

Renormalization in a Landau-to-Coulomb interpolating gauge in Yang-Mills theory

A Andraši⁺ and J C Taylor^{*†}

⁺*Vlaška 58, Zagreb, Croatia*

[†]*DAMTP, Cambridge University, UK*

August 17, 2021

Abstract

The Coulomb gauge in QCD is the only explicitly unitary gauge. But it suffers from energy-divergences which means that it is not rigorously well-defined. One way to define it unambiguously is as the limit of a gauge interpolating between the Landau gauge and the Coulomb gauge. This interpolating gauge is characterized by a parameter θ and the Coulomb gauge is obtained in the limit $\theta \rightarrow 0$. We study the renormalization of this θ -gauge for all values of θ , and note some special features of it.

Pacs numbers: 11.15.Bt; 03.70.+k

Keywords QCD, Coulomb gauge, renormalization

*Corresponding author

[†]*E-mail addresses* aandrasi@irb.hr (A. Andraši), jct@damtp.cam.ac.uk (J. C. Taylor)

1 Introduction

The Coulomb gauge in non-abelian gauge theory deserves attention for a number of reasons. It is the only explicitly unitary gauge. It may be convenient for the study of heavy bound states. It has been used in investigations of confinement, see for example [1], [2], [3] and references therein.

But, in perturbation theory at least, it suffers from 'energy divergences', that is Feynman integrals which are divergent over the time-components of the momenta, while the spacial components are held fixed. The simplest such energy divergences occur at one loop. For pure YM theory, these are quite easily cancelled by combining Feynman diagrams appropriately, but they are automatically removed by using the Hamiltonian, rather than the Lagrangian formalism [4], so we use the Hamiltonian formalism in this paper.

More subtle, logarithmic, divergences appear first at two loop order. The cancellation of these was proved by Doust [6] (see also [7] and generalized in [5]). The origin of these divergences was linked by Christ and Lee [8] with the problem of correctly ordering the factors in the Coulomb potential in the Hamiltonian (see also [9]). But in this paper we consider only ordinary momentum-space Feynman perturbation theory, in the manner of [6].

Pure energy-divergences occur at 2-loop order only, not at higher order (see [6]). But if ordinary UV divergences are combined with energy divergences, new problems occur at 3-loop order, from the insertion of UV divergent loops into two-loop graphs [10]. A difficulty in studying these matters is to be sure that the divergent integrals we are manipulating are well-defined. To overcome this problem, we make use of a 'flow gauge', which interpolates between a covariant gauge and the Coulomb gauge. A flow gauge is characterized by a parameter θ , $\theta = 1$ is the covariant gauge, and the Coulomb gauge is defined by the limit $\theta \rightarrow 0$. For nonzero θ , there are no energy divergences in any Feynman integral. In a previous paper [11], we studied the flow gauge interpolating between the Feynman gauge and the Coulomb gauge. Let us call this the FC-flow gauge. The purpose of the present paper is to do the same for a flow gauge interpolating between the Landau gauge and the Coulomb gauge: the LC-flow gauge. This gauge has been used by some authors, for example [3].

As in [11], we use the Hamiltonian (phase space) formalism, as this eliminates linear divergences to one-loop order. The renormalization of the Lagrangian formalism is obtained as a special case (see section 6 below). The renormalization for the LC-flow gauge is obtained by field scaling and mixing, just as in the FC-flow gauge [11]. In the present paper we derive the values of the renormalization constants in the LC-flow gauge, which are in general different from those in the FC-flow gauge for $\theta \neq 0$.

In section 2 we define the LC-flow gauge, and state the Feynman rules. In section 3 we state the general form of renormalization, by scaling and mixing of fields and of sources. In section 4 we give the values of the renormalization constants to one-loop order, and in section 5 we show an example of their derivation. In section 6 we discuss the transition from the first order to the second order formalism. Section 7 is a summary.

2 The flow gauge

The total Lagrangian density for the Hamiltonian form of Yang-Mills theory is

$$L_{TOTAL} = L + L_{GF} + L_G = L_C + L_{GF} + L_G + L_S, \quad (1)$$

being the classical, gauge-fixing, ghost and source parts.

$$L_C = -E^{ai}F_{0i}^a - H \quad (2)$$

where a is a colour index, i is a 3-vector index, and

$$F_{0i}^a = \partial_0 A_i^a - \partial_i A_0^a + gf^{abc} A_0^b A_i^c, \quad (3)$$

and $E^{ai}(x)$ (the 'colour electric field') is the conjugate momentum field to $A_i^a(x)$.

The Hamiltonian density is

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}E_i \cdot E^i + \frac{1}{4}F_{ij} \cdot F^{ij} \quad (4)$$

where we have suppressed colour indices, and use upper and lower indices although it is not covariant (which explains the minus sign). The Lagrangian formalism would be obtained by expressing L in terms of the free field

$$\tilde{E}_i = E_i - F_{0i}. \quad (5)$$

The gauge-fixing term which we use in this paper is

$$L_{GF} = -\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} (\partial_i A^i + \theta^2 \partial_0 A^0)^2. \quad (6)$$

The limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ imposes the constraint that the following bracket is zero. The parameter θ dictates the flow, with $\theta = 1$ giving the Landau gauge and $\theta = 0$ the Coulomb gauge.

The terms involving the ghost c and anti-ghost c^* are

$$L_G = \partial_i c^* \cdot [\partial^i c + gA^i \wedge c] + \theta^2 \partial_0 c^* (\partial_0 c + gA_0 \wedge c). \quad (7)$$

The source part of the Lagrangian is

$$L_S = u^i \cdot [\partial_i c + g(A_i \wedge c)] + u_0 \cdot [\partial_0 c + g(A_0 \wedge c)] + gv^i \cdot (E_i \wedge c) - \frac{1}{2}gK \cdot (c \wedge c), \quad (8)$$

Here u^i, u_0, v^i, K are source fields used to impose the BRST conditions. Except for K , these are fermionic (anti-commuting) like c, c^* . Colour indices are suppressed, and we use the abbreviation

$$(X \wedge Y)^a \equiv f^{abc} X^b Y^c. \quad (9)$$

The BRST condition is

$$\int d^4x \left[\frac{\delta\gamma}{\delta u^i(x)} \cdot \frac{\delta\gamma}{\delta A_i(x)} + \frac{\delta\gamma}{\delta u^0(x)} \cdot \frac{\delta\gamma}{\delta A_0(x)} + \frac{\delta\gamma}{\delta v^i(x)} \cdot \frac{\delta\gamma}{\delta E_i(x)} + \frac{\delta\gamma}{\delta c(x)} \cdot \frac{\delta\gamma}{\delta K(x)} \right] \\ \equiv \gamma * \gamma = 0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\gamma = \int d^d y L(y). \quad (11)$$

We will use the notation that the momentum $k = (k_0, \mathbf{K})$, $K = |\mathbf{K}|$, $k^2 = k_0^2 - K^2$, and

$$\bar{K}^2 \equiv \mathbf{K}^2 - \theta^2 k_0^2. \quad (12)$$

We use $g_{\mu\nu}$ for the Minkowski metric with $g_{00} = 1$. In the gauge given by (6), the Coulomb propagator is

$$D_{00} = -\frac{K^2}{(\bar{K}^2)^2}, \quad (13)$$

and the ghost propagator is

$$D = +\frac{1}{\bar{K}^2}. \quad (14)$$

The transverse part of the A_i the propagator is

$$D_{ij}^T = \frac{1}{k^2 + i\eta} \left[g_{ij} + \frac{K_i K_j}{K^2} \right] \quad (15)$$

and the longitudinal part is

$$D_{ij}^L = -\frac{\theta^4 k_0^2}{(\bar{K}^2)^2} \frac{K_i K_j}{K^2}, \quad (16)$$

Since we use the Hamiltonian formalism, we require also propagators involving the electric field \mathbf{E} . It is

$$D^{mn} = \frac{K^2}{k^2 + i\eta} \left[g^{mn} + \frac{K^m K^n}{\mathbf{K}^2} \right], \quad (17)$$

which is transverse. (We use indices i, j, \dots for the potential \mathbf{A} and indices m, n, \dots for \mathbf{E}). There are also off-diagonal propagators. That between E^m and A_j has a transverse part

$$D_j^{Tm} = \frac{ik_0}{k^2 + i\eta} \left[g_j^m + \frac{K^m K_j}{\bar{K}^2} \right], \quad (18)$$

and a longitudinal part

$$D_j^{Lm} = i\theta^2 \frac{k_0}{\bar{K}^2} \frac{K^m K_j}{K^2}. \quad (19)$$

The off-diagonal propagator between E_m and A_0 is

$$D_0^m = \frac{iK^m}{\bar{K}^2}. \quad (20)$$

Finally there is an off-diagonal propagator between A_i and A_0

$$D_{0i} = -\frac{\theta^2 k_0 K_i}{(\bar{K}^2)^2}. \quad (21)$$

In addition to the usual Yang-Mills vertex involving the spatial components A_i , there is an $E^{ma} A_0^b A_i^c$ vertex

$$-g f^{abc} g_m^i. \quad (22)$$

Most of the above rules are the same as for the FC-flow gauge, as in our previous paper [11]. The three exceptions are D_{ij}^L in (16), D_j^{Lm} in (19) and D_{0i} in (21). (In general, these rules should be supplemented by a factor $[(2\pi)^4 i]^{-1}$ for each propagator and a factor $(2\pi)^4 i$ for each vertex; but these factors cancel in 1-loop graphs.)

Because of the constraint imposed by the gauge-fixing term (6), the propagators obey the following equations:

$$P^i D_{ij} + \theta^2 p^0 D_{0j} = 0, \quad P^j D_{0j} + \theta^2 p_0 D_{00} = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$P^i D_i^n + \theta^2 p^0 D_0^n = 0. \quad (24)$$

3 Renormalization

The structure of renormalization is as follows. Let the part L of the Lagrangian, defined in (1), be the function

$$L(A_i, A_0, E^n; c, u^i, u^0, v_n, K; g). \quad (25)$$

This is the physical, finite Lagrangian. Then the renormalized (bare) Lagrangian is the function

$$L_R = L(A_R^i, A_R^0, E_R^n, c_R, u_R^i, u_R^0, v_R^n, K_R; g_R), \quad (26)$$

where the renormalized fields and sources have the forms

$$\begin{aligned} A_R^i &= Z'^{1/2}_5 A^i, \quad A_R^0 = Z'^{1/2}_6 A_0, \quad c_R = Z'^{-1/2}_7 c, \quad v_R^i = Z'^{-1/2}_8 v^i, \\ u_R^i &= Z'^{-1/2}_5 [u^i + Y'_{10} \partial_0 v^i - Y'_{11} v^i \wedge A^0], \\ u_R^0 &= Z'^{-1/2}_6 [u_0 + Y'_{9} \partial_i v^i + Y'_{11} v^i \wedge A_i], \\ E_R^i &= Z'^{1/2}_8 [E^i + Y'_{9} \partial^i A_0 + Y'_{10} \partial_0 A^i + Y'_{11} A_0 \wedge A^i + Y'_{12} v^i \wedge c], \\ K_R &= Z'^{1/2}_7 \left[K + \frac{1}{2} Y'_{12} v^i \wedge v_i \right], \quad g_R = Z'^{1/2}_0 g. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

This structure was derived in our previous paper [11], and applies to the Hamiltonian formalism of gauge theories, irrespective of the gauge-fixing. But the values of the coefficients Z' and Y' depend on the gauge fixing, and are denoted by primed letters in the LC-flow gauge to distinguish them from the FC-flow gauge. It can be proved (see [12] Appendix D, [11] Appendix B) that L_R obeys the same BRST condition (10) as L , that is

$$\gamma_R * \gamma_R = 0, \quad (28)$$

where the $*$ composition is defined in (10), and

$$\gamma_R = \int d^d y L_R(y). \quad (29)$$

The important point about (25) is that, for E, u_i and u_0 , there is mixing as well as scaling. This implies that the renormalized Lagrangian in (26) does not have a pure Hamiltonian form: it contains second order time derivatives of A_i .

Given L_R in (26), the renormalized ghost part, L_{GR} , is determined by the equation

$$\frac{\delta\gamma_{GR}}{\delta c^*(x)} = -Z_5'^{-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left[\frac{\delta\gamma_R}{\delta u_{iR}(x)} \right] - Z_6'^{-1/2} \theta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \left[\frac{\delta\gamma_R}{\delta u_{0R}(x)} \right], \quad (30)$$

where

$$\gamma_{GR} = \int d^d y L_{GR}(y). \quad (31)$$

Then

$$L_{GR} = L_G(A_{iR} A_{0R}, c_R, c_R^*, \theta_R; g_R), \quad (32)$$

where, in addition to (27),

$$c_R^* = Z_5'^{-1/2} c^*, \quad \theta_R^2 = (Z_5'/Z_6')^{1/2} \theta^2. \quad (33)$$

Finally, the gauge-fixing term in (6), L_{GF} , is unchanged by renormalization. If expressed in terms of the renormalized quantities (27), (33), it may be written

$$L_{GF}(A_i, A_0, \theta, \alpha) = L_{GF}(A_{iR}, A_{0R}, \theta_R, \alpha_R), \quad (34)$$

where

$$\alpha_R^2 = Z_5 \alpha^2. \quad (35)$$

Since $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, (35) makes no difference.

4 Renormalization at one-loop order

To one-loop order we write

$$Z_5'^{1/2} = 1 + a_5', \quad Y_9' = a_9', \quad (36)$$

etc. By calculating the divergent parts of a sufficient number of one-loop graphs, we have evaluated the a 's. In terms of the quantity (using dimensional regularization with d dimensions of space-time)

$$c = \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} C_G \frac{1}{4-d}, \quad (37)$$

we find:

$$a_0' = a_7' = -\frac{11}{6}c, \quad a_{12}' = 0, \quad (38)$$

$$a_5' = \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{12}\theta + \frac{4}{3} \frac{\theta}{1+\theta} \right] c, \quad a_6' = \left[\frac{11}{6} - \frac{3}{4}\theta \right] c, \quad (39)$$

$$a_8' = \left[-\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\theta}{1+\theta} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\theta}{(1+\theta)^2} \right] c, \quad (40)$$

$$a_9' = \left[\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3}\theta - \frac{2\theta}{1+\theta} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\theta}{(1+\theta)^2} \right] c, \quad (41)$$

$$a'_{10} = \left[-\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{3}\theta + \frac{2\theta}{1+\theta} - \frac{5}{3} \frac{\theta}{(1+\theta)^2} \right] c, \quad (42)$$

$$a'_{11} = \left[-\frac{1}{6} - \frac{2}{3}\theta + \frac{2\theta}{1+\theta} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{\theta}{(1+\theta)^2} \right] c. \quad (43)$$

Some comments about these values may be useful. As expected, a'_0 is gauge-independent. The zero value of a'_{12} and the equality between a'_0 and a'_7 are consequences of equations (23) and (24) for the $v_j A_i c$ and Kcc amplitudes respectively. Some combinations of constants have the same values as in the FC-flow gauge, for example $a'_9 + a'_{10} = a_9 + a_{10}$. This is because the $v_i c$ graphs involve only the propagators (14), (18), (19) and (20) which are the same as in the FC-flow gauge. In the Landau gauge ($\theta = 0$), $a'_5 = a'_6 = (13/12)c$, the expected values (see for example [13] equation (12-113)). In the Coulomb gauge ($\theta = 0$), $a'_9 = -a'_{10} = -a'_{11} = 1/6$, as found in the FC-flow gauge [11]. We do not know the reason for this simplification.

We will now argue that the relation $a'_0 = a'_7$ in (34) generalizes to all orders, that is

$$Z'_0 = Z'_7. \quad (44)$$

Consider the renormalization of the $-gK.(c \wedge c)/2$ term in (8). The relevant divergent graphs have two external ghost fields and a K source. These graphs are overall logarithmically divergent by dimensional power counting. (We assume that any divergencies in subgraphs have been cancelled by counter-terms). Take any one of the external ghost lines. It must attach to a ghost-antighost-gluon vertex, say

$$igp^i c^*(p).(A_i(p') \wedge c(q)), \quad \text{or} \quad i\theta^2 gp^0 c^*(p).(A_0(p') \wedge c(q)), \quad (45)$$

where the momenta are indicated, q being an external momentum. The A fields in the above equations are the ends of gluon propagators as in (15), (16), (19), (20), (21). Because of the properties (23) and (24), p' effectively gives zero, and so the internal momentum p may be replaced by the external one q . Then by power counting the graph is overall convergent. Thus the counter-terms available to cancel the divergence must themselves cancel as in (38).

An example of the derivation of the above results (38)...(43) is given in the next section.

5 An example

To illustrate our methods, we describe the calculation of the divergent parts of the $A_0 A_0$ amplitude. The graphs involved are shown in Fig.1. The individual graphs are, by power counting, quadratically divergent. We expect two powers of the external momentum to come out, reducing it to a logarithmic divergence. After that, the internal momenta may be put equal to get the divergent part. Figures (a) and (b) are individually quadratically divergent, but, having carried out the p_0 integration, the sum gets a factor $(P - P')^2$, reducing the degree of divergence to logarithmic. The contribution is $icQ^2/3$. This is the full result in the Coulomb gauge ($\theta = 0$).




Fig.1 Graphs contributing to the $A_0 A_0$ amplitude. Solid, dashed, mixed and double lines represent respectively the EE , AA , EA and ghost propagators, as defined in Section 2. The symbols T and L denote transverse and longitudinal parts.

In each of graphs (c) and (d), the transversality of the lower lines results in a factor Q^2 . For (c), this leads to the integral

$$g^2 C_G Q^2 \frac{2}{3} \int d^{d-1} P \int dp_0 \frac{\theta^4 p_0^2}{(\bar{P}^2)^2 p^2}, \quad (46)$$

giving a divergent part

$$\frac{2}{3} \frac{\theta^3}{(1+\theta)^2} icQ^2. \quad (47)$$

Similarly (d) (together with the distinct graph $q \leftrightarrow -q$) give

$$-\frac{8}{3} \frac{\theta}{1+\theta} icQ^2. \quad (48)$$

There is partial cancellation between graphs (e) and (f), and the sum gives $(2/3)ic\theta Q^2$. The sum of the above divergent parts determines the value of the counter-term a'_9 in (41).

6 The transition to the Lagrangian formalism

Before discussing the transition to the renormalized second order formalism, let us discuss the unrenormlized case, just using L in (1). We can define new variables

$$\tilde{E}_i = E_i - F_{0i}. \quad \tilde{u}_0 = u_0 + D_i v^i, \quad \tilde{u}_i = u_i - D_0 v_i. \quad (49)$$

(Where $D_0 = \partial_0 + gA_0 \wedge$ and $D_i = \partial_i + gA_i \wedge$ are covariant derivatives.) In terms of this

$$L_C = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{E}_i \tilde{E}^i - \frac{1}{4} [F_{ij} F^{ij} + 2F_{0i} F^{0i}], \quad (50)$$

The BRST condition (10) has the same form in terms of the new variables, that is

$$\gamma * \gamma = \int d^4 x \left[\frac{\delta \gamma}{\delta \tilde{u}^i(x)} \cdot \frac{\delta \gamma}{\delta A_i(x)} + \frac{\delta \gamma}{\delta \tilde{u}^0(x)} \cdot \frac{\delta \gamma}{\delta A_0(x)} + \frac{\delta \gamma}{\delta v^i(x)} \cdot \frac{\delta \gamma}{\delta \tilde{E}_i(x)} + \frac{\delta \gamma}{\delta c(x)} \cdot \frac{\delta \gamma}{\delta K(x)} \right]. \quad (51)$$

This is because the transformation to the new variables (49) is formally the same as a special case of the transformation of the renormalized fields (27), which is known to satisfy (28).

Then, in (50), \tilde{E} and A are separated and the dependence on \tilde{E} is trivial. However, \tilde{E} still occurs in L_S . But since \tilde{E} occurs trivially in (50), we can omit the source v_i in L_S . Then (51) still controls the BRST invariance of the second term in (50).

To make the transition to the second order formalism from the renormalized Lagrangian (26), we can proceed in the same way but using the renormalized fields in (27), finally obtaining

$$L_{CR} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{E}_{Ri} \tilde{E}_R^i - \frac{1}{4} [F_{Rij} F_R^{ij} + 2F_{R0i} F_R^{0i}], \quad (52)$$

and

$$L_{SR} = u_R^i \cdot [\partial_i c_R + g(A_{Ri} \wedge c_R)] + u_{R0} \cdot [\partial_0 c_R + g(A_{R0} \wedge c_R)] - \frac{1}{2} g_R K_R \cdot (c_R \wedge c_R), \quad (53)$$

where the renormalized fields and sources are now just

$$A_R^i = Z_5'^{1/2} A^i, \quad A_R^0 = Z_6'^{1/2} A_0, \quad c_R = Z_7'^{-1/2} c, \\ u_R^i = Z_5'^{-1/2} u^i, \quad u_R^0 = Z_6'^{-1/2} u_0, \quad K_R = Z_7'^{1/2} K, \quad g_R = Z_0'^{1/2} g, \quad (54)$$

with the property (44) satisfied. The renormalization constants Z_8, Z_9, Z_{10}, Z_{11} are of course irrelevant to the second order formalism.

It may be noted that the source part of the action has the same form in terms of the new variables (49) as in terms of the original ones. That is, if we define

$$\tilde{L}_S = \tilde{u}^i \cdot [\partial_i c + g(A_i \wedge c)] + \tilde{u}_0 \cdot [\partial_0 c + g(A_0 \wedge c)] + g v^i \cdot (\tilde{E}_i \wedge c) - \frac{1}{2} g K \cdot (c \wedge c), \quad (55)$$

then

$$\int d^d x \tilde{L}_S - \int d^d x L_S = \\ \int dx [-v^i \cdot (D_0 D_i c) + v^i \cdot (D_i D_0 c) + g v^i (F_{0i} \wedge c)] = 0. \quad (56)$$

7 Summary and comments

We have studied, in Hamiltonian non-Abelian gauge theory, the flow gauge interpolating between the Landau and Coulomb gauges. We have described the general form of renormalization and calculated the one-loop renormalization constants as functions of the flow parameter θ . We make some comparisons with the flow gauge interpolating between the Feynman and Coulomb gauges [11]. We prove an all-orders equality between the ghost renormalization and the beta function (equation (44)), which has previously been noted in [3]. We demonstrate how the transition from the Hamiltonian to the Lagrangian formalism can be made.

The renormalization of the Hamiltonian form of gauge theories has a lot in common with that of the covariant first-order formalism [12], involving mixing as well as scaling of fields.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to the referee of our previous paper [11] for drawing our attention to the Landau-to-Coulomb flow gauge.

References

- [1] A. Cucchieri, *Lattice results in the Coulomb gauge*, arXiv:hep-lat/061102
- [2] A. Cucchieri and F. Karsch, Nucl. Phys. B Suppl. **83**, 357 (2000)

- [3] C. S. Fischer and D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 054005 (2005)
- [4] R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **22** 378, 1007 (1971)
- [5] A. Andrasi and J. C. Taylor, Ann. of Phys. **356**, 352 (2015)
- [6] P. Doust, Ann. of Phys. **177**, 169 (1987)
- [7] H. Cheng, E. C. Tsai, Phys. Lett. **B176**, 130 (1986); Phys. Rev. Lett, **57** 511 (1986)
- [8] N. H. Christ and T. D. Lee, Phys.Rev. D **22**, 939 (1980)
- [9] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **127**, 324 (1962)
- [10] A. Andrasi and J. C. Taylor, Ann. of Phys. **326**, 1053 (2011)
- [11] A. Andrasi and J. C. Taylor, Ann. of Phys. **422**, 168314 (2020)
- [12] J. Frenkel and J. C. Taylor. Ann. of Phys. **387**, 1(2017)
- [13] C. Itzykson and J-B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*, Mc-Graw-Hill (1980)