

MAXIMUMS OF GENERALIZED HASSE-WITT INVARIANTS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS TO ANABELIAN GEOMETRY

YU YANG

ABSTRACT. Let $X^\bullet = (X, D_X)$ be a pointed stable curve of topological type (g_X, n_X) over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.

Under certain assumptions, we prove that, if X^\bullet is *component-generic*, then the first generalized Hasse-Witt invariant of *every* prime-to- p cyclic admissible coverings of X^\bullet attains maximum. This result generalizes a result of S. Nakajima concerning the ordinarity of prime-to- p cyclic étale coverings of smooth projective generic curves to the case of (possibly ramified) admissible coverings of (possibly singular) pointed stable curves.

Moreover, we prove that, if X^\bullet is an *arbitrary* pointed stable curve, then there *exists* a prime-to- p cyclic admissible covering of X^\bullet whose first generalized Hasse-Witt invariant attains maximum. This result generalizes a result of M. Raynaud concerning the new-ordinariness of prime-to- p cyclic étale coverings of smooth projective curves to the case of (possibly ramified) admissible coverings of (possibly singular) pointed stable curves.

As applications, we obtain *an anabelian formula for (g_X, n_X)* , and prove that the field structures associated to inertia subgroups of marked points can be reconstructed group-theoretically from open continuous homomorphisms of admissible fundamental groups. Those results generalize A. Tamagawa's results concerning an anabelian formula for topological types and reconstructions of field structures associated to inertia subgroups of marked points of smooth pointed stable curves to the case of *arbitrary* pointed stable curves.

Keywords: pointed stable curve, admissible covering, generalized Hasse-Witt invariant, Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor, admissible fundamental group, anabelian geometry, positive characteristic.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14H30; Secondary 14G32.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Fundamental groups of curves in positive characteristic	2
1.2. Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants for generic curves	3
1.3. Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants for arbitrary curves	4
1.4. An anabelian formula for topological types	5
1.5. Some further applications to anabelian geometry	6
1.6. Structure of the present paper	7
1.7. Acknowledgements	7
2. Preliminaries	8
2.1. Admissible coverings and admissible fundamental groups	8
2.2. Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants	12
2.3. Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants via line bundles	15
2.4. Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisors	16

E-MAIL: yuyang@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

ADDRESS: Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.

3.	Maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariants	19
3.1.	Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants for coverings of dual semi-graphs	19
3.2.	Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of curves and their irreducible components	29
3.3.	An easy case of main results	32
4.	Maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariants for generic curves	34
4.1.	Idea	35
4.2.	A necessary condition	35
4.3.	Degenerations	38
4.4.	Minimal quasi-trees	43
4.5.	The first main theorem	47
4.6.	(m, n_X) -ordinary curves	51
5.	Maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariants for arbitrary curves	54
5.1.	Idea	54
5.2.	A key lemma	56
5.3.	The second main theorem	63
5.4.	A stronger version when $n_X = 3$	64
6.	Applications to anabelian geometry	69
6.1.	An anabelian formula for topological types	69
6.2.	Field structures associated to inertia subgroups of marked points	72
	References	75

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X^\bullet = (X, D_X)$ be a pointed stable curve over an algebraically closed field k , where X denotes the underlying curve, and D_X denotes the (finite) set of marked points. Write g_X for the genus of X and n_X for the cardinality $\#(D_X)$ of D_X . We call (g_X, n_X) the topological type (or type for short) of X^\bullet . By choosing a suitable base point of X^\bullet , we have the admissible fundamental group Π_{X^\bullet} of X^\bullet (2.1.6). The admissible fundamental groups of pointed stable curves are natural generalizations of the tame fundamental groups of smooth pointed stable curves. In particular, Π_{X^\bullet} is isomorphic to the tame fundamental group of X^\bullet if X^\bullet is smooth over k .

Suppose that the characteristic $\text{char}(k)$ of k is 0 (resp. $p > 0$). Then the structure of Π_{X^\bullet} (resp. the maximal prime-to- p quotient $\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{p'}$ of Π_{X^\bullet}) is well-known, and is isomorphic to the profinite completion (resp. prime-to- p completion) of the topological fundamental group of a Riemann surface of type (g_X, n_X) (2.1.7). In particular, Π_{X^\bullet} (resp. $\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{p'}$) is a free profinite group with $2g_X + n_X - 1$ generators if $n_X > 0$. We see that the type (g_X, n_X) cannot be determined group-theoretically from the isomorphism class (as a profinite group) of Π_{X^\bullet} if $\text{char}(k) = 0$ (resp. $\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{p'}$ if $\text{char}(k) = p$).

1.1. Fundamental groups of curves in positive characteristic.

1.1.1. If $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$, the situation is quite different from that in characteristic 0, and the structure of Π_{X^\bullet} is no longer known. In the remainder of the introduction, we assume $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$. In the case of positive characteristic, the admissible fundamental group Π_{X^\bullet} is very mysterious. Some developments of F. Pop-M. Saïdi ([PS]), M. Raynaud ([R2]), A. Tamagawa ([T1], [T2], [T3]), and the author of the present paper ([Y1], [Y2],

[Y3], [Y5], [Y6]) showed evidence for very strong *anabelian* phenomena for curves over *algebraically closed fields of characteristic $p > 0$* . In this situation, the Galois group of the base field is trivial, and the arithmetic fundamental group coincides with the geometric fundamental group, thus there is a total absence of a Galois action of the base field. This kinds of anabelian phenomena go beyond Grothendieck’s anabelian geometry (since no Galois actions), and show that the admissible (or tame) fundamental group of a pointed stable curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p must encode “*moduli*” of the curve. This is the reason why we do not have an explicit description of the admissible (or tame) fundamental group of any pointed stable curve in positive characteristic.

1.1.2. Furthermore, the theory developed in [T2] and [Y2] implies that the isomorphism class of X^\bullet (as a scheme) can possibly be determined by not only the isomorphism class of Π_{X^\bullet} but also the isomorphism class of the maximal pro-solvable quotient of Π_{X^\bullet} . On the other hand, since all the admissible coverings (see Definition 2.3) of X^\bullet can be lifted to characteristic 0 ([V, Théorème 2.2 (c)]), we obtain that Π_{X^\bullet} is topologically finitely generated. This implies that the isomorphism class of Π_{X^\bullet} is determined by the set of finite quotients of Π_{X^\bullet} . ([FJ, Proposition 16.10.6]). Then to understand the anabelian phenomena of curves in positive characteristic, we may ask the following question: *Which finite solvable groups can appear as a quotient of Π_{X^\bullet} ?*

1.1.3. Let $H \subseteq \Pi_{X^\bullet}$ be an arbitrary open normal subgroup and $X_H^\bullet = (X_H, D_{X_H})$ the pointed stable curve of type (g_{X_H}, n_{X_H}) over k corresponding to H . We have an important invariant σ_{X_H} associated to X_H^\bullet (or H) which is called *p -rank* (or *Hasse-Witt invariant*, see 2.2.2). Roughly speaking, σ_{X_H} controls the finite quotients of Π_{X^\bullet} which are extensions of the group Π_{X^\bullet}/H by p -groups.

Since the structures of maximal prime-to- p quotients of admissible fundamental groups have been known, to find all the solvable quotients of Π_{X^\bullet} , we need to compute the p -rank σ_{X_H} when Π_{X^\bullet}/H is *abelian*. If Π_{X^\bullet}/H is a p -group, then σ_{X_H} can be computed by using the Deuring-Shafarevich formula ([C]) and the orders of the inertia groups of marked points of the covering $X_H^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$. If Π_{X^\bullet}/H is not a p -group, the situation of σ_{X_H} is very complicated. Moreover, the Deuring-Shafarevich formula implies that, to compute σ_{X_H} , we only need to assume that Π_{X^\bullet}/H is a prime-to- p group (i.e., the order of Π_{X^\bullet}/H is prime to p).

1.2. Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants for generic curves.

1.2.1. *Nakajima, Zhang, and Ozman-Pries’ results.* Firstly, let us consider the case of generic curves. Suppose that $n_X = 0$, and that X^\bullet is *smooth* over k . If X^\bullet is a curve corresponding to a geometric generic point of the moduli space (i.e., a geometric generic curve), S. Nakajima ([N, Proposition 4]) proved that, if Π_{X^\bullet}/H is a prime-to- p cyclic group, then σ_{X_H} attains the maximum g_{X_H} (i.e., X_H^\bullet is *ordinary*). Moreover, B. Zhang ([Z]) generalized Nakajima’s result to the case where Π_{X^\bullet}/H is an arbitrary abelian group. Recently, E. Ozman and R. Pries ([OP]) generalized Nakajima’s result to the case where Π_{X^\bullet}/H is a cyclic group with a prime order distinct from p , and where X^\bullet is a curve corresponding to a geometric point over a generic point of p -rank stratas of the moduli space.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary positive natural number prime to p . In other words, the results of Nakajima, Zhang, and Ozman-Pries say that the “*first*” *generalized Hasse-Witt*

invariant (see [N] or 2.2.4 of the present paper) of *every* Galois *étale* covering of X^\bullet with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ attains the maximum $g_X - 1$.

1.2.2. The first main result of the present paper is as follows (see Theorem 4.12 for a more precise statement):

Theorem 1.1. *Let X^\bullet be a component-generic pointed stable curve (see 2.1.2 for the definition) over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Then the “first” generalized Hasse-Witt invariant of every prime-to- p cyclic admissible covering of X^\bullet attains maximum under certain assumptions.*

If $n_X = 0$ and X^\bullet is smooth over k , then Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to [N, Proposition 4]. Thus, Theorem 1.1 generalizes Nakajima’s result to the case of (possibly ramified) admissible coverings of (possibly singular) pointed stable curves. Moreover, by applying Theorem 1.1, we generalize [N, Theorem 2] to the case of tame coverings (see Proposition 4.14 (i)).

1.3. **Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants for arbitrary curves.** Next, let us consider the case where X^\bullet is an *arbitrary* pointed stable curve. Let m be a positive natural number prime to p , and let $f^\bullet : Y^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$ be a Galois admissible covering over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and D the ramification divisor associated to f^\bullet . Note that the degree $\deg(D)$ of D is divisible by n , and that $0 \leq \deg(D) \leq (n_X - 1)m$ if $n_X \neq 0$.

1.3.1. *Raynaud and Tamagawa’s results.* If X^\bullet is not geometric generic, the computation of σ_{X_H} is very difficult in general. Suppose that X^\bullet is *smooth* over k , and that $n_X = 0$. Raynaud ([R1]) developed his theory of theta divisors and proved that, if $m \gg 0$ is a natural number prime to p , then there *exists* a Galois *étale* covering f^\bullet of X^\bullet with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ whose “first” generalized Hasse-Witt invariant attains the maximum $g_X - 1$ ([R1, Théorème 4.3.1]). Moreover, as a consequence, Raynaud obtained that Π_{X^\bullet} is not a prime-to- p profinite group. This is the first deep result concerning the global structures of *étale* fundamental groups of curves over algebraically closed fields of characteristic $p > 0$.

Suppose that X^\bullet is *smooth* over k , and that $n_X \geq 0$. The computations of generalized Hasse-invariants of admissible coverings of X^\bullet (i.e., tame coverings of X^\bullet) are much more difficult than the case of $n_X = 0$. Tamagawa observed that Raynaud’s theory of theta divisors can be generalized to the case of tame coverings, and he established a tamely ramified version of the theory of Raynaud’s theta divisors. By applying the theory of theta divisors, Tamagawa proved that, if $n_X \neq 0$ and $m \gg 0$, then there *exists* a Galois admissible covering (i.e., Galois tame covering) f^\bullet of X^\bullet with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\deg(D) = m$, and that the “first” generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of f^\bullet is as large as possible, namely equal to g_X .

1.3.2. In the present paper, we study maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariants for *arbitrary* (possibly singular) pointed stable curves. The second main theorem of the present paper is as follows (see Theorem 5.4 for a more precise statement):

Theorem 1.2. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Let X^\bullet be an arbitrary pointed stable curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that $m \gg 0$. Then there exists a Galois admissible covering f^\bullet of X^\bullet with Galois group*

$\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\deg(D) = (n_X - 1)m$ if $n_X \neq 0$, and that the “first” generalized Hasse-Witt invariant (see 2.2.4 for the definition) attains the maximum (see Definition 3.10 for $\gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max}$)

$$\gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.2.1. If $n_X = 3$, we prove a strong version of Theorem 1.2 (see Theorem 5.7) which is the key tool for reconstructing field structures associated to marked points from Π_{X^\bullet} (see 1.5 below).

If $n_X = 0$ and X^\bullet is smooth over k , then Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to [R1, Théorème 4.3.1]. Thus, Theorem 1.2 generalizes Raynaud’s result to the case of (possibly ramified) admissible coverings of arbitrary (possibly singular) pointed stable curves. On the other hand, Theorem 1.2 can be regarded as an analogue of Tamagawa’s result in the case of arbitrary (possibly singular) pointed stable curves when $\deg(D)$ attains maximum, namely equal to $(n_X - 1)m$.

1.4. An anabelian formula for topological types. As we mentioned above, the generalized Hasse-Witt invariants can help us to analyze the structures of admissible fundamental groups in positive characteristic, and moreover, to understand the anabelian phenomena of curves in positive characteristic.

1.4.1. By applying the result explained in 1.3.1, Tamagawa obtained a group-theoretical formula for the type (g_X, n_X) by using the tame fundamental group Π_{X^\bullet} when X^\bullet is smooth over k (see 6.1.6). In particular, g_X and n_X are group-theoretical invariants associated to Π_{X^\bullet} ([T2, Theorem 0.1]). This result is the main goal of [T2], which plays a key role in the theory of tame anabelian geometry of curves over algebraically closed fields of characteristic $p > 0$ (e.g. [T2], [Y2]).

On the other hand, we mention that Tamagawa’s method for finding a group-theoretical formula for types is difficult to be generalized to the case of arbitrary pointed stable curves (see 6.1.7). If X^\bullet is an arbitrary pointed stable curve over k , we ask the following question:

Does there exist a group-theoretical formula for (g_X, n_X) when X^\bullet is an arbitrary pointed stable curve over k ?

This problem can be completely solved by applying Theorem 1.2.

1.4.2. Let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be an arbitrary algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p , Π an abstract profinite group such that $\Pi \cong \Pi_{X^\bullet}$ as profinite groups, $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\Pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times)$ such that $\chi \neq 1$, and $\Pi_\chi \subseteq \Pi$ the kernel of χ . The profinite group Π_χ admits a natural action of Π via the conjugation action. We put

$$N_\chi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \pi \in H_{\chi, p\Pi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}(\Pi_\chi, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \mid \tau \cdot \pi = \chi(\tau)\pi \text{ for all } \tau \in \Pi \},$$

$$\gamma_{N_\chi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(N_\chi),$$

where $(\tau \cdot \pi)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi(\tau^{-1} \cdot x)$ for all $x \in \Pi_\chi$. Moreover, we put

$$\gamma_\Pi^{\max} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{ \gamma_{N_\chi} \mid \chi \in \text{Hom}(\Pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times) \text{ such that } \chi \neq 1 \}.$$

Since the prime number p is a group-theoretical invariant associated to Π (Lemma 6.1 (ii)), we see that γ_Π^{\max} is also a group-theoretical invariant associated to Π . Moreover,

we have that $\gamma_{\Pi}^{\max} = \gamma_{X^{\bullet}}^{\max}$ (Lemma 6.2). Then we obtain the following formula (see also Theorem 6.3):

Theorem 1.3. *Let X^{\bullet} be an arbitrary pointed stable curve of type (g_X, n_X) over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and Π an abstract profinite group such that $\Pi \cong \Pi_{X^{\bullet}}$ as profinite groups. Then we have (see 6.1.3 for the definitions of group-theoretical invariants b_{Π}^1 and b_{Π}^2 associated to Π)*

$$g_X = b_{\Pi}^1 - \gamma_{\Pi}^{\max} - 1, \quad n_X = 2\gamma_{\Pi}^{\max} - b_{\Pi}^1 - b_{\Pi}^2 + 3.$$

In particular, g_X and n_X are group-theoretical invariants associated to Π .

Remark 1.3.1. If W^{\bullet} is a pointed stable curve of type (g_W, n_W) over an arithmetic field (e.g. a number field, a p -adic field, a finite field), then a group-theoretical formula for (g_W, n_W) can be deduced immediately by computing “weight” (e.g. by applying the weight monodromy conjecture or p -adic Hodge theory).

1.5. Some further applications to anabelian geometry. Next, let us explain some further applications of Theorem 1.2 (and Theorem 5.7) that motivated the theory developed in the present paper.

1.5.1. Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 5.7 are the main ingredients in the proof of the following important anabelian result:

Let X_i^{\bullet} , $i \in \{1, 2\}$, be a pointed stable curve of type (g_{X_i}, n_{X_i}) over an algebraically closed field k_i of characteristic $p > 0$, $\Pi_{X_i^{\bullet}}$ the admissible fundamental group of X_i^{\bullet} , and $I_i \subseteq \Pi_{X_i^{\bullet}}$ an inertia subgroup associated to a marked point of X_i^{\bullet} . Suppose that $(g, n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (g_{X_1}, n_{X_1}) = (g_{X_2}, n_{X_2})$. Let

$$\phi : \Pi_{X_1^{\bullet}} \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{X_2^{\bullet}}$$

be an open continuous homomorphism. Then the following statements hold:

(i) $\phi(I_1) \subseteq \Pi_{X_2^{\bullet}}$ is an inertia subgroup associated to a marked point of X_2^{\bullet} , and there exists an inertia subgroup $I' \subseteq \Pi_{X_1^{\bullet}}$ associated to a marked point of X_1^{\bullet} such that $\phi(I') = I_2$ ([Y5, Theorem 4.11]).

(ii) The field structures associated to inertia subgroups of marked points can be reconstructed group-theoretically from $\Pi_{X_i^{\bullet}}$, and that ϕ induces a field isomorphism between the fields associated to I_1 and $\phi(I_1)$ group-theoretically ([Y5, Theorem 4.13], Theorem 6.4 and Remark 6.4.1 of the present paper).

This result generalizes [T2, Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 5.3] and [Y2, Theorem 5.6 and Proposition 6.1] to the case of arbitrary pointed stable curves. [T2, Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 5.3] and [Y2, Theorem 5.6 and Proposition 6.1] play key roles in the proofs of the weak Isom-version of the Grothendieck conjecture of curves over algebraically closed fields of characteristic $p > 0$ ([T2, Theorem 0.2]) and the weak Hom-version of the Grothendieck conjecture of curves over algebraically closed fields of characteristic $p > 0$ ([Y2, Theorem 1.2]), respectively.

1.5.2. The result mentioned in 1.5.1 is a critical step towards proving the main theorems of [Y5], [Y6]. We explain briefly as follows. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n,\mathbb{Z}}$ be the moduli stack of type (g, n) over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ and $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ the coarse moduli space of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n,\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Moreover, we may define an equivalence relation \sim_{fe} on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ induced by Frobenius actions (roughly speaking, for any $q_1, q_2 \in \overline{M}_{g,n}$, $q_1 \sim_{fe} q_2$ if the curve corresponding to a geometric point over q_1 is a Frobenius twist of the curve corresponding to a geometric point over q_2).

In [Y5], the author introduced a topological space $\overline{\Pi}_{g,n}$ whose points are isomorphism classes (as profinite groups) of admissible fundamental groups of pointed stable curves of type (g, n) over algebraically closed fields of characteristic $p > 0$, which we call *the moduli spaces of admissible fundamental groups of type (g, n)* . Moreover, the author proved that there is a natural continuous surjective homomorphism

$$\pi_{g,n}^{\text{adm}} : \overline{M}_{g,n} / \sim_{fe} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\Pi}_{g,n},$$

and posed the so-called *Homeomorphism Conjecture* which says that $\pi_{g,n}^{\text{adm}}$ is a homeomorphism. The Homeomorphism Conjecture shows a new kind of anabelian phenomenon which *cannot be explained by using Grothendieck's original anabelian philosophy*, and which means that the moduli spaces of pointed stable curves in positive characteristic *can be reconstructed group-theoretically as topological spaces* from admissible fundamental groups of curves (see [Y5, Introduction]).

The main theorems of [Y5], [Y6] say that the Homeomorphism Conjecture holds when $\dim(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \leq 1$ (i.e., $(g, n) = (0, 3), (0, 4), (1, 1)$).

1.6. Structure of the present paper. The present paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we recall some definitions and properties of admissible coverings, admissible fundamental groups, generalized Hasse-Witt invariants, and Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisors.

In Section 3, we study the relation of generalized Hasse-Witt invariants between a pointed stable curve and the smooth pointed stable curves associated to its irreducible components. Moreover, we introduce maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariants and prove our main results in the case of $n_X \leq 1$.

In Section 4, we study maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariants when X^\bullet is a component-generic pointed stable curve and prove the first main result.

In Section 5, we study maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariants when X^\bullet is an arbitrary pointed stable curve and prove the second main result.

In Section 6, by applying the results obtained in previous sections, we prove an anabelian formula for types of arbitrary pointed stable curves, and prove that the field structures associated to inertia subgroups of marked points can be group-theoretically reconstructed from admissible fundamental groups.

1.7. Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referees very much for carefully reading the manuscript and for giving me many comments which substantially helped improving the quality of the paper. This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences (RIMS), an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Admissible coverings and admissible fundamental groups. In this subsection, we recall some definitions and results concerning admissible coverings and admissible fundamental groups.

2.1.1. We recall some notation concerning semi-graphs ([M, Section 1]). Let

$$\mathbf{G} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (v(\mathbf{G}), e(\mathbf{G}), \{\zeta_e^{\mathbf{G}}\}_{e \in e(\mathbf{G})})$$

be a semi-graph. Here, $v(\mathbf{G})$, $e(\mathbf{G})$, and $\{\zeta_e^{\mathbf{G}}\}_{e \in e(\mathbf{G})}$ denote the set of vertices of \mathbf{G} , the set of edges of \mathbf{G} , and the set of coincidence maps of \mathbf{G} , respectively.

Let $e \in e(\mathbf{G})$ be an edge. Then $e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b_e^1, b_e^2\}$ is a set of cardinality 2 for each $e \in e(\mathbf{G})$. The set $e(\mathbf{G})$ consists of closed edges and open edges. If e is a closed edge, then the coincidence map $\zeta_e^{\mathbf{G}}$ is a map from e to the set of vertices to which e abuts. If e is an open edge, then the coincidence map $\zeta_e^{\mathbf{G}}$ is a map from e to the set which consists of the unique vertex to which e abuts and the one element set $\{v(\mathbf{G})\}$ (i.e., either $\zeta_e^{\mathbf{G}}(b_e^1)$ or $\zeta_e^{\mathbf{G}}(b_e^2)$ is not contained in $v(\mathbf{G})$).

We shall write $e^{\text{op}}(\mathbf{G}) \subseteq e(\mathbf{G})$ for the set of open edges of \mathbf{G} and $e^{\text{cl}}(\mathbf{G}) \subseteq e(\mathbf{G})$ for the set of closed edges of \mathbf{G} . Note that we have


$$e(\mathbf{G}) = e^{\text{op}}(\mathbf{G}) \cup e^{\text{cl}}(\mathbf{G}).$$

Moreover, we denote by $e^{\text{lp}}(\mathbf{G}) \subseteq e^{\text{cl}}(\mathbf{G})$ the subset of closed edges such that $\#(\zeta_e^{\mathbf{G}}(e)) = 1$ for each $e \in e^{\text{lp}}(\mathbf{G})$ (i.e., a closed edge which abuts to a unique vertex of \mathbf{G}), where “lp” means “loop”. For each $e \in e(\mathbf{G})$, we denote by $v^{\mathbf{G}}(e) \subseteq v(\mathbf{G})$ the set of vertices of \mathbf{G} to which e abuts. For each $v \in v(\mathbf{G})$, we denote by $e^{\mathbf{G}}(v) \subseteq e(\mathbf{G})$ the set of edges of \mathbf{G} to which v is abutted.

We shall say that \mathbf{G} is a *tree* if the Betti number $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H^1(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}))$ of \mathbf{G} is equal to 0, where \mathbb{Q} denotes the rational number field.

Example 2.1. Let us give an example of semi-graph to explain the above definitions. We use the notation “•” and “◦ with a line segment” to denote a vertex and an open edge, respectively.

Let \mathbf{G} be a semi-graph as follows:



Then we see that $v(\mathbf{G}) = \{v_1, v_2\}$, $e^{\text{cl}}(\mathbf{G}) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, $e^{\text{op}}(\mathbf{G}) = \{e_4\}$, $\zeta_{e_1}^{\mathbf{G}}(e_1) = \{v_1, v_2\}$, $\zeta_{e_2}^{\mathbf{G}}(e_2) = \{v_1, v_2\}$, $\zeta_{e_3}^{\mathbf{G}}(e_3) = \{v_1\}$, and $\zeta_{e_4}^{\mathbf{G}}(e_4) = \{v_2, \{v(\mathbf{G})\}\}$. Moreover, we have $e^{\text{lp}}(\mathbf{G}) = \{e_3\}$, $v^{\mathbf{G}}(e_1) = \{v_1, v_2\}$, $v^{\mathbf{G}}(e_2) = \{v_1, v_2\}$, $v^{\mathbf{G}}(e_3) = \{v_1\}$, $v^{\mathbf{G}}(e_4) = \{v_2\}$, $e^{\mathbf{G}}(v_1) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, and $e^{\mathbf{G}}(v_2) = \{e_1, e_2, e_4\}$.

2.1.2. Let p be a prime number, and let


$$X^\bullet = (X, D_X)$$

be a pointed stable curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p , where X denotes the underlying curve, D_X denotes a finite set of marked points satisfying [K, Definition 1.1 (iv)]. Write g_X for the genus of X and n_X for the cardinality $\#(D_X)$ of D_X . We call the pair (g_X, n_X) the *topological type* (or type for short) of X^\bullet .


Recall that the *dual semi-graph* $\Gamma_{X^\bullet} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}), e(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}), \{\zeta_e^{\Gamma_{X^\bullet}}\}_{e \in e(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})})$ of X^\bullet is a semi-graph associated to X^\bullet defined as follows: (i) $v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ is the set of irreducible components of X ; (ii) $e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ is the set of marked points D_X ; (iii) $e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ is the set of nodes of X ; (iv) $\zeta_e^{\Gamma_{X^\bullet}}(e)$, $e \in e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, consists of the unique irreducible component containing e and the set $\{v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})\}$; (v) $\zeta_e^{\Gamma_{X^\bullet}}(e)$, $e \in e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, consists of the irreducible components containing e .

Moreover, we write $r_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H^1(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}, \mathbb{Q}))$ for the Betti number of the semi-graph Γ_{X^\bullet} .

Example 2.2. We give an example to explain dual semi-graphs of pointed stable curves. Let X^\bullet be a pointed stable curve over k whose irreducible components are X_{v_1} and X_{v_2} , whose node is x_{e_1} , and whose marked point is $x_{e_2} \in X_{v_2}$. We use the notation “•” and “o” to denote a node and a marked point, respectively. Then X^\bullet is as follows:



We write v_1 and v_2 for the vertices of Γ_{X^\bullet} corresponding to X_{v_1} and X_{v_2} , respectively, e_1 for the closed edge corresponding to x_{e_1} , and e_2 for the open edge corresponding to x_{e_2} . Moreover, we use the notation “•” and “o with a line segment” to denote a vertex and an open edge, respectively. Then the dual semi-graph Γ_{X^\bullet} of X^\bullet is as follows:



2.1.3. Let $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ and $e \in e(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$. We write X_v for the irreducible component of X corresponding to v , x_e for the node of X corresponding to e if $e \in e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, and x_e for the marked point of X corresponding to e if $e \in e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$. Note that X^\bullet is allowed to have components with self-intersections in general (i.e., $e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}) \neq \emptyset$). Moreover, write \tilde{X}_v for the *smooth* compactification of $U_{X_v} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_v \setminus X_v^{\text{sing}}$, where $(-)^{\text{sing}}$ denotes the singular locus of $(-)$. We call

$$\tilde{X}_v^\bullet = (\tilde{X}_v, D_{\tilde{X}_v} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\tilde{X}_v \setminus U_{X_v}) \cup (D_X \cap X_v))$$

the *smooth pointed stable curve of type (g_v, n_v)* over k associated to v (or the smooth pointed stable curve associated to v for short). Note that \tilde{X}_v is the normalization of X_v .

2.1.4. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n,\mathbb{Z}}$ be the moduli stack parameterizing pointed stable curves of type (g, n) over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p in k , $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n,\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ the coarse moduli space of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. Then $X^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ determines a morphism $c_X : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}$ and $\tilde{X}_v^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, determines a morphism $c_v : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v}$. Moreover, we have a clutching morphism of moduli stacks ([K, Definition 3.8])

$$c : \prod_{v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}$$

such that $c \circ (\prod_{v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})} c_v) = c_X$. We shall say that X^\bullet is a *component-generic* pointed stable curve over k if the image of

$$\prod_{v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})} c_v : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \prod_{v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v}$$

is a generic point in $\prod_{v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v}$.

2.1.5. We recall the definition of admissible coverings of pointed stable curves. Let $Y^\bullet = (Y, D_Y)$ be a pointed stable curve over k and Γ_{Y^\bullet} the dual semi-graph of Y^\bullet . Let

$$f^\bullet : Y^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$$

be a *surjective, generically étale, finite* morphism of pointed stable curves over k such that $f(y)$ is a smooth (resp. singular) point of X if y is a smooth (resp. singular) point of Y . Write $f : Y \rightarrow X$ for the morphism of underlying curves induced by f^\bullet and $f^{\text{sg}} : \Gamma_{Y^\bullet} \rightarrow \Gamma_{X^\bullet}$ for the map of dual semi-graphs induced by f^\bullet . Let $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ and $w \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(v) \subseteq v(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet})$. Then f^\bullet induces a morphism of smooth pointed stable curves

$$\tilde{f}_{w,v}^\bullet : \tilde{Y}_w^\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{X}_v^\bullet$$

associated to w and v (2.1.3).

Definition 2.3. We shall say that $f^\bullet : Y^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$ is a *Galois admissible covering* over k with Galois group G if the following conditions are satisfied: (i) There exists a finite group $G \subseteq \text{Aut}_k(Y^\bullet)$ such that $Y^\bullet/G = X^\bullet$, and f^\bullet is equal to the quotient morphism $Y^\bullet \rightarrow Y^\bullet/G$. (ii) $\tilde{f}_{w,v}^\bullet$ is a tame covering over k for each $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ and each $w \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(v)$. (iii) For each $y \in Y^{\text{sing}}$, we write $D_y \subseteq G$ for the decomposition group of y and τ a generator of D_y . Then the local morphism between singular points induced by f is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, f(y)} \cong k[[u, v]]/uv & \rightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y, y} \cong k[[s, t]]/st \\ u & \mapsto & s^{\#(D_y)} \\ v & \mapsto & t^{\#(D_y)}, \end{array}$$

and that $\tau(s) = \zeta_{\#(D_y)} s$ and $\tau(t) = \zeta_{\#(D_y)}^{-1} t$, where $\zeta_{\#(D_y)}$ is a primitive $\#(D_y)$ th root of unity.

Moreover, we shall say that f^\bullet is an *admissible covering* if there exists a morphism of pointed stable curves $h^\bullet : W^\bullet \rightarrow Y^\bullet$ over k such that the composite morphism $f^\bullet \circ h^\bullet : W^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$ is a Galois admissible covering over k .

Let Z^\bullet be a disjoint union of finitely many pointed stable curves over k . We shall say that a morphism $f_Z^\bullet : Z^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$ over k is a *multi-admissible covering* if the restriction of f_Z^\bullet to each connected component of Z^\bullet is admissible. Moreover, we shall say that f_Z^\bullet is *étale* if the underlying morphism of curves f_Z induced by f_Z^\bullet is an étale morphism.

2.1.6. We recall some facts concerning admissible fundamental groups. Let \mathcal{M}_{g_X, n_X} be the open substack of the moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}$ parameterizing smooth pointed stable curves. Write $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}^{\log}$ for the log stack obtained by equipping $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}$ with the natural log structure associated to the divisor with normal crossings $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{g_X, n_X} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}$. Then we obtain a morphism $s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}$ determined by $X^\bullet \rightarrow s$. Write s_X^{\log} for the log scheme whose underlying scheme is $\text{Spec } k$, and whose log structure is the pulling back log structure induced by the morphism $s \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}$. We obtain a natural morphism $s_X^{\log} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}^{\log}$ induced by the morphism $s \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}$ and a stable log curve

$$X^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s_X^{\log} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}^{\log}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X+1}^{\log}$$

over s_X^{\log} whose underlying scheme is X .

Let $\tilde{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be a log geometric point over a smooth point of X . Write $x \rightarrow X$ for the geometric point induced by the log geometric point. Then we have a surjective homomorphism of log étale fundamental groups $\pi_1(X^{\log}, \tilde{x}^{\log}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(s_X^{\log}, \tilde{x}^{\log})$. We call

$$\pi_1^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ker(\pi_1(X^{\log}, \tilde{x}^{\log}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(s_X^{\log}, \tilde{x}^{\log}))$$

the *admissible fundamental group* of X^\bullet (i.e., the geometric log étale fundamental group of X^{\log}). It is well known that $\pi_1^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet, x)$ independent of the log structures of X^{\log} , and that there is a bijection between the set of open (resp. open normal) subgroups of $\pi_1^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet, x)$ and the set of admissible (resp. Galois admissible) coverings of X^\bullet over k .

2.1.7. Since we only focus on the isomorphism class of $\pi_1^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet, x)$ in the present paper, for simplicity of notation, we omit the base point and denote by

$$\Pi_{X^\bullet}$$

the admissible fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet, x)$. Note that, by the definition of admissible coverings, the admissible fundamental group of X^\bullet is naturally isomorphic to the tame fundamental group of X^\bullet when X^\bullet is smooth over k . The structure of the maximal prime-to- p quotient of Π_{X^\bullet} is well-known, and is isomorphic to the prime-to- p completion of the following group ([V, Théorème 2.2 (c)])

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_{g_X}, b_1, \dots, b_{g_X}, c_1, \dots, c_{n_X} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{g_X} [a_i, b_i] \prod_{j=1}^{n_X} c_j = 1 \rangle.$$

We denote by $\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ét}}$ and $\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{top}}$ the étale fundamental group of the underlying curve X of X^\bullet and the profinite completion of the topological fundamental group of Γ_{X^\bullet} , respectively. We have the following natural continuous surjective homomorphisms (for suitable choices of base points)

$$\Pi_{X^\bullet} \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ét}} \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{top}}.$$

Moreover, for each $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, we denote by

$$\Pi_{\tilde{X}_v^\bullet}$$

the admissible fundamental group of \tilde{X}_v^\bullet (i.e., the tame fundamental group of the smooth pointed stable curve associated to v). Then we have a natural (outer) injective homomorphism $\Pi_{\tilde{X}_v^\bullet} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}$.

2.2. Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants. In this subsection, we recall some notation concerning generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of cyclic admissible coverings of arbitrary pointed stable curves. On the other hand, in the case of *smooth* pointed stable curves, the generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of cyclic tame coverings have been studied by I. Bouw ([B, Section 2]) and Tamagawa ([T2, Section 3]).

2.2.1. Settings. We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2. Moreover, let Π_{X^\bullet} be the admissible fundamental group of X^\bullet .

2.2.2. We define the *p-rank* (or *Hasse-Witt invariant*) of X^\bullet to be

$$\sigma_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{F}_p)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p),$$

where $\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}$ denotes the abelianization of Π_{X^\bullet} . We shall say that X^\bullet is *ordinary* if $g_X = \sigma_X$. Moreover, we have the following:

$$\sigma_X = \sum_{v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})} \sigma_{\tilde{X}_v} + r_X,$$

where r_X denotes the Betti number of Γ_{X^\bullet} (2.1.2).

2.2.3. Let n be an arbitrary positive natural number prime to p and $\mu_n \subseteq k^\times$ the group of n th roots of unity. Fix a primitive n th root ζ , we may identify μ_n with $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ via the homomorphism $\zeta^i \mapsto i$. Let $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. We denote by $X_\alpha^\bullet = (X_\alpha, D_{X_\alpha}) \rightarrow X^\bullet$ the Galois multi-admissible covering with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to α . Write F_{X_α} for the absolute Frobenius morphism on X_α . Then there exists a decomposition ([S1, Section 9])

$$H^1(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}) = H^1(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})^{\text{st}} \oplus H^1(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})^{\text{ni}},$$

where F_{X_α} is a bijection on $H^1(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})^{\text{st}}$ and is nilpotent on $H^1(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})^{\text{ni}}$. Moreover, we have $H^1(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})^{\text{st}} = H^1(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})^{F_{X_\alpha}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k$, where $H^1(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})^{F_{X_\alpha}}$ denotes the subspace of $H^1(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})$ on which F_{X_α} acts trivially. Then Artin-Schreier theory implies that we may identify

$$H_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_\alpha, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k$$

with the largest subspace of $H^1(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})$ on which F_{X_α} is a bijection.

The finite dimensional k -linear space H_α is a finitely generated $k[\mu_n]$ -module induced by the natural action of μ_n on X_α . Then we have the following canonical decomposition

$$H_\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} H_{\alpha, i},$$

where $\zeta \in \mu_n$ acts on $H_{\alpha, i}$ as the ζ^i -multiplication.

2.2.4. We call

$$\gamma_{\alpha, i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_k(H_{\alpha, i}), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},$$

a *generalized Hasse-Witt invariant* (see [B], [N], [T2] for the case of étale or tame coverings of smooth pointed stable curves) of the cyclic multi-admissible covering $X_\alpha^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$. In particular, we call

$$\gamma_{\alpha, 1}$$

the *first* generalized Hasse-Witt invariant of the cyclic multi-admissible covering $X_\alpha^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$. Note that the above decomposition implies that

$$\dim_k(H_\alpha) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{\alpha,i}.$$

In particular, if X_α is connected, then $\dim_k(H_\alpha) = \sigma_{X_\alpha}$.

2.2.5. We write $\mathbb{Z}[D_X]$ for the group of divisors whose supports are contained in D_X . Note that $\mathbb{Z}[D_X]$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with basis D_X . We define the following

$$c'_n : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[D_X] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{Z}[D_X] \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \quad D \bmod n \mapsto \deg(D) \bmod n.$$

Write $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim$ for the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]$ for the subset of $\mathbb{Z}[D_X]$ consisting of the elements whose coefficients are contained in $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim$. Then we have a natural bijection $\iota_n : (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[D_X]$.

We put

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \iota_n^{-1}(\ker(c'_n)).$$

Note that we have $n \mid \deg(D)$ for all $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0$. Moreover, we put

$$s(D) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\deg(D)}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Since every $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0$ can be regarded as a ramification divisor associated to some cyclic admissible covering, the structure of the maximal prime-to- p quotient of Π_X^\bullet (2.1.7) implies the following:

$$0 \leq s(D) \leq \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n_X \leq 1, \\ n_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

2.2.6. We put

$$\widehat{X} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varprojlim_{H \subseteq \Pi_{X^\bullet} \text{ open}} X_H, \quad D_{\widehat{X}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varprojlim_{H \subseteq \Pi_{X^\bullet} \text{ open}} D_{X_H}, \quad \Gamma_{\widehat{X}^\bullet} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varprojlim_{H \subseteq \Pi_{X^\bullet} \text{ open}} \Gamma_{X_H^\bullet}.$$

We call

$$\widehat{X}^\bullet = (\widehat{X}, D_{\widehat{X}})$$

the universal admissible covering of X^\bullet corresponding to Π_{X^\bullet} , and $\Gamma_{\widehat{X}^\bullet}$ the dual semi-graph of \widehat{X}^\bullet . Note that $\text{Aut}(\widehat{X}^\bullet/X^\bullet) = \Pi_{X^\bullet}$, and that $\Gamma_{\widehat{X}^\bullet}$ admits a natural action of Π_{X^\bullet} .

Let $\widehat{X}^\bullet = (\widehat{X}, D_{\widehat{X}}) \rightarrow X^\bullet$ be a universal admissible covering corresponding to Π_{X^\bullet} . For every $e \in e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, write $\widehat{e} \in e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{\widehat{X}^\bullet})$ for an open edge over e and x_e for the marked point corresponding to e .

We denote by $I_{\widehat{e}} \subseteq \Pi_{X^\bullet}$ the stabilizer of \widehat{e} . The definition of admissible coverings (2.1.5) implies that $I_{\widehat{e}} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)^{p'}$ is isomorphic to the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K_{x_e}^t/K_{x_e})$, where K_{x_e} denotes the quotient field of \mathcal{O}_{X,x_e} , $K_{x_e}^t$ denotes a maximal tamely ramified extension, and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)^{p'}$ denotes the maximal prime-to- p quotient of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$. Suppose that x_e is contained in X_v . Then we have an injection

$$\phi_{\widehat{e}} : I_{\widehat{e}} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}$$

which factors through $I_{\widehat{e}} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{X_v^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}$ induced by the composition of (outer) injective homomorphisms $I_{\widehat{e}} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{\widehat{X}_v^\bullet} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}$, where $\Pi_{\widehat{X}_v^\bullet}$ denotes the admissible fundamental group of

the smooth pointed stable curve \tilde{X}_v^\bullet associated to v (2.1.3). Since the image of $\phi_{\hat{e}}$ depends only on e , we may write I_e for the image $\phi_{\hat{e}}(I_{\hat{e}})$. Moreover, the structure of maximal prime-to- p quotients of admissible fundamental groups of pointed stable curves (2.1.7) implies that the following holds: There exists a generator $[s_e]$ of I_e for each $e \in e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ such that

$$\sum_{e \in e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})} [s_e] = 0$$

in $\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}$. In the remainder of the present paper, we *fix* a set of generators $\{[s_e]\}_{e \in e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})}$ of I_e satisfying the above condition.

Definition 2.4. We maintain the notation introduced above.

(i) We put

$$D_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{e \in e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})} \alpha([s_e])^\sim x_e, \quad \alpha \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\alpha([s_e])^\sim$ denotes the element of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim$ corresponding to $\alpha([s_e])$ via the natural bijection $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Note that we have $D_\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$. On the other hand, for each $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$, we put

$$\text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \mid D_\alpha = D\}.$$

Moreover, we put

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_{\alpha, 1} \quad (2.2.4).$$

(ii) Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary positive natural number, $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1$, and

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} u_j p^j, \quad u \in \{0, \dots, n\},$$

the p -adic expansion with $u_j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$. We identify $\{0, \dots, t-1\}$ with $\mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$ naturally. Then $\{0, \dots, t-1\}$ admits an additional structure induced by the natural additional structure of $\mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$. We put

$$u^{(i)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} u_{i+j} p^j, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}.$$

Let $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$. We put

$$D^{(i)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{x \in D_X} (\text{ord}_x(D))^{(i)} x, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\},$$

which is an effective divisor on X .

Roughly speaking, $D^{(i)}$ is the ramification divisor associated to a suitable Frobenius action of a Galois admissible covering whose ramification divisor is D (see the ‘‘in particular’’ part of Remark 2.4.1 below for the relationship between the generalized Hasse-Witt invariants associated to D and $D^{(i)}$).

Remark 2.4.1. Let $l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. We put

$$D(l) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} lD - \left(\sum_{x \in D_X} n \cdot \left[\frac{l \cdot \text{ord}_x(D)}{n} \right] x \right),$$

where $[(-)]$ denotes the largest integer $\leq (-)$. Then we see that the following holds (see 2.2.4 for the definition of $\gamma_{\alpha,l}$)

$$\gamma_{\alpha,l} = \gamma_{l\alpha,1} = \gamma_{(l\alpha,D(l))}.$$

In particular, if $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1$, we have $\gamma_{(\alpha,D)} = \gamma_{\alpha,1} = \gamma_{\alpha,p^{t-i}} = \gamma_{p^{t-i}\alpha,1} = \gamma_{(p^{t-i}\alpha,D(p^{t-i}))} = \gamma_{(p^{t-i}\alpha,D^{(i)})}$, $i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$.

2.3. Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants via line bundles. The generalized Hasse-Witt invariants can be also described in terms of line bundles and divisors.

2.3.1. Settings. We maintain the settings introduced in 2.2.1. Moreover, in the present subsection, we suppose that X^\bullet is *smooth* over k .

2.3.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary natural number prime to p . We denote by $\text{Pic}(X)$ the Picard group of X . Consider the following complex of abelian groups:

$$\mathbb{Z}[D_X] \xrightarrow{a_n} \text{Pic}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[D_X] \xrightarrow{b_n} \text{Pic}(X),$$

where $a_n(D) = ([\mathcal{O}_X(-D)], nD)$, $b_n([\mathcal{L}], D) = [\mathcal{L}^n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D)]$. We denote by

$$\mathcal{P}_{X^\bullet, n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ker(b_n)/\text{Im}(a_n)$$

the homology group of the complex. Moreover, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)[n] \xrightarrow{a'_n} \mathcal{P}_{X^\bullet, n} \xrightarrow{b'_n} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[D_X] \xrightarrow{c'_n} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},$$

where $\text{Pic}(X)[n]$ denotes the n -torsion subgroup of $\text{Pic}(X)$, and

$$a'_n([\mathcal{L}]) = ([\mathcal{L}], 0) \bmod \text{Im}(a_n), \quad b'_n([\mathcal{L}], D) \bmod \text{Im}(a_n) = D \bmod n,$$

$$c'_n(D \bmod n) = \deg(D) \bmod n.$$

We shall define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{X^\bullet, n}$$

to be the inverse image of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0 \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[D_X]$ under the projection $\ker(b_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[D_X]$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{P}_{X^\bullet, n}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{X^\bullet, n}$ are free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -groups with rank $2g_X + n_X - 1$ if $n_X \neq 0$ and with rank $2g_X$ if $n_X = 0$, and that there is a natural isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{X^\bullet, n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_{X^\bullet, n}$.

On the other hand, let $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ and $f_\alpha^\bullet : X_\alpha^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$ the Galois multi-admissible covering over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to α . Fix a primitive n th root ζ , we may identify μ_n with $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ via the map $\zeta^i \mapsto i$. Then we see

$$f_{\alpha,*} \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,i},$$

where locally $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,i}$ is the eigenspace of the natural action of i with eigenvalue ζ^i . Moreover, we have the following natural isomorphism ([T2, Proposition 3.5]):

$$\text{Hom}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{X^\bullet, n}, \quad \alpha \mapsto ([\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,1}], D_\alpha).$$

Then every element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{X^\bullet, n}$ induces a Galois multi-admissible covering of X^\bullet over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

2.3.3. Assumptions. In the remainder of the present paper, *we may assume that*

$$n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1$$

for some positive natural number $t \in \mathbb{N}$ unless indicated otherwise.

2.3.4. Let $([\mathcal{L}], D) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{X^\bullet, n}$. We fix an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$. Note that D is an effective divisor on X . We have the following composition of morphisms of line bundles

$$\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{p^t} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes p^t} = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \otimes \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}.$$

This composite morphism induces a homomorphism $\phi_{([\mathcal{L}], D)} : H^1(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{L})$. We denote by

$$\gamma_{([\mathcal{L}], D)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_k \left(\bigcap_{r \geq 1} \text{Im}(\phi_{([\mathcal{L}], D)}^r) \right).$$

Write $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}} \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ for the element corresponding to $([\mathcal{L}], D)$ and F_X for the absolute Frobenius morphism on X . Then we see that $\gamma_{\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}, 1}$ (2.2.4) is equal to the dimension over k of the largest subspace of $H^1(X, \mathcal{L})$ on which $F_X^t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_X \circ \cdots \circ F_X$ is a bijection. Moreover, we have

$$\gamma_{\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}, 1} = \dim_k(H^1(X, \mathcal{L})^{F_X^t} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k),$$

where $H^1(X, \mathcal{L})^{F_X^t}$ denotes the subspace of $H^1(X, \mathcal{L})$ on which F_X^t acts trivially. It is easy to see

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{L})^{F_X^t} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k = \bigcap_{r \geq 1} \text{Im}(\phi_{([\mathcal{L}], D)}^r).$$

Then we obtain $\gamma_{([\mathcal{L}], D)} = \gamma_{\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}, 1}$. Moreover, since $D_{\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}} = D$, we have

$$\gamma_{([\mathcal{L}], D)} = \gamma_{(\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}, D)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_{\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}, 1}.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Suppose that X^\bullet is smooth over k . Then we have*

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}, D)} \leq \dim_k(H^1(X, \mathcal{L})) = \begin{cases} g_X, & \text{if } ([\mathcal{L}], D) = ([\mathcal{O}_X], 0), \\ g_X - 1, & \text{if } s(D) = 0, [\mathcal{L}] \neq [\mathcal{O}_X], \\ g_X + s(D) - 1, & \text{if } s(D) \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $s(D)$ is the integer defined in 2.2.5.

Proof. The first inequality follows from the definition of generalized Hasse-Witt invariants. On the other hand, the Riemann-Roch theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k(H^1(X, \mathcal{L})) &= g_X - 1 - \deg(\mathcal{L}) + \dim_k(H^0(X, \mathcal{L})) \\ &= g_X - 1 + \frac{1}{n} \deg(D) + \dim_k(H^0(X, \mathcal{L})) = g_X - 1 + s(D) + \dim_k(H^0(X, \mathcal{L})). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

2.4. Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisors. In this subsection, we recall the theory of theta divisors which was introduced by Raynaud in the case of étale coverings ([R1]), and which was generalized by Tamagawa in the case of tame coverings ([T2]).

2.4.1. Settings. We maintain the settings introduced in 2.3.1.

2.4.2. Let F_k be the absolute Frobenius morphism on $\text{Spec } k$, $F_{X/k}$ the relative Frobenius morphism $X \rightarrow X_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X \times_{k, F_k} k$ over k , and $F_k^t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_k \circ \cdots \circ F_k$. We put $X_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X \times_{k, F_k^t} k$, and define a morphism

$$F_{X/k}^t : X \rightarrow X_t$$

over k to be $F_{X/k}^t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{X_{t-1}/k} \circ \cdots \circ F_{X_1/k} \circ F_{X/k}$.

Let $([\mathcal{L}], D) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{X^\bullet, n}$, and let \mathcal{L}_t be the pulling back of \mathcal{L} by the natural morphism $X_t \rightarrow X$. Note that \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}_t are line bundles of degree $-s(D)$ (2.2.5). We put

$$\mathcal{B}_D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (F_{X/k}^t)_*(\mathcal{O}_X(D))/\mathcal{O}_{X_t}, \quad \mathcal{E}_D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{B}_D \otimes \mathcal{L}_t.$$

Write $\text{rk}(\mathcal{E}_D)$ for the rank of \mathcal{E}_D . Then we obtain

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}_D) = \deg(\det(\mathcal{E}_D)) - (g_X - 1)\text{rk}(\mathcal{E}_D).$$

Moreover, we have $\chi(\mathcal{E}_D) = 0$ ([T2, Lemma 2.3 (ii)]).

2.4.3. Let J_{X_t} be the Jacobian variety of X_t and \mathcal{L}_{X_t} a universal line bundle on $X_t \times J_{X_t}$. Let $\text{pr}_{X_t} : X_t \times J_{X_t} \rightarrow X_t$ and $\text{pr}_{J_{X_t}} : X_t \times J_{X_t} \rightarrow J_{X_t}$ be the natural projections. We denote by \mathcal{F} the coherent \mathcal{O}_{X_t} -module $\text{pr}_{X_t}^*(\mathcal{E}_D) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{X_t}$, and by

$$\chi_{\mathcal{F}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_k(H^0(X_t \times_k k(y), \mathcal{F} \otimes k(y))) - \dim_k(H^1(X_t \times_k k(y), \mathcal{F} \otimes k(y)))$$

for each $y \in J_{X_t}$, where $k(y)$ denotes the residue field of y . Note that since $\text{pr}_{J_{X_t}}$ is flat, $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is independent of $y \in J_{X_t}$. Write $(-\chi_{\mathcal{F}})^+$ for $\max\{0, -\chi_{\mathcal{F}}\}$. We denote by

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D} \subseteq J_{X_t}$$

the closed subscheme of J_{X_t} defined by the $(-\chi_{\mathcal{F}})^+$ th Fitting ideal $\text{Fitt}_{(-\chi_{\mathcal{F}})^+}(R^1(\text{pr}_{J_{X_t}})_*(\mathcal{F}))$. The definition of $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$ is independent of the choice of \mathcal{L}_t . Moreover, we have $\text{codim}(\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}) \leq 1$.

2.4.4. In [R1], Raynaud investigated the following property of the vector bundle \mathcal{E}_D on X .

Condition 2.6. We shall say that \mathcal{E}_D satisfies (\star) if there exists a line bundle \mathcal{L}'_t of degree 0 on X_t such that

$$0 = \min\{\dim_k(H^0(X_t, \mathcal{E}_D \otimes \mathcal{L}'_t)), \dim_k(H^1(X_t, \mathcal{E}_D \otimes \mathcal{L}'_t))\}.$$

Moreover, [T2, Proposition 2.2 (i) (ii)] implies that $[\mathcal{L}'] \notin \Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$ if and only if \mathcal{E}_D satisfies (\star) for \mathcal{L}' , where $[\mathcal{L}']$ denotes the point of J_{X_t} corresponding to \mathcal{L}' . Namely, $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$ is a *divisor* of J_{X_t} when \mathcal{E}_D satisfies (\star) . Then we have the following definition:

Definition 2.7. We shall say that $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D} \subseteq J_{X_t}$ is the *Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor* associated to \mathcal{E}_D if \mathcal{E}_D satisfies (\star) .

Remark 2.7.1. Suppose that \mathcal{E}_D satisfies (\star) (i.e., Condition 2.6). [R1, Proposition 1.8.1] implies that $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$ is algebraically equivalent to $\text{rk}(\mathcal{E}_D)\Theta$, where Θ is the classical theta divisor (i.e., the image of $X_t^{g_X-1}$ in J_{X_t}).

Remark 2.7.2. The definition of \mathcal{E}_D implies that the following natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_t \rightarrow (F_{X/k}^t)_*(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \otimes \mathcal{L}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_D \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $[\mathcal{I}] \in \text{Pic}(X)[n]$. Write \mathcal{I}_t for the pulling back of \mathcal{I} by the natural morphism $X_t \rightarrow X$. We obtain the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^0(X_t, \mathcal{E}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_t) \rightarrow H^1(X_t, \mathcal{L}_t \otimes \mathcal{I}_t) \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathcal{L}_t \otimes \mathcal{I}_t}} H^1(X_t, (F_{X/k}^t)_*(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \otimes \mathcal{L}_t \otimes \mathcal{I}_t) \\ \rightarrow H^1(X_t, \mathcal{E}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_t) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(X_t, \mathcal{L}_t \otimes \mathcal{I}_t) &\cong H^1(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}), \\ H^1(X_t, (F_{X/k}^t)_*(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \otimes \mathcal{L}_t \otimes \mathcal{I}_t) &\cong H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes (F_{X/k}^t)^*(\mathcal{L}_t \otimes \mathcal{I}_t)) \\ &\cong H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I})^{\otimes p^t}) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that the homomorphism $H^1(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I})$ induced by $\phi_{\mathcal{L}_t \otimes \mathcal{I}_t}$ coincides with $\phi_{([\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}], D)}$. Thus, we obtain that if

$$\gamma_{([\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}], D)} = \dim_k(H^1(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}))$$

for some $[\mathcal{I}] \in \text{Pic}(X)[n]$, then the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$ associated to \mathcal{E}_D exists (i.e., $[\mathcal{I}_t] \notin \Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$).

2.4.5. Let N be an arbitrary non-negative integer. We put

$$C(N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } N = 0, \\ 3^{N-1}N!, & \text{if } N \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.8. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Suppose that the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor associated to \mathcal{E}_D exists, and that*

$$n = p^t - 1 > C(g_X) + 1.$$

Then there exists a line bundle \mathcal{I} of degree 0 on X such that $[\mathcal{I}] \neq [\mathcal{O}_X]$, that $[\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}] = [\mathcal{O}_X]$, and that $\gamma_{([\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}], D)} = \dim_k(H^1(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}))$ (i.e., $[\mathcal{I}_t] \notin \Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$).

Proof. By applying similar arguments to the arguments given in the proof of [T2, Corollary 3.10], the proposition follows from Remark 2.7.2. \square

Namely, if the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor associated to \mathcal{E}_D exists, then there exists a line bundle \mathcal{I} of degree 0 with order $n \gg 0$ such that the following hold:

- $[\mathcal{I}_t] \notin \Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$
- the first generalized Hasse-Witt invariant (2.2.4) of the Galois multi-admissible covering with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to $([\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}], D)$ (2.3.2) is as large as possible.

2.4.6. The following fundamental theorem of theta divisors was proved by Raynaud when $s(D) = 0$ ([R1, Théorème 4.1.1]), and by Tamagawa when $s(D) \leq 1$ ([T2, Theorem 2.5]).

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose that $s(D) \in \{0, 1\}$ (2.2.5). Then the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor associated to \mathcal{E}_D exists.*

We may ask whether or not the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$ exists for an arbitrary $s(D)$ when X^\bullet is smooth over k . However, the following example shows that the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor *does not exist* in general.

Example 2.10. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$, $D_X = \{0, 1, \infty, \lambda\}$ such that $\lambda \notin \{0, 1\}$, and

$$D = \sum_{x \in D_X} \frac{p-1}{2} x$$

an effective divisor on X . Then we have $s(D) = 2$. Let $([\mathcal{L}], D)$ be an arbitrary element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{X^\bullet, n}$. We see that \mathcal{E}_D satisfies (\star) if and only if the elliptic curve defined by the equation

$$y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$$

is ordinary. Thus, we cannot expect that $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$ exists in general.

Note that since the existence of $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$ implies that \mathcal{E}_D is a semi-stable bundle, we see that $\deg(D^{(i)}) \geq \deg(D)$ holds for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$ ([T2, Lemma 2.15]), where $D^{(i)}$ is the divisor defined in Definition 2.4 (ii). We have the following open problem posed by Tamagawa ([T2, Question 2.20]).

Problem 2.11. *Suppose that X^\bullet is a smooth component-generic pointed stable curve (2.1.4) of type (g_X, n_X) over k . Let $([\mathcal{L}], D)$ be an arbitrary element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{X^\bullet, n}$. Moreover, suppose that $\deg(D^{(i)}) \geq \deg(D)$ holds for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$. Does the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$ associated to \mathcal{E}_D exist?*

In Section 4, we solve Problem 2.11 under the assumption $s(D) = n_X - 1$ (see Corollary 4.6 below).

3. MAXIMUM GENERALIZED HASSE-WITT INVARIANTS

In this section, we introduce the maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariants for cyclic prime-to- p admissible coverings. The main result of the present section is Theorem 3.9 which says that the first generalized Hasse-Witt invariant of a prime-to- p cyclic admissible covering attains maximum *if and only if* the first generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of the induced admissible coverings of irreducible components attain maximum.

3.1. Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants for coverings of dual semi-graphs. In this subsection, we prove two technical propositions (Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.8) concerning generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of coverings of dual semi-graphs induced by a cyclic prime-to- p admissible coverings, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.9. The readers who would like to start the proofs of Theorem 3.9 quickly may skip this subsection, after glancing at the statements of Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.8.

3.1.1. Settings. We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2. Moreover, in this subsection, let n be an *arbitrary positive natural number prime to p* and $\mu_n \subseteq k^\times$ the group of n th roots of unity. Fix a primitive n th root ζ , we may identify μ_n with $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ via the homomorphism $\zeta^i \mapsto i$. Let

$$f^\bullet : Y^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$$

be a Galois admissible covering over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, f the underlying morphism of f^\bullet , $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{ét}}$ the set of nodes of X over which f is étale, and

$$f^{\text{sg}} : \Gamma_{Y^\bullet} \rightarrow \Gamma_{X^\bullet}$$

the map of dual semi-graphs of Y^\bullet and X^\bullet induced by f^\bullet , where “sg” means “semi-graph”. Note that Y^\bullet is connected.

We put $M_{Y^\bullet} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k$. Then M_{Y^\bullet} is a $k[\mu_n]$ -module and admits the following canonical decomposition

$$M_{Y^\bullet} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M_{Y^\bullet}(j),$$

where $\zeta \in \mu_n$ (or $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$) acts on $M_{Y^\bullet}(j)$ as the ζ^j -multiplication. Note that $\dim_k(M_{Y^\bullet}(j))$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, is a generalized Hasse-Witt invariant of the Galois admissible covering f^\bullet (2.2.4).

3.1.2. The dual semi-graph Γ_{Y^\bullet} admits a natural action of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ induced by the Galois admissible covering f^\bullet . We write $M_{\Gamma_{X^\bullet}}$, $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}$, and $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}^\vee$ for $H^1(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k$, $H^1(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k$, and the dual vector space $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet})^{\text{ab}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k$ of $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}$, respectively. Moreover, we fix a basis $\{\lambda_q\}_q$ for $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}$. Then we have a dual basis $\{\lambda_q^\vee\}_q$ for $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}^\vee$.

Let $l \subseteq \Gamma_{Y^\bullet}$ be a loop and $\alpha_l^\vee \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_q a_q \lambda_q^\vee$ the vector of $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}^\vee$ corresponding to l . We shall call

$$\alpha_l \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_q a_q \lambda_q \in M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}$$

the vector of $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}$ corresponding to l .

Moreover, we shall say that l is a *minimal loop* of Γ_{Y^\bullet} if, for any loop $l' \subseteq l \subseteq \Gamma_{Y^\bullet}$ such that l and l' are homotopic, then $l = l'$.

3.1.3. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $r \cdot l$ and $r \cdot \alpha_l^\vee$ the natural actions of r on l and α_l^\vee , respectively. Note that we have $r \cdot \alpha_l^\vee = \alpha_{r \cdot l}^\vee$.

On the other hand, we denote by $r * \alpha_l$ the action of $r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ on $\alpha_l \in M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}$ induced by the action of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ on $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}^\vee$. Then we have $(-r) * \alpha_l = \alpha_{r \cdot l}$. For convenience, we put

$$r \cdot \alpha_l \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-r) * \alpha_l.$$

Then we have $r \cdot \alpha_l = \alpha_{r \cdot l}$ and $r'' \cdot (r' \cdot \alpha_l) = (r' + r'') \cdot \alpha_l$ for all $r', r'' \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}$ is a $k[\mu_n]$ -module and admits the following canonical decomposition

$$M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(j),$$

where $\zeta \in \mu_n$ (or $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$) acts on $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(j)$ as the ζ^j -multiplication.

3.1.4. Firstly, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *Suppose that $f^\bullet : X^\bullet \rightarrow Y^\bullet$ is a Galois étale covering (i.e., f is étale) with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and that X^\bullet and Y^\bullet are ordinary (2.2.2). Then we have*

$$\dim_k(M_{Y^\bullet}(j)) = \begin{cases} g_X, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ g_X - 1, & \text{if } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \end{cases}$$

(ii) *Suppose that $f^{\text{sg}} : \Gamma_{Y^\bullet} \rightarrow \Gamma_{X^\bullet}$ is a Galois topological covering with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then we have*

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(j)) = \begin{cases} r_X, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ r_X - 1, & \text{if } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \end{cases}$$

where r_X denotes the Betti number of Γ_{X^\bullet} (2.1.2).

Proof. (i) Since the underlying morphism f is étale, there exists a line bundle \mathcal{L} on X whose degree is 0, and whose order is n , such that

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes j}.$$

Then we have $\dim_k(M_{Y^\bullet}(j)) = \dim_k(H^1(X, \mathcal{L})) = g_X - 1$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\dim_k(M_{Y^\bullet}(0)) = \dim_k(H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) = g_X$. This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Since this is a topological question, to verify (ii), by adding certain marked points, we may assume that X^\bullet is an *ordinary* pointed stable curve such that the normalization of every irreducible component is *isomorphic to* \mathbb{P}_k^1 . Then Y^\bullet is also an *ordinary* pointed stable curve such that the normalizations of irreducible components are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^1 . Then (ii) follows immediately from (i). This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

3.1.5. We maintain the notation introduced above. Suppose that $f^\bullet : Y^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$ is a Galois étale covering with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, that the set of irreducible components of X^\bullet is $\{X_1, X_2\}$, and that X_1, X_2 are non-singular. Write v_1 and v_2 for the vertices of Γ_{X^\bullet} corresponding to X_1 and X_2 , respectively. Let $f_i^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{f}_{v_i}^\bullet : Y_i^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{Y}_{v_i}^\bullet \rightarrow X_i^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{X}_{v_i}^\bullet$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, be the Galois multi-admissible covering over k induced by f^\bullet (see 2.1.3 for $\tilde{X}_{v_i}^\bullet$). Moreover, we suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- X_1^\bullet and X_2^\bullet are ordinary pointed stable curves of type $(1, 1)$. This implies that the connected components of Y_i are non-singular curves of genus (resp. p -rank) 1.
- Y_1 is connected and $\#(\pi_0(Y_2)) = t$, where $\pi_0(Y_2)$ denotes the set of connected components of Y_2 . Then the decomposition group of a connected component of Y_2 is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with order $s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n/t$.

Note that the structures of maximal prime-to- p quotients of admissible fundamental groups (2.1.7) imply that the above Galois admissible covering exists.

Write $w_1 \in v(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet})$ for the vertex corresponding to Y_1 and $w_{2,b} \in v(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet})$, $b \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ for the vertex corresponding to a connected component of Y_2 . Then we see $v(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}) = \{w_1, w_{2,1}, \dots, w_{2,t}\}$. Moreover, we write $\{e_{1,b}, \dots, e_{s,b}\}$ for the set of closed edges of Γ_{Y^\bullet} .

connecting w_1 and $w_{2,b}$. We define a minimal loop as following (for instance, see Example 3.3 below):

$$l_{a,b} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w_1 e_{a,b} w_{2,b} e_{a+1,b} w_1, \quad a \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}, \quad b \in \{1, \dots, t\}.$$

Then we have $1 \cdot \alpha_{l_{a,b}} = \alpha_{l_{a,b+1}}$ if $1 \leq b \leq t-1$, and $1 \cdot \alpha_{l_{a,t}} = \alpha_{l_{a+1,1}}$ if $1 \leq a \leq s-2$, and

$$1 \cdot \alpha_{l_{s-1,t}} = - \sum_{a=1}^{s-1} \alpha_{l_{a,1}}.$$

Note that the set of vectors $\{\alpha_{l_{a,b}}\}_{a \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}, b \in \{1, \dots, t\}}$ is to be linearly independent and is a basis for $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}$.

Lemma 3.2. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Then we have the following:*

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(j)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \in \{0, s, 2s, \dots, (t-1)s\}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We put

$$M_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_1, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k, \quad M_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{b=1}^t H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_{w_{2,b}}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k.$$

Then since all the connected components of Y_1 and Y_2 are non-singular curves of genus (resp. p -rank) 1, we obtain

$$\dim_k(M_1(j)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$


$$\dim_k(M_2(j)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j \in \{0, s, 2s, \dots, (t-1)s\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $M_1(j) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(j) \cap M_1$ and $M_2(j) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(j) \cap M_2$. On the other hand, Lemma 3.1 (i) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k(M_{Y^\bullet}(j)) &= \dim_k(M_1(j)) + \dim_k(M_2(j)) + \dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(j)) \\ &= \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then we complete the proof of the lemma. \square

Example 3.3. We maintain the notation introduced above. If $n = 4$ and $b = 2$, we have the following



Moreover, Lemma 3.2 implies immediately the following result of linear algebras.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $n = st \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive natural number prime to p , V_b , $b \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, a k -linear space of dimension $s - 1$, and $\{\alpha_{1,b}, \dots, \alpha_{s-1,b}\}$ a basis for V_b . We put*

$$V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{b=1}^t V_b,$$

and let $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We define an action of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ on V as follows: $1 \cdot \alpha_{a,b} = \alpha_{a,b+1}$ if $1 \leq a \leq s - 1$ and $1 \leq b \leq t - 1$, $1 \cdot \alpha_{a,t} = \alpha_{a+1,1}$ if $1 \leq a \leq s - 2$, and

$$1 \cdot \alpha_{s-1,t} = - \sum_{a=1}^{s-1} \alpha_{a,1}.$$

Then we have the following:

$$\dim_k(V(j)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \in \{0, s, 2s, \dots, (t-1)s\}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $V(j) \subseteq V$ denotes the k -linear subspace on which $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ acts as the ζ^j -multiplication.

3.1.6. Next, we calculate generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of dual semi-graphs when X^\bullet is irreducible.

Proposition 3.5. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Suppose that $v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}) = \{v_X\}$. Then we have*

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) = \begin{cases} \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}) - 1, & \text{if } \#(v(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet})) = n, \\ \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}), & \text{if } \#(v(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet})) \neq n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet})) = n$. Then we have that $f^{\text{sg}} : \Gamma_{Y^\bullet} \rightarrow \Gamma_{X^\bullet}$ is a Galois topological covering with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then Lemma 3.1 (ii) implies

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(i)) = r_X - 1 = \#(e^{\text{cl}}(X^\bullet)) - \#(v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})) + 1 - 1 = \#(e^{\text{cl}}(X^\bullet)) - 1 = \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}) - 1$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(0)) = r_X$. Thus, we obtain the proposition when $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet})) = n$. To verify the proposition, we may assume $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet})) \neq n$.

Since $\#(v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})) = 1$, we have that the linear space $M_{\Gamma_{X^\bullet}}$ is spanned by the vectors corresponding to the closed edges of Γ_{X^\bullet} , and that $\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{X^\bullet}}) = \#(e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})) = \#(e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}))$. Write $E^{\text{lp}} \subseteq e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ for the subset of closed edges such that $(f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(E^{\text{lp}}) \subseteq e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet})$ and $E^{\text{tr}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}) \setminus E^{\text{lp}}$, where ‘‘tr’’ means ‘‘tree’’. Note that for every $e_X \in E^{\text{tr}}$, every closed edge $e_Y \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(e_X)$ abuts exactly to two different vertices of Γ_{Y^\bullet} . Moreover, we write $E^{\text{lp,et}}$ (resp. $E^{\text{tr,et}}$) for the subset of E^{lp} (resp. E^{tr}) such that f is étale over all the nodes corresponding to the elements of $E^{\text{lp,et}}$ (resp. $E^{\text{tr,et}}$).

Let $e_X \in E^{\text{lp}}$, $e_Y \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(e_X)$, and let $D_{e_Y} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ be the decomposition group of e_Y and m_{e_Y} the order of D_{e_Y} . Note that e_Y is a minimal loop of Γ_{Y^\bullet} , and that D_{e_Y} does not depend on the choice of e_Y (i.e., $D_{e'_Y} = D_{e''_Y}$ for all $e'_Y, e''_Y \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(e_X)$). Write n_{e_Y} for n/m_{e_Y} and M_{e_X} for the subspace of $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}$ spanned by $\{\alpha_{e_Y}\}_{e_Y \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(e_X)}$. Then we see that M_{e_X} is a $k[(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/D_{e_Y}]$ -module, that

$$M_{e_X} \subseteq \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq n_{e_Y} - 1} M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(jm_{e_Y}),$$

and that $\dim_k(M_{e_X}) = n_{e_Y}$. Write $M_{e_X}(1) \subseteq M_{e_X}$ for the subspace on which $\zeta \in \mu_n$ acts as the ζ -multiplication. Thus, we obtain $\dim_k(M_{e_X} \cap M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}(1)) = 1$ if and only if $m_{e_Y} = 1$ (i.e., f is étale over the node of X corresponding to e_X).

Suppose that $E^{\text{tr}} = \emptyset$. We have

$$M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}(1) = \bigoplus_{e_X \in E^{\text{lp,et}}} M_{e_X}(1).$$

Then we obtain

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}(1)) = \#(E^{\text{lp,et}}) = \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}})$$

if $E^{\text{tr}} = \emptyset$ and $\#(v(\Gamma_Y \bullet)) \neq n$.

Suppose that $E^{\text{tr}} \neq \emptyset$. Then we have $n \neq 2$. Let $v_Y \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(v_X)$, and let D_{v_Y} be the decomposition group of v_Y which does not depend on the choice of v_Y (i.e., $D_{v'_Y} = D_{v''_Y}$ for all $v'_Y, v''_Y \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(v_X)$), $1 < m_{v_Y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#(D_{v_Y})$, and $n_{v_Y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n/m_{v_Y}$. We put

$$(f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(v_X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v_{Y,0}, \dots, v_{Y,n_{v_Y}-1}\},$$

which admits a natural action of $r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ such that $r \cdot v_{Y,0} = v_{Y,\bar{r}}$, where \bar{r} denotes the image of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/D_{v_Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/n_{v_Y}\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, without loss of generality, we may assume $Y_{v_{Y,i}} \cap Y_{v_{Y,i+1}} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n_{v_Y} - 2\}$, $Y_{v_{Y,n_{v_Y}-1}} \cap Y_{v_{Y,0}} \neq \emptyset$, and $Y_{v_{Y,j'}} \cap Y_{v_{Y,j''}} = \emptyset$ for all $j', j'' \in \{0, \dots, n_{v_Y} - 1\}$.

Let

$$T_{Y,e_X} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{e_{Y,0}, \dots, e_{Y,m_{v_Y}-1}\} \subseteq (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(e_X) \subseteq e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_Y \bullet), \quad e_X \in E^{\text{tr,et}},$$

be the subset of closed edges such that $v^{\Gamma_Y \bullet}(e_{Y,i}) = \{v_{Y,0}, v_{Y,1}\}$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, m_{v_Y} - 1\}$ (see 2.1.1 for $v^{\Gamma_Y \bullet}(e_{Y,i})$). Then

$$l_{e_X,i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v_{Y,0}e_{Y,i}v_{Y,1}e_{Y,i+1}v_{Y,0}, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, m_{v_Y} - 2\},$$

can be regarded as a minimal loop of $\Gamma_Y \bullet$ (for instance, see Example 3.6 (a) below). Moreover, the set of vectors

$$\{j \cdot \alpha_{l_{e_X,i}}\}_{i \in \{0, \dots, m_{v_Y}-2\}, j \in \{0, \dots, n_{v_Y}-1\}, e_X \in E^{\text{tr,et}}} \subseteq M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}$$

is to be linearly independent. We denote by $M_{T_{Y,e_X}} \subseteq M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}$, $e_X \in E^{\text{tr,et}}$, the subspace spanned by

$$\{j \cdot \alpha_{l_{e_X,i}}\}_{i \in \{0, \dots, m_{v_Y}-2\}, j \in \{0, \dots, n_{v_Y}-1\}}.$$

Then we see that $M_{T_{Y,e_X}}$, $e_X \in E^{\text{tr,et}}$, is a $k[\mu_n]$ -module. Moreover, we have

$$1 \cdot (j \cdot \alpha_{l_{e_X,i}}) = (j+1) \cdot \alpha_{l_{e_X,i}}$$

if $0 \leq j \leq n_{v_Y} - 2$ and $0 \leq i \leq m_{v_Y} - 2$,

$$1 \cdot ((n_{v_Y} - 1) \cdot \alpha_{l_{e_X,i}}) = \alpha_{l_{e_X,i+1}}$$

if $0 \leq i \leq m_{v_Y} - 3$, and

$$1 \cdot ((n_{v_Y} - 1) \cdot \alpha_{l_{e_X,m_{v_Y}-2}}) = - \sum_{i=0}^{m_{v_Y}-2} \alpha_{l_{e_X,i}}.$$

Then Corollary 3.4 implies

$$\dim_k(M_{T_{Y,e_X}}(1)) = 1,$$

where $M_{T_{Y,e_X}}(1)$ denotes the subspace on which $\zeta \in \mu_n$ acts as the ζ -multiplication.

Let $m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#(E^{\text{tr,et}})$ and $E^{\text{tr,et}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{e_{X,1}, \dots, e_{X,m}\}$. Let $e_Y^i \in T_{Y,e_{X,i}}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, be an arbitrary element. Note that $v^{\Gamma_Y \bullet}(e_Y^i) = \{v_{Y,0}, v_{Y,1}\}$. Then

$$l_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v_{Y,0} e_Y^i v_{Y,1} e_Y^{i+1} v_{Y,0}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\},$$

can be regarded as a minimal loop of $\Gamma_Y \bullet$ (for instance, see Example 3.6 (b) below). Note that $e_Y^{i+1} \in T_{Y,e_{X,i+1}}$. Let

$$\alpha_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{v_Y}-1} j n_{v_Y} \cdot \alpha_{l_i}.$$

Note that the decomposition group of α_i is D_{v_Y} . Then the set of vectors

$$\{j \cdot \alpha_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}, j \in \{0, \dots, n_{v_Y}-1\}} \subseteq M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}$$

is to be linearly independent. We denote by $M_i \subseteq M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, the subspace spanned by $\{j \cdot \alpha_i\}_{j \in \{0, \dots, n_{v_Y}-1\}}$. Then M_i is a $k[(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/D_{v_Y}]$ -module. Thus, we obtain that

$$M_i \subseteq \bigoplus_{0 \leq j < n_{v_Y}-1} M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}(j m_{v_Y}), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}.$$

Moreover, we see that the set of vectors

$$\{j \cdot \alpha_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}, j \in \{0, \dots, n_{v_Y}-1\}} \cup \{j \cdot \alpha_{l_{e_X,i}}\}_{i \in \{0, \dots, m_{v_Y}-2\}, j \in \{0, \dots, n_{v_Y}-1\}, e_X \in E^{\text{tr,et}}} \subseteq M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}$$

is to be linearly independent.

Let $e_Y \in T_{Y,e_X}$ for some $e_X \in E^{\text{tr,et}}$. Then we see that $j \cdot e_Y$, $j \in \{0, \dots, n_{v_Y}-2\}$, abuts to $v_{Y,j}$ and $v_{Y,j+1}$ (i.e., $v^{\Gamma_Y \bullet}(j \cdot e_Y) = \{v_{Y,j}, v_{Y,j+1}\}$), and that $(n_{v_Y}-1) \cdot e_Y$ abuts to v_{Y,n_Y-1} and $v_{Y,0}$ (i.e., $v^{\Gamma_Y \bullet}((n_{v_Y}-1) \cdot e_Y) = \{v_{Y,n_Y-1}, v_{Y,0}\}$). Thus, we have that

$$l_Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v_{Y,0}(0 \cdot e_Y)v_{Y,1} \cdots v_{Y,n_Y-1}((n_{v_Y}-1) \cdot e_Y)v_{Y,0}$$

can be regarded as a minimal loop of $\Gamma_Y \bullet$ (for instance, see Example 3.6 (c) below). We put

$$\alpha_\pi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{v_Y}-1} j n_{v_Y} \cdot \alpha_{l_Y} \in M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}.$$

Let us prove that the decomposition group of α_π is $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Note that α_π corresponds to the loop

$$\pi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} l_Y(n_{v_Y} \cdot l_Y) \cdots ((m_{v_Y}-1)n_{v_Y} \cdot l_Y).$$

Let e be an arbitrary closed edge which is contained in π . Then there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}/n_{v_Y}\mathbb{Z}$ such that e abuts to $v_{Y,r}$ and $v_{Y,r+1}$. Thus, e can be regarded as an oriented edge induced by the oriented loop π . The starting of e is $v_{Y,r}$ (resp. $v_{Y,n_{v_Y}-1}$), and the ending of e is $v_{Y,r+1}$ if $0 \leq r \leq n_Y-2$ (resp. $v_{Y,1}$ if $r = n_Y-1$). Consider the action of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ on e . We see that $1 \cdot e$ is an oriented edge which is contained in π . Moreover, the starting of $1 \cdot e$ is $v_{Y,r+1}$, and the ending of $1 \cdot e$ is $v_{Y,r+2}$, where $r+1, r+2 \in \mathbb{Z}/n_{v_Y}\mathbb{Z}$. Namely, we have $1 \cdot \pi = \pi$. Thus, the stabilizer of α_π is $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Write $M_\pi \subseteq M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}$ for the subspace spanned by α_π . Then we have that

$$M_\pi \subseteq M_{\Gamma_Y \bullet}(0),$$

and that

$$\{j \cdot \alpha_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}, j \in \{0, \dots, n_{v_Y}-1\}} \cup \{j \cdot \alpha_{l_{e_X,i}}\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, m_{v_Y}-1\}, j \in \{0, \dots, n_{v_Y}-1\}, e_X \in E^{\text{tr,et}}} \cup \{\alpha_\pi\}$$

is to be linearly independent.

Let $l \subseteq \Gamma_{Y^\bullet}$ be an arbitrary minimal loop of Γ_{Y^\bullet} and $V_l \subseteq M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}$ the subspace spanned by $\{j \cdot \alpha_l\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$. Then we have

$$V_l \subseteq \left(\bigoplus_{e_X \in E^{\text{tr}, \text{et}}} M_{T_{Y, e_X}} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{e_X \in E^{\text{lp}, \text{et}}} M_{e_X} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m M_i \right) \oplus M_\pi.$$

Moreover, we see that

$$V_l \subseteq \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq n/m} M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(jm)$$

if all the decomposition groups of the elements of $e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}) \cap l$ are not trivial, where $m > 1$ is a natural number such that $m|n$. Thus, we obtain

$$M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1) = \left(\bigoplus_{e_X \in E^{\text{tr}, \text{et}}} M_{T_{Y, e_X}}(1) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{e_X \in E^{\text{lp}, \text{et}}} M_{e_X}(1) \right).$$


This implies

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) = \#(E^{\text{tr}, \text{et}}) + \#(E^{\text{lp}, \text{et}}) = \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}})$$

if $E^{\text{tr}} \neq \emptyset$ and $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet})) \neq n$. We complete the proof of the proposition. \square


Example 3.6. We maintain the notation introduced in the proof of Proposition 3.5.

(a) If $n = 4$, $m_{v_Y} = 2$ and $\#(E^{\text{tr}, \text{et}}) = 2$, we have the following:




where $v_{Y,1} = 1 \cdot v_{Y,0}$.

(b) For instance, if $n = 4$, $m_{v_Y} = 2$ and $\#(E^{\text{tr}, \text{et}}) = 2$, we have the following:



where $v_{Y,1} = 1 \cdot v_{Y,0}$.

(c) If $n = 4$, $m_{v_Y} = 2$ and $\#(E^{\text{tr}, \text{et}}) = 2$, we have the following:



where $v_{Y,1} = 1 \cdot v_{Y,0}$.

3.1.7. We calculate generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of dual semi-graphs when X^\bullet is not irreducible.

Lemma 3.7. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Suppose that the set of irreducible components of X^\bullet is $\{X_1, X_2\}$, and that X_1, X_2 are non-singular. Write v_1 and v_2 for the vertices of Γ_{X^\bullet} corresponding to X_1 and X_2 , respectively. Let $f_i^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{f}_{v_i}^\bullet : Y_i^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{Y}_{v_i}^\bullet \rightarrow X_i^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{X}_{v_i}^\bullet$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, be the Galois multi-admissible covering over k induced by f^\bullet . Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *Suppose that there exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet})) = n$. Then we have*

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) = \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}) - 1.$$

(ii) *Suppose that $\#(X_1 \cap X_2) = 1$ (i.e., $\#(e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})) = 1$). Then we have*

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) =$$

$$\begin{cases} \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}) - 1, & \text{if there exists } i \in \{1, 2\} \text{ such that } \#(v(\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet})) = n, \\ \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}), & \text{if for each } i \in \{1, 2\}, \#(v(\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet})) \neq n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (i) Suppose that either $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y_1^\bullet})) = n$ and $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y_2^\bullet})) = \#(v(\Gamma_{X_2^\bullet})) = 1$ or $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y_1^\bullet})) = \#(v(\Gamma_{X_1^\bullet})) = 1$ and $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y_2^\bullet})) = n$ holds. Then either f_1^\bullet or f_2^\bullet is a trivial Galois multi-admissible covering with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then we see

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) = r_X - r_{X_1} - r_{X_2} = r_X = \#(X_1 \cap X_2) - 1 = \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}) - 1,$$

where r_{X_i} , $i \in \{1, 2\}$, denotes the Betti number of the dual semi-graph of X_i^\bullet .

Suppose that $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y_1^\bullet})) = n$ and $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y_2^\bullet})) = n$. Then f^{sg} is a Galois topological covering of Γ_{X^\bullet} with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then Lemma 3.1 (ii) implies

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) = r_X - 1 = \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}) - 1.$$

This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Suppose that there exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet})) = n$. Then (ii) follows immediately from (i).

Suppose that $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet})) \neq n$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $w_1 \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(v_1)$ and $w_2 \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(v_2)$ be arbitrary vertices of Γ_{Y^\bullet} . We denote by $D_1 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and $D_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ the decomposition groups of w_1 and w_2 , respectively, which do not depend on the choices of w_1 and w_2 . Since $\#(X_1 \cap X_2) = 1$ (i.e., Γ_{X^\bullet} is a tree), the Galois topological coverings of Γ_{X^\bullet} with cyclic Galois groups do not exist. Namely, either $D_1 = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ or $D_2 = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

holds. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $D_1 = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \supseteq D_2$. We put $m_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#(D_2)$ and $n_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n/m_2$.

Let e_X be the unique closed edge of Γ_{X^\bullet} , $(f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(v_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{w_1\}$, $w_{2,0} \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(v_2)$, and $e_{Y,0} \in (f^{\text{sg}})^{-1}(e_X)$ such that $e_{Y,0}$ abuts to w_1 and $w_{2,0}$ (i.e., $v^{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(e_{Y,0}) = \{w_1, w_{2,0}\}$). Let $D_{e_{Y,0}}$ be the decomposition group of $e_{Y,0}$, $m_{e_{Y,0}} = \#(D_{e_{Y,0}})$ and $n_{e_{Y,0}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n/m_{e_{Y,0}}$. Then we see that

$$M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq n_{e_{Y,0}} - 1} M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(jm_{e_{Y,0}}).$$

Then we obtain that $\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) = 0$ if $\#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}) = 0$.

Suppose that $\#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}) = 1$. Then $f^{\text{sg}} : \Gamma_{Y^\bullet} \rightarrow \Gamma_{X^\bullet}$ is equal to the covering of dual semi-graphs induced by the Galois admissible covering constructed in 3.1.5. Thus, Lemma 3.2 implies $\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) = 1 = \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}})$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 3.8. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Suppose that the set of irreducible components of X^\bullet is $\{X_1, X_2\}$, and that X_1, X_2 are non-singular. Then we have*

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) = \begin{cases} \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}) - 1, & \text{if there exists } i \in \{1, 2\} \text{ such that } \#(v(\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet})) = n, \\ \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}), & \text{if for each } i \in \{1, 2\}, \#(v(\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet})) \neq n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet})) = n$. Then the proposition follows from Lemma 3.7 (i). To verify the proposition, we may assume that $\#(v(\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet})) \neq n$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Moreover, if $\#(X_1 \cap X_2) = 1$, then the proposition follows from Lemma 3.7 (ii). Thus, we may assume that $\#(X_1 \cap X_2) \geq 2$.

First, let us construct two Galois admissible coverings associated to $f^\bullet : Y^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$. Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k , K the quotient field of R , \overline{K} an algebraic closure of K , $e \in e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ an arbitrary closed edge, and x_e the node of X corresponding to e . By deforming X along x_e , we obtain a pointed stable curve \mathcal{X} over R whose special fiber is X^\bullet , and whose generic fiber X_K^\bullet is an irreducible pointed stable curve over K such that $\#(e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X_K^\bullet})) = \#(e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{X_K^\bullet})) = \#(e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})) - 1$, where $\Gamma_{X_K^\bullet}$ denotes the dual semi-graph of X_K^\bullet . Moreover, since the specialization homomorphism of admissible fundamental groups is a surjection, by replacing R by a finite extension of R , f^\bullet can be lifted to a finite morphism $f_K^\bullet : Y_K^\bullet \rightarrow X_K^\bullet$ over K such that

$$f_{\setminus e}^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_K^\bullet \times_K \overline{K} : Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y_K^\bullet \times_K \overline{K} \rightarrow X_{\setminus e}^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_K^\bullet \times_K \overline{K}$$

is a Galois admissible covering over \overline{K} with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $X_{\setminus e}^\bullet$ is irreducible. We write $\Gamma_{Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet}$ for the dual semi-graph of $Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet$ and denote by $M_{\Gamma_{Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H^1(\Gamma_{Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k$. Then $M_{\Gamma_{Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet}}$ is a $k[\mu_n]$ -module and admits the following canonical decomposition

$$M_{\Gamma_{Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M_{\Gamma_{Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet}}(j),$$

where $\zeta \in \mu_n$ acts on $M_{\Gamma_{Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet}}(j)$ as the ζ^j -multiplication.

On the other hand, let $\text{norm}_e : X_e \rightarrow X$ be the normalization morphism of X over the nodes corresponding to the closed edges which are contained in $e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}) \setminus \{e\}$. Then we obtain a pointed stable curve

$$X_e^\bullet = (X_e, D_{X_e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{norm}_e^{-1}(D_X) \cup \{\text{norm}_e^{-1}(x_{e'})\}_{e' \in e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}) \setminus \{e\}})$$

over k . Note that X_e has two non-singular irreducible components X_1 and X_2 , and that $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{x_e\}$ in X_e . Then f^\bullet induces a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$f_e^\bullet : Y_e^\bullet \rightarrow X_e^\bullet$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We write $\Gamma_{Y_e^\bullet}$ for the dual semi-graph of Y_e^\bullet and denote by $M_{\Gamma_{Y_e^\bullet}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H^1(\Gamma_{Y_e^\bullet}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k$. Then $M_{\Gamma_{Y_e^\bullet}}$ is a $k[\mu_n]$ -module and admits the following canonical decomposition

$$M_{\Gamma_{Y_e^\bullet}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M_{\Gamma_{Y_e^\bullet}}(j),$$

where $\zeta \in \mu_n$ acts on $M_{\Gamma_{Y_e^\bullet}}(j)$ as the ζ^j -multiplication.

Write $\mathcal{N}_{X_{\setminus e}}^{\text{et}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{X_e}^{\text{et}}$ for the sets of nodes of $X_{\setminus e}$ and X_e over which $f_{\setminus e}$ and f_e are étale, respectively. Then we see immediately $\#(\mathcal{N}_{X_{\setminus e}}^{\text{et}}) + \#(\mathcal{N}_{X_e}^{\text{et}}) = \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}})$. The constructions of $\Gamma_{Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet}$ and $\Gamma_{Y_e^\bullet}$ imply $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}} = M_{\Gamma_{Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet}} \oplus M_{\Gamma_{Y_e^\bullet}}$ as $k[\mu_n]$ -modules. Then we obtain

$$M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1) = M_{\Gamma_{Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet}}(1) \oplus M_{\Gamma_{Y_e^\bullet}}(1).$$

On the other hand, Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.7 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) &= \dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y_{\setminus e}^\bullet}}(1)) + \dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y_e^\bullet}}(1)) \\ &= \#(\mathcal{N}_{X_{\setminus e}}^{\text{et}}) + \#(\mathcal{N}_{X_e}^{\text{et}}) = \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

3.2. Generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of curves and their irreducible components.

3.2.1. Settings. We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2 and the assumption introduced in 2.3.3 (i.e., $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1$).

3.2.2. The main result of the present section is as follows:

Theorem 3.9. *Let $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0$ (2.2.5) and $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ (Definition 2.4 (i)). Let Π_{X^\bullet} be the admissible fundamental group of X^\bullet and*

$$f^\bullet : Y^\bullet = (Y, D_Y) \rightarrow X^\bullet$$

the Galois multi-admissible covering over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ induced by α . For each $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, f^\bullet induces a Galois multi-admissible covering for the smooth pointed stable curve of type (g_v, n_v) associated to v (2.1.3)

$$\tilde{f}_v^\bullet : \tilde{Y}_v^\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{X}_v^\bullet$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_v \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{\tilde{X}_v^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ be the homomorphism $\Pi_{\tilde{X}_v^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, where $\Pi_{\tilde{X}_v^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}$ is the natural homomorphism induced by the natural

(outer) injection $\Pi_{\tilde{X}_v^\bullet} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}$. Then we have (see Definition 2.4 (i) for $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ and 2.2.5 for $s(D)$)

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(D) = \emptyset, \\ g_X + s(D) - 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(D) \neq \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

if and only if, for each $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$,

$$\gamma_{(\tilde{\alpha}_v, D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v})} = \begin{cases} g_v, & \text{if } \tilde{\alpha}_v = 0, \\ g_v - 1, & \text{if } \tilde{\alpha}_v \neq 0, \text{Supp}(D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}) = \emptyset, \\ g_v + s(D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}) - 1, & \text{if } \tilde{\alpha}_v \neq 0, \text{Supp}(D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}) \neq \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Supp}(-)$ denotes the support of $(-)$.

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on the cardinality $\#(v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}))$ of $v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$. Suppose that $\#(v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})) = 1$ (i.e., X is irreducible). Then we have $D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}|_{U_{X_v}} = D$ and

$$g_v = g_X - \#(X^{\text{sing}}),$$

where U_{X_v} is the open subset of X_v defined in 2.1.3. Moreover, since \tilde{X}_v^\bullet is smooth over k , we write $([\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}], D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\tilde{X}_v^\bullet, n}$ for the pair induced by $\tilde{\alpha}_v$ (2.3.2). Write $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{ra}} \subseteq X^{\text{sing}}$ for the subset of nodes over which f is ramified and $\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}} \subseteq X^{\text{sing}}$ for the subset of nodes over which f is étale. Then we have $s(D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}) = s(D) + \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{ra}})$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\dim_k(H^1(\tilde{X}_v, \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}_v})) = \begin{cases} g_v, & \text{if } \tilde{\alpha}_v = 0, \\ g_v - 1, & \text{if } \tilde{\alpha}_v \neq 0, \text{Supp}(D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}) = \emptyset, \\ g_v + s(D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}) - 1, & \text{if } \tilde{\alpha}_v \neq 0, \text{Supp}(D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}) \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Write Γ_{Y^\bullet} for the dual semi-graph of Y^\bullet . The natural $k[\mu_n]$ -submodule

$$H^1(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k \subseteq H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k$$

admits the following canonical decomposition

$$H^1(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(j),$$

where $\zeta \in \mu_n$ acts on $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(j)$ as the ζ^j -multiplication. By Proposition 3.5, we have

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) = \begin{cases} \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}) - 1, & \text{if } \tilde{\alpha}_v = 0, \\ \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}}), & \text{if } \tilde{\alpha}_v \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\dim_k(H^1(\tilde{X}_v, \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}_v})) + \dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(D) = \emptyset, \\ g_X + s(D) - 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(D) \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Since $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \gamma_{([\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}], D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v})} + \dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1))$ and $\#(X^{\text{sing}}) = \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{ra}}) + \#(\mathcal{N}_X^{\text{et}})$, we have

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(D) = \emptyset, \\ g_X + s(D) - 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(D) \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

if and only if $\gamma_{(\tilde{\alpha}_v, D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v})} = \gamma_{([\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}], D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v})} = \dim_k(H^1(\tilde{X}_v, \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}))$. This completes the proof of the proposition when $\#(v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})) = 1$.

Suppose $m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#(v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})) \geq 2$. Let $v_0 \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ be a vertex such that $\Gamma_{X^\bullet} \setminus \{v_0, e^{\Gamma_{X^\bullet}}(v_0)\}$ (see 2.1.1 for $e^{\Gamma_{X^\bullet}}(v_0)$) is connected (note that v_0 always exists). Write X_1 for the topological closure of $X \setminus X_{v_0}$ in X and X_2 for X_{v_0} . Note that X_1 is connected. We define a pointed stable curve

$$X_i^\bullet = (X_i, D_{X_i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (X_i \cap D_X) \cup (X_1 \cap X_2)), \quad i \in \{1, 2\},$$

of type (g_{X_i}, n_{X_i}) over k . Then f^\bullet induces a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$f_i^\bullet : Y_i^\bullet \rightarrow X_i^\bullet, \quad i \in \{1, 2\},$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, we denote by $\alpha_i \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the composition of the natural homomorphisms $\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, where $\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}$ denotes the admissible fundamental group of X_i^\bullet .

Write $\mathcal{N}_{X_1 \cap X_2}^{\text{ra}} \subseteq X_1 \cap X_2$ for the subset of nodes over which f is ramified and $\mathcal{N}_{X_1 \cap X_2}^{\text{et}} \subseteq X_1 \cap X_2$ for the subset of nodes over which f is étale. Then we have $\#(\mathcal{N}_{X_1 \cap X_2}^{\text{ra}}) + \#(\mathcal{N}_{X_1 \cap X_2}^{\text{et}}) = \#(X_1 \cap X_2)$. Moreover, we have

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_i, D_{\alpha_i})} \leq \begin{cases} g_{X_i}, & \text{if } \alpha_i = 0, \\ g_{X_i} - 1, & \text{if } \alpha_i \neq 0, \text{ Supp}(D_{\alpha_i}) = \emptyset, \\ g_{X_i} + s(D_{\alpha_i}) - 1, & \text{if } \alpha_i \neq 0, \text{ Supp}(D_{\alpha_i}) \neq \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Note that the definition of admissible coverings implies that $s(D_{\alpha_1}) + s(D_{\alpha_2}) = s(D) + \#(\mathcal{N}_{X_1 \cap X_2}^{\text{ra}})$.

On the other hand, the natural $k[\mu_n]$ -modules $H^1(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k$ and $H^1(\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, admit the following canonical decomposition

$$H^1(\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(j),$$

$$H^1(\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M_{\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet}}(j), \quad i \in \{1, 2\},$$

respectively, where $\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet}$ denotes the dual semi-graph of Y_i^\bullet , and $\zeta \in \mu_n$ acts on $M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(j)$ and $M_{\Gamma_{Y_i^\bullet}}(j)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, as the ζ^j -multiplication, respectively. We put

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y_1 \cap Y_2}}(1)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y^\bullet}}(1)) - \dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y_1^\bullet}}(1)) - \dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y_2^\bullet}}(1)).$$

Let us compute $\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y_1 \cap Y_2}}(1))$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that X_1 and X_2 are non-singular. Then Proposition 3.8 implies that the following holds:

$$\dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y_1 \cap Y_2}}(1)) =$$

$$\begin{cases} \#(\mathcal{N}_{X_1 \cap X_2}^{\text{et}}) - 1, & \text{if there exists } i \in \{1, 2\} \text{ such that } \alpha_i = 0, \\ \#(\mathcal{N}_{X_1 \cap X_2}^{\text{et}}), & \text{if for each } i \in \{1, 2\}, \alpha_i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $\alpha \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{(\alpha, D)} &= \gamma_{(\alpha_1, D_{\alpha_1})} + \gamma_{(\alpha_2, D_{\alpha_2})} + \dim_k(M_{\Gamma_{Y_1 \cap Y_2}}(1)) \\ &\leq g_{X_1} + s(D_{\alpha_1}) + g_{X_2} + s(D_{\alpha_2}) + \#(\mathcal{N}_{X_1 \cap X_2}^{\text{et}}) - 2 = g_X + s(D) - 1 \\ &= \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(D) = \emptyset, \\ g_X + s(D) - 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(D) \neq \emptyset. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(D) = \emptyset, \\ g_X + s(D) - 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(D) \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

if and only if

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_i, D_{\alpha_i})} = \begin{cases} g_{X_i}, & \text{if } \alpha_i = 0, \\ g_{X_i} - 1, & \text{if } \alpha_i \neq 0, \text{Supp}(D_{\alpha_i}) = \emptyset, \\ g_{X_i} + s(D_{\alpha_i}) - 1, & \text{if } \alpha_i \neq 0, \text{Supp}(D_{\alpha_i}) \neq \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By induction, the theorem follows from the theorem when $\#(v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})) = m - 1$ and $\#(v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})) = 1$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

3.2.3. We define the maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariants as follows:

Definition 3.10. (i) We put

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \{ \gamma_{(\alpha, D_\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/(p^t - 1)\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } \alpha \neq 0 \} \\ &= \max_{m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } (m, p) = 1} \{ \gamma_{(\alpha, D_\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } \alpha \neq 0 \}, \end{aligned}$$

and call $\gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max}$ the maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariant of prime-to- p cyclic admissible coverings of X^\bullet . Note that Theorem 3.9 implies that

$$\gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} \leq \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) Let m be a natural number prime to p and $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. We shall say that $\gamma_{(\alpha, D_\alpha)}$ attains maximum if

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D_\alpha)} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

We shall say that $\gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max}$ attains maximum if there exist a prime-to- p natural number m' and an element $\alpha' \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/m'\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\gamma_{(\alpha', D_{\alpha'})}$ attains maximum.

3.3. An easy case of main results.

3.3.1. **Settings.** We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2.

3.3.2. By applying Nakajima and Raynaud's results concerning ordinarity of cyclic étale coverings, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.11. (i) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary natural number prime to p , $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$, and $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that X^\bullet is an irreducible component-generic pointed stable curve over k , and that $n_X \leq 1$. Then $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ attains maximum. Namely, the following holds:

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = g_X - 1.$$

(ii) Let X^\bullet be an arbitrary pointed stable curve over k . Suppose that $n_X \leq 1$. Then $\gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max}$ attains maximum. Namely, the following holds:

$$\gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} = g_X - 1.$$

Proof. Since $n_X \leq 1$, the structures of maximal prime-to- p quotients of admissible fundamental groups (2.1.7) imply $D = 0$. Namely, all of the prime-to- p cyclic Galois admissible coverings of X^\bullet are étale over D_X (in particular, are étale if X^\bullet is smooth over k).

(i) This follows immediately from [N, Proposition 4] and Theorem 3.9.

(ii) Let $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, and let \tilde{X}_v^\bullet be the smooth pointed stable curve of type (g_v, n_v) over k associated to v (2.1.3). We denote by

$$\mathcal{V} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}) \mid g_v > 0\}.$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$. Then $n_X \leq 1$ implies that Γ_{X^\bullet} is not a tree. Namely, $\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{top}}$ is not trivial. Let $\alpha' : \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{top,ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ be a surjection and $\alpha : \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ the composite of the homomorphisms $\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{top,ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha'} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then the theorem follows from Lemma 3.1 (ii).

Suppose that $\mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset$. Let $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Then Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.9 imply that there exists an element $\tilde{\alpha}_v \in \text{Rev}_0^{\text{adm}}(\tilde{X}_v^\bullet)$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}_v : \Pi_{\tilde{X}_v^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a surjective, and that

$$\gamma(\tilde{\alpha}_v, 0) = g_v - 1.$$

Write $\tilde{f}_v^\bullet : \tilde{Y}_v^\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{X}_v^\bullet$ for the connected Galois étale covering with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ induced by $\tilde{\alpha}_v$.

Let \mathcal{C} be the set of connected components of $\overline{X \setminus \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} X_v}$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\overline{X \setminus \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} X_v}$ denotes the topological closure of $X \setminus \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} X_v$ in X . We define

$$C^\bullet = (C, D_C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (C \cap \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} X_v) \cup (D_X \cap C))$$

to be a pointed stable curve over k . Note that the normalization of each irreducible component of C is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^1 . We put

$$Y_C^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} C_i^\bullet,$$

where C_i^\bullet is a copy of C^\bullet . Then we obtain a Galois multi-admissible covering $f_C^\bullet : Y_C^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet$ over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, where the restriction morphism $f_C^\bullet|_{C_i^\bullet}$ is an identity, and the Galois action is $j(C_i) = C_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. By gluing $\{\tilde{Y}_v^\bullet\}_{v \in \mathcal{V}}$ and $\{Y_C^\bullet\}_{C \in \mathcal{C}}$ along $\{D_{\tilde{X}_v^\bullet}\}_{v \in \mathcal{V}}$ and $\{D_C\}_{C \in \mathcal{C}}$ in a way that is compatible with the gluing of $\{\tilde{X}_v^\bullet\}_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \cup \{C^\bullet\}_{C \in \mathcal{C}}$ that gives rise to X^\bullet , we obtain a Galois (étale) admissible covering

$$f^\bullet : Y^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Write $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_0^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$ for an element induced by f^\bullet such that the composition of the natural homomorphisms $\Pi_{\tilde{X}_v^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $v \in \mathcal{V}$, is equal to $\tilde{\alpha}_v$. Then (ii) follows from Theorem 3.9. \square

3.3.3. If X^\bullet is an arbitrary *component-generic pointed stable curve* (i.e., possibly singular) over k , we see that Proposition 3.11 (i) *does not hold in general*. For instance, we have the following example:

Example 3.12. Let X^\bullet be a component-generic pointed stable curve of type $(g_X, 0)$ over k with two smooth irreducible components X_1 and X_2 of genus g_1 and g_2 , respectively. Moreover, suppose that $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. We put

$$X_j^\bullet = (X_j, D_{X_j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_1 \cap X_2), \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Let $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1$, and let $D_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_{X_1}]^0$ be an effective divisor on X_1 such that $s(D_1) = 2$, and that $s(D_1^{(i)}) = 1 < s(D_1) = 2$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$ (see Definition 2.4 (ii) for $D_1^{(i)}$). We put

$$D_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (n - \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_1))x_1 + (n - \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_1))x_2 + (n - \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_1))x_3.$$

Then $D_2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_{X_2}]^0$ is an effective divisor on X_2 with degree n (i.e., $s(D_2) = 1$).

Let $\alpha_j \in \text{Rev}_{D_j}^{\text{adm}}(X_j^\bullet)$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, and let $f_j^\bullet : Y_j^\bullet \rightarrow X_j^\bullet$ be the Galois multi-admissible covering induced by α_j . Then by gluing $\{X_j^\bullet\}_{j=1,2}$ and $\{Y_j^\bullet\}_{j=1,2}$, we obtain a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$f^\bullet : Y^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$$

over k . Write $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_0^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$ for the element induced by f^\bullet . Then Theorem 3.9 implies that $\gamma_{(\alpha,0)} = g_X - 1 = g_1 + g_2 + 1$ if and only if $\gamma_{(\alpha_1, D_1)} = g_1 + 1$ and $\gamma_{(\alpha_2, D_2)} = g_2$. On the other hand, since $s(D_1^{(i)}) = 1$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$, we have that $\gamma_{(\alpha_1, D_1)} = g_1$. Then we have

$$\gamma_{(\alpha,0)} \neq g_X - 1.$$

Namely, $\gamma_{(\alpha,0)}$ does not attain maximum.

On the other hand, if X^\bullet is not a component-generic pointed stable curve, then Proposition 3.11 (i) does not hold in general. In fact, if k is an algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p , then Proposition 3.11 (i) does not hold for any pointed stable curves over k (see Proposition 4.14 (ii)).

3.3.4. The main goals of the next two sections are to generalize Proposition 3.11 to the case of (possibly singular) pointed stable curves of an arbitrary type (g_X, n_X) . More precisely, we will generalize Proposition 3.11 as follows:

The first main theorem: a generalization of Proposition 3.11 (i). Let n be a natural number prime to p and $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$. Suppose that D satisfies a certain condition (i.e., a necessary condition that $\gamma_{(\alpha', D)}$ attains maximum for some $\alpha' \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$). We will prove that $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ attains maximum for all $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$ when X^\bullet is a certain component-generic pointed stable curve (Theorem 4.12 (i)). Moreover, we will prove that $\gamma_{(\beta, D)}$ attains maximum for some $\beta \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$ when X^\bullet is an arbitrary component-generic pointed stable curve (Theorem 4.12 (ii)).

The second main theorem: a generalization of Proposition 3.11 (ii). We will prove that $\gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\text{max}}$ attains maximum for arbitrary pointed stable curve of type (g_X, n_X) over k (Theorem 5.4).

4. MAXIMUM GENERALIZED HASSE-WITT INVARIANTS FOR GENERIC CURVES

In the present section, we discuss the maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of cyclic admissible coverings for generic curves. The main result of this section is Theorem 4.12.

4.1. **Idea.** We briefly explain the idea of our proof of Theorem 4.12.

4.1.1. **Settings.** We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2. Moreover, we suppose that X^\bullet is a *component-generic* pointed stable curve over k (2.1.4).

4.1.2. Let n be a natural number prime to p , $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0$ (2.2.5), $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$ (Definition 2.4 (i)), and $f_\alpha^\bullet : X_\alpha^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$ the Galois multi-admissible covering corresponding to α . Note that there exists a natural number $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^t = 1$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Write m' for $(p^t - 1)/n$. To compute $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$, by replacing α and D by the composition of homomorphisms $\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{m'} \mathbb{Z}/(p^t - 1)\mathbb{Z}$ and $m'D$, we may assume that $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1$.

A *necessary condition* (see 4.2) that $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ attains maximum (Definition 3.10 (ii)) is the following (see Definition 2.4 (ii) for $D^{(i)}$):

$$\deg(D^{(i)}) = \deg(D) = (n_X - 1)n, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, t - 1\}.$$

Then we may assume that D satisfies the above condition.

4.1.3. *Irreducible case.* Suppose that X^\bullet is irreducible. Moreover, by Proposition 3.11, we may assume $n_X \geq 2$. First, if $(g_X, n_X) = (0, 3)$, then the first main result follows from a result of I. Bouw (Lemma 4.3). Next, for the case of an arbitrary (g_X, n_X) , since X^\bullet is component-generic, we introduce certain degeneration data (i.e., degenerations of X^\bullet) concerning X^\bullet (see 4.3.2) such that, for instance, the irreducible components of the degeneration data are either type of $(0, 3)$ or $(1, 0)$. Then by applying Lemma 4.3, Proposition 3.11 (i), and Theorem 3.9, we see that the first generalized Hasse-Witt invariants (2.2.4) of Galois multi-admissible coverings of the degenerations of X^\bullet induced by f_α^\bullet attain maximum. Moreover, the specialization homomorphisms of admissible fundamental groups implies that $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ attains maximum. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.12 (i) when X^\bullet is irreducible (Proposition 4.5).

4.1.4. *General case.* We have been seen that $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ does not attain maximum for all $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$ in general if X^\bullet is an arbitrary component-generic pointed stable curve (e.g. Example 3.12). To avoid such situation, we introduce a kind of semi-graph associated to the sets of marked points of pointed stable curves, which we call a *minimal quasi-tree* (4.4). Roughly speaking, a minimal quasi-tree is a minimal tree-like “sub-semi-graph” of the dual semi-graph of a pointed stable curve which contains all open edges. We see that, if the dual semi-graph Γ_{X^\bullet} is a minimal quasi-tree, then the ramifications over nodes of a Galois multi-admissible covering can be completely determined by the ramifications over the marked points D_X . Thus, by applying Theorem 3.9, we can reduce Theorem 4.12 (i) to the case where X^\bullet is irreducible. This completes Theorem 4.12 (i) (Proposition 4.11). Moreover, by gluing certain Galois multi-admissible coverings, Theorem 4.12 (ii) follows from Theorem 3.9, Proposition 3.11 (i), and Theorem 4.12 (i).

4.2. **A necessary condition.**

4.2.1. **Settings.** We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2 and the assumption introduced in 2.3.3 (i.e., $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1$). Moreover, let $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0$, and let

$$\text{ord}_x(D) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} d_{x,j} p^j, \quad x \in D_X$$

be the p -adic expansion. For any $x \in D_X$, we put $d_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_x(D)$ and $d_x^{(i)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{ord}_x(D))^{(i)}$ (Definition 2.4 (ii)).

4.2.2. First, we have the following necessary condition that the first generalized Hasse-Witt invariants attain maximum.

Lemma 4.1. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Suppose that $n_X \geq 2$. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *Suppose that $s(D) = n_X - 1$. Then $\deg(D^{(i)}) \geq \deg(D)$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{x \in D_X} d_{x,j} = (n_X - 1)(p - 1), \quad j \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}.$$

Note that $\deg(D^{(i)}) \geq \deg(D) = (n_X - 1)n$ is equivalent to $\deg(D^{(i)}) = \deg(D) = (n_X - 1)n$.

(ii) *Suppose that there exists an element $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$ such that $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ attains maximum. Then we have $\deg(D^{(i)}) = \deg(D) = (n_X - 1)n$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$.*

(iii) *Suppose that $n > n_X - 1$. Then there exists $D' \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$ such that $s(D') = n_X - 1$, and that*

$$\deg((D')^{(i)}) = \deg(D') = (n_X - 1)n, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}.$$

Proof. (i) The “if” part of (i) is trivial. We only prove the “only if” part of (i). Since $\deg(D^{(i)}) \geq \deg(D)$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$ and $n | \deg(D^{(i)})$, we have

$$\deg(D^{(i)}) = \sum_{x \in D_X} (\text{ord}_x(D))^{(i)} = \sum_{x \in D_X} d_x^{(i)} = (n_X - 1)n.$$

Moreover, for each $i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$, we have

$$d_x^{(i+1)} = d_{x,i} p^{t-1} + \frac{d_x^{(i)} - d_{x,i}}{p} = \frac{1}{p} d_x^{(i)} + \frac{p^t - 1}{p} d_{x,i} = \frac{1}{p} d_x^{(i)} + \frac{n}{p} d_{x,i}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n_X - 1)n &= \sum_{x \in D_X} d_x^{(i+1)} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x \in D_X} d_x^{(i)} + \frac{n}{p} \sum_{x \in D_X} d_{x,i} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} (n_X - 1)n + \frac{n}{p} \sum_{x \in D_X} d_{x,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{x \in D_X} d_{x,i} = (n_X - 1)(p - 1), \quad i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}.$$

(ii) This follows from Definition 3.10 (ii) and Remark 2.4.1.

(iii) Note that there exists $D'' \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$ such that $s(D'') = (n_X - 1)n$ if $n > n_X - 1$. Then (iii) follows from (i). We complete the proof of the lemma. \square

4.2.3. The following lemma will be used in the constructions of Galois admissible coverings of degenerations of X^\bullet .

Lemma 4.2. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Suppose that $n_X \geq 3$, and that $\deg(D^{(i)}) = \deg(D) = (n_X - 1)n$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$. Moreover, we put $D_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_1, \dots, x_{n_X}\}$ and*

$$a_{l,l+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\sum_{r=l+1}^{n_X} d_{x_r} \right], \quad b_{l,l+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\sum_{r=1}^l d_{x_r} \right], \quad l \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 2\},$$

where $[-]$ denotes the integer which is equal to the image of $(-)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ when we identify $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ with $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ naturally. Then, for each $i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{l,l+1}^{(i)} + b_{l,l+1}^{(i)} &= n, \quad l \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 2\}, \\ d_{x_1}^{(i)} + d_{x_2}^{(i)} + a_{2,3}^{(i)} &= 2n, \\ b_{n_X-2, n_X-1}^{(i)} + d_{x_{n_X-1}}^{(i)} + d_{x_{n_X}}^{(i)} &= 2n, \\ b_{l,l+1}^{(i)} + d_{x_{l+1}}^{(i)} + a_{l+1, l+2}^{(i)} &= 2n, \quad l \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, let us treat the first equality. Let $l \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 2\}$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$. Since

$$\sum_{r=l+1}^{n_X} d_{x_r} + \sum_{r=1}^l d_{x_r} = \deg(D) = (n_X - 1)n,$$

we see $n | (a_{l,l+1} + b_{l,l+1})$. Note that if $a_{l,l+1} + b_{l,l+1} = 0$, then $\deg(D) < (n_X - 1)n$. Thus, $a_{l,l+1} + b_{l,l+1} \neq 0$. Moreover, since $a_{l,l+1} + b_{l,l+1} \leq n$, we obtain that $a_{l,l+1} + b_{l,l+1} = n$, and that $a_{l,l+1}^{(i)} + b_{l,l+1}^{(i)}$ is divided by n . On the other hand, since $0 < a_{l,l+1}^{(i)}, b_{l,l+1}^{(i)} < n$, we have $a_{l,l+1}^{(i)} + b_{l,l+1}^{(i)} = n$. This completes the proof of the first equality.

Let $i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$. We denote by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{(i)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d_{x_1}^{(i)} + d_{x_2}^{(i)} + a_{2,3}^{(i)}, \\ S_l^{(i)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{l,l+1}^{(i)} + d_{x_{l+1}}^{(i)} + a_{l+1, l+2}^{(i)}, \quad l \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 3\}, \\ S_{n_X-2}^{(i)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{n_X-2, n_X-1}^{(i)} + d_{x_{n_X-1}}^{(i)} + d_{x_{n_X}}^{(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $S_l^{(i)} \leq 2n$, $l \in \{1, \dots, n_X - 2\}$. Moreover, if $i = 0$, the definitions of $a_{l,l+1}$ and $b_{l,l+1}$ imply

$$S_l^{(0)} = 2n, \quad l \in \{1, \dots, n_X - 2\}.$$

Then we have that $S_l^{(i)}$ is divided by n for all $i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$. Since $s(D^{(i)}) = n_X - 1$, the first equality implies that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n_X-2} S_l^{(i)} = n(n_X - 3) + (n_X - 1)n = 2n(n_X - 2).$$

On the other hand, since $S_l^{(i)} \leq 2n$, we have

$$S_l^{(i)} = 2n, \quad l \in \{1, \dots, n_X - 2\}, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

4.2.4. The following lemma follows immediately from [B, Corollary 6.8].

Lemma 4.3. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Suppose that $X^\bullet = (X, D_X)$ is a smooth component-generic pointed stable curve of type $(g_X, n_X) = (0, 3)$ over k . Moreover, we suppose that $\deg(D^{(i)}) = \deg(D) = (n_X - 1)n = 2n$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$. Then the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$ associated to \mathcal{E}_D exists (see Definition 2.7). Moreover, we have (see 2.3.4 for $\gamma_{([\mathcal{L}], D)}$)*

$$\gamma_{([\mathcal{L}], D)} = \dim_k(H^1(X, \mathcal{L})), ([\mathcal{L}], D) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{X^\bullet, n}.$$

Remark 4.3.1. Note that, if $n_X = 3$, then we have $s(D) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

4.3. **Degenerations.** In this subsection, we introduce certain degenerations of X^\bullet and prove the first main result in the case of *irreducible* component-generic pointed stable curves (Proposition 4.5).

4.3.1. **Settings.** We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2 and the assumption introduced in 2.3.3 (i.e., $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1$). Moreover, we assume that $X^\bullet = (X, D_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_1, \dots, x_{n_X}\})$ is an *irreducible* component-generic pointed stable curve over k .

4.3.2. *Degeneration data.* We introduce some degeneration data for X^\bullet . Let R be a discrete valuation ring with algebraically closed residue field k_R , K_R the quotient field of R , and \overline{K}_R an algebraic closure of K_R . Suppose that $k \subseteq K_R$. Let $\mathcal{X}^\bullet = (\mathcal{X}, D_{\mathcal{X}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{e_1, \dots, e_{n_X}\})$ be a pointed stable curve of type (g_X, n_X) over R . We put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_\eta^\bullet &= (\mathcal{X}_\eta, D_{\mathcal{X}_\eta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{e_{\eta,1}, \dots, e_{\eta, n_X}\}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{X}^\bullet \times_R K_R, \\ \mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}}^\bullet &= (\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}}, D_{\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{e_{\overline{\eta},1}, \dots, e_{\overline{\eta}, n_X}\}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{X}^\bullet \times_R \overline{K}_R, \\ \mathcal{X}_s^\bullet &= (\mathcal{X}_s, D_{\mathcal{X}_s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{e_{s,1}, \dots, e_{s, n_X}\}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{X}^\bullet \times_R k_R. \end{aligned}$$

We shall say that X^\bullet admits a (DEG) if $(g_X, n_X) \neq (1, 1)$ and there exists \mathcal{X}^\bullet such that the following conditions hold, where “(DEG)” means “degeneration”:

(i) We have that $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}}^\bullet$ is \overline{K}_R -isomorphic to $X^\bullet \times_k \overline{K}_R$, and that \mathcal{X}_s^\bullet is a *component-generic* pointed stable curve over k_R . Then without loss of generality, we may identify $e_{\overline{\eta}, r}$, $r \in \{1, \dots, n_X\}$, with $x_r \times_k \overline{K}_R$ via this isomorphism.

(iii) Let \mathcal{T} be a set with cardinality $\#\mathcal{T} = \#(X^{\text{sing}})$ which consists of irreducible singular projective semi-stable curves of genus 1 (i.e., the normalizations are $\mathbb{P}_{k_R}^1$). Let \mathcal{P} be a set which consists of smooth semi-stable curves of genus 0 (i.e., a set of $\mathbb{P}_{k_R}^1$). Let C_1 be either an empty set or a smooth semi-stable curve of genus $g_X - \#(X^{\text{sing}})$. We have the set of irreducible components of \mathcal{X}_s is

$$\{T\}_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \cup \{C_1\} \cup \{P\}_{P \in \mathcal{P}}.$$

Moreover, one of the following conditions is satisfied (see Example 4.4 below for examples of (a) (b) (c)):

(a) Suppose that $n_X \leq 1$ and $\#(X^{\text{sing}}) \geq 1$. Then the following conditions hold:

- $C_1 = \emptyset$ when $n_X = 0$ and $\#(X^{\text{sing}}) = 2$; otherwise, $C_1 \neq \emptyset$.
- When $C_1 = \emptyset$, we have $\mathcal{T} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{T_1, T_2\}$ such that $\#(T_1 \cap T_2) = 1$.
- When $C_1 \neq \emptyset$, we have that $T' \cap T'' \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $T' = T''$ for all $T', T'' \in \mathcal{T}$, that $\#(T \cap C_1) = 1$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$, and that $D_{\mathcal{X}_s} \subseteq C_1$.


- $\mathcal{P} = \emptyset$.
- (b) Suppose that $n_X = 2$. Then the following conditions hold:
 - $T' \cap T'' \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $T' = T''$ for all $T', T'' \in \mathcal{T}$.
 - $C_1 = \emptyset$ when $g_X - \#(X^{\text{sing}}) = 0$; otherwise, $C_1 \neq \emptyset$ when $g_X - \#(X^{\text{sing}}) \geq 1$.
 - $\mathcal{P} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{P\}$ such that $D_{\mathcal{X}_s} \subseteq P$.
 - When $C_1 = \emptyset$, we have $\#(P \cap T) = 1$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$.
 - When $C_1 \neq \emptyset$, we have that $\#(C_1 \cap T) = 1$, that $\#(C_1 \cap P) = 1$, and that $P \cap T = \emptyset$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$.
- (c) Suppose that $n_X \geq 3$. Then the following conditions hold:
 - The first and the second conditions of (b) hold.
 - $\mathcal{P} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{P_v\}_{v \in \{2, \dots, n_X-1\}}$ such that $D_{\mathcal{X}_s} \subseteq \bigcup_{v \in \{2, \dots, n_X-1\}} P_v$.
 - When $C_1 = \emptyset$, we have $\#(P_2 \cap T) = 1$ and $P_v \cap T = \emptyset$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and all $v \neq 2$.
 - When $C_1 \neq \emptyset$, we have $\#(C_1 \cap T) = 1$, $\#(C_1 \cap P_2) = 1$, $C_1 \cap P_v = \emptyset$, and $P_v \cap T = \emptyset$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and all $v \neq 2$.
 - If $n_X \geq 4$, for each $v \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 2\}$, we have $\#(P_v \cap P_{v+1}) = 1$ and $P_v \cap P_{v'} = \emptyset$ when $v' \notin \{v-1, v, v+1\}$.
 - If $n_X = 3$, we have $D_{\mathcal{X}_s} \cap P_2 = \{e_{s,1}, e_{s,2}, e_{s,3}\}$.
 - If $n_X = 4$, we have $D_{\mathcal{X}_s} \cap P_2 = \{e_{s,1}, e_{s,2}\}$ and $D_{\mathcal{X}_s} \cap P_3 = \{e_{s,3}, e_{s,4}\}$.
 - If $n_X \geq 5$, we have $D_{\mathcal{X}_s} \cap P_2 = \{e_{s,1}, e_{s,2}\}$, $D_{\mathcal{X}_s} \cap P_{n_X-1} = \{e_{s,n_X-1}, e_{s,n_X}\}$, and $D_{\mathcal{X}_s} \cap P_v = \{e_{s,v}\}$, $v \in \{3, \dots, n_X - 2\}$.

Note that since generic curves admit all degeneration types, we have that X^\bullet admits a (DEG) when X^\bullet is a component-generic pointed stable curve of type $(g_X, n_X) \neq (1, 1)$.


4.3.3. Next, we give some examples to explain the degeneration data introduced above. For simplicity, we assume that $\#(X^{\text{sing}}) = 2$, $C_1 \neq \emptyset$, and $n_X \neq 0$.

Example 4.4. We use the notation “•” and “◦ with a line segment” to denote a vertex and an open edge, respectively. Moreover, we use $v_{(-)}$ to denote the vertex corresponding to the irreducible component $(-)$.


(a) If $n_X = 1$, then the dual semi-graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet}$ of \mathcal{X}_s^\bullet is as follows (see 4.3.2 (iii)-(a)):



(b) If $n_X = 2$, then the dual semi-graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet}$ of \mathcal{X}_s^\bullet is as follows (see 4.3.2 (iii)-(b)):



(c) If $n_X = 5$, then the dual semi-graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet}$ of \mathcal{X}_s^\bullet is as follows (see 4.3.2 (iii)-(c)):



4.3.4. The main result of the present subsection is as follows:

Proposition 4.5. *Let $X^\bullet = (X, D_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_1, \dots, x_{n_X}\})$ be an irreducible component-generic pointed stable curve over k , $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \sim [D_X]^0$, and $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that $\deg(D) = (n_X - 1)n$ (i.e., $s(D) = n_X - 1$) if $n_X \neq 0$, and that $\deg(D^{(i)}) = \deg(D) = (n_X - 1)n$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$. Then $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ attains maximum. Namely, the following holds:*

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f^\bullet : Y^\bullet = (Y, D_Y) \rightarrow X^\bullet$ be the Galois multi-admissible covering over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ induced by α . Firstly, we note that, to verify the proposition, we may assume that Y^\bullet is connected.

Suppose that X^\bullet is smooth over k , and that $n_X \leq 1$. Then the proposition follows immediately from Proposition 3.11 (i). Thus, to verify the proposition, it is sufficient to assume that one of the following conditions holds: (1) $\#(X^{\text{sing}}) \geq 1$ and $n_X \leq 1$; (2) $n_X \geq 2$.

Suppose that X^\bullet is a singular curve of type $(1, 1)$ (i.e., $\#(X^{\text{sing}}) = 1$). Since f^\bullet is étale, the proposition follows immediately from Lemma 3.1 (i). Thus, to verify the proposition, we may assume $(g_X, n_X) \neq (1, 1)$. Now, we can use the degeneration data introduced in 4.3.2.

Since X^\bullet is a component-generic pointed stable curve, X^\bullet admits a (DEG). We maintain the notation introduced in 4.3.2. Furthermore, we write $Q_{\bar{\eta}}$ (resp. Q_s) for the effective divisor on $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$ (resp. \mathcal{X}_s) induced by D and $\alpha_{\bar{\eta}} \in \text{Rev}_{Q_{\bar{\eta}}}^{\text{adm}}(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}^\bullet)$ for the element induced by α . Then we have $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \gamma_{(\alpha_{\bar{\eta}}, Q_{\bar{\eta}})}$. Write $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}^\bullet}$ and $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet}$ for the admissible fundamental groups of $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}^\bullet$ and \mathcal{X}_s^\bullet , respectively. Then we have a specialization surjective homomorphism

$$sp_R : \Pi_{\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}^\bullet} \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet}.$$

We suppose that X^\bullet satisfies (DEG)-(iii)-(c) (4.3.2). Moreover, we suppose that $C_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $n_X \geq 5$ (see Example 4.4 (c)).

Step 1: We define certain smooth pointed stable curves associated to irreducible components of \mathcal{X}_s^\bullet .

We write $y_{v,v+1}, z_{v,v+1}, v \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 2\}$, for the inverse image of $P_v \cap P_{v+1}$ of the natural closed immersion $P_v \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_s$ and the inverse image of $P_v \cap P_{v+1}$ of the natural closed immersion $P_{v+1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_s$, respectively. We define

$$P_2^\bullet = (P_2, D_{P_2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{e_{s,1}, e_{s,2}, y_{2,3}\} \cup (C_1 \cap P_2)),$$

$$P_{n_X-1}^\bullet = (P_{n_X-1}, D_{P_{n_X-1}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{z_{n_X-2, n_X-1}, e_{s, n_X-1}, e_{s, n_X}\}),$$

$$P_v^\bullet = (P_v, D_{P_v} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{z_{v-1, v}, e_{s, v}, y_{v, v+1}\}), \quad v \in \{3, \dots, n_X - 2\},$$

to be smooth pointed stable curves of types $(0, 4)$, $(0, 3)$, and $(0, 3)$ over k_R , respectively. Moreover, we define

$$C_1^\bullet = (C_1, D_{C_1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (C_1 \cap P_2) \cup ((\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} T) \cap C_1)),$$

$$T^\bullet = (T, D_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T \cap C_1), \quad T \in \mathcal{T},$$

to be a smooth pointed stable curve of type $(g_X - \#(X^{\text{sing}}), 1 + \#(X^{\text{sing}}))$ and a singular pointed stable curve of type $(1, 1)$ over k_R , respectively. Note that since C_1 is generic, we have $\sigma_{C_1} = g_X - \#(X^{\text{sing}})$ (i.e., C_1^\bullet is ordinary (2.2.2)).

Step 2: We construct a Galois admissible covering of \mathcal{X}_s^\bullet by using specialization isomorphisms of maximal prime-to- p quotients of admissible fundamental groups.

Let $f_{\overline{\eta}}^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f^\bullet \times_k \overline{K}_R : \mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}^\bullet = (\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}, D_{\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y^\bullet \times_k \overline{K}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}}^\bullet$ be the Galois admissible covering over \overline{K}_R with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ induced by f^\bullet , and $\Pi_{\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}^\bullet} \subseteq \Pi_{\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}}^\bullet} \cong \Pi_{X^\bullet}$ the admissible fundamental group of $\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}^\bullet$. By the specialization theorem of maximal prime-to- p quotients of admissible fundamental groups ([V, Théorème 2.2 (c)]), we have

$$sp_R^{p'} : \Pi_{\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}}^\bullet}^{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet}^{p'}.$$

Then we obtain a normal open subgroup $\Pi_{\mathcal{Y}_s^\bullet}^{p'} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} sp_R^{p'}(\Pi_{\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}^\bullet}^{p'}) \subseteq \Pi_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet}^{p'}$. Write $\Pi_{\mathcal{Y}_s^\bullet} \subseteq \Pi_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet}$ for the inverse image of $\Pi_{\mathcal{Y}_s^\bullet}^{p'}$ of the natural surjection $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet} \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet}^{p'}$. Then $\Pi_{\mathcal{Y}_s^\bullet}$ determines a Galois admissible covering

$$f_s^\bullet : \mathcal{Y}_s^\bullet = (\mathcal{Y}_s, D_{\mathcal{Y}_s}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_s^\bullet$$

over k_R with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\alpha_s \in \text{Rev}_{Q_s}^{\text{adm}}(\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet)$ the element induced

by the composition homomorphism $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet}^{p', \text{ab}} \xrightarrow{(sp_R^{p', \text{ab}})^{-1}} \Pi_{\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}}^\bullet}^{p', \text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\overline{\eta}}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Step 3: We compute the generalized Hasse-Witt invariant $\gamma_{(\alpha_s, D_{\alpha_s})}$ by applying Theorem 3.9, Lemma 4.2, and Lemma 4.3.

The structure of $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}_s^\bullet}^{p'}$ (2.1.7) implies that f_s is étale over $(\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} T) \cap C_1$. Then we obtain that f_s is étale over $C_1 \cap P_2$. Thus, f_s is étale over D_{C_1} . Let $Y_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_s^{-1}(P_v)$,

$v \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 1\}$. We put

$$Y_v^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (Y_v, D_{Y_v} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_s^{-1}(D_{P_v})), \quad v \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 1\}.$$

Then f_s^\bullet induces a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$f_v^\bullet : Y_v^\bullet \rightarrow P_v^\bullet, \quad v \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 1\},$$

over k_R with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We maintain the notation introduced in Lemma 4.2. Then we see that the ramification divisor on P_v , $v \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 1\}$, induced by f_v^\bullet and α_s is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d_{x_1}e_{s,1} + d_{x_2}e_{s,2} + a_{2,3}y_{2,3}, \\ Q_{n_X-1} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{n_X-2, n_X-1}z_{n_X-2, n_X-1} + d_{x_{n_X-1}}e_{s, n_X-1} + d_{x_{n_X}}e_{s, n_X}, \\ Q_v &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{v-1, v}z_{v-1, v} + d_{x_v}e_{s, v} + a_{v, v+1}y_{v, v+1}, \quad v \in \{3, \dots, n_X - 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since f_s is étale over $C_1 \cap P_2$, we see that f_v^\bullet , $v \in \{2, \dots, n_X - 1\}$, induces a pair $([\mathcal{L}_v], Q_v) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{P_v^\bullet, n}$. Moreover, the $k_R[\mu_n]$ -module $H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_v, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k_R$ admits the following canonical decomposition

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_v, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k_R = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M_{Y_v}(j),$$

where $\zeta \in \mu_n$ acts on $M_{Y_v}(j)$ as the ζ^j -multiplication. On the other hand, lemma 4.2 implies $\deg(Q_v^{(i)}) = \deg(Q_v) = 2n$, $i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$. Then by applying Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\gamma_{([\mathcal{L}_v], Q_v)} = \dim_{k_R}(M_{Y_v}(1)) = \dim_{k_R}(H^1(P_v, \mathcal{L}_v)) = 1.$$

Let $Z_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_s^{-1}(C_1)$. Then f_s^\bullet induces a Galois étale covering (not necessarily connected)

$$f_{C_1}^\bullet : Z_1^\bullet = (Z_1, D_{Z_1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_s^{-1}(D_{C_1})) \rightarrow C_1^\bullet$$

over k_R with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Write $\alpha_{C_1} \in \text{Rev}_0^{\text{adm}}(C_1^\bullet)$ for the element induced by $f_{C_1}^\bullet$ and α_s . The $k_R[\mu_n]$ -module $H_{\text{ét}}^1(Z_1, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k_R$ admits the following canonical decomposition

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(Z_1, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k_R = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M_{Z_1}(j),$$

where $\zeta \in \mu_n$ acts on $M_{Z_1}(j)$ as the ζ^j -multiplication. Then Proposition 3.11 (i) implies

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_{C_1}, 0)} = \begin{cases} g_X - \#(X^{\text{sing}}), & \text{if } \alpha_{C_1} = 0, \\ g_X - \#(X^{\text{sing}}) - 1, & \text{if } \alpha_{C_1} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $V_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_s^{-1}(T)$, $T \in \mathcal{T}$, and \widetilde{T} the smooth compactification of $U_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T \setminus T^{\text{sing}}$. Then f_s^\bullet induces a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$f_T^\bullet : V_T^\bullet = (V_T, D_{V_T} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_s^{-1}(D_T)) \rightarrow T^\bullet$$

over k_R with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then f_T^\bullet induces a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$f_{\widetilde{T}}^\bullet : V_{\widetilde{T}}^\bullet = (V_{\widetilde{T}}, D_{V_{\widetilde{T}}}) \rightarrow \widetilde{T}^\bullet$$

over k_R . Write $\alpha_{\tilde{T}} \in \text{Rev}_0(\tilde{T}^\bullet)$ for the element induced by $f_{\tilde{T}}^\bullet$ and α_s . By using the proposition of the case where X^\bullet is a singular curve of type $(g_X, n_X) = (1, 1)$, we obtain that $\gamma_{(\alpha_{\tilde{T}}, 0)} = 0$. Thus, Theorem 3.9 implies that

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_s, Q_s)} = g_X + n_X - 2.$$

Step 4: We compute $\gamma_{(\alpha_{\overline{\eta}}, Q_{\overline{\eta}})}$ by using specialization surjective homomorphisms of admissible fundamental groups.

The $k_R[\mu_n]$ -modules $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k_R$ and $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{Y}_s, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k_R$ admit the following canonical decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k_R &= \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M_{\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}}(j), \\ H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{Y}_s, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k_R &= \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M_{\mathcal{Y}_s}(j), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Moreover, we have an injection as $k_R[\mu_n]$ -modules

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{Y}_s, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k_R \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes k_R$$

induced by the specialization map $\Pi_{\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}}^\bullet \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{Y}_s}^\bullet$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_X + n_X - 2 &= \gamma_{(\alpha_s, Q_s)} = \dim_{k_R}(M_{\mathcal{Y}_s}(1)) \\ &\leq \gamma_{(\alpha_{\overline{\eta}}, Q_{\overline{\eta}})} = \dim_{k_R}(M_{\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\eta}}}(1)) \leq g_X + n_X - 2. \end{aligned}$$

This means $\gamma_{(\alpha_{\overline{\eta}}, Q_{\overline{\eta}})} = g_X + n_X - 2$. We complete the proof of the proposition when X^\bullet satisfies (DEG)-(iii)-(c), $C_1 \neq \emptyset$, and $n_X \geq 5$.

By applying similar arguments to the arguments given in the proof above, one can prove the proposition when X^\bullet satisfies (DEG)-(iii)-(c) and either $C_1 = \emptyset$ or $n_X \leq 4$ holds. Moreover, similar arguments to the arguments given in the proof above imply that the proposition holds when X^\bullet satisfies either (DEG)-(iii)-(a) or (DEG)-(iii)-(b). We complete the proof of the proposition. \square

In the remainder of this section, under certain assumptions, we generalize Proposition 4.5 to the case where X^\bullet is not necessary to be irreducible.

either (DEG)-(v) or (DEG)-(vi). We complete the proof of the proposition.

4.3.5. By applying Proposition 4.5, we solve Problem 2.11 under certain assumptions as follows:

Corollary 4.6. *Let $X^\bullet = (X, D_X)$ be a smooth component-generic pointed stable curve over k and $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \sim [D_X]^0$. Suppose that $s(D) = n_X - 1$ if $n_X \neq 0$, and that $\deg(D^{(i)}) \geq \deg(D)$, $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$. Then the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_D}$ associated to \mathcal{E}_D exists.*

Proof. Since X^\bullet is smooth over k , the corollary follows immediately from Proposition 4.5 and Remark 2.7.2. \square

4.4. Minimal quasi-trees. In this subsection, we introduce the so-called *minimal quasi-trees* which play an important role in the remainder of the present paper.

4.4.1. **Settings.** Let $W^\bullet = (W, D_W)$ be a pointed stable curve of type (g_W, n_W) over k and Γ_{W^\bullet} the dual semi-graph of W^\bullet .

4.4.2. Let Z^\bullet be a pointed stable curve over k , Γ_{Z^\bullet} the dual semi-graph of Z^\bullet such that $\Gamma_{Z^\bullet} \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{Z^\bullet})$ is a *tree* (see 2.1.1 for $e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{Z^\bullet})$), and that $E_Z \subseteq e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{Z^\bullet})$ is a subset of open edges.

We put

$$V_Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \in v(\Gamma_{Z^\bullet}) \mid \#(e^{\Gamma_{Z^\bullet}}(v) \cap (e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{Z^\bullet}) \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{Z^\bullet}))) = 1, Z_v \cap E_Z = \emptyset\} \subseteq v(\Gamma_{Z^\bullet}),$$

where Z_v denotes the irreducible component corresponding to v . We call V_Z *the set of terminal vertices avoiding to E_Z* .

For instance, in Example 4.9 (b) below, we have $Z^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W_1^\bullet$, $E_Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{Z^\bullet}) = e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{W_1^\bullet})$, and $V_Z = \{v_3\}$.

4.4.3. *Constructions of minimal quasi-trees associated to D_W .* Next, we define a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_W . Let $E \subseteq e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}) \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet})$ be a (possibly empty) subset of closed edges such that $\Gamma_{W^\bullet} \setminus (E \cup e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}))$ is a connected tree. Note that it is easy to see that E exists.

Write N for the set of nodes of W corresponding to the closed edges which are contained in E , and write $\text{norm}_N : W_N \rightarrow W$ for the normalization morphism of the underlying curve W over N . We define a pointed stable curve over k to be

$$W_1^\bullet = (W_1, D_{W_1}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W_N^\bullet = (W_N, D_{W_N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{norm}_N^{-1}(D_W \cup N)).$$

Note that the above construction implies $D_W \subseteq D_{W_1}$ (i.e., $e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}) \subseteq e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{W_1^\bullet})$). Write $\Gamma_{W_1^\bullet}$ for the dual semi-graph of W_1^\bullet . Then the construction of W_1^\bullet implies that $\Gamma_{W_1^\bullet} \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{W_1^\bullet})$ is a *tree*.

Suppose that $n_W \neq 0$. Then for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, if W_i^\bullet has already been defined, we may define W_{i+1}^\bullet as follows: Let $V_i \subseteq v(\Gamma_{W_i^\bullet})$ be the subset of terminal vertices avoiding to $e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}) \subseteq e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{W_i^\bullet})$. If $V_i = \emptyset$, then we put $W_{i+1}^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W_i^\bullet$. If $V_i \neq \emptyset$, we write W_{i+1} for the topological closure of

$$W_i \setminus \left(\bigcup_{v \in V_i} W_v \right)$$

in W_i . Note that the definition of V_i implies that W_{i+1} is connected, and that D_W is contained in W_{i+1} . Then we define a pointed stable curve over k to be

$$W_{i+1}^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (W_{i+1}, D_{W_{i+1}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (D_{W_i} \cap W_{i+1}) \cup ((\bigcup_{v \in V_i} W_v) \cap W_{i+1})).$$

Note that we have $e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}) \subseteq e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{W_{i+1}^\bullet})$.

Let i_0 be the minimal natural number such that $V_{i_0} = \emptyset$. Note that the above construction implies that $W_{i_0}^\bullet = W_j^\bullet$ for all $j \geq i_0$. We put

$$W_\Gamma^\bullet = (W_\Gamma, D_{W_\Gamma}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W_{i_0}^\bullet, \Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{W_{i_0}^\bullet}.$$

Then we have that $D_W \subseteq D_{W_\Gamma}$, and that $\Gamma \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma)$ is a *tree*. Moreover, we see $v(\Gamma) \subseteq v(\Gamma_{W^\bullet})$ and $e(\Gamma) \subseteq e(\Gamma_{W^\bullet})$, where $e(-)$ denotes the set of edges of $(-)$ (2.1.1). Note that an open edge of Γ is *not* an open edge of Γ_{W^\bullet} in general, and that a closed edge of Γ is a

closed edge of Γ_{W^\bullet} .

Moreover, the above constructions imply the following:

Lemma 4.7. *Let $W^\bullet = (W, D_W)$ be a pointed stable curve of type (g_W, n_W) over k . Suppose that $n_W \neq 0$. We denote by \mathcal{Q}_{W^\bullet} the set of Γ constructed as above. Then we have $\mathcal{Q}_{W^\bullet} \neq \emptyset$.*

Note that the construction of Γ depends on the choice of E (i.e., a subset of closed edges of $e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}) \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet})$ such that $\Gamma_{W^\bullet} \setminus (E \cup e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}))$ is a tree).


Definition 4.8. We maintain the notation introduced above. We shall say that a semi-graph

$$\Gamma_{D_W}$$


is a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_W if $\Gamma_{D_W} = \Gamma$ for some Γ constructed above when $n_W \neq 0$, and $\Gamma_{D_W} = \emptyset$ when $n_W = 0$.

4.4.4. We maintain the notation introduced in 4.4.3. Let us give some examples to explain the above constructions.


Example 4.9. (a) Let W^\bullet be a pointed stable curve over k such that the following conditions hold: (i) The set of irreducible components of W is $\{W_{v_1}, W_{v_2}, W_{v_3}\}$; (ii) $D_W = \{w_{b_1}, w_{b_2}\}$; (iii) The set of nodes is $\{w_c, w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}, w_{a_3}\}$; (iv) W_{v_1} is a singular curve with the unique node w_c ; (v) $w_{b_1} \in W_{v_1}$ and $w_{b_2} \in W_{v_2}$; (vi) $w_{a_1}, w_{a_2} \in W_{v_1} \cap W_{v_2}$; (vii) $w_{a_3} \in W_{v_2} \cap W_{v_3}$. We use the notation “ \bullet ” and “ \circ ” to denote a node and a marked point, respectively. Then W^\bullet is as follows:



The dual semi-graph Γ_{W^\bullet} of W^\bullet such that the following conditions hold: (i) $v(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$; (ii) $e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}) \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ such that a_1 and a_2 abut to v_1 and v_2 , respectively, and that a_3 abuts to v_2 and v_3 ; (iii) $e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{c\}$ and c abuts to v_1 ; (iv) $e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b_1, b_2\}$ such that b_1 and b_2 abut to v_1 and v_2 , respectively. We use the notation “ \bullet ” and “ \circ with a line segment” to denote a vertex and an open edge, respectively. Then Γ_{W^\bullet} is as follows:




(b) Let $E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a_1\}$. Then we see that the dual semi-graph $\Gamma_{W_1^\bullet}$ of W_1^\bullet is as follows:




Note that the set of terminal vertices avoiding to $e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{W^\bullet})$ of W_1^\bullet is $\{v_3\}$.


(c) We obtain a minimal quasi-tree $\Gamma_{D_W} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma$ associated to D_W is as follows:



On the other hand, W_Γ^\bullet is as follows:



(d) Next, we give an example $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma_{W^\bullet}$, which is a tree containing all open edges of Γ_{W^\bullet} , and which is *not* a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_W



4.4.5. We maintain the notation introduced in 4.4.3. Suppose that $n_W \neq 0$, and that $\Gamma = \Gamma_{D_W}$ is a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_W .

The construction of W_Γ implies that there is a natural morphism

$$f_\Gamma : W_\Gamma \rightarrow W$$

over k . We denote by

$$\phi_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_{W^\bullet}$$

the map of dual semi-graphs induced by f_Γ . Let

$$D_{E_1}, D_{E_2} \subseteq D_{W_\Gamma} \setminus D_W$$

be the subsets of marked points of W_Γ^\bullet such that $D_{W_\Gamma} = D_W \cup D_{E_1} \cup D_{E_2}$, that $f_\Gamma(D_{E_1}) \cap N = \emptyset$, and that $N_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_\Gamma(D_{E_2}) \subseteq N$. Namely, the following conditions hold: (a) $D_{W_\Gamma} = D_W \cup D_{E_1} \cup D_{E_2}$; (b) $f_\Gamma(D_W) = D_W$; (c) $f_\Gamma(D_{E_1}) \subseteq W^{\text{sing}} \setminus N$; (d) $N_2 \subseteq N$; (e) $f_\Gamma(W_\Gamma^{\text{sing}}) \subseteq W^{\text{sing}}$.

We define a pointed stable curve over k to be (for instance, see Example 4.10 below)

$$W_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet = (W_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_\Gamma(W_\Gamma), D_{W_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_\Gamma(D_W \cup D_{E_1})).$$

Then we see that the dual semi-graph of $W_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$ coincides with the image $\text{Im}(\phi_\Gamma)$. We call

$$\Gamma^{\text{im}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{W_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet}$$


the image of the map ϕ_Γ (for instance, see Example 4.10 below). Moreover, we denote by

$$\text{norm}_\Gamma : W_\Gamma \rightarrow W_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$$


the natural morphism induced by f_Γ which coincides with the normalization morphism of $W_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$ over the set of nodes N_2 . Then we have that $D_{W_\Gamma} = \text{norm}_\Gamma^{-1}(D_{W_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}} \cup N_2)$.

4.4.6. We maintain the notation introduced in Example 4.9 and give an example of Γ^{im} .

Example 4.10. We see that the set of open edges of W_Γ^\bullet corresponding to D_{E_1} is $\{a_3\}$ (Example 4.9 (c)), and that the set of open edges of W_Γ^\bullet corresponding to D_{E_2} is $\{e_1, e_2\}$ (Example 4.9 (c)). Then the image Γ^{im} of the map $\phi_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_W^\bullet$ is as follows:



On the other hand, $W_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$ is as follows:



4.5. **The first main theorem.** We are going to prove the first main theorem of the present paper.

4.5.1. **Settings.** We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2 and the assumption introduced in 2.3.3 (i.e., $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1$). Moreover, we assume that X^\bullet is a component-generic pointed stable curve over k .

4.5.2. We have the following key proposition.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \sim [D_X]^0$ be an effective divisor on X such that $s(D) = n_X - 1$ if $n_X \neq 0$, and that $\deg(D^{(i)}) \geq \deg(D)$, $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- $n_X = 0$ and $\Gamma_{X^\bullet} \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ is a tree.
- $n_X \neq 0$ and $\Gamma_{X^\bullet} = \Gamma_{D_W}$ is a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_X (see Definition 4.8).

Then $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ attains maximum for all $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$. Namely, the following holds:

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *There exists an element $\beta \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\gamma_{(\beta, D)}$ attains maximum. Namely, the following holds:*

$$\gamma_{(\beta, D)} = \gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (i) Let $f^\bullet : Y^\bullet = (Y, D_Y) \rightarrow X^\bullet$ be a Galois multi-admissible covering over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ induced by α . To verify (i), we may assume that Y^\bullet is connected.

Suppose that $n_X = 0$. Since $\Gamma_{X^\bullet} \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ is a tree. We see immediately that f is étale. Then (i) follows from Theorem 3.9 and Proposition 4.5.

Suppose that $n_X \neq 0$. Let $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ and $\pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_v})$ the set of connected components of $\overline{X \setminus X_v}$, where $\overline{X \setminus X_v}$ denotes the topological closure of $X \setminus X_v$ in X . We put

$$D_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (D_X \cap X_v) \cup \left(\bigcup_{C \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_v})} (C \cap X_v) \right).$$

Let $X_v^\bullet = (X_v, D_{X_v} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_v)$, $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, be a pointed stable curve of type (g_{X_v}, n_{X_v}) over k . Then f^\bullet induces a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$f_v^\bullet : Y_v^\bullet \rightarrow X_v^\bullet, \quad v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}),$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Note that since Γ_{X^\bullet} is a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_X , we have that $C \cap X_v = \{x_C\}$ is a closed point of X for all $C \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_v})$. Moreover, since $\Gamma_{X^\bullet} \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ is a tree, the ramification divisor

$$Q_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{x \in D_X \cap X_v} \text{ord}_x(Q_v)x + \sum_{C \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_v})} \text{ord}_{x_C}(Q_v)x_C \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \sim [D_v]^0, \quad v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}),$$

on X_v induced by f_v satisfies the following:

$$\text{ord}_x(Q_v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_x(D), \quad x \in D_X \cap X_v,$$

$$\text{ord}_{x_C}(Q_v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\sum_{c \in D_X \cap C} \text{ord}_c(D) \right], \quad C \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_v}),$$

where $[(-)]$ denotes the integer which is equal to the image of $(-)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ when we identify $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ with $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ naturally. By applying similar arguments to the arguments given in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\deg(Q_v) = (\#(D_v) - 1)n \text{ and } \deg(Q_v^{(i)}) = \deg(Q_v), \quad i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}.$$

Let $\Pi_{X_v^\bullet}$, $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, be the admissible fundamental group of X_v^\bullet . Write $\alpha_v \in \text{Rev}_{Q_v}^{\text{adm}}(X_v^\bullet)$ for the composition of the natural homomorphisms $\Pi_{X_v^\bullet} \rightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\alpha_v \neq 0$ for all $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$. Then Proposition 4.5 implies

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_v, Q_v)} = \begin{cases} g_{X_v} - 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(Q_v) = \emptyset, \\ g_{X_v} + s(Q_v) - 2, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(Q_v) \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Thus, Theorem 3.9 implies that

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Suppose that $n_X \leq 1$. (ii) follows from Proposition 3.11 (ii). Then to verify (ii), we may assume that $n_X \geq 2$.

Let $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{D_X}$ be a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_X , Γ^{im} the image of the natural morphism $\phi_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_{X^\bullet}$, and

$$X_\Gamma^\bullet = (X_\Gamma, D_{X_\Gamma}), \quad X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet = (X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}, D_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}})$$

the pointed stable curves over k associated to Γ , Γ^{im} , respectively (4.4.3, 4.4.5). Note that D is also an effective divisor on $X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$.

Write D_Γ for the pulling back divisor $\text{norm}_\Gamma^*(D)$ (see 4.4.5 for the definition of norm_Γ). Let $\alpha_\Gamma \in \text{Rev}_{D_\Gamma}^{\text{adm}}(X_\Gamma^\bullet)$ be an arbitrary element such that $\alpha_\Gamma \neq 0$. Then similar arguments to the arguments given in (i) imply $\gamma_{(\alpha_\Gamma, D_\Gamma)} = g_{X_\Gamma} + n_X - 2$, where g_{X_Γ} denotes the genus of X_Γ . We denote by

$$g_\Gamma^\bullet : Z_\Gamma^\bullet \rightarrow X_\Gamma^\bullet$$

the Galois multi-admissible covering over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ induced by α_Γ . By gluing Z_Γ^\bullet along $g_\Gamma^{-1}(D_{X_\Gamma} \setminus \text{norm}_\Gamma^{-1}(D_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}}))$ in a way that is compatible with the gluing of X_Γ^\bullet that gives rise to $X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$, we obtain a pointed stable curve $Z_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$ over k . Moreover, g_Γ^\bullet induces a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$g_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet : Z_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet \rightarrow X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Pi_{X_\Gamma^\bullet}$, $\Pi_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet}$ be the admissible fundamental groups of X_Γ^\bullet , $X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$, respectively. Write $\alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$ for an element of $\text{Hom}(\Pi_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ induced by $g_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$ such that the composition of the natural homomorphisms $\Pi_{X_\Gamma^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is equal to α_Γ . We put $D_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_{\alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}}$. Then Theorem 3.9 implies that

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}, D_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}})} = g_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}} + n_X - 2,$$

where $g_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}}$ denotes the genus of $X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$.

On the other hand, we write $\pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}})$ for the set of connected components of $\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}}$, where $\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}}$ denotes the topological closure of $X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$ in X . We define

the following pointed stable curve

$$C^\bullet = (C, D_C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C \cap X_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}), \quad C \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}}),$$

over k . Note that since X^\bullet is component-generic, we have that C^\bullet is also component-generic. Then the p -rank σ_C is equal to the genus of C^\bullet .

Let $C \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}})$. We put

$$Z_C^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} C_i^\bullet,$$

where C_i^\bullet is a copy of C^\bullet , and \sqcup means a disjoint union. Then we obtain a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$g_C^\bullet : Z_C^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, where the restriction morphism $g_C^\bullet|_{C_i^\bullet}$ is an identity, and the Galois action is $j(C_i) = C_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. By gluing $Z_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}^\bullet$ and $\{Z_C^\bullet\}_{C \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}})}$ along $g_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}^{-1}(X_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}} \cap (\bigcup_{C \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}})} C))$ and $\{g_C^{-1}(X_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}} \cap C)\}_{C \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}})}$ in a way that is compatible with the gluing of $\{X_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}^\bullet\} \cup \{C^\bullet\}_{C \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}})}$ that gives rise to X^\bullet , we obtain a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$g^\bullet : Z^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Let Π_{X^\bullet} be the admissible fundamental group of X^\bullet . Moreover, we write $\beta \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$ for an element induced by g^\bullet such that the composition of the natural homomorphisms $\Pi_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is equal to $\alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{rim}}}$. By applying Theorem 3.9, we see

$$\gamma_{(\beta, D)} = \gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} = g_X + n_X - 2.$$

We complete the proof of (ii). □

4.5.3. Now, the main result of the present section is as follows.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $X^\bullet = (X, D_X)$ be a component-generic pointed stable curve over k . Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary positive natural number prime to p and $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \sim [D_X]^0$ (2.2.5). Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive natural number such that $p^t = 1$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Write n for $p^t - 1$, m' for n/m , and D' for the divisor $m'D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \sim [D_X]^0$ when we identify $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ with the unique subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of order m . Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- $n_X = 0$ and $\Gamma_{X^\bullet} \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ is a tree.
- $n_X \neq 0$ and $\Gamma_{X^\bullet} = \Gamma_{D_W}$ is a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_X (4.4.3).

Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ attains maximum for all $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ (Definition 2.4 (i) and Definition 3.10 (ii)). Namely, the following holds (see Definition 3.10 (i) for $\gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max}$):

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

(b) We have (see 2.2.5 and Definition 2.4 for $(D')^{(i)}$)

$$s(D) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ n_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and $\deg((D')^{(i)}) \geq \deg(D')$, $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$.

(ii) The following are equivalent:

(a) There exists an element $\beta \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\gamma_{(\beta, D)}$ attains maximum. Namely, the following holds:

$$\gamma_{(\beta, D)} = \gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

(b) We have

$$s(D) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ n_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and $\deg((D')^{(i)}) \geq \deg(D')$, $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$.

Proof. (i) Write $\alpha' \in \text{Rev}_{D'}^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$ for the element induced by α . Then we have $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \gamma_{(\alpha', D')}$. The “only if” part of (i) follows from Lemma 4.1. Moreover, the “if” part of (i) follows immediately from Proposition 4.11 (i).

(ii) The “only if” part of (ii) follows from Lemma 4.1. We prove the “if” part of (ii). Let $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{D_X}$ be a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_X , Γ^{im} the image of the natural morphism $\phi_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_{X^\bullet}$, $X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet = (X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}, D_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}})$ the pointed stable curve over k associated to Γ^{im} (4.4.5), and $\Pi_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet}$ the admissible fundamental group of $X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$. Note that D is also an effective divisor on $X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$. Let $\beta_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$ be an arbitrary element of $\text{Rev}_{D'}^{\text{adm}}(X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$. Write $\beta'_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}} \in \text{Rev}_{D'}^{\text{adm}}(X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet)$ for the element induced by $\beta_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$.

Let Π_{X^\bullet} be the admissible fundamental group of X^\bullet . Proposition 4.11 (ii) implies that there exists $\beta' \in \text{Rev}_{D'}^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$ such that the composition of the natural homomorphisms $\Pi_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet} \xrightarrow{\beta'} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is equal to $\beta'_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$, and that $\gamma_{(\beta', D')}$ attains maximum. Moreover, the construction of β' given in the proof of Proposition 4.11 (ii) (i.e., the Galois multi-admissible covering of \tilde{X}_v^\bullet , $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}) \setminus v(\Gamma^{\text{im}})$, induced by β' is trivial) implies that β' can be induced by an element of $\beta \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet)$. Then $\gamma_{(\beta, D)} = \gamma_{(\beta', D')}$ attains maximum. This completes the proof of (ii). \square

4.6. (m, n_X) -ordinary curves.

4.6.1. We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2. Moreover, let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}$ be the moduli stack parameterizing pointed stable curves of type (g_X, n_X) (2.1.4) and $\mathcal{M}_{g_X, n_X} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}$ the open substack parameterizing smooth pointed stable curves of type (g_X, n_X) . We denote by \overline{M}_{g_X, n_X} , M_{g_X, n_X} the coarse moduli spaces of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_X, n_X}$, \mathcal{M}_{g_X, n_X} , respectively.

4.6.2. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary positive natural number prime to p , and let $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$ be an effective divisor on X such that $\deg(D) = (n_X - 1)m$ if $n_X \neq 0$.

Definition 4.13. We shall say that X^\bullet is (m, n_X) -ordinary if, for all $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that, if $n_X = 0$ and X^\bullet is non-singular, then the definition of (m, n_X) -ordinary coincides with the definition of m -ordinary introduced by Nakajima ([N, §4]).

On the other hand, let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive natural number such that $p^t = 1$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Write n for $p^t - 1$, m' for n/m , and D' for the divisor $m'D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$ when we identify $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ with the unique subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of order m . If $n_X \neq 0$ and X^\bullet is (m, n_X) -ordinary, then Lemma 4.1 (ii) implies that

$$\deg((D')^{(i)}) = \deg(D') = (n_X - 1)n, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}.$$

4.6.3. We denote by

$$\overline{U}_{(m, n_X)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{q \in \overline{M}_{g_X, n_X} \mid \text{curves corresponding to } q \text{ is } (m, n_X)\text{-ordinary}\} \subseteq \overline{M}_{g_X, n_X}.$$

Moreover, we put $U_{(m, n_X)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{U}_{(m, n_X)} \cap M_{g_X, n_X}$ (i.e., the set of points corresponding to smooth (m, n_X) -ordinary curves). Then we have the following result.

Proposition 4.14. (i) *The set $U_{(m, n_X)}$ is a non-empty open subset of M_{g_X, n_X} .*
(ii) *Suppose that $n_X \leq 1$. Then we have*

$$M_{g_X, n_X}^{\text{cl}} \cap \left(\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } (m, p)=1} U_{(m, n_X)} \right) = \emptyset,$$

where M_{g_X, n_X}^{cl} denotes the set of closed points of M_{g_X, n_X} .

(iii) *Let $q \in M_{g_X, n_X}$ be an arbitrary point. We denote by Π_q the admissible fundamental group of a smooth pointed stable curve corresponding to a geometric point over q . Note that the isomorphism class of Π_q (as a profinite group) does not depend on the choices of geometric points over q . Suppose that $n_X \leq 1$. Let $U \subseteq M_{g_X, n_X}$ be an arbitrary non-empty open subset. Then there exist closed points $q_1, q_2 \in U \cap M_{g_X, n_X}^{\text{cl}}$ such that $\Pi_{q_1} \not\cong \Pi_{q_2}$.*

Proof. (i) By applying similar arguments to the arguments given in the proof of [N, Theorem 2], (i) follows from Theorem 4.12 (i).

(ii) Suppose that $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and that X^\bullet is a smooth pointed stable curve of type (g_X, n_X) over k . To verify (ii), we only need to prove that, if $n_X \leq 1$, X^\bullet is not (m, n_X) -ordinary for some positive natural number $m \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to p .

Since $n_X \leq 1$, we have $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0 = \{0\}$. We denote by Θ_X the Raynaud-Tamagawa divisor associated to \mathcal{B}_0^1 (2.4.2, 2.4.3) and by Θ' an arbitrary irreducible component of Θ_X . Write J_X^1 for the pulling back of the Jacobian J_X of X by the absolute Frobenius morphism F_k of k . If X^\bullet is (m, n_X) -ordinary for all prime-to- p positive natural numbers, then a property of Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisors concerning new-ordinary coverings (e.g. [PS, §1.2 and §1.3]) implies

$$J_X^1\{p'\} \cap \Theta_X(k) \subseteq \{0_{J_X^1}\},$$

where $0_{J_X^1}$ denotes the zero point of J_X^1 , and $J_X^1\{p'\}$ denotes the set of prime-to- p torsion points of $J_X^1(k)$. Since $\dim(\Theta') > 0$, we have

$$\Theta'\{p'\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J_X^1\{p'\} \cap \Theta'(k) \subseteq J_X^1\{p'\} \cap \Theta_X(k)$$

is not dense in Θ' .

On the other hand, since Θ' is defined over $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, by applying a result of Anderson-Indik ([T3, §5]), we have that Θ' is a subvariety of a translate of a proper sub-abelian variety of J_X^1 . But this contradicts a result of Raynaud ([R2, Proposition 1.2.1]) which says that there exists an irreducible component Θ' of Θ_X such that Θ' is not contained in a translate of a proper sub-abelian variety of J_X^1 . This completes the proof of (ii).

(iii) Let q be an arbitrary closed point of U and q^{gen} the generic point of M_{g_X, n_X} . Suppose that (iii) does not hold. Thus there exists a closed point $q \in U^{\text{cl}}$ such that $\Pi_{q^{\text{gen}}} \cong \Pi_q$. Then there exist a discrete valuation ring R and a morphism $c_R : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow M_{g_X, n_X}$ such that $c_R(\eta_R) = q^{\text{gen}}$ and $c_R(s_R) = q$, where η_R is the generic point of $\text{Spec } R$ and s_R is the closed point of $\text{Spec } R$. By replacing R by a finite extension of R , we have a smooth pointed stable curve \mathcal{X}^\bullet of type (g_X, n_X) over $\text{Spec } R$ determined by c_R . Moreover, we obtain a specialization surjective homomorphism

$$sp_R : \Pi_{q^{\text{gen}}} \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_q.$$

Since $\Pi_{q^{\text{gen}}}$ and Π_q are topologically finitely generated, sp_R is an isomorphism.

On the other hand, (ii) implies that there exist a positive integer m prime to p and a connected Galois étale covering $\mathcal{Y}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^\bullet$ over R with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that the geometric generic fiber of \mathcal{Y} is ordinary and the geometric special fiber of \mathcal{Y} is not ordinary. Namely, sp_R is not an isomorphism. We complete the proof of (iii). \square

Remark 4.14.1. Proposition 4.14 (iii) gives an answer of a question of D. Harbater ([H, 4.2]) which was first solved by Pop and Saïdi ([PS, Corollary]). In [PS], Pop and Saïdi proved a result which says that specialization homomorphisms of geometric étale fundamental groups of smooth projective curves in positive characteristic is not an isomorphism under certain assumptions. Then together with a result of C-L. Chai and F. Oort, and a result of J-P. Serre, they obtained Proposition 4.14 (iii).

4.6.4. If $n_X \leq 1$, then Proposition 4.14 (i) was proved by Nakajima ([N, Theorem 2]). Then Proposition 4.14 (i) is a generalized version of Nakajima's result to the case of admissible coverings of smooth pointed stable curves. Moreover, Nakajima ([N, §4 Remark]) asked whether or not

$$\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } (m,p)=1} U_{(m, n_X)}$$

is a non-empty open subset of M_{g_X, n_X} . Then Proposition 4.14 (ii) gives a *negative* answer of Nakajima's question. Furthermore, we may ask the following question:

Problem 4.15. (i) Suppose that X^\bullet is a component-generic pointed stable curve over k . Can we find a necessary and sufficient condition that X^\bullet is (m, n_X) -ordinary for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to p ?

(ii) Does

$$M_{g_X, n_X}^{\text{cl}} \cap \left(\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } (m,p)=1} U_{(m, n_X)} \right) = \emptyset$$

hold for an arbitrary non-negative integer n_X ? Moreover, does

$$\overline{M}_{g_X, n_X}^{\text{cl}} \cap \left(\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } (m,p)=1} \overline{U}_{(m, n_X)} \right) = \emptyset$$

hold for an arbitrary non-negative integer n_X ?

5. MAXIMUM GENERALIZED HASSE-WITT INVARIANTS FOR ARBITRARY CURVES

In the present section, we discuss the maximum generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of cyclic admissible coverings for arbitrary pointed stable curves. The main result of this section is Theorem 5.4.

5.1. **Idea.** We briefly explain the idea of our proof of Theorem 5.4.

5.1.1. **Settings.** We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2.

5.1.2. *An easy case.* Firstly, let us prove an easy case (i.e., X^\bullet is irreducible) of the main result of the present section.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that X^\bullet is irreducible. Then there exist a positive natural number $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, an effective divisor $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$ (2.2.5) on X of degree $(n_X - 1)n$ if $n_X \neq 0$ (resp. degree 0 if $n_X = 0$), and an element $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ (Definition 2.4 (i)) such that $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ attains maximum (Definition 3.10 (ii)). Namely, the following holds:*

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\max} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since X^\bullet is irreducible, we write \tilde{X}^\bullet for the smooth pointed stable curve of type $(g_{\tilde{X}}, n_{\tilde{X}})$ over k associated to the unique vertex of the dual semi-graph Γ_{X^\bullet} of X^\bullet (2.1.3). Note that we have

$$g_{\tilde{X}} = g_X - \#(X^{\text{sing}}), \quad n_{\tilde{X}} = n_X + 2\#(X^{\text{sing}}).$$

Moreover, $D_X \subseteq D_{\tilde{X}}$ implies $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0 \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_{\tilde{X}}]^0$.

By applying Theorem 3.9, to verify the proposition, it is sufficient to prove that there exist a positive natural number $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, an effective divisor $\tilde{D} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$ of degree $(n_X - 1)n$ if $n_X \neq 0$ (resp. degree 0 if $n_X = 0$) on \tilde{X} , and an element $\tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Rev}_{\tilde{D}}^{\text{adm}}(\tilde{X}^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ such that the following holds:

$$\gamma_{(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{D})} = \begin{cases} g_{\tilde{X}} - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_{\tilde{X}} + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $n_X \leq 1$. Then the proposition follows immediately from Proposition 3.11 (ii).

Suppose that $n_X \geq 2$. Let $D_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_1, \dots, x_{n_X}\}$ and $n_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{t_i} - 1$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n_X - 1\}$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- $n_i > \max\{C(g_X) + 1, \#(e(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}))\}$.
- $0 < a_{i,1}, a_{i,2} < n_i$ and $a_{i,1} + a_{i,2} = n_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n_X - 1\}$.

Furthermore, we put

$$D_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_{i,1}x_i + a_{i,2}x_{i+1} + \sum_{x \in D_X \setminus \{x_i, x_{i+1}\}} n_i x, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n_X - 1\},$$

which is an effective divisor on \tilde{X} of degree $(n_X - 1)n_i$. Moreover, we put

$$\tilde{D} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n_X-1} p^{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} t_j} D_i,$$

$$n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{\sum_{i=0}^{n_X-1} t_i} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_X-1} p^{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} t_j} (p^{t_i} - 1),$$

where $t_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$. We see that \tilde{D} is an effective divisor on \tilde{X} of degree $(n_X - 1)n$ such that $\tilde{D} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{D}}$ be a line bundle on \tilde{X} such that $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{D}}^{\otimes n} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-\tilde{D})$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{D},t}$ the pulling back of $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{D}}$ by the natural morphism $\tilde{X}_t \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ defined in 2.4.2. Then by applying [T2, Corollary 2.6, Lemma 2.12 (ii), and Corollary 2.13], we see that the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor associated to $\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{D}}^t \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{D},t}$ exists (Definition 2.7). Moreover, Proposition 2.8 implies that there exists a line bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ of degree 0 on \tilde{X} such that $[\tilde{\mathcal{I}}] \neq [\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}]$, that $[\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^{\otimes n}] = [\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}]$, and that (see 2.3.4 for $\gamma_{([\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{D}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{I}}], \tilde{D})}$)

$$\gamma_{([\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{D}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{I}}], \tilde{D})} = \begin{cases} g_{\tilde{X}} - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_{\tilde{X}} + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Rev}_{\tilde{D}}^{\text{adm}}(\tilde{X}^\bullet)$ be the element corresponding to the pair $([\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{D}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{I}}], \tilde{D}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\tilde{X}^\bullet, n}$ (2.3.2). Then we have $\gamma_{(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{D})} = \gamma_{([\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{D}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{I}}], \tilde{D})}$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Remark 5.1.1. We maintain the notation introduced in the proof of Proposition 5.1. By choosing a suitable $a_{i,1}$ (or $a_{i,2}$) for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n_X - 1\}$, we obtain that the Galois multi-admissible covering induced by α is connected.

5.1.3. *Strategy of the proof of Theorem 5.4.* In the remainder of this section, we will generalize Proposition 5.1 to the case where X^\bullet is an arbitrary pointed stable curve over k (i.e., Theorem 5.4 below).

Let X^\bullet be an arbitrary pointed stable curve over k . For simplicity, we assume that every irreducible component of X^\bullet is non-singular. Moreover, by Proposition 3.11 (ii), we assume $n_X \geq 2$. We maintain the notation introduced in the statement of Theorem 3.9. To verify Theorem 5.4, by applying Theorem 3.9, it is sufficient to construct a prime-to- p cyclic Galois multi-admissible covering $\tilde{f}_v^\bullet : \tilde{Y}_v^\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{X}_v^\bullet$ with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for every $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\gamma_{(\tilde{\alpha}_v, D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v})}$ satisfies the conditions mentioned in the statement of Theorem 3.9.
- $\{\tilde{f}_v^\bullet\}_{v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})}$ can be glued together. Then we obtain a prime-to- p cyclic Galois multi-admissible covering $f^\bullet : Y^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$ with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.
- The ramification divisor $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$ associated to f^\bullet such that $s(D) = n_X - 1$ (2.2.5).

If X^\bullet is *not* irreducible, the constructions of desired Galois multi-admissible coverings of X^\bullet are very difficult in general.

The main difficulty. We cannot determine the ramifications over nodes of a Galois admissible covering in a unique way when the ramifications over D_X are fixed. Then we have the following:

(i) Let f^\bullet be a Galois admissible covering whose ramification divisor satisfies the third condition mentioned above. Since the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor concerning $D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v}$, $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, *does not exist* in general, $\gamma_{(\tilde{\alpha}_v, D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v})}$ does not satisfy the first condition mentioned above.

(ii) Even though we can construct \tilde{f}_v^\bullet for every $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ (by applying Proposition 5.1) such that $\gamma_{(\tilde{\alpha}_v, D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v})}$ satisfies the conditions mentioned in the statement of Theorem 3.9, $\{\tilde{f}_v^\bullet\}_{v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})}$ cannot be glued together (as admissible coverings) in general.

To overcome this difficulty, we observe that, when Γ_{X^\bullet} is a *minimal quasi-tree*, the ramifications over nodes of a Galois admissible covering can be uniquely determined if the ramifications over D_X are fixed. Then for every $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, we may construct certain effective divisors on \tilde{X}_v for every marked point of $D_X \cap \tilde{X}_v$ and every node of $X^{\text{sing}} \cap \tilde{X}_v$. Furthermore, by similar arguments to the arguments given in the proof of Proposition 5.1, we may construct an effective divisor on \tilde{X}_v such that the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor concerning this effective divisor exists. Then we can obtain Galois multi-admissible coverings for all $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ whose first generalized Hasse-Witt invariants attain maximum. On the other hand, since the ramifications over nodes of a Galois admissible covering can be uniquely determined by the ramifications of marked points when Γ_{X^\bullet} is a minimal quasi-tree, then we also obtain an effective divisor on X whose restriction on \tilde{X}_v , $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, is the effective divisor constructed above. Then we may construct a desired Galois multi-admissible covering f^\bullet (e.g. see Example 5.2 below).


In the general case (i.e., Γ_{X^\bullet} is not a tree), we take a *minimal quasi-tree* $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{D_X}$ associated to D_X (4.4.3) and the pointed stable curve X_Γ^\bullet (4.4.5) associated to Γ . Then we may construct a desired Galois multi-admissible covering for X_Γ^\bullet (see Lemma 5.3). In fact, this is the motivation of the definition of minimal quasi-trees. Moreover, Raynaud's theorem (i.e., [R1, Théorème 4.1.1] or Theorem 2.9) implies that we may construct a Galois (étale) multi-admissible covering for each irreducible component corresponding to $w \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}) \setminus v(\Gamma)$ whose first generalized Hasse-Witt invariant (2.2.4) attains maximum. Then by gluing the Galois multi-admissible coverings together, we obtain a desired Galois multi-admissible covering of X^\bullet .

5.2. A key lemma.

5.2.1. **Settings.** We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2.

5.2.2. In order to convince the reader to follow the constructions given in the proof of Lemma 5.3 below, we give an example for constructing effective divisors $D_{\tilde{\alpha}_v} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_{\tilde{X}_v}]^0$, $v \in v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$, and $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$.

Example 5.2. Let X^\bullet be a pointed stable curve of type $(g_X, 3)$ over k . Suppose that X has two non-singular irreducible components X_1 and X_2 , that $X_1 \cap X_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x^-\}$, that $D_X \cap X_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}\}$, and that $D_X \cap X_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_{2,1}\}$. Let $n_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{t_0} - 1 \gg 0$, $D_{X_1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, x^-\}$, $D_{X_2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_{2,1}, x^-\}$, $X_1^\bullet = (X_1, D_{X_1})$ a pointed stable curve of type $(g_{X_1}, 3)$, and $X_2^\bullet = (X_2, D_{X_2})$ a pointed stable curve of type $(g_{X_2}, 2)$. Then we have the following:



Step 1: We construct effective divisors of degree $2n$ (resp. n) on X_1 (resp. X_2) such that the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisors concerning the divisors exist.

We put

$$Q_{1,1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 x_{1,1} + a_2 x_{1,2} + n_0 x^- \in \text{Div}(X_1),$$

$$Q_{1,2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n_0 x_{2,1} \in \text{Div}(X_2),$$

$$Q_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 x_{1,1} + a_2 x_{1,2} + n_0 x_{2,1} \in \text{Div}(X),$$

where $0 < a_1, a_2 < n_0$ such that $a_1 + a_2 = n_0$. Moreover, we put

$$Q_{2,1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n_0 x_{1,1} + b_1 x_{1,2} + b_2 x^- \in \text{Div}(X_1),$$

$$Q_{2,2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_1 x^- + b_2 x_{2,1} \in \text{Div}(X_2),$$

$$Q_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n_0 x_{1,1} + b_1 x_{1,2} + b_2 x_{2,1} \in \text{Div}(X),$$

where $0 < b_1, b_2 < n_0$ such that $b_1 + b_2 = n_0$.

Since $n_0 \gg 0$, by applying [T2, Corollary 2.6, Lemma 2.12 (ii), and Corollary 2.13], the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisors concerning $Q_1, Q_2, Q_{1,1}, Q_{1,2}, Q_{2,1}, Q_{2,2}$ exist, respectively.

Note that since Γ_{X^\bullet} is a *tree*, $Q_{1,1}$ and $Q_{1,2}$ (resp. $Q_{2,1}$ and $Q_{2,2}$) can be completely determined by Q_1 (resp. Q_2). On the other hand, at present, we *cannot* construct Galois multi-admissible coverings whose ramification divisors are the above divisors since $Q_1, Q_{1,1}, Q_{1,2}$ (resp. $Q_2, Q_{2,1}, Q_{2,2}$) are not contained in $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_{X_1}]^0$ (resp. $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_{X_2}]^0$).

Step 2: By using the effective divisors constructed in Step 1, we construct a global effective divisor $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0$ on X and $D_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_{X_i}]^0$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, on X_i such that D_i is completely determined by D .

Write v_1 and v_2 for the vertices of $v(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})$ corresponding to X_1 and X_2 , respectively. We define the following sets of effective divisors

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Div}_{v_i}^{\text{irr-mp}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{Q_{1,i}\}, \quad \text{Div}_{v_i}^{\text{irr-nd}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{Q_{2,i}\}, \\ \text{Div}_X^{\text{irr}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigsqcup_{i=1,2} (\text{Div}_{v_i}^{\text{irr-mp}} \sqcup \text{Div}_{v_i}^{\text{irr-nd}}), \quad \text{Div}_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{Q_1, Q_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where \sqcup means disjoint union. Let $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{t_0} - 1 + p^{t_0}(p^{t_0} - 1) = p^{2t_0} - 1$. We obtain

$$D_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_{1,1} + p^{t_0} Q_{2,1} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_{X_1}]^0,$$

$$D_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_{1,2} + p^{t_0} Q_{2,2} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_{X_2}]^0,$$

$$D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_1 + p^{t_0} Q_2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0.$$

Then we have

$$D|_{\{x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}\}} = D_1|_{\{x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}\}}, \quad D|_{\{x_{2,1}\}} = D_2|_{\{x_{2,1}\}}.$$

Step 3: We construct a desired Galois multi-admissible covering of X^\bullet .

By applying [T2, Corollary 2.6, Lemma 2.12 (ii), and Corollary 2.13], the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisors concerning D_1 and D_2 exist. By Proposition 2.8, if $t_0 \gg 0$, then there exists $\alpha_{v_i} \in \text{Rev}_{D_i}^{\text{adm}}(X_i^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, such that $\gamma_{(\alpha_{v_1}, D_1)} = g_{X_1} + 1$ and $\gamma_{(\alpha_{v_1}, D_1)} = g_{X_2}$. Moreover, since Γ_{X^\bullet} is a tree, we have that

$$D_1|_{x^-} = \left[\sum_{x \in D_X \setminus \{x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}\}} \text{ord}_x(D) \right] x^- = \text{ord}_{x_{2,1}}(D) x^-,$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_2|_{x^-} &= \text{ord}_{x^-}(D_2) x^- = (n - \text{ord}_{x_{2,1}}(D_2)) x^- = \left[\sum_{x \in D_X \setminus \{x_{2,1}\}} \text{ord}_x(D) \right] x^- \\ &= (n - \text{ord}_{x_{2,1}}(D)) x^-, \end{aligned}$$

where $[(-)]$ denotes the image of $(-)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Namely, the Galois multi-admissible coverings induced by α_{v_1} and α_{v_2} *can be glued* (as admissible coverings). Then we obtain a desired Galois multi-admissible covering of X^\bullet .

5.2.3. Let \mathbf{G} be a connected semi-graph and $v \in v(\mathbf{G})$ an arbitrary vertex. Moreover, we suppose that \mathbf{G} is a *tree*. For each $v' \in v(\mathbf{G})$, there exists a path $\delta_{v, v'}$ connecting v and v' in \mathbf{G} . We define

$$\text{leng}(\delta_{v, v'}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#\{\delta_{v, v'} \cap v(\mathbf{G})\} - 1$$

to be the *length* of the path $\delta_{v, v'}$. Moreover, since \mathbf{G} is a tree, there exists a unique path connecting v and v' whose length is equal to $\min\{\text{leng}(\delta_{v, v'})\}_{\delta_{v, v'}}$. We shall write

$$\delta(\mathbf{G}, v, v')$$

for this unique path connecting v and v' in \mathbf{G} , and call $\delta(\mathbf{G}, v, v')$ the *minimal path* connecting v and v' in \mathbf{G} .

5.2.4. Now, we are going to prove the key lemma of the present section.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{D_X}$ be a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_X ,*

$$X_\Gamma^\bullet = (X_\Gamma, D_{X_\Gamma})$$

the pointed stable curve of type $(g_{X_\Gamma}, n_{X_\Gamma})$ associated to Γ (4.4.3), and $\Pi_{X_\Gamma^\bullet}$ the admissible fundamental group of X_Γ^\bullet . Suppose that $n_X \geq 2$. Then there exist a positive natural number $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, an effective divisor $D_\Gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \sim [D_X]^0 \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \sim [D_{X_\Gamma}]^0$ on X_Γ , and an element $\alpha_\Gamma \in \text{Rev}_{D_\Gamma}^{\text{adm}}(X_\Gamma^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ such that the following holds:

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_\Gamma, D_\Gamma)} = g_{X_\Gamma} + n_X - 2.$$

Proof. Since Γ is a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_X , we obtain that $\Gamma' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma)$ is a tree. Then we have $v(\Gamma) = v(\Gamma')$. Note that $D_X \subseteq D_{X_\Gamma}$. Let $v \in v(\Gamma)$ be an arbitrary vertex and $n_0 = p^{t_0} - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ a positive natural number satisfying

$$n_0 > \max\{C(g_X) + 1, \#\{e(\Gamma_{X^\bullet})\}\}.$$

Step 1 (mp): Let $v \in v(\Gamma)$. We construct a family of effective divisors $\text{Div}_v^{\text{irr-mp}}$ on the irreducible component X_v^\bullet associated to the set of marked points $D_X \cap X_v$, and construct a family of effective divisors Div_v^{mp} on X_Γ , where “mp” means “marked point”.

We put

$$D'_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_X \cap X_v, \quad m_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#(D'_v), \quad \text{and} \quad D'_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_{v,1}, \dots, x_{v,m_v}\} \text{ if } m_v \neq 0.$$

Note that $D'_v \neq D_{X_\Gamma} \cap X_v$ in general. Moreover, we put

$$D_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D'_v \cup (X_v \cap \overline{(X_\Gamma \setminus X_v)}),$$

where $\overline{X_\Gamma \setminus X_v}$ denotes the topological closure of $X_\Gamma \setminus X_v$ in X_Γ . Note that since $n_X > 0$, we have $\#(D_v) > 0$. Let $w \in v(\Gamma) \setminus \{v\}$ be an arbitrary vertex distinct from v . Since Γ' is a tree, there exists a unique node

$$x_{v,w}^- \in D_w \subseteq X_w$$

such that the closed edge of Γ' corresponding to $x_{v,w}^-$ is contained in the minimal path $\delta(\Gamma', v, w)$ (i.e., the minimal path connecting v and w in Γ' defined in 5.2.3). On the other hand, we define a set of nodes to be

$$\text{Node}_{v,w}^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{X_w \cap X_{w'}, \quad w' \in v(\Gamma) \mid \text{length}(\delta(\Gamma', v, w')) = \text{length}(\delta(\Gamma', v, w)) + 1\}.$$

Note that $\text{Node}_{v,w}^+$ may possibly be an empty set, and that $D_w = \{x_{v,w}^-\} \cup \text{Node}_{v,w}^+ \cup D'_w$.

We define two sets of effective divisors

$$\text{Div}_v^{\text{irr-mp}}, \quad \text{Div}_v^{\text{mp}}$$

associated to v on X_v and X_Γ , as follows. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, m_v - 1\}$ and $0 < a_{v,i,1}, a_{v,i,2} < n_0$ such that $a_{v,i,1} + a_{v,i,2} = n_0$. Suppose that $m_v \leq 1$. Then we put

$$\text{Div}_v^{\text{irr-mp}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset, \quad \text{Div}_v^{\text{mp}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset.$$

Suppose that $m_v \geq 2$. We define

$$Q_{v,v,i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_{v,i,1}x_{v,i} + a_{v,i,2}x_{v,i+1} + \sum_{x' \in D'_v \setminus \{x_{v,i}, x_{v,i+1}\}} n_0x' + \sum_{x \in D_v \setminus D'_v} n_0x, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m_v - 1\},$$

to be an effective divisor on X_v whose support is D_v , and whose degree is equal to $(\#(D_v) - 1)n_0$. We define

$$Q_{v,w,i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{x \in D_w \setminus \{x_{v,w}^-\}} n_0x, \quad w \in v(\Gamma) \setminus \{v\},$$

to be an effective divisor on X_w whose support is $D_w \setminus \{x_{v,w}^-\}$, and whose degree is equal to $(\#(D_w) - 1)n_0$.

Moreover, we define

$$Q_i^v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_{v,i,1}x_{v,i} + a_{v,i,2}x_{v,i+1} + \sum_{x \in D_X \setminus \{x_{v,i}, x_{v,i+1}\}} n_0x,$$

to be an effective divisor on X_Γ whose support is D_X , and whose degree is $(n_X - 1)n_0$. Note that $Q_i^v|_{D'_u} = Q_{v,u,i}|_{D'_u}$ for all $u \in v(\Gamma)$, and that $Q_{v,w,i} = Q_{v,w,i'}$ for all $i, i' \in \{1, \dots, m_v - 1\}$.

We put

$$\text{Div}_{v,i}^{\text{irr-mp}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigsqcup_{u \in v(\Gamma)} \{Q_{v,u,i}\}, \quad \text{Div}_v^{\text{irr-mp}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m_v-1} \text{Div}_{v,i}^{\text{irr-mp}}, \quad \text{Div}_v^{\text{mp}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m_v-1} \{Q_i^v\},$$

where \sqcup means disjoint union.

Step 1 (nd): Let $v \in v(\Gamma)$. We construct a family of effective divisors $\text{Div}_v^{\text{irr-nd}}$ on the irreducible component X_v^\bullet associated to the set of nodes $D_v \setminus D'_v = X_v \cap (\overline{X_\Gamma \setminus X_v})$, and construct a family of effective divisors Div_v^{nd} on X_Γ , where “nd” means “node”.

We define two families of effective divisors

$$\text{Div}_v^{\text{irr-nd}}, \quad \text{Div}_v^{\text{nd}}$$

associated to v on X_v and X_Γ , respectively, as follows. Let $z \in D_X \setminus D'_v$ and $0 < b_{v,z,1}, b_{v,z,2} < n_0$ such that $b_{v,z,1} + b_{v,z,2} = n_0$. Suppose that $m_v = 0$. Then we put

$$\text{Div}_v^{\text{irr-nd}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset, \quad \text{Div}_v^{\text{nd}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset.$$

Suppose that $m_v \neq 0$. Let w_z be the vertex such that the irreducible component X_{w_z} corresponding to w_z contains z (i.e., $z \in D'_{w_z} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_X \cap X_{w_z}$). Note that $w_z \neq v$. Moreover, let $\delta(\Gamma', v, w_z)$ be the minimal path connecting v and w_z in Γ' and $w \in v(\Gamma)$ an arbitrary vertex distinct from w_z such that $w \subseteq \delta(\Gamma', v, w_z)$. Since Γ' is a tree, we have $\#(\text{Node}_{v,w}^+ \cap \delta(\Gamma', v, w_z)) = 1$. Then we put

$$x_{v,w,w_z}^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Node}_{v,w}^+ \cap \delta(\Gamma', v, w_z) \in D_w \subseteq X_w.$$

For v and w_z , we define

$$Q_{v,v,z} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{v,z,1}x_{v,m_v} + b_{v,z,2}x_{v,v,w_z}^+ + \sum_{x \in D_v \setminus \{x_{v,m_v}, x_{v,v,w_z}^+\}} n_0x,$$

$$Q_{v,w_z,z} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{v,z,1}x_{v,w_z}^- + b_{v,z,2}z + \sum_{x \in D_{w_z} \setminus \{x_{v,w_z,z}\}} n_0x$$

to be effective divisors on X_v and X_{w_z} whose supports are D_v and D_{w_z} , and whose degrees are equal to $(\#(D_v) - 1)n_0$ and $(\#(D_{w_z}) - 1)n_0$, respectively.

Let $w \in v(\Gamma) \setminus \{v, w_z\}$ be an arbitrary vertex such that $w \subseteq \delta(\Gamma', v, w_z)$. Then we define

$$Q_{v,w,z} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{v,z,1}x_{v,w}^- + b_{v,z,2}x_{v,w,w_z}^+ + \sum_{x \in D_w \setminus \{x_{v,w}^-, x_{v,w,w_z}^+\}} n_0x$$

to be an effective divisor on X_w whose support is D_w , and whose degree is equal to $(\#(D_w) - 1)n_0$.

Let $w' \in v(\Gamma)$ be an arbitrary vertex such that $w' \not\subseteq \delta(\Gamma', v, w_z)$. Then we define

$$Q_{v,w',z} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{x \in D_{w'} \setminus \{x_{v,w'}^-\}} n_0x$$

to be an effective divisor on $X_{w'}$ whose support is $D_{w'} \setminus \{x_{v,w'}^-\}$, and whose degree is equal to $(\#(D_{w'}) - 1)n_0$. Note that, if $w'' \not\subseteq \delta(\Gamma', v, w_z) \cup \delta(\Gamma', v, w_{z'})$ for $z, z' \in D_X \setminus D'_v$, we have $Q_{v,w'',z} = Q_{v,w'',z'}$.

Moreover, we define

$$Q_z^v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{v,z,1}x_{v,m_v} + b_{v,z,2}z + \sum_{x \in D_X \setminus \{x_{v,m_v}, z\}} n_0 x$$

to be an effective divisor on X_Γ whose support is D_X , and whose degree is equal to $(n_X - 1)n_0$. Note that $Q_z^v|_{D'_u} = Q_{v,u,z}|_{D'_u}$ for all $u \in v(\Gamma)$.

We put

$$\text{Div}_{v,z}^{\text{irr-nd}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigsqcup_{u \in v(\Gamma)} \{Q_{v,u,z}\}, \quad \text{Div}_v^{\text{irr-nd}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigsqcup_{z \in D_X \setminus D'_v} \text{Div}_{v,z}^{\text{irr-nd}}, \quad \text{Div}_v^{\text{nd}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigsqcup_{z \in D_X \setminus D'_v} \{Q_z^v\}.$$

Step 2: We construct an effective divisor $P_u \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_u]^0$, $v \in v(\Gamma)$, on X_u and an effective divisor $P_\Gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_{X_\Gamma}]^0$ on X_Γ for some n .

We put

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Div}_X^{\text{irr}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigsqcup_{v \in v(\Gamma)} (\text{Div}_v^{\text{irr-mp}} \sqcup \text{Div}_v^{\text{irr-nd}}), \\ \text{Div}_X &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigsqcup_{v \in v(\Gamma)} (\text{Div}_v^{\text{mp}} \sqcup \text{Div}_v^{\text{nd}}). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\text{Div}_X^{\text{irr}}(X_u) \subseteq \text{Div}_X^{\text{irr}}$, $u \in v(\Gamma)$, the subset whose elements are effective divisors on X_u . Note that the above constructions imply $d \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#(\text{Div}_X^{\text{irr}}(X_{u_1})) = \#(\text{Div}_X^{\text{irr}}(X_{u_2})) = \#(\text{Div}_X)$ for all $u_1, u_2 \in v(\Gamma)$. Moreover, let

$$o_u : \{1, \dots, d\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Div}_X^{\text{irr}}(X_u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{P_{u,1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} o_u(1), \dots, P_{u,d} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} o_u(d)\}, \quad u \in v(\Gamma),$$

be a bijective (as sets) such that, for all $u_1, u_2 \in v(\Gamma)$ and all $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- if $P_{u_1,j} \in \text{Div}_{v,i}^{\text{irr-mp}}$ for some $v \in v(\Gamma)$ and some $i \in \{1, \dots, m_v - 1\}$, then $P_{u_2,j} \in \text{Div}_{v,i}^{\text{irr-mp}}$.
- If $P_{u_1,j} \in \text{Div}_{v,z}^{\text{irr-nd}}$ for some $v \in v(\Gamma)$ and some $z \in D_X \setminus D'_v$, then $P_{u_2,j} \in \text{Div}_{v,z}^{\text{irr-nd}}$.

Then, by the construction of Div_X , we obtain a bijection

$$o : \{1, \dots, d\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Div}_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{P_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} o(1), \dots, P_d \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} o(d)\}$$

induced by o_u , $u \in v(\Gamma)$.

Let $t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} dt_0$ and $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^d p^{(j-1)t_0}(p^{t_0} - 1) = p^t - 1$. We define

$$P_u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^d p^{(j-1)t_0} P_{u,j} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_u]^0, \quad u \in v(\Gamma),$$

$$P_\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^d p^{(j-1)t_0} P_j \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0$$

to be effective divisors of degrees $\deg(P_u) = (\#(D_u) - 1)n$ and $\deg(P_\Gamma) = (n_X - 1)n$ on X_u and X_Γ , respectively. We see that the support of P_u , $u \in v(\Gamma)$, is D_u , and that the support of P_Γ is D_X .

Let $u \in v(\Gamma)$ and $x' \in D'_u$. Then the above constructions implies $P_\Gamma|_{x'} = P_u|_{x'}$. Moreover, let $x \in D_u \setminus D'_u$. Since $\Gamma \setminus \{e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma)\}$ is a tree, $X_\Gamma \setminus \{x\}$ has two connected components C_1, C_2 . Let C_2 be the connected component such that $X_u \setminus \{x\}$ is not contained in C_2 . We denote by $C_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\{C_2\}}$ the topological closure of C_2 in X_Γ and $M_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_x \cap D_X$. Then the above constructions imply

$$P_u|_x = \text{ord}_x(P_u)x = \left[\sum_{x' \in M_x} \text{ord}_{x'}(P_\Gamma) \right] x,$$

where $[(-)]$ denotes the image of $(-)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Step 3: We construct a desired Galois multi-admissible covering of X^\bullet .

Let $u \in v(\Gamma)$ and \tilde{X}_u^\bullet the smooth pointed stable curve of type (g_u, n_u) over k (2.1.3). Write $\text{norm}_u : \tilde{X}_u \rightarrow X_u$ for the normalization morphism. Then we obtain the pulling back divisor $\text{norm}_u^*(P_u)$ on \tilde{X}_u . Note that since $\text{Supp}(P_u)$ is contained in the smooth locus of X_u , we have $\text{norm}_u^*(P_u) = P_u$. Let \mathcal{L}_{P_u} be a line bundle on \tilde{X}_u such that $\mathcal{L}_{P_u}^{\otimes n} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_u}(-P_u)$, and $\mathcal{L}_{P_u, t}$ the pulling back of \mathcal{L}_{P_u} by the natural morphism $\tilde{X}_{u, t} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ defined in 2.4.2. Then by applying [T2, Corollary 2.6, Lemma 2.12 (ii), and Corollary 2.13], we see that the Raynaud-Tamagawa theta divisor associated to $\mathcal{B}_{P_u}^t \otimes \mathcal{L}_{P_u, t}$ exists (Definition 2.7). Moreover, Proposition 2.8 implies that there exists $\tilde{\alpha}_u \in \text{Rev}_{P_u}^{\text{adm}}(\tilde{X}_u^\bullet)$ such that

$$\gamma(\tilde{\alpha}_u, P_u) = g_u + \#(D_u) - 2.$$

Then Theorem 3.9 implies that the element $\alpha_u \in \text{Rev}_{P_u}^{\text{adm}}(X_u^\bullet)$ induced by $\tilde{\alpha}_u$ such that the following holds:

$$\gamma(\alpha_u, P_u) = g_{X_u} + \#(D_u) - 2,$$

where g_{X_u} denotes the genus of X_u . Write

$$f_u^\bullet : Y_u^\bullet \rightarrow X_u^\bullet$$

for the Galois multi-admissible covering over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ induced by α_u .

Let $u' \in v(\Gamma) \setminus \{u\}$ such that $X_u \cap X_{u'} \neq \emptyset$. We denote by $x_{u, u'} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_u \cap X_{u'}$ the unique node. Then the above constructions imply

$$0 < \text{ord}_{x_{u, u'}}(P_u), \text{ord}_{x_{u, u'}}(P_{u'}) < n, \quad \text{ord}_{x_{u, u'}}(P_u) + \text{ord}_{x_{u, u'}}(P_{u'}) = n.$$

This means that we may glue (as admissible coverings) $\{Y_u^\bullet\}_{u \in v(\Gamma)}$ along $\{f_u^{-1}(D_u \setminus D'_u)\}_{u \in v(\Gamma)}$ in a way that is compatible with the gluing of $\{X_u^\bullet\}_{u \in v(\Gamma)}$ that gives rise to X_Γ^\bullet . Then we obtain a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$f_\Gamma^\bullet : Y_\Gamma^\bullet \rightarrow X_\Gamma^\bullet$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Note that the construction of f_Γ^\bullet implies that f_Γ is étale over $D_{X_\Gamma} \setminus D_X$.

Let $\Pi_{X_u^\bullet}$, $u \in v(\Gamma)$, be the admissible fundamental group of X_u^\bullet . We denote by $\alpha_\Gamma \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X_\Gamma^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ an element induced by f_Γ^\bullet such that the composition of the natural

homomorphisms $\Pi_{X_u^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X_\Gamma^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_\Gamma} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is equal to α_u for all $u \in v(\Gamma)$. We put $D_\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_\Gamma$. Then we see $\alpha_\Gamma \in \text{Rev}_{D_\Gamma}^{\text{adm}}(X_\Gamma^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$. Theorem 3.9 implies

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_\Gamma, D_\Gamma)} = g_{X_\Gamma} + s(P_\Gamma) - 1 = g_{X_\Gamma} + n_X - 2.$$

We complete the proof of the lemma. \square

5.3. The second main theorem. Now, we prove the second main result of the present paper.

Theorem 5.4. *Let X^\bullet be an arbitrary pointed stable curve of type (g_X, n_X) over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Then there exist a positive natural number $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, an effective divisor $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$ (2.2.5) on X of degree $(n_X - 1)n$ if $n_X \neq 0$ (resp. degree 0 if $n_X = 0$), and an element $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ (Definition 2.4 (i)) such that $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)}$ attains maximum (Definition 3.10 (ii)). Namely, the following holds (see Definition 3.10 (i) for $\gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\text{max}}$):*

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = \gamma_{X^\bullet}^{\text{max}} = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ g_X + n_X - 2, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $n_X \leq 1$. Then the theorem follows from Proposition 3.11 (ii). To verify the theorem, we may assume $n_X \geq 2$.

Let $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{D_X}$ be a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_X , Γ^{im} the image of the natural morphism $\phi_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_{X^\bullet}$, $X_\Gamma^\bullet = (X_\Gamma, D_{X_\Gamma})$, $X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet = (X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}, D_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}})$ the pointed stable curves over k of types $(g_{X_\Gamma}, n_{X_\Gamma})$, $(g_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}}, n_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}})$ associated to Γ , Γ^{im} , respectively (see 4.4.3, 4.4.5 for the definitions of Γ^{im} , ϕ_Γ , X_Γ^\bullet , and $X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$), and $\Pi_{X_\Gamma^\bullet}$, $\Pi_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet}$ the admissible fundamental groups of X_Γ^\bullet , $X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$, respectively.

Lemma 5.3 implies that there exist a natural number

$$n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1 > \max\{C(g_X) + 1, \#(e(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}))\},$$

an effective divisor $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_\Gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0 \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_{X_\Gamma}]^0$ on X_Γ of degree $(n_X - 1)n$, and an element $\alpha_\Gamma \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X_\Gamma^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ such that the following holds:

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_\Gamma, D)} = g_{X_\Gamma} + n_X - 2.$$

We denote by $f_\Gamma^\bullet : Z_\Gamma^\bullet \rightarrow X_\Gamma^\bullet$ the Galois multi-admissible covering over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ induced by α_Γ . Note that f_Γ is étale over $D_{X_\Gamma} \setminus D_X$. By gluing Z_Γ^\bullet along

$$f_\Gamma^{-1}(D_{X_\Gamma} \setminus (D_X \cup \{x_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in \phi_\Gamma^{-1}(e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma^{\text{im}}))}))$$

in a way that is compatible with the gluing of X_Γ^\bullet that gives rise to $X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$, we obtain a pointed stable curve $Z_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$ over k . Moreover, f_Γ^\bullet induces a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$f_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet : Z_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet \rightarrow X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Write $\alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$ for an element of $\text{Hom}(\Pi_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ induced by $f_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$ such that the composition of the natural homomorphisms $\Pi_{X_\Gamma^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is equal to α_Γ . Note that we have $D_{\alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}} = D$. Then Theorem 3.9 implies

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}, D)} = g_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}} + n_X - 2.$$

On the other hand, we write $\pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}})$ for the set of connected components of the topological closure $\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}}$ of $X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$ in X . We define the following pointed stable curve

$$E^\bullet = (E, D_E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E \cap X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}), \quad E \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}}),$$

over k . Proposition 3.11 (ii) implies that there exists a Galois *étale* covering

$$f_E^\bullet : Z_E^\bullet = (Z_E, D_{Z_E}) \rightarrow E^\bullet$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ such that the following holds:

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_E, 0)} = \begin{cases} g_E, & \text{if } g_E = 0, \\ g_X - 1, & \text{if } g_E \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where g_E denotes the genus of E , and $\alpha_E \in \text{Rev}_0^{\text{adm}}(E^\bullet)$ is an element induced by f_E^\bullet .

We may glue $Z_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet$ and $\{Z_E^\bullet\}_{E \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}})}$ along $f_\Gamma^{-1}(X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}} \cap (\bigcup_{E \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}})} E))$ and $\{f_E^{-1}(X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}} \cap E)\}_{E \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}})}$ in a way that is compatible with the gluing of $\{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet\} \cup \{E^\bullet\}_{E \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}})}$ that gives rise to X^\bullet , then we obtain a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$f^\bullet : Z^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet$$

over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\Pi_{X^\bullet}, \Pi_{E^\bullet}$ be the admissible fundamental groups of $X^\bullet, E^\bullet, E \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}})$, respectively. We write $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(\Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ for an element induced by f^\bullet such that the compositions of the natural homomorphisms $\Pi_{X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \Pi_{E^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Pi_{X^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, E \in \pi_0(\overline{X \setminus X_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}})$, are equal to $\alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{im}}}$ and α_E , respectively. We see $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$. By applying Theorem 3.9, we obtain

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = g_X + n_X - 2.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

5.4. A stronger version when $n_X = 3$. In the remainder of the present section, we prove a slightly stronger version of Theorem 5.4 when $n_X = 3$ (i.e., we prove Theorem 5.4 for a certain fixed effective divisor $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0$). The stronger version will be used in the proof of reconstructions of field structures associated to inertia subgroups (cf. Section 6.2). The main result of this subsection is Theorem 5.7.

5.4.1. Settings. We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2. Moreover, *we suppose that $n_X = 3$.*

5.4.2. We generalize Lemma 5.3 to the case of certain fixed ramification divisors when $n_X = 3$. Let $D_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, and let $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{D_X}$ be a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_X ,

$$X_\Gamma^\bullet = (X_\Gamma, D_{X_\Gamma})$$

the pointed stable curve of type $(g_{X_\Gamma}, n_{X_\Gamma})$ associated to Γ , and $\Pi_{X_\Gamma^\bullet}$ the admissible fundamental group of X_Γ^\bullet . Let $D_j \in \mathbb{Z}[D_X] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[D_{X_\Gamma}]$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, be an effective divisor on X_Γ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\deg(D_j) = 2(p^{t_j} - 1)$.
- $\text{ord}_x(D_j) \leq p^{t_j} - 1$ for each $x \in D_X$.
- $\#\{x \in D_X \mid \text{ord}_x(D_j) = p^{t_j} - 1\} \geq 1$.

Let $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{t_1+t_2+t_3} - 1$, and let

$$D_\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_1 + p^{t_1} D_2 + p^{t_1+t_2} D_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[D_X] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[D_{X_\Gamma}]$$

be an effective divisor on X_Γ with degree $2n$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Suppose that $D_\Gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0 \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_{X_\Gamma}]^0$ (i.e., $\text{ord}_x(D_\Gamma) < n$ for all $x \in D_X$), and that*

$$n > \max\{C(g_X) + 1, \#(e(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}))\}.$$

Then there exists an element $\alpha_\Gamma \in \text{Rev}_{D_\Gamma}^{\text{adm}}(X_\Gamma^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ such that the following holds:

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_\Gamma, D_\Gamma)} = g_{X_\Gamma} + 1.$$

Proof. Since Γ is a minimal quasi-tree associated to D_X , we obtain that $\Gamma' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma \setminus e^{\text{lp}}(\Gamma)$ is a tree. If $D_X \subseteq X_v$ for some $v \in v(\Gamma)$, then the lemma follows from Proposition 5.1. Without loss of generality, we may assume that one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) Let $w_1, w_2 \in v(\Gamma)$ be vertices distinct from each other such that $x_1, x_2 \in X_{w_1}$, and $x_3 \in X_{w_2}$ (see Example 5.6 (i) below).
- (ii) Let $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in v(\Gamma)$ be vertices distinct from each other such that $x_1 \in X_{v_1}$, $x_2 \in X_{v_2}$, and $x_3 \in X_{v_3}$ (see Example 5.6 (ii) below).

We put

$$D'_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_X \cap X_v, \quad D_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D'_v \cup (X_v \cap \overline{(X_\Gamma \setminus X_v)}), \quad v \in v(\Gamma)$$

where $\overline{X_\Gamma \setminus X_v}$ denotes the topological closure of $X_\Gamma \setminus X_v$ in X_Γ . Next, we construct an effective divisor $P_v \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_v]^0$ on X_v for all $v \in v(\Gamma)$.

Suppose that (i) holds. Let $y_{w_1, w_2} \in D_{w_1}$ be the unique node of X_Γ such that the closed edge of Γ' corresponding to y_{w_1, w_2} is contained in the minimal path $\delta(\Gamma', w_1, w_2)$ (5.2.3) and $z_{w_1, w_2} \in D_{w_2}$ the unique node of X_Γ such that the closed edge of Γ' corresponding to z_{w_1, w_2} is contained in the minimal path $\delta(\Gamma', w_1, w_2)$. On the other hand, let $w \in v(\Gamma) \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$ be an arbitrary vertex (possibly empty). Note that $n_X = 3$ implies that w is contained in $\delta(\Gamma', w_1, w_2)$. Since Γ' is a tree, there exist a unique node $x_{w_1, w} \in D_w$ and a unique node $x_{w_2, w} \in D_w$ such that the closed edges of Γ' corresponding to $x_{w_1, w}$ and $x_{w_2, w}$ are contained in $\delta(\Gamma', w_1, w)$ and $\delta(\Gamma', w_2, w)$, respectively.

Let $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We put

$$Q_{w_1, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j)x_1 + \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j)x_2 + \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)y_{w_1, w_2},$$

$$Q_{w_2, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\text{deg}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j)]z_{w_1, w_2} + \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)x_3,$$

$$Q_{w, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\text{deg}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j)]x_{w_1, w} + \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)x_{w_2, w}, \quad w \in v(\Gamma) \setminus \{w_1, w_2\},$$

where $[(-)]$ denotes the image of $(-)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/(p^{t_j} - 1)\mathbb{Z}$. Then $Q_{v, j}$, $v \in v(\Gamma)$, is an effective divisor on X_v whose degree is equal to $(\#(D_v) - 1)(p^{t_j} - 1)$. Moreover, we put

$$P_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_{v, 1} + p^{t_1} Q_{v, 2} + p^{t_1+t_2} Q_{v, 3} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_v]^0, \quad v \in v(\Gamma).$$

Then P_v is an effective divisor on X_v whose degree is equal to $(\#(D_v) - 1)n$, and whose support is equal to D_v .

Suppose that (ii) holds. Then one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(1) There exist $a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ distinct from each other such that $\delta(\Gamma', v_a, v_b) \cap \delta(\Gamma', v_b, v_c) \cap e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ (i.e., $\delta(\Gamma', v_a, v_c) = \delta(\Gamma', v_a, v_b) \cup \delta(\Gamma', v_b, v_c)$) (see Example 5.6 (ii)-(1) below).

(2) For all $a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ distinct from each other, we have that $\delta(\Gamma', v_a, v_b) \cap \delta(\Gamma', v_b, v_c) \cap e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ (see Example 5.6 (ii)-(2) below).

Suppose that (1) holds. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a = 1, b = 2,$ and $c = 3$. Note that $\delta(\Gamma', v_1, v_3) = \delta(\Gamma', v_1, v_2) \cup \delta(\Gamma', v_2, v_3)$. Write $y_{v_1, v_3} \in D_{v_1}$ for the unique node of X_Γ such that the closed edge of Γ' corresponding to y_{v_1, v_3} is contained in $\delta(\Gamma', v_1, v_3)$ and $z_{v_1, v_3} \in D_{v_3}$ for the unique node of X_Γ such that the closed edge of Γ' corresponding to z_{v_1, v_3} is contained in $\delta(\Gamma', v_1, v_3)$. On the other hand, let $v \in v(\Gamma) \setminus \{v_1, v_3\}$ be an arbitrary vertex. Since $n_X = 3$, we see that $v \in \delta(\Gamma', v_1, v_3)$, and that either $v \in \delta(\Gamma', v_1, v_2)$ or $v \in \delta(\Gamma', v_2, v_3)$ holds. Since Γ' is a tree, there exist a unique node $x_{v_1, v} \in D_v$ and a unique node $x_{v_3, v} \in D_v$ such that the closed edges of Γ' corresponding to $x_{v_1, v}$ and $x_{v_3, v}$ are contained in $\delta(\Gamma', v_1, v)$ and $\delta(\Gamma', v, v_3)$, respectively.

Let $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We put

$$Q_{v_1, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j)x_1 + [\text{deg}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)]y_{v_1, v_3},$$

$$Q_{v_2, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j)x_{v_1, v_2} + \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j)x_2 + \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)x_{v_3, v_2}$$

$$Q_{v_3, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\text{deg}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j)]z_{v_1, v_3} + \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)x_3$$

$$Q_{v, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j)x_{v_1, v} + [\text{deg}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)]x_{v_3, v}$$

for all $v \in (v(\Gamma) \cap \delta(\Gamma', v_1, v_2)) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$, and

$$Q_{v, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\text{deg}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j)]x_{v_1, v} + \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)x_{v_3, v}$$

for all $v \in (v(\Gamma) \cap \delta(\Gamma', v_2, v_3)) \setminus \{v_2, v_3\}$. Then $Q_{v, j}$, $v \in v(\Gamma)$, is an effective divisor on X_v whose degree is equal to $(\#(D_v) - 1)(p^{t_j} - 1)$. Moreover, we put

$$P_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_{v, 1} + p^{t_1}Q_{v, 2} + p^{t_1+t_2}Q_{v, 3} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_v]^0, \quad v \in v(\Gamma).$$

Then P_v is an effective divisor on X_v whose degree is equal to $(\#(D_v) - 1)n$, and whose support is equal to D_v .

Suppose that (2) holds. Then we have

$$\{v_0\} = v(\Gamma) \cap \delta(\Gamma', v_1, v_2) \cap \delta(\Gamma', v_2, v_3) \cap \delta(\Gamma', v_3, v_1).$$

Let $v \in v(\Gamma)$. Since $n_X = 3$, we obtain that either $v \in \delta(\Gamma', v_1, v_0)$ or $v \in \delta(\Gamma', v_2, v_0)$ or $v \in \delta(\Gamma', v_3, v_0)$ holds. Let $y_{v_i, v_0} \in D_{v_i}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, be the unique node of X_Γ such that the closed edge of Γ' corresponding to y_{v_i, v_0} is contained in $\delta(\Gamma', v_i, v_0)$ and $z_{v_i, v_0} \in D_{v_0}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, be the unique node of X_Γ such that the closed edge of Γ' corresponding to z_{v_i, v_0} is contained in $\delta(\Gamma', v_i, v_0)$. Moreover, let $v \in (v(\Gamma) \cap \delta(\Gamma', v_i, v_0)) \setminus \{v_i, v_0\}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since Γ' is a tree, there exist a unique node $x_{v_i, v} \in D_v$ and a unique node $x_{v_0, v} \in D_v$ such that the closed edges of Γ' corresponding to $x_{v_i, v}$ and $x_{v_0, v}$ are contained in $\delta(\Gamma', v_i, v)$ and $\delta(\Gamma', v_0, v)$, respectively.

Let $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We put

$$Q_{v_1, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j)x_1 + [\text{deg}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)]y_{v_1, v_0},$$

$$Q_{v_2, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j)x_2 + [\text{deg}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)]y_{v_2, v_0},$$

$$Q_{v_3, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)x_3 + [\text{deg}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j)]y_{v_3, v_0},$$

$$Q_{v_0,j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j)z_{v_1,v_0} + \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j)z_{v_2,v_0} + \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)z_{v_3,v_0}$$

$$Q_{v,j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j)x_{v_1,v} + [\deg(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)]x_{v_0,v}$$

for all $v \in (v(\Gamma) \cap \delta(\Gamma', v_1, v_0)) \setminus \{v_1, v_0\}$,

$$Q_{v,j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j)x_{v_2,v} + [\deg(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)]x_{v_0,v}$$

for all $v \in (v(\Gamma) \cap \delta(\Gamma', v_2, v_0)) \setminus \{v_2, v_0\}$, and

$$Q_{v,j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{x_3}(D_j)x_{v_3,v} + [\deg(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_1}(D_j) - \text{ord}_{x_2}(D_j)]x_{v_0,v}$$

for all $v \in (v(\Gamma) \cap \delta(\Gamma', v_3, v_0)) \setminus \{v_3, v_0\}$. Then $Q_{v,j}$, $v \in v(\Gamma)$, is an effective divisor on X_v whose degree is equal to $(\#(D_v) - 1)(p^{t_j} - 1)$. Moreover, we put

$$P_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_{v,1} + p^{t_1}Q_{v,2} + p^{t_1+t_2}Q_{v,3} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_v]^0, \quad v \in v(\Gamma).$$

Then P_v is an effective divisor on X_v whose degree is equal to $(\#(D_v) - 1)n$, and whose support is equal to D_v .

Let $v \in v(\Gamma)$, and let \tilde{X}_v^\bullet be the smooth pointed stable curve of type (g_v, n_v) over k associated to v (2.1.3). Since D_X is contained in the smooth locus of X_v , P_v can be also regarded as an effective divisor on \tilde{X}_v . By applying similar arguments to the arguments given in the proof of Proposition 5.1, there exists $\tilde{\alpha}_v \in \text{Rev}_{P_v}^{\text{adm}}(\tilde{X}_v^\bullet)$ such that

$$\gamma_{(\tilde{\alpha}_v, P_v)} = g_v + \#(D_v) - 2.$$

Then Theorem 3.9 implies that the element $\alpha_v \in \text{Rev}_{P_v}^{\text{adm}}(X_v^\bullet)$ induced by $\tilde{\alpha}_v$ such that the following holds:

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_v, P_v)} = g_{X_v} + \#(D_v) - 2,$$

where g_{X_v} denotes the genus of X_v . Write $f_v^\bullet : Y_v^\bullet \rightarrow X_v^\bullet$ for the Galois multi-admissible covering over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ induced by α_v .

By applying similar arguments to the arguments given in Step 3 of the proof of Lemma 5.3, we may glue $\{Y_v^\bullet\}_{v \in v(\Gamma)}$ along $\{f_v^{-1}(D_v \setminus D'_v)\}_{v \in v(\Gamma)}$ (as admissible coverings) in a way that is compatible with the gluing of $\{X_v^\bullet\}_{v \in v(\Gamma)}$ that gives rise to X_Γ^\bullet . Then we obtain a Galois multi-admissible covering

$$f_\Gamma^\bullet : Y_\Gamma^\bullet \rightarrow X_\Gamma^\bullet$$


over k with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Note that the construction of f_Γ^\bullet implies that f_Γ is étale over $D_{X_\Gamma} \setminus D_X$. Moreover, there exists an element $\alpha_\Gamma \in \text{Rev}_{D_\Gamma}^{\text{adm}}(X_\Gamma^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ induced by f_Γ^\bullet such that the following holds:

$$\gamma_{(\alpha_\Gamma, D_\Gamma)} = g_{X_\Gamma} + 1.$$


We complete the proof of the lemma. □

Example 5.6. We give some examples to explain the conditions considered in the proof of Lemma 5.5. We maintain the notation introduced in the proof of Lemma 5.5. Moreover, we suppose that $\Gamma_{X^\bullet} = \Gamma = \Gamma'$ is a tree.


(i) Suppose that $v(\Gamma) = \{w_1, w_2\}$. Then we have



(ii)-(1) Suppose that $v(\Gamma) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Then we have



(ii)-(2) Suppose that $v(\Gamma) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Then we have



5.4.3. We generalize Theorem 5.4 to the case of certain fixed ramification divisors as follows:

Theorem 5.7. *Let X^\bullet be an arbitrary pointed stable curve of type $(g_X, 3)$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $D_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, and let $D_j \in \mathbb{Z}[D_X]$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, be an effective divisor on X such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- $\deg(D_j) = 2(p^{t_j} - 1)$.
- $\text{ord}_x(D_j) \leq p^{t_j} - 1$ for each $x \in D_X$.
- $\#\{x \in D_X \mid \text{ord}_x(D_j) = p^{t_j} - 1\} \geq 1$.

Let $n = p^t - 1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{t_1+t_2+t_3} - 1$, and let $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_1 + p^{t_1}D_2 + p^{t_1+t_2}D_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[D_X]$ be an effective divisor on X with degree $2n$. Moreover, suppose that $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim[D_X]^0$ (2.2.5), and that

$$n > \max\{C(g_X) + 1, \#(e(\Gamma_{X^\bullet}))\}.$$

Then there exists an element $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X^\bullet) \setminus \{0\}$ (Definition 2.4 (i)) such that the following holds:

$$\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = g_X + 1.$$

Proof. By applying Lemma 5.5 and similar arguments to the arguments given in the proof of Theorem 5.4, we obtain the theorem. \square

6. APPLICATIONS TO ANABELIAN GEOMETRY

In this section, we give some applications of results obtained in previous sections. The main results of the present section are Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.4.

6.1. An anabelian formula for topological types. In this subsection, by using Theorem 5.4, we prove a group-theoretical formula for the topological type of an arbitrary pointed stable curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.

6.1.1. Settings. We maintain the notation introduced in 2.1.2. Moreover, let Π_{X^\bullet} be the admissible fundamental group of X^\bullet .

6.1.2. Let Δ be an arbitrary profinite group, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive natural number and ℓ a prime number. We denote by $D_\ell(\Delta) \subseteq \Delta$ the topological closure of $[\Delta, \Delta]\Delta^\ell$, where $[\Delta, \Delta]$ denotes the commutator subgroup of Δ . Moreover, we define the closed normal subgroup $D_\ell^{(m)}(\Delta)$ of Δ inductively by $D_\ell^{(1)}(\Delta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_\ell(\Delta)$ and $D_\ell^{(i+1)}(\Delta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_\ell(D_\ell^{(i)}(\Delta))$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. Note that $\#(\Delta/D_\ell^{(m)}(\Delta)) \leq \infty$ when Δ is topologically finitely generated. On the other hand, we denote by $F_{r,m}^\ell$ the finite group $\widehat{F}_r/D_\ell^{(m)}(\widehat{F}_r)$, where \widehat{F}_r denotes the free profinite group of rank r .

6.1.3. Let Π be an abstract profinite group which is isomorphic to Π_{X^\bullet} as profinite groups. Moreover, we denote by $\pi_A(\Pi)$ the set of finite quotients of Π . We put

$$b_\Pi^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{r \mid \text{there exists a prime number } \ell \text{ such that } (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus r} \in \pi_A(\Pi)\},$$

$$b_\Pi^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 0, & F_{b_\Pi^1, 2}^\ell \in \pi_A(\Pi) \text{ for some prime number } \ell, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that b_Π^i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$, is a group-theoretical invariant associated to Π (i.e., depends only on the isomorphism class of Π). Firstly, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. (i) *We have*

$$b_\Pi^1 = 2g_X + n_X - 1 + b_\Pi^2,$$

$$b_\Pi^2 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *There exists a unique prime number p_Π such that $(\mathbb{Z}/p_\Pi\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus b_\Pi^1} \notin \pi_A(\Pi)$. In particular, we have $p = p_\Pi$.*

Proof. (i) We put $r_\Pi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(\Pi^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, where ℓ is an arbitrary prime number $\mathfrak{P} \setminus \{p\}$, and \mathfrak{P} denotes the set of prime numbers. Then the structures of maximal prime-to- p quotients of admissible fundamental groups (2.1.7) imply that (see 2.2.2 for σ_X)

$$\Pi^{\text{ab}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{\sigma_X} \times \prod_{\ell \in \mathfrak{P} \setminus \{p\}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{r_\Pi}.$$

Since X^\bullet is a pointed stable curve, we have that $\sigma_X < r_\Pi$. This implies that $b_\Pi^1 = r_\Pi$. Moreover, we have

$$b_\Pi^1 = \begin{cases} 2g_X, & \text{if } n_X = 0, \\ 2g_X + n_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $n_X > 0$. Let $\ell_1 \in \mathfrak{P} \setminus \{p\}$. The specialization theorem of maximal pro- ℓ_1 quotients of admissible fundamental groups ([V, Théorème 2.2 (c)]) implies that the maximal pro- ℓ_1 quotient Π^{ℓ_1} of Π is a free pro- ℓ_1 profinite group of rank b_{Π}^1 . Then we have $F_{b_{\Pi,2}^1}^{\ell_1} \in \pi_A(\Pi)$. Thus, we obtain $b_{\Pi}^2 = 0$ if $n_X > 0$.

Conversely, we assume that $F_{b_{\Pi,2}^{\ell_2}} \in \pi_A(\Pi)$ for some prime number ℓ_2 . Then we have $\ell_2 \neq p$. The Schreier index formula ([S2, Chapter I §3 3.4 Corollary]) implies the following natural exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\ell_2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \ell_2^{b_{\Pi}^1}(b_{\Pi}^1-1)+1} \rightarrow F_{b_{\Pi,2}^{\ell_2}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\ell_2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus b_{\Pi}^1} \rightarrow 1.$$

Let $\phi : \Pi \twoheadrightarrow F_{b_{\Pi,2}^{\ell_2}}$ be a surjection. We denote by $X_{\ell_2}^{\bullet}$ the pointed stable curve over k corresponding to the kernel of the natural surjection $\Pi_{X^{\bullet}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi \xrightarrow{\phi} F_{b_{\Pi,2}^{\ell_2}} \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\ell_2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus b_{\Pi}^1}$ and by $\Pi_{\ell_2} \subseteq \Pi$ the kernel of the surjection $\Pi \xrightarrow{\phi} F_{b_{\Pi,2}^{\ell_2}} \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\ell_2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus b_{\Pi}^1}$. Then we have $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell_2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \ell_2^{b_{\Pi}^1}(b_{\Pi}^1-1)+1} \in \pi_A(\Pi_{\ell_2})$. This implies $b_{\Pi_{\ell_2}}^1 \geq \ell_2^{b_{\Pi}^1}(b_{\Pi}^1 - 1) + 1$. If $n_X = 0$, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula implies $g_{X_{\ell_2}} = \ell_2^{b_{\Pi}^1}(g_X - 1) + 1$, where $g_{X_{\ell_2}}$ denotes the genus of $X_{\ell_2}^{\bullet}$. Then we have $b_{\Pi_{\ell_2}}^1 = 2(\ell_2^{b_{\Pi}^1}(g_X - 1) + 1) = \ell_2^{b_{\Pi}^1}(b_{\Pi}^1 - 2) + 2$. On the other hand, $\ell_2^{b_{\Pi}^1}(b_{\Pi}^1 - 2) + 2 < \ell_2^{b_{\Pi}^1}(b_{\Pi}^1 - 1) + 1$. This contradicts the fact that $b_{\Pi_{\ell_2}}^1 \geq \ell_2^{b_{\Pi}^1}(b_{\Pi}^1 - 1) + 1$. Then we obtain $n_X > 0$ if $b_{\Pi}^2 = 0$. Moreover, we see

$$b_{\Pi}^1 = 2g_X + n_X - 1 + b_{\Pi}^2.$$

(ii) This follows immediately from the structure of Π^{ab} . This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

6.1.4. Let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p_{\Pi}}$ be an arbitrary algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{p_{\Pi}}$. Let $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\Pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p_{\Pi}}^{\times})$. We denote by $\Pi_{\chi} \subseteq \Pi$ the kernel of χ . The profinite group Π_{χ} admits a natural action of Π via the conjugation action. We put

$$N_{\chi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\pi \in H_{\chi, p_{\Pi}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}(\Pi_{\chi}, \mathbb{Z}/p_{\Pi}\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p_{\Pi}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p_{\Pi}} \mid \tau \cdot \pi = \chi(\tau)\pi \text{ for all } \tau \in \Pi\},$$

$$\gamma_{N_{\chi}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p_{\Pi}}} (N_{\chi}),$$

where $(\tau \cdot \pi)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi(\tau^{-1} \cdot x)$ for all $x \in \Pi_{\chi}$. We define a group-theoretical invariant associated to Π as follows:

$$\gamma_{\Pi}^{\max} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{\gamma_{N_{\chi}} \mid \chi \in \text{Hom}(\Pi, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p_{\Pi}}^{\times}) \text{ and } \chi \neq 1\}.$$

Let $\mu_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi(\Pi) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p_{\Pi}}^{\times}$ be the image of χ which is the group of m th roots of unity for some m prime to p_{Π} , and $X_{\chi}^{\bullet} = (X_{\chi}, D_{X_{\chi}}) \rightarrow X^{\bullet}$ the Galois multi-admissible covering over k with Galois group μ_m induced by χ . Then we have a natural Π -equivariant isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_{\chi}, \mathbb{F}_{p_{\Pi}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p_{\Pi}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p_{\Pi}} \cong H_{\chi, p_{\Pi}}.$$

Moreover, since the actions of Π on $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_{\chi}, \mathbb{F}_{p_{\Pi}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p_{\Pi}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p_{\Pi}}$ and $H_{\chi, p_{\Pi}}$ factor through $\Pi/\Pi_{\chi} \cong \mu_m$, the isomorphism above is also a μ_m -equivariant. Namely, $\gamma_{N_{\chi}}$ is a generalized Hasse-Witt invariant of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -cyclic admissible coverings of X^{\bullet} (2.2.4). Then we have the following result.

Lemma 6.2. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Then we have $\gamma_{\Pi}^{\max} = \gamma_{X^{\bullet}}^{\max}$ (see Definition 3.10 (i) for γ_{Π}^{\max}). In particular, we have*

$$\gamma_{\Pi}^{\max} = g_X + n_X - 2 + b_{\Pi}^2.$$

Proof. The first part of the lemma follows from the above explanation concerning γ_{N_X} . The “in particular” part of the lemma follows immediately from Theorem 5.4 and Lemma 6.1 (i). \square

6.1.5. We have the following anabelian formula for (g_X, n_X) .

Theorem 6.3. *Let X^{\bullet} be an arbitrary pointed stable curve of type (g_X, n_X) over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$, $\Pi_{X^{\bullet}}$ the admissible fundamental group of X^{\bullet} , and Π an abstract profinite group such that $\Pi \cong \Pi_{X^{\bullet}}$ as profinite groups. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} g_X &= b_{\Pi}^1 - \gamma_{\Pi}^{\max} - 1, \\ n_X &= 2\gamma_{\Pi}^{\max} - b_{\Pi}^1 - b_{\Pi}^2 + 3. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, g_X and n_X are group-theoretical invariants associated to Π .

Proof. The theorem follows immediately from Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2. \square

6.1.6. We maintain the notation introduced above. Moreover, suppose that X^{\bullet} is *smooth* over k . In the remainder of this subsection, we discuss a formula for (g_X, n_X) which was essentially obtained by Tamagawa. Let $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1$, and let K_n be the kernel of the natural surjection $\Pi \twoheadrightarrow \Pi^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. In [T2], Tamagawa introduced

$$\text{Avr}_p(\Pi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(K_n^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)}{\#(\Pi^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})}$$

which is called the limit of p -averages associated to Π . Note that since $p = p_{\Pi}$ (Lemma 6.1 (ii)), we have that $\text{Avr}_p(\Pi)$ is a group-theoretical invariant associated to Π . Then the main theorem of [T2] (i.e., Tamagawa’s p -average theorem, see [T2, Theorem 0.5]) is the following:

$$\text{Avr}_p(\Pi) = \begin{cases} g_X - 1, & \text{if } n_X \leq 1, \\ g_X, & \text{if } n_X \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let $\ell \gg 0$ be an arbitrary prime number distinct from p_{Π} . Write $\text{Nom}_{\ell}(\Pi)$ for the set of normal subgroups of Π of index ℓ . Suppose that $b_{\Pi}^2 = 0$ (i.e., $n_X \neq 0$). It is well-known that

$$\text{Avr}_p(\Pi(\ell)) - 1 = \ell(\text{Avr}_p(\Pi))$$

for every $\ell \in \mathfrak{P} \setminus \{p_{\Pi}\}$ and every $\Pi(\ell) \in \text{Nom}_{\ell}(\Pi)$ if and only if $n_X = 1$. We may define a group-theoretical invariant associated to Π as follows:

$$c_{\Pi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } b_{\Pi}^2 = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } b_{\Pi}^2 = 0, \text{ Avr}_p(\Pi(\ell)) - 1 = \ell(\text{Avr}_p(\Pi)) \text{ for} \\ & \text{all } \ell \in \mathfrak{P} \setminus \{p_{\Pi}\} \text{ and all } \Pi(\ell) \in \text{Nom}_{\ell}(\Pi), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then Tamagawa’s p -average theorem implies immediately the following formula:

$$g_X = \text{Avr}_p(\Pi) + c_{\Pi}, \quad n_X = b_{\Pi}^1 - 2\text{Avr}_p(\Pi) - 2c_{\Pi} - b_{\Pi}^2 + 1.$$

In particular, g_X and n_X are group-theoretical invariants associated to Π when X^\bullet is smooth over k .

Before Tamagawa proved the above result, he also obtained an étale fundamental group version formula for (g_X, n_X) in a completely different way (by using wildly ramified coverings) which is much simpler than the case of tame fundamental groups (see [T1, §1]). Note that, for any smooth pointed stable curve over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic, since the tame fundamental group can be reconstructed group-theoretically from the étale fundamental group ([T1, Corollary 1.10]), the tame fundamental group version is stronger than the étale fundamental group version. On the other hand, tame fundamental groups are much better than étale fundamental groups if we study anabelian geometry in positive characteristic from the point of view of moduli spaces (e.g. [T3], [Y2]).

6.1.7. The approach to finding a group-theoretical formula for (g_X, n_X) by applying the limit of p -averages associated to Π explained above *is difficult to be generalized* to the case where X^\bullet is an arbitrary (possibly singular) pointed stable curve. The reason is as follows. In [Y4], the author generalized Tamagawa's p -average theorem to the case of pointed stable curves ([Y4, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4]). The generalized formula concerning the limit of p -averages associated to Π is very complicated in general when X^\bullet is not smooth over k , and $\text{Avr}_p(\Pi)$ depends not only on the topological type (g_X, n_X) but also on the structure of dual semi-graph Γ_{X^\bullet} .

6.2. Field structures associated to inertia subgroups of marked points. In this subsection, we prove that the field structures associated to inertia subgroups of marked points of arbitrary pointed stable curves can be reconstructed group-theoretically from admissible fundamental groups and inertia subgroups of marked points, and that a surjective open continuous homomorphism of admissible fundamental groups induces a field isomorphism of the fields associated to inertia subgroups of marked points.

6.2.1. **Settings.** Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and let X_i^\bullet be a pointed stable curve of type (g_X, n_X) over an algebraically closed field k_i of characteristic $p > 0$, $\Gamma_{X_i^\bullet}$ the dual semi-graph of X_i^\bullet , and $\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}$ the admissible fundamental group of X_i^\bullet . Let $\widehat{X}_i^\bullet = (\widehat{X}_i, D_{\widehat{X}_i})$ be the universal admissible covering of X_i^\bullet corresponding to $\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}$ (2.2.6), $\Gamma_{\widehat{X}_i^\bullet}$ the dual semi-graph of \widehat{X}_i^\bullet , $e_i \in e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{X_i^\bullet})$ an open edge, and x_{e_i} the closed point of X_i corresponding to e_i . Note that we have $\text{Aut}(\widehat{X}_i^\bullet/X_i^\bullet) = \Pi_{X_i^\bullet}$.

Let $\widehat{e}_i \in e^{\text{op}}(\Gamma_{\widehat{X}_i^\bullet})$ be an open edge over e_i . We denote by

$$I_{\widehat{e}_i} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)^{p'} \subseteq \Pi_{X_i^\bullet}$$

the stabilizer subgroup of \widehat{e}_i .

6.2.2. Write $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p,i}$ for the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p in k_i . We put

$$\mathbb{F}_{\widehat{e}_i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (I_{\widehat{e}_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_i^{p'}) \sqcup \{*\widehat{e}_i\},$$

where $\{*\widehat{e}_i\}$ is a one-point set, and $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_i^{p'}$ denotes the prime-to- p part of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} which can be canonically identified with

$$\bigcup_{(p,m)=1} \mu_m(k_i).$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{F}_{\widehat{e}_i}$ can be identified with $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p,i}$ as sets, hence, admits a *structure of field*, whose multiplicative group is $I_{\widehat{e}_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_i^{p'}$, and whose zero element is $*_{\widehat{e}_i}$. We have the following important result.

Theorem 6.4. *We maintain the notation introduced above. Let $\phi : \Pi_{X_1^\bullet} \rightarrow \Pi_{X_2^\bullet}$ be an arbitrary surjective open continuous homomorphism of admissible fundamental groups. Suppose that $\phi(I_{\widehat{e}_1}) = I_{\widehat{e}_2}$, and that $n_X = 3$. Then there exists a group-theoretical algorithm whose input data are $\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}$ and $I_{\widehat{e}_i}$, and whose output datum is $\mathbb{F}_{\widehat{e}_i}$ (as a field). Moreover, ϕ induces a field isomorphism*

$$\phi_{\widehat{e}_1, \widehat{e}_2}^{\text{fd}} : \mathbb{F}_{\widehat{e}_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_{\widehat{e}_2},$$

where “fd” means “field”.

Proof. Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_{p^t, \widehat{e}_i}$ the unique subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{\widehat{e}_i}$ whose cardinality is equal to p^t . On the other hand, we fix a finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^t} of cardinality p^t and an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of \mathbb{F}_p containing \mathbb{F}_{p^t} . Note that the field structure of $\mathbb{F}_{p^t, \widehat{e}_i}$ is equivalent to a subset

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{fd}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^t, \widehat{e}_i}, \mathbb{F}_{p^t}) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^t, \widehat{e}_i}^\times, \mathbb{F}_{p^t}^\times),$$

where “gp” means “group”, and “fd” means “field”. Then in order to prove the first part of the theorem, it is sufficient to prove that there exists a group-theoretical algorithm whose input data are $\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}$ and $I_{\widehat{e}_i}$, and whose output datum is the subset $\text{Hom}_{\text{fd}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^t, \widehat{e}_i}, \mathbb{F}_{p^t})$ for t in a cofinal subset of \mathbb{N} with respect to division.

Let $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^t - 1$ and $\chi_i \in \text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}(\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_{p^t}^\times)$. Write H_{χ_i} for the kernel of $\Pi_{X_i^\bullet} \rightarrow \Pi_{X_i^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\chi_i} \mathbb{F}_{p^t}^\times$, M_{χ_i} for $H_{\chi_i}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$, and $X_{H_{\chi_i}}^\bullet = (X_{H_{\chi_i}}, D_{X_{H_{\chi_i}}})$ for the pointed stable curve over k_i induced by H_{χ_i} . Note that M_{χ_i} admits a natural action of $\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}$ via the conjugation action. Moreover, this action factors through $\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We put

$$M_{\chi_i}[\chi_i] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \in M_{\chi_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \mid \sigma \cdot a = \chi_i(\sigma)a \text{ for all } \sigma \in \Pi_{X_i^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$\gamma_{\chi_i}(M_{\chi_i}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(M_{\chi_i}[\chi_i]).$$

Note that $\gamma_{\chi_i}(M_{\chi_i})$ is a generalized Hasse-Witt invariant of a cyclic multi-admissible covering of X_i^\bullet with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and that Lemma 2.5 implies that $\gamma_{\chi_i}(M_{\chi_i}) \leq g_X + 1$ if $n_X = 3$. Moreover, we define two maps

$$\text{Res}_{i,t} : \text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}(\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_{p^t}^\times) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^t, \widehat{e}_i}^\times, \mathbb{F}_{p^t}^\times),$$

$$\Gamma_{i,t} : \text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}(\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_{p^t}^\times) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad \chi_i \mapsto \gamma_{\chi_i}(M_{\chi_i}),$$

where the map $\text{Res}_{i,t}$ is the restriction with respect to the natural inclusion

$$\mathbb{F}_{p^t, \widehat{e}_i}^\times = I_{\widehat{e}_i} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{X_i^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let m_0 be the product of all prime numbers $\leq p - 2$ if $p \neq 2, 3$ and $m_0 = 1$ if $p = 2, 3$. Let t_0 be the order of p in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/m_0\mathbb{Z})^\times$. We have the following claim (see [T2, Claim 5.4] for the case where X_i^\bullet is smooth over k_i):

Claim A: There exists a constant $C(g_X)$ which depends only on g_X such that, for each natural number $t > \max\{\log_p(C(g_X) + 1), \log_p(\#(e^{\text{cl}}(\Gamma_{X_i^\bullet})))\}$ divisible by t_0 , we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{fd}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^t, \widehat{e}_i}, \mathbb{F}_{p^t}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}^{\text{surj}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^t, \widehat{e}_i}^\times, \mathbb{F}_{p^t}^\times) \setminus \text{Res}_{i,t}(\Gamma_{i,t}^{-1}(\{g_X + 1\})), \quad i \in \{1, 2\},$$

where $\text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}^{\text{surj}}(-, -)$ denotes the set of surjections whose elements are contained in $\text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}(-, -)$.

By applying similar arguments to the arguments given in the proof of [T2, Claim 5.4], Claim A is equivalent to the following claim:

Claim B: Let $m \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim$ (2.2.5). Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) We have $m \in \{p^r \mid r = 0, \dots, t-1\}$.
- (ii) We have that $(m, n) = 1$, and that, there does not exist $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$ (2.2.5) and $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X_i^\bullet)$ (Definition 2.4 (i)) such that $\text{ord}_{x_{e_i}}(D) = m$ and $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = g_X + 1$.

Let us prove Claim B. Firstly, we prove (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If $s(D) = 1$ (2.2.5), then Lemma 2.5 implies $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} \leq g_X$. Thus, we may assume that $s(D) = 2$. We put

$$D_{X_i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_{i,1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_{e_i}, x_{i,2}, x_{i,3}\}.$$

By [T2, Proposition 2.21 (iv-a)], either $\text{ord}_{x_{i,1}}(D) = n$ or $\text{ord}_{x_{i,3}}(D) = n$ holds. This is impossible as $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$. Next, we prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that $m \notin \{p^r \mid r = 0, \dots, t-1\}$, and that $(m, n) = 1$. Since t is divisible by t_0 , n is divisible by all prime numbers $\leq p-2$. Then the assumption $(m, n) = 1$ implies that $m \notin \{ap^r \mid a = 0, \dots, p-2, r = 0, \dots, t-1\}$. Then [T2, Proposition 2.21 (iv-c)] and Theorem 5.7 imply that there exist $D \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\sim [D_X]^0$ and $\alpha \in \text{Rev}_D^{\text{adm}}(X_i^\bullet)$ such that $\text{ord}_{x_{e_i}}(D) = m$ and $\gamma_{(\alpha, D)} = g_X + 1$. This completes the proof of the claim. Then we complete the proof of the first part of the theorem.

Next, we prove the “moreover” part of the theorem. Let $\kappa_2 \in \text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}(\Pi_{X_2^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_{p^t}^\times)$. Then we obtain a character

$$\kappa_1 \in \text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}(\Pi_{X_1^\bullet}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_{p^r}^\times)$$

induced by ϕ . Moreover, the surjection $\phi|_{H_{\kappa_1}}$ induces a surjection $M_{\kappa_1}[\kappa_1] \twoheadrightarrow M_{\kappa_2}[\kappa_2]$. Suppose that $\kappa_2 \in \Gamma_{2,r}^{-1}(\{g_X + 1\})$. The surjection $M_{\kappa_1}[\kappa_1] \twoheadrightarrow M_{\kappa_2}[\kappa_2]$ implies that $\gamma_{\kappa_1}(M_{\kappa_1}) = g_X + 1$. Namely, we have $\kappa_1 \in \Gamma_{1,r}^{-1}(\{g_X + 1\})$. On the other hand, the isomorphism $\phi|_{I_{\hat{e}_1}} : I_{\hat{e}_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} I_{\hat{e}_2}$ induces an injection

$$\text{Res}_{2,r}(\Gamma_{2,r}^{-1}(\{g_X + 1\})) \hookrightarrow \text{Res}_{1,r}(\Gamma_{1,r}^{-1}(\{g_X + 1\})).$$

Since $\#(\text{Hom}_{\text{fd}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r, \hat{e}_1}, \mathbb{F}_{p^r})) = \#(\text{Hom}_{\text{fd}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r, \hat{e}_2}, \mathbb{F}_{p^r}))$, Claim A implies that $\phi|_{I_{\hat{e}_1}}$ induces a bijection $\text{Hom}_{\text{fd}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r, \hat{e}_2}, \mathbb{F}_{p^r}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{fd}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r, \hat{e}_1}, \mathbb{F}_{p^r})$. Thus, $\phi|_{I_{\hat{e}_1}}$ induces a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{fd}}(\mathbb{F}_{\hat{e}_2}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{fd}}(\mathbb{F}_{\hat{e}_1}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p).$$

If we choose $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p = \mathbb{F}_{\hat{e}_2}$, then the image of $\text{id}_{\mathbb{F}_{\hat{e}_2}}$ via the above bijection induces a field isomorphism

$$\phi_{\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2}^{\text{fd}} : \mathbb{F}_{\hat{e}_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_{\hat{e}_2}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 6.4.1. We maintain the notation introduced above. In fact, by applying Theorem 5.4, we can prove that $I_{\hat{e}_i}$ can be reconstructed group-theoretically from $\Pi_{X_i^\bullet}$, and that $\phi(I_{\hat{e}_1})$ is always a stabilizer of an open edge of $\Gamma_{\hat{X}_2^\bullet}$ over an open edge of $\Gamma_{X_2^\bullet}$ ([Y5, Theorem 4.11]). Moreover, by applying similar arguments to the arguments given in the

proof of [Y2, Proposition 6.1], to reconstruct the field structures, we may assume that $n_X = 3$. Thus, Theorem 6.4 implies that the field structures associated to inertia subgroups of marked points can be reconstructed group-theoretically from surjective open continuous homomorphisms of admissible fundamental groups ([Y5, Theorem 4.13]).

REFERENCES

- [B] I. Bouw, The p -rank of ramified covers of curves, *Compositio Math.* **126** (2001), 295–322.
- [C] R. Crew, Étale p -covers in characteristic p , *Compositio Math.* **52** (1984), 31–45.
- [FJ] M. D. Fried, M. Jarden, Field arithmetic. Third edition. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics* **11**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [H] D. Harbater, Fundamental groups of curves in characteristic p , *Proceedings of the ICM (Zürich, 1994)*, Birkhäuser; Basel, 1995, 656–666.
- [K] F. Knudsen, The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves, II: The stacks $M_{g,n}$, *Math. Scand.*, **52** (1983), 161–199.
- [M] S. Mochizuki, Semi-graphs of anabelioids. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **42** (2006), 221–322.
- [N] S. Nakajima, On generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of an algebraic curve, *Galois groups and their representations* (Nagoya 1981) (Y. Ihara, ed.), *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **2**, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1983, 69–88.
- [OP] E. Ozman, R. Pries, Ordinary and almost ordinary Prym varieties. *Asian J. Math.* **23** (2019), 455–477.
- [PS] F. Pop, M. Saïdi, On the specialization homomorphism of fundamental groups of curves in positive characteristic. *Galois groups and fundamental groups*, 107–118, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, **41**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [R1] M. Raynaud, Sections des fibrés vectoriels sur une courbe. *Bull. Soc. math. France* **110** (1982), 103–125.
- [R2] M. Raynaud, Sur le groupe fondamental d’une courbe complète en caractéristique $p > 0$. *Arithmetic fundamental groups and noncommutative algebra (Berkeley, CA, 1999)*, 335–351, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **70**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [S1] J-P. Serre, Sur la topologie des variétés algébriques en caractéristique p . *Symp. Int. Top. Alg., Mexico* (1958), 24–53.
- [S2] J-P. Serre, Trees. Translated from the French by John Stillwell. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.
- [T1] A. Tamagawa, On the fundamental groups of curves over algebraically closed fields of characteristic > 0 . *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (1999), 853–873.
- [T2] A. Tamagawa, On the tame fundamental groups of curves over algebraically closed fields of characteristic > 0 . *Galois groups and fundamental groups*, 47–105, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, **41**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [T3] A. Tamagawa, Finiteness of isomorphism classes of curves in positive characteristic with prescribed fundamental groups. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **13** (2004), 675–724.
- [V] I. Vidal, Contributions à la cohomologie étale des schémas et des log-schémas, Thèse, U. Paris-Sud (2001).
- [Y1] Y. Yang, On the admissible fundamental groups of curves over algebraically closed fields of characteristic $p > 0$, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **54** (2018), 649–678.
- [Y2] Y. Yang, Tame anabelian geometry and moduli spaces of curves over algebraically closed fields of characteristic $p > 0$, preprint. See <http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~yuyang/>
- [Y3] Y. Yang, On topological and combinatorial structures of pointed stable curves over algebraically closed fields of positive characteristic. See <http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~yuyang/>
- [Y4] Y. Yang, On the averages of generalized Hasse-Witt invariants of pointed stable curves in positive characteristic, *Math. Z.* **295** (2020), 1–45.
- [Y5] Y. Yang, Moduli spaces of fundamental groups of curves in positive characteristic I, preprint, arXiv:2010.01806. See also <http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~yuyang/>

- [Y6] Y. Yang, Moduli spaces of fundamental groups of curves in positive characteristic II, in preparation.
- [Z] B. Zhang, Revêtements étales abéliens de courbes génériques et ordinarité, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (5) **6** (1992), 133–138.