

# Estimate of Heat Kernel for Euler-Maruyama Scheme of SDEs Driven by $\alpha$ -Stable Noise and Applications <sup>\*</sup>

Xing Huang<sup>a)</sup>, Yongqiang Suo<sup>b)</sup>, Chenggui Yuan<sup>b)</sup>

<sup>a)</sup>Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

<sup>b)</sup>Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, bay Campus, SA1 8EN, UK

November 3, 2021

## Abstract

In this paper, the discrete parameter expansion is adopted to investigate the estimation of heat kernel for Euler-Maruyama scheme of SDEs driven by  $\alpha$ -stable noise, which implies Krylov's estimate and Khasminskii's estimate. As an application, the convergence rate of Euler-Maruyama scheme for a class of multidimensional SDEs with singular drift (in aid of Zvonkin's transform) is obtained.

AMS subject Classification: 60H10, 34K26, 39B72.

Keywords: Zvonkin's transform, Euler-Maruyama scheme, Heat kernel, Krylov's estimate.

## 1 Introduction and Main Results

In the past decades, there is a great of interest in investigating pathwise uniqueness for stochastic differential equations (SDEs for abbreviation) with singular coefficients, and a useful method in this direction is Zvonkin's transform which was introduced in [24]. This method has been applied to various SDEs, see e.g. [6, 8, 11, 13, 20, 21, 22, 23] and references therein. In recent years, Zvonkin's transform has also been applied to investigate the convergence rate of Euler-Maruyama (EM for short) for SDEs, we refer to [1, 5, 7, 9, 15, 16, 17] for more details. It is worth noting that the drifts of SDEs in these literature are assumed to be Hölder(-Dini) continuous or piecewise Lipschitz continuous. However, when the drifts

---

<sup>\*</sup>Supported in part by NNSFC (11801406).

only belong to some Sobolev space, essential difficulty comes up. More precisely, it is not easy to obtain the estimate like

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^T b(X_t^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{t_\delta}^{(\delta)}) dt \right|^q \leq \Phi(\delta)$$

for some function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \Phi(\delta) = 0$ , here,  $X_t^{(\delta)}$  stands for the solution to the numerical SDEs and  $b$  is the singular drift. Recently, the first author with his co-authors obtained strong convergence rate of EM scheme for SDEs driven by Brownian motion with integrable drift in [2], where Gaussian type estimate of heat kernel for  $X_t^{(\delta)}$  as well as Krylov's estimate and Khasminskii's estimate are established. However, it is not trivial to extend this result to the SDEs driven by  $W_{S_t}$  with  $\alpha$ -stable subordinator  $S_t$ . Instead of Girsanov's transform, which is unavailable when the noise is  $W_{S_t}$ , we will adopt discrete parameter expansion used in [12] to obtain explicit upper bounds of heat kernel of solution to discrete-time EM scheme with  $\alpha$ -stable noise. As an application, we investigate the strong convergence rate of EM scheme for SDEs with Sobolev's drift.

To state our main result, we first introduce some notations and facts of Sobolev's space, which can be found in [18].

For  $(p, \gamma) \in [1, \infty] \times [0, 2]$ , let  $H_p^\gamma := (I - \Delta)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  be the usual Bessel potential space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\gamma, p} := \|(I - \Delta)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} f\|_p \asymp \|f\|_p + \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} f\|_p,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is the usual  $L^p$ -norm in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $(I - \Delta)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} f$  and  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} f$  are defined by the Fourier transformation

$$(I - \Delta)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mathcal{F}f), \quad (-\Delta)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\cdot|^\gamma \mathcal{F}f).$$

For  $p = \infty, \gamma = 1$ , we define  $H_\infty^1$  as the space of Lipschitz functions with norm

$$\|f\|_{1, \infty} := \|f\|_\infty + \|\nabla f\|_\infty.$$

We also need the following Sobolev embedding: for  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $\gamma \in [0, 2]$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} H_p^\gamma \subset L^q, \quad q \in \left[ p, \frac{dp}{d - \gamma p} \right], & \gamma p < d; \\ H_p^\gamma \subset H_\infty^{\gamma - \frac{d}{p}} \subset C_b^{\gamma - \frac{d}{p}}, & \gamma p > d, \end{cases}$$

where  $C_b^\beta$  is the usual Hölder space. Moreover, for  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$  and  $p \in (1, \infty]$ , there exists a constant  $c$  such that for all  $f \in H_p^\gamma$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad \|f(\cdot + z) - f(\cdot)\|_p \leq c(|z|^\gamma \wedge 1) \|f\|_{\gamma, p}.$$

Consider the following SDE

$$(1.3) \quad dX_t = b(X_t)dt + dW_{S_t}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad X_0 = x.$$

Herein,  $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $(W_{S_t})_{t \geq 0}$  be a rotationally invariant  $d$ -dimensional  $\alpha$ -stable process, which is composed of processes  $W_t$  and  $S_t$ , where  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion on some complete filtration probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ .  $S_t$  is an  $\alpha/2$ -stable ( $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ ) subordinator independent of  $W$ . More precisely,  $S_t$  is a nonnegative and increasing one-dimensional Lévy process with Laplace transform  $\mathbb{E}e^{-\gamma S_t} = e^{-t\gamma^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}$ ,  $\gamma, t \geq 0$ . By the scaling property,  $S_t$  is equal to  $t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}S_1$  in law.

Throughout the paper, we present the following assumptions.

**(A1)**  $\|b\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |b(x)| < \infty$ .

**(A2)** There exist constants  $\beta \in (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1)$  and  $p > (\frac{2d}{\alpha} \vee 2)$  such that  $b \in H_p^\beta$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Under **(A2)**, (1.3) has a unique strong solution  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ; see, for instance, [20, Theorem 2.4].

The EM scheme corresponding to (1.3) is defined as follows: for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad dX_t^{(\delta)} = b(X_{t_\delta}^{(\delta)})dt + dW_{S_t}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad X_0^{(\delta)} = X_0$$

with  $t_\delta := \lfloor t/\delta \rfloor \delta$ , where  $\lfloor t/\delta \rfloor$  denotes the integer part of  $t/\delta$ . We emphasize that  $(X_{k\delta}^{(\delta)})_{k \geq 0}$  is a homogeneous Markov process. For  $t \geq s$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , denote  $p^{(\delta)}(s, x; t, \cdot)$  the transition density of  $X_t^{(\delta)}$  with the starting point  $X_s^{(\delta)} = x$ .

Let  $p_\alpha(t, x)$  be the heat kernel of  $W_{S_t}$ . Our first main result gives an explicit upper bound of the transition kernel  $p^{(\delta)}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Under **(A1)**, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(1.5) \quad p^{(\delta)}(j\delta, x; t, y) \leq Cp_\alpha(t - j\delta, y - x), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > j\delta, \quad \delta \in (0, 1).$$

As an application of Theorem 1.2, we provide strong convergence rate of EM Scheme (1.4).

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume **(A1)**-**(A2)**. Then, for  $\eta \in (0, 2)$ , there exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t - X_t^{(\delta)}|^\eta \right) \leq C_1 \exp \left\{ C_2 \left( 1 + \|b\|_{\frac{2\alpha p}{\alpha p - 2d}, p} \right) \right\} \left( \delta^{\frac{\eta\beta}{\alpha}} 1_{\{2\beta < \alpha\}} + \mathbb{E}(S_1^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\epsilon}) \delta^{\frac{\eta}{2}\epsilon} 1_{\{2\beta \geq \alpha\}} \right).$$

Throughout the paper, the letter  $C, c$  with or without subscripts will denote a positive constant, whose value may change in different places. We write  $f(x) \asymp g(x)$  to mean that there exist constants  $C_1, C_2$  such that  $C_1g(x) \leq f(x) \leq C_2g(x)$ , and  $f(x) \vee g(x) = \max\{f(x), g(x)\}$ ,  $f(x) \wedge g(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}$ .

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 and 3, we investigate the estimations of heat kernels of (1.3) and (1.4), respectively. The convergence rate of (1.4) is discussed in Section 4.

## 2 Heat Kernel of SDE (1.3)

Before moving on, we first give some notations and backgrounds for the parameter expansion method.

Let  $\eta_t$  be the density of  $S_t$ . It follows from [3, Lemma 2.1] that

$$(2.1) \quad p_\alpha(t, x) = \int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2s}} \eta_t(s) ds \asymp t(t^{1/\alpha} + |x|)^{-d-\alpha}, \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The following inequality will be used frequently:

$$(2.2) \quad (t^{1/\alpha} + |x + z|)^{-\gamma} \leq 4^\gamma (t^{1/\alpha} + |x|)^{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma \geq 0, |z| \leq (2t^{1/\alpha}) \vee (|x|/2).$$

Moreover, according to [4, Lemma 2.2], it is clear that

$$(2.3) \quad |\nabla^k p_\alpha(t, x)| \leq Ct(t^{1/\alpha} + |x|)^{-d-\alpha-k} \leq Ct^{-k/\alpha} p_\alpha(t, x), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\nabla^k$  stands for the  $k$ -order gradient with respect to the spatial variable  $x$ .

According to the Markov property of  $W_{S_t}$ , we have

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t - r, x' - y) p_\alpha(r - s, y - x) dy = p_\alpha(t - s, x' - x).$$

In addition, for any  $p \geq 1$ , (2.1) implies that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|p_\alpha(t, \cdot)\|_p &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Ct^p (t^{1/\alpha} + |x|)^{-pd-p\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Ct^p t^{-pd/\alpha - p\alpha/\alpha} (1 + |x|/t^{1/\alpha})^{-pd-p\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Ct^p t^{-pd/\alpha - p\alpha/\alpha} t^{d/\alpha} (1 + |y|)^{-pd-p\alpha} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq Ct^{-d/\alpha + d/(\alpha p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{L}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}} \{f(x + z) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), z \rangle 1_{\{|z| \leq 1\}}\} \nu(dz),$$

where  $\nu(dz) = \frac{c_\alpha}{|z|^{-d-\alpha}} dz$  for some constant  $c_\alpha > 0$ ,  $\nu$  is the Lévy measure of  $W_{S_t}$ .

Using Itô's formula, one deduces that  $X$  defined in (1.3) has generator

$$Lf(x) = b(x)\nabla f(x) + \mathcal{L}f(x).$$

Under **(A1)**-**(A2)**,  $X_t$  admits a transition density  $p(s, x; t, y)$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t p(s, x; t, y) &= L^* p(s, x; t, \cdot)(y), \quad p(s, x; t, \cdot) \rightarrow \delta_{\{x\}}(\cdot), \quad t \downarrow s, \\ \partial_s p(s, x; t, y) &= -L p(s, \cdot; t, y)(x), \quad p(s, \cdot; t, y) \rightarrow \delta_{\{y\}}(\cdot), \quad t \downarrow s, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_x$  is the Delta function in the point  $x$ ,  $L^*$  is the adjoint operator of  $L$ .

Consider the following frozen SDE for  $t > s$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad d\tilde{X}_t^{s,x,x'} = b(x')dt + dW_{S_t}, \quad \tilde{X}_s^{s,x,x'} = x.$$

$\tilde{p}^{x'}(s, x; t, x')$  denotes its transition density at  $x'$ , given  $\tilde{X}_s^{s,x,x'} = x$ . It is not difficult to see that

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{p}^{x'}(s, x; t, x') = p_\alpha(t - s, x' - x - b(x')(t - s)).$$

A direct calculation yields

$$(2.10) \quad \partial_s \tilde{p}^{x'}(s, x; t, x') = -\tilde{L}^{x'} \tilde{p}^{x'}(s, x; t, x'), \quad t > s, \quad \tilde{p}^{x'}(s, \cdot; t, x') \delta_{\{x'\}}(\cdot), \quad t \downarrow s,$$

where  $\tilde{L}^{x'} f(x) = b(x') \nabla f(x) + \mathcal{L} f(x)$  is the infinitesimal generator of (2.8).

Let

$$(2.11) \quad H(s, x; t, x') = (L - \tilde{L}^{x'}) \tilde{p}^{x'}(s, x; t, x') = \langle b(x) - b(x'), \nabla_x \tilde{p}^{x'}(s, x; t, x') \rangle.$$

We define the following convolution type binary operation  $\otimes$ :

$$f \otimes g(s, x; t, x') = \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(r, y; t, x') g(s, x; r, y) dr dy.$$

Recall that  $\eta_t$  is the density of  $S_t$ . Let

$$\Theta(r) = \frac{\mathbb{E} \left( (2\pi S_1)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{\frac{r}{2S_1}} \right)}{\mathbb{E} (2\pi S_1)^{-\frac{d}{2}}} = \frac{\int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{\frac{r}{2s}} \eta_1(s) ds}{\int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \eta_1(s) ds}, \quad r \geq 0,$$

which is well defined due to

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\gamma S_t^{-1}} \leq \exp \left[ \frac{c\gamma}{t^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} + \frac{c\gamma^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}} \right] < \infty, \quad \gamma, t > 0,$$

for some constant  $c > 0$ , see [19, Proof of Corollary 2.2]. It is not difficult to see that  $\Theta$  is increasing and continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  with  $\Theta(0) = 1$ .

Next, we give a key lemma which will be used frequently in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $x, M \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r > 0$ ,*

$$(2.12) \quad p_\alpha(r, x + M) \leq C 4^{d+\alpha} p_\alpha(r, x) \Theta(|M|^2 r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}).$$

*Proof.* It follows from elemental inequality  $|a - \bar{a}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|a|^2 - |\bar{a}|^2$ ,  $a, \bar{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the FKG inequality and the scaling property of  $S_t$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad p_\alpha(r, x + M) &= \int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x+M|^2}{2s}} \eta_r(s) ds \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4s}} e^{\frac{|M|^2}{2s}} \eta_r(s) ds \\
&\leq \frac{\int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4s}} \eta_r(s) ds \int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{\frac{|M|^2}{2s}} \eta_r(s) ds}{\int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \eta_r(s) ds} \\
&\leq p_\alpha\left(r, \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \frac{\int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{\frac{|M|^2}{2s}} \eta_r(s) ds}{\int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \eta_r(s) ds} \\
&= p_\alpha\left(r, \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \frac{\int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{\frac{|M|^2 r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{2s}} \eta_1(s) ds}{\int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \eta_1(s) ds} = p_\alpha\left(r, \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \Theta(|M|^2 r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}).
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.1) and (2.2) for  $z = \frac{1-\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}}x$  and  $\gamma = d + \alpha$  yields (2.12).  $\square$

The lemma below provides an explicit estimate of transition density of (1.3).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume (A1)-(A2). It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.14) \quad &\tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(m)}(s, x; t, x') \\
&\leq \hat{C} C^m \|b\|_\infty^m (t-s)^{m-\frac{m}{\alpha}} \frac{\Gamma^m(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1+m-\frac{m}{\alpha})} p_\alpha(t-s, x'-x), \quad m \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the transition density  $p$  of  $(X_t)_{t \geq s}$  satisfies

$$(2.15) \quad p(s, x; t, x') \leq \hat{C} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{b,T}^m}{\Gamma(1+m(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}))} p_\alpha(t-s, x'-x), \quad 0 \leq s < t \leq T, x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $\tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(0)} = \tilde{p}^{x'}$  and  $\tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(m)} = (\tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(m-1)}) \otimes H$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma function, and  $C_{b,T} = C \|b\|_\infty \Gamma(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}) T^{(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})}$ .

*Proof.* This proof is based on the parameter expansion method in [12]. We sketch the proof as follows.

By means of (A1), (2.9) and (2.12), it is not difficult to see that

$$(2.16) \quad \tilde{p}^{x'}(s, x; t, x') \leq C 4^{d+\alpha} \Theta(\|b\|_\infty^2 (t-s)^{2-\frac{1}{\alpha}}) p_\alpha(t-s, x'-x) =: \hat{C} p_\alpha(t-s, x'-x).$$

In view of (2.3) and (2.12), one gets

$$(2.17) \quad |H|(s, x; t, x') = |\langle b(x) - b(x'), \nabla_x \tilde{p}^{x'}(s, x; t, x') \rangle|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2\|b\|_\infty |\nabla_x \tilde{p}^{x'}(s, x; t, x')| \\
&= 2\|b\|_\infty |\nabla p_\alpha(t-s, x' - x - b(x')(t-s))| \\
&\leq C\|b\|_\infty (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} p_\alpha(t-s, x' - x).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.16), we obtain from the definition of operator  $\otimes$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H|(s, x; t, x') \\
&= \left| \int_s^t dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}^{x'}(s, x; r, z) H(r, z; t, x') dz \right| \\
&\leq C\hat{C}\|b\|_\infty \int_s^t (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(r-s, z-x) p_\alpha(t-r, x'-z) dz dr \\
&= C\hat{C}\|b\|_\infty \int_s^t (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} dr p_\alpha(t-s, x' - x) \\
&= C\hat{C}\|b\|_\infty (t-s)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \beta(1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) p_\alpha(t-s, x' - x),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \int_0^1 t^{\gamma_1-1} (1-t)^{\gamma_2-1} dt$  is the beta function.

Combining this with (2.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(2)}|(s, x; t, x') \\
&\leq \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H|(s, x; r, z) |H|(r, z; t, x') dz dr \\
&\leq \hat{C}C^2 \|b\|_\infty^2 \beta(1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \int_s^t (r-s)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} dr p_\alpha(t-s, x' - x) \\
&= \hat{C}C^2 \|b\|_\infty^2 \beta(1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \beta(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) (t-s)^{2-\frac{2}{\alpha}} p_\alpha(t-s, x' - x).
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating this procedure, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(m)}|(s, x; t, x') \\
&\leq \hat{C}C^m \|b\|_\infty^m (t-s)^{m-\frac{m}{\alpha}} p_\alpha(t-s, x' - x) \prod_{i=1}^m \beta(i - \frac{i-1}{\alpha}, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \\
&= \hat{C}C^m \|b\|_\infty^m (t-s)^{m-\frac{m}{\alpha}} p_\alpha(t-s, x' - x) \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(i - \frac{i-1}{\alpha}) \Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1 + i - \frac{i}{\alpha})} \\
&= \hat{C}C^m \|b\|_\infty^m (t-s)^{m-\frac{m}{\alpha}} p_\alpha(t-s, x' - x) \frac{\Gamma^m(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1 + m - \frac{m}{\alpha})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof of (2.14) is complete.

Next, we prove (2.15). With (2.7), (2.10) and (2.11), we can write the basic parametrix equation

$$p(s, x; t, x') - \tilde{p}^{x'}(s, x; t, x')$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_s^t du \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, x; u, z) \tilde{p}^{x'}(u, z; t, x') dz \right] \\
&= \int_s^t du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \tilde{p}^{x'}(u, z; t, x') L^* p(s, x; u, z) - p(s, x; u, z) \tilde{L}^{x'} \tilde{p}^{x'}(u, z; t, x') \right] dz \\
&= \int_s^t du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, x; u, z) [L - \tilde{L}^{x'}] \tilde{p}^{x'}(u, z; t, x') dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, this equation can be rewritten as

$$p = \tilde{p}^{x'} + p \otimes H.$$

Iterating this yields that

$$(2.18) \quad p = \sum_{k=0}^n \tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(k)} + p \otimes H^{(n+1)}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(k)} &= \sum_{k=0}^n \tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(k)} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(k)} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(k)} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(j)}) \otimes H^{(n+1)}, \quad n \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the series  $S := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hat{C} C^m \|b\|_{\infty}^m (t-s)^{m-\frac{m}{\alpha}} \frac{\Gamma^m(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1+m-\frac{m}{\alpha})} p_{\alpha}(t-s, x' - x)$  converges due to the Stirling's formula:  $\Gamma(1+z) \sim \sqrt{2\pi z} (z/e)^z$ . This, together with (2.14), yields that the series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(j)})$  converges due to the comparison test of series. Moreover, we denote the limit by  $a$ , which satisfies  $a = \sum_{k=0}^n \tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(k)} + a \otimes H^{(n+1)}$ . Combining this with (2.18), we have  $a - p = (a - p) \otimes H^{(1)}$  for  $n = 0$ . Then, acting operator  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(k)}$  on both sides, it yields that  $(a - p) \otimes \tilde{p}^{x'} = 0$ , which implies that  $a = p$ .

Thus, we arrive at the following parameter expansion:

$$(2.19) \quad p(s, x; t, x') = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{p}^{x'} \otimes H^{(m)}(s, x; t, x').$$

Combining this with (2.14), it yields (2.15). □

### 3 Heat Kernel of SDEs (1.4)

In this section, we first establish a parameter expansion of heat kernel of solution to discrete-time EM scheme and reveals its explicit upper bounds. Following this result, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.



For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $j \geq 0$ , let  $(\tilde{X}_{i\delta}^{(\delta),j,x,x'})_{i \geq j}$  solve the following frozen EM scheme:

$$\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\delta}^{(\delta),j,x,x'} = \tilde{X}_{i\delta}^{(\delta),j,x,x'} + b(x')\delta + (W_{S_{(i+1)\delta}} - W_{S_{i\delta}}), \quad i \geq j, \quad \tilde{X}_{j\delta}^{(\delta),j,x,x'} = x.$$

Denote  $\tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'}(j\delta, x; j'\delta, y)$  as the transition density function of  $\tilde{X}_{j'\delta}^{(\delta),j,x,x'}$  at the point  $y$ , given  $\tilde{X}_{j\delta}^{(\delta),j,x,x'} = x$ .

For  $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$  and  $j \geq 0$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{j\delta}^{(\delta)} \psi)(x) &:= \delta^{-1} \left\{ \mathbb{E}(\psi(X_{(j+1)\delta}^{(\delta)} | X_{j\delta}^{(\delta)} = x) - \psi(x)) \right\}, \\ (\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{j\delta}^{(\delta)} \psi)(x) &:= \delta^{-1} \left\{ \mathbb{E}\psi(\tilde{X}_{(j+1)\delta}^{(\delta),j,x,x'}) - \psi(x) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.1) \quad H^{(\delta)}(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x') := (\mathcal{L}_{j\delta}^{(\delta)} - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{j\delta}^{(\delta)})\tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'}((j+1)\delta, \cdot; j'\delta, x')(x), \quad j' \geq j+1,$$

here we use the convention  $\tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'}((j+1)\delta, \cdot; (j+1)\delta, x') = \delta_{\{x'\}}(\cdot)$ . In what follows, let  $0 \leq j < j' \leq \lfloor T/\delta \rfloor$ . According to [14, Proposition 4.1], we have

$$(3.2) \quad p^{(\delta)}(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x') = \sum_{k=0}^{j'-j} (\tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'} \otimes_{\delta} H^{(\delta),(k)})(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x'),$$

where  $\tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'} \otimes_{\delta} H^{(\delta),(0)} = \tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'}$ ,  $\tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'} \otimes_{\delta} H^{(\delta),(k)} = (\tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'} \otimes_{\delta} H^{(\delta),(k-1)}) \otimes_{\delta} H^{(\delta)}$  with  $\otimes_{\delta}$  being the convolution type binary operation defined by

$$(f \otimes_{\delta} g)(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x') = \delta \sum_{k=j}^{j'-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(j\delta, x; k\delta, z) g(k\delta, z; j'\delta, x') dz.$$

The following lemma gives the estimate of  $p^{(\delta)}(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x')$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume (A1). Then there exists a constant  $\hat{C}_T > 0$  independent of  $\delta$  such that for any  $0 \leq j < j' \leq \lfloor T/\delta \rfloor$ ,*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(\tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'} \otimes_{\delta} H^{(\delta),(m)})|(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x') \\ & \leq \hat{C}_T^m \|b\|_{\infty}^m ((j' - j)\delta)^{m(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})^m}{\Gamma(1 + m(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}))} p_{\alpha}((j' - j)\delta, x' - x), \quad m \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it holds that

$$(3.4) \quad p^{(\delta)}(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x') \leq \sum_{m=0}^{j'-j} \frac{[\hat{C}_T \|b\|_{\infty} T^{(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})]^m}{\Gamma(1 + m(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}))} p_{\alpha}((j' - j)\delta, x' - x).$$

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** We claim that

$$(3.5) \quad |H^{(\delta)}|(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x') \leq \hat{C}_T \|b\|_\infty ((j' - j)\delta)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x), \quad j' > j.$$

Firstly, we prove (3.5) for  $j' = j + 1$ . It follows from (3.1), (2.1), (2.3) and (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & |H^{(\delta)}|(j\delta, x; (j+1)\delta, x') \\ &= \left| (\mathcal{L}_{j\delta}^{(\delta)} - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{j\delta}^{(\delta)}) \tilde{p}^{(\delta), x'}((j+1)\delta, \cdot; (j+1)\delta, x')(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\delta} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left( 1_{\{x'\}}(X_{(j+1)\delta}^{(\delta)}) | X_{j\delta}^{(\delta)} = x \right) - \mathbb{E} \left( 1_{\{x'\}}(\tilde{X}_{(j+1)\delta}^{(\delta), j, x, x'}) | \tilde{X}_{j\delta}^{(\delta), j' x' x'} = x \right) \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} |p^{(\delta)} - \tilde{p}^{(\delta), x'}|(j\delta, x; (j+1)\delta, x') \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} |p_\alpha(\delta, x' - x - b(x)\delta) - p_\alpha(\delta, x' - x - b(x')\delta)| \\ &\leq 2 \|b\|_\infty \int_0^1 \nabla p_\alpha(\delta, x' - x - b(x')\delta + \theta(b(x') - b(x))\delta) d\theta \\ &\leq C \|b\|_\infty \delta^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sup_{\theta \in [0, 1]} p_\alpha(\delta, x' - x - b(x')\delta + \theta(b(x') - b(x))\delta) \\ &\leq C \|b\|_\infty \delta^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} p_\alpha(\delta, x' - x) \Theta(9\delta^{2-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|b\|_\infty^2) \\ &=: \hat{C}_T \delta^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} p_\alpha(\delta, x' - x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.5) holds for  $j' = j + 1$ .

Next, we are going to show that (3.5) holds for  $j' > j + 1$ . According to (3.1), (2.1) and (2.3), (2.4) and (2.12), it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & |H^{(\delta)}|(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x') \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \left| \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(\delta, z) \tilde{p}^{(\delta), x'}((j+1)\delta, x + b(x)\delta + z; j'\delta, x') dz - \tilde{p}^{(\delta), x'}((j+1)\delta, x; j'\delta, x') \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(\delta, z) \tilde{p}^{(\delta), x'}((j+1)\delta, x + b(x')\delta + z; j'\delta, x') dz - \tilde{p}^{(\delta), x'}((j+1)\delta, x; j'\delta, x') \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(\delta, z) \left\{ \tilde{p}^{(\delta), x'}((j+1)\delta, x + b(x)\delta + z; j'\delta, x') \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \tilde{p}^{(\delta), x'}((j+1)\delta, x + b(x')\delta + z; j'\delta, x') \right\} dz \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(\delta, z) \left\{ p_\alpha((j' - (j+1))\delta, x' - x - b(x)\delta - z - b(x')(j' - (j+1))\delta) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - p_\alpha((j' - (j+1))\delta, x' - x - b(x')\delta - z - b(x')(j' - (j+1))\delta) \right\} dz \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \left| p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x - b(x)\delta - b(x')(j' - (j+1))\delta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x - b(x')\delta - b(x')(j' - (j+1))\delta) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2\|b\|_\infty \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} |\nabla p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x - b(x')\delta - b(x')(j' - (j + 1))\delta + \theta(b(x') - b(x))\delta)| \\
&\leq C\|b\|_\infty ((j' - j)\delta)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x - b(x')(j' - j)\delta + \theta(b(x') - b(x))\delta) \\
&\leq C\|b\|_\infty ((j' - j)\delta)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x) \Theta(9[(j' - j)\delta]^{2-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|b\|_\infty^2) \\
&= \hat{C}_T \|b\|_\infty ((j' - j)\delta)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x).
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 2.** We are going to prove (3.4).

Due to (2.12), it is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad \tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'}(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x') &= p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x - b(x')(j' - j)\delta) \\
&\leq C4^{d+\alpha} \Theta(\|b\|_\infty^2 ((j' - j)\delta)^{2-\frac{1}{\alpha}}) p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x) \\
&=: \hat{C}_T p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.5), we obtain from the definition of operator  $\otimes_\delta$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'} \otimes_\delta H^{(\delta)}|(j\delta, j'\delta, x, x') \\
&= \delta \sum_{k=j}^{j'-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'}(j\delta, x; k\delta, z) H^{(\delta)}(k\delta, z; j'\delta, x') dz \\
&\leq \delta \sum_{k=j}^{j'-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{C}_T p_\alpha((k - j)\delta, z - x) \hat{C}_T \|b\|_\infty ((j' - k)\delta)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} p_\alpha((j' - k)\delta, x' - z) dz \\
&= \delta \hat{C}_T \|b\|_\infty p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x) \sum_{k=j}^{j'-1} ((j' - k)\delta)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\
&\leq \hat{C}_T \|b\|_\infty p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x) \int_{j\delta}^{j'\delta} (j'\delta - v)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} dv \\
&= \hat{C}_T \|b\|_\infty ((j' - j)\delta)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \beta(1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x).
\end{aligned}$$

Using this and (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'} \otimes_\delta H^{(\delta),(2)}|(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x') \\
&\leq \delta \sum_{k=j}^{j'-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\tilde{p}^{(\delta),x'} \otimes_\delta H^{(\delta)}(j\delta, x; k\delta, z)| |H^{(\delta)}(k\delta, z; j'\delta, x')| dz \\
&\leq \delta \sum_{k=j}^{j'-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{C}_T^2 \|b\|_\infty^2 ((k - j)\delta)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \beta(1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) p_\alpha((k - j)\delta, z - x) \\
&\quad \times ((j' - k)\delta)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} p_\alpha((j' - k)\delta, x' - z) dz \\
&= \delta \hat{C}_T^2 \|b\|_\infty^2 \beta(1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \sum_{k=j}^{j'-1} ((k - j)\delta)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} ((j' - k)\delta)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \hat{C}_T^2 \|b\|_\infty^2 \beta(1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \int_{j\delta}^{j'\delta} (v - j\delta)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} (j'\delta - v)^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}} dv p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x) \\
&= \hat{C}_T^2 \|b\|_\infty^2 ((j' - j)\delta)^{2(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \beta(1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \beta(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x).
\end{aligned}$$

By an induction argument, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\tilde{p}^{(\delta), x'} \otimes_\delta H^{(\delta), (m)}|(j\delta, j'\delta, x, x') \\
&\leq \hat{C}_T^m \|b\|_\infty^m ((j' - j)\delta)^{m(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x) \prod_{i=1}^m \beta(i - \frac{i-1}{\alpha}, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \\
&= \hat{C}_T^m \|b\|_\infty^m ((j' - j)\delta)^{m(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x) \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(i - \frac{i-1}{\alpha}) \Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(i + 1 - \frac{i}{\alpha})} \\
&= \hat{C}_T^m \|b\|_\infty^m ((j' - j)\delta)^{m(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \frac{\Gamma^m(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1 + m(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}))} p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3.3) is proved, and (3.4) follows from (3.2) and (3.3).  $\square$

We are now in the position to prove Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* For fixed  $t > 0$ , there is an integer  $k \geq 0$  such that  $t \in [k\delta, (k+1)\delta)$ . It follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad p^{(\delta)}(k\delta, x; t, y) &= p_\alpha(t - k\delta, y - x - b(x)(t - k\delta)) \\
&\leq C_4^{d+\alpha} \Theta((t - k\delta)^{2-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|b\|_\infty^2) p_\alpha(t - k\delta, y - x) \\
&= C_1 p_\alpha(t - k\delta, y - x).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that (3.4) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad p^{(\delta)}(j\delta, x; j'\delta, x') &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\hat{C}_T \|b\|_\infty T^{(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})]^m}{\Gamma(1 + m(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}))} p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x) \\
&\leq C_2 p_\alpha((j' - j)\delta, x' - x), \quad j' > j, \quad x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.7) and the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
p^{(\delta)}(j\delta, x; t, y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p^{(\delta)}(j\delta, x; [t/\delta]\delta, z) p^{(\delta)}([t/\delta]\delta, z; t, y) dz \\
&\leq C_1 C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t - [t/\delta]\delta, y - z) p_\alpha((\lfloor t/\delta \rfloor - j)\delta, z - x) dz \\
&= C p_\alpha(t - j\delta, y - x).
\end{aligned}$$

So, we finish the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Before finishing the proof of Theorem 1.3, we prepare some auxiliary lemmas. The first lemma below plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume (A1)-(A2), let  $T > 0$  be fixed. Then there exists a constant  $C_T > 0$  such that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^T \mathbb{E}|b(X_t^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{t_\delta}^{(\delta)})|^2 dt \leq C_T \left( \delta^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}} 1_{\{2\beta < \alpha\}} + \mathbb{E}(S_1^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\epsilon}) \delta^\epsilon 1_{\{2\beta \geq \alpha\}} \right).$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}|b(X_t^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{t_\delta}^{(\delta)})|^2 dt &= \int_0^\delta \mathbb{E}|b(X_t^{(\delta)}) - b(X_0^{(\delta)})|^2 dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor T/\delta \rfloor} \int_{k\delta}^{T \wedge (k+1)\delta} \mathbb{E}|b(X_t^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{k\delta}^{(\delta)})|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (A1) that

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^\delta \mathbb{E}|b(X_t^{(\delta)}) - b(X_0^{(\delta)})|^2 dt \leq 4\|b\|_\infty^2 \delta.$$

For  $t \in [k\delta, (k+1)\delta)$ , using the independence between  $X_{k\delta}^{(\delta)}$  and  $W_{S_t} - W_{S_{k\delta}}$ , and applying (1.2), Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.2, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}|b(X_t^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{k\delta}^{(\delta)})|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}|b(X_{k\delta}^{(\delta)}) + b(X_{k\delta}^{(\delta)})(t - k\delta) + (W_{S_t} - W_{S_{k\delta}}) - b(X_{k\delta}^{(\delta)})|^2 \\ (4.3) \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(y+z) - b(y)|^2 p^{(\delta)}(0, x; k\delta, y) p^{(\delta)}(k\delta, y; t, z+y) dy dz \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(y+z) - b(y)|^2 p_\alpha(k\delta, y-x) p_\alpha(t-k\delta, z) dy dz. \end{aligned}$$

By (A1), (A2), Hölder's inequality and (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(y+z) - b(y)|^2 p_\alpha(k\delta, y-x) dy \\ &\leq \left\{ \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(y+z) - b(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(k\delta, y-x)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} dy \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \right\} \\ &\leq C(k\delta)^{-2d/(\alpha p)} \|b\|_{\beta, p}^2 (|z|^{2\beta} \wedge 1). \end{aligned}$$

We therefore infer from (4.3) that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $t \in [k\delta, (k+1)\delta)$ ,

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbb{E}|b(X_t^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{k\delta}^{(\delta)})|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C(k\delta)^{-2d/(\alpha p)} \|b\|_{\beta,p}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{|z|^{2\beta} \wedge 1\} p_\alpha(t - k\delta, z) \{1_{\{2\beta \geq \alpha\}} + 1_{\{2\beta < \alpha\}}\} dz \\
&\leq C(k\delta)^{-2d/(\alpha p)} \|b\|_{\beta,p}^2 \left( \mathbb{E}(|W_{S_\delta}|^{2\beta} \wedge 1) 1_{\{2\beta \geq \alpha\}} + \delta^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}} 1_{\{2\beta < \alpha\}} \right) \\
&\leq C(k\delta)^{-2d/(\alpha p)} \|b\|_{\beta,p}^2 \left( \mathbb{E}(|W_{S_\delta}|^{\alpha\epsilon}) 1_{\{2\beta \geq \alpha\}} + \delta^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}} 1_{\{2\beta < \alpha\}} \right) \\
&= C(k\delta)^{-2d/(\alpha p)} \|b\|_{\beta,p}^2 \left( \mathbb{E}|W_1|^{\alpha\epsilon} \mathbb{E}(S_1^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\epsilon}) \delta^\epsilon 1_{\{2\beta \geq \alpha\}} + \delta^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}} 1_{\{2\beta < \alpha\}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is due to the fact that for  $2\beta < \alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{|z|^{2\beta} \wedge 1\} p_\alpha(t, z) dz &\asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{|z|^{2\beta} \wedge 1\} t(t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + |z|)^{-d-\alpha} dz \\
&\leq C \int_0^\infty t \frac{r^{2\beta+d-1}}{(t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + r)^{d+\alpha}} dr \\
&= \left( \int_0^{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} + \int_{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^\infty \right) \frac{tr^{2\beta+d-1}}{(t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + r)^{d+\alpha}} dr \leq Ct^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\int_0^T r^{-2d/(\alpha p)} dr < \infty$  due to **(A2)**, we arrive at

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor T/\delta \rfloor} \int_{k\delta}^{T \wedge (k+1)\delta} \mathbb{E}|b(X_t^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{k\delta}^{(\delta)})|^2 dt \leq C_T \left( \delta^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}} 1_{\{2\beta < \alpha\}} + \mathbb{E}|W_1|^{\alpha\epsilon} \mathbb{E}(S_1^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\epsilon}) \delta^\epsilon 1_{\{2\beta \geq \alpha\}} \right).$$

This combined with (4.2) implies (4.1).  $\square$

Next, we use Theorem 1.2 to derive the Krylov estimate and the Khasminskii estimate of  $(X_t^{(\delta)})_{t \geq 0}$ , see [8, 13, 20, 21, 23] for more results about Krylov's estimate and Khasminskii's estimate.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume (A1). Then, for any  $q > (d/\alpha \vee 1)$ , there exist constants  $C, c > 0$  such that Krylov's estimate*

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \int_s^t |f(X_r^{(\delta)})| dr \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right) \leq C \|f\|_q (t-s)^{1-d/(\alpha q)}, \quad f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T,$$

holds, which implies Khasminskii type estimate

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \lambda \int_0^T |f(X_t^{(\delta)})| dt \right) \leq 2^{1+T(c\lambda \|f\|_q)^{\frac{1}{1-d/(\alpha q)}}}, \quad f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d), \lambda > 0.$$

*Proof.* For  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left( \int_s^t |f(X_r^{(\delta)})| dr \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right) &= \mathbb{E} \left( \int_s^{t \wedge (s_\delta + \delta)} |f(X_r^{(\delta)})| dr \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right) + \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{t \wedge (s_\delta + \delta)}^t |f(X_r^{(\delta)})| dr \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right) \\
&=: I_1(s, t) + I_2(s, t).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of

$$X_r^{(\delta)} = X_{s_\delta}^{(\delta)} + b(X_{s_\delta}^{(\delta)})(r - s_\delta) + (W_{S_s} - W_{S_{s_\delta}}) + (W_{S_r} - W_{S_s}), \quad r \in [s, s_\delta + \delta),$$

we derive from (2.5) and Hölder's inequality that for any  $q > d/\alpha$ ,

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1(s, t) &= \int_s^{t \wedge (s_\delta + \delta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x + b(x)(r - s_\delta) + w + z) p_\alpha(r - s, z) dz \Big|_{x=X_{s_\delta}^{(\delta)}}^{w=W_s - W_{s_\delta}} dr \\ &\leq \|f\|_q \int_s^{t \wedge (s_\delta + \delta)} (r - s)^{-d/\alpha + d(q-1)/(\alpha q)} dr \leq \frac{(t - s)^{1-d/(\alpha q)}}{1 - d/(\alpha q)} \|f\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

For  $r > s_\delta + \delta$ , let  $X_{k\delta, r}^{(\delta), x}$  be the EM scheme determined by (1.4) with  $X_{k\delta, k\delta}^{(\delta), x} = x$ . According to the Markov property, it is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(s, t) &\leq \int_{s_\delta + \delta}^t \mathbb{E}(|f(X_r^{(\delta)})| | \mathcal{F}_s) dr = \int_{s_\delta + \delta}^t \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(|f(X_r^{(\delta)})| | \mathcal{F}_{s_\delta + \delta}) | \mathcal{F}_s) dr \\ &= \int_{s_\delta + \delta}^t \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}|f(X_{s_\delta + \delta, r}^{(\delta), x})|_{x=X_{s_\delta + \delta}^{(\delta)}} | \mathcal{F}_s) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 1.2 and Hölder's inequality, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|f(X_{s_\delta + \delta, r}^{(\delta), x})| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)| p^{(\delta)}(s_\delta + \delta, x; r, y) dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)| p_\alpha(r - s_\delta - \delta, y - x) dy \leq (r - s_\delta - \delta)^{-\frac{d}{\alpha q}} \|f\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$(4.8) \quad I_2(s, t) \leq C(t - s)^{1-d/(\alpha q)} \|f\|_q,$$

which together with (4.7) implies (4.5).

The remaining procedure of deriving (4.6) from (4.5) is standard. For readers' convenience, we sketch it here. For each  $k \geq 1$ , applying inductively (4.5) gives

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left( \left( \int_s^t |f(X_r^{(\delta)})| dr \right)^k \Big| \mathcal{F}_s \right) \\ &= k! \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\Delta_{k-1}(s, t)} |f(X_{r_1}^{(\delta)})| \cdots |f(X_{r_{k-1}}^{(\delta)})| dr_1 \cdots dr_{k-1} \times \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{r_{k-1}}^t |f(X_{r_k}^{(\delta)})| dr_k \Big| \mathcal{F}_{r_{k-1}} \right) \Big| \mathcal{F}_s \right) \\ &\leq k! (C(t - s))^{1-d/(\alpha q)} \|f\|_q^k, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta_k(s, t) := \{(r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : s \leq r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_k \leq t\}.$$

Taking  $\delta_0 = (2C\lambda\|f\|_q)^{-\frac{1}{1-d/(\alpha q)}}$ , and combining (4.9), we derive

$$(4.10) \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(\lambda \int_{(i-1)\delta_0}^{i\delta_0 \wedge T} |f(X_t^{(\delta)})| dt\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{(i-1)\delta_0}\right) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = 2, \quad i \geq 1,$$

which further implies that

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\lambda \int_0^T |f(X_t^{(\delta)})| dt\right) &= \mathbb{E}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(\int_0^T |f(X_t^{(\delta)})| dt\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\lfloor T/\delta_0 \rfloor \delta_0}\right)\right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor T/\delta_0 \rfloor} \int_{(i-1)\delta_0}^{i\delta_0} |f(X_t^{(\delta)})| dt\right)\right) \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(\lambda \int_{\lfloor T/\delta_0 \rfloor \delta_0}^T |f(X_t^{(\delta)})| dt\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\lfloor T/\delta_0 \rfloor \delta_0}\right) \\ &\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor T/\delta_0 \rfloor} \int_{(i-1)\delta_0}^{i\delta_0} |f(X_t^{(\delta)})| dt\right) \\ &\leq \dots \leq 2^{1+T/\delta_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (4.6) holds.  $\square$

The following lemma is concerned with Krylov's and Khasminskii's estimate for the solution process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  to (1.3), which is more or less standard; see, for instance, [8, 13, 20, 21, 23]. Whereas, we herein state them and provide a sketch of its proof by using explicit upper bound.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume (A1)-(A2). Then for any  $q > (d/\alpha \vee 1)$ ,*

$$(4.12) \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\int_s^t |f(X_r)| dr \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right) \leq C \|f\|_q (t-s)^{1-d/(\alpha q)}, \quad f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T,$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\lambda \int_0^T |f(X_t)| dt\right) \leq 2^{1+T(\lambda c \|f\|_q)^{\frac{1}{1-d/(\alpha q)}}}, \quad f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d), \lambda > 0$$

hold for some constants  $C, c > 0$ .

*Proof.* By (2.15), it follows from Hölder's inequality and Markov property that

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_s^t |f(X_r)| dr \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right) &= \int_s^t \mathbb{E}\left(|f(X_r^{s,x})| \middle|_{x=X_s}\right) dr \\ &\leq \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)| p_\alpha(r-s, y-x) dy \Big|_{x=X_s} dr \\ &\leq (t-s)^{1-d/(\alpha q)} \|f\|_q, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(X_t^{s,x})_{t \geq s}$  stands for the solution to (1.3) with the initial value  $X_s^{s,x} = x$ . By repeating the same procedure in the proof of (4.6), we can derive (4.11).  $\square$



For a locally integrable function  $h : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator  $\mathcal{M}h$  is defined as below

$$(\mathcal{M}h)(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} h(y)dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $B_r(x)$  is the ball with the radius  $r$  centered at the point  $x$  and  $|B_r(x)|$  denotes volume of  $B_r(x)$ . According to [23, Lemma 5.4], the following Hardy-Littlewood maximum theorem holds.

**Lemma 4.4.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any continuous and weak differential function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$(4.15) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|\{(\mathcal{M}|\nabla f|)(x) + (\mathcal{M}|\nabla f|)(y)\}, \quad a.e. \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant  $C_q > 0$  such that for any  $q > 1$  and  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$(4.16) \quad \|\mathcal{M}f\|_q \leq C_q\|f\|_q.$$

We cite the following lemma [22, Lemma 2.3] for future use.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $q > 1$  and  $\gamma \in [1, 2]$ . There exists a constant  $C = C(q, \gamma, d)$  such that for any  $f \in H_q^\gamma$ ,*

$$\|f(\cdot + z) - f(\cdot)\|_{1,q} \leq |z|^{\gamma-1}\|f\|_{\gamma,q}.$$

Finally, we give some results on Zvonkin's transform. For any  $\lambda > 0$ , consider the following equation for  $u^\lambda : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$

$$(4.17) \quad \mathcal{L}u^\lambda + b + \nabla_b u^\lambda = \lambda u^\lambda,$$

where  $b$  is given in (1.3),  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined in (2.6).

According to [20, Theorem 4.11] and Sobolev's embedding (1.1), we have

**Lemma 4.6.** *Assume (A2). Then, for any  $\gamma \in ((1 + \alpha/2 - \beta) \vee 1 \vee (d/p - \beta + 1), \alpha)$ , there exists a constant  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  (4.17) has a unique solution  $u^\lambda \in H_p^{\gamma+\beta}$  satisfying*

$$(4.18) \quad \|\nabla u^\lambda\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \|u^\lambda\|_{\gamma+\beta,p} \leq C_1\|b\|_{\beta,p}$$

for some constant  $C_1 > 0$ .

Now we are in position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Firstly, recall that the Lévy-Itô decomposition of  $W_{S_t}$  is

$$(4.19) \quad W_{S_t} = \int_0^t \int_{\{|z| \leq 1\}} z \tilde{N}(ds, dz) + \int_0^t \int_{\{|z| > 1\}} z N(ds, dz), \quad t \geq 0,$$

here,  $N$  is the Poisson random measure with compensator  $\nu(dz)dt$ . Set  $\theta^\lambda(x) := x + u^\lambda(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $Z_t^{(\delta)} := X_t - X_t^{(\delta)}$ . According to Itô's formula in [20, Lemma 6.4], we obtain from (4.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^\lambda(X_t) &= \lambda u^\lambda(X_t)dt + dW_{S_t} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d - \{\mathbf{0}\}} [u^\lambda(X_{t-} + z) - u^\lambda(X_{t-})] \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \\ d\theta^\lambda(X_t^{(\delta)}) &= \lambda u^\lambda(X_t)dt + \nabla\theta^\lambda(X_t^{(\delta)})(b(X_{t_s^{(\delta)}}) - b(X_t^{(\delta)}))dt \\ &\quad + dW_{S_t} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d - \{\mathbf{0}\}} [u^\lambda(X_{t-}^{(\delta)} + z) - u^\lambda(X_{t-}^{(\delta)})] \tilde{N}(dt, dz). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.20) \quad d\{\theta^\lambda(X_t) - \theta^\lambda(X_t^{(\delta)})\} &= \lambda(u^\lambda(X_t) - u^\lambda(X_t^{(\delta)}))dt + \nabla\theta^\lambda(X_t^{(\delta)})(b(X_t^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{t_s^{(\delta)}}))dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|z|>1} [u^\lambda(X_{s-} + z) - u^\lambda(X_{s-})] - [u^\lambda(X_{s-}^{(\delta)} + z) - u^\lambda(X_{s-}^{(\delta)})] \tilde{N}(ds, dz) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{0<|z|\leq 1} [u^\lambda(X_{s-} + z) - u^\lambda(X_{s-})] - [u^\lambda(X_{s-}^{(\delta)} + z) - u^\lambda(X_{s-}^{(\delta)})] \tilde{N}(ds, dz). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain from (4.18) that

$$(4.21) \quad \frac{1}{4}|Z_t^{(\delta)}|^2 \leq |\theta^\lambda(X_t) - \theta^\lambda(X_t^{(\delta)})|^2 \leq \frac{9}{4}|Z_t^{(\delta)}|^2.$$

Let  $\bar{\theta}^\lambda(X_t, X_t^{(\delta)}) := \theta^\lambda(X_t) - \theta^\lambda(X_t^{(\delta)})$  and  $g(x, z) = u^\lambda(x+z) - u^\lambda(x)$ . By Itô's formula and (4.21), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (4.22) \quad |Z_t^{(\delta)}|^2 &\leq 4|\bar{\theta}^\lambda(X_t, X_t^{(\delta)})|^2 \\ &\leq 8\lambda \int_0^t \langle \bar{\theta}^\lambda(X_s, X_s^{(\delta)}), u^\lambda(X_s) - u^\lambda(X_s^{(\delta)}) \rangle ds \\ &\quad + 8 \int_0^t \langle \bar{\theta}^\lambda(X_s, X_s^{(\delta)}), \nabla\theta^\lambda(X_s^{(\delta)})(b(X_s^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{s_s^{(\delta)}})) \rangle ds \\ &\quad + 4 \int_0^t \int_{|z|\geq 1} |g(X_{s-}, z) - g(X_{s-}^{(\delta)}, z)|^2 \nu(dz) ds \\ &\quad + 4 \int_0^t \int_{0<|z|\leq 1} |g(X_{s-}, z) - g(X_{s-}^{(\delta)}, z)|^2 \nu(dz) ds \\ &\quad + 4 \int_0^t \int_{|z|\geq 1} \{ |\bar{\theta}^\lambda(X_s, X_s^{(\delta)}) + (g(X_{s-}, z) - g(X_{s-}^{(\delta)}, z))|^2 \\ &\quad \quad \quad - |\bar{\theta}^\lambda(X_s, X_s^{(\delta)})|^2 \} \tilde{N}(ds, dz) \\ &\quad + 4 \int_0^t \int_{0<|z|\leq 1} \{ |\bar{\theta}^\lambda(X_s, X_s^{(\delta)}) + (g(X_{s-}, z) - g(X_{s-}^{(\delta)}, z))|^2 \} \tilde{N}(ds, dz) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - |\overline{\theta^\lambda}(X_s, X_s^{(\delta)})|^2 \} \tilde{N}(ds, dz) \\
& =: \sum_{i=1}^4 I_i^{(\delta)}(t) + M(t).
\end{aligned}$$

By means of (4.18) and (4.21), we obtain

$$(4.23) \quad I_1^{(\delta)}(t) \leq 6\lambda \int_0^t |Z_s^{(\delta)}|^2 ds.$$

Similarly, by virtue of (4.21) and Young's inequality, we arrive at

$$(4.24) \quad I_2^{(\delta)}(t) \leq C \left\{ \int_0^t |Z_s^{(\delta)}|^2 ds + \int_0^t |b(X_s^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{s_\delta}^{(\delta)})|^2 ds \right\}.$$

Thanks to [10, (2.9), (2.12)], there exists a constant  $C(t, \nu) > 0$  such that

$$(4.25) \quad I_3^{(\delta)}(t) \leq \int_0^t \int_{|z|>1} \left| g(X_{u-}, z) - g(X_{u-}^{(\delta)}, z) \right|^2 du \nu(dz) \leq C(t, \nu) \int_0^t |Z_u^{(\delta)}|^2 du.$$

Let  $\gamma \in ((1 + \alpha/2 - \beta) \vee 1 \vee (d/p - \beta + 1), \alpha)$ . Define

$$U(x, z) := |z|^{1-\beta-\gamma} |\nabla u^\lambda(x+z) - \nabla u^\lambda(x)|.$$

It follows that

$$(4.26) \quad |\nabla g(\cdot, z)(x)| = U(x, z) |z|^{\beta+\gamma-1}.$$

Noting that  $(\mathcal{M}(f))^2(x) \leq \mathcal{M}(f^2)(x)$  due to Jensen's inequality. By (4.15), (4.26) and [20, (3.3)] for  $\mathbb{B} = L^2(\{0 < |z| \leq 1\}, \nu)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_4^{(\delta)}(t) \\
& = \int_0^t \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} \left| g(X_{s-}, z) - g(X_{s-}^{(\delta)}, z) \right|^2 dt \nu(dz) \\
& \leq 2C_2 \int_0^t |Z_s^{(\delta)}|^2 \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} |\nabla g(\cdot, z)|^2 \nu(dz) \right) (X_{s-}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \mathcal{M} \left( \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} |\nabla g(\cdot, z)|^2 \nu(dz) \right) (X_{s-}^{(\delta)}) \right\} ds \\
& = 2C_2 \int_0^t |Z_s^{(\delta)}|^2 \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} |U(\cdot, z)|^2 |z|^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} \nu(dz) \right) (X_{s-}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \mathcal{M} \left( \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} |U(\cdot, z)|^2 |z|^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} \nu(dz) \right) (X_{s-}^{(\delta)}) \right\} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, plugging this with (4.23)-(4.25) into (4.22) gives that

$$|Z_t^{(\delta)}|^2 \leq C_3 \int_0^t \sup_{r \in [0, s]} |Z_r^{(\delta)}|^2 (ds + dA_s) + \int_0^t C_3 |b(X_s^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{s_\delta}^{(\delta)})|^2 ds + M_t,$$

where  $M_t$  is a local martingale, and

$$A_t = \int_0^t \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} |U(\cdot, z)|^2 |z|^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} \nu(dz) \right) (X_{s-}) \right. \\ \left. + \mathcal{M} \left( \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} |U(\cdot, z)|^2 |z|^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} \nu(dz) \right) (X_{s-}^{(\delta)}) \right\} ds.$$

By (4.16) and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\left\| \mathcal{M} \left( \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} |U(\cdot, z)|^2 |z|^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} \nu(dz) \right) \right\|_{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} \|U(\cdot, z)\|_p^2 |z|^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} \nu(dz).$$

This together with Hölder's inequality, (4.6), (4.13) and the fact  $\int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} |z|^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} \nu(dz) < \infty$  due to  $2(\beta + \gamma - 1) > \alpha$ , we derive that for any  $\zeta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \exp \{ \zeta A_t \} &\leq \left( \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ 2\zeta \int_0^t \mathcal{M} \left( \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} |U(\cdot, z)|^2 |z|^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} \nu(dz) \right) (X_{s-}) ds \right\} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left( \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ 2\zeta \int_0^t \mathcal{M} \left( \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} |U(\cdot, z)|^2 |z|^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} \nu(dz) \right) (X_{s-}^{(\delta)}) ds \right\} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ \log 2 \left( 1 + t \left( 4\zeta c \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} \|U(\cdot, z)\|_p^2 |z|^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} \nu(dz) \right)^{\frac{\alpha p}{\alpha p - 2d}} \right) \right\} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ \log 2 \left( 1 + t (4\zeta C \|b\|_{\beta,p}^2)^{\frac{\alpha p}{\alpha p - 2d}} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last display we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(\cdot, z)\|_p &= |z|^{1-\beta-\gamma} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u^\lambda(x+z) - \nabla u^\lambda(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq |z|^{1-\beta-\gamma} |z|^{\beta+\gamma-1} \|\nabla u\|_{\beta+\gamma-1,p} \leq \|u\|_{\beta+\gamma,p} \leq C \|b\|_{\beta,p}, \end{aligned}$$

which is due to Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.5.

Consequently, we deduce by stochastic Gronwall's inequality (see e.g. [20, Lemma 3.8]) that, for  $0 < \kappa' < \kappa < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Z_s^{(\delta)}|^{2\kappa'} \right) \right)^{1/\kappa'} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\kappa}{\kappa - \kappa'} \right)^{1/\kappa'} \left( \mathbb{E} e^{\kappa A_t / (1-\kappa)} \right)^{(1-\kappa)/\kappa} \times \int_0^t \left\{ C_3 \mathbb{E} |b(X_s^{(\delta)}) - b(X_{s_s}^{(\delta)})|^2 \right\} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\kappa' = \frac{\eta}{2}$  and combining with Lemma 4.1 implies that (1.6) holds.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Bao, J., Huang, X., Yuan, C., Convergence rate of Euler–Maruyama Scheme for SDEs with Hölder–Dini continuous drifts, *J. Theoret. Probab.*, **32** (2019), 848–871.
- [2] Bao, J., Huang, X., Zhang, S., Convergence rate of EM algorithm for SDEs under integrability condition, arXiv:2009.04781
- [3] Blumenthal, R.M., Gettoor, R.K., Some theorems on stable processes, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **95** (1960), 263–273.
- [4] Chen, Z, Zhang, X., Heat kernels and analyticity of non-symmetric jump diffusion semigroups, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, **165** (2016), 267–312.
- [5] , On tamed Euler approximations of SDEs driven by Lévy noise with applications to delay equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **54** (2016), 1840–1872.
- [6] Flandoli, M., Gubinelli, M., Priola, E., Flow of diffeomorphisms for SDEs with unbounded Hölder continuous drift, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **134** (2010), 405–422.
- [7] Gottlich, S., Lux, K., Neuenkirch, A., The Euler scheme for stochastic differential equations with discontinuous drift coefficient: A numerical study of the convergence rate, arXiv:1705.04562.
- [8] Gyöngy, I., Martinez, T., On stochastic differential equations with locally unbounded drift, *Czechoslovak Math.J.*, **51** (2001), 763–783.
- [9] Halidias, N., Kloeden, P. E., A note on the Euler-Maruyama scheme for stochastic differential equations with a discontinuous monotone drift coefficient, *BIT*, **48** (2008), 51–59.
- [10] X. Huang, Z. Liao, *The Euler-Maruyama method for S(F)DEs with Hölder drift and  $\alpha$ -stable noise*, Stoch. Anal. Appl., 36(2018), 28-39.
- [11] Huang, X., Wang, F.-Y., *Degenerate SDEs with singular drift and applications to Heisenberg groups*, J. Differential Equations, 265(2018), 2745-2777.
- [12] Konakov, V., Mammen, E., Local limits theorems for transition densities of Markov chains converging to diffusions, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, **117** (2000), 551-587.
- [13] Krylov, N. V., Röckner, M., Strong solutions of stochastic equations with singular time dependent drift, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **131** (2005), 154–196.
- [14] Lemaire, V., Menozzi, S., On some non asymptotic bounds for the Euler scheme, *Electron. J. Probab.*, **15** (2010), 1645–1681.
- [15] Leobacher, G., Szölgvényi, M., A numerical method for SDEs with discontinuous drift, *BIT*, **56** (2016), 151–162.
- [16] Leobacher, G., Szölgvényi, M., A strong order 1/2 method for multidimensional SDEs with discontinuous drift, *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, **27** (2017), 2383–2418.
- [17] Leobacher, G., Szölgvényi, M. , Convergence of the Euler-Maruyama method for multidimensional SDEs with discontinuous drift and degenerate diffusion coefficient, *Numer. Math.*, **138** (2018), 219–239.
- [18] H. Triebel, Interpolation theory, Functional Spaces, Differential Operators, *North-Holland Publishing company*. 1978.
- [19] Wang, F.-Y., Wang, J., Harnack inequalities for stochastic equations driven by Lévy noise, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **410** (2014), 513–523.
- [20] Xie, L., Zhang, X., Ergodicity of stochastic differential equations with jumps and singular coefficients, arXiv:1705.07402.

- [21] Zhang, X., Strong solutions of SDEs with singular drift and Sobolev diffusion coefficients, *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, **115** (2005), 1805–1818.
- [22] X. Zhang, *Stochastic differential equations with Sobolev drifts and driven by  $\alpha$ -stable processes*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist., 49(2013), 1057-1079.
- [23] Zhang, X., Stochastic homeomorphism flows of SDEs with singular drifts and Sobolev diffusion coefficients, *Electron. J. Probab.*, **16** (2011), 1096–1116.
- [24] Zvonkin, A. K., A transformation of the phase space of a diffusion process that removes the drift, *Math. Sb.*, **93** (1974), 129-149.