

HECKE OPERATORS AND ANALYTIC LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE FOR CURVES OVER LOCAL FIELDS

PAVEL ETINGOF, EDWARD FRENKEL, AND DAVID KAZHDAN

In memory of Isadore Singer

ABSTRACT. We construct analogues of the Hecke operators for the moduli space of G -bundles on a curve X over a local field F with parabolic structures at finitely many points. We conjecture that they define commuting compact normal operators on the Hilbert space of half-densities on this moduli space. In the case $F = \mathbb{C}$, we also conjecture that their joint spectrum is in a natural bijection with the set of ${}^L G$ -opers on X with real monodromy. This may be viewed as an analytic version of the Langlands correspondence for complex curves. Furthermore, we conjecture an explicit formula relating the eigenvalues of the Hecke operators and the global differential operators studied in our previous paper [EFK1]. Assuming the compactness conjecture, this formula follows from a certain system of differential equations satisfied by the Hecke operators, which we prove in this paper for $G = PGL_n$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Main objects	2
1.2. Hecke operators	3
1.3. The case $F = \mathbb{C}$	6
1.4. The case $G = PGL_n$	9
1.5. The structure of the paper	12
1.6. Acknowledgments	12
2. The abelian case	13
2.1. The global picture	13
2.2. Hecke operators and Hecke functors	16
2.3. Another derivation of the differential equations	17
3. Generalities on D -modules and integral transforms	21
3.1. Pull-back	21
3.2. Pull-back in the twisted setting	23
3.3. Push-forward	24
3.4. Integral transforms	26
4. The case of PGL_n	28
4.1. Hecke functor	29
4.2. Hecke eigensheaf property	29
4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.15	30
5. General case	32

5.1. Eigenvalues of the Hecke operators	32
5.2. Analogue of the system of differential equations	34
5.3. Hecke eigensheaf property	36
References	37

1. INTRODUCTION

The Langlands Program for a curve X over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q studies, in the unramified case, the joint spectrum of the commuting Hecke operators acting on the space of L^2 functions on the groupoid of \mathbb{F}_q -points of the stack Bun_G of G -bundles on X with its natural measure. It aims to express this spectrum in terms of the Galois data associated to X and the Langlands dual group ${}^L G$.

In this paper, which is a continuation of [EFK1], we study an analogue of this problem when \mathbb{F}_q is replaced by a local field F . We define analogues of Hecke operators on a dense subspace of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_G of half-densities on Bun_G and conjecture that they extend to commuting compact normal operators on \mathcal{H}_G . Investigation of these operators is a hybrid of functional analysis and the study of the algebraic structure of Bun_G .

In the case $F = \mathbb{C}$, these Hecke operators commute with the global holomorphic differential operators on Bun_G introduced in [BD1], as well as their complex conjugates. This enables us to describe, subject to the Compactness Conjecture formulated below, the joint spectrum of the ${}^L G$ -opers on X with *real monodromy*, which play the role of the Galois data appearing in the case of curves over a finite field. We consider this description as an analogue of the Langlands correspondence in the case of complex curves.

1.1. Main objects. Let F be a local field, G a split connected reductive group over F , B its Borel subgroup, X a smooth projective curve over F and $S \subset X$ a reduced divisor defined over F . We denote by $\mathrm{Bun}_G(X, S)$ the moduli stack of pairs (\mathcal{F}, r_S) , where \mathcal{F} is a G -bundle on X and r_S is a reduction to B of the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_S$ of \mathcal{F} to S .¹

Let $\mathrm{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S) \subset \mathrm{Bun}_G(X, S)$ be the substack of *regularly stable* pairs (\mathcal{F}, r_S) , i.e. stable pairs whose group of automorphisms is equal to the center $Z(G)$ of G .

We will assume throughout this paper that $\mathrm{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$ is *open and dense* in $\mathrm{Bun}_G(X, S)$, which means that we are considering one of the following cases:

- (1) the genus of X is greater than 1, and S is arbitrary;
- (2) X is an elliptic curve and $|S| \geq 1$;
- (3) $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $|S| \geq 3$.

The stack $\mathrm{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$ is a $Z(G)$ -gerbe over a smooth analytic F -variety $\mathrm{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$, which is the corresponding coarse moduli space. For our purposes, $\mathrm{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$ is a

¹Just as in the case of curves over \mathbb{F}_q , the theory naturally extends to incorporate reductions to other parabolic subgroups of G . We also expect that it extends to wild ramification, which could be obtained by colliding points with parabolic reductions (as in confluent limits).

good substitute for $\text{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$ because all the objects that we need (line bundles, differential operators) naturally descend from $\text{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$ to $\text{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$.

R.P. Langlands asked in [L] whether it is possible to develop an analytic theory of automorphic functions for complex curves. In [EFK1] and the present paper we are developing such a theory (over \mathbb{C} and other local fields), understood as a spectral theory of commuting Hecke operators acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_G(X, S)$ of half-densities on $\text{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$ for pairs (X, S) satisfying the above condition, as well as global differential operators on $\text{Bun}_G(X, S)$ when $F = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} .

To define the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_G(X, S)$, we introduce the $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ -line bundle $|\Omega_{G,S}^{1/2}|$ of half-densities on $\text{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$. Namely, using the norm map $a \mapsto |a|$ from F^\times to $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we associate to any line bundle \mathcal{L} on any smooth algebraic F -variety \mathbb{Y} a complex line bundle $|\mathcal{L}|$ on the analytic F -variety $Y := \mathbb{Y}(F)$ with the structure group $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. (Note that for archimedean fields the line bundle $|\mathcal{L}|$ has a C^∞ -structure.)

It is shown in [BD1], Sect. 4 (see also [LS]) that the canonical line bundle K_{Bun} over $\text{Bun}_G(X, S)$ has a square root $K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$. The restriction of $K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$ to $\text{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$ descends to a line bundle on $\text{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$ for which we will use the same notation $K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$. We then define the line bundle

$$\Omega_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2} := |K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}|$$

on $\text{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)$ (for some G , the definition of $K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$ requires a choice of a square root of the canonical line bundle K_X on the curve X , but the bundle $|\Omega_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}|$ does not depend on this choice).

Let $V_G(X, S)$ be the space of smooth compactly supported sections of $\Omega_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$ over $\text{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)(F)$. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the positive-definite Hermitian form on $V_G(X, S)$ given by

$$\langle v, w \rangle := \int_{\text{Bun}_G^\circ(X, S)(F)} v \cdot \bar{w}, \quad v, w \in V_G(X, S).$$

and define $\mathcal{H}_G(X, S)$ as the *Hilbert space* completion of $V_G(X, S)$.

1.2. Hecke operators. From now on, for brevity, we will drop (X, S) in our notation when no confusion could arise (i.e. write Bun_G for $\text{Bun}_G(X, S)$, \mathcal{H}_G for $\mathcal{H}_G(X, S)$, etc.). We are going to define analogues of the Hecke operators for curves over a local field.

For non-archimedean local fields, these operators were essentially defined by A. Braverman and one of the authors in [BK]. For $G = PGL_2$, $X = \mathbb{P}^1$, Hecke operators were studied by M. Kontsevich in [Ko], and he also knew that such operators could be defined in general. In his letters to us (2019) he conjectured compactness and the Hilbert–Schmidt property of averages of the Hecke operators over sufficiently many points.

The idea that Hecke operators over \mathbb{C} could be used to construct an analogue of the Langlands correspondence was suggested by R.P. Langlands [L], who sought to construct them in the case when $G = GL_2$, X is an elliptic curve, and $S = \emptyset$ (however,

for an elliptic curve X we can only define Hecke operators if $S \neq \emptyset$; see [Fr2], Sect. 3).

In the case when G is a torus, the Hecke operators and their spectra on \mathcal{H}_G were completely described in [Fr2], Sect. 2. We recall these results for $G = GL_1$ in Section 2.1 below.

From now on (except in Section 2 below) we will assume that G is a connected simple algebraic group over F . All of our results and conjectures generalize in a straightforward way to connected semisimple algebraic groups over F .

Let P_+^\vee be the set of dominant coweights of G . For $\lambda \in P_+^\vee$ we denote by $Z(\lambda)$ the Hecke correspondence

$$Z(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Bun}_G \times (X \setminus S)$$

describing the λ -modifications of stable G -bundles at points of $X \setminus S$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} p_{1,2} &: \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Bun}_G \times (X \setminus S) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G \\ p_3 &: \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Bun}_G \times (X \setminus S) \rightarrow X \setminus S \end{aligned}$$

be the natural projections, $q_i := p_i \circ q$, and ω_2 the relative canonical line bundle of the morphism $q_2 \times q_3$. In what follows, we will normalize $K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$ by fixing a trivialization of its fiber at the point of Bun_G corresponding to the trivial G -bundle.

The following result is due to [BD1] (formula (241)), see also [BK] (Theorem 2.4).

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$(1.1) \quad a : q_1^*(K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} q_2^*(K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}) \otimes \omega_2 \otimes q_3^*(K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle})$$

where ρ is the half-sum of positive roots.

The isomorphism a gives rise to a canonical isomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad |a| : q_1^*(\Omega_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} q_2^*(\Omega_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}) \otimes \Omega_2 \otimes q_3^*(|K_X|^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle})$$

where $\Omega_2 := |\omega_2|$ is the line bundle of densities along the fibers of $q_2 \times q_3$.

Let

$$(1.3) \quad U_G(\lambda) := \{\mathcal{F} \in \text{Bun}_G^\circ \mid (q_2(q_1^{-1}(\mathcal{F})) \subset \text{Bun}_G^\circ)\}.$$

This is an open subset of Bun_G° , which is dense if the dimension of Bun_G is sufficiently large (for instance, for $G = PGL_2$ it is sufficient that $\dim \text{Bun}_{PGL_2} > 1$).

Suppose that $U_G(\lambda) \subset \text{Bun}_G^\circ$ is dense. Let $V_G(\lambda) \subset V_G$ be the subspace of half-densities f such that $\text{supp}(f) \subset U_G(\lambda)$. For $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Bun}_G^\circ(F)$, let

$$Z_{\mathcal{P},x} := (q_2 \times q_3)^{-1}(\mathcal{P} \times x), \quad x \in (X \setminus S)(F).$$

The variety $Z_{\mathcal{P},x}$ is isomorphic to the closure $\overline{\text{Gr}}_\lambda$ of the $G[[z]]$ -orbit Gr_λ in the affine Grassmannian of G . Hence it is proper and has rational singularities. The isomorphism (1.2) and the results of Sect. 2.6 of [BK] imply that for any $f \in V_G(\lambda)$ and $x \in (X \setminus S)(F)$, the restriction of the pull-back $q_1^*(f)$ to $Z_{\mathcal{P},x}$ is a compactly supported measure with values in the line $(\Omega_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2})_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes |K_X|_x^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$. Therefore the integral

$$(\widehat{H}_\lambda(x) \cdot f)(\mathcal{P}) := \int_{Z_{\mathcal{P},x}} q_1^*(f),$$

is absolutely convergent for all $f \in V_G(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Bun}_G^\circ(F)$. In fact, it belongs to $V_G \otimes |K_X|_x^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$.

Since $V_G \subset \mathcal{H}_G$, this integral defines a *Hecke operator*

$$\widehat{H}_\lambda(x) : V_G(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_G \otimes |K_X|_x^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}.$$

As x varies along $X \setminus S$, the operators $\widehat{H}_\lambda(x)$ combine into a section of the line bundle $|K_X|^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ over $X \setminus S$ with values in operators $V_G(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_G$. We denote it by \widehat{H}_λ .

Remark 1.1. We conjecture (and can prove in a number of cases) that the integrals defining $\widehat{H}_\lambda(f)$ are absolutely convergent for all $f \in V_G$. \square

The following is our key conjecture about the Hecke operators. Suppose that $\lambda \neq 0$.

Conjecture 1.2.

- (1) For any identification $(K_X^{1/2})_x \cong \mathbb{C}$, the operators $\widehat{H}_\lambda(x) : V_G(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_G$ extend to a family of commuting compact normal operators on \mathcal{H}_G , which we denote by $H_\lambda(x)$.
- (2) $H_\lambda(x)^\dagger = H_{-w_0(\lambda)}(x)$.
- (3) $\bigcap_{\lambda, x} \text{Ker} H_\lambda(x) = \{0\}$.

Remark 1.2. (i) It is easy to see that

$$\langle H_\lambda f, g \rangle = \langle f, H_{-w_0(\lambda)} g \rangle$$

for all $f \in V_G(\lambda), g \in V_G(-w_0(\lambda))$. Therefore part (2) of the conjecture immediately follows from part (1).

(ii) If $S \neq \emptyset$, we expect that the statement of part (3) with a fixed λ can be obtained from (1) by considering the limit of $H_\lambda(x)$ when x tends to a point of S . This will be discussed in more detail in a follow-up paper. \square

We will refer to Conjecture 1.2 as the Compactness Conjecture. In our next paper [EFK2], we will prove this conjecture in the case $G = PGL_2, X = \mathbb{P}^1, |S| > 3$. From now on, we will assume the validity of the Compactness Conjecture.

Denote by \mathbb{H}_G the commutative algebra generated by operators $H_\lambda(x), \lambda \in P_+^\vee, x \in (X \setminus S)(F)$, and by $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{H}_G)$ their joint spectrum.

Corollary 1.3. *We have an orthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathcal{H}_G = \widehat{\bigoplus_{s \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{H}_G)} \mathcal{H}_G(s)},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_G(s), s \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{H}_G)$, are finite-dimensional joint eigenspaces of \mathbb{H}_G in \mathcal{H}_G .

Let $\mathbb{H}_G(x)$ be the subalgebra of \mathbb{H}_G generated by $H_\lambda(x), \lambda \in P_+^\vee$, for a fixed $x \in (X \setminus S)(F)$.

Proposition 1.4. *There is an algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{H}_G(x) \simeq \mathbb{C}[P_+^\vee]$. In particular, $H_\lambda(x) \cdot H_\mu(x) = H_{\lambda+\mu}(x)$.*

If F is a non-archimedean field, this follows from Theorem 1.7 of [BK], and the proof in the general case is similar.

Remark 1.3. Note the difference with the case of a curve over \mathbb{F}_q , where the Satake isomorphism naturally identifies the Hecke algebra at a point x with $\text{Rep } {}^L G$. Thus, in this case the product of the Hecke operators corresponding to $\lambda, \mu \in P_+^\vee$ is in general *not* equal to the Hecke operator corresponding to $\lambda + \mu$; there are correction terms corresponding to lower weights. \square

Remark 1.4. Using an analogous correspondence

$$Z_r(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Sym}^r(X \setminus S)$$

for a positive integer $r > 1$, the above construction can be generalized to yield operators $H_\lambda(D), D \in \text{Sym}^r(X \setminus S)(F)$. We expect that an analogue of the Compactness Conjecture 1.2 holds for them. Moreover, if $D = \sum_i m_i x_i, x_i \in X(F)$ then $H_\lambda(D) = \prod_i H_\lambda(x_i)^{m_i}$. \square

1.3. The case $F = \mathbb{C}$. At the moment, we have a conjectural description of the spectra $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{H}_G)$ only if $F = \mathbb{C}$ and, in some cases, for $F = \mathbb{R}$. We will now describe our conjecture for $F = \mathbb{C}$. Note that in this case the norm $|z|$ is the *square* of the usual absolute value. For simplicity, we only consider here the case when $S = \emptyset$ (and hence $g > 1$). But all of our results and conjectures have natural generalizations to the case of an arbitrary S . The case of $G = PGL_2, X = \mathbb{P}^1$, and $|S| > 3$ will be discussed in detail in our next paper [EFK2].

Recall that $K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$ denotes a square root of the canonical line bundle on Bun_G constructed in [BD1], Sect. 1.4. If the set $\{\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle, \lambda \in P_+^\vee\}$ only contains integers, then there is a canonical choice of $K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$ equipped with a trivialization of its fiber at the trivial G -bundle. If it contains half-integers, there is such a choice for each choice of square root $K_X^{1/2}$ of K_X . In the latter case, we will always assume that a choice of $K_X^{1/2}$ has been made and $K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$ is the corresponding line bundle on Bun_G .

Let D_G^β be the algebra of global algebraic (hence holomorphic) differential operators acting on the line bundle $K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$ over a connected component Bun_G^β of Bun_G . Beilinson and Drinfeld proved in [BD1] that these algebras are isomorphic to each other; hence we will denote each of them by D_G . Furthermore, they proved that D_G is commutative and $\text{Spec}(D_G)$ is isomorphic to the affine space $\text{Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X)$, a connected component of the space of holomorphic ${}^L G$ -opers on X (here γ denotes the isomorphism class of $K_X^{1/2}$ corresponding to the choice we have made); see [BD1], Theorem 3.3.2 and Sects. 2.2.5 and 2.7.4. The system of differential equations for the eigenfunctions of this algebra is called the *quantum Hitchin integrable system*.

Remark 1.5. If $S \neq \emptyset$, the algebra of global holomorphic differential operators acting on $K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$ is non-commutative, but it contains a commutative subalgebra whose spectrum is isomorphic to the space of ${}^L G$ -opers on X with regular singularity and regular unipotent monodromy at the points of S (see [EFK1], Sect. 6). There is a similar algebra in the case of higher level structures at S (this is analogous to the wild ramification in the case of curves over \mathbb{F}_q), with the corresponding ${}^L G$ -opers having irregular singularities at the points of S . We expect that the theory has a generalization to this case as well. \square

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_G := D_G \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{D}_G.$$

The algebra \mathcal{A}_G naturally acts on the space $V_G \subset \mathcal{H}_G$. In our previous paper [EFK1] (Definition 1.7) we defined a Schwartz space $S(\mathcal{A}_G) \subset \mathcal{H}_G$ and conjectured that the elements of \mathcal{A}_G can be extended to $S(\mathcal{A}_G)$ and their closures form a family of commuting normal operators. Moreover, we conjectured that their joint spectrum is the set $\text{Op}_{L_G}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of L_G -opers on X with real monodromy (see below). In the case of $G = SL_2$, a similar conjecture was proposed earlier by J. Teschner [Te].

In [EFK1] we were able to prove these conjectures in the simplest non-trivial case. However, in the general case it is a daunting task to prove them directly. This is where the integral Hecke operators come in handy. Like differential operators, they are also initially defined on a dense subspace of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_G . But unlike the differential operators, we expect that Hecke operators extend to mutually commuting continuous operators on the entire \mathcal{H}_G , which are moreover *normal*, *compact*, and have trivial common kernel. This is the statement of our Compactness Conjecture 1.2. It implies that \mathcal{H}_G decomposes into a (completed) direct sum of mutually orthogonal *finite-dimensional eigenspaces* of the Hecke operators.

We would like to say that the algebra \mathcal{A}_G preserves the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_G(s)$. To do this, observe that \mathcal{A}_G acts on the space V_G^{\vee} of distributions on Bun_G° . It follows from the definition of \mathcal{H}_G that \mathcal{H}_G is naturally realized as a subspace of V_G^{\vee} . Hence we can apply elements of \mathcal{A}_G to vectors in the eigenspaces $\mathbb{H}_G(s)$ of the Hecke operators, viewed as *distributions*.

Conjecture 1.5. *Every eigenspace $\mathbb{H}_G(s)$ of the Hecke operators, viewed as a subspace of V_G^{\vee} , is an eigenspace of \mathcal{A}_G .*

Remark 1.6. (i) There is a weaker version of Conjecture 1.5, in which V_G is replaced by the space of smooth functions with compact support on some open dense set $U \subset Bun_G^{\circ}$. For practical purposes such a weak version is almost as good but might be easier to prove. For example, we may take U to be the locus of *very stable bundles* in Bun_G° (i.e. G -bundles \mathcal{F} such that the vector bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes K_X$ does not admit non-zero sections taking nilpotent values everywhere on X), on which the eigenfunctions of the Hecke operators are smooth (this is true for any local field F ; we will explain this in a follow-up paper). Then the conjecture is that these eigenfunctions satisfy the differential equations of the quantum Hitchin system (which is smooth on this locus) in the classical sense.

(ii) The algebra \mathcal{A}_G acts on both $V_G(\lambda)$ and V_G . Using an identification [BD1] of D_G with a quotient of the center at the critical level [FF, Fr1], one can show that the action of \mathcal{A}_G commutes with the Hecke operators $\widehat{H}_{\lambda}(x) : V_G(\lambda) \rightarrow V_G$. This property, however, is not sufficient for proving Conjecture 1.5. But in the case $G = PGL_n$ we can derive it from the system of differential equations in Theorem 1.15. We expect that a similar argument also proves Conjecture 1.5 for a general G . \square

In the rest of this subsection, we will *assume the validity of Conjecture 1.5*. It implies the following statement. Let

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G) := \bigoplus_{s \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{H}_G)} \mathcal{H}_G(s) \subset \mathcal{H}_G.$$

According to Conjecture 1.5, the algebra \mathcal{A}_G acts on it.

Corollary 1.6. *The closures of the actions of the elements of \mathcal{A}_G on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ form a family of commuting normal operators, and each $\mathcal{H}_G(s)$ is an eigenspace of these normal operators.*

Remark 1.7. It is clear that the subspace $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G) \subset \mathcal{H}_G$ is contained in the *Schwartz space* $S(\mathcal{A}_G)$ defined in [EFK1]. We expect that $S(\mathcal{A}_G)$ is a completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ corresponding to a specific growth condition on the coefficients. \square

Corollary 1.6 implies that we can define the joint spectrum of the commutative algebra \mathcal{A}_G . It follows from the definition that $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ is naturally realized as a subset of $\text{Op}_{L^G}^\gamma(X) \times \overline{\text{Op}}_{L^G}^\gamma(X)$.

Definition. *An L^G -oper χ is called an oper with real monodromy (or real oper for short) if the monodromy representation $\pi_1(X, p_0) \rightarrow L^G(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to χ is isomorphic to its complex conjugate.*

Denote by $\text{Op}_{L^G}^\gamma(X)_\mathbb{R}$ the subset of real L^G -opers in $\text{Op}_{L^G}^\gamma(X)$.

Remark 1.8. It follows from the above definition that the image of the monodromy representation corresponding to a real L^G -oper is contained in a real form $L^G_\mathbb{R}$ of L^G . Moreover, this form is inner to the split real form since for every algebraic representation V of L^G , the corresponding monodromy ρ_V is isomorphic to $\bar{\rho}_V$. We conjecture that in fact the form $L^G_\mathbb{R}$ is the split real form $L^G(\mathbb{R})$; in other words, that real L^G -opers are precisely the L^G -opers χ for which the image of the monodromy representation of χ in $L^G(\mathbb{C})$ is contained, up to conjugation, in $L^G(\mathbb{R})$. This is known to be the case for $L^G = PGL_2$ and SL_2 , see [Fa, Go, GKM].

We can prove that $L^G_\mathbb{R}$ is split in the case when $S \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, the residue of the oper at each parabolic point is regular nilpotent, so the monodromy is regular unipotent. Thus our real form $L^G_\mathbb{R}$ contains a real regular unipotent element, hence a real Borel subgroup (the unique Borel subgroup containing it), hence it is quasi-split. But an inner quasi-split real form must be split. \square

For $\chi \in \text{Op}_{L^G}^\gamma(X)$, we denote, following Sect. 3.4 of [EFK1], by χ^* the L^G -oper obtained by applying to χ the Chevalley involution on L^G (it belongs to the same component $\text{Op}_{L^G}^\gamma(X)$).

Theorem 1.7. *As a subset of $\text{Op}_{L^G}^\gamma(X) \times \overline{\text{Op}}_{L^G}^\gamma(X)$, the joint spectrum $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ consists of pairs $(\chi, \bar{\chi}^*)$ where $\chi \in \text{Op}_{L^G}^\gamma(X)_\mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ is naturally realized as a subset of $\text{Op}_{L^G}^\gamma(X)_\mathbb{R}$.*

Conjecture 1.8. (1) *The set $\text{Op}_{L^G}^\gamma(X)_\mathbb{R}$ is discrete.*

(2) $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_G) = \text{Op}_{L^G}^\gamma(X)_\mathbb{R}$.

Remark 1.9. (i) In [EFK1], we proved Conjecture 1.8 in the case $G = SL_2, X = \mathbb{P}^1, |S| = 4$, directly, without relying on the Compactness Conjecture.

(ii) Part (1) of Conjecture 1.8 is known in the case when $G = PGL_2$ (see [Fa], Sect. 7). \square

In [BD1], Sect. 5.1.1, Beilinson and Drinfeld attached to every $\chi \in \text{Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X)$ a D -module on Bun_G , which is a Hecke eigensheaf with respect to the flat ${}^L G$ -bundle corresponding to χ . We denote it by Δ_χ^0 (see also [EFK1], Sect. 3.2, and Remark 4.3 below). The first part of the following statement has been proved in [AGKRRV] (Corollary 11.6.7) for an arbitrary G . The second part follows from the results of [Ga].

Theorem 1.9.

- (1) Δ_χ^0 has regular singularities.
- (2) If $G = PGL_n$, then Δ_χ^0 is irreducible on each connected component of Bun_G .

Note that Bun_G has connected components $\text{Bun}_G^\beta, \beta \in \pi_1(G)$. Thus, we have a natural direct sum decomposition

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_G = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi_1(G)} \mathcal{H}_G^\beta.$$

We also have

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi_1(G)} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G)_\beta, \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G)_\beta := \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G) \cap \mathcal{H}_G^\beta.$$

The action of \mathcal{A}_G on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ preserves the direct summands $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G)_\beta$. Hence we can talk about the joint eigenvalues of \mathcal{A}_G on each of them and the corresponding multiplicities.

The following statement is proved in Sect. 1.5 of [EFK1] in the case when G is simply-connected, and the proof generalizes in a straightforward way to the case of an arbitrary connected simple Lie group G .

Proposition 1.10. *Suppose that $\chi \in \text{Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X)$ corresponds to an element of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ and Δ_χ^0 is irreducible. Then the multiplicity of χ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G)_\beta$ is equal to one for all $\beta \in \pi_1(G)$.*

1.4. The case $G = PGL_n$. According to Theorem 1.9, in this case the spectrum of \mathcal{A}_G is simple on each $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_G)_\beta \subset \mathcal{H}_G^\beta, \beta \in \pi_1(PGL_n) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

For $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, let $\psi_{\chi,\beta}$ be a non-zero generator of the one-dimensional eigenspace of \mathcal{A}_G in \mathcal{H}_G^β corresponding to the eigenvalue χ . Let

$$\mathcal{E}_\chi := \text{span}\{\psi_{\chi,\beta}, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Now consider the Hecke operators $H_{\omega_1}(x), x \in X$, and the corresponding operator-valued section H_{ω_1} of the line bundle $|K_X|^{-(n-1)/2}$. These operators act from \mathcal{H}_G^β to $\mathcal{H}_G^{\beta+1}$. Corollary 1.6 then implies that $H_{\omega_1}(x)$ and H_{ω_1} preserve the n -dimensional

subspace $\mathcal{E}_\chi \subset \mathcal{H}_G$. Moreover, we can normalize the vectors $\psi_{\chi,\beta}$ in such a way that $\Psi_\chi^1 := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \psi_{\chi,\beta}$ is an eigenvector of H_{ω_1} . Then the vectors

$$\Psi_\chi^\epsilon := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \epsilon^\beta \psi_{\chi,\beta}, \quad \epsilon \in \mu_n$$

(where μ_n is the group of n th roots of unity) are also eigenvectors of H_{ω_1} and hence form an eigenbasis of \mathcal{E}_χ . If Φ_χ is a section of $|K_X|^{-(n-1)/2}$ representing the eigenvalue of H_{ω_1} on Ψ_χ^1 , then the section of $|K_X|^{-(n-1)/2}$ representing the eigenvalue of H_{ω_1} on Ψ_χ^ϵ is $\epsilon\Phi_\chi$.

Thus, to each $\chi \in \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}_G$ corresponds a collection $\{\Psi_\chi^\epsilon\}$ of eigenvalues of H_{ω_1} , which is naturally a μ_n -torsor. We will now write a conjectural formula for these eigenvalues.

Recall that χ is an SL_n -oper in $\text{Op}_{SL_n}^\gamma(X)_\mathbb{R}$. Let $(\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}, \nabla_\chi)$ be the corresponding holomorphic flat vector bundle on X with $\det(\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}, \nabla_\chi)$ identified with the trivial flat line bundle. The definition of an oper provides us with an embedding of a line bundle

$$\kappa_{\omega_1} : K_X^{-(n-1)/2} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\omega_1},$$

and hence an embedding

$$\tilde{\kappa}_{\omega_1} : \mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\omega_1} \otimes K_X^{-(n-1)/2}.$$

Therefore we obtain a section

$$s_{\omega_1} := \tilde{\kappa}_{\omega_1}(1) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{V}_{\omega_1} \otimes K_X^{-(n-1)/2}).$$

In the same way, we obtain a section

$$s_{\omega_{n-1}} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{V}_{\omega_{n-1}} \otimes K_X^{-(n-1)/2}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}^* \otimes K_X^{-(n-1)/2}).$$

By our assumption that $\chi \in \text{Op}_{SL_n}^\gamma(X)_\mathbb{R}$, the monodromy representations associated to χ and $\bar{\chi}$ are isomorphic. This means that $(\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}, \nabla_\chi)$ and $(\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\omega_1}, \bar{\nabla}_\chi)$ are isomorphic as C^∞ flat vector bundles on X . Hence we have a non-degenerate pairing

$$h_\chi(\cdot, \cdot) : (\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}, \nabla_\chi) \otimes (\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\omega_{n-1}}, \bar{\nabla}_\chi) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_X^\infty, d)$$

of C^∞ flat vector bundles X . Since $(\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}, \nabla_\chi)$ and $(\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\omega_{n-1}}, \bar{\nabla}_\chi)$ are associated to flat SL_n -bundles, their determinants are identified with the trivial flat line bundle. We will require that $h_\chi(\cdot, \cdot)$ induce the canonical pairing on the corresponding determinant line bundles. The set of $h_\chi(\cdot, \cdot)$ normalized this way is a μ_n -torsor, which we denote by $\{\epsilon h_\chi(\cdot, \cdot)\}$.

Conjecture 1.11.

$$\{\Phi_\chi^\epsilon\} = \{\epsilon h_\chi(s_{\omega_1}, \overline{s_{\omega_{n-1}}})\}$$

as μ_n -torsors of global sections of the line bundle $|K_X|^{-(n-1)/2}$ on X .

We will prove a slightly weaker form of this conjecture (Corollary 1.16), with roots of unity ϵ replaced by non-zero complex numbers, by showing that both sides satisfy the same system of differential equations which has a unique solution up to a scalar.

To explain this, we need an alternative description of the component $\text{Op}_{SL_n}^\gamma(X)$.

Consider n th order differential operators $P : K_X^{-(n-1)/2} \rightarrow K_X^{(n+1)/2}$ (here, if n is even, we use our chosen square root $K_X^{-1/2}$) such that

- (1) $\text{symb}(P) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is equal to 1;
- (2) The operator $P - (-1)^n P^*$ has order $n - 2$ (here $P^* : K_X^{-(n-1)/2} \rightarrow K_X^{(n+1)/2}$ is the algebraic adjoint operator, see [BB], Sect. 2.4).

These operators form an affine space, which we denote by $D_n^\gamma(X)$. Locally,

$$P = \partial_z^n + v_{n-2}(z)\partial_z^{n-2} + \dots + v_0(z).$$

The following statement is proved in [BD2], Sect. 2.8.

Lemma 1.12. *There is a bijection $\text{Op}_{SL_n}^\gamma(X) \simeq D_n^\gamma(X)$*

$$\chi \in \text{Op}_{SL_2}^\gamma(X) \quad \mapsto \quad P_\chi \in D_n^\gamma(X)$$

such that the sections $s_{\omega_1} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{V}_{\omega_1} \otimes K_X^{-(n-1)/2})$ and $s_{\omega_{n-1}} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{V}_{\omega_{n-1}} \otimes K_X^{-(n-1)/2})$ satisfy

$$P_\chi \cdot s_{\omega_1} = 0, \quad P_\chi^* \cdot s_{\omega_{n-1}} = 0,$$

where P_χ^* is the algebraic adjoint of P_χ (here we use the \mathcal{D}_X -module structures on \mathcal{V}_{ω_1} and $\mathcal{V}_{\omega_{n-1}}$ corresponding to the oper connection of χ).

The flat vector bundle $(\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}, \nabla_\chi)$ is known to be irreducible [BD2]. Therefore we obtain

Corollary 1.13. *$h_{\chi, \omega_1}(s_{\omega_1}, \overline{s_{\omega_{n-1}}})$ is a unique, up to a scalar, section Φ of $|K_X|^{-(n-1)/2}$ which is a solution of the system of differential equations*

$$(1.5) \quad P_\chi \cdot \Phi = 0, \quad \overline{P_\chi^*} \cdot \Phi = 0$$

On the other hand, let $\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}^{\text{univ}}$ be the universal vector bundle over $\text{Op}_{SL_n}^\gamma(X) \times X$ with a partial connection ∇^{univ} along X , such that

$$(\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}^{\text{univ}}, \nabla^{\text{univ}})|_{X \times X} = (\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}, \nabla_\chi).$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1, X}^{\text{univ}} := \pi_*(\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}^{\text{univ}})$, where $\pi : \text{Op}_{SL_n}^\gamma(X) \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection and π_* is the \mathcal{O} -module push-forward functor. The connection ∇^{univ} makes $\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1, X}^{\text{univ}}$ into a left \mathcal{D}_X -module.

The algebra of global holomorphic differential operators on Bun_G ,

$$D_{PGL_n} \simeq \text{Fun Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X),$$

naturally acts on $\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1, X}^{\text{univ}}$ and this action commutes with the action of \mathcal{D}_X .

Lemma 1.14. *There is a unique n -th order differential operator*

$$(1.6) \quad \sigma : K_X^{-(n-1)/2} \rightarrow D_{PGL_n} \otimes K_X^{(n+1)/2}$$

satisfying the following property: for any $\chi \in \text{Spec } D_{PGL_n}$, applying the corresponding homomorphism $D_{PGL_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we obtain P_χ .

Theorem 1.15. *The Hecke operator \widehat{H}_{ω_1} , viewed as an operator-valued section of $|K_X|^{-(n-1)/2} = K_X^{-(n-1)/2} \otimes \overline{K}_X^{-(n-1)/2}$, satisfies the system of differential equations*

$$(1.7) \quad \sigma \cdot \widehat{H}_{\omega_1} = 0, \quad \overline{\sigma} \cdot \widehat{H}_{\omega_1} = 0.$$

Corollary 1.16. *Each of the eigenvalues Φ_χ^ϵ of the Hecke operator H_{ω_1} is equal to a scalar multiple of $h_\chi(s_{\omega_1}, \overline{s_{\omega_{n-1}}})$.*

This is a weaker form of Conjecture 1.11, and it follows from Theorem 1.15 and Corollary 1.13. Indeed, equations (1.7) readily imply that the eigenvalues of H_{ω_1} satisfy equations (1.5). That's because if v is an eigenvector of \mathcal{A}_{PGL_n} with the eigenvalue of D_{PGL_n} corresponding to a holomorphic SL_n -oper χ , then according to Theorem 1.7, the eigenvalue of \overline{D}_{PGL_n} on v corresponds to the anti-holomorphic SL_n -oper $\overline{\chi}^*$. It is clear that the n th order operator $\overline{K}_X^{-(n-1)/2} \rightarrow \overline{K}_X^{(n+1)/2}$ associated to $\overline{\chi}^*$ is \overline{P}_χ^* . Corollary 1.13 then implies Corollary 1.16.

Corollary 1.16 describes the eigenvalues of the Hecke operator H_{ω_1} for $G = PGL_n$ up to scalar multiples. The eigenvalues of the other Hecke operators H_λ for $G = PGL_n$ can be found from the eigenvalues of H_{ω_1} using Proposition 1.4.

Moreover, Theorem 1.15 also implies Conjecture 1.5. Indeed, it can be shown that differential equations (1.7) imply a suitable version of commutativity of the algebra \mathcal{A}_G with the Hecke operators. This will be discussed in more detail in our next paper [EFK2].

In Section 4, we will derive Theorem 1.15 from the theorem of Beilinson and Drinfeld [BD1] describing the action of the *Hecke functor* \mathbb{H}_{ω_1} on the left D -module $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes K_{\text{Bun}}^{-1/2}$ on Bun_{PGL_n} . We will also discuss a generalization of these results to an arbitrary simple Lie group G .

This shows a deep connection between the geometric/categorical Langlands correspondence and the analytic/function-theoretic one.

1.5. The structure of the paper. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we consider the abelian case, $G = GL_1$, in which one can already see the main ingredients of our construction in the non-abelian case. In Section 3 we formulate some basic results on the compatibility between the natural operations on functions (pull-back, push-forward, and integral transforms) and the corresponding functors between categories of twisted D -modules. We then use these results in the following sections to relate the Hecke operators and Hecke functors and derive differential equations on the Hecke operators. In Section 4, we prove that the Hecke operators satisfy the system (1.7) of differential equations corresponding to the “universal SL_n -oper” (Theorem 1.15), using a Hecke eigensheaf property established in [BD1]. In Section 5 we outline a generalization of this argument to the case of an arbitrary simple Lie group G , leaving the details to a follow-up paper.

1.6. Acknowledgments. We are grateful to M. Kontsevich for sharing his ideas on Hecke operators, and to A. Braverman, V. Drinfeld, D. Gaiotto, D. Gaitsgory, T. Pantev, and E. Witten for useful discussions. E.F. thanks P. Schapira for helpful discussions and references concerning the material of Section 3.

P.E.'s research was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1916120. D.K.'s research was partially supported by the ERC grant No 669655.

2. THE ABELIAN CASE

In this section we consider the case $G = GL_1$. Though the spectral problem here is rather simple (standard Fourier analysis on a torus), it provides a useful illustration of our general method. Indeed, one can already observe in it all the essential ingredients of the picture for a general group G . Hence it is instructive to consider it as a blueprint for the general construction.

We first recall the results of [Fr2, EFK1] on the eigenvalues of the corresponding Hecke operators and the global differential operators. We will then prove that the Hecke operators satisfy a system of differential equations, which are analogous to equations (1.7). This system will enable us to prove a relation between the eigenvalues of the Hecke operators and the global differential operators and lead to an alternative, and simpler, proof of the results of [Fr2, EFK1] describing these eigenvalues. The differential equations follow from a theorem describing the image of the sheaf \mathcal{D} of differential operators on the Jacobian of the curve X under the action of the corresponding *Hecke functor*. Thus, these differential equations link the geometric/categorical Langlands correspondence and the analytic Langlands correspondence. A generalization of this equation, and this link, to $G = PGL_n$ will be presented in Section 4.

2.1. The global picture. Let $G = GL_1$. In this case, the Hecke operators are easy to define as they do not involve integration. These Hecke operators act on the space of L^2 functions on the Jacobian, which plays the role of Bun_G° for $G = GL_1$. Their spectrum was completely described in [Fr2], Sect. 2 (not only for GL_1 but also for an arbitrary complex torus).

The Hecke operators commute with the algebra \mathcal{A}_{GL_1} of global differential operators on the Jacobian, which is generated by the translation vector fields (both holomorphic and anti-holomorphic). Hence they share the same eigenfunctions; namely, the Fourier harmonics on the Jacobian viewed as a real torus. We will now describe the relation between their eigenvalues following [Fr2], Sect. 2.4 and [EFK1], Sect. 5.

Let X be a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{C} as before. For $G = GL_1$, Bun_G is the Picard stack which is the quotient of the Picard variety $\text{Pic}(X) = Bun_{GL_1} = Bun_{GL_1}^\circ$ (here we use the notation from the Introduction) by the trivial action of the multiplicative group. The Picard variety is a fine moduli space of line bundles on X . The canonical line bundle on it is isomorphic to the trivial line bundle, and we will fix such an isomorphism. Hence we have a positive Hermitian inner product on the space of smooth functions on $\text{Pic}(X)$. Let $L^2(\text{Pic}(X))$ be its completion. This is our Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{GL_1} .

Denote by H_p the Hecke operator on \mathcal{H}_{GL_1} associated to p and the defining one-dimensional representation of GL_1 . It acts on \mathcal{H}_{GL_1} as the pull-back with respect to the map sending a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$,

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{L}(p).$$

These operators obviously commute with each other for different $p \in X$.

Recall that $\text{Pic}(X)$ is a disjoint union of connected components $\text{Pic}^n(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, labeled by the degrees of line bundles. The Hecke operators shift the degree by 1. Let us fix a point $p_0 \in X$ once and for all. The map (2.1) with $p = p_0$ identifies $\text{Pic}^n(X)$ and $\text{Pic}^{n+1}(X)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies (see [Fr2], Sect. 2.1, for more details) that the spectral theory of the operators H_p , $p \in X$, on \mathcal{H}_{GL_1} is equivalent to the spectral theory of the operators

$${}_{p_0}H_p := H_p \circ H_{p_0}^{-1}, \quad p \in X,$$

acting on

$$\mathcal{H}_{GL_1}^0 := L^2(\text{Pic}^0(X)).$$

Note that ${}_{p_0}H_{p_0}$ is the identity operator.

To describe the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the operators ${}_{p_0}H_p$ (following [Fr2], Sect. 2.4), recall that as a real torus,

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Pic}^0(X) \simeq H^1(X, \mathbb{R})^*/H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the embedding $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{R})^*$ is defined by sending $\beta \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to the linear functional on $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$

$$(2.3) \quad H^1(X, \mathbb{R}) \ni c \mapsto \int_{\beta} c = \int_{\beta} (\omega_c + \bar{\omega}_c), \quad \omega_c \in H^0(X, \Omega^{1,0}).$$

Given $\gamma \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, denote by φ_{γ} the harmonic representative of the image of γ in $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi_{\gamma} = \omega_{\gamma} + \bar{\omega}_{\gamma}, \quad \omega_{\gamma} \in H^0(X, \Omega^{1,0}).$$

Note that these are precisely the smooth one-forms on X whose integrals over all one-cycles in X are integers.

Now view φ_{γ} as a linear functional on $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})^*$ and set

$$(2.5) \quad f_{\gamma} = e^{2\pi i \varphi_{\gamma}}, \quad \gamma \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Lemma 2.1. *For any $\gamma \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, f_{γ} is a well-defined function on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ given by (2.2).*

The functions f_{γ} , $\gamma \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, are the *Fourier harmonics* of the torus $\text{Pic}^0(X)$. They form an orthogonal basis of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{GL_1}^0$.

The following statement is proved in [Fr2], Theorem 2.4.

Proposition 2.2. *The function f_{γ} , $\gamma \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, is an eigenfunction of the Hecke operators ${}_{p_0}H_p$. The eigenvalue $F_{\gamma}(p)$ of ${}_{p_0}H_p$ on f_{γ} is given by the formula*

$$(2.6) \quad F_{\gamma}(p) = \exp \left(\int_{p_0}^p 2\pi i (\omega_{\gamma} + \bar{\omega}_{\gamma}) \right)$$

where the integral is taken over any path connecting p_0 to p (the integral does not depend on the choice of this path).

Now consider the algebra of global differential operators on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$,

$$\mathcal{A}_{GL_1} = D_{GL_1} \otimes \overline{D}_{GL_1},$$

where D_{GL_1} (resp., \overline{D}_{GL_1}) is the algebra of global holomorphic (resp., anti-holomorphic) differential operators on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$. Since $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is compact and has an abelian group structure, we have

$$D_{GL_1} = \text{Sym}(\Theta \text{Pic}^0(X)), \quad \overline{D}_{GL_1} = \text{Sym}(\overline{\Theta} \text{Pic}^0(X)),$$

where $\Theta \text{Pic}^0(X)$ (resp., $\overline{\Theta} \text{Pic}^0(X)$) is the commutative Lie algebra of holomorphic (resp., anti-holomorphic) translation vector fields on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$. We have

$$\Theta \text{Pic}^0(X) \simeq H^0(X, \Omega^{1,0})^*, \quad \overline{\Theta} \text{Pic}^0(X) \simeq H^0(X, \Omega^{0,1})^*.$$

Therefore the eigenvalues of the algebra \mathcal{A}_{GL_1} on any joint eigenvector are encoded by a pair (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) , where $\mathbf{a} \in H^0(X, \Omega^{1,0})$ and $\mathbf{b} \in H^0(X, \Omega^{0,1})$.

We associate to \mathbf{a} a holomorphic GL_1 -oper, i.e. the trivial line bundle on X with the holomorphic connection $d + \mathbf{a}$. And we associate to \mathbf{b} an anti-holomorphic GL_1 -oper, i.e. the trivial line bundle on X with the anti-holomorphic connection $d + \mathbf{b}$.

Proposition 2.3 ([EFK1], Theorem 5.4).

(1) *The eigenvalues of \mathcal{A}_{GL_1} on f_γ are given by the pairs*

$$(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (2\pi i \omega_\gamma, 2\pi i \overline{\omega}_\gamma).$$

(2) *The GL_1 -opers $d + 2\pi i \omega_\gamma$, $\gamma \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, are all holomorphic GL_1 -opers on X with real monodromy (i.e. the monodromy representation takes values in $GL_1(\mathbb{R}) \subset GL_1(\mathbb{C})$).*

(3) *The spectrum of \mathcal{A}_{GL_1} on $\mathcal{H}_{GL_1}^0$ is in bijection with the set of holomorphic GL_1 -opers on X with real monodromy.*

Combining Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, we obtain the following relation between the eigenvalues of the Hecke operators and \mathcal{A}_{GL_1} .

Theorem 2.4. *Let $F(p)$ and (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) be the eigenvalues of the Hecke operators ${}_{p_0}H_p$, $p \in X$, and \mathcal{A}_{GL_1} on a joint eigenfunction in $L^2(\text{Pic}^0(X))$, respectively. Then*

$$(2.7) \quad F(p) = \exp \left(\int_{p_0}^p (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \right)$$

and $\mathbf{b} = -\overline{\mathbf{a}}$.

This is equivalent to the following statement. Denote by ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$ the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic de Rham differentials, respectively.

Proposition 2.5. *The function $F(p)$ is the single-valued solution of the differential equation*

$$(2.8) \quad dF = (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})F$$

or equivalently, the system

$$(2.9) \quad \partial F = \mathbf{a}F, \quad \overline{\partial} F = \mathbf{b}F$$

normalized so that $F(p_0) = 1$.

Remark 2.1. Theorem 2.4 does not specify the possible values of the one-forms \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} that appear in this relation (which we already know from Propositions 2.2 and 2.3). But these values can be readily obtained from the relation (2.7).

Indeed, single-valuedness of the function $F(p)$ given by (2.7) implies that the integrals of the one-form $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$ over all one-cycles in X are integer multiples of $2\pi i$. This is equivalent to

$$(2.10) \quad \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = 2\pi i \varphi_\gamma,$$

where $\varphi_\gamma = \omega_\gamma + \bar{\omega}_\gamma$ is the harmonic one-form introduced above, for some $\gamma \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. On the other hand, the self-adjointness on $L^2(\text{Pic}^0(X))$ of the operators of the form $\xi - \bar{\xi}$ and $(\xi + \bar{\xi})/i$, where ξ is any holomorphic translation vector field on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$, implies that

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbf{b} = -\bar{\mathbf{a}}$$

Combining formulas (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain that

$$\mathbf{a} = 2\pi i \omega_\gamma, \quad \mathbf{b} = 2\pi i \bar{\omega}_\gamma$$

for some $\gamma \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Therefore, we can derive Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 from Theorem 2.4. Thus, Theorem 2.4 (or equivalently, Proposition 2.5) is the key statement that yields explicit formulas for the eigenvalues of both Hecke operators and the global differential operators. \square

In the rest of this section, we will give an alternative proof of Proposition 2.5 using the action of the *Hecke functors* ${}_{p_0}\mathbf{H}_p$ (categorical versions of the Hecke operators ${}_{p_0}H_p$) on the sheaf \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} of differential operators on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$. This will be our blueprint for proving analogous statements for a general group G .

2.2. Hecke operators and Hecke functors. Consider the GL_1 version of the Hecke correspondence

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{H}ecke = \{(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}(p_0 - p), p)\} \subset \text{Pic}^0(X) \times \text{Pic}^0(X) \times X$$

and let $q_1, q_2 : \mathcal{H}ecke \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)$ be the two projections and $q_3 : \mathcal{H}ecke \rightarrow X$. We have

$$(q_2, q_3) : \mathcal{H}ecke \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}^0(X) \times X$$

and with this isomorphism, q_1 becomes the map

$$(2.13) \quad q_1 : \text{Pic}^0(X) \times X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)$$

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{L}(p - p_0)$$

Denote by ${}_{p_0}\widehat{H}_p$ the restriction of ${}_{p_0}H_p$ to the dense subspace of C^∞ functions on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$. This is the operator of pulling back a C^∞ function under the map q_1 and restricting the result to $\text{Pic}^0(X) \times p$. As p varies along X , these operators combine into a single operator

$${}_{p_0}\widehat{H} : C^\infty(\text{Pic}^0(X)) \rightarrow C^\infty(\text{Pic}^0(X) \times X).$$

We are going to relate it to the *Hecke functor*

$${}_{p_0}\mathbf{H} := q_1^* : \text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Pic}^0(X)}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Pic}^0(X) \times X}),$$

the D -module pull-back functor.

2.3. Another derivation of the differential equations. Now we apply Lemma 3.2 to the morphism $q_1 : \text{Pic}^0(X) \times X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)$. Then $\mathbf{q}_{1,C^\infty}^{-1} = {}_{p_0}\widehat{H}$ is the Hecke operator, whereas $q_1^* = {}_{p_0}\mathbf{H}$ is the Hecke functor.

We will derive the differential equations (2.9) appearing in Proposition 2.5 using Corollary 3.3 from the next section. For that, we need to show that the section $1_{Z \rightarrow Y}$, which we will denote by 1_{q_1} in the case of our morphism q_1 , satisfies a differential equation which gives rise to the first equation in (2.9).

Denote by \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$. The algebra

$$D_{GL_1} = \Gamma(\text{Pic}^0(X), \mathcal{D}_{GL_1}) = \text{Sym}(\Theta \text{Pic}^0(X))$$

acts by endomorphisms of \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} from the right, and this action commutes with the left action of \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} . We know that

$$\text{Spec } D_{GL_1} \simeq H^0(X, \Omega^{1,0}) = \text{Op}_{GL_1}(X).$$

Recall that a (holomorphic) GL_1 -oper on X is a holomorphic connection ∇ on the trivial line bundle on X , so we can write

$$(2.15) \quad \nabla = \nabla_{\mathbf{a}} := d + \mathbf{a},$$

where $\mathbf{a} \in H^0(X, \Omega^{1,0})$.

The trivial line bundle \mathcal{V} on $\text{Op}_{GL_1}(X) \times X$ is equipped with a partial connection ∇^{univ} along X , whose restriction to $\nabla_{\mathbf{a}} \times X \subset \text{Op}_{GL_1}(X) \times X$ is the connection $\nabla_{\mathbf{a}}$ on X . Thus, $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla^{\text{univ}})$ is the universal GL_1 -oper on $\text{Op}_{GL_1}(X) \times X$. We can write an explicit formula for ∇^{univ} .

Let W be a finite-dimensional vector space and $A = \text{Fun } W = \text{Sym } W^*$. The canonical element of $W^* \otimes W$ gives rise to an element of $A \otimes W$. Taking $W = H^0(X, \Omega^{1,0})$, we obtain a holomorphic one-form σ with values in $\text{Fun } \text{Op}_{GL_1}(X)$. Then

$$(2.16) \quad \nabla^{\text{univ}} = d + \sigma.$$

Explicitly, if $\{\omega_i, i = 1, \dots, g\}$ is a basis of $H^0(X, \Omega^{1,0})$ and $\{b_i, i = 1, \dots, g\}$ is the dual basis in $H^0(X, \Omega^{1,0})^* \simeq \Theta \text{Pic}^0(X)$, then

$$(2.17) \quad \sigma = \sum_{i=1}^g b_i \omega_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_X := \pi_*(\mathcal{V})$, where π is the projection $\text{Op}_{GL_1}(X) \times X \rightarrow X$. The connection ∇^{univ} makes \mathcal{V}_X into a left \mathcal{D}_X -module.

Moreover, the unit $1 \in \text{Fun } \text{Op}_{GL_1}(X)$ gives rise to a global section of \mathcal{V}_X , which we denote by $1_{\mathcal{V}_X}$. In addition, \mathcal{V}_X is equipped with an action of $\text{Fun } \text{Op}_{GL_1}(X) \simeq D_{GL_1}$ which commutes with the action of \mathcal{D}_X .

This allows us to define the following D -module on $\text{Pic}^0(X) \times X$:

$$\mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \boxtimes_{D_{GL_1}} \mathcal{V}_X.$$

The algebra D_{GL_1} acts on it by endomorphisms which commute with the action of the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Pic}^0(X) \times X}$.

Define its global section s by the formula

$$s := 1 \boxtimes 1_{\mathcal{V}_X}.$$

The element (2.17) gives rise to a linear operator

$$\sigma : \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \boxtimes_{D_{GL_1}} \mathcal{V}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \boxtimes_{D_{GL_1}} (\mathcal{V}_X \otimes K_X).$$

(namely, we interpret the b_i as elements of $\text{Sym}(\Theta \text{Pic}^0(X)) = D_{GL_1}$).

The \mathcal{D}_X -module structure on $\mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \boxtimes_{D_{GL_1}} \mathcal{V}_X$ allows us to define the action of the holomorphic de Rham differential ∂_X along X on sections of $\mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \boxtimes_{D_{GL_1}} \mathcal{V}_X$,

$$\partial_X : \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \boxtimes_{D_{GL_1}} \mathcal{V}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \boxtimes_{D_{GL_1}} (\mathcal{V}_X \otimes K_X).$$

Formula (2.16) readily implies the following.

Lemma 2.6. *The section s satisfies the equation*

$$(2.18) \quad \partial_X s = \sigma \cdot s.$$

Corollary 2.7. *The D -module $\mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \boxtimes_{D_{GL_1}} \mathcal{V}_X$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_X$ with the action of \mathcal{D}_X on the second factor modified so that the holomorphic de Rham differential ∂_X acts as follows*

$$(2.19) \quad \partial_X \mapsto 1 \boxtimes \partial_X + \sigma$$

where $\sigma \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^g b_i \boxtimes \omega_i$.

Now recall the D -module $q_1^*(\mathcal{D}_X)$ on $\text{Pic}^0(X) \times X$ and its section 1_{q_1} . The algebra D_{GL_1} naturally acts on $q_1^*(\mathcal{D}_X)$ by endomorphisms commuting with the D -module structure.

Theorem 2.8. *There is an isomorphism of D -modules on $\text{Pic}^0(X) \times X$ equipped with a commuting action of D_{GL_1} ,*

$$(2.20) \quad q_1^*(\mathcal{D}_{GL_1}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \boxtimes_{D_{GL_1}} \mathcal{V}_X,$$

under which the section 1_{q_1} is mapped to s .

Proof. By Corollary 2.7, we need to prove that $q_1^*(\mathcal{D}_{GL_1}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_X$, with the modified action of \mathcal{D}_X on the second factor.

Let A be an abelian variety. It comes equipped with the group homomorphism $m : A \times A \rightarrow A$. We claim that $m^*(\mathcal{D}_A) \simeq \mathcal{D}_A \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_A$, with \mathcal{D}_A corresponding to the first factor acting on itself from the left, and \mathcal{D}_A corresponding to the second factor

acting on \mathcal{O}_A in a modified way so that the corresponding action of the holomorphic de Rham differential is given by the formula

$$(2.21) \quad \partial_A \mapsto 1 \boxtimes \partial_A + \sigma_A,$$

where

$$\sigma_A = \sum_i b_i \boxtimes \omega_i.$$

Here $\{\omega_i\}$ is a basis in the space $T_1^*A = H^0(A, K_A)$ and $\{b_i\}$ is the dual basis in the dual vector space T_1A , which we identify with the space $\Theta(A)$ of holomorphic translation vector fields on A (so the b_i can be viewed as global differential operators on A).

To see this, we invoke formula (3.2) from the next section which shows that

$$(2.22) \quad m^*(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_{A \times A} / (\mathcal{D}_{A \times A} \cdot \Theta_{A \times A/A})$$

where $\Theta_{A \times A/A}$ is the sheaf of vertical vector fields for the morphism $m : A \times A \rightarrow A$. It is generated by the global translation vector fields on $A \times A$ of the form $\xi \boxtimes 1 - 1 \boxtimes \xi$, where $\xi \in \Theta(A)$. The quotient (2.22) can be identified with $\mathcal{D}_A \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_A$ but then the action of the vector field $1 \boxtimes \xi$ corresponding to the element ξ in the second factor \mathcal{D}_A is given by the action of $\xi \boxtimes 1$ corresponding to the element ξ in first factor \mathcal{D}_A , which coincides with the above description.

Now we apply this to the case $A = \text{Pic}^0(X)$ (so $\mathcal{D}_A = \mathcal{D}_{GL_1}$) and observe that

$$q_1^*(\mathcal{D}_{GL_1}) \simeq (m^*(\mathcal{D}_{GL_1}))|_{\text{Pic}^0(X) \times X}$$

where m is the multiplication map on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ and X is embedded into the second factor $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ via the Abel–Jacobi map $X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)$ sending $p \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(p - p_0)$.

The theorem now follows from the fact that the linear map from

$$H^0(\text{Pic}^0(X), K_{\text{Pic}^0(X)}) \simeq H^0(X, K_X)$$

to $H^0(X, K_X)$ corresponding to the pull-back of a one-form under the Abel–Jacobi map is the identity, so that formula (2.21) becomes (2.19). \square

Using Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.6, we obtain the sought-after differential equation on 1_{q_1} .

Proposition 2.9. $\partial_X 1_{q_1} = \sigma \cdot 1_{q_1}$.

Corollary 3.3, which is proved in Section 3.1 below, gives us a way to produce differential equations on the operator of pull-back of functions from differential equations satisfied by the unit section of the \mathcal{D} -module pull-back of the sheaf of differential operators. More precisely, we will use Corollary 3.3 in the setting where the map $p : Z \rightarrow Y$ is $q_1 : \text{Pic}^0(X) \times X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)$, so that $\mathbf{p}_{C^\infty}^{-1} = {}_{p_0}\widehat{H}$ and $1_{Z \rightarrow Y} = 1_{q_1}$. Combined with Proposition 2.9, Corollary 3.3 gives us the main result of this section:

Theorem 2.10. *The Hecke operator ${}_{p_0}\widehat{H}$, viewed as a smooth function on X with values in operators on $C^\infty(\text{Pic}^0(X))$, satisfies the system of differential equations*

$$(2.23) \quad \partial_X \cdot {}_{p_0}\widehat{H} = \sigma \cdot {}_{p_0}\widehat{H}, \quad \bar{\partial}_X \cdot {}_{p_0}\widehat{H} = \bar{\sigma} \cdot {}_{p_0}\widehat{H}.$$

This theorem implies Proposition 2.5. Thus, we have obtained an alternative proof of Proposition 2.5 which relies on the Hecke eigensheaf property (Theorem 2.8) of the sheaf \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} (in the sense of Remark 2.3 below).

In other words, the differential equation on the eigenvalues of the *Hecke operators* follows from the description of the action of the *Hecke functor* on the sheaf \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} .

Remark 2.2. The equations (2.23) yield the following explicit formula for the Hecke operators in terms of the translation vector fields:

$$(2.24) \quad {}_{p_0}H_p = \exp \left(\int_{p_0}^p (\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) \right) = \exp \left(\int_{p_0}^p \left(\sum_{i=1}^g b_i \omega_i + \sum_{i=1}^g \bar{b}_i \bar{\omega}_i \right) \right)$$

where the b_i and \bar{b}_i are viewed as translation vector fields on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ (see formula (2.17)), whereas the ω_i and $\bar{\omega}_i$ are one-forms on X which are integrated over a path connecting the points p_0 and p . Integrality properties of the eigenvalues of the b_i and \bar{b}_i then imply that the exponential of this integral does not depend on the choice of such path. This way we obtain another interpretation of the relation between the eigenvalues from Theorem 2.4. However, the path-independence of (2.24) is not obvious, and this is why we prefer to express this relation in terms of the system (2.23). \square

Remark 2.3. For $\mathbf{a} \in \text{Op}_{GL_1}(X) = \text{Spec } D_{GL_1}$, let $I_{\mathbf{a}}$ be the corresponding ideal in D_{GL_1} . Define the following D -module on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$:

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{a}} := \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} / (\mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \cdot I_{\mathbf{a}}).$$

Theorem 2.8 implies that

$$q_1^*(\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}) \simeq \Delta_{\mathbf{a}} \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{a}}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{a}} = (\mathcal{O}_X, \nabla_{\mathbf{a}})$ is the flat line bundle corresponding to \mathbf{a} (see formula (2.15)). The last isomorphism expresses the fact that $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the restriction to $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ of a *Hecke eigensheaf* on $\text{Pic}(X)$ with the eigenvalue $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{a}}$. In fact, Theorem 2.8 is equivalent to this statement for all $\mathbf{a} \in \text{Op}_{GL_1}(X)$. In this sense, \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} is the universal Hecke eigensheaf parametrized by all GL_1 -opers on X .

Here's a categorical interpretation. Recall that a D -module version of the Fourier–Mukai transform [La, R] establishes an equivalence between the category of coherent D -modules on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ and the category of coherent sheaves on Loc_{GL_1} , the moduli space of flat line bundles on X (it is isomorphic to an affine bundle over $\text{Pic}^0(X)$). The space $\text{Op}_{GL_1}(X)$ can be realized as a subvariety of Loc_{GL_1} (it is the fiber over the point corresponding to the trivial line bundle in $\text{Pic}^0(X)$). Let $\text{Coh}(\text{Op}_{GL_1}(X))$ be the category of coherent sheaves supported (scheme-theoretically) on $\text{Op}_{GL_1}(X) \subset \text{Loc}_{GL_1}$. The restriction of the above Fourier–Mukai transform to $\text{Coh}(\text{Op}_{GL_1}(X))$ gives rise to an equivalence E between this (abelian) category and the category of D -modules \mathcal{K} on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ with finite global presentation, i.e. such that there is an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{D}_{GL_1}^{\oplus m} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{GL_1}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 0.$$

This equivalence E takes an object \mathcal{F} of $\text{Coh}(\text{Op}_{GL_1}(X))$ to

$$E(\mathcal{F}) := \mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{GL_1}} F, \quad F := \Gamma(\text{Op}_{GL_1}(X), \mathcal{F}),$$

where we use the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{GL_1} \simeq \text{Fun Op}_{GL_1}(X)$ (see [FT], Sect. 2).

It follows from this definition that $E(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{a}}) = \Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $E(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Op}_{GL_1}(X)}) = \mathcal{D}_{GL_1}$. \square

3. GENERALITIES ON D -MODULES AND INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS

In this section we discuss the compatibility between natural functors on the categories of (twisted) \mathcal{D} -modules and the corresponding operations on sections of line bundles. First, we discuss the pull-back functor (Section 3.1), then the pull-back functor in the setting of twisted differential operators (Section 3.2), then the push-forward functor (Section 3.3), and finally the integral transform functors associated to correspondences (Section 3.4).

3.1. Pull-back. For a smooth complex manifold Y , denote by \mathcal{O}_Y and \mathcal{D}_Y the sheaves of holomorphic functions and differential operators on Y , respectively (in the analytic topology). Let $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ be the category of left \mathcal{D}_Y -modules.

Remark 3.1. In what follows, we can take as \mathcal{D}_Y the sheaf of algebraic differential operators on Y and the category of modules over it. Then we have analogous statements as well. \square

Given sheaves of algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} on Y , an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule is, by definition, a sheaf of modules over $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\text{opp}}$ on Y .

We need to recall some facts about the pull-back functor for D -modules. Let $p : Z \rightarrow Y$ be a submersion of smooth complex manifolds. Denote by p^{-1} the sheaf-theoretic pull-back functor.

The \mathcal{O} -module pull-back functor p^* is defined as follows. If \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{O}_Y -module, then the \mathcal{O}_Z -module $p^*(\mathcal{F})$ is

$$p^*(\mathcal{F}) := \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes_{p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} p^{-1}(\mathcal{F}).$$

If \mathcal{F} is a left \mathcal{D}_Y -module, then $p^*(\mathcal{F})$ has a natural structure of left \mathcal{D}_Z -module. To explain this, let $\Theta_{Z/Y}$ be the relative tangent sheaf of the morphism $p : Z \rightarrow Y$ (its sections are vertical vector fields on Z with respect to p). This is a sheaf of Lie algebras. It acts by commutator on \mathcal{D}_Z , and this action preserves the left ideal $(\mathcal{D}_Z \cdot \Theta_{Z/Y}) \subset \mathcal{D}_Z$. Moreover, we have

$$(3.1) \quad (\mathcal{D}_Z / (\mathcal{D}_Z \cdot \Theta_{Z/Y}))^{\Theta_{Z/Y}} \simeq p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$$

and hence

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y} := \mathcal{D}_Z / (\mathcal{D}_Z \cdot \Theta_{Z/Y})$$

is naturally a $(\mathcal{D}_Z, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ -bimodule.

Remark 3.2. Since p is a submersion,

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y} \simeq p^*(\mathcal{D}_Y) = \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes_{p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$$

as an $(\mathcal{O}_Z, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ -bimodule, and this is how $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y}$ is usually defined. Defining it by formula (3.2) for such p has the advantage that it makes the \mathcal{D}_Z -module structure on it manifest. \square

The isomorphism (3.3) implies that the \mathcal{O} -module pull-back $p^*(\mathcal{F})$ of a left \mathcal{D}_Y -module \mathcal{F} can be written as

$$p^*(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y)} p^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$$

and hence the \mathcal{O}_Z -module structure on $p^*(\mathcal{F})$ naturally extends to a \mathcal{D}_Z -module structure. Thus, we obtain the pull-back functor for D -modules, which we denote in the same way as the \mathcal{O} -module pull-back:

$$p^* : \text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Y) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Z).$$

On the other hand, let \mathcal{C}_Y^∞ and \mathcal{C}_Z^∞ be the sheaves of \mathbb{C} -valued C^∞ functions on the smooth real manifolds underlying Y and Z , respectively (in the analytic topology). Consider the internal Hom sheaf

$$\mathcal{H}om(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_Y^\infty), \mathcal{C}_Z^\infty)$$

on Z in the category of sheaves of vector spaces. By definition, for an open subset $U \subset Z$,

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{H}om(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_Y^\infty), \mathcal{C}_Z^\infty)(U) := \text{Hom}(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_Y^\infty)|_U, \mathcal{C}_Z^\infty|_U)$$

(as well-known, the presheaf defined by this formula is a sheaf). In particular, we have a special global section $\mathbf{p}_{C^\infty}^{-1}$ of $\mathcal{H}om(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_Y^\infty), \mathcal{C}_Z^\infty)$ over Z , which corresponds to the pull-back of smooth functions from Y to Z . More precisely, for any open $U \subset Z$, the restriction of $\mathbf{p}_{C^\infty}^{-1}$ to U maps $f \in (p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_Y^\infty))(U) = C^\infty(p(U))$ to its pull-back to U via p (note that $p(U)$ is open because p is a submersion).

Lemma 3.1. *The sheaf $\mathcal{H}om(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_Y^\infty), \mathcal{C}_Z^\infty)$ has a natural $(\mathcal{D}_Z, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ -bimodule structure, which is defined as follows: for every open $U \subset Z$, given $P \in \mathcal{D}_Z(U)$, $Q \in (p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y))(U) = \mathcal{D}_Y(p(U))$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_Y^\infty), \mathcal{C}_Z^\infty)(U)$, which is a compatible system $\{\phi_{U'} \in \text{Hom}(C^\infty(p(U')), C^\infty(U'))\}$ for open subsets $U' \subset U$,*

$$\phi \mapsto P \circ \phi \circ Q,$$

where $P \circ \phi \circ Q$ stands for the compatible system $\{P|_{U'} \circ \phi_{U'} \circ Q|_{p(U')} \mid U' \subset U\}$.

On the other hand, we have the $(\mathcal{D}_Z, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ -bimodule $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y}$ given by (3.2). The unit $1_Y \in \mathcal{D}_Y$ gives rise to a global section $1_{Z \rightarrow Y}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y}$.

Proposition 3.2. *There is a unique injective homomorphism of $(\mathcal{D}_Z, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ -bimodules*

$$\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_Y^\infty), \mathcal{C}_Z^\infty)$$

sending $1_{Z \rightarrow Y}$ to $\mathbf{p}_{C^\infty}^{-1}$.

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_{Z,Y} := \mathcal{D}_Z \cdot \mathbf{p}_{C^\infty}^{-1}.$$

For every open $U \subset Z$, we have

$$\xi \cdot \mathbf{p}_{C^\infty}^{-1}(f) = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in \Theta_{Z/Y}(U), \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(p(U)).$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A}_{Z,Y}$ is naturally isomorphic to the right hand side of (3.2) and hence to $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y}$ as a left \mathcal{D}_Z -module. The isomorphism (3.1) defines the structure of a $(\mathcal{D}_Z, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ -bimodule on both $\mathcal{A}_{Z,Y}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y}$. It is clear that the former is compatible with the $(\mathcal{D}_Z, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ -bimodule structure on $\mathcal{H}om(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_Y^\infty), \mathcal{C}_Z^\infty)$. \square

Remark 3.3. Concretely, we can choose a sufficiently fine open covering of Z , so that each neighborhood is isomorphic to the product of two balls $B_m \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $B_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and the restriction of the map p to it is isomorphic to the projection $B_m \times B_n \rightarrow B_m$. Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be coordinates on B_m and B_n . It is clear that the spaces of sections of both $\mathcal{A}_{Z,Y}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y}$ are both isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}ol(y_1, \dots, y_m, x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_m}]$, where $\mathcal{H}ol(y_1, \dots, y_m, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denotes the space of holomorphic functions on $B_m \times B_n$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that $P \cdot 1_{Z \rightarrow Y} = 0$ for some $P \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{D}_Z)$. Then*

$$(3.5) \quad P \cdot \mathbf{p}_{C^\infty}^{-1} = 0, \quad \bar{P} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{C^\infty}^{-1} = 0.$$

3.2. Pull-back in the twisted setting. The results of Section 3.1 can be generalized to twisted differential operators. Namely, let \mathcal{L} be a holomorphic line bundle on Y . Denote

$$(3.6) \quad |\mathcal{L}| := (\mathcal{L} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \bar{\mathcal{O}}_Y} \mathcal{C}_Y^\infty$$

which is a C^∞ line bundle on Y . Note that, as in the rest of the paper, our notation $|\mathcal{L}|$ differs from the standard notation $|\mathcal{L}|^2$ for this line bundle.

Consider the sheaf of differential operators acting on \mathcal{L}

$$\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}} := \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{D}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{L}^{-1}.$$

Likewise, we have the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*\mathcal{L}}$ of differential operators acting on the line bundle $p^*(\mathcal{L})$ on Z .

The role of $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y}$ is now played by

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y, \mathcal{L}} := p^*(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} p^*(\mathcal{D}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \simeq p^*(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} p^{-1}(\mathcal{L}),$$

which is naturally a $(\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})}, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}}))$ -bimodule. The unit $1_Y \in \mathcal{D}_Y$ gives rise to a global section $1_{Z \rightarrow Y, \mathcal{L}}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y, \mathcal{L}}$.

On the other hand, let $\mathcal{C}_{Y,|\mathcal{L}|}^\infty$ and $\mathcal{C}_{Z,|p^*(\mathcal{L})|}^\infty$ be the sheaves of C^∞ sections of the line bundles $|\mathcal{L}|$ on Y and $|p^*(\mathcal{L})|$ on Z , respectively.

Consider the internal Hom sheaf $\mathcal{H}om(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{Y,|\mathcal{L}|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Z,|p^*(\mathcal{L})|}^\infty)$ on Z and its global section $\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}$ corresponding to the natural pull-back map

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1} : C^\infty(Y, |\mathcal{L}|) \rightarrow C^\infty(Z, |p^*(\mathcal{L})|).$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{H}om(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{Y,|\mathcal{L}|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Z,|p^*(\mathcal{L})|}^\infty)$ has the structure of a $(\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})}, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}}))$ -bimodule defined in the same way as in Lemma 3.1.

Proposition 3.4. *There is a unique injective homomorphism of $(\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})}, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}}))$ -bimodules*

$$\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y, \mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{Y,|\mathcal{L}|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Z,|p^*(\mathcal{L})|}^\infty)$$

sending $1_{Z \rightarrow Y, \mathcal{L}}$ to $\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}$.

Proof. According to the definition (3.7), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y, \mathcal{L}} \simeq p^*(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} p^{-1}(\mathcal{L}).$$

Moreover, isomorphisms (3.2) and (3.1) imply similar isomorphisms in the twisted case (note that $\Theta_{Z/Y}$ naturally embeds into $\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})}$):

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y, \mathcal{L}} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})} / (\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})} \cdot \Theta_{Z/Y}),$$

$$(3.11) \quad p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}}) \simeq (\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})} / (\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})} \cdot \Theta_{Z/Y}))^{\Theta_{Z/Y}}.$$

Now we argue in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_{Z,Y,\mathcal{L}} := \mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{C^\infty}^{-1}.$$

For every open $U \subset Z$, we have

$$\xi \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(f) = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in \Theta_{Z/Y}(U), \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(p(U), \mathcal{L}).$$

By restricting to sufficiently small open subsets, we obtain from Proposition 3.2 that $\mathcal{A}_{Z,Y,\mathcal{L}}$ is naturally isomorphic to the right hand side of (3.10) and hence to $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y, \mathcal{L}}$ as a left $\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})}$ -module. Using the isomorphism (3.11), we obtain a $(\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})}, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}}))$ -bimodule structure on both $\mathcal{A}_{Z,Y,\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y, \mathcal{L}}$ and therefore an isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}_{Z,Y,\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y, \mathcal{L}}$ as $(\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})}, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}}))$ -bimodules, sending $1_{Z \rightarrow Y, \mathcal{L}}$ to $\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}$. By construction, the former is precisely the $(\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L})}, p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}}))$ -submodule of $\mathcal{H}om(p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{Y,|\mathcal{L}|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Z,|p^*(\mathcal{L})|}^\infty)$ generated by $\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}$. \square

3.3. Push-forward. Suppose that $p : Z \rightarrow Y$ is a submersion with compact fibers, and denote by $\omega_{Z/Y}$ the corresponding relative canonical bundle. Then $|\omega_{Z/Y}|$ is the line bundle of relative densities. Let ω_Y and ω_Z be the canonical line bundles on Y and Z , so $|\omega_Y|$ and $|\omega_Z|$ are the line bundles of densities on Y and Z , respectively. We have

$$\omega_{Z/Y} \simeq \omega_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} p^*(\omega_Y^{-1})$$

and similarly for $|\omega_{Z/Y}|$.

Denote by p_* the sheaf-theoretic push-forward functor. Let again \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on Y . Consider the internal Hom sheaf (where \otimes stands for $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}_Y^\infty}$ or $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}_Z^\infty}$)

$$\mathcal{H}om(p_*(\mathcal{C}_{Z,|p^*(\mathcal{L})| \otimes |\omega_Z|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Y,|\mathcal{L}| \otimes |\omega_Y|}^\infty) \simeq \mathcal{H}om(p_*(\mathcal{C}_{Z,|p^*(\mathcal{L})| \otimes |\omega_{Z/Y}|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Y,|\mathcal{L}|}^\infty)$$

on Y and its global section $\mathbf{p}_!^{\mathcal{L}}$ corresponding to the integration map

$$(3.12) \quad \mathbf{p}_!^{\mathcal{L}} : C^\infty(Z, |p^*(\mathcal{L})| \otimes |\omega_{Z/Y}|) \rightarrow C^\infty(Y, |\mathcal{L}|)$$

Set

$$\mathcal{M} := p^*(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \omega_{Z/Y},$$

so that

$$|\mathcal{M}| = |p^*(\mathcal{L})| \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_Z^\infty} |\omega_{Z/Y}|.$$

Lemma 3.5. *The sheaf $\mathcal{H}om(p_*(\mathcal{C}_{Z,|\mathcal{M}|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Y,|\mathcal{L}|}^\infty)$ has a natural $(\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}}, p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\mathcal{M}}))$ -bimodule structure, which is defined as follows: for every open $U \subset Y$, given $R \in (p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\mathcal{M}}))(U) = \mathcal{D}_{Z,\mathcal{M}}(p^{-1}(U))$, $S \in \mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}}(U)$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(p_*(\mathcal{C}_{Z,|\mathcal{M}|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Y,|\mathcal{L}|}^\infty)(U)$, which is a compatible system $\{\phi_{U'} \in \mathcal{H}om(C^\infty(p^{-1}(U')), |\mathcal{M}|), C^\infty(U', |\mathcal{L}|)\}$ for open subsets $U' \subset U$,*

$$\phi \mapsto S \circ \phi \circ R,$$

where $S \circ \phi \circ R$ stands for the compatible system $\{S|_{U'} \circ \phi_{U'} \circ R|_{p^{-1}(U')} \mid U' \subset U\}$.

On the other hand, denote by p_*^D the (derived) D -module push-forward functor

$$p_*^D : D^b(\mathcal{D}_Z) \rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{D}_Y).$$

If \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{D}_Z -module, then it follows from the definition (see [Ka], Sect. 4.6) that

$$(3.13) \quad p_*^D(\mathcal{F}) = R^\bullet p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Y \leftarrow Z} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_Z} \mathcal{F}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{Y \leftarrow Z} := \omega_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} p^{-1}(\omega_Y^{-1})$$

is a sheaf on Z with a natural structure of $(p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y), \mathcal{D}_Z)$ -bimodule.

The right action of \mathcal{D}_Y on ω_Y gives rise to a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}_Y^{\text{opp}} \simeq \omega_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{D}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \omega_Y^{-1},$$

which yields an identification (see [Ka], Remark 4.18)

$$(3.14) \quad \mathcal{D}_{Y \leftarrow Z} \simeq p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y) \otimes_{p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} \omega_{Z/Y}.$$

Let us apply $p_*^{D,0} := H^0 p_*^D$ to the left \mathcal{D}_Z -module $\mathcal{D}_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{M}^{-1}$. Since it is free,

$\overset{L}{\otimes}$ in formula (3.13) is the ordinary \otimes . Note also that it carries a commuting right $\mathcal{D}_{Z,\mathcal{M}}$ -module structure and hence is a $(\mathcal{D}_Z, \mathcal{D}_{Z,\mathcal{M}})$ -bimodule. This implies that the sheaf $p_*^{D,0}(\mathcal{D}_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{M}^{-1})$ is a $(\mathcal{D}_Y, p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\mathcal{M}}))$ -bimodule.

Setting

$$\mathcal{D}_{Y \leftarrow Z, \mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{D}_{Y \leftarrow Z} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{M}^{-1} \simeq p^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y) \otimes_{p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} p^*(\mathcal{L}^{-1}),$$

we obtain an isomorphism

$$p_*^{D,0}(\mathcal{D}_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{M}^{-1}) \simeq p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Y \leftarrow Z, \mathcal{M}}).$$

Define the following $(\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}}, p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\mathcal{M}}))$ -bimodule on Y :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{Y \leftarrow Z, \mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} p_*^{D,0}(\mathcal{D}_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{M}^{-1}) \simeq \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Y \leftarrow Z, \mathcal{M}}).$$

It follows from the definition of the functor $p_*^{D,0}$ that the unit $1_Z \in \mathcal{D}_Z$ gives rise to a global section $1_{Y \leftarrow Z, \mathcal{L}}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{Y \leftarrow Z, \mathcal{M}}$.

Proposition 3.6. *There is a unique injective homomorphism of $(\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}}, p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\mathcal{M}}))$ -bimodules*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{Y \leftarrow Z, \mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(p_*(\mathcal{C}_{Z,|\mathcal{M}|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Y,|\mathcal{L}|}^\infty)$$

sending $1_{Y \leftarrow Z, \mathcal{L}}$ to $\mathbf{p}_!^\mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Let us prove the statement in the case $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_Y$, $\mathcal{M} = \omega_{Z/Y}$.

Recall the relative tangent sheaf $\Theta_{Z/Y}$. The sheaf $p_*(\Theta_{Z/Y})$ naturally acts on $p_*(\omega_{Z/Y})$ and on $p_*(\mathcal{C}_{Z,|\omega_{Z/Y}|}^\infty)$ by Lie derivatives. Hence $\Theta_{Z/Y}$ embeds into $p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\omega_{Z/Y}})$ as a subsheaf of Lie algebras, and so it acts on $p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\omega_{Z/Y}})$ by commutators. For every open $U \subset Y$, we have

$$\mathbf{p}_!^\mathcal{L}(\xi \cdot f) = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in p_*(\Theta_{Z/Y})(U), \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(p^{-1}(U), |\omega_{Z/Y}|).$$

It follows that

$$p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\omega_{Z/Y}})^{\text{opp}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_!^\mathcal{L} \simeq p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\omega_{Z/Y}}) / (p_*(\Theta_{Z/Y}) \cdot p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\omega_{Z/Y}})).$$

Formula (3.14) implies that

$$p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\omega_{Z/Y}}) / (p_*(\Theta_{Z/Y}) \cdot p_*(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\omega_{Z/Y}})) \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{Y \leftarrow Z, \omega_{Y/Z}}.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_Y$, $\mathcal{M} = \omega_{Y/Z}$. For a general line bundle \mathcal{L} , the statement of the proposition is derived in a similar way (compare with Propositions 3.2 and 3.4). \square

3.4. Integral transforms. Consider a correspondence

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ Y_1 & & Y_2 \end{array}$$

Assume that p_1 and p_2 are submersions with compact fibers. Let \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 be line bundles on Y_1 and Y_2 , respectively, such that

$$(3.16) \quad p_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \simeq p_2^*(\mathcal{L}_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \omega_{Z/Y_2}.$$

In what follows, we will fix such an isomorphism.

Consider the Hom sheaf on Y_2 ,

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{H}om(p_{2*}p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{Y_1,\mathcal{L}_1}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Y_2,\mathcal{L}_2}^\infty).$$

Combining Lemmas 3.1 and 3.5, we obtain that it is naturally a $(\mathcal{D}_{Y_2,\mathcal{L}_2}, p_{2*}p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y_1,\mathcal{L}_1}))$ -bimodule.

Recall that we have a section

$$\mathbf{p}_{2!}^{\mathcal{L}_2} \in \mathcal{H}om(p_{2*}(\mathcal{C}_{Z,|p_2^*(\mathcal{L}_2)| \otimes \omega_{Z/Y_2}}), \mathcal{C}_{Y_2,|\mathcal{L}_2|}^\infty) = \mathcal{H}om(p_{2*}(\mathcal{C}_{Z,|p_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1)|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Y_2,|\mathcal{L}_2|}^\infty)$$

(here we apply the isomorphism (3.16)). We also have a section

$$\mathbf{p}_{1,\mathcal{L}_1}^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}om(p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{Y_1,|\mathcal{L}_1|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Z,|p_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1)|}^\infty)$$

which gives rise to a section

$$p_{2*}(\mathbf{p}_{1,\mathcal{L}_1}^{-1}) \in \mathcal{H}om(p_{2*}p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{Y_1,|\mathcal{L}_1|}^\infty), p_{2*}(\mathcal{C}_{Z,|p_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1)|}^\infty)).$$

Denote by $H_{Z,Y_1,Y_2}^{\mathcal{L}_1,\mathcal{L}_2}$, or H_Z for short, the composition

$$H_Z := \mathbf{p}_{2!}^{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ p_{2*}(\mathbf{p}_{1,\mathcal{L}_1}^{-1}),$$

which is a section of the sheaf (3.17). This is the *integral transform* associated to the correspondence Z and the line bundles \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 .

On the other hand, recall the $(\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L}_1)}, p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y_1,\mathcal{L}_1}))$ -bimodule $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1}$ and the $(\mathcal{D}_{Y,\mathcal{L}_2}, p_{2*}(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\mathcal{M}_2}))$ -bimodule $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{Y \leftarrow Z, \mathcal{M}_2}$, where

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = p_2^*(\mathcal{L}_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \omega_{Z/Y_2}.$$

Formula (3.16) implies that

$$\mathcal{D}_{Z,p^*(\mathcal{L}_1)} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{Z,\mathcal{M}_2}.$$

Therefore we can form the tensor product

$$(3.18) \quad \mathcal{D}_{Y_2 \leftarrow Z \rightarrow Y_1}^{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} := \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{Y \leftarrow Z, \mathcal{M}_2} \otimes_{p_{2*}(\mathcal{D}_{Z,\mathcal{M}_2})} p_{2*}(\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1})$$

which is naturally a $(\mathcal{D}_{Y_2,\mathcal{L}_2}, p_{2*}p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y_1,\mathcal{L}_1}))$ -bimodule. The canonical sections of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{Y \leftarrow Z, \mathcal{M}_2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1}$ introduced above give us a global section of $\mathcal{D}_{Y_2 \leftarrow Z \rightarrow Y_1}^{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2}$, which we denote by $1_{Y_2 \leftarrow Z \rightarrow Y_1}$.

Propositions 3.4 and 3.6 imply the following.

Proposition 3.7. *There is a unique homomorphism of $(\mathcal{D}_{Y_2,\mathcal{L}_2}, p_{2*}p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y_1,\mathcal{L}_1}))$ -bimodules*

$$\mathcal{D}_{Y_2 \leftarrow Z \rightarrow Y_1}^{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(p_{2*}p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{Y_1,|\mathcal{L}_1|}^\infty), \mathcal{C}_{Y_2,|\mathcal{L}_2|}^\infty)$$

sending $1_{Y_2 \leftarrow Z \rightarrow Y_1}$ to H_Z .

Corollary 3.8. *Suppose that $P \cdot 1_{Y_2 \leftarrow Z \rightarrow Y_1} = 0$ for some $P \in \Gamma(Y_2, \mathcal{D}_{Y_2,\mathcal{L}_2})$. Then*

$$P \cdot H_Z = 0, \quad \bar{P} \cdot H_Z = 0.$$

In other words, holomorphic differential equations satisfied by the section $1_{Y_2 \leftarrow Z \rightarrow Y_1}$ give rise to differential equations on the integral transform H_Z obtained from the correspondence Z .

Now we want to connect this to the D -module *integral transform functor* associated to the correspondence Z . Namely, we have the functor

$$(3.19) \quad \mathbf{H}_Z^\bullet : D^b(\mathcal{D}_{Y_1}) \rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{D}_{Y_2})$$

$$(3.20) \quad \mathcal{G} \mapsto p_{2*}^D(p_1^*(\mathcal{G})).$$

Let

$$(3.21) \quad \mathcal{H}_Z := \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_2}} \mathbf{H}_Z^0(\mathcal{D}_{Y_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}} \mathcal{L}^{-1}).$$

This is a $(\mathcal{D}_{Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2}, p_{2*} p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1}))$ -bimodule. The unit 1_{Y_1} gives rise to a global section of \mathcal{H}_Z , which we denote by ψ_Z .

Recall formula (3.13) for the functor p_{2*}^D . The next result follows from [DS], Prop. 2.12.

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose that the map $Z \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ is a closed embedding. Then $\mathcal{D}_{Y_2 \leftarrow Z} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_Z} \mathcal{D}_{Z \rightarrow Y_1}$ is concentrated in cohomological degree 0.*

Corollary 3.10. *If $Z \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ is a closed embedding, then there is an isomorphism $(\mathcal{D}_{Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2}, p_{2*} p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1}))$ -bimodules*

$$(3.22) \quad \mathcal{D}_{Y_2 \leftarrow Z \rightarrow Y_1}^{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \simeq \mathcal{H}_Z$$

under which $1_{Y_2 \leftarrow Z \rightarrow Y_1}$ is mapped to ψ_Z .

Corollary 3.8 then implies the following.

Corollary 3.11. *Suppose that $Z \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ is a closed embedding. If $P \cdot \psi_Z = 0$ for some $P \in \Gamma(Y_2, \mathcal{D}_{Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2})$, then*

$$P \cdot H_Z = 0, \quad \overline{P} \cdot H_Z = 0.$$

In the next section, we will need the following variant of Corollary 3.11. Let \mathcal{L}'_2 be another line bundle on Y_2 and

$$\mathcal{D}_{Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2}^{\mathcal{L}'_2} := \mathcal{L}'_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_2}} \mathcal{L}_2^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_2}} \mathcal{D}_{Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2},$$

the sheaf of differential operators acting from \mathcal{L}_2 to \mathcal{L}'_2 on Y_2 .

Corollary 3.12. *Suppose that $Z \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ is a closed embedding. If $P \cdot \psi_Z = 0$ for some $P \in \Gamma(Y_2, \mathcal{D}_{Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2}^{\mathcal{L}'_2})$, then*

$$P \cdot H_Z = 0, \quad \overline{P} \cdot H_Z = 0.$$

4. THE CASE OF PGL_n

In this section we consider the case of $G = PGL_n$ and the Hecke correspondence $Z(\omega_1)$ associated to $\lambda = \omega_1$. There are two advantages in this case that we will exploit: (1) the fibers of (q_2, q_3) are smooth and compact (in fact, they are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}); and (2) the special section (corresponding to the oper Borel reduction) of the universal oper bundle \mathcal{V}_{ω_1} satisfies an n th order differential equation (see Lemma 1.12). Hence we can apply a version of Corollary 3.12 in the case of the Hecke correspondence $Z(\omega_1)$ to derive the differential equations (1.7) on the Hecke operator \widehat{H}_{ω_1} .

Note that in this case we also have the multiplicity one property (see Proposition 1.10 and Theorem 1.9).

Remark 4.1. (i) We will use the notation of Section 1.2.

- (ii) In this case ${}^L G = SL_n$.
- (iii) In the general case, the fibers of Hecke correspondences are not smooth and the canonical section of the universal oper bundle does not satisfy a scalar differential equation. Hence our construction needs some modifications, which will be discussed in the next section. \square

4.1. Hecke functor. We follow the definition of the Hecke functor $\mathbf{H}_{\omega_1}^\bullet$ given in [BD1] (where it is denoted by $T_{\omega_1}^\bullet$), taking into account the fact that the fibers of the morphism (q_2, q_3) are smooth.

Definition ([BD1], Sect. 5.2.4). *The Hecke functor*

$$\mathbf{H}_{\omega_1}^\bullet : D^b(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}}) \rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n} \times X})$$

is defined as follows. For a left D -module M on Bun_{PGL_n} ,

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{H}_{\omega_1}^\bullet(M) := (q_2 \times q_3)_*^D(q_1^*(M)),$$

where $(q_2 \times q_3)_*^D$ denotes the derived direct image functor for D -modules.

Denote by $\mathbf{H}_{\omega_1}^i$ the corresponding i th cohomology functor. We now recall a theorem of Beilinson and Drinfeld [BD1] describing the action of $\mathbf{H}_{\omega_1}^i$ on a specific D -module on Bun_{PGL_n} .

If n is even, then to define this D -module, we need to pick a square root $K_X^{1/2}$ of the canonical line bundle on X (as in Section 1.4). To it, one associates a square root $\mathcal{L} = K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$ of the canonical line bundle on Bun_{PGL_n} following [BD1], Sect. 4 (see also [LS]). If n is odd, the construction of \mathcal{L} does not require any choices.

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}}$ be the sheaf of differential operators on Bun_{PGL_n} . Then

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$$

is a left D -module on Bun_{PGL_n} equipped with the commuting right action of

$$D_{PGL_n} = \Gamma(\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}^\beta, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \simeq \text{Fun Op}_{SL_n}^\gamma(X)$$

(see [BD1], Sect. 5.1.1).

4.2. Hecke eigensheaf property. Recall the left D -module $\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1, X}^{\text{univ}}$ on X obtained from the universal oper bundle. It is equipped with a commuting action of the above algebra D_{PGL_n} . Furthermore, by definition of SL_n -opers, we have an embedding

$$\kappa_{\omega_1}^{\text{univ}} : K_X^{-(n-1)/2} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\omega_1, X}^{\text{univ}}$$

and hence a section

$$s_{\omega_1}^{\text{univ}} \in \Gamma(X, K_X^{-(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\omega_1, X}^{\text{univ}}).$$

Recall the n th order differential operator (1.6). Lemmas 1.12 and 1.14 imply the following differential equation on $s_{\omega_1}^{\text{univ}}$ (this is an analogue of equation (2.18) in the case of GL_1):

$$(4.2) \quad \sigma \cdot s_{\omega_1}^{\text{univ}} = 0.$$

We will now use this equation to derive the system (1.7).

Consider the isomorphism (1.1):

$$(4.3) \quad a : q_1^*(\mathcal{L}^{1/2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} q_2^*(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \omega_2 \otimes q_3^*(K_X^{-(n-1)/2})$$

It gives rise to a section $\psi_{Z(\omega_1)}$ of $(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes K_X^{-(n-1)/2}) \otimes \mathbf{H}_{\omega_1}^0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1})$.

The first part of the following theorem is Theorem 5.2.9 of [BD1]. The second part follows from Theorems 5.4.11, 5.4.12, and Proposition 8.1.5 of [BD1].

Theorem 4.1 ([BD1]). $\mathbf{H}_{\omega_1}^i(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, and

$$\mathbf{H}_{\omega_1}^0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \simeq (\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \boxtimes_{D_{PGL_n}} \mathcal{V}_{\omega_1, X}^{\text{univ}}$$

as left $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_X$ -modules equipped with a commuting action of D_{PGL_n} .

Moreover, the section $\psi_{Z(\omega_1)}$ of

$$(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes K_X^{-(n-1)/2}) \otimes \mathbf{H}_{\omega_1}^0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \simeq (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \boxtimes_{D_{PGL_n}} (K_X^{-(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\omega_1, X}^{\text{univ}})$$

coincides with $1 \boxtimes s_{\omega_1}^{\text{univ}}$.

Theorem 4.1 and equation (4.2) immediately imply:

Corollary 4.2. *The section $\psi_{Z(\omega_1)}$ satisfies*

$$(4.4) \quad \sigma \cdot \psi_{Z(\omega_1)} = 0.$$

Now we derive Theorem 1.15 from Corollary 4.2 using Corollary 3.12 in the case of the Hecke correspondence $Z(\omega_1)$.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.15. Recall from formula (1.3) that we have an open subvariety of $\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}^\circ$,

$$(4.5) \quad U_{PGL_n}(\omega_1) = \{\mathcal{F} \in \text{Bun}_{PGL_n}^\circ \mid (q_2(q_1^{-1}(\mathcal{F})) \subset \text{Bun}_{PGL_n}^\circ)\},$$

which is dense by our assumption. We also have a similarly defined open dense subvariety

$$(4.6) \quad U_{PGL_n}(\omega_{n-1}) = \{\mathcal{F} \in \text{Bun}_{PGL_n}^\circ \mid (q_1(q_2^{-1}(\mathcal{F})) \subset \text{Bun}_{PGL_n}^\circ)\}.$$

Let $Y_2 = U_{PGL_n}(\omega_{n-1}) \times X$. It follows from [NR] that there exists an open dense $Y_1 \subset U_{PGL_n}(\omega_1)$, such that the restriction Z of $Z(\omega_1)$ to $Y_1 \times Y_2$ is a closed embedding. Denote by p_1 and p_2 the maps q_1 and (q_2, q_3) , respectively, restricted to $Y_1 \times Y_2$. Set $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L} \boxtimes K_X^{-(n-1)/2}$. Then the isomorphism (3.16) follows from the isomorphism (4.3).

Recall from Section 1.2 that the operator \widehat{H}_{ω_1} is defined as the integral transform via the correspondence $Z(\omega_1)$ from the space $V_{PGL_n}(\omega_1)$ of smooth compactly supported sections of $\Omega_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2} = |\mathcal{L}_1|$ on $U_{PGL_n}(\omega_1)$ to the space $V_{PGL_n} \otimes \Gamma(X, |K_X|^{-(n-1)/2})$ of smooth sections of $\Omega_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2} \boxtimes |K_X|^{-(n-1)/2} = |\mathcal{L}_2|$ on $\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}^\circ \times X$ (in fact, the image consists of compactly supported sections). Let \widetilde{H}_{ω_1} be the restriction of \widehat{H}_{ω_1} to the space of smooth sections of $|\mathcal{L}_1|$ that are compactly supported on $Y_1 \subset U_{PGL_n}(\omega_1)$, followed by the restriction of the resulting section of $|\mathcal{L}_2|$ on $\text{Bun}_G^\circ \times X$ to Y_2 . Thus,

\tilde{H}_{ω_1} acts from the space of smooth compactly supported sections of $|\mathcal{L}_1|$ on Y_1 to the space of smooth sections of $|\mathcal{L}_2|$ on Y_2 .

There is an open dense $U_{PGL_n}^\circ(\omega_{n-1}) \subset U_{PGL_n}(\omega_{n-1})$, such that the restriction of the morphism p_2 to $Y_2^\circ := (U_{PGL_n}^\circ(\omega_{n-1}) \times X) \subset Y_2$ is proper. Let $r_{Y_2^\circ}$ denote the operation of restriction of sections of $|\mathcal{L}_2|$ from Y_2 to Y_2° , and set

$$\tilde{H}_{\omega_1}^\circ := r_{Y_2^\circ} \circ \tilde{H}_{\omega_1}.$$

Now we are in the setting of Corollary 3.12, with $\mathcal{L}'_2 := \mathcal{L} \boxtimes K_X^{(n+1)/2}$ and with Y_2° instead of Y_2 . Let H_Z be the corresponding integral transform operator. We then obtain from Corollary 3.12 and equation (4.4) that H_Z satisfies the system of differential equations

$$(4.7) \quad \sigma \cdot H_Z = 0, \quad \bar{\sigma} \cdot H_Z = 0.$$

It follows from the above definition that $\tilde{H}_{\omega_1}^\circ$ is the restriction of H_Z to smooth compactly supported sections of $|\mathcal{L}_1|$ on Y_1 . Therefore $\tilde{H}_{\omega_1}^\circ$ also satisfies the system (4.7). Since Y_1 is dense in $U_{PGL_n}(\omega_1)$ and $r_{Y_2^\circ}$ is injective, this implies that \hat{H}_{ω_1} also satisfies this system of equations. Thus, we obtain the system (1.7). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.15. \square

Remark 4.2. It is possible to write an explicit formula for the differential operator σ similar to formula (2.17) in the case of GL_1 . For example, let $G = PGL_2$, so ${}^L G = SL_2$. Then the space $\text{Op}_{SL_2}^\gamma(X)$ is an affine space over the vector space $H^0(X, K_X^2)$. Let us pick a point $\chi_0 \in \text{Op}_{SL_2}^\gamma(X)$ and use it to identify $\text{Op}_{SL_2}^\gamma(X)$ with $H^0(X, K_X^2)$. Let $\{\varphi_i, i = 1, \dots, 3g-3\}$ be a basis of $H^0(X, K_X^2)$ and $\{F_i, i = 1, \dots, 3g-3\}$ the set of generators of the polynomial algebra $\text{Fun Op}_{SL_2}^\gamma(X)$ dual to this basis, i.e.

$$F_i(\chi_0 + \varphi_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Let $\{D_i, i = 1, \dots, 3g-3\}$ be the global holomorphic differential operators on Bun_{PGL_2} corresponding to the F_i under the isomorphism $\text{Fun Op}_{SL_2}^\gamma(X) \simeq D_{PGL_2}$.

By Lemma 1.12, we have an isomorphism $\text{Op}_{SL_2}^\gamma(X) \simeq D_2^\gamma(X)$, where $D_2^\gamma(X)$ is the space of projective connections on X (corresponding to our choice of $K_X^{1/2}$). It sends

$$\chi \in \text{Op}_{SL_2}^\gamma(X) \quad \mapsto \quad P_\chi \in D_2^\gamma(X).$$

Then we can write

$$(4.8) \quad \sigma = P_{\chi_0} + \sum_{i=1}^{3g-3} D_i \otimes \varphi_i : K_X^{-1/2} \rightarrow D_{PGL_2} \otimes K_X^{3/2}$$

(it is clear that this operator does not depend on the choice of χ_0).

Note that locally on X , after choosing a local coordinate z , we can write the second-order differential operator $P_{\chi_0} \in D_2^\gamma(X)$ in the form

$$P_{\chi_0} = \partial_z^2 + v_0(z).$$

This gives a more concrete realization of the equations (1.7). \square

Remark 4.3. For $\chi \in \text{Op}_{PGL_n}^\gamma(X) = \text{Spec } D_{PGL_n}$, let \mathbb{C}_χ be the corresponding one-dimensional D_{PGL_n} -module. In [BD1], Sect. 5.1.1, Beilinson and Drinfeld defined the following left D -module on Bun_{PGL_n} :

$$\Delta_\chi^0 := (\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \otimes_{D_{PGL_n}} \mathbb{C}_\chi$$

(this is the D -module from Theorem 1.9). They derived from Theorem 4.1 that $\mathbf{H}_{\omega_1}^i(\Delta_\chi^0) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ and

$$\mathbf{H}_{\omega_1}^0(\Delta_\chi^0) \simeq \Delta_\chi^0 \boxtimes (\mathcal{V}_{\omega_1}, \nabla_\chi)$$

(see [BD1], Theorem 5.2.6). This means that Δ_χ^0 is a *Hecke eigensheaf* with respect to the flat SL_n -bundle corresponding to χ [BD1]. In this sense, $\mathcal{D}_{PGL_n} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is a universal Hecke eigensheaf parametrized by the component $\text{Op}_{SL_n}^\gamma(X)$ of the space of SL_n -opers on X .

Recall the equivalence of categories in the abelian case obtained by restriction of the D -module version of the Fourier–Mukai transform discussed to Remark 2.3. It has a non-abelian analogue (see e.g. [FT]). In the case of $G = PGL_n$, on one side we have the category of coherent sheaves on $\text{Op}_{PGL_n}^\gamma(X)$. On the other side, we have the category of D -modules \mathcal{K} on Bun_{PGL_n} with finite global presentation of the form

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1})^{\oplus m} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1})^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 0.$$

The equivalence E takes an object \mathcal{F} of the former category to

$$E(\mathcal{F}) := (\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \otimes_{D_{PGL_n}} F, \quad F := \Gamma(\text{Op}_{PGL_n}^\gamma(X), \mathcal{F}).$$

In particular, $E(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Op}_{PGL_n}^\gamma(X)}) = \mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_{PGL_n}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ and $E(\mathcal{O}_\chi) = \Delta_\chi^0$. □

5. GENERAL CASE

In this section we formulate analogous results and conjectures for an arbitrary connected simple Lie group G over \mathbb{C} . Namely, we formulate an analogue of Conjecture 1.11 describing the eigenvalues of Hecke operators in terms of real ${}^L G$ -opers; an analogue of the system (4.2) of differential equations satisfied by the section s_λ^{univ} of the universal oper bundle (twisted by a power of K_X); and an analogue of the system (1.7) satisfied by the Hecke operators. We expect that this system can be derived from the Hecke eigensheaf property (established in [BD1]) by an argument analogous to the one we used in Section 4.3 in the case of PGL_n . However, because the morphism (q_2, q_3) is not smooth in the general case (in the sense of algebraic geometry), this requires additional care. We leave it to a follow-up paper.

5.1. Eigenvalues of the Hecke operators. Recall from [BD1, BD2] that the space of ${}^L G$ -opers on X has a preferred component $\text{Op}_{{}^L G}^\gamma(X)$. If the set $\{(\lambda, \rho), \lambda \in P_+^\vee\}$ contains half-integers, then to specify $\text{Op}_{{}^L G}^\gamma(X)$ we need to choose a square root $K_X^{1/2}$ of the canonical line bundle on X ; then γ denotes the isomorphism class of this $K_X^{1/2}$ (and we use the same choice to construct a square root $\mathcal{L} = K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$ of the canonical line

bundle on Bun_G , as we discussed in Section 1.3). Otherwise, $\text{Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X) = \text{Op}_{L_G}(X)$ is well-defined without any additional choices.

For $\lambda \in P_+^\vee$, let V_λ be the corresponding irreducible finite-dimensional representation of ${}^L G$. Given $\chi \in \text{Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X)$, we obtain a flat holomorphic vector bundle $(\mathcal{V}_\lambda, \nabla_{\chi, \lambda})$ on X . Moreover, the oper Borel reduction gives rise to an embedding

$$\kappa_\lambda : K_X^{\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_\lambda$$

and hence

$$\tilde{\kappa}_\lambda : \mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{V}_\lambda.$$

Let

$$s_\lambda := \tilde{\kappa}_\lambda(1) \in \Gamma(X, K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{V}_\lambda).$$

Now suppose that $\chi \in \text{Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X)_\mathbb{R}$. Then we have an isomorphism of C^∞ flat bundles

$$(\mathcal{V}_\lambda, \nabla_{\chi, \lambda}) \simeq (\overline{\mathcal{V}}_\lambda, \overline{\nabla}_{\chi, \lambda})$$

and hence a pairing

$$h_{\chi, \lambda}(\cdot, \cdot) : (\mathcal{V}_\lambda, \nabla_{\chi, \lambda}) \otimes (\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{-w_0(\lambda)}, \overline{\nabla}_{\chi, -w_0(\lambda)}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_X^\infty, d)$$

as $V_\lambda^* \simeq V_{-w_0(\lambda)}$. Since $\langle -w_0(\lambda), \rho \rangle = \langle \lambda, \rho \rangle$, we have

$$\overline{s_{-w_0(\lambda)}} \in \Gamma(X, \overline{K}_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{V}}_\lambda^*).$$

Recall that Bun_G has connected components Bun_G^β , $\beta \in \pi_1(G)$, and we have a direct sum decomposition

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_G = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi_1(G)} \mathcal{H}_G^\beta.$$

According to Conjecture 1.8, for each $\chi \in \text{Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X)_\mathbb{R}$ we have a non-zero eigenspace of \mathcal{A}_G in \mathcal{H}_G^β for all $\beta \in \pi_1(G)$ (which is one-dimensional if the statement of Theorem 1.9,(2) holds for χ). We expect that the Hecke operator H_λ preserves the direct sum of these subspaces (see Section 1.4 in the case of PGL_n). Moreover, one can find out precisely how H_λ permutes different \mathcal{H}_G^β by analyzing the action of the center $Z({}^L G)$ on V_λ (which is naturally identified with the group of characters of $\pi_1(G)$). As in the case of PGL_n , this implies that the eigenvalues $\{\Phi_\lambda(\chi)\}$ of H_λ corresponding to χ form a torsor over the group $\mu_{\alpha(G, \lambda)}$ of roots of unity of some order $\alpha(G, \lambda)$; for example, $\alpha(PGL_n, \omega_1) = n$ (this is so even for $G = SO_{4n}/\{\pm I\}$, when $\pi_1(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$). This is why in the following conjecture we describe these eigenvalues up to a scalar.

Conjecture 5.1. *For $\chi \in \text{Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X)_\mathbb{R}$, the section $\Phi_\lambda(\chi) \in \Gamma(X, |K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}|)$ is equal to*

$$\Phi_\lambda(\chi) = h_{\chi, \lambda}(s_\lambda, \overline{s_{-w_0(\lambda)}})$$

up to a scalar.

Remark 5.1. As in the case of $G = PGL_n$ (see Section 1.4), we expect that both the pairing $h_{\chi,\lambda}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the eigenvalues $\Phi_\lambda(\chi)$ of the Hecke operator H_λ can be normalized up to a root of unity of order $\alpha(G, \lambda)$. Conjecture 5.1 can then be refined to a statement that two torsors over the corresponding group $\mu_{\alpha(G,\lambda)}$ of roots of unity are equal to each other, similarly to Conjecture 1.11 for $G = PGL_n$. \square

5.2. Analogue of the system of differential equations. To prove Conjecture 5.1, we need an analogue of the system of differential equations which appear in Corollary 1.13 and Theorem 1.15 in the case of PGL_n and $\lambda = \omega_1$. In that case, we used the possibility to interpretopers in terms of scalar differential operators of order n (see Lemma 1.12). An analogous interpretation is also possible if G is of type B_ℓ or C_ℓ and $\lambda = \omega_1$, but is not known (and most likely does not exist) in general (see [DrS, BD2]). Instead, we replace the equations from Corollary 1.13 and Theorem 1.15 with a statement about the (twisted) D -module on X obtained by applying all possible (twisted) differential operators to the canonical section.

Namely, let

$$\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} := K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} K_X^{\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$$

be the sheaf of differential operators acting on the line bundle $K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ on X . Set

$$\mathcal{V}_\lambda^K := K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V}_\lambda.$$

The oper connection $\nabla_{\chi,\lambda}$ defines a \mathcal{D}_X -module structure on \mathcal{V}_λ , and therefore a $\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -module structure on \mathcal{V}_λ^K . We will denote this $\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -module by $\mathcal{V}_{\chi,\lambda}^K$. Recall that we have a canonical section $s_\lambda \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{V}_\lambda^K)$.

Lemma 5.2. *If the monodromy representation of the flat vector bundle $(V_\lambda, \nabla_{\chi,\lambda})$ is irreducible, then*

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \cdot s_\lambda = \mathcal{V}_{\chi,\lambda}^K.$$

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \cdot s_\lambda$ is a non-zero $\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{V}_{\chi,\lambda}^K$. If the monodromy representation of the flat vector bundle $(V_\lambda, \nabla_{\chi,\lambda})$ is irreducible, then $(V_\lambda, \nabla_{\chi,\lambda})$ is an irreducible \mathcal{D}_X -module, and so $\mathcal{V}_{\chi,\lambda}^K$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -module. Hence (5.2) follows. \square

Let $I_{\lambda,\chi}$ be the left annihilating ideal of s_λ in the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$. Thus, we have an exact sequence of left $\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow I_{\lambda,\chi} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\chi,\lambda}^K \rightarrow 0$$

Remark 5.2. For $G = GL_1$ and $G = PGL_n$, the ideal $I_{\lambda,\chi}$ is globally generated by a differential operator of order 1 and n , respectively. For a general simple Lie group G , this is not so, but as the following corollary shows, it is not necessary to have this property. What matters is the cyclicity of $\mathcal{V}_{\chi,\lambda}^K$ (formula (5.2)).

In fact, the cyclicity of twisted D -modules $\mathcal{V}_{\chi,\lambda}^K$ on X and $\Delta_\chi = \mathcal{L} \otimes \Delta_\chi^0$ on Bun_G (see Remark 4.3) turns out to be an essential property for linking the geometric Langlands correspondence and the analytic one. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose that the monodromy representation of the flat vector bundle $(V_\lambda, \nabla_{\chi, \lambda})$, where $\chi \in \text{Op}_{L_G}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, is irreducible. Then $h_{\chi, \lambda}(s_\lambda, \overline{s_{-w_0(\lambda)}})$ is a unique, up to a scalar, non-zero section $\Psi_\lambda(\chi)$ of $|K_X|^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ annihilated by the ideals $I_{\lambda, \chi}$ and $\overline{I_{-w_0(\lambda), \chi}}$.*

Remark 5.3. Note that a non-zero section $\Psi_\lambda(\chi)$ from Corollary 5.3 satisfies

$$(\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}) \cdot \Psi_\lambda(\chi) \simeq \mathcal{V}_{X, \lambda}^K \otimes \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{X, -w_0(\lambda)}^K.$$

□

Corollary 5.3 is an analogue of Corollary 1.13. We are going to formulate a conjectural analogue of Theorem 1.15 in a similar way.

Let $\mathcal{V}_\lambda^{\text{univ}}$ be the universal vector bundle over $\text{Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X) \times X$ with a partial connection ∇^{univ} along X , such that

$$(\mathcal{V}_\lambda^{\text{univ}}, \nabla^{\text{univ}})|_{X \times X} = (\mathcal{V}_\lambda, \nabla_{\chi, \lambda}), \quad \chi \in \text{Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X).$$

Let $\pi : \text{Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X) \times X \rightarrow X$ be the projection and set

$$\mathcal{V}_{X, \lambda}^{\text{univ}} := \pi_*(\mathcal{V}_\lambda^{\text{univ}}), \quad \mathcal{V}_{X, \lambda}^{K, \text{univ}} := K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{X, \lambda}^{\text{univ}}.$$

Then $\mathcal{V}_{X, \lambda}^{K, \text{univ}}$ is naturally a $\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -module on X , equipped with a commuting action of $\text{Fun Op}_{L_G}^\gamma(X) \simeq D_G$.

Moreover, the oper Borel reduction gives rise to an embedding

$$\kappa_\lambda^{\text{univ}} : K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\lambda, X}^{\text{univ}}$$

and hence a canonical section

$$s_\lambda^{\text{univ}} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{V}_{X, \lambda}^{K, \text{univ}}).$$

Consider the cyclic $D_G \otimes \mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -module $(D_G \otimes \mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}) \cdot s_\lambda^{\text{univ}}$ generated by s_λ^{univ} .

Lemma 5.4. *There is an isomorphism*

$$(5.3) \quad (D_G \otimes \mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}) \cdot s_\lambda^{\text{univ}} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{X, \lambda}^{K, \text{univ}}$$

of $D_G \otimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -modules.

Now recall that the Hecke operator \widehat{H}_λ is a section of $|K_X|^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ with values in operators $V_G(\lambda) \rightarrow V_G$. Hence we can apply to it the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ as well as the algebra D_G , through its action on V_G . The two actions commute, and they generate a $\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -module inside the sheaf of C^∞ sections of $|K_X|^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ on X with values in operators $V_G(\lambda) \rightarrow V_G$. Let us denote this $\mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -module by $\langle H_\lambda \rangle$.

Similarly, we can apply to H_λ the sheaf $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ and the algebra \overline{D}_G . Denote the resulting $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -module by $\overline{\langle H_\lambda \rangle}$.

Conjecture 5.5. *There are isomorphisms*

$$(5.4) \quad \langle H_\lambda \rangle \simeq \mathcal{V}_{X, \lambda}^{K, \text{univ}}, \quad \overline{\langle H_\lambda \rangle} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{X, \lambda}^{K, \text{univ}}$$

of $D_G \otimes \mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -modules (resp. $\overline{D}_G \otimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -modules).

In the case $G = PGL_n, \lambda = \omega_1$, this is equivalent to the statement of Theorem 1.15.

Suppose that the monodromy representation of the flat vector bundle $(V_\lambda, \nabla_{\chi, \lambda})$, where $\chi \in \text{Op}_{LG}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, is irreducible. Then Conjecture 5.1 for χ follows from Conjecture (5.5) and Corollary 5.3 in the same way as in the case $G = PGL_n, \lambda = \omega_1$.

5.3. Hecke eigensheaf property. As in the case of PGL_n , we wish to derive Conjecture 5.5 using the formalism of Section 3.4 from the Hecke eigensheaf property established by Beilinson and Drinfeld [BD1].

We start by recalling the definition of the Hecke functor in the general case from [BD1].

We will use the notation of Section 1.2. Consider the Hecke correspondence $Z(\lambda)$. The fibers of the morphism (q_2, q_3) are isomorphic to the closure $\overline{\text{Gr}}_\lambda$ of the $G[[z]]$ -orbit Gr_λ in the affine Grassmannian of G . Let $\tilde{Z}(\lambda)$ be the open dense part of $Z(\lambda)$ such that the fibers of (q_2, q_3) restricted to $\tilde{Z}(\lambda)$ are isomorphic to Gr_λ . Denote by \tilde{q}_1 the restriction of the morphism q_1 to $\tilde{Z}(\lambda)$.

Definition ([BD1], Sect. 5.2.4). *Let M be a left D -module on Bun_G . Denote by $q_1^*(M)$ the intermediate extension to $Z(\lambda)$ of $\tilde{q}_1^*(M)$. (Locally, we can choose an isomorphism $Z(\lambda) \simeq \text{Bun}_G \times \overline{\text{Gr}}_\lambda \times X$ so that q_1 is the projection on the first factor; then $q_1^*(M)$ can be identified with the exterior tensor product of $q_1^*(M)$, the irreducible D -module on $\overline{\text{Gr}}_\lambda$, and \mathcal{O}_X .) The Hecke functor is defined by the following formula:*

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbf{H}_\lambda^\bullet(M) := (q_2 \times q_3)_*^D(q_1^*(M)).$$

Now consider the left D -module $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$, where $\mathcal{L} = K_{\text{Bun}}^{1/2}$. It is equipped with the commuting right action of

$$D_G = \Gamma(\text{Bun}_G^\beta, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \simeq \text{Fun Op}_{LG}^\gamma(X)$$

(see [BD1], Sect. 5.1.1).

The isomorphism (1.1) gives rise to a section $\psi_{Z(\lambda)}$ of

$$(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}) \otimes \mathbf{H}_\lambda^0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}).$$

The following theorem is due to [BD1] (see the references before Theorem 4.1 above).

Theorem 5.6 ([BD1]). $\mathbf{H}_\lambda^i(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, and

$$\mathbf{H}_\lambda^0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \simeq (\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \boxtimes_{D_G} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda, X}^{\text{univ}}$$

as left $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_G} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_X$ -modules equipped with a commuting action of D_G .

Moreover, the section $\psi_{Z(\lambda)}$ of

$$(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}) \otimes \mathbf{H}_\lambda(\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \simeq (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\text{Bun}_G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \boxtimes_{D_G} (K_X^{-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\lambda, X}^{\text{univ}})$$

coincides with $1 \boxtimes s_\lambda^{\text{univ}}$.

Theorem 5.6 and Lemma 5.4 imply

Corollary 5.7. *There is an isomorphism*

$$(5.6) \quad (D_G \otimes \mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}) \cdot \psi_{Z(\lambda)} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{X, \lambda}^{K, \text{univ}}$$

of $D_G \otimes \mathcal{D}_{X, -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle}$ -modules.

One should be able to derive Conjecture 5.5, and hence Conjecture 5.1, from Corollary 5.7 by adapting the results of Section 3.4 to the present situation. This requires some care since the morphism (q_2, q_3) is not smooth in general (in the sense of algebraic geometry). Details will appear in a follow-up paper.

REFERENCES

- [AGKRRV] D. Arinkin, D. Gaitsgory, D. Kazhdan, S. Raskin, N. Rozenblyum, Y. Varshavsky, *The stack of local systems with restricted variation and geometric Langlands theory with nilpotent singular support*, arXiv:2010.01906.
- [BB] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein, *A proof of Jantzen conjectures*, in I.M.Gelfand Seminar, eds. S. Gelfand, S. Gindikin, Adv. in Soviet Math. **16**, Part 1, pp. 1–50, Providence, AMS, 1993.
- [BD1] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Quantization of Hitchin’s integrable system and Hecke eigen-sheaves*, Preprint available at <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~drinfeld/langlands/QuantizationHitchin.pdf>
- [BD2] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Opers*, arXiv:math/0501398.
- [BK] A. Braverman and D. Kazhdan, *Some examples of Hecke algebras for two-dimensional local fields*, Nagoya J. Math. **184** (2006) 57–84.
- [DS] A. D’Agnolo and P. Schapira, *Radon–Penrose Transform for \mathcal{D} -Modules*, J. Funct. Analysis **139** (1996) 349–382.
- [DrS] V.G. Drinfeld and V.V. Sokolov, *Equations of Korteweg-de Vries type and simple Lie algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **23** (1981) 457–462.
- [EFK1] P. Etingof, E. Frenkel, and D. Kazhdan, *An analytic version of the Langlands correspondence for complex curves*, to appear in the Dubrovin Memorial Volume, eds. I. Krichever, e.a., Proc. Symp. Pure Math., AMS (arXiv:1908.09677).
- [EFK2] P. Etingof, E. Frenkel, and D. Kazhdan, to appear.
- [Fa] G. Faltings, *Real projective structures on Riemann surfaces*, Compositio Mathematica, **48** (1983) 223–269.
- [FF] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level and Gelfand-Dikii algebras*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7**, Suppl. 1A (1992) 197–215.
- [Fr1] E. Frenkel, *Wakimoto modules, opers and the center at the critical level*, Adv. Math. **195** (2005) 297–404 (arXiv:math/0210029).
- [Fr2] E. Frenkel, *Is there an analytic theory of automorphic functions for complex algebraic curves?* SIGMA **16** (2020) 042 (arXiv:1812.08160).
- [FT] E. Frenkel and C. Teleman, *Geometric Langlands Correspondence Near Opers*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **28A** (2013) 123–147 (arXiv:1306.0876).
- [Ga] D. Gaitsgory, *Outline of the proof of the geometric Langlands conjecture for GL_2* , Astérisque **370** (2015) 1–112.
- [Go] W. Goldman, *Projective structures with Fuchsian holonomy*, J. Diff. Geom. **25** (1987) 297–326.
- [GKM] D. Gallo, M. Kapovich, and A. Marden, *The monodromy groups of Schwarzian equations on closed Riemann surfaces*, Ann. Math. **151** (2000) 625–704.
- [Ka] M. Kashiwara, *\mathcal{D} -modules and Microlocal Calculus*, Transactions of Mathematical Monographs **217**, AMS, 2003.

- [Ko] M. Kontsevich, *Notes on motives in finite characteristic*, in Algebra, Arithmetic, and Geometry, in honor of Yu. I. Manin, vol. II, Progress in Mathematics **270**, Springer, 2010, pp. 213–247 (arXiv:math/0702206).
- [L] R.P. Langlands, *On the analytic form of the geometric theory of automorphic forms*, Preprint available at <http://publications.ias.edu/rp1/section/2659>
- [LS] Y. Laszlo and Ch. Sorger, *The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic G -bundles over curves and their sections*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **30** (1997) 499–525.
- [La] G. Laumon, *Transformation de Fourier généralisée*, Preprint alg-geom/9603004.
- [NR] M.S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, *Geometry of Hecke cycles*, in C. P. Ramanujam – A Tribute, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics **8**, pp. 291–345, Springer, 1978.
- [R] M. Rothstein, *Connections on the total Picard sheaf and the KP hierarchy*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae **42** (1996) 297–308.
- [Te] J. Teschner, *Quantisation conditions of the quantum Hitchin system and the real geometric Langlands correspondence*, in Geometry and Physics, Festschrift in honour of Nigel Hitchin, Vol. I, eds. A. Dancer, J.E. Andersen, and O. Garcia-Prada, pp. 347–375, Oxford University Press, 2018 (arXiv:1707.07873).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720, USA

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EDMOND J. SAFRA CAMPUS, GIVAAT RAM THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM, 91904, ISRAEL