \in \mathcal{B}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\theta) &\in H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1, L) \cong \mathbb{C}^4 \\ \det(\theta) &\in H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1, L^{\otimes 2}) \cong \mathbb{C}^7. \end{aligned}$$

The requirement on the eigenvalues of the residues of  $\theta$  together imposes 5 linear relations on  $\text{tr}(\theta)$ ; however, one of these conditions expresses that the sum of the eigenvalues is 0, and is therefore redundant. So,  $\text{tr}(\theta)$  is uniquely determined as  $0 \in H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1, L)$ , proving the first assertion. On the other hand, the same requirements impose 5 independent linear relations on  $\det(\theta)$ . The second assertion follows.  $\square$

It follows from the Proposition that we have a well-defined map

$$\begin{aligned} H: \mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}(\vec{0}, \vec{\alpha}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{B} \\ (\mathcal{E}, \theta) &\mapsto -\det(\theta) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

called the *Hitchin map*. (The negative sign will simplify our later formulas.) The target space  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $h$  is called the *Hitchin base*. The generic element of  $\mathcal{B}$  will be denoted as

$$q \in H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1, L^{\otimes 2}).$$

We fix the isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}(3) \cong L$  given on the affine open subset  $w \neq 0$  by

$$s(z, w) = \sum_{i=0}^3 s_i z^{3-i} w^i \mapsto S(z) = s(z, 1) \frac{dz}{\prod_{j=0}^4 (z - t_j)}. \quad (2.14)$$

Under this isomorphism, the value  $s(t_j, 1)$  for  $0 \leq j \leq 4$  is equal to the some non-zero multiple (only depending on the divisor  $D$  and  $j$ ) of the residue  $\text{res}_{t_j}(S)$ . The isomorphism (2.14) induces the isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}(6) \cong L^{\otimes 2}$  given by

$$q(z, w) = \sum_{i=0}^6 q_i z^{6-i} w^i \mapsto Q(z) = q(z, 1) \frac{dz^{\otimes 2}}{\prod_{j=0}^4 (z - t_j)^2}. \quad (2.15)$$

**2.4. Spectral curve.** We consider the total space  $\text{Tot}(L)$  of  $L$  with the natural projection

$$p_L: \text{Tot}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1.$$

We denote by  $\zeta$  the canonical section of  $p_L^*L$ . For any

$$q \in H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1, L^{\otimes 2})$$

we denote for simplicity  $p_L^*q$  by  $q$ .

We endow  $\mathcal{B}$  with a scalar product (we will be more precise in (2.23)), pick  $R > 0$  and let  $S_R^3$  denote the sphere of radius  $R$  in  $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ . For  $q \in S_1^3$  we write  $\zeta_{\pm}(Rq, z)$  for the roots of

$$\zeta^2 - Rq = 0,$$

specifically

$$\zeta_{\pm}(Rq, z) = \pm \sqrt{Rq(z, 1)}. \quad (2.16)$$

We denote by

$$X_{Rq} = \{([z : w], \pm \sqrt{Rq(z, w)})\} \subset \text{Tot}(L) \quad (2.17)$$

the Riemann surface of the bivalued function  $\zeta_{\pm}(Rq, z)$ . For a generic choice of  $q$  this curve is smooth and of genus

$$g(X_{Rq}) = 2.$$

For generic  $q \in S_1^3$ , the fiber  $H^{-1}(q)$  is known to be isomorphic to an abelian variety of dimension 2 over  $\mathbb{C}$ , namely the Jacobian  $\text{Jac}(X_q)$  of  $X_q$ :

$$H^{-1}(q) \cong \text{Jac}(X_q) = \mathbb{C}^2 / \Lambda_q \quad (2.18)$$

for the period lattice  $\Lambda_q \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  of  $X_{Rq}$ .

Clearly,  $X_{Rq}$  is ramified over  $D$ . Let  $\tilde{D}$  denote the corresponding branch divisor, so  $\tilde{D}$  consists of the preimages of the points of  $D$  on  $X_{Rq}$ , all counted with multiplicity 1. We again let

$$Z_{\pm}(Rq, z) \quad (2.19)$$

stand for the bivalued meromorphic differentials corresponding to (2.16) over the chart  $z$  under the isomorphism (2.14). In concrete terms, we have

$$Z_{\pm}(Rq, z) = \pm \sqrt{Rq(z, 1)} \frac{dz}{\prod_{j=0}^4 (z - t_j)} \quad (2.20)$$

We set

$$\Delta_q = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : q(z) = 0\}.$$

Regardless of the value of  $R > 0$ ,  $\Delta_q$  is the ramification divisor of the projection map

$$p : X_{Rq} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$$

induced by  $p_L$ .  $\Delta_q$  contains the points of  $D$  by Proposition 2, and is of cardinality 6 because  $\deg(L^{\otimes 2}) = 6$ . It follows that it is of the form

$$\Delta_q = \{t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t(q)\} \quad (2.21)$$

for some  $t(q) \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ . In case  $t(q) = t_{j_0}$  for some  $0 \leq j_0 \leq 4$ , we assign multiplicity 2 to  $t_{j_0}$  in  $\Delta_q$ . On the other hand, for any fixed  $t \in \mathbb{C}P^1$  we denote by  $\Delta_t$  the set of  $q \in S_1^3$  such that  $t \in \Delta_q$ . We denote by  $\tilde{\Delta}_q$  the corresponding ramification points on  $X_q$ , and similarly by  $\tilde{t}(q), \tilde{D}$  the lifts of  $t(q)$  and of the divisor  $D$ , respectively.

**Proposition 3.** *For any fixed  $t \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ , the set  $\Delta_t$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^1$ , and the map*

$$\begin{aligned} t : S_1^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1 \\ q &\mapsto t(q) \end{aligned}$$

defined by (2.21) is the Hopf fibration.

*Proof.* The section  $q$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 6, vanishing at the points of  $D$  by Proposition 2, hence is of the form

$$q(z, w) = (az - bw) \prod_{j=0}^4 (z - t_j w)$$

for some  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Using the isomorphism (2.15), the corresponding meromorphic quadratic differential reads as

$$Q(z) = \frac{(az - b)dz^{\otimes 2}}{\prod_{j=0}^4 (z - t_j)}. \quad (2.22)$$

The coefficients  $(a, b)$  describe natural co-ordinates of the space  $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ . For any fixed  $[z_0 : w_0] \in \mathbb{C}P^1$  the condition  $az_0 - bw_0 = 0$  is linear in  $(a, b)$ , hence  $\Delta_t$  is the link of a line passing through 0 in  $\mathcal{B}$ . This shows the first assertion.

The map appearing in the second assertion is

$$(a, b) \mapsto [b : a].$$

This is just the canonical map from  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  to  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . The result follows.  $\square$

Using the factorization (2.22) we will assume that the norm of  $\mathcal{B}$  is

$$|q| = \sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2}. \quad (2.23)$$

We use standard Hopf co-ordinates

$$a = \cos(\theta)e^{\sqrt{-1}(\varphi - \phi)}, \quad b = \sin(\theta)e^{\sqrt{-1}(\varphi + \phi)} \quad (2.24)$$



with  $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  and  $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $\phi \in [0, \pi]$ . Then, on the chart  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[z])$  the map  $t$  reads as

$$t: (a, b) \mapsto \frac{b}{a} = \tan(\theta)e^{2\sqrt{-1}\phi}.$$

The parameter of the Hopf circles is  $\varphi$ .

**2.5. Main result.** We are ready to stating our main result.

**Theorem 2.** *There exists  $R_0 > 0$  such that for all  $R > R_0$  there exists a smooth section  $\sigma: S^3_R \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}(\vec{0}, \vec{\alpha})$  of the restriction of (2.13) for which there exists a value of the map*

$$\Phi \circ \text{RH} \circ \psi \circ \sigma: S^3 \rightarrow S^3$$

*whose preimages lie in a tubular neighbourhood of a curve  $C \subset S^3$ , and the derivative of the map at one of the preimages is invertible. Here  $\Phi$  is Simpson's map (2.11),  $\text{RH}$  is the Riemann–Hilbert map (2.10),  $\psi$  is the non-abelian Hodge correspondence (2.8).*

We will achieve this by analyzing the large scale behaviour of the Riemann–Hilbert map using a recent structure result for large scale parabolic solutions of Hitchin's equations due to L. Fredrickson, R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda and H. Weiss[5].

### 3. LARGE SCALE BEHAVIOUR OF SOLUTIONS OF HITCHIN'S EQUATIONS

**3.1. Asymptotic abelianization, limiting configuration.** We fix a generic element  $q \in S^3_1$  and consider  $(\mathcal{E}, \theta) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}(\vec{0}, \vec{\alpha})$  such that

$$H(\mathcal{E}, \theta) = q. \tag{3.1}$$

As we have explained in Section 2.4, choosing such a Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$  amounts to fixing a point in an abelian variety of complex dimension 2. Then, for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  we have  $(\mathcal{E}, t\theta) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}(\vec{0}, \vec{\alpha})$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}(\vec{0}, \vec{\alpha})$ . Obviously,

$$H(\mathcal{E}, t\theta) = t^2 q \in S^3_{|t|^2}.$$

For any fixed value of  $t$ , there exists a unique solution  $h_t$  of the real Hitchin's equation (2.4) associated to the pair  $(\mathcal{E}, t\theta)$ . We will summarize some results of [5] (partly based on [15] and [19]) regarding the asymptotic behaviour of the tame harmonic bundle associated to  $(\mathcal{E}, \sqrt{R}\theta)$ , (the parameter  $t > 0$  of [5] thus being replaced by  $\sqrt{R}$  where  $R$  is our parameter appearing in Subsection 2.5). The analysis in [5] relies on the assumption that  $\theta$  is generically regular semisimple. This holds for generic  $q \in S^3_1$ . Indeed, if  $\theta$  is not generically regular semisimple then the curve (2.17) is a section  $s$  of  $p_L$  with multiplicity 2, which is clearly not the case generically.

Let  $\mathcal{L}_{Rq} \rightarrow X_{Rq}$  be the line bundle such that

$$\mathcal{E} = p_{L*} \mathcal{L}_{Rq} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\rho: X_{Rq} \rightarrow X_{Rq}$$

the involution exchanging  $Z_+(Rq, z)$  and  $Z_-(Rq, z)$  (see (2.19)). (As  $\rho$  is the restriction to  $X_{Rq}$  of an algebraic involution defined over all  $\text{Tot}(L)$ , we will omit  $Rq$  from its notation.) Then, there exists a short exact sequence of sheaves on  $X_{Rq}$

$$0 \rightarrow p_L^* \mathcal{E}_{Rq} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{Rq} \oplus \rho^* \mathcal{L}_{Rq} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_q} \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Notice that there is an isomorphism

$$X_{Rq} \cong X_q$$

commuting with  $p_L$ , and it carries  $\mathcal{L}_q$  into  $\mathcal{L}_{Rq}$ .

Let  $\tilde{t}(q) \in X_{Rq}$  be the preimage of  $t(q)$  under  $p_L$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Formulas (2.20) define univalued holomorphic differentials on  $X_{Rq}$ , vanishing to order 2 at  $\tilde{t}(q)$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that the functions are univalued is clear, as  $X_{Rq}$  is by definition their Riemann surface.

For simplicity, let us work on the chart  $z$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  and set  $[z : 1] = z$ , a similar analysis works over the chart  $w$ . Furthermore, in this proof we use the notation

$$\zeta_i = \zeta_i(Rq, z)$$

with  $i \in \{\pm\}$ . A holomorphic chart of  $X_{Rq}$  near  $t_j$  is given by  $\zeta_i$ , with local equation

$$\zeta_i^2 = R(z - t_j)h_j(z)$$

for some holomorphic function  $h_j$  (depending on  $q$ ) such that  $h_j(t_j) \neq 0$ . This shows that

$$2\zeta_i d\zeta_i = Rdzh_j(z) + R(z - t_j)dh_j.$$

We derive that the 1-form  $\omega$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \frac{dz}{\zeta_i} = \frac{1}{h_j} \left( \frac{2d\zeta_i}{R} - \frac{z - t_j}{\zeta_i} dh_j \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h_j} \left( \frac{d\zeta_i}{R} - \zeta_i \frac{dh_j}{h_j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is holomorphic in  $\zeta_i$ . The formula shows that  $\omega$  is holomorphic near  $z = t(q)$  too. At any point away from the ramification divisor  $\Delta_q$  the form  $\omega$  is obviously regular.

Now, by (2.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i &= \pm \sqrt{R} \sqrt{az - b} \frac{dz}{\prod_{j=0}^4 \sqrt{z - t_j}} \\ &= \sqrt{R}(az - b) \frac{dz}{\zeta_i} = \pm \sqrt{R}(az - b)\omega, \end{aligned}$$

where the root of the polynomial  $az - b$  is  $t(q)$ . The first assertion immediately follows. For the second assertion, it is sufficient to notice that near  $z = t(q)$  we have

$$az - b = \zeta_i^2 h(\zeta_i)$$

for some non-vanishing holomorphic function  $h$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

Fix some  $q \in S_1^3$  and consider a Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$  satisfying (3.1), and recall the notation (2.20). Let  $\mathcal{L}_q$  be the line bundle satisfying (3.2). There exists (up to a scalar) a unique abelian Hermitian metric  $h_{\mathcal{L}_q}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_q$  with parabolic points at  $\Delta_q$  such that

- the associated unitary connection  $\nabla_{h_{\mathcal{L}_q}}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_q$  is flat (i.e.,  $h_{\mathcal{L}_q}$  is Hermite–Einstein),
- at each point of  $\tilde{D}$  its parabolic weight is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,
- and at  $\tilde{t}(q)$  its parabolic weight is equal to  $-\frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $h_{q,\infty}$  be the orthogonal push-forward of  $h_{\mathcal{L}_q}$  by  $p_L$ , so that in the direct sum decomposition (3.3) the two summands of the sheaf in the middle are orthogonal to each other and the restriction of  $h_{q,\infty}$  to each one of them is  $h_{\mathcal{L}_q}$ . Let  $\nabla_{h_{q,\infty}}$  be the rank 2 flat unitary connection associated to  $h_{q,\infty}$  over  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \Delta_q$ . We call  $(\mathcal{E}, \theta, h_{q,\infty})$  the *limiting configuration* associated to  $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ . We introduce the model integrable connection

$$\nabla_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{limiting}} = \nabla_{h_{q,\infty}} + \begin{pmatrix} 2\Re Z_+(Rq, z) & 0 \\ 0 & 2\Re Z_-(Rq, z) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, we denote by  $h_{\sqrt{R}}$  the solution of (2.4) and  $\nabla_{\sqrt{R}}$  the Hermite-Einstein metric and integrable connection associated to  $(\mathcal{E}, \sqrt{R}\theta)$ .

**Theorem 3** (T. Mochizuki). [19, Corollary 2.13] *Over any simply connected compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta_q$  there exists a gauge transformation  $g_{\sqrt{R}}$  such that*

$$g_{\sqrt{R}} \cdot \nabla_{\sqrt{R}} - \nabla_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{limiting}} \rightarrow 0$$

(measured with respect to  $h_{\sqrt{R}}$ ) as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly over  $K$ . More precisely, there exist  $c_2, C_2 > 0$  (depending on  $K, q$ ) such that for any  $z \in K$  we have

$$|g_{\sqrt{R}} \cdot \nabla_{\sqrt{R}}(z) - \nabla_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{limiting}}(z)|_h < C_2 e^{-c_2 \sqrt{R}}.$$

**3.2. Fiducial solution, approximate solutions.** We will equally need the asymptotic form of the solution of Hitchin's equations near the points of  $\Delta_q$ , where Theorem 3 does not apply. Such a description is provided by R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss, F. Witt in [15] over a smooth projective curve  $X$  of arbitrary genus. This description is extended by L. Fredrickson, R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss in [5] to the case of a smooth projective curve  $X$  of arbitrary genus for solutions of Hitchin's equations with a finite number of logarithmic singularities and adapted parabolic structure. In accordance with our notations, we let  $\sqrt{R}$  be the rescaling parameter of the Higgs field, equal to the parameter  $t$  of [15] and [5]. We denote the standard holomorphic co-ordinate of  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $\tilde{z}$  and work in a fixed disc  $B_{r_0}(0) = \{|\tilde{z}| \leq r_0\}$  for some  $r_0 > 0$ . We write  $\tilde{z} = \tilde{r}e^{\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}}$  for polar co-ordinates of a point.

We first describe the solution in the case 0 is a logarithmic singularity of a harmonic bundle, with Dolbeault parabolic weights denoted by  $\alpha^\pm \in [0, 1)$  as given in (2.2). Let

$$m_{\sqrt{R}}: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be the unique solution of the Painlevé III type equation

$$\left( \frac{d^2}{d\tilde{r}^2} + \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \frac{d}{d\tilde{r}} \right) m_{\sqrt{R}} = 8R\tilde{r}^{-1} \sinh(2m_{\sqrt{R}})$$

satisfying the boundary behaviours

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r}) &\approx \left( \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j^+ - \alpha_j^- \right) \log(\tilde{r}) = \log(\tilde{r}), & \tilde{r} \rightarrow 0+ \\ m_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r}) &\approx \frac{1}{\pi} K_0(8\sqrt{R\tilde{r}}) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}\sqrt[4]{R\tilde{r}}} e^{-8\sqrt{R\tilde{r}}}, & \tilde{r} \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where the sign  $\approx$  stands for complete asymptotic expansion and  $K_0$  is the modified Bessel function (or Bessel function of imaginary argument) of order 0. Furthermore,

let us set

$$F_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r}) = -\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{r}\partial_{\tilde{r}}m_{\sqrt{R}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Making use of the values fixed in (2.2), the *fiducial solution* of Hitchin's equations on  $B_{r_0}(0)$ , introduced in [5, Proposition 3.9], is defined in a fixed unitary frame as

$$A_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}} = \left( \frac{\alpha^+ + \alpha^-}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + F_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) 2\sqrt{-1}d\tilde{\varphi} \quad (3.7)$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + F_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) 2\sqrt{-1}d\tilde{\varphi}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\theta_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{r}^{-1/2}e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r})} \\ \tilde{z}^{-1}\tilde{r}^{1/2}e^{-m_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r})} & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{z}. \quad (3.9)$$

The unitary frame with respect to which the above formulas hold is called *fiducial frame*, and denoted by

$$(e_1^{\text{fid}}, e_2^{\text{fid}}). \quad (3.10)$$

There is a similar family of solutions for the ramification point  $t(q)$  of the spectral curve  $X_q$  of the Higgs field. We fix a holomorphic chart  $\tilde{z}$  centered at  $t(q)$  with associated polar coordinates denoted by  $\tilde{r}, \tilde{\varphi}$ . Then, with respect to a unitary frame again denoted by

$$(e_1^{\text{fid}}, e_2^{\text{fid}}) \quad (3.11)$$

one can introduce

$$A_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}} = \left( \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{r}\partial_{\tilde{r}}\ell_{\sqrt{R}} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{-1}d\tilde{\varphi} \quad (3.12)$$

$$\theta_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{r}^{1/2}e^{\ell_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r})} \\ \tilde{z}\tilde{r}^{-1/2}e^{-\ell_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r})} & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{z}, \quad (3.13)$$

where  $\ell_{\sqrt{R}}$  is the solution of the equation

$$\left( \frac{d^2}{d\tilde{r}^2} + \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \frac{d}{d\tilde{r}} \right) \ell_{\sqrt{R}} = 8R\tilde{r} \sinh(2\ell_{\sqrt{R}})$$

satisfying the boundary behaviours

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r}) &\approx -\frac{1}{2} \log(\tilde{r}), \quad \tilde{r} \rightarrow 0+ \\ \ell_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r}) &\approx \frac{1}{\pi} K_0 \left( \frac{8}{3} \sqrt{R\tilde{r}^3} \right) \approx \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi\sqrt{2}\sqrt{R\tilde{r}^3}} e^{-\frac{8}{3}\sqrt{R\tilde{r}^3}}, \quad \tilde{r} \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The *limiting fiducial solution* is obtained by letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  in the above formulas, specifically

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\infty}^{\text{fid}} &= \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{-1}d\tilde{\varphi} \\ \theta_{\infty}^{\text{fid}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{r}^{1/2} \\ \tilde{r}^{1/2}e^{\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{z}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to assemble the limiting configuration and the fiducial solutions into a family of approximate solutions  $h_{Rq}^{\text{app}}$ , [5] perform a gluing construction. We describe this construction.

We start by describing normal forms of the solution of Hitchin's equations near the points of  $\Delta_q$ . By [5, Proposition 3.4] there exists a unique holomorphic co-ordinate  $\tilde{z}_t$  defined in a neighbourhood of the ramification point  $t = t(q)$  such that

$$q(\tilde{z}_t) = -\tilde{z}_t(d\tilde{z}_t)^2. \quad (3.14)$$

Furthermore, there exists a holomorphic gauge of  $\mathcal{E}$  near  $t(q)$  with respect to which one has

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \tilde{z}_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{z}_t, \quad h_{q,\infty} = Q_t(\tilde{z}_t) \begin{pmatrix} |\tilde{z}_t|^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & |\tilde{z}_t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $Q_t$  is a locally-defined smooth function completely determined by  $h_{\det \mathcal{E}}$  and  $q$ . Similarly, for any  $0 \leq j \leq 4$  [5, Proposition 3.5] shows that there exists some holomorphic co-ordinate  $\tilde{z}_j$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  near  $t_j$  such that we have

$$q(\tilde{z}_j) = -\tilde{z}_j^{-1}(d\tilde{z}_j)^2. \quad (3.15)$$

Furthermore, there exists a holomorphic gauge of  $\mathcal{E}$  near  $t_j$  with respect to which one has

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \tilde{z}_j^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{z}_j, \quad h_{q,\infty} = Q_j|\tilde{z}_j|^{\alpha_j^+ + \alpha_j^-} \begin{pmatrix} |\tilde{z}_j|^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & |\tilde{z}_j|^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = Q_j \begin{pmatrix} |\tilde{z}_j|^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & |\tilde{z}_j|^{\frac{3}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $Q_j$  is a locally-defined function completely determined by  $h_{\det \mathcal{E}}$  and  $q$ . Let us fix a cutoff function  $\chi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\chi(\tilde{r}) = 1$  for all  $\tilde{r} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\chi(\tilde{r}) = 0$  for all  $\tilde{r} \geq 1$ . Define the smooth Hermitian metric  $h_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{app}}$  to be equal

- to

$$Q_t(\tilde{z}_t) \begin{pmatrix} |\tilde{z}_t|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\ell\sqrt{R}(|\tilde{z}_t|)\chi(|\tilde{z}_t|)} & 0 \\ 0 & |\tilde{z}_t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\ell\sqrt{R}(|\tilde{z}_t|)\chi(|\tilde{z}_t|)} \end{pmatrix}$$

on  $|\tilde{z}_t| \leq 1$  in a holomorphic co-ordinate and gauge with respect to which the above normal form holds ;

- to

$$Q_j(\tilde{z}_j) \begin{pmatrix} |\tilde{z}_j|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{m\sqrt{R}(|\tilde{z}_j|)\chi(|\tilde{z}_j|)} & 0 \\ 0 & |\tilde{z}_j|^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{m\sqrt{R}(|\tilde{z}_j|)\chi(|\tilde{z}_j|)} \end{pmatrix}$$

on  $|\tilde{z}_j| \leq 1$  in a holomorphic co-ordinate and gauge with respect to which the above normal form holds ;

- to  $h_{q,\infty}$  on the complement of the above discs.

Fix a background Hermitian metric  $h_0$  on  $V$  and let us denote by  $H_{\sqrt{R}}, H_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{app}}$  the  $h_0$ -Hermitian sections of  $\text{End}(V)$  satisfying

$$h_{\sqrt{R}}(v, w) = h_0 \left( (H_{\sqrt{R}})^{\frac{1}{2}} v, (H_{\sqrt{R}})^{\frac{1}{2}} w \right) \quad (3.16)$$

and similarly

$$h_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{app}}(v, w) = h_0 \left( (H_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{app}})^{\frac{1}{2}} v, (H_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{app}})^{\frac{1}{2}} w \right).$$

Then, for a fixed Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$  one may look for solutions  $(\mathcal{E}, \sqrt{R}\theta, h_{\sqrt{R}})$  of Hitchin's equations (i.e., the Hermite–Einstein equation for the pair  $(\mathcal{E}, \sqrt{R}\theta)$ ) in the form

$$(H_{\sqrt{R}})^{\frac{1}{2}} = e^{\gamma\sqrt{R}}(H_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{app}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.17)$$

for some  $h_0$ -Hermitian section  $\gamma_{\sqrt{R}}$  of  $\text{End}(V)$ .

**Theorem 4.** [15, Theorem 6.7],[5, Theorem 6.2] *Assume that all the zeroes of  $q$  are simple. Then, there exists  $C, \mu > 0$  and a section  $\gamma_{\sqrt{R}}$  such that the Hermitian metric (3.16) with (3.17) satisfies the Hermite–Einstein equation, and*

$$\|\gamma_{\sqrt{R}}\|_{C_b^{2,\alpha}} \leq C e^{-(\mu/2)\sqrt{R}}$$

for an appropriate Hölder norm  $C_b^{2,\alpha}$ .

The practical implication of this result for our purpose is that one may perturb the approximate solution by a term exponentially small in  $\sqrt{R}$  so as to obtain the solution of Hitchin’s equations. We will denote by  $\nabla_{\sqrt{R}}$  the flat connection associated to the solution  $(\mathcal{E}, \sqrt{R}\theta, h_{\sqrt{R}})$ , i.e.

$$\nabla_{\sqrt{R}} = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} + \partial^{h_{\sqrt{R}}} + \sqrt{R}\theta + \sqrt{R}\theta^{\dagger, h_{\sqrt{R}}} \quad (3.18)$$

where  $\dagger, h_{\sqrt{R}}$  stands for adjoint with respect to  $h_{\sqrt{R}}$ . Then,  $\nabla_{\sqrt{R}}$  is approximated up to exponential error terms by

$$\nabla_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{app}} = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} + \partial^{h_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{app}}} + \sqrt{R}\theta + \sqrt{R}\theta^{\dagger, h_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{app}}}.$$

#### 4. SIMPSON’S FENCHEL–NIELSEN CO-ORDINATES

Simpson has defined in [25, Section 10] co-ordinates of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{B}}(\vec{c}, \vec{\gamma})$ . In this section, we will recall the definition of these co-ordinates. The general element of the Betti moduli space is a local system  $V$  on  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus D$ , with eigenvalues of its local monodromy around the punctures  $t_j$  equal to  $c_j^{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{-1}$ . For each  $2 \leq i \leq 3$  there are two different co-ordinates:  $t_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $[p_i : q_i] \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ . By analogy with classical Teichmüller theory, we will call co-ordinates of the first type  $t_i$  the *complex length co-ordinates* and those of the second type  $[p_i : q_i]$  the *complex twist co-ordinates*. Indeed, the traditional length co-ordinates in Teichmüller space belong to  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  is its complexification; similarly, the twist co-ordinates take values in  $S^1$ , which is the real part  $\mathbb{R}P^1$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  for the canonical real structure.

**4.1. Complex length co-ordinates.** We fix disjoint open discs  $D_j$  around the points  $t_j$  for  $0 \leq j \leq 4$ ; to fix our ideas we pick  $D_j = B_{r_0}(t_j)^{\circ} = \{|z - t_j| < r_0\}$  for some  $0 < r_0 \ll 1$  so that the different discs  $D_j$  are disjoint. We then set

$$S = \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus (D_0 \cup \dots \cup D_4). \quad (4.1)$$

Then  $S$  is a smooth surface with boundary, inheriting an orientation from  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . Let us denote by  $\xi_j$  the boundary component  $\partial D_j$ , taken with the orientation induced from  $S$ . Specifically, we let

$$\xi_j(\varphi) = t_j + r_0 e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi} \quad \text{for } \varphi \in [0, 2\pi]. \quad (4.2)$$

Fix a simple loop  $\rho_2$  in  $S$  separating the boundary components  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  from the remaining boundary components  $\xi_3, \xi_4, \xi_0$ , and a simple loop  $\rho_3$  in  $S$  separating the boundary components  $\xi_4, \xi_0$  from the remaining boundary components  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$ , so that  $\rho_2$  and  $\rho_3$  be disjoint from each other. These curves then decompose  $S$  into the union

$$S = S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_3 \quad (4.3)$$

of three pairs of pants:

- $S_2$  with boundary components  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \rho_2$ ;
- $S_3$  with boundary components  $\xi_3, \rho_2, \rho_3$ ;

- and  $S_3$  with boundary components  $\xi_4, \xi_0, \rho_3$ .

This decomposition gives rise to a decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  into the three closed connected analytic subsets

$$X_2 = S_2 \cup D_1 \cup D_2 \quad (4.4)$$

$$X_3 = S_3 \cup D_3 \quad (4.5)$$

$$X_4 = S_3 \cup D_4 \cup D_0. \quad (4.6)$$

Furthermore, we fix

- base points  $x_i \in \text{int}(S_i)$  and  $s_i \in \rho_i$ ;
- paths  $\psi_i$  connecting  $x_i$  to  $x_{i+1}$  passing through  $s_i$ ;
- paths  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  connecting  $x_2$  respectively to the base points of  $\xi_1, \xi_2$ ;
- a path  $\eta_3$  connecting  $x_3$  to the base point of  $\xi_3$ ;
- paths  $\eta_4, \eta_0$  connecting  $x_4$  respectively to the base points of  $\xi_4, \xi_0$ .

As the  $D_j$  will actually depend on its radius  $r_0$ , we need to make a coherent choice for the paths  $\eta_0, \dots, \eta_4$ . We achieve this for instance for  $\eta_1$  by first fixing a path starting at  $x_2$  and ending at  $t_1$ , and then restricting this fixed path to the (uniquely determined) sub-interval of its domain such that the restriction connects  $x_2$  to the base point of  $\xi_1$ . We apply a similar procedure to  $\eta_j$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq 4$ . We set  $\rho_1 = \xi_1$  and  $\rho_4 = \xi_0$ .

Following [25], for  $2 \leq i \leq 3$  we set  $t_i(R, q)$  for the trace of the monodromy map of  $\nabla_{\sqrt{R}}$  around  $\rho_i$

$$t_i(q, \sqrt{R}) = \text{tr RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\rho_i]. \quad (4.7)$$

Notice that implicitly the connection  $\nabla_{\sqrt{R}}$  depends on  $(\mathcal{E}, \sqrt{R}\theta)$ , which in turn depends on  $q$ ; hence it is justified to include the dependence of  $t_i$  on  $q$  in the notation. By definition,  $t_i$  is the  $i$ 'th *complex length co-ordinate*.

**4.2. Complex twist co-ordinates.** Twist co-ordinates are only defined over the part  $\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{\gamma})'$  of the moduli space where we have  $|t_i| \neq 2$  (equivalently, the eigenvalues of  $\text{tr RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\rho_i]$  are distinct) for both  $2 \leq i \leq 3$ , for the complex length co-ordinates  $t_i$  introduced in Section 4.1, and a further stability condition holds (see [25, Definition 5.1]). It is proven in [25, Corollary 9.2] that the homotopy type of the dual boundary complex of  $\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{\gamma})$  agrees with the one of  $\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{\gamma})'$ .

Let us introduce the scalar quantities

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= c_1^+ + c_1^- \\ t_4 &= c_4^+ + c_4^- \\ u_i &= \frac{t_{i-1} - c_i^- t_i}{c_i^+ - c_i^-} \\ w_i &= u_i(t_i - u_i) - 1 \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 1. Decomposition of  $S$  into three pairs of pants, indicating base points and paths. The shaded regions do not belong to  $S$ .

for  $2 \leq i \leq 4$ , where  $t_i$  are the complex length co-ordinates associated to  $V$  as in Section 4.1. Furthermore, introduce the matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_i &= \begin{pmatrix} c_i^+ & 0 \\ 0 & c_i^- \end{pmatrix} \\
 R_i &= \begin{pmatrix} u_i & 1 \\ w_i & (t_i - u_i) \end{pmatrix} \\
 R'_{i-1} &= A_i R_i = \begin{pmatrix} c_i^+ u_i & c_i^+ \\ c_i^- w_i & c_i^- (t_i - u_i) \end{pmatrix} \\
 T_i &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & t_i \end{pmatrix} \\
 U_i &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u_i & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

These quantities are all determined by the fixed constants  $c_i^\pm$  and the length co-ordinates  $t_2, t_3$ .

Let  $V_i(t_{i-1}, t_i)$  denote the local system on  $S_i$  whose monodromy matrices around  $\rho_{i-1}, \rho_i$  and  $\xi_i$ , acting on its fiber over  $x_i$ , are respectively  $R'_{i-1}, R_i, A_i$ . [25, Corollary 10.3] implies that if  $V|_{S_i}$  is stable then there exists a unique (up to a scalar)



isomorphism

$$h_i: V|_{S_i} \rightarrow V_i(t_{i-1}, t_i). \quad (4.8)$$

By an abuse of notation, we denote by

$$\psi_i: V_{x_i} \rightarrow V_{x_{i+1}} \quad (4.9)$$

the parallel transport map of  $V$  along the path  $\psi_i$ . Introduce

$$P_i = h_{i+1} \circ \psi_i \circ h_i^{-1}: V_i(t_{i-1}, t_i)_{x_i} \rightarrow V_{i+1}(t_i, t_{i+1})_{x_{i+1}} \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$Q_{i-1} = A_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_i P_{i-1} U_{i-1}^{-1}, \quad (4.11)$$

for any choice of the square root of  $A_i$ . It turns out that one has

$$Q_i = \begin{pmatrix} p_i & q_i \\ -q_i & p_i + t_i q_i \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.12)$$

for some  $[p_i : q_i] \in \mathbb{C}P^1$  satisfying

$$p_i^2 + t_i p_i q_i + q_i^2 \neq 0.$$

By definition,  $[p_i : q_i] \in \mathbb{C}P^1$  for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  is the  $i$ 'th *complex twist co-ordinate*. Notice that a scalar factor on  $Q_i$  does not affect  $[p_i : q_i]$ . Let us introduce

$$\mathbf{Q} = \{(t, [p : q]) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\}) \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \text{ satisfying } p^2 + tpq + q^2 \neq 0\}.$$

According to [25, Theorem 10.6], the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{B}}(\vec{c}, \vec{\gamma})' &\rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^2 \\ V &\mapsto ((t_2, [p_2 : q_2]), (t_3, [p_3 : q_3])) \end{aligned}$$

is a diffeomorphism.

**4.3. Homotopy type of compactifying divisor and map  $\Phi$ .** According to [25, Lemma 10.7] and [22, Lemma 6.2] we have the homotopy equivalences

$$\mathbb{D}\partial\mathbf{Q} \sim S^1 \quad (4.13)$$

$$\mathbb{D}\partial\mathbf{Q}^2 \sim S^1 * S^1 \sim S^3, \quad (4.14)$$

where  $X * Y$  stands for the join of the topological spaces  $X, Y$ . Combining these arguments, [25, Corollary 10.8] shows that

$$\mathbb{D}\partial\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{B}}(\vec{c}, \vec{\gamma}) \sim S^3.$$

Let us spell out explicitly the homotopy equivalence (4.13). We now consider two copies of

$$\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1,$$

that we will denote by  $\mathbf{Q}_i$  for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . A compactification of  $\mathbf{Q}_i$  is  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ , an open affine of the first component being parametrised by  $t_i$ , and the second component being parametrised by  $[p_i : q_i]$ . Let us denote by  $F_{i,+}, F_{i,-}, F_{i,\infty}$  the fibers of the first projection over  $2, -2$  and  $\infty$  respectively. The irreducible decomposition of the compactifying divisor of  $\mathbf{Q}_i$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$  reads as

$$\partial\mathbf{Q}_i = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \mathbf{Q}_i = C_i \cup F_{i,+} \cup F_{i,-} \cup F_{i,\infty}$$

where  $C_i$  is the quadric defined by  $p_i^2 + t_i p_i q_i + q_i^2 = 0$ , see Figure 2. Clearly,  $C_i$  is generically  $2 : 1$  over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ , with ramification points in the fibers  $F_{i,+}, F_{i,-}$ . Therefore, the compactifying divisor in  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$  is not normal crossing. To remedy this failure, we consider the blow up of  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$  in the intersection



FIGURE 2. Compactifying divisor in  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ .

FIGURE 3. Compactifying divisor in first blow-up.

points  $(2, [1 : -1])$  and  $(-2, [1 : 1])$ , see Figure 3. We continue to denote by  $C_i, F_{i,+}, F_{i,-}, F_{i,\infty}$  the proper transforms in  $X$  of the named divisors, and we denote by  $E_{i,+}^1, E_{i,-}^1$  the exceptional divisors. The compactifying divisor in the blow-up is

$$C_i \cup F_{i,+} \cup F_{i,-} \cup F_{i,\infty} \cup E_{i,+}^1 \cup E_{i,-}^1.$$

However, this is still not simple normal crossing, because of the triple intersection points of  $C_i, E_{i,+}^1$  and  $F_{i,+}$  on the one hand, and  $C_i, E_{i,-}^1$  and  $F_{i,-}$  on the other hand. Therefore, we need to blow up again in these intersection points, see Figure 4. The compactifying divisor in this surface is of normal crossing. Dropping the subscripts  $i$  for simplicity, its dual complex is





FIGURE 4. Compactifying divisor in second blow-up.



Obviously, this graph deformation retracts to the cycle defined by the vertices  $F_{i,\infty}, C_i$  together with the edges  $e_0, e_\infty$  connecting them. Notice that in  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ , this cycle reduces to the normal crossing components  $F_{i,\infty}, C_i$ .

Next, let us be more precise about the homotopy equivalence (4.14) following [22, Lemma 6.2]. The compactifying divisor of  $\mathbf{Q}^2 = \mathbf{Q}_2 \times \mathbf{Q}_3$  in

$$(\mathbb{C}P^1)^4 = (\mathbb{C}P^1)^2 \times (\mathbb{C}P^1)^2$$

can be given as

$$(\partial\mathbf{Q}_2 \times (\mathbb{C}P^1)^2) \cup ((\mathbb{C}P^1)^2 \times \partial\mathbf{Q}_3).$$

As we have explained above, up to homotopy of  $\mathbb{D}\partial\mathbf{Q}_i$  we only need to consider the divisor components  $C_i$  and  $F_{i,\infty}$  of  $\partial\mathbf{Q}_i$  and the edges connecting them; in the rest of this section, we will thus replace  $\mathbb{D}\partial\mathbf{Q}_i$  by this subcomplex without changing the notation. Our notation in the dual graph of  $\partial\mathbf{Q}_i$  is that  $e_{i,\infty}$  stands for the edge corresponding to the point

$$(t_i, [p_i : q_i]) = (\infty, [1 : 0]) \in (\mathbb{C}P^1)^2,$$

and  $e_{i,0}$  for the edge corresponding to

$$(t_i, [p_i : q_i]) = (\infty, [0 : 1]) \in (\mathbb{C}P^1)^2.$$

Now, to each of the four points

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\infty, [1 : 0]), (\infty, [1 : 0]) \\
&(\infty, [1 : 0]), (\infty, [0 : 1]) \\
&(\infty, [0 : 1]), (\infty, [1 : 0]) \\
&(\infty, [0 : 1]), (\infty, [0 : 1])
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

of  $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^4$ , there corresponds in  $\mathbb{D}\partial\mathbf{Q}^2$  a 3-dimensional simplex, namely the join of the edges in  $\mathbb{D}\partial\mathbf{Q}_i$  corresponding to each component. Thus, the natural  $\Delta$ -complex structure of  $\mathbb{D}\partial\mathbf{Q}^2 \sim S^3$  contains these four 3-simplices, which in order are

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_{2,\infty} * e_{3,\infty} \\
&e_{2,\infty} * e_{3,0} \\
&e_{2,0} * e_{3,\infty} \\
&e_{2,0} * e_{3,0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we will spell out explicitly the map  $\Phi$  appearing in Subsection 2.2. We will only do this near the point (4.15) because this will be sufficient for our purposes. Near  $(\infty, [0 : 1])$  we choose co-ordinates  $z_i, w_i$  defining the divisors  $C_i$  and  $F_{i,\infty}$  respectively. In concrete terms, as it can be easily checked, using the normalization  $q_i = 1$  the local equation of  $C_i$  near  $(\infty, [0 : 1])$  yields  $p_i \approx -\frac{1}{t_i}$ , so we find

$$z_i \approx p_i + \frac{1}{t_i}, \quad w_i = \frac{1}{t_i}. \tag{4.16}$$

Furthermore, let

$$N = \{0 < |z| < \delta\}$$

for some  $\delta > 0$  be a punctured open neighbourhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and set

$$N_i = \{(z_i, w_i) \mid 2z_i \in N, w_i \neq 0\} \cup \{(z_i, w_i) \mid w_i \in N, z_i \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1;$$

the sets appearing in the union are punctured neighbourhoods of  $C_i$  and  $F_{i,\infty}$  respectively.

**Proposition 5.** *There exists a continuously differentiable partition of unity  $\phi_i, \omega_i$  subordinate to the defining covering of  $N_i$  satisfying the properties*

- (1) *it consists of radial functions*

$$\phi_i(z_i, w_i) = \phi_i(|z_i|, |w_i|)$$


*in polar co-ordinates of  $(z_i, w_i)$ ,*

- (2) *the partial derivative of  $\phi_i$  with respect to the variables  $|z_i|, |w_i|$  does not vanish on  $(0, \delta/2) \times (0, \delta)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a smooth curve in  $[0, \delta/2] \times [0, \delta]$  connecting  $(\delta/2, 0)$  to  $(0, \delta)$ , for instance the arc of the ellipse centered at  $(\delta/2, \delta)$ . Parameterize this curve smoothly by  $[0, 1]$ , and denote this parameterization by  $\varsigma$ . Now, let  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any smooth function such that  $F(0) = 0$ ,  $F(1) = 1$ ,  $F'(0) = F'(1) = 0$  and  $F'(x) > 0$  for every  $x \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\phi_i = F \circ \varsigma^{-1}$  on the curve  $\text{Im}(\varsigma)$ . Finally, let  $\phi_i$  be constant over rays emanating from  $(\delta/2, \delta)$  in  $(0, \delta/2) \times (0, \delta)$ . Then these rays are the level curves of  $\phi_i$  in this square, and the restriction of  $\phi_i$  to the leaves of the transversal foliation by concentric ellipses are reparameterizations of  $F$ . See Figure 5.

Now, extend  $\phi_i$  identically by 0 and 1 to the strips

$$(0, \delta) \times [\delta/2, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad [\delta, \infty) \times (0, \delta/2)$$


 FIGURE 5.  $N_i$  and partition of unity  $\phi_i$ 

respectively. Now we may extend  $\phi_i$  to a  $C^1$  map uniquely by condition (1). Finally, we set  $\omega_i = 1 - \phi_i$ . Then, condition (2) is fulfilled because the level curves of  $\phi_i$  are transversal to the parameter curves in the interior of the square.  $\square$

Define now the punctured neighbourhood

$$U_i = \{(z_i, w_i) \mid z_i \in N \text{ and } w_i \in N\} \subset \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$$

of the point  $(\infty, [0 : 1])$ . We consider the open covering

$$N_2 \times N_3 = (U_2 \times N_3) \cup (N_2 \times U_3)$$

and pick a partition of unity  $f_2, f_3$  subordinate to it. We may assume that we have  $0 < f_2(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3) < 1$  on  $U_2 \times U_3$ .

Using these notations, we introduce the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: N_2 \times N_3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 \\ (z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f_2(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3)\phi_2(z_2, w_2) \\ f_2(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3)\omega_2(z_2, w_2) \\ f_3(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3)\phi_3(z_3, w_3) \\ f_3(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3)\omega_3(z_3, w_3) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is immediate that  $\Phi$  has the following properties:

- $\Phi$  takes values in the standard 3-dimensional simplex;
- for  $(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3) \in (U_2 \times N_3) \setminus (N_2 \times U_3)$  we have  $f_3 \equiv 0$ , so the image of

$$\Phi(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2(z_2, w_2) \\ \omega_2(z_2, w_2) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to an edge of the 3-simplex that can be identified with  $e_{2,0}$ ;

- similarly, the image of  $\Phi$  restricted to  $(N_2 \times U_3) \setminus (U_2 \times N_3)$  belongs to the edge of the 3-simplex that can be identified with  $e_{3,0}$ .

**Proposition 6.** *Assume  $(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3) \in U_2 \times U_3$ , i.e.*

$$0 < |z_i| < \delta, \quad 0 < |w_i| < \delta$$

for  $2 \leq i \leq 3$ .

- (1) Then any vector in the kernel of the total derivative  $d\Phi$  at  $(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3)$  has vanishing directional derivatives with respect to all variables  $(|z_2|, |w_2|, |z_3|, |w_3|)$ .  
(2) The equality

$$\Phi(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3) = \Phi(z'_2, w'_2, z'_3, w'_3)$$

for  $(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3), (z'_2, w'_2, z'_3, w'_3) \in U_2 \times U_3$  implies

$$f_2(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3) = f_2(z'_2, w'_2, z'_3, w'_3)$$

$$\phi_2(z_2, w_2) = \phi_2(z'_2, w'_2)$$

$$\phi_3(z_3, w_3) = \phi_3(z'_3, w'_3).$$

*Proof.* We first consider part (1). Adding the first two components of

$$d\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} (d f_2)\phi_2 + f_2(d\phi_2) \\ (d f_2)\omega_2 + f_2(d\omega_2) \\ (d f_3)\phi_3 + f_3(d\phi_3) \\ (d f_3)\omega_3 + f_3(d\omega_3) \end{pmatrix}$$

and using the formula  $\phi_2 + \omega_2 \equiv 1$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (d f_2)\phi_2 + f_2(d\phi_2) + (d f_2)\omega_3 + f_2(d\omega_2) \\ &= (d f_2) + f_2(d 1) \\ &= d f_2. \end{aligned}$$

From the relation  $f_2 + f_3 \equiv 1$  we also see that the kernel consists of directions with  $d f_3 = 0$ . Plugging  $d f_2 = 0 = d f_3$  into  $d\Phi$  and recalling that under our assumptions we have  $f_2 \neq 0$  and  $f_3 \neq 0$  on  $U_2 \times U_3$ , we find that the vectors in the kernel must satisfy  $d\phi_2 = 0$  and  $d\phi_3 = 0$ . This shows that the kernel consists of directions tangent to the foliation defined by the submanifolds

$$f_2 = \text{const}, \quad \phi_2 = \text{const}, \quad \phi_3 = \text{const}.$$

In particular, from Proposition 5 we see that if a vector has non-vanishing radial directional component for any of the co-ordinates  $(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3)$  then this vector does not belong to  $\ker(d\Phi)$ .

As for part (2), a similar argument works: adding the first two components of  $\Phi$  and using  $\phi_2 + \omega_2 \equiv 1$  we immediately find

$$f_2(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3) = f_2(z'_2, w'_2, z'_3, w'_3),$$

which also implies

$$f_3(z_2, w_2, z_3, w_3) = f_3(z'_2, w'_2, z'_3, w'_3)$$

by the identity  $f_2 + f_3 \equiv 1$ . Plugging these equalities to  $\Phi$  and making use of  $f_2 \neq 0 \neq f_3$  on  $U_2 \times U_3$ , we get the remaining relations.  $\square$

## 5. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF FENCHEL–NIELSEN CO-ORDINATES

In this section we will determine the asymptotic behaviour of the co-ordinates reviewed in Section 4 as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , for fixed  $q \in S_1^3$ . The constants we will find in this section may all depend on the divisor  $D$ . On the other hand, their dependence on  $q$  is crucial, hence we will indicate if a constant depends on  $q$ .

**5.1. Monodromy of diagonalizing frames.** For our purpose, we first need to determine the monodromy transformation of a diagonalizing frame of the solution to Hitchin's equations along a loop around a singular point or a ramification point.

Clearly, the gauge transformation  $g_{\sqrt{R}}$  provided by Theorem 3 is unique up to a reducible transformation, i.e. one preserving the decomposition of (3.4) into abelian summands. Consider now any simple loop

$$\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta_q.$$

**Definition 7.** Let  $k(\gamma, q) \in \mathbb{Z}/(2)$  be the number of points of  $\Delta_q$  contained in one of the connected components of  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \gamma$ , counted with multiplicity and modulo 2.

Notice that the number of points of  $\Delta_q$  in the two connected components of  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \gamma$  add up to 6, so  $k(\gamma, q)$  is independent of the chosen component.

The loop  $\gamma$  may be covered by a finite union of compact discs  $K_1, \dots, K_N$  as in Theorem 3, so we get for each  $K_l$  a local holomorphic trivialization  $(\vec{e}_1^l, \vec{e}_2^l)$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  specified by the local gauges  $g_R$ . We assume that for each  $1 \leq l \leq N$  we have  $K_l \cap K_{l+1} \cap \gamma([0, 1]) \neq \emptyset$  (where  $l = N + 1$  is identified with  $l = 1$ ), and pick any point  $\gamma(\tau_l) \in K_l \cap K_{l+1}$ . For  $1 \leq l \leq N - 1$ , up to applying a constant gauge transformation over  $K_{l+1}$  we may assume that

$$(\vec{e}_1^l(\gamma(\tau_l)), \vec{e}_2^l(\gamma(\tau_l))) = (\vec{e}_1^{l+1}(\gamma(\tau_l)), \vec{e}_2^{l+1}(\gamma(\tau_l))).$$

Let  $M(\gamma, q, R)$  be the monodromy transformation of the local trivializations, defined by

$$(\vec{e}_1^N(\gamma(\tau_N)), \vec{e}_2^N(\gamma(\tau_N))) = (\vec{e}_1^1(\gamma(\tau_N)), \vec{e}_2^1(\gamma(\tau_N)))M(\gamma, q, R).$$

Let  $T$  stand for the transposition matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proposition 8.** For any simple loop  $\gamma$  we have

$$M(\gamma, q, R) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(\gamma, q, R) & 0 \\ 0 & \delta(\gamma, q, R) \end{pmatrix} T^{k(\gamma, q)}$$

for some  $\alpha(\gamma, q, R), \delta(\gamma, q, R) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

*Proof.* Recall from (2.21) that  $\Delta_q = D \cup \{t(q)\}$ . Assume first that  $t(q) \notin D$ . Then  $X_{Rq}$  is smooth. Now, since all ramification points of  $p|_L: X_{Rq} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$  are of index 2, the lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$  to  $X_{Rq}$  is a loop if and only if  $k(\gamma, q) = 0$ . Let  $\tilde{\zeta}_\pm$  be a continuous lift of  $\zeta_\pm$  to  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . Then, we have

$$\tilde{\zeta}_\pm(Rq, \tilde{\gamma}(1)) = (-1)^{k(\gamma, q)} \tilde{\zeta}_\pm(Rq, \tilde{\gamma}(0)).$$

As  $\vec{e}_1^l$  and  $\vec{e}_2^l$  belong to the  $\tilde{\zeta}_+$ - and  $\tilde{\zeta}_-$ -eigenspaces of  $\theta$  respectively over  $K_l$ , and eigenvectors  $\vec{e}_1^0$  and  $\vec{e}_1^N$  in case  $k(\gamma, q) = 0$  (respectively,  $\vec{e}_1^0$  and  $\vec{e}_2^N$  in case  $k(\gamma, q) = 1$ ) for the eigenvalue  $\tilde{\zeta}_+$  only differ by some nonzero scalar  $\alpha$ , we get the result.

In case  $t(q) \in D$ , i.e.  $t(q) = t_j$  for some  $0 \leq j \leq 4$ , the curve  $X_{Rq}$  has an ordinary double point at  $(t_j, 0)$ , hence the form  $\omega$  is unramified over  $t_j$ . If  $\gamma$  is a loop enclosing  $t_j$  and no other point of  $D$  then  $M(\gamma) = \mathbf{I}$  and  $k(\gamma, q) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  (because the points of  $D$  are counted with multiplicity), so we conclude by the equality

$$T^2 = \mathbf{I}.$$

In the case of an arbitrary loop  $\gamma$ , one concludes by a combination of the above arguments.  $\square$

Now, assume that  $t(q) \notin D$ . Using the notations of (2.22), let us set

$$\tau_j = \tau_j(q) = \text{res}_{z=t_j}(\partial_z^{\otimes 2} \angle Q(z)) = \frac{at_j - b}{\prod_{0 \leq k \leq 4, k \neq j} (t_j - t_k)} \in \mathbb{C} \quad (5.1)$$

(where  $\angle$  stands for contraction of tensor fields) and introduce the local holomorphic co-ordinate

$$\tilde{z}_j = \tau_j(z - t_j). \quad (5.2)$$

This is indeed a local co-ordinate by the assumption that the root  $t(q)$  of the linear functional  $az - b$  does not belong to  $D$ , which means  $\tau_j \neq 0$ . Notice that as  $\tau_j$  depends continuously on  $q$ , there exists some  $M > 0$  only depending on  $t_0, \dots, t_4$  such that for all  $q \in S_1^3(\vec{0})$  and all  $0 \leq j \leq 4$  we have

$$|\tau_j(q)| \leq M. \quad (5.3)$$

Then, a simple computation shows that up to holomorphic terms in  $z - t_j$  we have

$$\frac{d\tilde{z}_j^{\otimes 2}}{\tilde{z}_j} \approx Q(z).$$

We write  $\tilde{z}_j = \tilde{r}_j e^{\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j}$  for the polar co-ordinates of the local parameter. With respect to these polar co-ordinates, the circle  $\xi_j$  of radius  $r_0$  centered at  $t_j$  then has the equation

$$\tilde{r}_j = |\tau_j|r_0. \quad (5.4)$$

More precisely, it follows from (5.1) that we have

$$\arg(\tilde{z}_j) = \arg(\tau_j) + \arg(z - t_j),$$

so the parameterization (4.2) of  $\xi_j$  becomes

$$\xi_j(\tilde{\varphi}_j) = t_j + r_0 e^{\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j} \quad \text{for } \tilde{\varphi}_j \in [\arg(\tau_j), 2\pi + \arg(\tau_j)]. \quad (5.5)$$

Let  $\gamma$  denote the positively oriented simple loop around  $t_j$  defined by  $\tilde{r}_j = r_j$  for some fixed  $0 < r_j \ll 1$  chosen so that one of the connected components of  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \gamma$  contains no other point of  $\Delta_q$  than  $t_j$ . (In the case  $r_j = |\tau_j|r_0$  we get  $\gamma = \xi_j$ , however we are not guaranteed that for a given  $q$  this choice of  $r_j$  satisfies the above requirement.) Let us define the unit length trivialization

$$\vec{f}_1(\tilde{z}_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} + 1}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} \\ e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.6)$$

$$\vec{f}_2(\tilde{z}_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} + 1}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} \\ -e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.7)$$

in the disc  $\tilde{r}_j \leq r_j$  with respect to the fiducial frame (3.10).

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $\gamma$  be the positive simple loop  $\tilde{r}_j = r_j$ .*

(1) *We have*

$$h^{\text{fid}}(\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_2) \rightarrow 0 \quad (R \rightarrow \infty).$$

(2) *The frame  $\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_2$  diagonalizes the fiducial Higgs field (3.9) with eigenvalues*

$$\pm \sqrt{R} \tilde{r}_j^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} d\tilde{z}_j, \quad (5.8)$$

*where we take the determination of the angle  $\tilde{\varphi}_j \in [0, 2\pi)$ .*

(3) *The corresponding factors found in Proposition 8 fulfill*

$$\alpha(\gamma, q, R) = 1 = \delta(\gamma, q, R).$$



*Proof.* For part (1), as the vectors  $\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_2$  are written in a unitary frame, we simply compute

$$h^{\text{fid}}(\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_2) = \frac{e^{2m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} - 1}{e^{2m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} + 1}.$$

Now, observe that by (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{m\sqrt{R}(r_j)} &\approx \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi}K_0(8\sqrt{Rr_j})\right) \\ &\approx \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}\sqrt[4]{Rr_j}}e^{-8\sqrt{Rr_j}}\right) \\ &\rightarrow 1 \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , since

$$(Rr_j)^{-\frac{1}{4}}e^{-8\sqrt{Rr_j}} \rightarrow 0.$$

For part (2), we first need to determine the eigendirections of the fiducial Higgs field  $\sqrt{R}\theta^{\text{fid}}/\sqrt{R}$  with respect to the fiducial frame. We need to find the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\pm}$  of

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{r}_j^{-1/2}e^{m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} \\ \tilde{z}_j^{-1}\tilde{r}_j^{1/2}e^{-m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{z}_j \\ &= \sqrt{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{r}_j^{-1/2}e^{m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} \\ \tilde{r}_j^{-1/2}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j - m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{z}_j. \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation gives that  $\lambda_{\pm}$  are given by (5.8), with corresponding eigenspaces spanned by unit vectors  $\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_2$  introduced in (5.6), (5.7).

For part (3), we fix  $\tilde{r}_j = r_j$  and let  $\tilde{\varphi}_j$  range over  $[0, 2\pi]$ , with a branch cut at  $\tilde{\varphi}_j = 0$ , and we write

$$\vec{f}_i(\tilde{\varphi}_j) = \vec{f}_i(\tilde{z}_j).$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{f}_1(2\pi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} + 1}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{f}_2(0) \\ \vec{f}_2(2\pi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} + 1}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{m\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_j)} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{f}_1(0). \end{aligned}$$

□

Finally, let us study the neighbourhood of the ramification point  $t = t(q) = \frac{b}{a}$ . Here, using the notation of (2.22), we introduce the local holomorphic co-ordinate

$$\tilde{z}_t = \left( \frac{a}{\prod_{j=0}^4 (t - t_j)} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( z - \frac{b}{a} \right).$$

Then, up to at least quadratic terms in  $\tilde{z}_t$  we have

$$\tilde{z}_t d\tilde{z}_t^{\otimes 2} \approx Q(z).$$

We then write  $\tilde{z}_t = \tilde{r}_t e^{\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_t}$  for polar co-ordinates. Let  $\gamma$  be the simple positive loop defined by  $\tilde{r}_t = r_5$  for some  $0 < r_5 \ll 1$  so that  $\gamma$  separates  $t(q)$  from the logarithmic points. Finally, introduce the unit length trivialization

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{g}_1(\tilde{z}_t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2\ell\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_t)} + 1}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\ell\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_t)} \\ e^{\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_t/2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \vec{g}_2(\tilde{z}_t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2\ell\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_t)} + 1}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\ell\sqrt{R}(\tilde{r}_t)} \\ -e^{\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_t/2} \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

over the disc  $\tilde{r}_t \leq r_5$  with respect to the fiducial frame (3.11).

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a simple loop enclosing the ramification point  $t(q)$  in counterclockwise direction such that the component of  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \gamma$  containing  $t(q)$  contains no logarithmic point  $t_j$ . Then the frame  $\vec{g}_1, \vec{g}_2$  diagonalizes the fiducial Higgs field (3.13), and the corresponding factors found in Proposition 8 fulfill*

$$\alpha(\gamma, q, R) = 1 = \delta(\gamma, q, R).$$

*Proof.* Similar to Proposition (9), up to the following modifications: the eigenvalues of the fiducial Higgs field are  $\pm\sqrt{R}\tilde{r}_t e^{\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_t/2} dz$ , with corresponding eigendirections  $\vec{g}_1, \vec{g}_2$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Asymptotics of complex length co-ordinates.** The complex length co-ordinates introduced in Section 4.1 of  $\mathcal{M}_B(\vec{c}, \vec{0})$  are  $(t_2, t_3)$ , therefore we need to determine the asymptotic behaviour of  $t_2(q, \sqrt{R}), t_3(q, \sqrt{R})$  for any fixed  $q \in S_1^3$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

According to Theorem 3 for  $R \gg 0$  and at any point in the complement of  $\Delta_q$  there exists a 1-parameter family of frames that asymptotically diagonalize  $\theta$ . The family is obtained by rescaling a given frame by diagonal elements of  $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . (One may additionally apply the only non-trivial element  $T$  of the Weyl group, with the effect of exchanging the two trivializations of the frame.) It follows that there exists (up to permutation and the action of the Cartan subgroup  $S^1 \subset \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ ) a unique such orthonormal frame. For any loop  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \Delta_q$  let us write

$$\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\gamma] = \begin{pmatrix} a(\gamma, q, R) & b(\gamma, q, R) \\ c(\gamma, q, R) & d(\gamma, q, R) \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.10)$$

with respect to this (essentially) unique orthonormal base of the fiber  $V|_{\gamma(0)}$  of the underlying smooth vector bundle  $V$  at  $\gamma(0)$ . Notice that the effect of the action by the Cartan subgroup means that the off-diagonal entries are only defined up to a common phase factor. Our aim in this section is to study the asymptotic behaviour of the entries of  $\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\rho_i]$  for  $2 \leq i \leq 3$ , and in particular their trace. Clearly, the set of eigenvalues (hence the trace) is invariant with respect to the action of the Weyl group. In order to achieve this, we will decompose the class of  $\rho_i$  in  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \Delta_q, \rho_i(0))$  into a concatenation of several loops (see Figure 1). The number of loops appearing in this decomposition will be 2 or 3, depending on the position of the ramification point  $t(q)$  with respect to the decomposition of  $S$  into pairs of pants (4.3). Around each of the loops appearing in the decomposition we will explicitly determine the monodromy, and the monodromy around  $\rho_i$  is essentially the product of the monodromies of the constituent loops.

**Proposition 11.** *For any fixed  $q \in S_1^3$  such that  $t(q) \notin D_j$ , the connection form of the flat connection associated to the fiducial solution (3.7), (3.9) restricted to the*

curve  $\xi_j$  (given by  $\tilde{r}_j = r_j$ ) with respect to the unit diagonalizing frame (5.6), (5.7) of the Higgs field reads as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} + 2\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{Rr_j} \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_j}{2}\right) & -\frac{1}{2}r_j \partial_{\tilde{r}} m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) \\ -\frac{1}{2}r_j \partial_{\tilde{r}} m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) & \frac{3}{4} - 2\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{Rr_j} \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_j}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} d\tilde{\varphi}_j.$$

*Proof.* This follows from a straightforward computation. Set

$$F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j)} + 1}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j)} & e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j)} \\ e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} & -e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

for the matrix formed by the restrictions of the column vectors (5.6), (5.7) to  $\xi_j$ , with determinant

$$\det(F) = -\frac{2e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) - \sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2}}{e^{2m_{\sqrt{R}}(\tilde{r}_j)} + 1}$$

and inverse matrix given by

$$F^{-1} = \frac{\sqrt{e^{2m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j)} + 1}}{2e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) - \sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} & e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j)} \\ e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} & -e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since on  $\xi_j$  we have  $d\tilde{r}_j = 0$ , we need to compute the  $d\tilde{\varphi}_j$ -part of

$$F^{-1} \cdot (d + A_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}} + \theta_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}} + (\theta_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}})^\dagger) = -F^{-1} dF + \text{Ad}_{F^{-1}}(A_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}} + \theta_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}} + (\theta_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}})^\dagger).$$

Note first that  $\text{Ad}_{F^{-1}}$  acts trivially on the central part of (3.7). On the other hand, a computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & F^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} F_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) & 0 \\ 0 & -F_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) \end{pmatrix} F \\ &= \frac{1}{2e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) - \sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) - \sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} F_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) \\ -2e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) - \sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} F_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -F_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) \\ -F_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 9,  $\text{Ad}_{F^{-1}}(\theta_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}} + (\theta_{\sqrt{R}}^{\text{fid}})^\dagger)$  is diagonal with eigenvalues given by

$$\pm \sqrt{Rr_j} \sqrt{-1} (e^{\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} - e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2}) d\tilde{\varphi}_j = \mp 2\sqrt{Rr_j} \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_j}{2}\right) d\tilde{\varphi}_j.$$

Lastly, restricted to  $\xi_j$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-1} dF &= \frac{1}{2e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) - \sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} & e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j)} \\ e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} & -e^{m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_j/2} \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{\varphi}_j \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{\varphi}_j. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude combining the above computations and using the identity (see (3.6))

$$2F_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} r_j \partial_{\tilde{r}} m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j).$$

□

The behaviour of the entries of the matrix (5.10) for  $R \gg 0$  and the choice  $\gamma = \xi_j$  is given by the following.

**Proposition 12.** *Fix any  $q \in S_1^3$  and consider the loop  $\gamma = \xi_j$ .*

- (1) *The behaviour of the diagonal entries of (5.10) as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  is given by the limits*

$$\begin{aligned} a(\xi_j, q, R) &\rightarrow 0 \\ d(\xi_j, q, R) &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

*as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , at exponential rate in  $\sqrt{R}$ .*

- (2) *The behaviour of the off-diagonal entries of (5.10) as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  is given by the limits*

$$\begin{aligned} b(\xi_j, q, R)e^{8\Re\sqrt{\tau_j}\sqrt{Rr_0}} &\rightarrow \sqrt{-1} \\ c(\xi_j, q, R)e^{-8\Re\sqrt{\tau_j}\sqrt{Rr_0}} &\rightarrow \sqrt{-1} \end{aligned}$$

*where  $\tau_j$  is defined in (5.1) and  $r_0 > 0$  is the radius of  $\xi_j$  in the Euclidean metric.*

*Proof.* Let us set  $r_j = |\tau_j|r_0$  (see (5.4)). Recall the reparameterization (5.5) of  $\xi_j$  with respect to the polar co-ordinates of the local holomorphic chart (5.2). Integrating the connection form of the flat connection found in Proposition 11 from  $\arg(\tau_j)$  to  $2\pi + \arg(\tau_j)$  with respect to  $\tilde{\varphi}_j$  we find the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1}\frac{3\pi}{2} - 8\cos\left(\frac{\arg(\tau_j)}{2}\right)\sqrt{Rr_j} & -\sqrt{-1}\pi r_j \partial_{\tilde{r}} m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) \\ -\sqrt{-1}\pi r_j \partial_{\tilde{r}} m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) & \sqrt{-1}\frac{3\pi}{2} + 8\cos\left(\frac{\arg(\tau_j)}{2}\right)\sqrt{Rr_j} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.11)$$

There are two cases to consider depending on whether  $\Re\sqrt{\tau_j} = 0$  or  $\Re\sqrt{\tau_j} \neq 0$ .

We first treat the case  $\Re\sqrt{\tau_j} \neq 0$ . This condition is equivalent to the pair of conditions  $|\tau_j| \neq 0$  (equivalently,  $t(q) \notin D$ ) and

$$\cos\left(\frac{\arg(\tau_j)}{2}\right) \neq 0,$$

equivalently  $\arg(\tau_j) \neq \pi + 2k\pi$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The matrix (5.11) is then of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A - C & B \\ B & A + C \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A_j = \sqrt{-1}\frac{3\pi}{2} \\ B &= B_j = -\sqrt{-1}\pi r_j \partial_{\tilde{r}} m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j), \\ C &= C_j = 8\cos\left(\frac{\arg(\tau_j)}{2}\right)\sqrt{Rr_j} = 8\Re\sqrt{\tau_j}\sqrt{Rr_0} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.4) in the third line. A straightforward computation shows that setting  $D = \sqrt{B^2 + C^2}$  the exponential of the negative of the above matrix is

$$\frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2D} \begin{pmatrix} (-C + D)e^{-D} + (C + D)e^D & -2B \sinh D \\ -2B \sinh D & (C + D)e^{-D} + (-C + D)e^D \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.12)$$

According to (3.5) as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  with  $r_j$  freezed, we have

$$B \frac{e^{8\sqrt{Rr_j}}}{\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt[4]{Rr_j}} \rightarrow \sqrt{-1} \quad (5.13)$$

$$\frac{C}{\sqrt{Rr_j}} \rightarrow v_j \quad (5.14)$$

where we have set

$$v_j = 8 \cos\left(\frac{\arg(\tau_j)}{2}\right) \in [-8, 8] \setminus \{0\}. \quad (5.15)$$

As a consequence we find

$$D \approx |C|.$$

Moreover, notice that up to higher order terms we have

$$\sqrt{B^2 + C^2} = C \sqrt{1 + \frac{B^2}{C^2}} \approx C \left(1 + \frac{B^2}{2C^2}\right),$$

implying

$$\frac{-C + \sqrt{B^2 + C^2}}{2\sqrt{B^2 + C^2}} e^{\pm\sqrt{B^2 + C^2}} \approx \frac{B^2}{4C^2} e^{\pm C} \approx -\frac{\pi}{4v_j^2} \frac{e^{(-16 \pm v_j)\sqrt{Rr_j}}}{\sqrt{Rr_j}}.$$

We infer that the leading order terms of the matrix (5.12) are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B^2}{4C^2} e^{-C} + e^C & -\frac{B}{C} e^{|C|} \\ -\frac{B}{C} e^{|C|} & e^{-C} + \frac{B^2}{4C^2} e^C \end{pmatrix} \\ & \approx -\sqrt{-1} \cdot \\ & \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi}{4v_j^2 \sqrt{Rr_j}} e^{(-16-v_j)\sqrt{Rr_j}} - e^{v_j \sqrt{Rr_j}} & \frac{\sqrt{-1} \sqrt{\pi} e^{-(8-|v_j|)\sqrt{Rr_j}}}{v_j \sqrt[4]{Rr_j}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{-1} \sqrt{\pi} e^{-(8-|v_j|)\sqrt{Rr_j}}}{v_j \sqrt[4]{Rr_j}} & -e^{-v_j \sqrt{Rr_j}} + \frac{\pi}{4v_j^2 \sqrt{Rr_j}} e^{(-16+v_j)\sqrt{Rr_j}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

This matrix describes the action of  $\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\xi_j]$  with respect to the bases

$$\vec{f}_1(0), \vec{f}_2(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{f}_1(2\pi), \vec{f}_2(2\pi)$$

of the fiber  $V|_{\xi_j(0)} = V|_{\xi_j(1)}$ . In order to find the matrix of  $\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\xi_j]$  with respect to the single basis  $\vec{f}_1(0), \vec{f}_2(0)$ , we need to multiply the above matrix from the left by the inverse of  $M(\xi_j, q, R)$ . By Propositions 8 and 9,  $M(\xi_j, q, R) = T$  and the product is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\xi_j] = -\sqrt{-1} \cdot \quad (5.16) \\ & \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{-1} \sqrt{\pi} e^{-(8-|v_j|)\sqrt{Rr_j}}}{v_j \sqrt[4]{Rr_j}} & -e^{-v_j \sqrt{Rr_j}} + \frac{\pi}{4v_j^2 \sqrt{Rr_j}} e^{(-16+v_j)\sqrt{Rr_j}} \\ \frac{\pi}{4v_j^2 \sqrt{Rr_j}} e^{(-16-v_j)\sqrt{Rr_j}} - e^{v_j \sqrt{Rr_j}} & \frac{\sqrt{-1} \sqrt{\pi} e^{-(8-|v_j|)\sqrt{Rr_j}}}{v_j \sqrt[4]{Rr_j}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.15) we have  $8 - |v_j| \geq 0$ , whence we immediately get part (1) (in the case  $8 - |v_j| = 0$ , the assertion follows from the factor  $\sqrt[4]{R}$  in the denominator). On the other hand, (5.15) also shows that  $-16 - v_j \leq v_j$ , with equality if and only if  $\cos\left(\frac{\arg(\tau_j)}{2}\right) = -1$ . In the case where  $-16 - v_j < v_j$ , the first term of  $c(\xi_j, q, R)$  is negligible compared to the second one, and we get (2) for  $c(\xi_j, q, R)$ . In case

$\cos\left(\frac{\arg(\tau_j)}{2}\right) = -1$ , the exponential factors in the two terms of  $c(\xi_j, q, R)$  agree, however the polynomial term in  $R$  converges to 0 for the first term, while is constant for the second term, again implying (2) for  $c(\xi_j, q, R)$ . A similar argument may be applied to get (2) for  $b(\xi_j, q, R)$ .

We now turn to the case  $\Re\sqrt{\tau_j} = 0$ . In this case, (5.11) simplifies to

$$\sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3\pi}{2} & -\pi r_j \partial_{\bar{r}} m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) \\ -\pi r_j \partial_{\bar{r}} m_{\sqrt{R}}(r_j) & \frac{3\pi}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The diagonal entries of this matrix are constant, and its off-diagonal ones converge to 0 as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . By continuity, the matrix exponential of the negative of this matrix converges to

$$\sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to obtain the matrix of  $\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\xi_j]$  with respect to the single basis  $\vec{f}_1(0), \vec{f}_2(0)$ , we again need to multiply by the transposition matrix  $T$ , and this gives the desired formulas.  $\square$

Next, we will consider the loop based at  $x_2$

$$\zeta_2 = \eta_1 * \xi_1 * \eta_1^{-1} * \eta_2 * \xi_2 * \eta_2^{-1}$$

enclosing the punctures  $t_1, t_2$  once in counterclockwise direction. Clearly, the classes

$$[\rho_2] \in \pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus D, s_2) \quad \text{and} \quad [\zeta_2] \in \pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus D, x_2)$$

are conjugate to each other by  $\psi_2$ , so that  $\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\zeta_2]$  is conjugate in  $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  to  $\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\rho_2]$  by the parallel transport map of  $\nabla_{\sqrt{R}}$  along  $\psi_2$ . In particular, this implies that the trace of  $\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\zeta_2]$  agrees with the trace  $t_2(q, R)$  of  $\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\rho_2]$ . Our next aim is to compute the asymptotic behaviour of the coefficients of  $\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\zeta_2]$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . In order to state the result, we need some preparation. We will consider the part lying between  $t_j$  and  $t_j + r_j$  of the ray emanating out from  $t_j$  with direction parallel to the positive real line, and denote this path by  $\sigma_j$  (see Figure 6). We then set for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$


$$\pi_j = \pi_j(q) = \int_{x_2}^{t_j} Z_+(q, z), \quad (5.17)$$

the contour of the line integral being  $\eta_j * \sigma_j^{-1}$ . Notice that this is a convergent improper integral; indeed, by (2.20) and (2.22) the integrand grows as  $|z - t_j|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  near  $t_j$ . We will indicate the dependence of  $\pi_j$  on  $q$  whenever we vary it. Notice that by its definition (2.20),  $Z_+$  (hence  $\pi_j$ ) is only defined up to a sign. We take  $Z_+$  to be the square root that is the continuous extension to  $\eta_j$  of the square root corresponding to the negative sign in (5.8). We will return to our choice of sign in (5.22).

**Proposition 13.** *Fix  $q \in S_1^3$  and consider the loop  $\gamma = \rho_2$ . In case  $\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2) \neq 0$  there exists a complex 1-parameter family of sections  $\sigma$  of (2.13) such that as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  we have the limit*

$$t_2(q, R) = \exp\left(4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|\right) + o(1).$$

*The error term  $o(1)$  only depends on  $q$  and  $R$ , and converges to 0 as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . In case  $\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2) = 0$  the limit of  $t_2(q, R)$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  exists and is finite.*


 FIGURE 6. Paths  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ .

*Proof.* As mentioned above, it is sufficient to find the asymptotic behaviour of the diagonal entries of  $\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\zeta_2]$ . By Theorem 3, parallel transport map of  $\nabla_{\sqrt{R}}$  along  $\eta_j$  with respect to a diagonalizing frame of the Higgs field is approximated by the matrix

$$P_j(q, R) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\int_{\eta_j} B + \sqrt{R} \Re Z_+(q, z)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\int_{\eta_j} -B + \sqrt{R} \Re Z_-(q, z)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.18)$$

where  $B$  is the  $(1, 1)$ -entry of the connection form of the flat  $\text{SU}(2)$ -connection  $\nabla_{h_{q, \infty}}$  appearing in (3.4), with respect to the frame  $(\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2)$ . Parallel transport  $P_j(q, R)$  identifies the unit length diagonalizing frame

$$(\vec{e}_1(\eta_j(0)), \vec{e}_2(\eta_j(0)))$$

of  $\theta_{\sqrt{R}}$  at  $\eta_j(0)$  with a diagonalizing frame

$$(\vec{e}_1(\eta_j(1)), \vec{e}_2(\eta_j(1))) \quad (5.19)$$

at  $\eta_j(1)$ . This latter, however, is not of unit length; instead, the lengths of its vectors are given for  $1 \leq i \leq 2$  by

$$|\vec{e}_i(\eta_j(1))| = e^{\sqrt{R} \int_{\eta_j} \Re Z_i(q, z)}.$$

So, the matrix expressing the basis elements of (5.19) with respect to (5.6) is given by (5.18). It follows that the monodromy matrix of  $\nabla_{\sqrt{R}}$  along the loop  $\eta_j * \xi_j * \eta_j^{-1}$  with respect to the frame (5.19) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ad}_{P_j(q, R)^{-1}} \circ \text{Ad}_{P_j(q, R)^{-1}}(\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\xi_j]) \\ &= \text{Ad}_{P_j(q, R)^{-2}}(\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\xi_j]), \end{aligned}$$

where the right  $\text{Ad}_{P_j(q, R)^{-1}}$  describes the base transformation from (5.19) to (5.6) and the left one describes parallel transport along  $\eta_j$  followed by parallel transport

along  $\eta_j^{-1}$ . So, we have

$$P_j(q, R) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\int_{\eta_j} B + \sqrt{R} \Re Z_+(q, z)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\int_{\eta_j} B + \sqrt{R} \Re Z_+(q, z)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In view of Proposition 12 we find

$$\begin{aligned} a(\eta_j * \xi_j * \eta_j^{-1}, q, R) e^{(8-v_j)\sqrt{Rr_j} v_j \sqrt[4]{Rr_j}} &\rightarrow \sqrt{\pi} \\ b(\eta_j * \xi_j * \eta_j^{-1}, q, R) e^{\sqrt{Rr_j} v_j + 4 \int_{\eta_j} \sqrt{R} \Re Z_+(q, z)} &\rightarrow \sqrt{-1} e^{-4 \int_{\eta_j} B} \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

$$c(\eta_j * \xi_j * \eta_j^{-1}, q, R) e^{-\sqrt{Rr_j} v_j - 4 \int_{\eta_j} \sqrt{R} \Re Z_+(q, z)} \rightarrow \sqrt{-1} e^{4 \int_{\eta_j} B} \quad (5.21)$$

$$d(\eta_j * \xi_j * \eta_j^{-1}, q, R) e^{(8-v_j)\sqrt{Rr_j} v_j \sqrt[4]{Rr_j}} \rightarrow \sqrt{\pi}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} v_j &= 8 \cos\left(\frac{\arg(\tau_j)}{2}\right), \\ r_j &= |\tau_j| r_0. \end{aligned}$$

Given that  $B$  is a  $\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ -valued 1-form, the above limiting values are of length 1 and  $\sqrt{\pi}$  respectively.

We make the crucial observation that by (2.20) and (2.22) for  $0 < r_0 \ll 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sigma_j} Z_+(q, z) &= \pm \int_{\sigma_j} \sqrt{\frac{(az-b)}{\prod_{k=0}^4 (z-t_k)}} dz \\ &\approx \pm \sqrt{\frac{at_j-b}{\prod_{0 \leq k \leq 4, k \neq j} (t_j-t_k)}} \int_{t_j}^{t_j+r_0} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{z-t_j}} \\ &= \pm 2\sqrt{\tau_j r_0} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.1) in the last line. Notice that we have a freedom of sign in choosing both  $Z_+(q, z)$  and  $\sqrt{\tau_j}$ . We require that the sign of  $Z_+$  is chosen so that the precise form of the above equality be

$$\int_{\sigma_j} Z_+(q, z) = -2\sqrt{\tau_j r_0}. \quad (5.22)$$

We infer that the integral appearing in the exponent of the off-diagonal terms (5.20)–(5.21) is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{Rr_j} v_j + 4 \int_{\eta_j} \sqrt{R} \Re Z_+(q, z) &= 8\sqrt{Rr_0} \Re \sqrt{\tau_j} + 4\sqrt{R} \int_{\eta_j} \Re Z_+(q, z) \\ &= 4\sqrt{R} \left( - \int_{\sigma_j} \Re Z_+(q, z) + \int_{\eta_j} \Re Z_+(q, z) \right) \\ &= 4\sqrt{R} \int_{x_2}^{t_j} \Re Z_+(q, z) \\ &= 4\sqrt{R} \Re \pi_j, \end{aligned}$$



which allows us to recast the limits (5.20)–(5.21) as

$$\begin{aligned} b(\eta_j * \xi_j * \eta_j^{-1}, q, R) e^{4\sqrt{R}\Re\pi_j} &\rightarrow \sqrt{-1} e^{-4 \int_{\eta_j} B} \\ c(\eta_j * \xi_j * \eta_j^{-1}, q, R) e^{-4\sqrt{R}\Re\pi_j} &\rightarrow \sqrt{-1} e^{4 \int_{\eta_j} B}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\zeta_2] \\ &= \text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\eta_1 * \xi_1 * \eta_1^{-1}] \text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\eta_2 * \xi_2 * \eta_2^{-1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

By definition,  $t_2(q, R)$  is the trace of this matrix, hence we need to compute the diagonal entries of the product (5.23).

Its entry  $a(\zeta_2, q, R)$  of index  $(1, 1)$  is the sum

$$a(\eta_1 * \xi_1 * \eta_1^{-1}, q, R) a(\eta_2 * \xi_2 * \eta_2^{-1}, q, R) + b(\eta_1 * \xi_1 * \eta_1^{-1}, q, R) c(\eta_2 * \xi_2 * \eta_2^{-1}, q, R).$$

According to (5.16) the leading order term of the asymptotic expansion of its first term as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  is given by

$$\pi \frac{e^{-(8-v_1)\sqrt{Rr_1} - (8-v_2)\sqrt{Rr_2}}}{v_1 v_2 \sqrt[4]{r_1 r_2 R^2}}. \quad (5.24)$$

The leading order term of the asymptotic expansion of the second term of  $a(\zeta_2, q, R)$  is

$$- \exp\left(4 \int_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} B + 4\sqrt{R}\Re(\pi_2 - \pi_1)\right). \quad (5.25)$$

The terms of the  $(2, 2)$ -entry  $d(\zeta_2, q, R)$  of (5.23) are similar to those of  $a(\zeta_2, q, R)$ , up to exchanging the subscripts  $i = 1$  and  $i = 2$  of  $v_i, r_i, \eta_i$ . Namely, the term coming from the product of diagonal entries of the factors has leading order term in its asymptotic expansion given by (5.24), and the leading order term of its other term is

$$- \exp\left(4 \int_{\eta_1 - \eta_2} B + 4\sqrt{R}\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)\right). \quad (5.26)$$

As the first term in the argument of both (5.25) and (5.26) are imaginary, they only affect the results by factors of length 1.

**Lemma 1.** *There exists a complex 1-parameter family of sections  $\sigma$  of (2.13) such that the unitary factors arising from the first term of the argument of (5.25) and (5.26) are equal to 1.*

*Proof.* The two unitary factors are inverses of each other, so it is sufficient to show the statement for one of them. By (2.18), the generic Hitchin fiber can be interpreted in terms of holomorphic structures on a topological line bundle over  $X_{Rq}$ . Holomorphic structures are parameterized by  $(0, 1)$ -forms  $\alpha$  in the Dolbeault complex structure, giving rise via non-abelian Hodge correspondence to unitary 1-forms  $B = \alpha + \bar{\alpha}$ . Since  $\eta_1 - \eta_2$  is a non-trivial path, the functional

$$\alpha \mapsto \int_{\eta_1 - \eta_2} \alpha$$

defined on the space of  $(0, 1)$ -forms descends to Hitchin fibers, and is a surjective submersion onto  $\mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, its 0-level set is a codimension 1 subvariety. We conclude using the fact that the generic Hitchin fiber is 2-dimensional over  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

Now, crucially, observe that by (5.15) the coefficient of  $\sqrt{R}$  in the exponent of (5.24) is never positive (as it has already been pointed out in the proof of Proposition 12). On the other hand, at least one of the coefficients of  $\sqrt{R}$  in the exponent of (5.25) and in the exponent of (5.26) is non-negative. In the extreme case where the coefficients of  $\sqrt{R}$  in the exponent of all terms (5.24), (5.25) and (5.26) vanish, then the  $\sqrt{R}$  in the denominator of (5.24) guarantees that it is negligible compared to the sum of the other two terms. To sum up, this shows that in the trace, the leading-order term may not be (5.24), rather it is equal to the maximum of (5.25) and (5.26) in case  $\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2) \neq 0$ , and to the sum of these terms if  $\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2) = 0$ . In any case, the term (5.24) converges to 0 as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , and if  $\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2) > 0$  (respectively,  $\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2) < 0$ ) then the same limit holds for the term (5.25) (respectively, (5.26)).  $\square$

Recall Hopf co-ordinates (2.24) on  $S_1^3$ ,  $\varphi$  being the co-ordinate along the Hopf fibers.

**Proposition 14.** *Fix  $q \in S_1^3$  and assume  $\pi_1(q) \neq \pi_2(q)$ . Then there exists a unique  $\varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that  $t_2(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi_2} q, R)$  is bounded as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* According to Proposition (13), we need to solve the equation

$$\Re(\pi_1(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi} q) - \pi_2(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi} q)) = 0$$

in the variable  $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$ . This quantity is the horizontal projection of

$$\int_{t_2}^{t_1} Z_+(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi} q, z),$$

where the contour of integration is  $\sigma_1 * \eta_1^{-1} * \eta_2 * \sigma_2^{-1}$ . Now, taken into account the definition (2.20), we have

$$Z_+(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi} q, z) = e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi/2} Z_+(q, z).$$

Clearly, there exists a unique value  $\varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$  satisfying the property that the non-zero complex number  $\pi_1(q) - \pi_2(q)$  multiplied by the unit length complex number  $e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi_2/2}$  has horizontal projection equal to 0.  $\square$

The results of this subsection have been stated for the case of  $t_2(q, R)$ . We now proceed to stating the results analogous to Propositions 13 and 14 for the case of  $t_3(q, R)$ , whose proofs are straightforward modifications of the ones proven so far. For  $j \in \{0, 4\}$  introduce

$$\pi_j = \pi_j(q) = \int_{x_4}^{t_j} Z_+(q, z)$$

along some path in  $S_3$ .

**Proposition 15.** *Fix  $q \in S_1^3$ . There exists a section  $\sigma$  of (2.13)*

- (1) *In case  $\Re(\pi_4(q) - \pi_0(q)) \neq 0$  there exists a complex 1-parameter family of sections  $\sigma'$  of (2.13) such that we have the limit*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} t_3(q, R) \exp\left(-4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_4(q) - \pi_0(q))|\right) = 1.$$

- (2) *In case  $\Re(\pi_4(q) - \pi_0(q)) = 0$  the limit of  $t_3(q, R)$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  exists and is finite.*

- (3) If  $\pi_4(q) \neq \pi_0(q)$ , then there exists a unique  $\varphi_3 \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that  $t_3(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi_3}q, R)$  is bounded as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 16.** Let  $q \in S_1^3$  satisfy

$$\Re(\pi_4(q) - \pi_0(q)) \neq 0 \neq \Re(\pi_1(q) - \pi_2(q)).$$

Then there exists a section  $\sigma$  of (2.13) such that we have limits

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} t_2(q, R) \exp\left(-4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1(q) - \pi_2(q))|\right) = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} t_3(q, R) \exp\left(-4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_4(q) - \pi_0(q))|\right) = 1.$$

*Proof.* We need to find an element  $\alpha \in H^{-1}(q)$  such that

$$\int_{\eta_1 - \eta_2} \alpha = 0 = \int_{\eta_4 - \eta_0} \alpha$$

hold true, see Lemma 1. Both conditions define a codimension 1 subvariety in the projective variety  $H^{-1}(q)$ , therefore their intersection is non-trivial.  $\square$

**5.3. Asymptotics of complex twist co-ordinates.** In this section we will determine the asymptotic behaviour of the complex twist co-ordinates  $[p_i : q_i]$  introduced in Section 4.2 for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . For this purpose, we fix some  $q \in S_1^3$ , apply non-abelian Hodge theory and the irregular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence to  $Rq$ , and determine the asymptotic behaviour of the quantities

$$u_i, w_i, A_i, R_i, R'_{i-1}, T_i, U_i, h_i, \psi_i, P_i, Q_i$$

introduced in Section 4.2.

Before continuing, we add an important tweak to our construction. Namely, so far we have assumed that the radii (with respect to Euclidean distance) of the circles  $\xi_j$  were all equal to each other. We now lift this constraint and from now on we merely assume the radii to be *pairwise* equal to each other: the radii of  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  are equal to  $s_2 > 0$ , however the radius of  $\xi_3$  is some possibly different real number  $s_3 > 0$ , while the radii of  $\xi_0, \xi_4$  are equal to yet another real number  $s_4 > 0$ . From this point on, these numbers take over the role that used to be that of  $r_0$  up to this point. We will adjust their values according to our needs in Section 6.1. The results proven up to now all remain valid, because their assertions and proofs did not depend on the actual value of  $r_0$ .

In what follows, for  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A, B$  with non-vanishing entries depending on a parameter  $R \in \mathbb{R}$  we write  $A \approx B$  whenever the limit of each entry of  $A$  divided by the corresponding entry of  $B$  converges to 1 as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly, for two scalar quantities  $a, b$  depending on  $R \in \mathbb{R}$  we write  $a \approx b$  to express that  $\frac{a}{b} \rightarrow 1$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . We say  $a$  is negligible compared to  $b$  if  $\frac{a}{b} \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

We start by recording some asymptotic behaviours as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  ensuing from Sections 4.2 and 5.2 in the case  $\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2) \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= c_1^+ + c_1^- = 0 \\ t_2 &\approx \exp\left(4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

$$u_2 = \frac{t_1 - c_2^- t_2}{c_2^+ - c_2^-} \approx \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|\right) \quad (5.28)$$

$$U_2 \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.29)$$

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} c_2^+ & 0 \\ 0 & c_2^- \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.30)$$

$$R_2 \approx \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}e^{4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} & 1 \\ * & \frac{1}{2}e^{4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.31)$$

$$R_1' \approx \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}e^{4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} & \sqrt{-1} \\ * & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}e^{4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.32)$$

where the entries marked by  $*$  may be determined by the (known) determinant of the matrices, but we refrain from spelling them out as they will be irrelevant for our purposes.

**Proposition 17.** *For  $2 \leq i \leq 4$  we have the asymptotic behaviours*

$$h_i^{-1} \approx \begin{pmatrix} v_i & e^{-8\sqrt{R}s_i\Re\sqrt{\tau_i}w_i} \\ -e^{8\sqrt{R}s_i\Re\sqrt{\tau_i}v_i} & w_i \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$h_i \approx \frac{1}{2v_iw_i} \begin{pmatrix} w_i & -e^{-8\sqrt{R}s_i\Re\sqrt{\tau_i}w_i} \\ e^{8\sqrt{R}s_i\Re\sqrt{\tau_i}v_i} & v_i \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $v_i, w_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and the same choice of  $\sqrt{\tau_i}$  as in (5.16).

*Proof.* As the monodromy matrix of  $V_i(t_{i-1}, t_i)$  around  $\xi_i$  is the diagonal matrix  $A_i$ , in order to determine  $h_i^{-1}$  we need to find the eigenvectors of (5.16) for  $j = i$ , taking into account the relation

$$\sqrt{\tau_i}v_i = 8\Re\sqrt{\tau_i}s_i,$$

see (5.15),(5.4). As we have shown in Proposition 12, the diagonal entries of (5.16) converge to 0 and its determinant converges to 1. A direct computation then shows that  $h_i^{-1}$  is of the desired form. We conclude by taking matrix inverse.  $\square$

**Proposition 18.** *Assume  $\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2}) \neq 0$ . Then the large scale behaviour as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  of the isomorphism  $h_2^{-1}$  identifying  $V_i(t_{i-1}, t_i)$  to the restriction of  $V$  to  $S_i$  written with respect to the unit diagonalizing frame of the local system  $V$  is*

$$h_2^{-1} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \sinh(8\sqrt{R}s_2\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})) & -1 \\ -e^{8\sqrt{R}s_2\Re\sqrt{\tau_2}} \sinh(8\sqrt{R}s_2\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})) & -e^{8\sqrt{R}s_2\Re\sqrt{\tau_2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 17, we just need to find the values of  $v_2, w_2$ ; for this purpose, we will use the monodromy around the loop  $\xi_1$ . Indeed, it is required that the  $(1, 2)$ -entry of  $h_2 \text{RH}(\nabla_{\sqrt{R}})[\xi_1] h_2^{-1}$  be equal to  $\sqrt{-1}$ . After elementary algebra, this is equivalent to the relation

$$\frac{w_2}{v_2} = -\frac{\exp(8\sqrt{Rs_2}\Re\sqrt{\tau_2})}{\sinh(8\sqrt{Rs_2}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2}))},$$

up to super-exponential error terms in the numerator and denominator. The pairs of solutions  $[v_2 : w_2] \in \mathbb{C}P^1$  of this equation are defined up to simultaneous rescaling by some element of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ , and such a rescaling does not affect the complex twist co-ordinates (4.12). Hence, we may set

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= \sinh\left(8\sqrt{Rs_2}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})\right) \\ w_2 &= -\exp(8\sqrt{Rs_2}\Re\sqrt{\tau_2}) \end{aligned}$$

and with these values we find the desired result.  $\square$

**Proposition 19.** *Fix  $q \in S_1^3$  such that  $\Re(\pi_2 - \pi_1) \neq 0$ . Then, the complex twist co-ordinate  $[p_2 : q_2]$  associated to  $Rq$  converges to  $[0 : 1]$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  if the conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ &< 2\Re(2\sqrt{s_2\tau_2} - \sqrt{s_2\tau_1} - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3}) \\ |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| &= 2\sqrt{s_2}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2}) \end{aligned}$$

hold for one choice of a square root  $Z_+$  of  $Q$ . Specifically, we then have

$$\frac{p_2}{q_2} \approx \exp 4\sqrt{R}\Re\left(\int_{\psi_2} Z_+(q) - 2(2\sqrt{s_2\tau_2}(q) - \sqrt{s_2\tau_1}(q) - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3}(q))\right).$$

On the other hand, under the condition

$$|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| \neq 2\sqrt{s_2}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})$$

$[p_2 : q_2]$  converges to  $[1 : 0]$ .

*Proof.* Our task is to compute

$$P_2 = h_3 \circ \psi_2 \circ h_2^{-1}, \quad (5.33)$$

where  $\psi_2$  stands for parallel transport map along the path  $\psi_2$ . Since constant factors multiplying  $Q_i$  (or  $P_i$ ) have no influence on the definition (4.12) of the complex twist co-ordinates, from now on we will ignore constant factors; said differently, the formulas of the rest of this section hold in  $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . In particular, the exact value of the constant  $v_3, w_3$  appearing in Proposition 17 will not be relevant, the essential information is that their ratio is well-defined. Just as in the proof of Proposition 13, with respect to suitable diagonalizing bases we have

$$\psi_2 \approx \begin{pmatrix} \exp\left(\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+(q, z)\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp\left(-\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+(q, z)\right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We take the frame at  $\psi_2(0) = x_2$  to consist of unit vectors, and then the above matrix expresses the action of parallel transport with respect to a basis at  $\psi_2(1) = x_3$  whose first and second vectors are respectively of length

$$\exp\left(\pm\sqrt{R} \int_{x_2}^{x_3} \Re Z_+(q, z)\right).$$

It follows that the action of parallel transport along  $\psi_2$ , written in unit-length diagonalizing bases both at  $x_2, x_3$ , is described by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+(q,z)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+(q,z)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For ease of notation we drop the argument of  $Z_+$ .

Now, by Proposition 18 the growth orders of the entries of  $\psi_2 h_2^{-1}$  are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sinh(8\sqrt{R s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})) e^{2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+} & -e^{2 \int_{\psi_2} \sqrt{R} \Re Z_+} \\ -e^{8\sqrt{R s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_2} - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+)} \sinh(8\sqrt{R s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})) & -e^{8\sqrt{R s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_2} - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Proposition 17, the (1, 1)-entry of (5.33) is then of the form

$$\frac{1}{2v_3} e^{2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+} \sinh(8\sqrt{R s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})) \quad (5.34)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2v_3} e^{8\sqrt{R} \Re(\sqrt{s_2 \tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3 \tau_3}) - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+} \sinh(8\sqrt{R s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})), \quad (5.35)$$

and its (1, 2)-entry is

$$-\frac{1}{2v_3} e^{2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+} + \frac{1}{2v_3} e^{8\sqrt{R s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_2} - \sqrt{\tau_3}) - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+}. \quad (5.36)$$

We now turn to computing the ratio of the entries of the first row of the matrix

$$Q_2 = A_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_3 P_2 U_2^{-1}. \quad (5.37)$$

Since  $A_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_3$  is lower triangular, left multiplication by this matrix does not affect the quotient of the entries in the first row, so we may ignore this factor. On the other hand, if  $\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2) \neq 0$  then we have by (5.29)

$$U_2^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -u_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} e^{4\sqrt{R} |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The (1, 1)-entry of (5.37) is of the form

$$p_2 = \frac{1}{2v_3} e^{2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+} \sinh(8\sqrt{R s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})) \quad (5.38)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2v_3} e^{8\sqrt{R} \Re(\sqrt{s_2 \tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3 \tau_3}) - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+} \sinh(8\sqrt{R s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})) \quad (5.39)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4v_3} e^{2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ + 4\sqrt{R} |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} \quad (5.40)$$

$$- \frac{1}{4v_3} e^{8\sqrt{R} \Re(\sqrt{s_2 \tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3 \tau_3}) - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ + 4\sqrt{R} |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|}, \quad (5.41)$$

and its (1, 2)-entry  $q_2$  agrees with (5.36). We now analyze cases depending on the possible relationships of the coefficients of  $\sqrt{R}$  in the arguments. Notice that for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|\Re(c)| \gg 0$  we have

$$2 \sinh(c) \approx \operatorname{sgn}(c) e^{|c|}.$$

(1) If the inequalities

$$\int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ < 2\Re(\sqrt{s_2\tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3})$$

$$2\sqrt{s_2}|\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})| < |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|$$

hold then the second term of (5.36) dominates its first term in absolute value, and (5.41) dominates (5.38), (5.39) and (5.40). In this case, we have

$$[p_2 : q_2] \approx [-e^{4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} : 2] \rightarrow [1 : 0].$$

(2) In case the inequalities

$$\int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ < 2\Re(\sqrt{s_2\tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3})$$

$$|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| < 2\sqrt{s_2}|\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})|,$$

hold then the second term of (5.36) dominates its first term in absolute value, and the dominant term of  $p_2$  is (5.39). In this case, we get

$$[p_2 : q_2] \approx \left[ \sinh\left(8\sqrt{R}s_2\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})\right) : 1 \right] \rightarrow [1 : 0].$$

(3) In the case

$$2\Re(\sqrt{s_2\tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3}) < \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+$$

$$2\sqrt{s_2}|\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})| < |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|$$

the first term of (5.36) dominates its second term in absolute value, and the dominant term of  $p_2$  is (5.40). In this case, we get

$$[p_2 : q_2] \approx [-e^{4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} : 2] \rightarrow [1 : 0].$$

(4) In the case

$$2\Re(\sqrt{s_2\tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3}) < \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+$$

$$|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| < 2\sqrt{s_2}|\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})|$$

the first term of (5.36) dominates its second term in absolute value, and the dominant term of  $p_2$  is (5.38), so we have

$$[p_2 : q_2] \approx \left[ \sinh\left(8\sqrt{R}s_2\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})\right) : 1 \right] \rightarrow [1 : 0].$$

(5) In the case

$$\int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ < 2\Re(\sqrt{s_2\tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3}) \tag{5.42}$$

$$|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| = 2\sqrt{s_2}|\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2})| \tag{5.43}$$

the dominant term of  $q_2$  is the second term in (5.36), and the terms (5.39) and (5.41) cancel each other up to terms of order

$$o(e^{8\sqrt{R}\Re(\sqrt{s_2\tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3}) - 2\int_{\psi_2} \sqrt{R}\Re Z_+})$$

(see Proposition 13), while the terms (5.38) and (5.40) are of the same order of growth, and add up to the double of each of them. We then have

$$\frac{p_2}{q_2} \approx \frac{\exp\left(2 \int_{\psi_2} \sqrt{R} \Re Z_+ + 4\sqrt{R} |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|\right)}{\exp\left(8\sqrt{R} \Re(\sqrt{s_2 \tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3 \tau_3}) - 2 \int_{\psi_2} \sqrt{R} \Re Z_+\right)} + o(1).$$

Now, assuming the inequality

$$2\Re(\sqrt{s_2 \tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3 \tau_3}) - \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ > |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| = 2\sqrt{s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2}),$$

or equivalently,

$$\int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ < 2\Re(2\sqrt{s_2 \tau_2} - \sqrt{s_2 \tau_1} - \sqrt{s_3 \tau_3}), \quad (5.44)$$

we then get

$$[p_2 : q_2] \rightarrow [0 : 1].$$

Notice that in view of the assumption  $\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2) \neq 0$  and (5.43), the inequality (5.44) is stronger than (5.42).

(6) In the case

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Re(\sqrt{s_2 \tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3 \tau_3}) &< \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ \\ |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| &= 2\sqrt{s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2}) \end{aligned}$$

the dominant term of  $q_2$  is the first term in (5.36), and the dominant terms of  $p_2$  are (5.38) and (5.40), of the same order of growth, adding up to the double of either one of them. In this case we get

$$[p_2 : q_2] \approx [e^{4\sqrt{R} |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} : 1] \rightarrow [1 : 0].$$

(7) In the case

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ &< 2\Re(\sqrt{s_2 \tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3 \tau_3}) \\ |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| &= -2\sqrt{s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2}) \end{aligned}$$

the dominant term of  $q_2$  is the second term of (5.36), the terms (5.38) and (5.40) cancel each other while the terms (5.39) and (5.41) are of the same order of growth, and add up to the double of each of them. We then have

$$\frac{p_2}{q_2} \approx [e^{4\sqrt{R} |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} : 1] \rightarrow [1 : 0].$$

(8) In the case

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Re(\sqrt{s_2 \tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3 \tau_3}) &< \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ \\ |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| &= -2\sqrt{s_2} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2}) \end{aligned}$$

the dominant term of  $q_2$  is the first term in (5.36), the terms (5.38) and (5.40) cancel each other while the terms (5.39) and (5.41) are of the same order of growth, and add up to the double of each of them. In this case we get

$$[p_2 : q_2] \approx [e^{8\sqrt{R} \Re(\sqrt{s_2 \tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3 \tau_3}) - 4 \int_{\psi_2} \sqrt{R} \Re Z_+ + 4\sqrt{R} |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|} : -1].$$



Assuming the inequality

$$2\Re(\sqrt{s_2\tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3}) - \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ < -|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| = 2\sqrt{s_2}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1} - \sqrt{\tau_2}),$$

or equivalently,

$$\int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ > 2\Re(2\sqrt{s_2\tau_2} - \sqrt{s_2\tau_1} - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3}),$$

we then get

$$[p_2 : q_2] \rightarrow [0 : 1].$$

□

**Remark 1.** Notice that some of the inequalities appearing in the above cases depend on the choice of square root  $Z_+$ , see (2.20). Changing sign of  $Z_+$  effects in the following transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_j &\mapsto -\pi_j \\ |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| &\mapsto |\Re(\pi_2 - \pi_1)| = |\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| \\ \tau_j &\mapsto -\tau_j \\ \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+ &\mapsto - \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+. \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate to see for instance that these transformations interchange cases (1) and (3). Importantly however, the asymptotic behaviours we have found in these cases agree with each other. A similar relationship holds pairwise between cases (2), (4); (5), (8); and (6), (7). For us the cases (5), (8) will be of particular interest.

We will also need an analogous statement to Proposition 19 for the complex twist co-ordinate  $[p_3 : q_3]$ .

**Proposition 20.** Fix  $q \in S_1^3$  such that  $\Re(\pi_4 - \pi_0) \neq 0$ . Then, the complex twist co-ordinate  $[p_3 : q_3]$  associated to  $Rq$  converges to  $[0 : 1]$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  if the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+ &> 2\Re(\sqrt{s_3\tau_3} + \sqrt{s_4\tau_0} - 2\sqrt{s_4\tau_4}) \\ |\Re(\pi_0 - \pi_4)| &= 2\sqrt{s_4}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.45)$$

are met; specifically, we then have

$$\frac{p_3}{q_3} \approx \exp 4\sqrt{R}\Re \left( - \int_{\psi_3} Z_+ + 2(\sqrt{s_3\tau_3} + \sqrt{s_4\tau_0} - 2\sqrt{s_4\tau_4}) \right)$$

Meanwhile, they converge to  $[1 : 0]$  if

$$|\Re(\pi_0 - \pi_4)| \neq 2\sqrt{s_4}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4}).$$

*Proof.* This is similar to Proposition 19, yet we will give details for the sake of completeness. We start by determining  $[v_4 : w_4]$  along the lines of Proposition 18. Namely, from the assumption that the (1, 2)-entry of the monodromy of  $V_4$  around  $\rho_4 = \xi_0$  is equal to 1, knowing that the radius of this circle is  $s_4$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} v_4 &= \sinh \left( 8\sqrt{R s_4} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4}) \right) \\ w_4 &= \sqrt{-1} \exp(8\sqrt{R s_4} \Re \sqrt{\tau_4}). \end{aligned}$$

The  $(1, 2)$ -entry of  $P_3 = h_4 \circ \psi_3 \circ h_3^{-1}$  is

$$\frac{w_3}{2} \frac{e^{2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+ - 8\sqrt{Rs_3} \Re \sqrt{\tau_3}}}{\sinh(8\sqrt{Rs_4} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4}))} - \frac{w_3}{2} \frac{e^{-8\sqrt{Rs_4} \Re \sqrt{\tau_4} - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+}}{\sinh(8\sqrt{Rs_4} \Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4}))} \quad (5.46)$$

$$\approx \pm w_3 e^{-8\sqrt{Rs_4} |\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4})| + 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+ - 8\sqrt{Rs_3} \Re \sqrt{\tau_3}} \quad (5.47)$$

$$\mp w_3 e^{-8\sqrt{Rs_4} |\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4})| - 8\sqrt{Rs_4} \Re \sqrt{\tau_4} - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+}, \quad (5.48)$$

where the first sign is positive if  $\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4}) > 0$  and negative otherwise, and the second sign behaves oppositely. Furthermore, the  $(2, 2)$ -entry of  $P_3 = h_4 \circ \psi_3 \circ h_3^{-1}$  is equal to

$$-\sqrt{-1} \frac{w_3}{2} \left( e^{-8\sqrt{R} \Re \sqrt{s_3 \tau_3} + 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+} + e^{-8\sqrt{R} \Re \sqrt{s_4 \tau_4} - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+} \right). \quad (5.49)$$

Given that  $U_3$  is lower triangular with  $(2, 2)$ -entry equal to 1, the second column of  $Q_3 = A_4^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_3 P_3 U_3^{-1}$  is the same as that of  $A_4^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_3 P_3$ . Therefore, in computing the second column of  $Q_3$  we may ignore the effect multiplication by  $U_3^{-1}$  from the right. Moreover, taking into account  $c_4^\pm = \pm \sqrt{-1}$  we have  $t_4 = 0$  and  $u_4 = \frac{t_3}{2\sqrt{-1}}$ . We also notice the relation

$$\sqrt{-1} \sqrt{c_4^-} = \sqrt{c_4^+}. \quad (5.50)$$

Combining these, we find

$$A_4^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{c_4^-} & 0 \\ \sqrt{c_4^+} \frac{t_3}{2\sqrt{-1}} & \sqrt{c_4^+} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We deduce that the  $(1, 2)$ -entry of  $A_4^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_3 P_3$  reads as

$$q_3 = \pm \sqrt{c_4^-} w_3 e^{-8\sqrt{Rs_4} |\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4})| - 8\sqrt{Rs_3} \Re \sqrt{\tau_3} + 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+} \quad (5.51)$$

$$\mp \sqrt{c_4^-} w_3 e^{-8\sqrt{Rs_4} |\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4})| - 8\sqrt{Rs_4} \Re \sqrt{\tau_4} - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+}. \quad (5.52)$$

The  $(2, 2)$ -entry of  $A_4^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_3 P_3$  is

$$\begin{aligned} p_3 + t_3 q_3 &= \frac{\sqrt{c_4^+} w_3}{2\sqrt{-1}} e^{-8\sqrt{Rs_4} |\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4})| + 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+ - 8\sqrt{Rs_3} \Re \sqrt{\tau_3} + 4\sqrt{R} \Re |\pi_4 - \pi_0|} \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{c_4^+} w_3}{2\sqrt{-1}} e^{-8\sqrt{Rs_4} |\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4})| - 8\sqrt{Rs_4} \Re \sqrt{\tau_4} - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+ + 4\sqrt{R} \Re |\pi_4 - \pi_0|} \\ &\quad - \sqrt{-1} \frac{\sqrt{c_4^+} w_3}{2} \left( e^{-8\sqrt{Rs_3} \Re \sqrt{\tau_3} + 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+} + e^{-8\sqrt{Rs_4} \Re \sqrt{\tau_4} - 2\sqrt{R} \int_{\psi_3} \Re Z_+} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.53)$$

We now consider the expression

$$p_3 = (p_3 + t_3 q_3) - t_3 q_3. \quad (5.54)$$

Just as in the proof of Proposition 19, in case

$$|\Re(\pi_0 - \pi_4)| \neq 2\sqrt{s_4} |\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4})|$$

neither of the terms (5.51) and (5.52) cancels any term of (5.53), and we get

$$[p_3 : q_3] \approx [e^{4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_0 - \pi_4)|} : 1] \rightarrow [1 : 0]$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . For the sake of concreteness let us now assume

$$0 < |\Re(\pi_0 - \pi_4)| = 2\sqrt{s_4}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4}), \quad (5.55)$$

so that the signs in (5.51) and (5.52) are  $+$  and  $-$  respectively; the analysis in the case of opposite sign is completely analogous. Then, we immediately see from (5.53) that

$$p_3 + t_3 q_3 = -\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{c_4^+} w_3 \left( e^{-8\sqrt{R}s_3\Re\sqrt{\tau_3} + 2\sqrt{R}\int_{\psi_3}\Re Z_+} + e^{-8\sqrt{R}s_4\Re\sqrt{\tau_4} - 2\sqrt{R}\int_{\psi_3}\Re Z_+} \right). \quad (5.56)$$

Now, in view of (5.50), on the right-hand side of (5.54)  $t_3$  times the term (5.52) cancels the second term of (5.56), and  $t_3$  times the term (5.52) is equal to the first term of (5.56). In sum, we find

$$p_3 = 2\frac{\sqrt{c_4^+} w_3}{\sqrt{-1}} e^{-8\sqrt{R}s_4\Re\sqrt{\tau_4} - 2\sqrt{R}\int_{\psi_3}\Re Z_+}. \quad (5.57)$$

There are two cases to consider: first, when the leading-order term of  $q_3$  is (5.51) and second, when it is (5.52). The first of these possibilities holds if

$$-8\Re\sqrt{s_3\tau_3} + 2\int_{\psi_3}\Re Z_+$$

is larger than

$$-8\Re\sqrt{s_4\tau_4} - 2\int_{\psi_3}\Re Z_+,$$

while the second possibility holds for the reverse relation. These relations may be equivalently expressed as

$$\int_{\psi_3}\Re Z_+ > 2\Re(\sqrt{s_3\tau_3} - \sqrt{s_4\tau_4}) \quad (5.58)$$

and

$$\int_{\psi_3}\Re Z_+ < 2\Re(\sqrt{s_3\tau_3} - \sqrt{s_4\tau_4}).$$

Let us assume (5.58); in this case using (5.55) we have

$$\frac{p_3}{q_3} \approx 2 \exp 4\sqrt{R} \left( 2\Re(\sqrt{s_3\tau_3} + \sqrt{s_4\tau_0} - 2\sqrt{s_4\tau_4}) - \int_{\psi_3}\Re Z_+ \right).$$

Now, the expression on the right-hand side converges to 0 under the assumption (5.45). We finish by observing that because of the assumption (5.55) this latter inequality implies (5.58).  $\square$

## 6. HOMOTOPY COMMUTATIVITY

From this point on the choices made in (2.1) will play a role. We believe that using the formulas in [7, Sections 3.145, 3.147] it would be possible to lift these assumptions and allow for the roots of an arbitrary polynomial with real coefficients (and possibly even more generally for arbitrary distinct points of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ ). We have decided to make the above choices in order to simplify the treatment, as we believe

that the differences with more general cases would be purely technical rather than theoretical; in addition, they would make formulas significantly more involved.

**6.1. Geometry of period integrals.** For arbitrary  $s_2 > 0$  let  $U_2 = U_2(s_2) \subset S_1^3$  consist of the quadratic differentials  $q$  satisfying the property

$$0 \neq \pi_1(q) - \pi_2(q) \neq \pm 2\sqrt{s_2}(\sqrt{\tau_1}(q) - \sqrt{\tau_2}(q)).$$

Then,  $U_2$  is an everywhere dense analytic open subset. By definitions (5.1), (5.17) the quantities  $\pi_1(q), \pi_2(q), \int_{\psi_2} \Re Z_+$  are defined for every  $q \in U_2$ , and we have

$$\pi_j(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi}q) = e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi/2}\pi_j(q), \quad \tau_j(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi}q) = e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi/2}\tau_j(q). \quad (6.1)$$

This shows in particular that  $U_2$  consists of entire orbits of the Hopf map  $t$ , namely  $q$  satisfies the defining conditions of  $U_2$  if and only if the same holds for  $e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi}q$  for every  $\varphi$ .

**Remark 2.** (1) *To define  $\pi_j$  one needs to make coherent choices of square roots of  $Q$  over the points*

$$t(q) \in \text{Im}\psi_2 \cup \text{Im}\eta_1 \cup \text{Im}\eta_2 \cup \text{Im}\sigma_1 \cup \text{Im}\sigma_2;$$

*for more details see the proof of Proposition 21.*

(2) *The half-weight transformation (6.1) means in particular that at the end-points of the natural domain  $[0, 2\pi]$  for  $\varphi$  in the Hopf fibration, the quantities  $\pi_j, \tau_j$  change sign. This amounts to changing the choice of square root  $Z_+$  of  $Q$ . As we have already explained in Remark 1, this change of choice does not affect the asymptotic behaviour that we have found for the complex twist Fenchel–Nielsen co-ordinates.*

An easy geometric argument using (6.1) shows that for every  $q \in U_2$  there exists a unique value of  $\varphi^* \in [0, 2\pi)$  fulfilling

$$\Re(\pi_1(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi^*}q) - \pi_2(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi^*}q)) = 2\sqrt{s_2}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1}(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi^*}q) - \sqrt{\tau_2}(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi^*}q)). \quad (6.2)$$

This specifies a unique point  $e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi^*}q$  in the Hopf fiber of  $q$ . Moreover, by the implicit function theorem, this point depends smoothly on  $q$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_2: t(U_2) &\rightarrow S_1^3 \\ [a : b] &\mapsto e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi^*(q)}q \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

be the corresponding smooth section of the Hopf fibration, where  $q \in t^{-1}([a : b])$  is an arbitrary point in the fiber of the Hopf fibration over  $[a : b]$ .

**Proposition 21.** *Let  $q = S_2(t_1)$ . Then  $q$  belongs to  $U_2(s_2)$  for every  $s_2 > 0$ , and we have  $\Re(\pi_1(q) - \pi_2(q)) \neq 0$ . Moreover, there exist distinct points  $x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus D$  and*

$$\rho = \rho(q, t_0, \dots, t_4, x_2, x_3) > 0$$

*such that for every  $0 < s_2, s_3 < \rho$  the inequality (5.44) holds. In particular, we then have  $[p_2 : q_2] \rightarrow [0 : 1]$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* We recall our choices of logarithmic points (2.1). In this case the quantities to study become elliptic integrals of the first kind with eccentricity  $k$  in Legendre canonical form.

By assumption we need to consider homogeneous polynomials  $q$  of degree 6 such that  $t(q) = t_1 = 0$ , i.e. of the form

$$q(z, w) = az^2(z^2 - 1) \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right)$$

for some coefficients  $a \in S^1$ . The parameter  $a$  can be identified (up to multiplying by an element of  $S^1$ ) with  $e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi}$  where  $\varphi$  is the parameter of the Hopf fiber. Using this form and (2.22) we get that the corresponding quadratic differential reads as

$$Q(z) = \frac{az^2(z^2 - 1) \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right) dz^{\otimes 2}}{z^2(z^2 - 1)^2 \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right)^2} = \frac{adz^{\otimes 2}}{(z^2 - 1) \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right)}. \quad (6.4)$$

The square-root of  $Q$  is then given by

$$Z_+ = \sqrt{a} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1) \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right)}}.$$

Here, we need to be precise about the determination of the square roots: for  $a = 1$  we choose  $\sqrt{a} = 1$  and in the denominator we choose

$$\sqrt{(z^2 - 1) \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right)} \in \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}_- & \text{if } z < -\frac{1}{k} \\ \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_- & \text{if } -\frac{1}{k} < z < -1 \\ \mathbb{R}_+ & \text{if } -1 < z < 1 \\ \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_+ & \text{if } 1 < z < \frac{1}{k} \\ \mathbb{R}_- & \text{if } \frac{1}{k} < z \end{cases}. \quad (6.5)$$

By definition (5.1) we find

$$-\tau_3 = \tau_2 = \frac{a}{2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right)} < 0, \quad \tau_1 = 0.$$

For simplicity, throughout the proof we will thus omit to spell out terms containing  $\tau_1$ . From now on until further mention we set  $a = 1$ . According to the choice of determination of  $Z_+$  (6.5) and the choice (5.22) of square roots of  $\tau_j$  we have

$$\sqrt{\tau_3} \in \mathbb{R}_-, \quad \sqrt{\tau_2} \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_-. \quad (6.6)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 - \pi_2 &= \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1) \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right)}} \\ &= -kK(k) \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

where

$$K(k) = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-k^2t^2)}} > 0$$

is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind with eccentricity  $k$ . In particular, as  $\pi_1 - \pi_2 \in \mathbb{R}_-$  and  $-\sqrt{\tau_2} \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ , we trivially have  $q \in U_2(s_2)$  for every choice of  $s_2 > 0$ . In addition, the line connecting these complex numbers does not pass through 0, which shows the first statement.

Let us now pick

$$x_2 = -\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon, \quad x_3 = 1 - \varepsilon$$

for some  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ . The integrand being an even function, decomposing the path  $\psi_2$  as

$$\left[-\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon\right] = \left[-\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon, -1\right] \cup [-1, 0] \cup [0, 1 - \varepsilon]$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\psi_2} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1)\left(z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2}\right)}} &= \int_{-\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon}^{1 - \varepsilon} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1)\left(z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2}\right)}} \\ &= \int_{-\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon}^{-1} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1)\left(z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2}\right)}} + k[K(k) + F(1 - \varepsilon; k)] \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

where

$$F(x; k) = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1 - t^2)(1 - k^2 t^2)}}$$

is the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind with eccentricity  $k$ . By (6.5), the first integral of (6.8) belongs to  $\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_-$ . For fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  let us choose  $\rho > 0$  such that for all  $0 < s_2, s_3 < \rho$  we have

$$|4\sqrt{s_2\tau_2}| < \left| \int_{-\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon}^{-1} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1)\left(z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2}\right)}} \right|$$


and

$$|2\sqrt{s_3\tau_3}| < k[K(k) + F(1 - \varepsilon; k)].$$

We then find that the complex number


$$\int_{-\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon}^{1 - \varepsilon} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1)\left(z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2}\right)}} - 2\Re(2\sqrt{s_2\tau_2} - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3}) \quad (6.9)$$

belongs to the fourth quadrant, i.e. has positive real part and negative imaginary part.



Now let us lift the assumption  $a = 1$ , and let  $a = e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi} \in S^1$  vary. By the action (6.1) and definition (6.2),  $\varphi^*$  is the unique angle satisfying the property that when one simultaneously rotates the vectors (6.7) and  $-2\sqrt{s_2\tau_2}$  by angle  $\varphi^*/2$  then they get carried to vectors with equal real parts. As (6.7) is a negative real number and  $-2\sqrt{s_2\tau_2}$  is purely imaginary with positive imaginary part, the angle

that achieves this rotation satisfies  $\varphi^*/2 \in (-\pi, -\pi/2)$ . (Indeed, in the limit  $s_2 \rightarrow 0$  we have  $\varphi^*/2 \rightarrow -\pi/2$ .)



The second assertion states that this rotation brings the complex number (6.9) to one in either the second or third quadrant. Now, as we have

$$q = S_2(t_1) = e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi^*} z^2(z^2 - 1) \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right)$$

and we have just proven that

$$\Re \left( \int_{\psi_2} Z_+(q) - 2(2\sqrt{s_2\tau_2}(q) - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3}(q)) \right) < 0,$$

this assertion follows from a straightforward geometric argument (see the above figure). Proposition 19 then implies the third assertion.  $\square$

Similarly to  $U_2$  we now define the dense open set

$$U_3 = U_3(s_4) \subset S_1^3$$

consisting of the quadratic differentials  $q$  satisfying the conditions

$$0 \neq \pi_0(q) - \pi_4(q) \neq \pm 2\sqrt{s_4}(\sqrt{\tau_0}(q) - \sqrt{\tau_4}(q)).$$

The action of the Hopf circle co-ordinate is again given by (6.1), and analogously to (6.3), we now define

$$S_3: t(U_3) \rightarrow S_1^3 \tag{6.10}$$

to be the unique section fulfilling for any  $[a : b] \in t(U_3) \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$  the condition

$$|\Re(\pi_0(S_3([a : b])) - \pi_4(S_3([a : b])))| = 2\sqrt{s_4}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_0}(S_3([a : b])) - \sqrt{\tau_4}(S_3([a : b]))).$$

We now state the analog of Proposition 21 for the complex twist co-ordinates  $[p_3 : q_3]$ . We fix base points  $x_2, x_3$  provided in the proof of Proposition 21.

**Proposition 22.** *Let  $q' = S_3(t_1)$ . Then the quadratic differential  $q'$  belongs to  $U_3(s_4)$  for every  $s_4 > 0$  and we have  $\Re(\pi_0(q) - \pi_4(q)) \neq 0$ . Moreover, there exists*

$$x_4 \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus (D \cup \{x_2, x_3\})$$

and

$$\rho' = \rho'(q, t_0, \dots, t_4, x_4) > 0$$

such that for every  $0 < s_3, s_4 < \rho'$  inequality (5.45) holds. In particular, we then have  $[p_3 : q_3] \rightarrow [0 : 1]$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Using the results of the proof of Proposition 21, we again have

$$q'(z, w) = a' z^2 (z^2 - 1) \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right) \quad (6.11)$$

for some  $a' \in S^1$ . For the quadratic differential given by this formula with the choice  $a' = 1$  we find

$$-\tau_4 = \tau_0 = \frac{k}{2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right)} = k\tau_2,$$


so that

$$\tau_2 < \tau_0 < 0 < \tau_4 < \tau_3.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0 - \pi_4 &= \int_{t_4}^{t_0} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1) \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right)}} \\ &= - \int_{-\frac{1}{k}}^{-1} - \int_{-1}^1 - \int_1^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ &= -2kK(k) \end{aligned}$$

because the first and third integrals in the second line cancel each other, the integrand being an odd function on the underlying intervals (6.5). To prove the first assertion, we merely realize that by the choices of signs (6.6) the argument of the complex number  $\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4}$  is  $\frac{3\pi}{4}$  while that of  $\pi_0 - \pi_4$  is  $\pi$ , so the origin is not incident to the line connecting these points.





In order to prove the second assertion, let us fix  $x_4 \gg \frac{1}{k}$  so that

$$\left| \int_{\frac{1}{k}}^{x_4} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1)(z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2})}} \right| > \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1)(z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2})}};$$

such a choice is clearly possible up to decreasing  $\varepsilon$ . Note that by (6.5) the integral on the left-hand side is negative, while the one on the right-hand side is positive. We then see that the real part of

$$\int_{x_3}^{x_4} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1)(z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2})}} = \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 + \int_1^{\frac{1}{k}} + \int_{\frac{1}{k}}^{x_4}$$


is negative, and its imaginary part is positive.

Now, there exists  $\rho' > 0$  such that for every  $0 < s_3, s_4 < \rho'$  we have

$$2|\sqrt{s_4\tau_4}| < \min \left( \frac{kK(k)}{2}, \frac{1}{4} \left| \int_1^{\frac{1}{k}} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1)(z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2})}} \right| \right),$$

$$2|\sqrt{s_3\tau_3}| < \min \left( \frac{kK(k)}{2}, \frac{1}{4} \left| \int_1^{\frac{1}{k}} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2 - 1)(z^2 - \frac{1}{k^2})}} \right| \right).$$

The choice of  $a' \in S^1$  giving rise to  $q' = S_3(t_1)$  is singled out by the criterion that image under multiplication by  $\sqrt{a'}$  of the line segment connecting  $\pi_0 - \pi_4$  and  $\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4}$  be parallel to the imaginary axis, the images of these points having positive real part. The same geometric consideration as in the proof of Proposition 21 then concludes the proof.



□

We now choose  $x_2, x_3, x_4$  as in Propositions 21 and 22, and set  $\rho'' = \min(\rho, \rho')$  where  $\rho, \rho' > 0$  are the scalars provided by the Propositions.

**Proposition 23.** *There exist  $0 < s_2, s_3, s_4 < \rho''$  such that  $S_2(t_1) = S_3(t_1)$ . For the choice  $q = S_2(t_1)$ , we have  $[p_2 : q_2] \rightarrow [0 : 1]$  and  $[p_3 : q_3] \rightarrow [0 : 1]$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* In order to achieve  $S_2(t_1) = S_3(t_1)$ , we need to make sure that the Hopf circle co-ordinate of the point  $q$  in Proposition 21 agrees with that of the point  $q'$  in Proposition 22 (their other Hopf co-ordinates agree by construction, because  $q, q'$  map to  $t_1$  by the Hopf map). Equivalently, this condition may be rephrased by requiring that equal rotations bring the indicated straight line segment on the figure corresponding to the case  $a = 1$  in the proof of Proposition 21 and the one corresponding to the case  $a' = 1$  in the proof of Proposition 22 into vertical position. Still equivalently, the named segments need to be parallel to each other.

Clearly, there exist  $0 < s_2, s_4 < \rho''$  fulfilling this condition: fix any  $0 < s_2, s_4 < \rho''$ ; if the line segments are parallel then we are done; otherwise there are two cases: if the slope of the line connecting  $-kK(k)$  to  $-2\sqrt{s_2\tau_2}$  is greater than that of the line connecting  $-2kK(k)$  to  $2\sqrt{s_4}(\sqrt{\tau_0} - \sqrt{\tau_4})$ , then we need to decrease  $s_2$  until they become parallel, otherwise we need to decrease  $s_4$  until they become parallel; in both cases a simple application of the mean value theorem shows that there exists a unique value of  $s_2$  or  $s_4$  with the required property.

Propositions 21 and 22 show the statement.  $\square$

## 6.2. Proof of Theorem 2 and tropical geometry of Riemann-Hilbert map.

We now use the asymptotic behaviours obtained in Sections 5.2, 5.3 and 6.1 to prove Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* All limits in this proof are to be understood as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . We let

$$V_2 \subset U_2$$

be the open subset consisting of quadratic differentials  $q$  fulfilling inequality (5.44), and

$$V_3 \subset U_3$$

be the open subset consisting of all  $q$  fulfilling inequality (5.45). According to Proposition 23, for suitable choices of  $0 < s_2, s_3, s_4$  and  $x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus D$  we have

$$q = S_2(t_1) = S_3(t_1) \in V_2 \cap V_3.$$

In particular, by Propositions 13, 15 we then have

$$\begin{aligned} t_2 &\rightarrow \infty \\ t_3 &\rightarrow \infty \\ [p_2 : q_2] &\rightarrow [0 : 1] \\ [p_3 : q_3] &\rightarrow [0 : 1]. \end{aligned}$$

We fix such choices of  $s_2, s_3, s_4, x_2, x_3, x_4$  once and for all. Using the notations of Subsection 4.3,  $\Phi$  maps the point  $\text{RH} \circ \psi \circ \sigma(Rq)$  into an interior point of the 3-simplex  $e_{2,0} * e_{3,0}$ .

Consider now some  $t \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus D$  sufficiently close to  $t_1$ , such that  $S_2(t) \neq S_3(t)$ . By openness,  $S_2(t) \in V_2$ , provided  $t$  is close enough to  $t_1$ . According to Propositions 13, 15, with the choice  $q = S_2(t)$  we then have

$$\begin{aligned} t_2 &\rightarrow \infty \\ t_3 &\rightarrow \infty \\ [p_2 : q_2] &\rightarrow [0 : 1] \\ [p_3 : q_3] &\rightarrow [1 : 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we see that the image under  $\Phi$  of  $\text{RH} \circ \psi \circ \sigma(Rq)$  is an interior point of  $e_{2,0} * e_{3,\infty}$ .

Similarly, for  $t$  sufficiently close to  $t_1$  so that  $S_3(t) \in V_3$ , for  $q = S_3(t) \neq S_2(t)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} t_2 &\rightarrow \infty \\ t_3 &\rightarrow \infty \\ [p_2 : q_2] &\rightarrow [1 : 0] \\ [p_3 : q_3] &\rightarrow [0 : 1], \end{aligned}$$

mapping to an interior point of  $e_{2,\infty} * e_{3,0}$ .

According to Proposition 14 there exists a smooth section

$$T_2: U_2 \rightarrow S_1^3$$

such that with the choice  $q = T_2(t)$  for any  $t \in U_2$  the co-ordinate  $t_2$  stays bounded. Similarly, part (3) of Proposition 15 implies the existence of a smooth section

$$T_3: U_3 \rightarrow S_1^3$$

such that with the choice  $q = T_3(t)$  for any  $t \in U_3$  the co-ordinate  $t_3$  stays bounded.

For the generic point

$$q \in (U_2 \cap U_3) \setminus (\text{Im}(S_2) \cup \text{Im}(S_3) \cup \text{Im}(T_2) \cup \text{Im}(T_3))$$

of  $S_1^3$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} t_2 &\rightarrow \infty \\ t_3 &\rightarrow \infty \\ [p_2 : q_2] &\rightarrow [1 : 0] \\ [p_3 : q_3] &\rightarrow [1 : 0], \end{aligned}$$

thus mapping to the interior of  $e_{2,\infty} * e_{3,\infty}$  by  $\Phi$ . We claim that

$$RS_2(t_1) \tag{6.12}$$

is a regular point of  $\Phi \circ \text{RH} \circ \psi \circ \sigma$ . Let us now study the differential of this map. The images  $\text{Im}(S_i)$  are smooth surfaces in  $S_1^3$ , with non-empty intersection by Proposition 23. For generic values of  $s_2, s_4$  these surfaces are transversal to each other, therefore they intersect each other in a smooth curve. It follows from the above analysis that for  $R \gg 0$  and  $q$  away from the curve


$$C = \text{Im}(S_2) \cap \text{Im}(S_3) \cap V_2 \cap V_3, \tag{6.13}$$

either  $\frac{p_2}{q_2} \rightarrow \infty$  or  $\frac{p_3}{q_3} \rightarrow \infty$  hold (possibly both). The directions transversal to (6.13) clearly do not belong to the kernel of  $\Phi \circ \text{RH} \circ \psi \circ \sigma$ , because the directional derivative are exponentially large in  $\sqrt{R}$ . We only need to treat the derivative along  $C$ . Let us smoothly parameterize  $C$  by  $q(s)$ , where  $s \in (-1, 1)$ . We realize from the proof of Proposition 21 that for  $\rho \ll 1$  we have

$$4\sqrt{R}\Re \left( \int_{-\frac{1}{\rho} + \varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} Z_+ - 2(2\sqrt{s_2\tau_2} - \sqrt{s_2\tau_1} - \sqrt{s_3\tau_3}) \right) < -4\sqrt{R}|\Re(\pi_1 - \pi_2)|. \tag{6.14}$$

This implies that as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$p_2 t_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

FIGURE 7. Injectivity of  $\phi_2$  on  $C$ .

hence there exists some  $\varpi > 0$  such that for  $q$  in the compact neighbourhood

$$K = \{q(s), \quad s \in [-\varpi, \varpi]\}$$

of  $S_2(t_1)$  in (6.13) and for  $R \gg 1$ , the term  $p_2$  in (4.16) is uniformly negligibly small as compared to  $\frac{1}{t_2}$ . In different terms, the image of  $K$  by  $\text{RH} \circ \psi \circ \sigma$  can be approximated by a segment of the diagonal curve  $|w_2| = |z_2|$ , up to exponentially small error in  $\sqrt{R}$ . Recall that along  $C$  by definition we have the equality

$$\Re(\pi_1(q(s)) - \pi_2(q(s))) = 2\sqrt{s_2}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1(q(s))} - \sqrt{\tau_2(q(s))}).$$

Differentiating this identity with respect to  $s$  at  $s = 0$  yields

$$\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}\Re(\pi_1(q(s)) - \pi_2(q(s))) = 2\sqrt{s_2}\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1(q(s))} - \sqrt{\tau_2(q(s))}),$$

from where we get

$$\frac{d|w_2(q(s))|}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} = -8|w_2(q(0))|\sqrt{Rs_2}\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1(q(s))} - \sqrt{\tau_2(q(s))}).$$

By changing  $x_4$  if necessary, we may assume that the surface  $\text{Im}(S_3)$  is in such a position that we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}\Re(\sqrt{\tau_1(q(s))} - \sqrt{\tau_2(q(s))}) \neq 0.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{d|w_2(q(s))|}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} \neq 0.$$

If  $R$  is chosen sufficiently large then the assumptions  $0 < 2|z_i|, |w_i| < \delta$  of Proposition 6 are met. Since the diagonal  $|w_2| = |z_2|$  is everywhere transversal to the level curves of  $\phi_2$ , part (1) of Proposition 6 finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** We see that the image of  $C$  under  $\text{RH} \circ \psi \circ \sigma$  is a curve along the line  $|w_2| = |z_2|$  (hence, of slope 1) in case inequality (6.14) is valid, and a curve along the  $|z_2|$ -axis (hence, of slope 0) if the inequality between the same quantities holds in the reverse direction. The corresponding open sets of  $C$  are connected by points where the two quantities are equal to each other; the image of  $C$  near such points can be approximated by vertical segments. This phenomenon is reminiscent

of tropical curves, see e.g. [18]. We expect that tropical geometry will play a role in further developments of the subject. This should not be surprising because tropical addition is defined as

$$(a, b) \mapsto \max(a, b) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log(e^{ta} + e^{tb}),$$

and as we have demonstrated throughout this study, the large scale behaviour of Riemann–Hilbert correspondence produces precisely expressions of the form  $e^{ta} + e^{tb}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Danilov, *Polyhedra of Schemes and Algebraic Varieties*, Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik **26** (1975) 137–149.
- [2] M. de Cataldo, T. Hausel, L. Migliorini, *Topology of Hitchin systems and Hodge theory of character varieties: the case  $A_1$* , Ann. of Math. (3) **175** (2012), 1329–1407.
- [3] M. de Cataldo, D. Maulik, J. Shen, *Hitchin fibrations, abelian surfaces and the  $P = W$  conjecture*, arXiv:1909.11885
- [4] C. Felisetti, M. Mauri,  *$P=W$  conjectures for character varieties with symplectic resolution*, arXiv:2006.08752
- [5] L. Fredrickson, R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda and H. Weiss *Asymptotic Geometry of the Moduli space of parabolic  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles*, arXiv:2001.03682
- [6] D. Gaiotto, G. Moore, A. Neitzke, *Wall crossing, Hitchin systems, and the WKB approximation*, Adv. Math. **243** (2013) 239–403.
- [7] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik *Table of integrals, series and products*, 7th Edition, Academic Press – Elsevier (2007)
- [8] A. Harder, *Torus fibers and the weight filtration*, arXiv:1908.0511
- [9] T. Hausel, E. Letellier, F. Rodriguez-Villegas, *Arithmetic harmonic analysis on character and quiver varieties*, Duke Math. J. **160** (2011), no. 2, 323–400.
- [10] H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. I*, Ann. of Math., **2**, **79** (1), (1964) 109–203.
- [11] Hitchin N. J. *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), 59–126.
- [12] L. Katzarkov, A. Harder, V. Przyjalkowski,  *$P = W$  phenomena*, arXiv:1905.08706
- [13] L. Katzarkov, A. Noll, P. Pandit, C. Simpson, *Harmonic maps to buildings and singular perturbation theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. (2) **336** (2015), 853–903.
- [14] A. Komyo, *On compactifications of character varieties of  $n$ -punctured projective line*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **65** (2015), 1493–1523.
- [15] R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss and F. Witt *Ends of the Moduli space of Higgs bundles*, Duke Math. J. (12) **165** (2016), 2227–2271.
- [16] M. Mauri, E. Mazzon, M. Stevenson, *Essential skeletons of pairs and the Geometric  $P = W$  conjecture*, arXiv:1810.11837
- [17] A. Mellit, *Cell decompositions of character varieties*, arXiv:1905.10685
- [18] G. Mikhalkin, *Enumerative Tropical Algebraic Geometry*, Journ. AMS (2) **18** (2005), 313–377.
- [19] T. Mochizuki, *Asymptotic behaviour of certain families of harmonic bundles on Riemann surfaces* J. Topol. **9**, No. 4, (2016) 1021–1073.
- [20] M. Nagata (1962), *Imbedding of an abstract variety in a complete variety*, Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University, **2** (1): 1–10.
- [21] A. Némethi, Sz. Szabó, *The Geometric  $P=W$  conjecture in the Painlevé cases via plumbing calculus*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (to appear)
- [22] S. Payne *Boundary complexes and weight filtrations*, Michigan Math. J. **62** (2013), 293–322.
- [23] J. Shen, Z. Zhang, *Perverse filtrations, Hilbert schemes, and the  $P = W$  conjecture for parabolic Higgs bundles*, arXiv:1810.05330
- [24] C. Simpson, *Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., (3) **3** (1990), 713–770.
- [25] C. Simpson, *The dual boundary complex of the  $SL_2$  character variety of a punctured sphere*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, Math. (6) **25**, No. 2-3, Part A (2016), 317–361.
- [26] Sz. Szabó, *Perversity equals weight for Painlevé spaces*, arXiv:1802.03798

- [27] Sz. Szabó, *Simpson's geometric  $P=W$  conjecture in the Painlevé VI case via abelianization*, [arXiv:1906.01856](#)
- [28] Z. Zhang, *The  $P = W$  identity for cluster varieties*, [arXiv:1903.07014](#)

BUDAPEST UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMICS, 1111. BUDAPEST, EGRY JÓZSEF  
UTCA 1. H ÉPÜLET, HUNGARY, AND RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, 1053. BUDAPEST,  
REÁLTANODA UTCA 13-15. HUNGARY

*Email address:* [szabosz@math.bme.hu](mailto:szabosz@math.bme.hu), [szabo.szilard@renyi.hu](mailto:szabo.szilard@renyi.hu)